## D ouble D egenerate Stars

LUO X in-Lian ( ),<sup>1;2</sup> BAIHua ( )<sup>1</sup> and ZHAO Lei( )<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> D epartm ent of A stronom y, N an jing U niversity, N an jing, 210093, C hina
<sup>2</sup> C enter for G ravitationalW ave A stronom y, U niversity of Texas at B row nsville, T X 78520, U S

A bstract. Regardless of the formation mechanism, an exotic object, Double D egenerate Star (DDS), is introduced and investigated, which is composed of baryonic matter and some unknown fermion dark matter. Dierent from the simple W hite D warfs (WDs), there are additional gravitational force provided by the unknown fermion component inside DDSs, which may strongly a ect the structure and the stability of such kind of objects. M any possible and strange observational phenom ena connecting with them are concisely discussed. Similar to the normal WD, this object can also experience therm onuclear explosion as type Ia supernova explosion when DDS's mass exceeds the maximum mass that can be supported by electron degeneracy pressure. However, since the total mass of baryonic matter can be much lower than that of WD at Chandrasekharm ass limit, the peak luminosity should be much dimmer than what we expect before, which may throw a slight shadow on the standard candle of SN Ia in the research of cosm obgy.

PACS num bers: 04.40 Dg, 97.20 Rp, 97.60 Bw, 97.60 Jd E-m ail: xlluo@nju.edu.cn

It is widely believed that W hite Dwarfs (W D s) are the end stage of the low or the interm ediate m ass stars' evolution and are very dense objects. Opposite to so m any uncertainties under extrem e densities inside N eutron Stars (N Ss), the physical bases are m uch simple and well established. From observational side, there are abundant W D s in our G alaxy due to the high frequent birth rate for their progenitor stars and the relatively slow ly cooling e ciency after they were born. This is why the W D's structure is treated as one of the best understood areas of astrophysics now and an excellently educational stu in so m any astronom y textbooks, but they are still interesting objects for scientists and catch m uch attention at all tim es especially after Sloan D igital Sky Survey (SD SS) data release 4 (D R 4) catalog of W D s, <sup>[1]</sup> which provides quite well opportunity for a detailed com parison between theoretical models and observations.

From theoretical viewpoint, W Ds and NSs are all special cases of Fermion stars (FSs), in which the inward self-gravity is balanced by the degeneracy pressure of fermions. In fact, one-component FSs except W Ds and NSs are theoretically oversimpli ed and well studied celestial objects for a quite long time. Their maximum m ass can span several orders of m agnitude and which m ake them be one kind of potential replacers of black holes. A lthough the observational evidences for black holes exist have so far been quite abundant and becoming even more strong recently, the existence of them is still and will continue to be an hotly debated question in the following decades before people can test GR theory through observations of material falling into black holes as the next generation space borne plans will do. Instead of putting a supermassive black hole in the center of galaxies, som e peculiar objects such as FSs were constructed theoretically and some of them can also be confronted with many known observation constraints quite well. One fam ous model among them is the extended Neutrinos Star composed of degenerate heavy neutrinos,<sup>[2]</sup> this object has neither an event horizon nor a singularity, with shallow potential and is bene t to explain the soft spectrum radiation of accreting baryonic matter. However, the 152-year orbit measurements of S2 surrounding a dark object around SqrA \* near the Milky W ay center seem exclude the possibility of the massive, degenerate FS in our galaxy center since the strongly constrain form the central density structure.<sup>[3]</sup> A nyway, even one component FSs really exist, it would be very di cult to observe them directly, since the composition of them entirely is dark matter, which only participates in the gravitational and som etim es in weak interaction, does not em it or re ect light.

In this Letter, we concentrate on an idealized celestial objects from theoretical side, namely D ouble D egenerate Stars (D D Ss), sometimes which look like W D s but in fact are assumed to be composed of the normal matter with uniform chemical composition and a sort of unknown fermions (perhaps some dark matter composition) with mass  $m_f$ . A ctually, the D D Ss we concerned here are some kind of fermion-fermion stars.<sup>[4]</sup> It is necessary to emphasize that they have normal matter surfaces, on which therm al emission due to the cooling or some internal heating process can be observed. O fcourse, researchers can also identify the characteristic spectrum s due to di erent chemical composition in their crust and atmosphere. If they exist and happen to be located in binary systems, we can directly observe them and distinguish them from the normal W D s by their peculiar behaviour.

We shallbegin our discussion with the internal structure of DDSs. To make a simple model, we have assumed that the constituent matter is \cold" (fully degenerate) gas, except the quantum pressure of its electrons or its fermions without any interactions among them, such as the neutronization and pyconuclear reactions at su ciently high density, the C oulomb corrections at low density, the T hom as Fermi correction and so on. Now the E quation of State (EOS) can be expressed by some very simple functions of the dimensionless Fermi im omentum  $x_i = p_F = m_i c$ ,

$$_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = \frac{g_{i}}{3} \frac{g_{i}}{2} \frac{m_{i}^{4} c^{3}}{h^{3}} \quad 3 x_{i} \quad \overline{x_{i}^{2} + 1} \quad 2 x_{i}^{2} + 1 \qquad 3 \sinh^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) ;$$
 (1)

$$P_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = \frac{q_{i}}{32} \frac{m_{i}^{4} c^{5}}{h^{3}} x_{i}^{q} \overline{x_{i}^{2} + 1} 2x_{i}^{2} 3 + 3\sinh^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) ; \qquad (2)$$

where  $_{i}$ ,  $P_{i}$ ,  $m_{i}$  and  $g_{i}$  are energy density, degenerate pressure, rest m as and degeneracy factor of the i th component. Latin character i (i = e, f) denotes the electron or

certain ferm ion. The only one di erence between W Ds and so-called FSs we should keep in m ind is that the gravitation of W Ds com es m ainly form nucleons since the charge neutral condition and the electron's m ass is about 1800 times sm aller than that of a proton, whereas the gravitation of FSs is completely come from component ferm ions them selves.

If we further assume that these objects are spherically symmetric, non-rotating, non-magnetic and in hydrostatic equilibrium, then the problem is simple enough to deal with. The gravitational eld inside these object can be expressed by the internalmetric

$$ds^{2} = c^{2}B(r)dt^{2} + A(r)dr^{2} + r^{2}(d^{2} + \sin^{2} d^{2}):$$
(3)

C on bining the above EOS with the continuity equation and the hydrostatic equilibrium equation in general relativity (i.e. the Tolm an {Oppenheim er{Volko (TOV) equation but for multi-component perfect uid  $^{[5];[6]}$ ), we can obtain the internal structure equations for these objects.

A (r) = 
$$1 \frac{2Gm(r)}{rc^2}^{\# 1}$$
; (4)

$$\frac{d\ln B(r)}{dr} = \frac{2Gm(r)}{r^2c^2} + \frac{4r^3}{m(r)c^2} + \frac{4r^3}{m(r)c^2} + A(r);$$
(5)

$$\frac{dm(r)}{dr} = 4 r^{2} [p(r) + p(r) + p(r)]; \qquad (6)$$

$$\frac{dP_{f}(r)}{dr} = \frac{c^{2}}{2} \frac{d\ln B(r)}{dr} \int_{f}^{r} (r) + \frac{P_{f}(r)}{c^{2}} + (r)$$
(7)

$$\frac{dP_{e}(r)}{dr} = \frac{c^{2}}{2} \frac{d\ln B(r)}{dr} \Big|_{p}(r) + \Big|_{e}(r) + \frac{P_{e}(r)}{c^{2}} \Big|_{r}^{\#} ; \qquad (8)$$

where A (r) and B (r) are the metric coe cients, m (r) denotes the \gravitational mass" inside radius r, which is the mass a distant observer would measure by its gravitational e ects, for example, on orbiting movement or on gravitational lensing. Here  $_{p} = m_{p} e^{\frac{8}{3}}$  (h=m\_{e}c)  ${}^{3}x_{e}^{3}$  is the mass density of proton,  $m_{p}$  is the mass of proton,  $_{e}$  is the mean atom ic mass of electron. In additon, the particle number con ned within a sphere of radius r satis es

$$\frac{dN_{i}(\mathbf{r})}{d\mathbf{r}} = 4 \mathbf{r}^{2} \frac{8}{3} \frac{g_{i}}{2} \frac{h}{m_{i}c} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{3} \mathbf{1} \frac{2Gm(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r}c^{2}} = (9)$$

Figure 1 shows the MassRadius (M {R) relations for fully degenerate W D s, pure FSs with di erent ferm ion mass and some DDSs with xed ferm ion number. The maximum of these curves corresponds to the Oppenheim er{Volko limits for degenerate stars.<sup>[7]</sup> For W D s, the limiting value is  $R_{ch} = 2.64 \quad 10^{2} m_{e}^{-1} m_{p}^{-1} e^{-1} (3h^{3} = cG)^{1-2}$ 1:02 10<sup>3</sup> km ( $_{e}=2$ ) <sup>1</sup>,  $M_{ch} = 0.195 m_{p}^{-2} e^{-2} (3c^{3}h^{3} = G^{3})^{1-2}$  1:39M ( $_{e}=2$ ) <sup>2</sup>, which is the Chandrasekhar mass with general relativity corrections. However, for FSs, the limiting values is  $R_{ov} = 0.218 \quad 2=g_{f} m_{f}^{-2} (3h^{3} = cG)^{1-2}$  8:09 km  $2=g_{f} (m_{f}c^{2}=GeV)^{-2}$ , D ouble D egenerate Stars

 $M_{OV} = 0.025 q^{2} = q_{f} m_{f}^{2} (3c^{3}h^{3} = G^{3})^{1=2} = 0.627 M^{2} = q_{f} (m_{f}c^{2} = GeV)^{2}$ , which strongly depend on the ferm ion mass. The curves to the right of the maximum are stable branch, where the radius decrease with increasing mass as we known well in degenerate stars, while those left from the maximum represent unstable con gurations, will su er gravitational collapse by some unstable modes and will nally spiral into certain points on M {R plot as the central particle num ber density tends to in nity. In Newton's theory of gravity, the upper mass limit of W D s, i.e. Chandrasekhar mass limit, is  $M_{Ch}$  ' 1:457 ( $2=e^{2}M$ , as the center num ber density tends to in nity and the radius tends to zero. Instead, the critical radius  $R_{Ch}$  for stability can be reasonably settled in the fram ework of general relativity (GR).

Figure 1 also shows that the largest dimensionless surface potential ( $2 \text{ GM} = \text{R} c^2$ ) of equilibrium FSs ( 0.23, does not depend on the details characters of the ferm ion) can be much higher than that of W D s ( 4.0 10<sup>-3</sup> ( $_{e}=2$ )<sup>-1</sup>), which implies that general relativity is more important in determining the structure of FSs as their central density is high enough.

Since the mean distance between stars in typical galaxy should be 1 pc and the com pact objects people observed in the X-ray binary systems are merely of a few solar m asses (typical value for stellar m ass black hole candidates 10M ), the DDS we constructed in stellar level should subject to these constraints. Furtherm ore, because there is no dissipation of energy due to friction and no e ectively viscous processes to transport angular momentum for those unknown particles, the signi cant mass growth of D D S itself in relatively short time duration seem s in possible to realize by accretion of dark matter. Thus, we can simply but appropriately assume that the DDS may satisfy the condition with conserved ferm ion number. Considering such number conserved pattern and further assuming that they are composed by 0:1GeV fermions (the low lim it for the mass range of W eakly-Interacting M assive Particle, which is selected som ewhat arbitrarily from alm ost completely uncertain region at present), we can obtain the M {R relations for DDSs for xed ferm ion mass but with di erent ferm ion number, as shown in Figure 1.

The calculated results from the numerical solution of the structure equations show that DDSs with smaller electron number are composed of a double component core and a pure ferm ion envelope. As normal matter increases, the core size will increase (the dotted lines with circles or triangles in Fig.1), larger gravity or ered by normal matter inside the core may act on the ferm ions in the outer envelope and need more pressure to balance its structure and causes the invisible ferm ion surface of DDS shrink progressively (the solid lines with circles, triangles or asterisks in Fig.1). A fler that, we will confront with two kinds of situations. Firstly, if there are a su-cient number of ferm ions inside DDSs, the objectsm ay always have pure ferm ion envelope and their structure are always dominated by ferm ion component (such as  $N_f = 1.25 - 10^{57}$  and 1.12 -  $10^{58}$  curves in Fig.1). Secondly, if ferm ions are not too many, as baryonic matter infuse in, the visible normal matter surface will gradually grow up and eventually exceed the invisible ferm ion surface (the turn opoint C in Fig.1). A fler that, a seem ed unstable M – R relation branch appears in Fig.1, which corresponds to the transition process from ferm ion dom inated (C) to electron dom inated (D). Then the DDSs' structure are mainly determ ined by the norm all baryonic matter and looked more like WDs.

Simply according to the depiction of equilibrium con guration of degenerate stars in the textbook, you might easily come to the \common sense" conclusions that DDSs will bose their stability from C to D since the radius increase as the mass increase, whose behaviour seems more like those self-bound strange stars. As we know, the self-contained stability analysis especially with larger nonlinear perturbations acting on the equilibrium solution is very complicated, highly model dependent without general procedure can be devised and goes far beyond the capability of our recent work. For sim plicity, our discussion shall be restricted to linear stability analysis.<sup>[8]</sup> We nd that DDSseven in the transition state from C to D are in the m in im um -energy con guration with dE =  $dn_{cre} = 0$  and  $d^2E = dn_{cre}^2 > 0$ , where E is the total energy of the system, which m eans that D D Ss can m aintain stable before they arrive at the m axim um m ass (as show n in Table.1) just form the view of dynam ic. However, there is one more complication that needs to be kept in m ind, it is about the applicability of the EOS we used. W hen the central density for baryonic matter is high enough, the Ferm i energy of the electrons may excess the threshold for the inverse -decay reactions, then the equilibrium conditions between the chemical potential of nucleons and electrons,  $n = p + e_{p}$ , even the more practical nuclear EOS should be considered. To some extent, the EOS we adopted here is too sim pli ed.

Table.1 lists som e physical param eters for the maximum mass DDSs under som e selected ferm ion number N  $_{\rm f}$  and m assm  $_{\rm f}$ . Because of the enorm ous m ass range spanned for ferm ion and the completely free input value for ferm ion number, their reasonable analysis requires a deep physical understanding of the nature of D ark M atter and the structure form ation for this kind of objects over a vast range of param eter space. We just give som e dem onstrations and reveal som e in portant properties of these objects here. For xed ferm ion mass DDSs, such as  $m_f = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ , the rst to fourth rows in Table.1: As the ferm ion number increases, you can see that the maximum and perm issible baryonic num ber inside these objects will decrease, i.e. the total rest mass for norm al matter will reduce, besides the norm al matter component will be buried more deeply inside stars. The letter f and e appearing in fourth column represent whether ferm ion or electron component only exist at core. In addition, DDSs with the total rest mass of ferm ion being 1M are taken as examples from fourth to sixth rows in Table.1. It is clear that the allowable maximum baryonic number will become sm aller and the norm al component will be compressed more deeply in the centre as the composed ferm ion becomes more heavier. As a demonstration, the metric coe cients of two maximum mass DDSs are plotted in Fig.2, which can be used to construct the New tonian gravitational scalar potential ( InB (r)=2) in weak eld limit.

Strictly speaking, there is no relationship between the evolution and the M  $\{R \ relation for a given ferm ion number DDS, the following descriptions just help us to understand. We just imagine that DDSs originate from innate ferm ion star seeds$ 

form ed at very early universe and evolve just by accreting baryonic matter. At the beginning, any gas or dust near or bumped into the innate seed tends to be pulled into them. Friction within the accreting material causes it to beem echanical energy, spiral and sink into the deep center. As matter sinks in, concentrates and compresses together continuously, the core density increases remarkably and the core temperature also increases simultaneously due to the release of gravitational potential energy. If the totalm ass for the sinked gas is large enough and if there is no suitable and e cient cooling process, the central tem perature m ay keep on increasing and eventually reach the critical value at which hydrogen burning can ignite. The norm alm atter core m ay arrive at som e evolutionary stage, whose behaviour will be quite similar to the main sequence of norm al stars and is supported against gravitational contraction by the outward therm al pressure provided by the nuclear reactions. We plan to study such a kind of objects, give more detail and quantitative descriptions in our future work. In this study, we just concentrate on D D Ss, com pletely ignore the tem perature contribution and m erely treat the sunk norm alm atter core as zero-tem perature degenerate gas. In addition, to our know ledge, the in uence of rapid rotation, strong magnetic eld, nite tem perature, the coulomb corrections at low density and the neutronization and pyconuclear reactions at high density can remarkably a ect the internal structure of the DDSs, we should gradually include them in our continuous work.

Finally we give some observable predictions for such a kind of objects.

(1) Since there is additional gravitational force provided by the unknown ferm ion component inside DDSs, more electron degenerate pressure are needed to maintain the structure. Therefore, one distinguishing characteristic of DDSs is that they must have a smaller visible radius compared with corresponding WDs. Thus, we can provide another model instead of strange dwarfs to explain some strange WDs' combined observations, which appear to have signi cantly smaller radii than that expected for a standard electron degenerate WDEOS.<sup>[9]</sup>

(2) A swe know, the leading m odel for type-Ia supernova (SN Ia) is still degenerate them onuclear explosion of a accreting carbon-oxygen W D in a closed binary system as W D's mass grows to the Chandrasekhar M ass. DDS we introduced here can also experience such a kind of explosion when its mass exceeds the maximum mass perm issible by electron degeneracy pressure. Since itsm aximum m assofbaryonicm atter should be sm aller than Chandrasekhar m ass lim it of W D, moreover the norm alm atter now is situated in a more deep potential well, the corresponding binding energy of DDS is much larger than that of W D with the same m ass, the production of radioactive nuclide <sup>56</sup>N i (determ ines the peak lum inosity) and the total kinetic energy after the explosion (determ ines the expansion time scale) should be much di erent to that of standard SN Ia, which m ay throw a slight shadow on the standard candle of SN Ia in the research of cosm ology. Thus, it is worth rechecking and simulating the Phillips R elation (light curve width-lum inosity relationship for SN Ia) for such a kind of objects in a near future.

(3) Despite the mass for baryonic matter should be smaller than Chandrasekhar

m ass, considering the invisible ferm ion component, the gravitational mass of DDS can be much larger than the upper mass limit for a WD even for an NS (3:1M). If DDSs really exist, we hope to nd them in some binary system s.

A dknow ledgm ents: This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No 10221001. LUO X in-lian would like thank the hospitality of Center for Gravitational W ave A stronom y at UTB, where some of the work was performed. The authors wish to thank an anonym ous referee for his valuable suggestions to our work.

- [1] A delm an-M cC arthy J K, et al 2006 A strophys. J. Suppl. 162 38; see also http://www.sdss.org/dr4/
- [2] Bilic N, Nikolic H and Viollier R D 2000 Astrophys. J. 537 909
- [3] SchodelR , et al 2002 N ature 419 694
- [4] Zhang Y Z and Jin K J 1988 Phys.Lett.A 128 309  $\,$
- [5] O lson E and Bailyn M 1975 Phys.Rev.D 12 3030
- [6] Yuan Y F, Narayan R and Rees M J 2004 A strophys. J. 606 1112
- [7] Oppenheimer JR and Volko GM 1939 Phys. Rev. 55 374
- [8] Jin K J and Zhang Y Z 1989 Phys. Lett. A 142 79
- [9] M athewsG J, et al 2006 J. Phys.G 32 747

Figures and Table Caption

Fig1. The Mass-Radius relations for fully degenerate W hite D warfs, pure Ferm i Stars with di erent ferm ion mass and some D ouble D egenerate Stars with xed ferm ion number. The Straight lines with slope 1 at top left is the black hole limit.

Fig2. Metric Coe cients inside DDSs.

Table1. The physical parameters for some maximum mass DDSs.



Figure 1.



Figure 2.

Table	1.

m $_{\rm f}$ (G eV )	Ν	f	N <sub>e</sub> (=10 <sup>56</sup> )	Core (km)	Radius (km)	Mass (M	)
0:1	1:25	10 <sup>54</sup>	8.02	£90 <b>.</b> 9	537	1.35	
0:1	3 <b>:</b> 94	10 <sup>55</sup>	7.38	f111	209	1.25	
0:1	1:25	10 <sup>57</sup>	7.06	e 142	627	1.30	
0:1	1:12	10 <sup>58</sup>	7.03	e 135	1850	2.18	
0:01	1:12	10 <sup>59</sup>	8.28	e 934	7 <b>:</b> 78 10 <sup>5</sup>	2.39	
0:3	3 <b>:</b> 72	10 <sup>57</sup>	4.46	e 34 <b>.</b> 5	115	1.74	