## Cosm ic-ray electron injection from the ionization of nuclei

Giovanni Morlino

INAF/Osservatorio Astro sico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi, 5 - 50125 Firenze (Italy)

(D ated: February 22, 2024)

W e show that the secondary electrons ejected from the ionization of heavy ions can be injected into the acceleration process that occurs at supernova rem nant shocks. This electron injection mechanism works since ions are ionized during the acceleration when they move already with relativistic speed, just like ejected electrons do. U sing the abundances of heavy nuclei measured in cosm ic rays at E arth, we estimate the electron/proton ratio at the source to be  $10^{-4}$ , big enough to account for the nonthermal synchrotron emission observed in young SNRs. We also show that the ionization process can limit the maximum energy that heavy ions can reach.

Supernova R em nant (SNR) are believed to be the primary sources of C osm ic Rays (CR) in the G alaxy. The theory of D i usive Shock A coeleration (D SA) applied to SNR blast waves propagating in the interstellar medium provides the most comprehensive framework for the explanation of the CR spectrum measured at Earth. Nevertheless some aspects of D SA still remain unsolved and one of the most fundamental issues concerns how electrons can be injected into the acceleration process. The presence of accelerated electrons is a wellestablished fact, deduced from direct observations of young SNRs, where both Radio and X-ray em ission are interpreted as synchrotron radiation of highly relativistic electrons [1].

The injection problem is related to the shock dynam ics which is dom inated by protons (and maybe also by heavier ions). The shock layer is expected to be few therm al proton gyroradii thick, which means that particles need have a few times the mean therm alproton momentum in order to cross the shock and undergo D SA . The injection condition can be write as  $p > p_{inj}$ p;th, where  $p_{p;th} =$  $2m_{p}K_{B}T_{p;2}$  is the typical downstream therm al proton m om entum and contains the com plex shock m icrophysics [2]. A coording to theoretical estim ates [3] and observational constraints [4], is in the range 2-4. The injection condition can be easily ful led for supratherm alprotons which reside in the highest energy tail of the Maxwellian distribution. On the other hand the same condition, applied to electrons, is satis ed only in the relativistic regime with a minimum Lorentz factor inj 3– 30 for a typical  $T_{p,2}$  in the range  $10^{6}$ – $10^{8}$  K. It is therefore hard to im agine how electrons can come from the therm al com ponent: if we assume that electrons upstream of the shock therm alize downstream their bulk kinetic energy, ie.  $K_B T_{e;2} = \frac{1}{2} m_e u_{shock}^2$ , then the mean therm all electron m om entum is  $p_{e;th} = (m_e = m_p) p_{p;th}$ . Even assum ing som e m echanism able to equilibrate quickly electrons and protons at the sam e tem perature, the mean electron momentum rises only up to  $p_{e;th} = m_e = m_p p_{p;th}$ .

M ost proposed solutions for this injection problem involve some kind of pre-acceleration mechanism able to accelerate electrons from thermal energies up to mildly relativistic energies. Some studies predict that electrons can be e ectively pre-accelerated by electrostatic waves generated in the shock layer [5, 6]. These mechanisms are di cult to study analytically, because their understanding requires the know ledge of the complex microphysics that regulates collisionless shocks. A better way to investigate them is through particle-in-cell [7] and M onte C arlo simulations [8], which, unfortunately, are still not able to provide m conclusions on the electron injection e ciency.

In this paper we show that the ionization of heavy nuclei during acceleration can inject a number of mildly relativistic electrons large enough to account for the synchrotron radiation observed in young SNRs. In fact, nuclei heavier than hydrogen in the ISM where SNR shocks propagate, are never fully ionized sim ply because the typical ISM temperature is not large enough, being of the order of  $10^4$   $10^6$  K. This statem ent is also supported by the presence of B alm er-dom inated lam ents observed in several young rem nants [9], showing that even the hydrogen in the ISM is not fully ionized.

Once the shock encounters a partially ionized atom, the atom can start DSA in the same way protons do. We note that a correct com putation of the injection of heavy ions involves the know ledge of the initial charge and the aggregate state of atom s and their downstream tem perature, which are very di cult to predict. W e neglect such com plications and we assume that the injection of heavy elem ents occurs, simply because they are observed in the CR spectrum. The key point we want to stress here is that, once the acceleration begins, atom s are not stripped imm ediately, because the ionization time turns out to be large enough to allow them to reach relativistic energies before com plete ionization. W hen atom s m ove relativistically, the ionization can occur either via Coulomb collisions or via photoionization. In both cases, the mean kinetic energy of ejected electrons, measured in the ion rest fram e, is negligible with respect to the electron m ass energy. Hence ejected electrons move, in the plasm a rest fram e, approxim ately along the sam e direction and with the same speed of the parent atom s. In this case, the m om entum of ejected electrons can easily exceed pinj. In order to prove this statem ent we start com paring the acceleration with the ionization time.

Let us consider a single partially ionized species N, with mean charge  $Z_{\rm e}$ , atom ic charge Z and mass  $m_{\rm N}$  = 2Z m $_{\rm p}$ . For simplicity we compute the acceleration time in the framework of linear shock acceleration theory, i.e. neglecting the dynamical role of accelerated particles,

and for a plane shock geometry. If a particle with momentum p di uses with a di usion coe cient D (p), the wellknown expression for the acceleration time is [10]:

$$t_{acc}(p) = \frac{3}{u_1 u_2} \frac{D_1(p)}{u_1} + \frac{D_2(p)}{u_2} ; \qquad (1)$$

where u is the plasm a speed in the shock rest fram e, and the subscript 1 (2) refers to the upstream (downstream) quantities (note that  $u_{shock} = u_1$ ). The downstream and upstream plasm a speeds are related through the compression factor,  $u_2 = u_1 = r$ . We lim it our considerations to strong shocks, which have compression factor r = 4, and we assume B ohm di usion coe cient, i.e.  $D_B = r_L \ c=3$ , where c is the particle speed and  $r_L = pc=(Z_e \ eB)$  is the Larm or radius. The turbulent m agnetic eld responsible for particle di usion is assumed to be compressed downstream according to  $B_2 = rB_1$ . Even if this relation applies only for the m agnetic component parallel to the shock plane, choosing a di erent compression rule does not a ect our m ain results. Applying previous assum ptions, Eq. (1) becom es:

$$t_{acc}() = 1:7$$
 <sup>1</sup> B  $_{G}^{1}u_{8}^{2}$  (Z=Z<sub>e</sub>) yr; (2)

where is the particle Lorentz factor. Here B  $_{\rm G}$  is the upstream magnetic eld expressed in G and the shock speed is  $u_1 = u_8 \, 10^8 \, {\rm cm}$  =s. In order to compute the energy reached by particles when ionization occurs, we compare Eq. (2) with the ionization time. As already mentioned, ionization can occur either via C oulom b collisions with therm al particles or via photoionization by background photons. Whether the form er process dom inates on the latter depends on the ratio between the therm al particle and the ionizing photon densities.

We consider rst the role of collisions. A full treatment of collisional ionization is hard because of the complex cross section involved and it is beyond the scope of this work; our purpose can be achieved using the following approximation. Let us consider the process in the rest fram e of the target atom, which is bom barded with pointlike charged particles (in our case protons or electrons) with kinetic energy  $E_{kin}$ . The classical ionization cross section has a maximum when  $E_{kin}$  is twice the ionization energy, I, and the value is:

where  $a_0$  is the Bohr radius,  $I_{Ryd}$  is the ionization potential expressed in Rydberg units and N<sub>e</sub> is the number of electrons in the considered atom ic orbital. For  $E_{kin} > 2I$  the cross section decreases like  $E_{kin}^2$  and reaches a m inimum when relativistic e ects become important, while, in the full relativistic regime  $_{coll}$  /  $log(E_{kin})$  (see e.g. [11]). Hence we can use Eq. (3) as a good upper limit for the collisional cross section in a wide range of incident particle energy.

A coelerated ions collide mainly with therm al protons and electrons, whose densities are assumed to be equal,  $n_e = n_p$ . The total ionization time is the average between the upstream and downstream contribution, weighted for the respective residence time, i.e. <sub>coll</sub> = ( $t_1$  +  $t_2$ ) ( $t_1 = {}_{coll;1} + t_2 = {}_{coll;2}$ )<sup>1</sup>, where  $t_i = 4D_i = cu_i$  [10]. The nalresult is:

coll (c 
$$_{\text{coll}}^{\text{max}} n_1 (1 + r)$$
)  $^1 = 0.0024 I_{\text{Ryd}}^2 n_1 {}^1 \text{yr}$ ; (4)

where  $n_1$  is the upstream proton density in cm <sup>3</sup>. Now, equating <sub>coll</sub> with the acceleration time in Eq. (2), we get the value of the Lorentz factor, <sub>coll</sub> that ions have when the collisional ionization occurs. U sing typical param eters for a young SNR, the result reads:

coll ' 9 
$$\frac{0:1 \text{ cm}^3}{n_1}$$
  $\frac{B_1}{25 \text{ G}}$   $\frac{u_1}{5000 \text{ km} = \text{s}}^2 I_{\text{Ryd}}^2$ :  
(5)

An upstream magnetic eld around 25 G is expected if magnetic ampli cation occurs, but the condition  $_{coll}$  >  $_{inj}$  can be easily ful lled even for magnetic eld as low as the mean galactic value, i.e. 5 G.

In the atom rest frame ejected electrons can have kinetic energy ranging from 0 up to  $E_{kin}$  I. But, due to the long-range nature of C oulomb interaction, events with a small momentum transfer are highly favored and the majority of ejected electrons have kinetic energy  $E_{me}c^2$  [11]. As a consequence when parent atoms move relativistically with respect to the plasma rest frame, ejected electrons move approximately in the same direction and with the same Lorentz factor computed in Eq. (5). The same is true when the electron is ejected by photoionization.

Photoionization can occur only when atoms collide with photons whose energy is larger than the ionization potential I. Atom smoving relativistically see a distribution of photons peaked in the forward direction of motion, with a mean photon energy  $^{0} =$ , where is the photon energy in the plasm a rest fram e. In order to estim ate the photoionization time we adopt the sim plest approximation for the K-shell cross section [12], i.e.:

$$_{\text{ph}}$$
 (<sup>0</sup>) = 64 <sup>3</sup>  $_{\text{T}}$  Z <sup>2</sup> (I= <sup>0</sup>)<sup>7=2</sup> (6)

where  $_{\rm T}$  is the Thompson cross section and is the ne structure constant. The factor Z  $^2$  is due to the

nuclear charge dependence of K -type orbitals dimension. In order to get the full photoionization time we need to integrate over the total photon energy spectrum, i.e.:

$$_{\rm ph}^{1}$$
() =  $\frac{d}{d} \frac{dn_{\rm ph}()}{d} c_{\rm ph}()$ ; (7)

where  $dn_{ph}=d$  is the photon spectrum as seen in the plasm a rest frame. The relevant ionizing photons are only those with energy ' I= (m easured in the plasm a fram e) because the photoionization cross section rapidly decreases with increasing photon energy. The corresponding num erical value of photoionization time is:

ph () ' 0:01 Z<sup>2</sup> 
$$n_{ph}$$
 (I= )=cm <sup>3 1</sup> yr: (8)

C om paring  $_{\rm ph}$  with Eq. (4) for the last inner orbital (which has  $I_{R\,yd} = Z^2$ ) we see that photoionization generally dom inates over the collisional ionization for heavy ions, namely when  $n_{\rm ph}^2 4n_1 Z^2$ .

In Fig. 1 we compare the acceleration time with both the collisional and the photoionization time. The two panels show the case of ionization for two hydrogen-like ions,  $He^+$  and  $C^{5+}$ , so that  $Z_e = Z$  1. Each characteristictime is shown for two di erent choices of the parameters:  $t_{acc}$ , plotted with solid lines, is shown for  $u_1 = 3000$ km/s,  $B_1 = 3$  G (upper line) and for  $u_1 = 10^4$  km/s,  $B_1 = 20 \text{ G}$  (lower line); <sub>coll</sub> (dot-dashed) is shown for  $n_1 = 0.01$  (upper line) and 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (low er line); nally  $_{\rm ph}$  (dashed line) is computed according to Eq. (7), using the Galactic interstellar radiation eld (ISRF) plus the cosm ic m icrow ave background. W e use the ISRF as calculated in [13], which includes the photons produced by stars and the infrared radiation resulting from the stellar light reprocessed by Galactic dust. In Fig. 1 the lower dashed line is computed using the ISRF in the Galactic center, while the upper dashed line corresponds to a location in the Galactic plane, 12 kpc far away from the Galactic center [13]. We neglect the high energy radiation coming from the remnant itself because the typical number density of the X-ray photons is negligible and  $10^{7}$  ph=cm<sup>3</sup>. Fig. 1 shows that the does not exceed m ost relevant contribution to photoionization com es from the optical photons, which produces the st dip present of the dashed curves. The value of where coll and  $_{\rm ph}$  intersect  $t_{\rm acc}$  , identi es the Lorentz factor of ejected electrons. From the upper panel we see that even electrons from  $He^+$  can be ejected with > 10 and can easily undergo DSA.

A remarkable consequence of the ionization process is that, under appropriate circum stances, heavy elements reach a maximum energy lower than Z  $\rm E_{m\,ax}^{p\,coton}$ , the value predicted by the shock acceleration theory. This because they cannot be completely ionized in a time less than the Sedov time of a typical SNS. In fact the photoionization of the last inner shell, due to photons with energy , occurs only when  $> Z^{2}R\,yd=$ . The acceleration time required to reach such a Lorentz factor is  $t_{acc}=2.4\,(Z=26)^{2}\,(=eV)^{-1}\,(B_{1}=20$  G)^{-1}\,(u=5000km=s)^{-2}yr. If the optical photon density is low enough, the photoionization is dom inated by IR photons ( $10^{-3}eV$ ), and  $t_{acc}$  can be longer than the Sedov time.

Now we estimate whether the number of electrons injected into the accelerator is large enough to produce the observed synchrotron emission. In the literature the number of accelerated electrons is usually compared with that of protons: DSA operates in the same way for both kind of particles, hence a proportionality relation between their distribution functions is usually assumed, i.e.  $f_e(p) = K_{ep} f_p(p)$  (valid in the energy range where electron losses can be neglected). It is worth stressing that here we are only interested in the electron/proton ratio in young SNRs, and not to the K<sub>ep</sub> m easured in the CR spectrum at Earth. These two quantities could be dif-



FIG.1: Comparison between acceleration (solid lines), photoionization (dashed) and collisional ionization time (dotdashed) as functions of the ion's Lorentz factor. The top and lower panels show the results for the hydrogen-like ions  $He^+$ and  $C^{5+}$ , respectively. For each time two curves are shown, rapresenting two di erent set of parameters, as explained in the text.

ferent because the latter is the sum of the contribution com ing from all sources integrated during the source age, and also re ects transport to Earth and losses in transport (expecially radiative losses for electrons).

The value of K  $_{\rm ep}$  in the source strongly depends on the assumption for the magnetic eld strength in the region where electrons radiate and, in the context of the D SA theory, it can be determ ined for those SNRs where both nontherm alX-ray and TeV radiation are observed. Two possible scenarios have been proposed [4, 14, 15]. In the st one electrons produce both the X-ray and the TeV components via the synchrotron emission and the inverse Compton e ect, respectively; this scenario requires a downstream magnetic eld around 20 G, and  $10^{-2}$   $10^{-3}$ . The second scenario assumes that K ep the number of accelerated protons is large enough to explain the TeV emission as due to the decay of neutral pions produced in hadronic collisions. In this case the DSA requires a magnetic eld strength of few hundreds 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup>. Such a large m agnetic eld G and K  $_{ep}$ is consistently predicted by the theory as a result of the magnetic ampli cation mechanisms which operate when a strong CR current is present. In the following we show that injection via ionization can account for the second scenario, i.e. the one with e cient CRs production.

In order to get the electron spectrum,  $f_{\rm e}\left(p\right)$ , we need to solve  $\,$  rst the transport equations for all partially ionized species which take part in the acceleration process and release electrons. Then we can use the ions distribution functions as source terms is for the electron transport equation. For the sake of simplicity let us consider the simplest case where the acceleration involves only one hydrogen-like species which inject one electron per atom, N  $^+$  ! N  $^{++}$  + e , as could be the case for H e $^+$ . The acceleration of all the three components can be described using the well known transport equation [10] but addind a \decay term " to take into account the ionization process, i.e.:

$$u\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x} = D(p)\frac{\partial^{2} f_{i}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{du}{dx}p\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial p} + Q_{i} \quad S_{i}; \quad (9)$$

where the index i = e;N <sup>+</sup>;N <sup>++</sup> identi es the species. Q<sub>i</sub> is the source term while S<sub>i</sub> is the \decay term " due to the ionization. W e assume that the injection of ions N <sup>+</sup> occurs only at the shock position and at a xed m omentum p<sub>inj</sub>, hence Q<sub>N</sub> + (x;p) = K (p p<sub>nj</sub>) (x), where the norm alization constant K is determined by the total num ber of ions injected per time unit. The decay term is S<sub>N</sub> + = f<sub>N</sub> + (x;p) = ion (p), where the total ionization time is ion =  $\binom{1}{\text{coll}} + \binom{1}{\text{ph}}$ <sup>1</sup>. For electrons and N <sup>++</sup> the decay term s vanish while the injection term s can be approximated as follow s:

$$Q_{i}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{p}) = \int_{\mathbf{p}}^{Z_{1}} d^{3}p^{0} \frac{f_{N} + (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{p}^{0})}{ion (\mathbf{p})} \quad (3) (\mathbf{p} - i\mathbf{p}^{0}); i = e; N^{++} :$$
(10)

Because both N<sup>++</sup> and e move approximately with the same Lorentz factor of N<sup>+</sup>, we can set  $_i = 1$  for N<sup>++</sup> and  $_i = m_e = m_N$  for electrons. In the case of linear shock acceleration theory, Eq. (9) can be solved using standard techniques [10] and we will show the detailed procedure in a future paper. We de nep as the momentum value where the ionization time for N<sup>+</sup> is comparable to its di usion time  $\frac{1}{4D} = u^2$ . It is easy to show that  $f_{N^{++}}$  ( $p_N$ ) and  $f_e$  ( $p_e$ ) both become a power law / p<sup>-s</sup> for  $p_N > p_0$  and  $p_e > p_0 = \frac{m_e}{m_N}$ , respectively. The index s is only a

function of the compression factor,  $s = 3r = (r \quad 1)$ , and  $s \mid 4$  when the shock is strong. In the limit  $p \quad p_0$  the ratio between electrons and ions has the following expression:

$$K_{eN} = \lim_{p \to p_0} \frac{f_e(p)}{f_{N^{++}}(p)} = \frac{Z}{2Z - 1} \frac{m_e}{m_N}^{s-3} : \quad (11)$$

Here the factor  $Z = (2Z \quad 1)$  is due to the di erent di usion coe cient for electrons and ions, while the ratio  $m_e = m_N$ is due to the di erent momentum they have when the ionization occurs. In order to give an approxim ated estimate for  $K_{ep}$  we need to multiply Eq. (11) by the total num ber of ejected electrons, i.e. (Z  $Z_{\rm e}$  ), and sum over all atom ic species present in the accelerator, i.e. K<sub>ep</sub> ′ <sub>N</sub> K<sub>Np</sub> (Z<sub>N</sub>  $Z_{N}$  ;e  $% M_{\rm eN}$  ) K  $_{\rm eN}$  , where K  $_{N\rm \ p}$  are the abundances of ions m easured at the source in the range of energy where the ionization occurs. Even if the values of  $K_{N,p}$  are widely unknown, we can estimate it using the abundances m easured at E arth and adding a correction factor to com pensate for propagation e ects, namely the fact that particles with di erent Z di use in a different way. The di usion time in the Galaxy is usually assumed to be di / (p=Z), with 0:3 0:6 (see 1[6] for a review on recent CR experiments). If K  $_{\rm N\ p;0}$  is the ion/proton ratio m easured at E arth, than the sam e quantity measured at the source is  $K_{Np} = K_{Np;0}Z_{N}$ . Hence the nalexpression for the electron/proton ratio at the source is:  $K_{ep}$  /  $K_{N p;0} Z_N$  ( $Z_N$ Z<sub>N;e</sub>)K<sub>eN</sub>.

U sing the abundances of nucleim easured at 1 TeV [17] and assuming that Z=2 is the number of electrons e ectively injected by each species, we have K  $_{\rm ep}$  10  $^4$ . Remarkably this number is exactly the order of magnitude required to explain the X-ray emission in the context of e cient CR acceleration. We note that the present estimate is based on linear shock acceleration theory, while a correct treatment requires the inclusion of non linear e ects.

The author is gratefulto P.Blasi, E.Am ato, D.Caprioli and R.Bandiera for valuable discussions and com ments, and continuous collaboration.

- [1] S.P.Reynolds, Ann.Rev.Ast.Astrophy.46,89 (2008)
- [2] H.Kang, T.W. Jones and U.D.J.G isseler, ApJ 579, 337 (2002)
- [3] P.B lasi, S.G abici and G.Vannoni, MNRAS 361, 907 (2005)
- [4] G. Morlino, E. Am ato and P. Blasi, MNRAS 392, 240 (2009)
- [5] A.A.Galeev, Sov. Phys., JETP 59, 965 (1984)
- [6] A.Levinson, MNRAS 278, 1018 (1996)
- [7] T.Am ano and M.Hoshino, ApJ 661, 190 (2007)
- [8] M.G.Baring and E.J.Summerlin, Ap&SS 307, 165 (2007)
- [9] J. Sollerm an et al., A & A 407, 249 (2003)
- [10] L.O.D rury, Rep. Prog. Phys. 46, 973 (1983)

- [11] M . Inokuti, Rev. M od. Phys. 43, 297 (1971)
- [12] W. Heitler, The Quantum Theory of Radiation, Oxford University Press, London (1954)
- [13] T.A.Porter and A.W. Strong, proc. 29th ICRC 4, 77 (2005)
- [14] F.Aharonian et al. [HESS Coll.], A&A 449, 223 (2006)
- [15] E.G.Berezhko, L.T.K senofontov and H.J.Volk, A&A 295, 943 (2002)
- [16] P.B lasi, arX iv:0801.4534, rapporteur Paper OG1 session of the 30th ICRC, M erida, M exico (2007).
- [17] B.W iebel-Sooth, P.L.Bierm ann and H.M eyer, A&A 330, 389 (1998)