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#### Abstract

Previous papers dealt $w$ th the quantization of the Lem a^tre\{Tol$m$ an $\{B$ ondi (LTB) $m$ odel for vanishing cosm ological constant. H ere we extend the analysis to the case $>0$. Ourm ain goal is to present solutions of the $W$ heeler $\{D$ eW itt equation, to give their intenpretation, and to derive $H$ aw king radiation from them. W e restrict ourselves to a discussion of those points that are dierent from the $=0$-case. $T$ hese have $m$ ainly to do $w$ ith the occurrence of tw o horizons.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

Part of the research on quantum gravity consists in the attem pt to get a possible insight into the nal theory from concrete $m$ odels. T he sim plest m odels deal $w$ th spatially hom ogeneous cosm ological $m$ etrics and are typically used in quantum cosm ology. Less sim ple are m odels $w$ th spherical sym $m$ etry. They are used in cosm ology, too, but $m$ ainly in the description ofblack holes.

Here we deal w th a particular spherically-sym $m$ etric $m$ odel, the Le$m$ a^tre\{Tolm an $\{B$ ondi (LTB) m odel. It describes self-gravitating inhom ogeneous dust and is well understood at the classical level w here it is m ostly used in cosm ology [1]. T he quantization ofthism odelw as attem pted in both quantum geom etrodynam ics and loop quantum gravity. Here we restrict ourselves to quantum geom etrodynam ics, in which the central equations are the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation and the $m$ om entum (di eom orphism) constraints [2, 3].

Follow ing the application ofcanonicalgeom etrodynam ics to the Schw arzschild black hole [4] and to Reissner\{N ordstrom \{anti-de Sitter black holes [5], the canonical form alism for the LT B $m$ odelw as developed in [6] and then applied to quantization in a series of papers, see [7, [8, 9] and the references therein. W hile it was not possible to construct the quantum theory for the LTB $m$ odel in a rigorous way, partial progress was achieved. Am ong the results $w$ as the recovery of $H$ aw king radiation plus greybody corrections from exact solutions to the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation and the $m$ om entum constraints [8, 9]. T hey were found for the case of vanishing cosm ological constant where only one horizon (the black-hole horizon) is present. H ere we extend the analysis to the case $>0$, where two horizons (the blackhole and the cosm ological horizon) exist. W e again m anage to nd exact quantum states from which the two di erent H aw king tem peratures from the tw o horizons can be recovered.

A though we are $m$ ainly interested in understanding quantum gravity, we want to em phasize that the case $>0$ ts very wellcurrent cosm ological observations [10]. T he analysis for < 0, where only one horizon is present, w as perform ed in [11].

In our present paper we shall focus on the $m$ ain di erences to the case of vanishing. For $m$ ore details on the general form alism we refer to the earlier papers cited above. The LTB m odel was also addressed from the perspective of loop quantum gravity [12].

## 2 The classical LTB m odel with positive cosm ological constant

Since we deal w th dust, the energy $\{\mathrm{m}$ om entum tensor is given by $\mathrm{T}=$
( ; ) uu , where $u=u(;)$ is the four-velocity vector of a dust particle w th proper time ; the param eter labels the various shells w hich together form the dust cloud. The line elem ent for the LTB spacetim e in com oving synchronous coordinates is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\quad d^{2}+\frac{(@ R)^{2}}{1+2 E()} d^{2}+R^{2}(;)\left(d^{2}+\sin ^{2} d^{2}\right): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this expression into the E instein eld equations leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 G \quad(;)=\frac{@ F}{R^{2} @ R} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(@ R)^{2}=\frac{F}{R}+\frac{R^{2}}{3}+2 E \quad 1 \quad F+2 E ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F() \quad 2 \mathrm{GM}()$ is a non-negative function $w$ ith the dim ension of a length, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad 1 \frac{F}{R} \quad \frac{R^{2}}{3}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of collapse is described by @ $R(;)<0 . W$ e set $c=1$ throughout.
$T$ here still exists the freedom to rescale the shell index. T his freedom can be rem oved by dem anding

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(0 ;)=; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that for $=0$ the label coordinate is equal to the curvature radius R.N ow we can express the functions $F$ ( ) and $E()$ in term $s$ of the energy density at $=0$. From ( 4 ) and (3) one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{O}=8 \mathrm{G} \quad(0 ; \sim) \stackrel{2}{\sim} \mathrm{~d} \sim ;  \tag{6}\\
& E()=\frac{1}{2} @ R(=0 ;)^{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{O}}{2} \frac{2}{6}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The interpretation of these quantities is that $F()=2 G \quad M()$ is the active gravitating m ass w thin $\mathrm{a}=$ constant shell, while $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{)}$ is the total energy per unit $m$ ass $w$ ithin the sam e shell. The $m$ arginally bound models are de ned by E ( ) 0 . H ere we consider the general case, which includes the non-m arginal case de ned by E ( ) 0 .

In order to derive $H$ aw king radiation, we shall consider the follow ing situation. W e assum e the presence of a black hole with $m$ ass $M_{0}$ surrounded by a gravitating dust cloud. W e assum $e$, $m$ oreover, that the total energy of the cloud is sm all com pared to the $m$ ass of the black hole. A fter quantization, we shall nd exact quantum states for the dust cloud from which the H aw king tem peratures will be found. T he dust cloud will play the role of the quantum elds usually em ployed in the derivation of H aw king radiation.

If the dust cloud is of nite extension, the $m$ etric outside of it is of the Schw arzschild \{de Sitter (SdS) or K ottler form 3

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2}= & 1 \frac{2 G M}{R} \\
& +1 \frac{R^{2}}{3} d T^{2}  \tag{8}\\
& 1 \frac{2 G M}{R} \frac{R^{2}}{3} d^{1}+R^{2} d^{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $M$ is the $m$ ass of the black hole plus the $m$ ass contribution of the cloud. For sm all R, SdS space approxim ates Schwarzschild space, while for large $R$ and positive it approxim ates de Sitter space. The black-hole horizon $R_{h}$ and the cosm ological horizon $R_{c}$ are two of the three zeros of $g_{T}$ in (8), see, for exam ple, [14] and [15]. T hey are explicitly given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{h}=3 G M, ~ 1 \quad r \frac{r}{1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}=p=1 \begin{array}{r}
1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{array} \text {; } \\
& R_{C}=3 G M, ~ 1+\frac{r}{1 \frac{1}{13}}=p=1+\overline{1 \frac{1}{13}} \text {; } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$w^{\text {where }}{ }^{1}=3 \mathrm{GM}^{\mathrm{P}}$ - and $=\cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \cos ^{1}\left[{ }^{1}\right]\right)$. The third zero value, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$, is negative, $R_{n}=\quad R_{h} \quad R_{C}=6 \mathrm{GM}$, and possesses no obviousm ean in fil $T$ he LTB solution (1) $m$ ust then be $m$ atched at the dust boundary to the SdS solution.

In the canonicalform alism, the generalansatz for a spherically-sym m etric line elem ent reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=N^{2} d t^{2}+L^{2}\left(d r \quad N^{r} d t\right)^{2}+R^{2} d^{2} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the lapse function, $N^{r}$ is the only com ponent of the shift vector that survives the sym $m$ etry reduction, and $d^{2}=d d^{2}+\sin ^{2} d^{2}$ is the line elem ent on the unit two-sphere.

[^1]Inserting the ansatz (10) into the ADM form of the E instein $\{H$ ilbert action, we obtain the gravitational part of the action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{g}=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d r P_{L} L+P_{R} R \quad N^{g} \quad N^{r_{H}}{ }_{r}^{g}+S_{@} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $H$ am iltonian and the di eom onphism ( $m$ om entum) constraint are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{g}=G \frac{P_{L} P_{R}}{R} \frac{L P_{L}^{2}}{2 R^{2}}+\frac{1}{G} \frac{L}{2} \frac{R^{\complement}}{2 L}+\frac{R^{0} R^{0}}{L}+\frac{R^{2} L}{2} ;  \tag{12}\\
& H_{r}^{g}=R^{0} P_{R} \quad L P_{L}^{0} ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively (a prim e denotes a derivative $w$ ith respect to $r$ ). The boundary action $S_{@}$ w ill be discussed below .

The total action is the sum of (11) and an action $S^{d}$ describing the dust. The canonical form alism for the latter was developed in [18]. The dust action reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{d}={ }^{Z} d_{0}^{Z_{1}} d r P-N^{H^{d}} \quad N^{r_{H}}{ }_{r}^{d} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the contributions to the H am iltonian and m om entum constraints are

$$
H^{d}=P \quad \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}  \tag{15}\\
& 1+\frac{0^{2}}{L^{2}}
\end{align*} \text { and } H_{r}^{d}=0 \mathrm{P}:
$$

It is due to th is relatively sim ple form of the dust action that exact quantum states can be found below. The full constraints are then the sum of the gravitational and the $m$ atter (dust) constraints.
$T$ he general ansatz of the $m$ etric (10) should, of course, correspond to the LT B $m$ etric (1). This leads to a couple of relations that are analogous to those derived in [7], except that $F$ is now given by (4). O ne can express, in particular, the variables E , F , and , which com pletely characterize the collapse of the dust cloud, in term s of the canonical variables.

W hat about the boundary action $S_{@}$ in (11)? In principle, we have to consider two boundaries. The rst boundary is at the centre of sym m etry for the dust cloud, the second either at spatial in nity or at another chosen end of the spatial hypersurfaces. As for the inner boundary, the e ect of a positive cosm ological constant com pared to a vanishing or negative is there negligible, because its e ects are felt only at cosm ological distances. W e can thus em ploy the boundary action used previously, cf. [9]. It was found that the follow ing term $m$ ust be added to com pensate a corresponding term that arises from variation of the action and partial integration:

$$
S_{@}=\int_{@}^{Z} d t N_{0}(t) M_{0}(t) ;
$$

$w$ here $N_{0}$ is the lapse function at $r=0$, and $M_{0}=F(0)=2 G$ is the $m$ ass of the black hole at the centre. If this com pensation w ere not $m$ ade, one w ould conclude that a variation of $N_{0}$ leads to vanishing black hole $m$ ass, which would certainly not be desirable. Such argum ents were already used in the geom etrodynam ics of the pure Schw arzschild black hole [4].

The choice of the second boundary is less obvious. Since the spacetim e here is asym ptotically de Sitter, the spatial sections are no longer asym ptotically at. This leads basically to two options. O ne option is to choose a spacelike hypersurface that approaches the spacelike in nity in SdS spacetim e. H ere one m ust be carefiul and avoid $r$ to becom e tim elike. This is, for exam ple, achieved ifwe em ploy coordinates such as the $P$ ain leve\{ $G$ ullstrand coordinates used in [19] which are, in fact, close to the coordinates that we use below to sim plify the constraints. A nother option is to use the cosm ological horizon as the second boundary. This would correspond to the choice frequently m ade in black-hole papers where the bifurcation sphere of the horizon is em ployed as the inner boundary, see, for exam ple, [5] and [20]. It will also be our choice here.

W e thu s consider the case where the cosm ological horizon is the second boundary. The situation is then analogous to the cases considered in [5] and [20]. W e choose $r=0$ to represent the centre of the cloud and let r ! 1 at the cosm ological horizon. This is possible if we sm oothly match $r$ to the tortoise coordinate $R$, de ned by $d R=F^{1} d r$, at the cosm ological horizon. T he tim e param eter $t$ is chosen equal to the $K$ illing tim $\operatorname{T}$. Let us now tum to the fallo conditions. A s for $L$, we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t ; r)=\frac{L_{0}(t)}{r^{3}}+0 \frac{1}{r^{4}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

L tends to zero near the cosm ological horizon, where $r$ goes to in nity. $T$ his is required, since it is equal to the tortoise coordinate there. It is proportional to $1=r^{3}$, which guarantees that the distance to the horizon $w$ ill be nite. As for R, we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t ; r)=R_{c} \frac{R_{1}}{r^{2}}+\frac{R_{2}(t)}{r^{3}}+0 \quad \frac{1}{r^{4}} \quad: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the rem aining variables we im pose

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t ; r)=\frac{N_{0}(t)}{r^{2}}+O \quad \frac{1}{r^{3}} \quad ; \quad N^{r}=0 \quad \frac{1}{r} \quad ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}=0 \frac{1}{r} \quad ; \quad P_{R}=0 \quad \frac{1}{r} \quad: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

These fallo s are consistent $w$ ith the equations ofm otion and the constraint. They also guarantee that the boundary term at the cosm ological horizon vanishes.

O ne could now start w ith the quantization of (12), (13), and (14). H ow ever, this tums out to be too com plicated. O ne thus perform s rst a classical simpli cation of the constraints in order to render them $m$ anageable. This is achieved by introducing new variables and appropriate canonical transform ations [6, 7]. In this way, the variable $\quad F^{0}$ and its $m$ om entum $P$ are introduced as new canonical variables; this choice is also convenient because one thereby absorbs a certain boundary term [7]. U sing then the $m$ om entum constraints to elim inate $P$ and squaring the ensuing $H$ am ilton constraint, one arrives at the follow ing nal form of the constraints: $T$ he fill H am iltonian constraint reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=P^{2}+F P_{R}^{2} \quad \frac{2}{4 G^{2} F} \quad 0 ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the full m om entum constraint reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}=R{ }^{0} P_{R} \quad P^{0}+P^{0} \quad 0: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the form of the constraints suitable for quantization. Strictly speaking, re-de ning the constraints is part of the very de nition of quantization. $T$ here are $m$ any cases where the classical constraints are transform ed into a $m$ anageable form by canonical transform ations, see, for exam ple, [21].

W e note that because of the involyed squaring the new H am iltonian constraint (21) has acquired the dim ension ( m ass/length) ${ }^{2}$. W e em phasize that the only di erences com pared to the earlier papers dealing w ith non-positive
lie so far in the de nition of $F$ according to (4). W e also em phasize that through these $m$ anipulations the kinetic term in the $H$ am iltonian constraint is no longer hyperbolic, in contrast to the original form (12). M ore precisely, it is hyperbolic inside the black-hole horizon and outside the cosm ological horizon, and it is elliptic betw een the horizons 5 A sim ilar observation was $m$ ade for the $R$ eissner\{ $N$ ordstrom black hole already in [22]] T his gives further support for choosing a hypersurface that extends from one horizon to the other. W e nally note that the gravitational constant G occurs in (21) in the sam e way as in the usual H am iltonian constraint $w$ thout $m$ atter; this $m$ ay be of relevance for sem iclassical approxim ation schem es in the quantum theory [2].

The SdS spacetim e is static only in between the two horizons. It thus $m$ akes sense to talk about a K illing tim $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{T}$, only in th is region. In previous

[^2]papers it was show $n$ that $T=2 P$ and that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=a \quad d R \frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{1 \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{~F}} ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where a $1 \stackrel{p}{=} \overline{1+2 \mathrm{E}}$; the plus sign in (23) holds for an expanding, the $m$ inus sign for a collapsing dust cloud. (T his interpretation com es from the sim ilarity of the coordinates ( $; R$ ) w ith the $P$ ainleve $G$ ullstrand coordinates for a Schw arzschild black hole [24].) It is straightforw ard to show that the sam e relation also holds in our case, taking into account the new de nition for F . Strictly speaking, one can talk about a Killing time only in the absence of the dust cloud, where the spacetim e is of SdS form. H ow ever, as in the previous papers, one can approxim ately continue to intenpret T as a $K$ illing tim e, because we consider the dust only as a sm all perturbation to the SdS spactim e.

## 3 Q uantization

W e now tum to quantization. A pplying the form al D irac procedure, we replace the $m$ om enta by functional derivatives $w$ ith respect to the corresponding con guration variables. From the H am ittonian constraint (21) we get the W heeler\{D eW itt equation,
"

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{2} \sim^{2} \frac{2}{(r \mathcal{P}} & +\mathrm{F} \frac{2}{\mathrm{R}(r)^{2}}+\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{F}) \quad(0) \frac{}{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{r})} \\
& !\quad \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{F}) \quad(0)^{2} \quad \frac{2}{4 \mathrm{~F}} \quad[(\mathrm{r}) ; \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{r}) ;(\mathrm{r})]=0: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $A$ and $B$ are sm ooth functions of $R$ and $F$, which encapsulate the factor-ordering am biguities. The factor-ordering problem is a fundam ental problem that can only be dealt w th after a suitable regularization procedure has been invoked. A general treatm ent is beyond the scope of our paper. To im plem ent the factor-ordering ambiguities at a form al level, we have introduced form al factors of (0) into the equation. The reason is that the equation can then be put onto a lattice and the continuum lim it can be perform ed [7]. This corresponds to a particular regularization procedure that allows the presentation of the solutions below .

From (22) one gets the quantum m om entum constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{(r)}+R^{0} \overline{R(r)} \quad \int_{(r)}^{[(r) ; R(r) ;(r)]=0: ~} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

B oth equations, (24) and (25), can be put on the lattice and a special class of exact solutions can be found [7]. In the continuum lim it, this class of
solutions reads
(In the earlier papers, a $m$ ore general solution $w$ th an unspeci ed constant $\mathrm{b} w$ as used [7]; for sim plicity, we set here $\mathrm{b}=0$.) T hem om entum constraint (25) is solved by any state of the form $\exp i=(2 G \sim) d r W$, where $W$ is a sm ooth function of R and . The W heeler\{D eW itt equation, how ever, is only solved for a particular factor ordering. G eneralizing the treatm ent presented in [7] to $G 0$, one $m$ ust choose in (24) $B=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{F}{R^{2}} \quad \frac{2 R}{3} \quad \frac{2}{1} \frac{a^{2} F}{a^{2} F}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that does not only enter the de nition of $F$, but also the chosen factor ordering. E xact solutions for the quantum states can only be found for this special -dependent choige of A. This is certainly a weak point of our approach, because there should be a xed factor ordering at the fiundam ental level. N evertheless, this choice forA gives us the opportunity to have exact solutions at our disposal. M oreover, at the sem iclassical level of W K B states, the factor ordering is irrelevant, and the calculation of H aw king radiation below is an e ect that occurs at the $W$ K B level [25]. In fact, one can use a sem iclassical expansion schem e instead of the exact solutions to derive $H$ aw king radiation and quantum gravitational corrections [26].

## 4 H aw king radiation

Let us now tum to the calculation of H aw king radiation. The idea is to rem ain as close as possible to H aw king's original derivation in [27], but to transfer this idea to solutions of the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation and the m o$m$ entum constraints, which are full quantum gravitational states. Because the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation (24) contains second derivatives $w$ ith respect to the dust time (which is a consequence of squaring the H am iltonian at the classical level), we can de ne states of positive and negative frequency w ith respect to . This is crucial for our discussion. The situation is thus analogous to the $K$ lein $\{G$ ordon equation, where positive frequency is de ned by a minus sign in the phase, $\exp (\underset{E}{ } t=\sim$ ), and correspondingly negative frequency by a positive sign.

For the state w ith positive frequency we thus get from (26),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { + [ (r);R (r); (r)] } \overline{=}
\end{aligned}
$$

while for the state $w$ ith negative energy we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[(r) ; R(r) ;(r)]=} \\
\exp \frac{i_{V}}{2 G \sim} d r \quad a+Z_{R} d R \frac{p \bar{a}^{2} F}{F!} \quad: \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

In $H$ aw king's calculation in [27], the B ogolyubov coe cient , which gives the negative-frequency part of the tim e-developed original state $w$ ith positive frequency, plays a central role. Here, the role of the quantum $m$ atter eld in [27] is played by the dust. W e thus de ne H aw king radiation for the black-hole case as the overlap betw een an \outgoing dust state" w ith negative energy and an \ingoing dust state" w ith positive energy. Since the interpretation of these states is $m$ ade $w$ ith respect to an observer in the $S d S$ spacetim e using the K illing tim e T , we have to substitute the dust tim e by T according to (23). Taking the corresponding signs in (23) into account, we have then to calculate the overlap betw een

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{=} \exp \frac{i}{2 G}^{Z} d r T \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is actually independent of $R$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{c}^{+}=\exp \quad \frac{i}{Z}^{Z G \sim} d r \quad T \quad \frac{i}{G \sim}^{Z} d r \quad Z_{R} d R \frac{p \bar{a}^{2} F}{F} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index e(c) denotes \expanding" (\collapsing").
For the cosm ological horizon, the situation is just the opposite because the $H$ aw king radiation is now incom ing from the horizon. W e thus have to calculate the overlap betw een the \ingoing negative-energy state"

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\exp \frac{i}{2 G \sim}^{Z} d r \quad T+\frac{i}{G \sim}^{Z} d r \quad Z_{R} d R \frac{p \overline{1 a^{2} F}}{F} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the \outgoing positive-energy state"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{+}{e}=\exp \quad \frac{i}{2 G}^{Z} \quad d r \quad T \quad: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate the overlap between the quantum states (30) and (31), or betw een (32) and (33), we need a H ilbert space. As far as full quantum gravity is concemed, this is an open issue [2]. In the present case, how ever, a natural candidate is present [25, 8]. W e can choose the standard Schrodinger inner product w ith respect to constant tim $e$ and $R$ as the integration variable. The integration range is supposed to run from the black-hole horizon, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$, to the cosm ological horizon, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}} . \mathrm{T}$ his is further
$m$ otivated by the fact that our hypersurface lies betw een the horizons; as we have already noted, in th is region the kinetic term in (21) is elliptic.

A ddressing rst the cosm ological horizon, we have for the desired overlap betw een ingoing negative-energy and outgoing positive-energy states the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{c}{ }_{j}^{+i} \quad{ }_{R_{h}}^{+} d R R_{c} \overline{g_{R R}} \quad e_{e}^{+}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $g_{R R}$ is the $R R$-com ponent of the $D e W$ itt $m$ etric, as it can be read - (21) where its inverse is the prefactor of the term $P_{R}^{2}$, and we thus have $g_{R R}=F^{1} ?$

T here is, how ever, one further point to consider. Since we are interested in an observer far aw ay from the dust cloud, for whom the $K$ illing time $T$ according to (23) is the appropriate tim e coordinate, we have already rew ritten the states in term s of T . C onsequently, as in [25, 8], we have to evaluate also the com ponent $g_{R R} p_{p}$ in the new coordinate system ( $T ; R$ ) instead of $(; R)$. U sing ( 23 ), one gets ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{g_{R R}}=(\mathrm{aF})^{1}$. This is the expression to be used in the calculation of the B ogolyub ov coe cient.

For the concrete calculation we shall w rite the full states as a product of single-shell states where the radial variable $r$ is assum ed to consist of discrete points separated by a distance. ( $T$ he continuum lim it is obtained for ! 0.) As in [8], the Bogolyubov coe cient is calculated for each shell separately. In the discrete case, we replace by the dim ensionless variable 2! and indicate the dependence on ! by an index. (T he factor 2 is m otivated by the fact that $=2 \mathrm{M}{ }^{0}$.) We om it the shell index and w rite the corresponding wave functions as ! ( T ; ). From (34) we then de ne to read

In the earlier papers [8] and [9] w e have chosen a particular norm alization for , which wasm otivated by the norm alization of states for the $K$ lein $\{G$ ordon equation. Since the full norm alization of solutions to the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation is anyw ay not know $n$, we shall leave the question of nom alization open here and de ne directly by (35).

Em ploying now the one-shellcontributions of (32) and (33) and inserting

[^3]them into (35), one gets the follow ing expression:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
!!0= & p \frac{i T}{1+2 E} \exp \frac{i T}{G \sim}\left[!!^{0}\right] \\
& Z_{R_{c}} d R F^{1} \exp \frac{2 i!}{G \sim} Z_{R} d R \frac{p \frac{a^{2} F}{F}}{} \quad \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

W e shall rst calculate the contribution to !! from the cosm ological horizon. This willbe achieved through an appropriate near-horizon approxim ation, $m$ aking the standard assum ption that the integral is dom inated by the close vicinity of the horizon. We start by writing $F^{1}$ in term $s$ of partial fractions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~F}} & =\frac{1}{1 \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\mathrm{R}^{2}}{3}} \\
& =\mathrm{R} \frac{\mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}+\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}}+\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow we introduce the variables by ls $=R_{c} \quad R$ so that near the cosm ological horizon jंj 1. Here, $1 \quad 1=$ -
$F$ irst we consider the term
$Z_{R} \underset{d R}{ } \frac{P \overline{1} a^{2} F}{F}=Z^{2}$
s $\quad$ lds $\left(R_{C}\right.$
ls) $\frac{A}{l s}+\frac{B}{R_{c} \quad R_{h} \quad l s}+\frac{C}{R_{c} \quad R_{n} \quad l s}$


A fter som e calculation, neglecting term $s w$ th a positive pow er in $s$, we get:
$w$ ith $=2 A^{2} \mathbb{R}_{C}+\frac{2 A B R_{c}^{2} 1}{R_{c} R_{h}}+\frac{2 A C R_{c}^{2} 1}{R_{c} R_{n}} \quad d^{2} A \mathbb{R}_{c}$. Expanding (39) for jंj yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{s} \quad r \quad \text { const } \frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s^{2}} A^{2} R_{C}^{2} \\
& Z_{s} d s \frac{1}{s} A R_{c} \frac{s}{2 A R_{c}}+O\left(s^{2}\right) \\
& A R_{C} \ln \dot{j} j \frac{s}{2 A R_{C}}: \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting this in our integral (36) and using

$$
F^{1} \frac{A R}{R R_{c}} \quad \frac{A R_{c}}{l s} ;
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& !!0=\frac{P}{1+2 E} \exp \quad \frac{T}{G \sim}(!+!9) A R_{C} \\
& Z_{\left(R_{c} R_{h}\right)=1}^{d S S}{ }^{2 i!A R{ }_{c}=G \sim 1} \exp \frac{i}{A R_{C}} \frac{!s}{G \sim}: \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

To evaluate this integral, we use the form ula 28]

$$
\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x x^{1} e^{(p+i q) x}=()\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{=2} e^{i \arctan (q=p)} ;
$$

which is, in particular, applicable to the case $\mathrm{p}=0$ and $0<\operatorname{Re}<1$. (W e insert a sm all positive value for Re , which we let go to zero after the integration.) Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& !!0=P \overline{1+2 \mathrm{E}} \exp \quad \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{G} \sim}\left(!+!^{0}\right) \quad A R_{C} \\
& \frac{2 i!A R_{C}}{G \sim} \quad \frac{!}{G \sim A R_{c}} e^{2 i!A_{c}=G \sim}{ }^{!A R{ }_{c}=G \sim} \text { : } \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing

$$
(\text { ìy } \quad(\dot{y} y)=\overline{y \sinh y}
$$

(w ith realy), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
j!!0^{\Re}=\frac{G \sim A R_{C}(1+2 E)}{!} \frac{1}{e^{4!}!R_{c}=G \sim} 1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the absolute square of . (In spite of the $m$ inus sign, this is positive because A $<0$, see below .) N ext we want to calculate the particle creation rate, which m eans we have ${ }_{\mathrm{R}}$ evaluate the expression k ik ik . Here this corresponds to the integral ${ }_{0}^{1} d!{ }_{j!!!j^{\prime}}$. This integral diverges and hence needs to be suitably regulated. W e introduce a decay factor $e^{b!}{ }^{0}$ and carry out the integration. For the \in" particle num ber operator we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hin} \hat{F} \hat{\mathrm{~V}}_{\text {in }} \text { ïn } i=\frac{G \sim A R_{C}(1+2 E)}{b!} \frac{1}{e^{4!A R ~ c=G \sim} 1}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing ! by G , where is the energy of a shell, we arrive at the nal result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hin } \hat{\hat{N}_{\text {in }}} \text { 前i }=\frac{A R_{c^{\sim}}(1+2 E)}{b} \frac{1}{e^{4 A R c}=\sim} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we read o

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{\sim}{4 \mathrm{AR}_{\mathrm{C}}}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow can one interpret the prefactor in (45)? It should give the greybody factor, but its interpretation is com plicated by the fact that the full nor$m$ alization of our wave functionals is open. $W$ e thus do not address it any further.

U sing (37), one can easily determ ine

$$
A=\frac{3}{\left(R_{c} \quad R_{h}\right)\left(R_{c} \quad R_{n}\right)}
$$

and thus nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{B} T_{C}=\frac{\sim\left(R_{c} R_{h}\right)\left(R_{c} R_{n}\right)}{12 R_{c}}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result coincides w ith Equation (2.15b) in [14].
Interestingly, our calculation yields a P lanck spectrum w ith a tem perature that would be m easured by a ctitious observer with $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T} T}=1 . \mathrm{W}$ hile such an observer could be easily realized in the Schw arzschild case (he would be situated at spatial in nity), this is not possible here. For the SdS case, the observer should be located betw een the horizons. Follow ing [29], we shall consider the preferred observer who is stationary at a position $R_{\circ}$ w here the black-hole attraction and the cosm ologicalexpansion canceleach other; such an observer is thus unaccelerated and $m$ oves on a geodesic. W e hav $\varepsilon^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\circ}=\underline{3 G M}^{1=3} ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the m odi ed H aw king tem perature

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{B} T_{C}=\frac{1}{1\left(9 G^{2} M^{2}\right)^{1=3}} \frac{\sim\left(R_{c} R_{h}\right)\left(R_{c} R_{n}\right)}{12 R_{c}}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his also agrees $w$ ith the corresp onding expression for the tem perature given in Equation (17) in [15] where the H aw king tem perature is caloulated from a tunnelling picture.

A com pletely analogous calculation yields the H aw king tem perature for the black-hole horizon. In fact, the corresponding overlap between e and ${ }_{c}^{+}$leads to the sam e expression (35) for. In contrast to above, how ever, the near-horizon approxim ation is now perform ed for the black-hole horizon. N ow, the coe cient B in (37) enters. It is given by

$$
B=\frac{3}{\left(R_{h} \quad R_{c}\right)\left(R_{h} R_{n}\right)} ;
$$

[^4]which follow s from the expression for A by interchanging $R_{c}$ and $R_{h}$. O ne thereby arrives at the expression
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{B} T_{h}=\frac{\sim\left(R_{c} R_{h}\right)\left(R_{h} R_{n}\right)}{12 R_{h}} ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

which is equal to Eq. (2.15a) in [14]. This expression together with (47) are the $m$ ain results of our paper, because they have been derived from candidates for exact quantum gravitational states. T he tem perature (50) w ill be m odi ed by the sam e factor as in 49) if an observer is considered who follow s the geodesic $m$ otion at $R_{\circ}$.
$T$ he tw o tem peratures (47) and (50) arise from the sam e expression (35) by two di erent near-horizon approxim ations. A n exact evaluation of 35) would yield the sum of both tem peratures plus interference term s betw een the two types of $H$ aw king radiation. In the extrem al case of the $N$ ariai $m$ etric, both tem peratures are zero. N evertheless, an exact evaluation of (35) could yield a sm all non-zero contribution which would be a genuine quantum gravitationale ect.

To sum m arize, we have shown that solutions to the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation and the di eom onphism constraints contain in form ation about the tw o H aw king tem peratures from the black-hole and the cosm ological horizon. An interesting open question is the calculation of the entropy for the Schw arzschild\{de Sitter case through a counting of $m$ icrostates. Such a derivation w as presented for the B T Z black hole [30] and the A dS black hole [B1]. W hether it is also possible here is left for future publications.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ ew address from June 1, 2009: Institute for T heoretical P hysics, U trecht U niversity, Leuven laan 4, 3584 CE U trecht, $T$ he $N$ etherlands
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ art of the w ork w as com pleted before A ugust 2008 at T ata Institute of Fundam ental R esearch, H om iB habha R oad, M um bai, 400005, India.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See, for exam ple, [13] for a detailed discussion of this $m$ etric.
    ${ }^{4}$ It $m$ ust be assum ed here that the black-hole horizon is sm aller than the cosm ological horizon, $R_{h}<R_{c}$, which means that ${ }^{1}<1$. This is well satis ed in our Universe: Inserting for $M$ the $m$ ass of the superm assive black hole in the quasar O J287, which is $\begin{array}{lll}\text { presently the biggest know } n \text { superm assive black hole, w ith } \mathrm{M} & 1: 8 \quad 10^{10} \mathrm{M} \text { [16], and }\end{array}$ for the value follow ing from $0: 726$ [10], we get , $1 \quad 9 \quad 10^{11}$. The value ' $=1$ w ould correspond to the $N$ ariaim etric for which both areas are the sam e but are separated by a nite proper distance. (See [17] for a discussion of the $N$ ariaim etric and a reprint of N ariai's original papers.)

[^2]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{O}$ ne m ight think that this change of sign is related to the fact that the $m$ etric in the interior of the horizon can becom e \cosm ological", as it happens e.g. for the Schw arzschild black hole where the inside $m$ etric is of the $K$ antow ski\{Sachs form. This is, how ever, not the case. The equation $F=0$ is a condition for an apparent horizon, and the sign change happens also for the non-static case where the interior is not \cosm ological" .
    ${ }^{6}$ It is interesting to note that the E instein equations for regular axisym $m$ etric and stationary black holes surrounded by $m$ atter are also elliptic in the exterior and hyperbolic in the interior of the hole [23].

[^3]:    ${ }^{7} N$ ote that this $m$ easure is not the $m$ easure that would render the $W$ heeler\{D eW itt equation (24) $w$ ith the factor ordering (27) H em itean (99]. O ur choige of the $m$ easure in (34) would $m$ ake the $R$-part of (24) H erm itean if the Laplace\{B eltram ifactor ordering were used.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ For the above exam ple of the superm assive black hole in the quasar O J287, one gets R 。 260 kpc , which corresponds to roughly ve tim es our distance to the Large M agellanic C loud!

