

The fast Newtonian limit for perfect fluids

Todd A. Oliynyk

School of Mathematical Sciences
 Monash University, VIC 3800
 Australia

todd.olynyk@monash.edu.au

Abstract

We prove the existence of a large class of dynamical solutions to the Einstein-Euler equations for which the fluid density and spatial three-velocity converge to a solution of the Poisson-Euler equations of Newtonian gravity. The results presented here generalize those of [10] to allow for a larger class of initial data. As in [10], the proof is based on a non-local symmetric hyperbolic formulation of the Einstein-Euler equations which contain a singular parameter $\epsilon = v_T/c$ with v_T a characteristic speed associated to the fluid and c the speed of light. Energy and dispersive estimates on weighted Sobolev spaces are the main technical tools used to analyze the solutions in the singular limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$.

1 Introduction

The Einstein-Euler equations, which govern a gravitating perfect fluid, are given by

$$G^{ij} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i T^{ij} = 0,$$

where

$$T^{ij} = (\rho + c^{-2}p)v^i v^j + pg^{ij},$$

with ρ the fluid density, p the fluid pressure, v^i the fluid four-velocity normalized by $v^i v_i = -c^2$, c the speed of light, and G the Newtonian gravitational constant. Defining

$$\epsilon = \frac{v_T}{c},$$

where v_T is a typical speed associated with the fluid, the Einstein-Euler

equations, upon suitable rescaling [10], can be written in the form

$$(1) \quad G^{ij} = 2\epsilon^4 T^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i T^{ij} = 0,$$

where

$$T^{ij} = (\rho + \epsilon^2 p) v^i v^j + p g^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad v^i v_i = -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}.$$

In this formulation, the fluid four-velocity v^i , the fluid density ρ , the fluid pressure p , the metric g_{ij} , and the coordinates (x^i) $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are dimensionless. By assumption, the (x^i) are global Cartesian coordinates on spacetime $M \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$, where the (x^I) ($I = 1, 2, 3$) are spatial coordinates that cover \mathbb{R}^3 , and $t = x^4/v_T$ is a *Newtonian time coordinate* that covers the interval $[0, T)$. By a choice of units, we set $v_T = 1$.

The Newtonian limit for the Einstein-Euler system refers to the limit of solutions of the Einstein-Euler systems in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$. In this limit, one expects that under reasonable assumptions solutions of the Einstein-Euler system should converge to a solution of the Poisson-Euler equations of Newtonian gravity:

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \partial_t \tilde{\rho} + \partial_I (\tilde{\rho} \tilde{w}^I) = 0 & (\partial_I &:= \partial_{x^I}), \\ (3) \quad & \tilde{\rho} (\partial_t \tilde{w}^J + \tilde{w}^I \partial_I \tilde{w}^J) = -(\tilde{\rho} \partial^J \tilde{\Phi} + \partial^J \tilde{p}) & (\partial^J &:= \delta^{JI} \partial_I), \\ (4) \quad & \Delta \tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\rho} & (\Delta &:= \delta^{IJ} \partial_I \partial_J), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$, \tilde{p} , and \tilde{w}^J are the fluid density, pressure, and three-velocity, respectively.

The difficulty of analyzing the Newtonian limit arises from the fact that the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$ is singular. The first general rigorous result on the Newtonian limit without any symmetry assumptions is [13]. There, it is shown that there exists a wide class of solutions to the Einstein equations coupled to Vlasov matter that have a well defined Newtonian limit as $\epsilon \searrow 0$. This work is based on an elliptic-hyperbolic formulation of the Einstein-Vlasov equations in a maximal slicing gauge. In [10], we used a different approach to prove existence of a large class of non-stationary solutions to the Einstein-Euler equations which have a Newtonian limit.

The main aim of this article is to establish the existence of a Newtonian limit for solutions to the Einstein-Euler equations under weaker conditions on the initial data as compared to either [10] or [13]. The purpose for this is twofold. First, it is of both theoretical and practical interest to understand the most general situations possible for which Newtonian gravity provides an acceptable approximation to full Einstein theory. Second, the techniques developed here can be used to improve the results of [11] on the existence of post-Newtonian expansions. In [11], it was shown that there exists a class of

solutions to the Einstein-Euler equations that have a first post-Newtonian expansion. Using the methods here, this can be improved to the second post-Newtonian order. We will report on this in a separate article.

In this article, we follow the approach of [10] to analyze the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$ of solutions to the Einstein-Euler equations. This requires that we replace the metric g_{ij} and fluid velocity v^i with new variables that are compatible with the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$. The new gravitational variable is a density \bar{u}^{ij} defined via the formula

$$(5) \quad g^{ij} = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{-\det(Q)}} Q^{ij}$$

where

$$(6) \quad Q^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 4\bar{u}^{IJ} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + 4\epsilon^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{u}^{I4} \\ \bar{u}^{J4} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 4\epsilon^4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{u}^{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From these formulas, it not difficult to see that the density \bar{u}^{ij} is equivalent to the metric g_{ij} for $\epsilon > 0$, and is well defined at $\epsilon = 0$. For the fluid, a new velocity variable w^i is defined by

$$(7) \quad v^I = w^I \quad \text{and} \quad w^4 = \frac{v^4 - 1}{\epsilon}.$$

For technical reasons, we assume an isentropic equation of state

$$(8) \quad p = K \rho^{(n+1)/n}$$

for the fluid where $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This allows us to use a technique of Makino [9] to regularize the fluid equations by the use of the fluid density variable α defined by

$$(9) \quad \rho = \frac{1}{(4Kn(n+1))^n} \alpha^{2n}.$$

The resulting system can be put into a symmetric hyperbolic system that is regular across the fluid-vacuum interface. In this way, it is possible to construct solutions to the Einstein-Euler equations that represent compact gravitating fluid bodies (i.e. stars) both in the Newtonian and relativistic setting [9, 12].

The main point of introducing the gravitational-matter variables $\{\bar{u}^{ij}, w^i, \alpha\}$ is that in a harmonic gauge the Einstein-Euler equations can be cast into a singular (non-local) symmetric hyperbolic system of the form

$$(10) \quad b^0(\epsilon W) \partial_t W = \frac{1}{\epsilon} c^I \partial_I W + b^I(\epsilon, W) \partial_I W + F(\epsilon, W).$$

As shown in [10], for appropriately chosen initial data this form is suitable to derive ϵ -independent energy estimates that can be use to analyze the

behavior of the solutions as $\epsilon \searrow 0$, and extract a Newtonian limit. We note that singular hyperbolic systems of the form (10) have been extensively studied [3, 6, 7, 14, 15], but, as discussed in [10], are not directly applicable to the Einstein-Euler equations due to initial data that does not lie in the standard Sobolev space $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

For general initial data, the ϵ -independent energy estimates from [10] are not enough to control the solution in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$. In this paper, we show that when the energy estimates are used in conjunction with dispersive estimates for the wave equation a larger class of initial data can be chosen so that the resulting solutions still have a Newtonian limit. However, unlike the situation in [10], the gravitational variables do not converge to an ϵ -independent limit. Instead, they converge to a solution of a singular ϵ -dependent wave equation. Following the terminology used in other singular hyperbolic problems [15], we refer to this type of limit as a fast limit. We note that dispersive wave estimates have been used previously in a similar fashion to analyze the (singular) incompressible limit for the Euler equations [5, 16].

The precise statement of the existence of a Newtonian limit is contained in the following Theorem which is the main result of this article. A proof can be found in section 6. A definition of the weighted spaces $H_{\delta,\epsilon}^k$ ($H_\delta^k := H_{\delta,1}^k$) can be found in Appendix A of [10]. We also define $X_{T,s,k,\delta} := \cap_{\ell=0}^{s+1} C^\ell([0, T], H_\delta^{k-\ell})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $-1 < \delta < -1/2$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $R > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3+s}$, $\alpha_o, w_o^I \in H_{\delta-1}^k$, $\text{supp } \alpha_o \subset B_R$, $\mathfrak{z}^{IJ} \in H_\delta^{k+1}$, $\mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} \in H_{\delta-1}^k$. Then there exists a $T > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, and maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) &: \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \bar{u}^{ij}(0), \partial_I \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t), \partial_t \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) \in X_{T,s,k,\delta-1} \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \\ \tilde{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) &: \tilde{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \bar{u}^{ij}(0), \partial_I \tilde{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t), \partial_t \tilde{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) \in X_{T,s,k,\delta-1} \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \\ \rho_\epsilon(t), w_\epsilon^i(t) &\in X_{T,s,k,\delta-1} \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \\ \tilde{\rho}(t), \tilde{w}^I(t) &\in X_{T,s,k,\delta-1}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}(t) \in X_{T,s,k+2,\delta} \quad \text{with} \quad \partial_t \tilde{\Phi}(t) \in X_{T,s,k+1,\delta-1}, \end{aligned}$$

such that

- (i) the triple $\{\bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}(x, t), \rho_\epsilon(x, t), w_\epsilon^i(x, t)\}$ determines a solution to the Einstein-Euler equations (1) in the harmonic gauge for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ on the spacetime region $(x^I, t = x^4) \in D = \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$ with ADM mass given by

$$m_{\text{ADM}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\rho}(x, 0) dx^3 + O(\epsilon^2),$$

- (ii) $\{\tilde{\rho}(x, t), \tilde{w}^I(x, t), \tilde{\Phi}(x, t)\}$ is a solution to the Poisson-Euler equations (2)-(4) with initial data $\tilde{\rho}|_{t=0} = (4Kn(n+1))^{-1}\alpha_o^{2n}$, $\tilde{w}^I|_{t=0} = w_o^I$,
- (iii) $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon(x, t)$ is a solution to the wave equation

$$\epsilon^2 \partial_t^2 \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} - \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = -\delta_4^i \delta_4^j \tilde{\rho} + \epsilon^2 \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_t^2 \tilde{\Phi},$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}|_{t=0} &= \delta_I^i \delta_J^j \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} - 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_I \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} \delta_4^{(i} \delta_J^{j)} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j (\tilde{\Phi}|_{t=0} + \Delta^{-1} \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}), \\ \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\delta_I^i \delta_J^j \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} - 2\partial_I \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} \delta_4^{(i} \delta_J^{j)} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \Delta^{-1} \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_t \tilde{\Phi}|_{t=0}, \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\|\rho_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^I(t) - \tilde{w}^I(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^4(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}\|_{L^6} + \|\partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \partial_I \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \epsilon \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} &\lesssim \epsilon \\ \text{for all } (t, \epsilon) &\in [0, T) \times (0, \epsilon_0]. \end{aligned}$$

From the above theorem, the interpretation of the limiting solution is clear. The $\{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{w}^I\}$ satisfies the standard Poisson-Euler equations of Newtonian gravity with the obvious interpretation as the fluid density and three-velocity, while the $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}$ represent high frequency gravitational radiation propagating on a flat background with the fluid density and Newtonian potential acting as source terms.

2 Reduced Einstein Equations

To aid in deriving the appropriate symmetric hyperbolic system for the gravitational variables, we temporarily introduce a new set of coordinates related to old ones by the simple rescaling

$$\bar{x}^J = x^J, \quad \bar{x}^4 = x^4/\epsilon,$$

and let

$$\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad \bar{\partial}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^i}.$$

In the new coordinates, the metric \bar{g}_{ij} and its inverse \bar{g}^{ij} are given by

$$(11) \quad (\bar{g}_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{IJ} & \epsilon g_{I4} \\ \epsilon g_{4J} & \epsilon^2 g_{44} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{g}^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} g^{IJ} & \epsilon^{-1} g^{I4} \\ \epsilon^{-1} g^{4J} & \epsilon^{-2} g^{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we consider the metric density

$$(12) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij} = \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ij} \quad \text{where} \quad |\bar{g}| = -\det(\bar{g}_{ij}).$$

We note that the metric \bar{g}^{ij} is related to the density $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij}$ by the following formula

$$(13) \quad \bar{g}^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij} \quad \text{where} \quad |\bar{g}| = -\det \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij},$$

and hence

$$(14) \quad (g^{ij}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{IJ} & \epsilon \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{I4} \\ \epsilon \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{4J} & \epsilon^2 \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain a gravitational variable that is regular and non-trivial in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$, we define

$$(15) \quad \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} := \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} (\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij} - \eta^{ij})$$

where

$$(\eta^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{3 \times 3} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Minkowski metric density. As stated in the introduction, for $\epsilon > 0$, the metric g_{ij} can be recovered from the density $\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij}$ via the formulas (5)-(6). In the (\bar{x}^i) coordinate system, the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$(16) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \epsilon^2 (\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{km} (2\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{il} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{jp} - \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{ij} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{lp}) \bar{\partial}_m \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell p} + 2(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{lp} \delta_{(i}^k \bar{\partial}_{j)} \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell p} - 2\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\ell(i} \bar{\partial}_{j)} \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{k\ell})).$$

These are related to the Christoffel symbols in the (x^i) coordinate system as follows

$$(17) \quad \Gamma_{44}^A = \epsilon^{-2} \bar{\Gamma}_{44}^A, \quad \Gamma_{44}^4 = \epsilon^{-1} \bar{\Gamma}_{44}^4, \quad \Gamma_{A4}^4 = \bar{\Gamma}_{A4}^4,$$

$$(18) \quad \Gamma_{AB}^4 = \epsilon \Gamma_{AB}^4, \quad \Gamma_{B4}^A = \epsilon^{-1} \bar{\Gamma}_{B4}^A \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{BC}^A = \bar{\Gamma}_{BC}^A.$$

Using (16), a straightforward calculation shows that the Einstein tensor \bar{G}^{ij} is given in terms of the density $\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij}$ by

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{G}^{ij} := \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} |\bar{g}| \bar{G}^{ij} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{k\ell} \bar{\partial}_{k\ell}^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} + \epsilon^2 (A^{ij} + B^{ij} + C^{ij}) + D^{ij}$$

where

$$(20) \quad |\bar{g}| = -\det(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij}),$$

$$(21) \quad A^{ij} = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{k\ell}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{mn} - \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{km}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\ell n}\right)(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ip}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{jq} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{pq})\bar{\partial}_p \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{k\ell} \bar{\partial}_q \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{mn},$$

$$(22) \quad B^{ij} = 4\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{k\ell} \left(2\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{n(i} \bar{\partial}_m \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{j)\ell} \bar{\partial}_n \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{km} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij} \bar{\partial}_m \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{kn} \bar{\partial}_n \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{m\ell} - \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{mn} \bar{\partial}_m \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ik} \bar{\partial}_n \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{j\ell}\right),$$

$$(23) \quad C^{ij} = 4(\bar{\partial}_k \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} \bar{\partial}_\ell \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{k\ell} - \bar{\partial}_k \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{i\ell} \bar{\partial}_\ell \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{jk}),$$

$$(24) \quad D^{ij} := \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{ij} \bar{\partial}_{k\ell}^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{k\ell} - 2\bar{\partial}_{k\ell}^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{k(i} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{j)\ell}.$$

To fix the gauge, we assume that

$$(25) \quad \bar{\partial}_i \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} = 0.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, this is equivalent to the harmonic gauge

$$(26) \quad \partial_i \mathbf{g}^{ij} = \partial_i (\sqrt{-\det(g_{k\ell})} g^{ij}) = 0.$$

Setting

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{G}_R^{ij} := \mathcal{G}^{ij} - D^{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{k\ell} \bar{\partial}_{k\ell}^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} + \epsilon^2 (A^{ij} + B^{ij} + C^{ij})$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}^{ij} := \epsilon^2 |\bar{g}| \bar{T}^{ij} = |\bar{g}| \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 T^{IJ} & \epsilon^1 T^{I4} \\ \epsilon^1 T^{4J} & T^{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

the Einstein equations $G^{ij} = 2\epsilon^4 T^{ij}$ in the gauge (25) become

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{G}_R^{ij} = \mathcal{T}^{ij}.$$

We will refer to these as the *reduced Einstein equations*.

To write the reduced Einstein equations in first order form, we introduce the variables

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_k^{ij} := \bar{\partial}_k \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} = \begin{cases} \partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} & \text{if } k = I \\ \epsilon \partial_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} & \text{if } k = 4 \end{cases}.$$

The reduced Einstein equations then become

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{44} \bar{\partial}_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij} &= 2\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{4I} \bar{\partial}_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij} + \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} \bar{\partial}_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_J^{ij} + \epsilon^2 (A^{ij} + B^{ij} + C^{ij}) - \mathcal{T}^{ij}, \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} \bar{\partial}_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}_J^{ij} &= \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} \bar{\partial}_J \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij}, \\ \bar{\partial}_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} &= \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{44} \partial_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij} &= \frac{2}{\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{4I} \partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} \partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_J^{ij} + \epsilon (A^{ij} + B^{ij} + C^{ij}) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{T}^{ij}, \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} \partial_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}_J^{ij} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} \partial_J \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij}, \\ \partial_4 \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_4^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define

$$(29) \quad \mathbf{u}^{ij} := \epsilon \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij}, \quad \mathbf{u}_k^{ij} := \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k^{ij},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{ (r^{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_{4 \times 4} \mid \det(\eta^{ij} + 4r^{ij}) > 0 \}.$$

Then using vector notation

$$\mathbf{u}^{ij} := (\mathbf{u}_4^{ij}, \mathbf{u}_J^{ij}, \mathbf{u}^{ij})^T,$$

the reduced Einstein equations take the form

$$(30) \quad A^4(\epsilon \mathbf{u}) \partial_4 \mathbf{u}^{ij} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} C^I \partial_I \mathbf{u}^{ij} + A^I(\mathbf{u}) \partial_I \mathbf{u}^{ij} + \bar{F}^{ij}(\epsilon, \mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\mathcal{T}^{ij}, 0, 0)^T,$$

where

$$(31) \quad A^4(\epsilon \mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 4\epsilon \mathbf{u}^{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{IJ} + 4\epsilon \mathbf{u}^{IJ} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(32) \quad C^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^{IJ} & 0 \\ \delta^{IJ} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(33) \quad A^I(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 8\mathbf{u}^{4I} & 4\mathbf{u}^{IJ} & 0 \\ 4\mathbf{u}^{IJ} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(34) \quad \bar{F}_0^{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = (0, 0, \mathbf{u}_4^{ij})^T,$$

and

$$(35) \quad \bar{F}^{ij}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}) = (A^{ij} + B^{ij} + C^{ij}, 0, 0)^T.$$

Here we are using the notation

$$\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_k = (\mathbf{u}_k^{ij}).$$

The stress-energy tensor is given in terms of the \mathbf{u} variable by

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} (T^{ij}) = & \rho(v^i v^j) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}} \begin{pmatrix} 4\mathbf{u}^{IJ} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \epsilon^2 \left(p(v^i v^j) + \frac{p}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4\mathbf{u}^{I4} \\ 4\mathbf{u}^{4J} & -1 + 4\epsilon \mathbf{u}^{44} \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which we can write as

$$(37) \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\mathcal{T}^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1}\rho \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{S}^{ij}$$

where

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}^{ij}) = & \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\bar{g}| v^I v^4 \\ |\bar{g}| v^J v^4 & \epsilon^{-1} [(|\bar{g}| - 1)(v^4)^2 + ((v^4)^2 - 1)] \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \epsilon |\bar{g}| \begin{pmatrix} (\rho + \epsilon^2 p) v^I v^J + |\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p (\delta^{IJ} + 4\epsilon \mathbf{u}^{IJ}) & \epsilon p v^I v^4 + 4\epsilon |\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p \mathbf{u}^{I4} \\ \epsilon p v^J v^4 + 4\epsilon |\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p \mathbf{u}^{4J} & p(v^4)^2 + |\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p (-1 + 4\epsilon \mathbf{u}^{44}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting (see (7))

$$(39) \quad \mathbf{w} = (\alpha, w^i)^T,$$

we can decompose \mathcal{S}^{ij} as

$$(40) \quad \mathcal{S}^{ij} = \mathcal{S}_0^{ij} + \epsilon \mathcal{S}_1^{ij},$$

where

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{S}_0^{ij}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\bar{g}|w^I(1 + \epsilon w^4) \\ |\bar{g}|w^J(1 + \epsilon w^4) & \epsilon^{-1}[(|\bar{g}| - 1)(1 + \epsilon w^4)^2 + ((1 + \epsilon w^4)^2 - 1)] \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{S}_1^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) = |\bar{g}| \begin{pmatrix} \rho w^I w^J + p \epsilon w^I \epsilon w^J + |\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p \bar{\mathbf{g}}^{IJ} & p \epsilon w^I(1 + \epsilon w^4) + 4|\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p \epsilon \mathbf{u}^{I4} \\ p \epsilon w^J(1 + \epsilon w^4) + 4|\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p \epsilon \mathbf{u}^{J4} & p(1 + \epsilon w^4)^2 + |\bar{g}|^{-1/2} p(-1 + 4\epsilon \mathbf{u}^{44}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 Regularized Euler equations

In the coordinates (\bar{x}^i) , the Euler equations are given by

$$(43) \quad \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{T}^{ij} = 0$$

where $\bar{T}^{ij} = (\rho + \epsilon^2 p) \bar{v}^i \bar{v}^j + p \bar{g}^{ij}$ and the fluid velocity \bar{v}^i is normalized according to

$$(44) \quad \bar{v}_i \bar{v}^i = -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}.$$

To write (43) as a symmetric hyperbolic system, we follow [2] and differentiate (44) to get

$$(45) \quad \bar{v}_i \bar{\nabla}_j \bar{v}^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}^j \bar{v}_i \bar{\nabla}_j \bar{v}^i = 0.$$

Writing out (43) explicitly, we have

$$(46) \quad (\bar{\partial}_i \rho + \epsilon^2 \bar{\partial}_i p) \bar{v}^i \bar{v}^j + (\rho + \epsilon^2 p) (\bar{v}^j \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{v}^i + \bar{v}^i \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{v}^j) + \bar{g}^{ij} \bar{\partial}_i p = 0.$$

The operator

$$L_i^j = \delta_i^j + \epsilon^2 \bar{v}^j \bar{v}_i$$

projects into the subspace orthogonal to the fluid velocity \bar{v}^i , i.e. $L_i^j L_k^i = L_k^j$ and $L_i^j \bar{v}^i = 0$. Using L_k^j to project the Euler equations (46) into components parallel and orthogonal to \bar{v}^i yields, after using the relations (44)-(45), the following system

$$(47) \quad \bar{v}^i \bar{\partial}_i \rho + (\rho + \epsilon^2 p) L_j^i \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{v}^j = 0,$$

$$(48) \quad M_{ij} \bar{v}^k \bar{\nabla}_k \bar{v}^j + \frac{1}{\rho + \epsilon^2 p} L_j^i \bar{\partial}_i p = 0,$$

where

$$M_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} + 2\epsilon^2 \bar{v}_i \bar{v}_j.$$

As discussed in the introduction, we use a Makino density variable α (see (9)) to regularize the fluid equations in regions where the density and pressure vanish. After multiplying (47) by the square of the function

$$h(\epsilon\alpha) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n(n+1)}(\epsilon\alpha)^2\right),$$

a short calculation shows that the Makino density α and the fluid four-velocity \bar{v}^i satisfy

$$(49) \quad h^2 \bar{v}^i \bar{\partial}_i \alpha + q L_j^i \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{v}^j = 0,$$

$$(50) \quad M_{ij} \bar{v}^k \bar{\nabla}_k \bar{v}^j + q L_i^j \bar{\partial}_j \alpha = 0,$$

where

$$s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\rho} = \frac{1}{4n^2} \alpha^2$$

is the square of the speed of sound, and

$$q = \frac{1}{2nh(\epsilon\alpha)} \alpha.$$

Instead of solving (49)-(50), we consider the following modified system

$$(51) \quad h^2 \bar{v}^i \bar{\partial}_i \alpha + q L_j^i \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{v}^j = 0,$$

$$(52) \quad M_{ij} \bar{v}^k \bar{\nabla}_k \bar{v}^j + q L_i^j \bar{\partial}_j \alpha + (\chi_{4\bar{R}} - 1) M_{ij} \bar{\Gamma}_{k\ell}^j \bar{v}^k \bar{v}^\ell = 0,$$

Here we are using

$$\chi_\lambda(x) := \chi(x/\lambda) \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a smooth cutoff function satisfying $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, $\chi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$, and $0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Since $w^I = \bar{v}^I$ and $w^4 = \bar{v}^4 - 1/\epsilon$, we can write (51) and (52) as

$$(53) \quad a^4 \partial_4 \mathbf{w} = a^I \partial_I \mathbf{w} + b$$

where

$$(54) \quad a^4 = \begin{pmatrix} h^2(1 + \epsilon w^4) & \epsilon q L_j^4 \\ \epsilon q L_i^4 & M_{ij}(1 + \epsilon w^4) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(55) \quad a^I = \begin{pmatrix} -h^2 w^I & -q L_j^I \\ -q L_i^I & -M_{ij} w^I \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(56) \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} -q L_j^i \bar{\Gamma}_{i\ell}^j \bar{v}^\ell \\ -\chi_{4\bar{R}} M_{ij} \bar{\Gamma}_{k\ell}^j \bar{v}^k \bar{v}^\ell \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (13), (15), (29), and (40), we find that

$$(57) \quad \bar{g}_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + f_{ij}(\epsilon \mathbf{u})$$

where the $f_{ij}(y)$ are analytic and satisfy $f_{ij}(y) = O(|y|)$ as $y \rightarrow 0$, while (16) shows that

$$(58) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \epsilon [\eta^{km} (2\eta_{i\ell}\eta_{jp} - \eta_{ij}\eta_{\ell p}) \epsilon \mathbf{u}_m^{lp} + 2(\eta_{\ell p}\delta_{(i}^k \epsilon \mathbf{u}_{j)}^{\ell p} - 2\eta_{\ell(i} \epsilon \mathbf{u}_{j)}^{k\ell})] + \epsilon f_{ij}^k(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_m)$$

for functions $f_{ij}^k(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_m)$ that are analytic for $\epsilon \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$, linear in the $\epsilon \mathbf{u}_m$, and satisfy $f_{ij}^k(0, y) = 0$. The expansion (57) allows us to write

$$(59) \quad M_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} + 2\epsilon^2 \bar{g}_{ik} \bar{g}_{j\ell} \bar{v}^k \bar{v}^\ell = \delta_{ij} + m_{ij}(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon w^k),$$

and

$$(60) \quad L_i^j = \delta_i^j + \epsilon^2 \bar{g}_{ik} \bar{v}^k \bar{v}^j = \delta_i^j - \delta_i^4 \delta_4^j + \ell_i^j(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon w^k)$$

for functions $\ell_i^j(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon w^k)$ and $m_{ij}(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon w^k)$ that satisfy $\ell_i^j(0, 0) = m_{ij}(0, 0) = 0$, and are analytic for $\epsilon \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$. Using (57)-(60), we can express the a^i and b as

$$(61) \quad a^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \hat{a}^4(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}),$$

$$(62) \quad a^I = \begin{pmatrix} -w^I & -\frac{\alpha}{2n} \delta_j^I \\ -\frac{\alpha}{2n} \delta_i^I & -\delta_{ij} w^I \end{pmatrix} + w^I \hat{a}(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) + \alpha \hat{a}^I(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}),$$

and

$$(63) \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_{4\bar{R}} \left[-\eta^{im} (2\eta_{4\ell}\eta_{4p} + \eta_{\ell p}) \mathbf{u}_m^{lp} - 2(\eta_{\ell p}\delta_4^i \mathbf{u}_4^{\ell p} - 2\eta_{\ell 4} \mathbf{u}_4^{i\ell}) \right] \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \hat{b}_1(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \cdot \epsilon \mathbf{u}_k \\ \chi_{4\bar{R}} \hat{b}_2(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that the matrices \hat{a}^4 , \hat{a} , and \hat{a}^I are symmetric, and the maps \hat{a}^4 , \hat{a} , \hat{a}^I , \hat{b}_1 , and \hat{b}_2 are analytic (for $\epsilon \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$) and satisfy $\hat{a}^4(0, 0) = 0$, $\hat{a}^I(0, 0) = 0$, $\hat{a}(0, 0) = 0$, $\hat{b}_1(0, 0) = 0$, and $\hat{b}_2(0, 0) = 0$. This shows that the system (53) is *symmetric hyperbolic* on a region where $(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w})$ is small enough to ensure that a^4 is positive definite. This can always be arranged by taking ϵ small enough and since we are interested in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$ no generality is lost by assuming this.

4 Uniform local existence

The combined systems (36) and (53) can be written as

$$(64) \quad b^0(\epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) \partial_t V = \frac{1}{\epsilon} c^I \partial_I V + b^I(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) \partial_I V + f_0(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) + \epsilon f_1(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} g(V),$$

where

$$(65) \quad U = (0, 0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_o^{ij}, 0, 0)^T, \quad \bar{\mathbf{u}}_o^{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij}|_{t=0},$$

$$(66) \quad V = (\mathbf{u}_4^{ij}, \mathbf{u}_J^{ij}, \delta \mathbf{u}^{ij}, \alpha, w^i)^T, \quad \delta \mathbf{u}^{ij} = \mathbf{u}^{ij} - \epsilon \bar{\mathbf{u}}_o^{ij},$$

$$(67) \quad b^0(\epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) = \begin{pmatrix} A^4(\epsilon \mathbf{u}) & 0 \\ 0 & a^4(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(68) \quad c^I = \begin{pmatrix} C^I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(69) \quad b^I(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) = \begin{pmatrix} A^I(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \\ 0 & a^I(\mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(70) \quad f_0(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{F}_0^{ij}(\mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{S}_0^{ij}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \\ b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(71) \quad f_1(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{F}_1^{ij}(\mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{S}_1^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(72) \quad g(V) = (-\delta_4^i \delta_4^j \rho(\alpha), 0, \dots, 0)^T.$$

For initial data, we will often use the notation

$$z_o = z|_{t=0}.$$

In addition to solving these evolution equations, we must also solve the following constraint equations on the initial hypersurface $\Sigma = \{(x^I, 0) \mid (x^I) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$ to get a full solution to the Einstein-Euler equations:

$$(73) \quad \mathcal{C}^j := \mathcal{G}^{4j} - \mathcal{T}^{4j} = 0 \quad (\text{gravitational constraint equations}),$$

$$(74) \quad \mathcal{H}^j := \bar{\partial}_i \bar{\mathbf{u}}^{ij} = 0 \quad (\text{harmonic gauge condition}),$$

and

$$(75) \quad \mathcal{N} := \epsilon \bar{v}_i \bar{v}^i + \frac{1}{\epsilon} = 0 \quad (\text{fluid velocity normalization}).$$

To fix a region on which the system where both the evolution (64) and constraint equations (73)-(75) are well defined, we note from (30), (61), and the invertibility of the Lorentz metric (η^{ij}) that there exists a constant $K_0 > 0$ such that

$$(76) \quad -\det(\eta^{ij} + 4\epsilon u^{ij}) > 1/16, \quad 1 + \epsilon w^4 > 1/16,$$

$$(77) \quad A^4(\epsilon u) \geq \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{I}, \quad a^4(\epsilon u, \epsilon w) \geq \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{I},$$

and

$$(78) \quad |A^4(\epsilon u)| \leq 16, \quad |a^4(\epsilon u, \epsilon w)| \leq 16$$

for all $|\epsilon u| \leq 2K_0$, $|\epsilon w^i| \leq 2K_0$, $|\epsilon \alpha| \leq 2K_0$. The choice of the bounds $1/16$ and 16 is somewhat arbitrary, and they can be replaced by any number of the form $1/M$ and M for any $M > 1$ without changing any of the arguments presented in the following sections. However, since we are interested in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$, we lose nothing by assuming $M = 16$.

4.1 Newtonian initial data

To generate a one parameter family of solutions to the constraint equations (73)-(75) that is regular in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$, we use a slight variation of the method used in [10], which is based on previous work by Lottermoser [8]. Before we state the theorem, we note from (9), (8), and the weighted multiplication inequality (see [10] Lemma A.8) that if $\alpha \in H_\delta^k$ ($\delta \leq 0, k > 3/2$) then $\rho, p \in H_\delta^k$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $-1 < \delta < 0$, $k > 3/2+1$, $R > 0$ and $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{w}^I, \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_4^{IJ}, \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}^{IJ}) \in (H_{\delta-2}^{k-2})^2 \times H_{\delta-1}^k \times H_{\delta-1}^{k-1} \times B_R(H_\delta^k)$. Then there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$, an open neighborhood U of $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{w}^I, \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_4^{IJ}, \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}^{IJ})$, and analytic maps $(-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \times U \rightarrow H_{\delta-1}^k : (\epsilon, \rho, p, w^I, \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}, \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) \mapsto w^4$, $(-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \times U \rightarrow H_\delta^k : (\epsilon, \rho, p, w^I, \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}, \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) \mapsto \phi$, $(-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \times U \rightarrow H_\delta^k : (\epsilon, \rho, p, w^I, \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}, \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) \mapsto \mathfrak{w}^I$ such that for each $(\rho, p, w^I, \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}, \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) \in U$, $(\epsilon, \rho, p, w^I, w^4, \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_4^{ij}, \bar{\partial}_4 \bar{\mathfrak{u}}^{ij})$ is a solution to the three constraints*

$$\mathcal{C}^j = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}^j = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\mathfrak{u}}^{ij}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} & \mathfrak{w}^I \\ \mathfrak{w}^J & \phi \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathfrak{u}}^{ij}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} & -\partial_K \mathfrak{z}^{KI} \\ -\partial_K \mathfrak{z}^{KJ} & -\partial_K \mathfrak{w}^K \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w^4 = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{-\epsilon \bar{g}_{4J} w^J - \sqrt{\epsilon^2 (\bar{g}_{4J} w^J)^2 - \bar{g}_{44} (\epsilon^2 \bar{g}_{IJ} w^I w^J + 1)}}{\epsilon \bar{g}_{44}}.$$

Moreover, if we let $\phi_0 = \phi|_{\epsilon=0}$, $\mathfrak{w}_0^I = \mathfrak{w}^I|_{\epsilon=0}$, and $w_0^4 = w^4|_{\epsilon=0}$, then ϕ_0 , \mathfrak{w}_0^I , and w_0^4 satisfy the equations

$$\Delta \phi_0 = \rho + \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}, \quad \Delta \mathfrak{w}_0^I = -\partial_L \mathfrak{z}_4^{LJ}, \quad \text{and} \quad w_0^4 = 0,$$

respectively.

Proof. The proof follows from a simple adaptation of the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [10]. \square

Corollary 4.2. *For $-1 < \delta < -1/2$, the ADM mass of the 1-parameter family of initial data constructed in Proposition 4.1 satisfies*

$$m_{\text{ADM}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho dx^3 + O(\epsilon).$$

Proof. For fixed $(\rho, p, w^I, \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}, \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) \in (H_{\delta-2}^{k-2})^2 \times H_{\delta-1}^k \times H_{\delta-1}^{k-1} \times H_{\delta}^k$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that for ϵ_0 small enough, the maps

$$(79) \quad [0, \epsilon_0) \ni \epsilon \longmapsto \bar{g}_{ij} \in H_{\delta}^k \quad \text{and} \quad [0, \epsilon_0) \ni \epsilon \longmapsto \epsilon \partial_t \bar{g}_{ij} \in H_{\delta-1}^{k-1}$$

are analytic (see (11) and (15)). Moreover, a short calculation shows that

$$(80) \quad \bar{g}_{ij}^{\epsilon} = \eta_{ij} + 2\epsilon^2 (\eta_{kl} u^{kl} \eta_{ij} - 2\eta_{ik} u^{kl} \eta_{lj}) + O(\epsilon^3),$$

and

$$(81) \quad \epsilon \partial_t \bar{g}_{ij} = 2\epsilon^2 (\eta_{kl} u_4^{kl} \eta_{ij} - 2\eta_{ik} u_4^{kl} \eta_{lj}) + O(\epsilon^3),$$

where

$$(82) \quad (u^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} & \mathfrak{w}_0^I \\ \mathfrak{w}_0^J & \phi_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(83) \quad (u_4^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} & -\partial_K \mathfrak{z}^{KI} \\ -\partial_K \mathfrak{z}^{KJ} & -\partial_K \mathfrak{w}_0^K \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(84) \quad \Delta \phi_0 = \rho + \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}, \quad \Delta \mathfrak{w}_0^I = -\partial_L \mathfrak{z}_4^{LJ}.$$

Since $-1 < \delta < -1/2$, it follows from Proposition 4.5 of [1] that the total ADM energy-momentum $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}_j)$ for the initial data $(\bar{g}_{ij}, \epsilon \partial_t \bar{g}_{ij})$ on the

initial hypersurface $\Sigma = \{(x^I, 0) \mid (x^I) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$ can be calculated using the standard formulas

$$(85) \quad \mathbb{P}_4 = -\frac{1}{4} \oint_{S_\infty} (\partial^I \bar{g}_{IJ} - \delta^{IK} \partial_J \bar{g}_{IK}) dS^J,$$

$$(86) \quad \mathbb{P}_I = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{S_\infty} (\bar{K}_K^K \delta_{IJ} - K_{IJ}) dS^J,$$

where the extrinsic curvature \bar{K}_{IJ} is given by (see (16))

$$(87) \quad \bar{K}_{IJ} = -\sqrt{\frac{-1}{\bar{g}^{44}}} \bar{\Gamma}_{IJ}^4.$$

Furthermore, the map

$$(88) \quad [0, \epsilon_0) \ni \epsilon \longmapsto (\mathbb{P}_j) \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

is smooth by (79) and Theorem 5.1 of [1].

By (16), (80), (81), and (87), we find the following expansions for the extrinsic curvature:

$$(89) \quad \bar{K}_{IJ} = \epsilon^2 \left(2u_4^{IJ} + \delta_{IJ} (u_4^{44} - \delta_{k\ell} u_4^{k\ell}) + 2\partial_J u^{4I} + 2\partial_I u^{4J} \right) + O(\epsilon^3).$$

The smoothness of the map (88) and the two expansions (80) and (89) show that the ADM energy-momentum can be expanded as

$$(90) \quad \mathbb{P}_4 = -\epsilon^2 \oint_{S_\infty} (\partial^I \phi_0 - \partial_J \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}) dS_I + O(\epsilon^3),$$

$$(91) \quad \mathbb{P}_I = \epsilon^2 \oint_{S_\infty} (\delta_I^J \partial_K \mathfrak{w}_0^K - \partial^J \mathfrak{w}_0^I - \partial_I \mathfrak{w}_0^{4J} - \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}) dS_J + O(\epsilon^3).$$

Using the divergence theorem and (84), the energy-momentum expansions (90) and (91) simplify to

$$(92) \quad \mathbb{P}_4 = -\epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho dx^3 + O(\epsilon^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_I = O(\epsilon^3).$$

The proof of the corollary now follows from the above expansions and the definition

$$m_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sqrt{\eta^{ij} \mathbb{P}_i \mathbb{P}_j}$$

of the ADM mass. □

4.2 Uniform existence

To prove local existence of solutions to (64) on a uniform time interval independent of ϵ , we take the same approach as in [11] and use a non-local

modification of (64). The modified system is constructed as follows. First, we replace $g(V)$ in (64) with

$$(93) \quad g(V) = (-\delta_4^i \delta_4^j \chi_{\bar{R}} \rho(\alpha), 0, \dots, 0)^T,$$

and we define the Newtonian potential by

$$(94) \quad \Delta \Phi = \chi_{\bar{R}} \rho.$$

Next, we use the Newtonian potential to define a new combined gravitational-matter variable W via the formula

$$(95) \quad W = V - d\Phi,$$

where

$$(96) \quad d\Phi = (0, \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_J \Phi(\alpha), 0, 0, 0).$$

Notice that the transformation (95) leaves the matter variables unaffected. Consequently, we can define W by

$$W = (\mathbf{u}_4^{ij}, W_I^{ij}, \delta \mathbf{u}^{ij}, \alpha, w^i)^T,$$

and treat Φ and $d\Phi$ as a function of W . To formulate the evolution equation entirely in terms of W , we need the time derivative of the Φ map. So we define

$$(97) \quad \dot{\Phi}(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) = \Delta^{-1} \left(\frac{2n \chi_{\bar{R}} \alpha^{2n-1}}{(4Kn(n+1))^n} \Pi(a^4(\epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w})^{-1} [a^I(\mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w}) \partial_I \mathbf{w} + b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}, \epsilon \mathbf{w})]) \right)$$

where $\Pi((\alpha, w^i)^T) = \alpha$ is a constant projection map. By construction, $\dot{\Phi} = \partial_t \Phi$ when evaluated on a solution of (64). To fit with the above notation, we also define

$$d\dot{\Phi} = (0, \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_I \dot{\Phi}, 0, 0, 0)^T.$$

Noting that

$$(98) \quad b^0(\epsilon V, \epsilon^2 U) = b^0(\epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) \quad \text{and} \quad b^I(V, \epsilon U, \epsilon V, \epsilon^2) = b^I(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U),$$

we can write (64) as

$$(99) \quad b^0(\epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) \partial_t W = \frac{1}{\epsilon} c^I \partial_I W + b^I(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) \partial_I W + \mathcal{F}_0(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) + \epsilon \mathcal{F}_1(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U),$$

where

$$(100) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) &= f_0(W + d\Phi(W), \epsilon U, \epsilon(W + d\Phi(W)), \epsilon^2 U) \\ &\quad - b^0(\epsilon W, \epsilon^2 W) d\dot{\Phi}(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) + b^I(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W) \partial_I d\Phi(W), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(101) \quad \mathcal{F}_1(W, \epsilon U, \epsilon W, \epsilon^2 U) = f_1(W + d\Phi(W), \epsilon U, \epsilon(W + d\Phi(W)), \epsilon^2 U).$$

In the following Proposition, the constant C_{Sob} is defined to be the ϵ -independent in the weighted Sobolev inequality $\|\cdot\|_{W_{\eta, \epsilon}^{1, \infty}} \leq C_{\text{Sob}} \|\cdot\|_{H_{\eta, \epsilon}^\ell}$ which holds for $\ell > 3/2 + 1$ and $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ (see Lemma A.7 in [10] for a proof).

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $-1 < \delta < -1/2$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $R > 0$, $K_1 < K_0/(2\sqrt{\epsilon_0}C_{\text{Sob}})$, $\tau \geq 2K_1/C_{\text{Sob}}$, $\bar{R} > 16\tau + R$, $k \geq 3 + s$, $\alpha_o, w_o^I \in H_{\delta-1}^k$, $\text{supp } \alpha \subset B_R$, $\mathfrak{z}^{IJ} \in H_\delta^{k+1}$, $\mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} \in H_{\delta-1}^k$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}$, $\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}$ and w_ϵ^4 be the initial data constructed in Proposition 4.1, which, by choosing $\epsilon_0 \leq 1$ small enough, satisfies*

$$\left\| \left(\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}, \partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} - \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_I \Delta^{-1} \rho, 0, \alpha_o, w_o^i \right)^T \right\|_{H_{\delta-1, \epsilon}^k} \leq K_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}\|_{H_\delta^{k+1}} \leq \frac{K_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0} C_{\text{Sob}}}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. Then there exists a $T > 0$ independent of $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, and maps

$$W_\epsilon = (\mathbf{u}_{4, \epsilon}^{ij}, W_{I, \epsilon}^{ij}, \delta \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^{ij}, \alpha_\epsilon, w_\epsilon^i)^T \in X_{T, s, k, \delta-1} \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$$

such that

- (i) $T_\epsilon > T$ for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$,
- (ii) W_ϵ is the unique solution to (99) with initial data

$$W_\epsilon(0) = \left(\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}, \partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} - \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_I \Delta^{-1} \rho, 0, \alpha_o, w_o^i \right)^T,$$

- (iii)

$$\|W_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1, \epsilon}^k} \leq 2K_1, \quad \epsilon \|\partial_t W_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1, \epsilon}^{k-1}} \lesssim 1,$$

and

$$\max\{\|\epsilon \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\epsilon w^i(t)\|_{L^\infty}\} < 2K_0$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T] \times (0, \epsilon_0]$,

- (iv) for each $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, if

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_\epsilon} \|W_\epsilon(t)\|_{W^{1, \infty}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T_\epsilon} \{\|\epsilon \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\epsilon w^i(t)\|_{L^\infty}\} < 2K_0,$$

then the solution $W_\epsilon(t)$ can be uniquely extended for some time $T_\epsilon^* > T_\epsilon$,

- (v) for any time \tilde{T}_ϵ which is strictly less than the maximal existence time and for which

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_\epsilon} \{ \|\epsilon \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\epsilon w^i(t)\|_{L^\infty} \} < 2K_0$$

holds, the support of α_ϵ satisfies

$$\text{supp } \alpha_\epsilon(t) \subset B_{\bar{R}_\epsilon} \quad \forall t \in [0, \tilde{T}_\epsilon]$$

where $\bar{R}_\epsilon := 16 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}_\epsilon} \|w_\epsilon^I(t)\|_{L^\infty} + R$,

- (vi) $\text{supp } \alpha_\epsilon(t) \subset B_{\bar{R}}$ for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T] \times (0, \epsilon_0]$,
(vii) $\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = \epsilon^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}$, and $\partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = W_{I,\epsilon}^{ij} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_I \Phi(\alpha_\epsilon)$ where $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} + \epsilon^{-1} \delta \mathbf{u}^{ij}$,
(viii) the triple $\{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}, \alpha_\epsilon, w_\epsilon^i\}$ determines, via the formulas (7), (9), (14), and (15), a solution to the full Einstein-Euler system (1) in the harmonic gauge (26) on the spacetime region $D_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$, and
(ix) the conclusions (vii)-(viii) continue to hold on any region of the form $D_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \tilde{T}_\epsilon]$ provided $\text{supp } \alpha_\epsilon(t) \subset B_{\bar{R}}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}_\epsilon$.

Proof. **(i)-(vii):** First we observe, that proof of statements (i)-(vii) follow from a slight modification of the proof of Proposition 3.4 in [11].

(viii)-(ix): Let ψ_ϵ satisfy the initial value problem

$$(102) \quad \bar{v}^k \bar{\partial}_k \psi_\epsilon = 0 \quad : \quad \psi_\epsilon(0) = \chi_{3\bar{R}/2}(0),$$

and define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon = \psi \mathcal{N}_\epsilon = \psi \left(\epsilon \bar{v}_i \bar{v}^i + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right).$$

Next, we observe that (52) contracted with \bar{v}^i yields

$$(1 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{N}_\epsilon) \bar{v}^j \bar{\partial}_j \mathcal{N}_\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2 \alpha}{2nh} \bar{v}^j \bar{\partial}_j \alpha \mathcal{N}_\epsilon + \epsilon(1 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{N}_\epsilon)(\chi_{4\bar{R}} - 1) \bar{v}^i \bar{v}^j \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \bar{v}_k = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by ψ_ϵ then gives

$$(103) \quad (1 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{N}_\epsilon) \bar{v}^j \bar{\partial}_j \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2 \alpha}{2nh} \bar{v}^j \bar{\partial}_j \alpha \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon + \epsilon(1 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{N}_\epsilon) \psi_\epsilon (\chi_{4\bar{R}} - 1) \bar{v}^i \bar{v}^j \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \bar{v}_k = 0.$$

From statements (i)-(vii), we have that

$$(104) \quad w_\epsilon^i(t) \in X_{T,s,k,\delta-1}$$

and

$$(105) \quad \|w^i(t)\|_{H^k} \lesssim \|w^i(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^k} \lesssim 1 \quad \forall (t, \epsilon) \in [0, T] \times (0, \epsilon].$$

Since

$$\bar{v}^j \partial_i = (1 + \epsilon w_\epsilon^4) \partial_t + w_\epsilon^I \partial_I,$$

we get from (104), (105), and the hyperbolic equation (102) that $\psi \in X_{T,s,k,\delta-1}$ and

$$\|\psi_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^k} \lesssim 1 \quad \forall (t, \epsilon) \in [0, T) \times (0, \epsilon].$$

From the finite propagation speed property of hyperbolic equations, we conclude that there exists a time $T_* \in (0, T)$ such that

$$(106) \quad \psi_\epsilon(t)|_{B_{\bar{R}}} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } \psi_\epsilon(t) \subset B_{4\bar{R}} \quad \forall (t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0].$$

In particular, this implies that

$$(107) \quad \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(t, x) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon(t, x) \quad \forall (t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times B_{\bar{R}} \times (0, \epsilon_0],$$

and

$$(108) \quad (\chi_{4\bar{R}}(x) - 1)\psi_\epsilon(t, x) = 0 \quad \forall (t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \epsilon_0].$$

Using (108), equation (103) reduces to

$$(1 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{N}_\epsilon) v b^j \bar{\partial}_j \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2 \alpha}{2nh} \bar{v}^j \bar{\partial}_j \alpha \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon = 0$$

for all $(t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. But $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(0) = 0$ from the choice of initial data which implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon(0) = 0$. By the uniqueness of solutions to hyperbolic equations, we conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon(t, x) = 0$ for all $(t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \epsilon_0]$, and hence $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(t, x) = 0$ for all $(t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times B_{\mathbb{R}} \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. This implies that the fluid velocity normalization $\bar{v}^i \bar{v}_i = -1/\epsilon^2$ is satisfied for all $(t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times B_{\mathbb{R}} \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. Using this and the fact that $\text{supp } \alpha_\epsilon(t) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$, it is not difficult to verify from the evolution equation (51)-(52) that

$$\{\bar{v}^4(t, x) = 1 + \epsilon w_\epsilon^4(t, x), \bar{v}^I(t, x) = w_\epsilon^I(t, x), \rho_\epsilon(t, x) := (4Kn(n+1))^{-n} \alpha_\epsilon^{2n}(t, x)\}$$

satisfy the Euler equations (46) (or equivalently (43)) for all $(t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. With the Euler equations satisfied, the remainder of the proof follows as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 in [11]. \square

5 Limit equations

In this section, we describe the limit equations that govern the gravitational and matter fields in the limit $\epsilon \searrow 0$. We show in the next section that solutions to these equations approximate the solutions to the full Einstein-Euler equations up to a remainder term that is of order ϵ .

5.1 Fluid limit equations

The fluid limit equations are

$$(109) \quad \partial_t \tilde{\alpha} = -\tilde{w}^I \partial_I \tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2n} \partial_I \tilde{w}^I,$$

$$(110) \quad \partial_t \tilde{w}^J = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2n} \partial^J \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{w}^I \partial_I \tilde{w}^J - \chi_{4\bar{R}} \partial^J \tilde{\Phi},$$

$$(111) \quad \Delta \tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\rho} \quad (\tilde{\rho} := (4Kn(n+1))^{-n} \tilde{\alpha}^{2n}).$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $k, s, \bar{R}, \delta, \alpha, \text{ and } w$ be as in Proposition 4.3. Then there exists a maximal time $T_0^M > 0$ and a unique solution*

$$\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{w}^I \in C^0([0, T_0^M], H_{\delta-1}^k) \cap C^1([0, T_0], H_{\delta-1}^{k-1}),$$

$$\tilde{\Phi} \in C^0([0, T_0^M], H_{\delta}^{k+2}) \cap C^1([0, T_0^M], H_{\delta}^{k+1}), \quad \partial_t \tilde{\Phi} \in C^0([0, T_0^M], H_{\delta-1}^{k+1})$$

to (109)-(111) satisfying $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \alpha$ and $\tilde{w}^I(0) = w^I$. Moreover,

$$\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{w}^I \in X_{T_0^M, s, k, \delta-1}, \quad \tilde{\Phi} \in X_{T_0^M, s, k+2, \delta}, \quad \partial_t \tilde{\Phi} = -\partial_I \Delta^{-1}(\tilde{\rho} \tilde{w}^I) \in X_{T_0^M, s, k+1, \delta-1},$$

and

$$\text{supp } \tilde{\alpha}(t) \subset B_{R(t)}$$

where $R(t) = R + t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\tilde{w}^I(s)\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. The proof follows from a trivial modification of the proof in Proposition 3.7 in [11]. \square

REMARK 5.2. Since $\bar{R} > R$, it is clear from Proposition 5.1 and the weighted Sobolev inequality (see Lemma A.7 in [10]) that there exists a time $T_0 \in (0, T_M)$ such that

$$(112) \quad \text{supp } \tilde{\alpha}(t) \subset B_{4\bar{R}} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_0].$$

In particular, this shows that

$$(113) \quad \tilde{\rho}(t) \chi_{4\bar{R}} = \tilde{\rho}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_0],$$

which in turn implies that the pair $\{\tilde{\rho}(t), \tilde{w}^I(t)\}$ satisfies the Poisson-Euler equations (2)-(4) on the time interval $[0, T_0]$.

5.2 Gravitational limit equations

The gravitational limit equations are defined by

$$(114) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{X}_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} C^I \partial_I \mathbf{X}_\epsilon + (0, 0, X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij})^T,$$

where

$$\mathbf{X}_\epsilon = (X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}, X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}, X_\epsilon^{ij})^T.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let δ , k , α , $\partial_t \bar{u}_o^{ij}$, $\partial_I \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}$ be as in Proposition 4.3, and*

$$(115) \quad \mathbf{X}_\epsilon(0) = \left(\epsilon \partial_t \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij}, \partial_I \bar{u}_\epsilon^{ij} - \partial_I \Delta^{-1}(\delta_4^i \delta_4^j \rho), 0 \right)^T \Big|_{\epsilon=0}.$$

Then there exists a unique solution

$$\mathbf{X}_\epsilon \in C^0([0, \infty), H_{\delta-1}^k) \cap C^1([0, \infty), H_{\delta-1}^{k-1})$$

to (114) with initial data (115) that satisfies $\mathbf{X}_\epsilon \in X_{\infty,s,k,\delta-1}$ and the estimates:

(i)

$$\|\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^k} + \epsilon \|\partial_t \mathbf{X}_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-1}} \lesssim e^{Ct},$$

$$\|X_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L_{\delta,\epsilon}^\infty} + \|DX_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-1}} \lesssim e^{Ct}\epsilon,$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$ and some fixed constant $C > 0$, and

(ii) *for any $\Lambda > 0$,*

$$\|X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t)\|_{W^{\ell,\infty}(B_\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(t)\|_{W^{\ell,\infty}(B_\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^3))} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{3/2} \sqrt{\Lambda+1}}{(\epsilon+t)^{3/2}} \quad 0 \leq \ell < k-3/2$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$.

Proof. Since $-1 < \delta < -1/2$, it follows from Lemma A.11 of [10] and Proposition 4.1 that

$$(116) \quad \|\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(0)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^k} \lesssim \|\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(0)\|_{H_{\delta-1}^k} \lesssim 1.$$

This inequality together with the weighted energy estimates (see Lemma 7.1 in [10]) gives

$$(117) \quad \|\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1}^k} \lesssim e^{Ct} \|\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(0)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^k} \lesssim e^{Ct}.$$

for some fixed positive constant C .

From the evolution equation (114) and the choice of initial data, we see that

$$\partial_t(\partial_I X_{J,\epsilon}^{ij}(t) - \partial_J X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_I X_{J,\epsilon}^{ij}(0) - \partial_J X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$(118) \quad \partial_I X_{J,\epsilon}^{ij}(t) - \partial_J X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t) = 0.$$

Also, it is not difficult to show that

$$(119) \quad \partial_t(\partial_I X_\epsilon^{ij} - \epsilon X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}) = 0$$

follows from (114). Combining (118) and (119) then yields

$$(120) \quad \partial_I X_\epsilon^{ij}(t) = \epsilon(X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t) - X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(0)).$$

Next, we note that

$$(121) \quad \|X_\epsilon^{ij}\|_{L_{\delta,\epsilon}^\infty} \lesssim \|DX_\epsilon^{ij}\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^k} + \epsilon\|X_\epsilon^{ij}\|_{L_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^2}$$

follows from the weighted Sobolev inequalities (see Lemma A.7 in [10]).

Collecting the estimates (117), (120), and (121), we arrive at

$$\|X_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L_{\delta,\epsilon}^\infty} + \|DX_\epsilon^{ij}\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^k} \lesssim e^{Ct}\epsilon.$$

To prove the last two estimates for $X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}$ and $X_{I,\epsilon}^4$, we observe that $X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}$ and $X_{I,\epsilon}^4$ satisfy the wave equations

$$(122) \quad \epsilon^2 \partial_t^2 X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij} - \Delta X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon^2 \partial_t^2 X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij} - \Delta X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij} = 0.$$

Since the initial data for these equations satisfy (116) and $-1 < \delta < -1/2$, we can apply the weighted dispersive estimates from Theorem 1.1 in [4] to obtain

$$(123) \quad |D_x^\ell X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t, x)| \lesssim \frac{\|X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(0)\|_{H_{\delta-1}^k} + \|\partial_I X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(0)\|_{H_{\delta-2}^{k-1}}}{(1+t/\epsilon+|x|)\sqrt{1+|t/\epsilon-|x||}},$$

and

$$(124) \quad |D_x^\ell X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(t, x)| \lesssim \frac{\|X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(0)\|_{H_{\delta-1}^k} + \|\partial^I X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(0)\|_{H_{\delta-2}^{k-1}}}{(1+t/\epsilon+|x|)\sqrt{1+|t/\epsilon-|x||}}$$

for $0 \leq \ell < k - 3/2$. But

$$1 + |t/\epsilon| \leq 1 + |t/\epsilon - |x|| + |x| \leq 1 + \Lambda + |t/\epsilon - |x|| \leq (\Lambda + 1)(1 + |t/\epsilon - |x||)$$

for $|x| \leq \Lambda$, and so the inequalities (123) and (124) imply that

$$\|X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t)\|_{W^{\ell,\infty}(B_\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(t)\|_{W^{\ell,\infty}(B_\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^3))} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{3/2}\sqrt{\Lambda+1}}{(\epsilon+t)^{3/2}}$$

for $0 \leq \ell < k - 3/2$. □

REMARK 5.4. From the initial value problem (114)-(115) (see (120)) and Propositions 4.1 and 5.1, it is not difficult to verify that

$$(125) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} := \frac{1}{\epsilon} X_\epsilon^{ij} + (\delta_I^i \delta_J^j \mathfrak{J}^{IJ} - 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_{I\mathfrak{J}}^{IJ} \delta_4^i \delta_J^j + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \Delta^{-1} (\rho + \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{J}^{IJ})) + (\tilde{\Phi}(t) - \tilde{\Phi}(0)) \delta_4^i \delta_4^j$$

satisfies the identities

$$(126) \quad \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_t \tilde{\Phi}, \quad \partial_I \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_I \tilde{\Phi},$$

and the wave equation

$$(127) \quad \epsilon^2 \partial_t^2 \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} - \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} = -\delta_4^i \delta_4^j \tilde{\rho} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \epsilon^2 \partial_t^2 \tilde{\Phi},$$

with initial conditions

$$(128) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}|_{t=0} = \delta_I^i \delta_J^j \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} - 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_I \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} \delta_4^i \delta_4^j + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j (\tilde{\Phi}|_{t=0} + \Delta^{-1} \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{z}^{IJ}),$$

$$(129) \quad \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\delta_I^i \delta_J^j \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ} - 2\partial_I \mathfrak{z}^{IJ} \delta_4^i \delta_4^j + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \Delta^{-1} \partial_{IJ}^2 \mathfrak{z}_4^{IJ}) + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_t \tilde{\Phi}|_{t=0}.$$

5.3 The combined system

Collecting the fluid and gravitation limit variables into a single vector

$$(130) \quad Y_\epsilon = (\mathbf{X}_\epsilon, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{w}^I, \tilde{w}^4)^T,$$

we can write the combined gravitational-fluid limit equations in the following form:

$$(131) \quad \partial_t Y_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} c^I \partial_I Y + \tilde{b}_0^I \partial_I Y_\epsilon + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 + c^I \partial_I \omega$$

where

$$(132) \quad \tilde{b}_0^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{a}^I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{a}^I = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{w}^I & -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2n} \delta_j^I \\ -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2n} \delta_i^I & -\delta_{ij} \tilde{w}^I \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(133) \quad \omega = (\omega_4^{ij}, \omega_I^{ij}, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T, \quad \omega_4^{ij} = \partial_t \tilde{\Phi} \delta_4^i \delta_4^j, \quad \omega_I^{ij} = \partial_I \Delta^{-1} (2\rho \tilde{w}^J \delta_J^{(i} \delta_4^{j)}),$$

and

$$(134) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 = (-2\rho \tilde{w}^J \delta_J^{(i} \delta_4^{j)}, -\partial_I \partial_t \tilde{\Phi} \delta_4^i \delta_4^j, X_4^{ij}, 0, -\chi_{4\bar{R}} \partial^I \tilde{\Phi}, 0)^T.$$

6 The fast Newtonian limit

We begin by defining the error Z_ϵ between the limit Y_ϵ and the full solution W_ϵ by

$$(135) \quad W_\epsilon = Y_\epsilon + \epsilon(\omega_\epsilon + Z_\epsilon).$$

Next, we let

$$(136) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}_0(Y_\epsilon, 0, 0, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b}^I = b^I(Y_\epsilon, 0, 0, 0),$$

and observe that

$$(137) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 + \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b}^I = \tilde{b}_0^I + \epsilon \tilde{b}_1^I,$$

where

$$(138) \quad \tilde{b}_1^I = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}^I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}^I = \begin{pmatrix} 8X_\epsilon^{4I} & 4X_\epsilon^{IJ} & 0 \\ 4X_\epsilon^{IJ} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(139) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(4(X_\epsilon^{IJ} \partial_{IJ}^2 \tilde{\Phi} - \tilde{\rho} \eta_{ij} X_\epsilon^{ij}) \delta_4^i \delta_4^j, 0, 0, 0, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1^i \right)^T.$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1^I = -\chi_{4\bar{R}} [\delta^{IJ} (X_{J,\epsilon}^{44} + \delta_{KL} X_{I,\epsilon}^{KL}) + 4X_4^{J4}],$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1^4 = -\chi_{4\bar{R}} (X_{4,\epsilon}^{44} + \delta_{KL} X_{4,\epsilon}^{KL}).$$

Now, let $T_* = \min\{T_0, T\}$ where T and T_0 are as defined in Propositions 4.3 and Remark 5.2, respectively. Then by Propositions 4.3, 5.1, and 5.2, for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, the error $Z_\epsilon(t)$ (see (99), (131), and (135)-(137)) satisfies the initial value problem

$$(140) \quad b_\epsilon^0 \partial_t Z_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} c^I \partial_I Z_\epsilon + b_\epsilon^I \partial_I Z_\epsilon + \mathcal{R}_\epsilon$$

$$(141) \quad Z_\epsilon(0) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (W_\epsilon(0) - Y_\epsilon(0)) - \omega(0),$$

on the interval $0 \leq t \leq T_*$, where

$$(142) \quad b_\epsilon^0 = b^0(\epsilon W_\epsilon, \epsilon^2 U_\epsilon), \quad b_\epsilon^I = b^I(W_\epsilon, \epsilon U_\epsilon, \epsilon W_\epsilon, \epsilon^2 U_\epsilon),$$

$$(143) \quad \mathcal{F}_\epsilon = \mathcal{F}_0(W_\epsilon, \epsilon U_\epsilon, \epsilon W_\epsilon, \epsilon^2 U_\epsilon) + \epsilon \mathcal{F}_1(W_\epsilon, \epsilon U_\epsilon, \epsilon W_\epsilon, \epsilon^2 U_\epsilon),$$

and

$$(144) \quad \mathcal{R}_\epsilon = b_\epsilon^I \partial_I \omega - b_\epsilon^0 \partial_t \omega + \frac{b_\epsilon^I - \tilde{b}^I}{\epsilon} \partial_I Y + \frac{\mathcal{F}_\epsilon - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}}{\epsilon} + \frac{b_\epsilon^0 - \mathbb{I}}{\epsilon^2} \epsilon \partial_t Y + \tilde{b}_1^I \partial_I Y + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let δ , k , $s \geq 2$, T , and $W_\epsilon(t)$ be as in Proposition 4.3, T_0 as in Remark 5.2, $Y_\epsilon(t)$ as defined by (130), and $T_* = \min\{T_0, T\}$. Then for $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough*

$$\|W_\epsilon(t) - Y_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$.

Proof. By Propositions 4.1, 4.3, and 5.1, there exists a positive constant C_0 such that

$$(145) \quad \|Z_\epsilon(0)\|_{H_{\delta-1}^k} \leq C_0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0].$$

Next, choosing ϵ_0 small enough, it follows directly from Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 of [11] and Propositions 5.1 and 5.3 of the previous section that

$$(146) \quad \left\| b_\epsilon^I \partial_I \omega - b_\epsilon^0 \partial_t \omega + \frac{b_\epsilon^I - \tilde{b}^I}{\epsilon} \partial_I Y_\epsilon + \frac{\mathcal{F}_\epsilon - \tilde{F}_0}{\epsilon} \right\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim 1 + \|Z_\epsilon\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}}$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$ provided $\|Z_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \leq 2C_0/\epsilon$. Also, from Lemmas A.1 and A.4 of [10], and Proposition 5.1 and 5.3, we see that

$$(147) \quad \left\| \frac{b_\epsilon^0 - \mathbb{I}}{\epsilon^2} \epsilon \partial_t Y_\epsilon + \tilde{b}_1^I \partial_I Y_\epsilon + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \right\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{(\epsilon + t)^{3/2}}$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$.

Defining the energy norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{k-2,\delta,\epsilon} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k-2} \langle D_x^\alpha(\cdot) | b_\epsilon^0 D_x^\alpha(\cdot) \rangle,$$

we see via Proposition 4.3 that

$$\|\cdot\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{k-2,\delta-1,\epsilon} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}},$$

uniformly for $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. Setting $\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon = \epsilon Z_\epsilon$, the evolution equation (140) and the weighted energy estimates (see the proof of Theorem B.1 in [11]) in conjunction with Proposition 4.3 and the estimates (146)-(147) show that there exists a fixed constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon(t)\|_{k-2,\delta-1,\epsilon} \leq C_1 \left(\|\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon(t)\|_{k-2,\delta-1,\epsilon} + \epsilon + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + t} \right)^{3/2} \right),$$

for all t such that $\|\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon(t)\|_{k-2,\delta-1,\epsilon} \leq 2C_0$. Gronwall's inequality and (145) then show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon(t)\|_{k-2,\delta-1,\epsilon} &\leq e^{C_1 t} C_0 \epsilon + \epsilon \int_0^t e^{C_1(t-s)} ds + \epsilon^{3/2} \int_0^t \frac{e^{C_1(t-s)}}{(\epsilon + s)^{3/2}} ds \\ &\leq \epsilon e^{C_1 t} \left(C_0 + 1 + \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(\epsilon + s)^{3/2}} ds \right) \\ &\leq \epsilon e^{C_1 t} (C_0 + 3), \end{aligned}$$

again for all t such that $\|\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon(t)\|_{k-2,\delta-1,\epsilon} \leq 2C_0$. Therefore choosing $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough we obtain

$$\|Z_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim 1$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$, and the proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

(i): Since the ADM mass is conserved, statement (i) follows directly from Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 4.3.

(ii)-(iv): From the definition of W_ϵ and Y_ϵ , we have

$$\|\alpha_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\alpha}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^I(t) - \tilde{w}^I(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^4(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \leq \|W_\epsilon(t) - Y_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}},$$

and hence, by Proposition 6.1,

$$(148) \quad \|\alpha_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\alpha}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^I(t) - \tilde{w}^I(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^4(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. Also by the weighted multiplication Lemma (see Lemma A.8 in [10]) and Propositions 4.3 and 5.1, we have

$$(149) \quad \|\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim \|\alpha_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\alpha}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$, while

$$(150) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\rho_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^I(t) - \tilde{w}^I(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^4(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\rho_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^I(t) - \tilde{w}^I(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^4(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \end{aligned}$$

is a consequence of Lemma A.11 and equation (A.24) of [10]. Combining the inequalities (148)-(150), we arrive at

$$(151) \quad \|\rho_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^I(t) - \tilde{w}^I(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|w_\epsilon^4(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon$$

for all $t \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$.

Next, we observe that

$$\|\partial_I \Phi_\epsilon(t) - \partial_I \tilde{\Phi}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|\epsilon \partial_t \Phi_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$ by Propositions 4.3 and 6.1, and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 of [11]. From the above estimate, the identities $W_{I,\epsilon}^{ij} = \partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij} + \delta_4^i \delta_4^j \partial_I \Phi_\epsilon$ and $\mathbf{u}_{4,\epsilon}^{ij} = \epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}$ (see Proposition 4.3), and the relations (125)-(126), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \partial_I \tilde{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \epsilon \partial_t \tilde{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \\ & \lesssim \|W_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t) - X_{I,\epsilon}^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|\mathbf{u}_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(t) - X_{4,\epsilon}^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \epsilon \\ & \lesssim \|W_\epsilon(t) - Y_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by Proposition 6.1 and Lemma A.7 of [10],

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \tilde{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L_{\delta,\epsilon}^6} + \|\partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \partial_I \tilde{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} + \|\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \epsilon \partial_t \tilde{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H_{\delta-1,\epsilon}^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. Finally, it follows from Lemma A.11 and equation (A.24) of [10], and the above estimate that

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{L^6} + \|\partial_I \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \partial_I \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|\epsilon \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t) - \epsilon \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon^{ij}(t)\|_{H^{k-2}} \lesssim \epsilon$$

for all $(t, \epsilon) \in [0, T_*) \times (0, \epsilon_0]$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. Bartnik, *Phase Space for the Einstein Equations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **13** (2005), 845-885.
- [2] U. Brauer and L. Karp, *Local existence of classical solutions for the Einstein-Euler system using weighted Sobolev spaces of fractional order*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **345** (2007), 49-54.
- [3] G. Browning and H.O. Kreiss, *Problems with different time scales for nonlinear partial differential equations*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **42** (1982), 704-718.
- [4] P. D’Ancona, V. Georgiev, and H. Kubo, *Weighted decay estimates for the wave equation*, J. Differential Equations **177** (2001), 146-208.
- [5] H. Isozaki, *Wave operators and the incompressible limit of the compressible Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **110** (1987), 519-524.
- [6] S. Klainerman and A. Majda, *Compressible and incompressible fluids*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 629-651.
- [7] H.O. Kreiss, *Problems with different time scales for partial differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **33** (1980), 399-439.
- [8] M. Lottermoser, *A convergent post-Newtonian approximation for the constraints in general relativity*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **57** (1992), 279-317.
- [9] T. Makino, “On a local existence theorem for the evolution equation of gaseous stars”, in *Patterns and Waves*, edited by T. Nishida, M. Mimura, and H. Fujii, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [10] T.A. Oliynyk, *The Newtonian limit for perfect fluids*, Comm. Math. Phys. **276** (2007), 131-188.
- [11] T.A. Oliynyk, *Post-Newtonian expansions for perfect fluids*, Comm. Math. Phys. (accepted).
- [12] A.D. Rendall, *The initial value problem for a class of general relativistic fluid bodies*, J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992), 1047-1053.
- [13] A.D. Rendall, *The Newtonian limit for asymptotically flat solutions of the Vlasov-Einstein system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **163** (1994), 89-112.
- [14] S. Schochet, *Symmetric hyperbolic systems with a large parameter*, Comm. partial differential equations, **11** (1986), 1627-1651.

- [15] S. Schochet, *Asymptotics for symmetric hyperbolic systems with a large parameter*, J. differential equations **75** (1988), 1-27.
- [16] S. Ukai, *The incompressible limit and the initial layer of the compressible Euler equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26** (1986), 323-331.