E ect of the reverse shock on the param eters of the observed X-Ray em ission during the 1998 outburst of CICam

E.V.Filippova^{1;2}, M.G.Revnivtsev^{1;3}, and A.A.Lutovinov¹

¹ Space Research Institute, ul. Profsoyuznaya 84/32, Moscow, 117997 Russia

² M ax-P lanck Institut fur A strophysik, K arl Schwarzschild Strasse 1, 86740 G arching-bei-M unchen, G erm any

³ Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universitat Munchen, James-Franck-Strasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

Received: 10 February 2009.

A bstract. B ased on the model of interaction between spherically symmetrical expanding matter and the external medium, we have estimated the parameters of the matter heated by the shock that was produced in the envelope ejected by the explosion of a classical nova during its interaction with the stellar wind from the optical companion. U sing this model, we have shown that the matter ejected during the outburst in the system CIC am had no steep velocity gradients and that the reverse shock could heat the ejected matter only to a temperature of 0.1 keV. Therefore, this matter did not contribute to the mean temperature and lum inosity of the system observed in the energy range 3-20 keV.

Keywords.classicalnovae { X-ray em ission { num erical simulations.

1. Introduction

Classical nova outbursts can be accompanied by emission in both the standard (1 - 10 keV) and hard (> 20 keV) X -ray energy bands. M atter heated by the shock that was produced by a high-velocity (1000 4000 km /s) expansion of the envelope of a white dwarf is believed to be the source of this X-ray emission. In the system CI Cam elopardalis (CICam), the optical com panion is a B [e]type B4III-V star (Barsukova et al. 2006) with a strong stellar wind (Robinson et al. 2002; Filippova et al. 2008), which produces a dense circum stellar medium around the white dwarf. Filippova et al. (2008) (hereafter Paper I) show ed that, in this case, a shock is generated by the envelope expansion in the circum stellar medium, which could heat a large amount of stellar wind matter up to 10-20 keV su cient to produce a high X -ray lum inosity.

In the envelope itself, a shock (or initially a reverse rarefaction wave that will transform into a reverse shock as the envelope expands) will also form. Under certain conditions, this shock can also heat the matter to high tem peratures. For example, it follows from the analytical calculations by Chevalier (1982) and Nadyozhin (1985) that when the ejected matter interacts with a constantdensity medium, the tem perature at the reverse shock for an power in the ejected matter density pro le of 6 8 can be lower than the tem perature at the forward shock only by a factor of 2 4.

A schematic view of the system of shocks produced by the interaction of ejected matter with the circum stellarmedium is shown in Fig.1 (the outer boundary of the envelope is a contact discontinuity). In general, the formation time and the law of motion of the reverse shock depend on the matter velocity and pressure distributions inside the envelope. How ever, at present, there is no com plete m odel that would consistently describe the evolution of the pro les of these param eters in the matter ejected by the explosion of a classical nova (see, e.g., a review of theoretical models in Friedjung (2002)). On the basis of num erical calculations and their comparison with observational data during a classical nova explosion, two mechanism s of m atter ejection at the initial time are suggested: under the action of therm alpressure and through a shock wave. The consequences of the ejection of matter through these mechanisms were considered by Sparks (1969). He showed that in the case of pressure-driven expansion, the envelope has a very shallow m atter velocity gradient, w hile in the case of shock-driven ejection of matter, it expands with a steep velocity gradient. At later expansion stages, after the maximum optical brightness of the nova, the expansion of matter is described by the model of an optically thick wind (K ato and H achisu 1994; H auschildt et al. 1994). There also exist theories predicting that shortly after the maximum optical brightness, the velocities of the

E-m ail: kate@ iki.rssi.ru

envelope layers closer to the white dwarf are higher than those of the outer layers (M cLaughlin et al. 1947, 1964).

An example of the possible development of a classicalnova explosion was given by Prialnik (1986), who calculated a complete cycle of the evolution of a classical nova explosion, from the phase of accretion to its resum ption; the conditions for the generation of a shock wave in the envelope were m et. A coording to these calculations, within the rsthalfan hour (2000 s) after the therm onuclear explosion, the white dwarf photosphere expands to

10R due to the shock breakout.W ithin the next 4 h, the envelope expands even m ore due to the radiation pressure from the white dwarf surface, with the optical ux from the system reaching its maximum. It follows from observations that the characteristic time it takes for the optical ux to reach its maximum form ost classical novae is < 3 days, but exceptions are also observed; for exam – ple, during the outburst of N ova LM C 1991 this time was

13 days (Schwarz et al. (2001) and references therein). A coording to calculations, the outer layers of the envelope at this time expand with constant velocities, which increase toward the outer boundary and reach 3800 km/s at it. This part of the envelope ceases to be connected with the white dwarf and expands by inertia, interacting only with the circum stellar medium. The remaining part of the envelope initially contracts under the gravitational force and, after some time, again begins to expand under radiation pressure in the regime of an optically thick wind.

It was shown in Paper I that the main peculiarities of the behavior of the light curve and radiation tem perature during the X-ray outburst of CIC am in the rst (spherically symmetric) approximation could be described in term softhe radiation m odel of stellar w ind m atter heated by the forward shock produced in a classical nova explosion. In this model, the envelope is ejected from the white dwarf due to explosive them onuclear burning, which already on 0.1-0.5 day after the explosion onset has an expansion velocity of 2700 km /s and ies under the action of an external force, for example, the radiation pressure from the white dwarf, with a constant velocity for the rst 1 1:5 days. Subsequently, the envelope probably becom es transparent and decelerates, interacting with the matter of the stellar wind from the optical companion. Based on a comparison of the observed rise in lum inosity with the theoretical dependence, we estim ated the stellar wind density near the white dwarf to $10^9 d_{2kpc} U_{2700}^{3=2} cm^{-3}$, which transr_c) 8:6 be n_0 (r $r^{2} at r > r_{c} = 1.9 \quad 10^{13} cm$. form s into the law n_0 In the simplest model, this stellar wind density distribution corresponds to a mass loss rate of the optical star 2) 10 6 M =yr. The observed time dependence (1 of the tem perature of the em itting matter at late enve-

of the tem perature of the emitting matter at late envelope expansion stages allowed us to constrain the mass of the ejected envelope based on ourm odel, 10 7 10 6 M . Note that in thism odel, the processes in the envelope itself were disregarded; in our calculations, we used a nite-mass piston as the envelope.

In this paper, we calculated the contribution from the emission of the ejected envelope matter heated by the reverse shock to the observed radiation temperature and lum inosity of CIC am during its X-ray outburst in 1998.



F ig.1. Schem e of the shocks produced by the interaction of the expanding envelope with the circum stellarm edium : U is the velocity of the contact discontinuity or the envelope (depending on the model considered) and D is the velocity of the forward shock.

2.Num erical calculations

To model the reverse shock, we used a numerical scheme described in Paper I: a one-dimensional, spherically symmetric code in Lagrangian coordinates with a staggered mesh (the cell radius, velocity, and mass are determined at the cell boundaries, while the density, pressure, and internal energy are determined at the cell centers).

At the initial time, the outer boundary of the envelope was placed at a distance of 10^{12} cm. The density of the circum stellar medium was specied as follows: $n_0 = 8$ 10^9 cm³ at r < r_c and n_0 r² at r > r_c. The initial cell size was r = 10^{10} cm. The velocity of the matter at the inner and outer boundaries was specied by a time-independent constant.

As in Paper I, we took into account the radiative cooling of the matter heated by the shocks in an optically thin regime.

As was shown in Paper I, the matter behind the shocks is a multitem perature plasma in the sense that the plasma tem perature is nonuniform along the radius. Consequently, the radiation tem perature that we measure based on X-ray observations is an average quantity and it may not be equal to the tem perature at the shock front. Therefore, to obtain the calculated mean tem perature, we

used the sam e averaging procedure as that for observations. We calculated the ratio of the uxes in the 3-5 and 5-20 keV energy bands, which, in turn, corresponds to a certain tem perature in the radiation m odelofa single tem – perature, optically thin plasm a. The m ethod is described in m ore detail in P aper I.

2.1.E ect of the matter temperature in the envelope on the calculated parameters

The temperature or pressure of the envelope matter is a parameter that, in general, can a ect the formation and propagation of shocks after the decay of an arbitrary discontinuity.

To understand what the tem perature and pressure distributions in the ejected envelope are, we can turn to actual observations of classical novae. Two outbursts of novae (Cyg 1992 and LM C 1991) that were observed before the maximum optical brightness was reached and for which the radiation tem perature was measured are known to date. How ever, the elective radiation tem perature obtained in such an analysis of observations is not a good indicator of the physical tem perature in the envelope (H auschildt et al. 1994; Schwarz et al. 2001). Nevertheless, since the em ission from the envelope matter has a maximum in the ultraviolet, we may assert that the matter tem perature does not exceed 0:1 keV in order of magnitude.

To answer the question of how the envelope matter tem perature a ects the propagation of shock waves, we performed calculations with the following initial param – eters of the matter in the envelope: the density is constant along the radius (the envelope mass was 10 $^6{\rm M}$, the velocity is also constant along the radius and equal to 2700 km/s, and we considered severalmatter tem peratures: $10^3;10^4$, and $10^6~{\rm K}$.

Figure 2 (left panel) shows the matter temperature proles in the envelope and stellar wind on 0.8 day after the onset of expansion: the dotted, dashed, and solid lines correspond to $T = 10^6;10^4$, and 10^3 K, respectively. It follows from the gure that the expected range of envelope matter temperatures a ects weakly the propagation dynamics and strength of the forward shock and leads to unimportant di erences in the time dependence of the mean radiation temperature (Fig. 2 (right panel)). Therefore, below, the initial temperature of the envelope matter in our calculations was set equal to a constant, 10^4 K, unless stated otherwise.

3. Transform ation of the reverse rarefaction wave into a reverse shock

As was noted in Paper I, the interaction of the ejected envelope with the circum stellar medium can give rise to a reverse rarefaction wave at the very outset. The instant of the subsequent transform ation of the reverse rarefaction wave into a reverse shock depends on the radial distribution of envelope matter parameters (such as the velocity and pressure). In the same paper, we made very simple estimates of the conditions under which the reverse rarefaction wave is generated and the time when it transforms into a reverse shock. It follows from these estimates that the reverse rarefaction wave transforms into a shock alm ost immediately.

For a clear demonstration of this phenomenon, we performed calculations in which this transformation could be traced in more detail. It should be noted that the parameters specified as the initial conditions bear no relation to the actual values: for example, in order that the reverse rarefaction wave could recede noticeably from the contact discontinuity, the matter temperature at the outer boundary of the envelope was set equal to 10 keV, but in order that the disturbances arising at the inner boundary have no time during the calculations to propagate over the entire envelope, the matter temperature was specified by a linear function of the radius and was 0.01 keV at the inner boundary; the energy losses through radiation were disregarded. The expansion velocity of the envelope matter was 600 km/s.

F igure 3 shows the tem perature and pressure proles obtained in this model in the interacting region at various times. We clearly see how the rarefaction wave is generated (solid line) and how it transform s into a reverse shock (in the proles drawn by the dashed line, the reverse shock is seen clearly). The cell number is along the X axis, with the contact boundary being located on cell no. 800; the forward shock propagates through the stellar wind rightward; the rarefaction wave propagates through the ejected m atter leftward and transform s into a shock.

4. Hom obgous envelope expansion v r

C lassical nova explosions resemble in mechanism type Ia supernova (SN Ia) explosions, them onuclear explosions of white dwarfs (W oosley and W eaver 1986). However, in the former case, the explosion energy and, hence, the kinetic energy of the ejected envelope are much lower than those in the latter case. For classical nova explosions and supernovae, the kinetic energy of the ejected envelope is estimated to be 10^{44} 10^{45} erg (Starr eld et al. 1976) and 10^{51} erg (K hokhlov et al. 1993), respectively.

Numerical calculations show that a hom ologous expansion of the ejected matter during an SN Ia explosion is established in 10 s (Ropke et al. (2005) and references therein). Dwarkadas et al. (1998) provided the density proles of the ejected matter for several SN Ia explosion models used to describe the observational data. They also showed that the density proles could be described both by a power law with an exponent of 7 (nevertheless, the exponent can often be di erent from 7) and by an exponential law e $v=v_0$.

Chevalier (1982) and Nadyozhin (1985) provided selfsimilar solutions for the decay of an arbitrary discontinuity in the case of a spherically symmetric homologous envelope expansion with powers in the density distribution p > 5 into a constant-density external medium. It



F ig.2. Left panel: tem perature proles in the envelope and stellar wind on 0.8 day after the explosion for various initial envelope m atter tem peratures; the solid, dashed, and dotted lines correspond to 10^3 ; 10^4 (in both cases, the contact discontinuity is at a distance of 1:85 10^{13} cm), and 10^6 K (the contact discontinuity is at a distance of 1:86 10^{13} cm), respectively; right panel: time dependence of the mean tem perature for these cases.



Fig.3. Left panel: tem perature proles in the ejected matter and stellar wind at various times; the cell number is along the X axis, the contact boundary is located on cell no. 800. Right panel: pressure proles at the same times.

follows from these solutions that when the reverse shock is produced, the tem perature at the forward shock decreases with time. For example, for p=5.4, the time dependence of the tem perature at the forward shock is T_1 (t=t_min) $^{10=9}$ (Nadyozhin 1985). For an envelope with a mass of 10 $^{6}\rm{M}$, its kinetic energy is 10^{44} erg and the density of the matter of the external medium is 8 10^{9} cm 3 , the time t_min is 9000 s; we then nd from the form ula that in the rst day of expansion, the tem perature at the forward shock will fall by a factor of 10, which will lead to a decrease in the mean radiation tem perature.

To understand how the hom obgous envelope expansion in our problem a ects the behavior of the m ean radiation tem perature, we perform ed the follow ing num erical calculations. First, we considered the case where the m ass loss rate from the white dwarf as a result of the shock breakout was constant. The power in the radial density distribution of the ejected m atter is then p = 3. Since the velocity of the ejected m atter decreases with decreasing radius, only the high-velocity outer layers of the envelope are actually of interest for the generation of a forward shock and energy estim ations for the Sedov phase. For our subsequent estimations of the kinetic energy and mass of the envelope, we took a lower velocity $\lim it of 2000 \text{ km/s}$.

We performed our calculations for two models: the m ass of the m atter ejected with a velocity > 2000 km/s is 10 ⁶M and 10 ⁵M . The kinetic energy of 2 10^{43} erg in the form er the envelope is $E_{kin} = 2000$ 7 10^{45} erg in the latter case. case and $E_{kin} \approx 2000$ 1:2 In calculating the mass, we used the cosm ic abundance of the matter. However, since the heavy-element abundance in the ejected envelope is believed to be higher than the cosm ic one (Starr eld et al. 1976; Yaron et al. 2005), the obtained m ass and energy are lower lim its.

F igure 4 shows the time dependence of the mean tem – perature of the matter behind the forward shock for these two cases (the solid and dashed lines correspond to masses of the matter with a velocity > 2000 km/s of 2 10 5 M and 10 6 M , respectively). In Paper I, we estimated the explosion energy required to obtain the observed time dependence of the mean tem perature of the matter at late expansion stages, when the shock enters Sedov regime (the regime in which the shock \forgets" the details of its form ation and evolves self-sim ilarly). A coording to these cal-

culations, the energy should be $(5 \ 10) \ 10^{43}$ erg. Thus, a further increase in the mass of the ejected matter in the model with a homologous expansion will lead to a higher (compared to the observations) radiation temperature on 4 - 10 days of expansion.

In Hauschildt et al. (1994) and Schwarz et al. (2001), who investigated the structure of the envelopes ejected by the explosions of the classical novae Cyg 1992 and LM C 1991 in the initial expansion period, the constructed m odels that described best the data, had power-law density pro les with powers of 15 and 7, respectively. In our case, a steeper density distribution in the outer parts of the ejected envelope only compounds the situation, because the problem has a constraint on the energy of the outer layers of the envelope. The velocity of the matter at the outer boundary should rem ain constant, because it determ ines the tem perature at the forward shock. Therefore, when the param eter p is varied, the m ass of the outer layers with a velocity > 2000 km /s should be retained.W hen p increases, this will lead to a decrease in the density at the outer boundary of the ejected matter at the initial time and, hence, the reverse shock will develop more intensively for som e time. The dotted line in Fig. 4 indicates the time dependence of the mean temperature for the case where the mass of the matter with a velocity > 2000 km/s is 2 10 ${}^{5}M$ and p = 15.

We see from the gure and the above estimates that the case with a hom ologous expansion of the envelope matter in our one-dimensional model is in conject with the observational data.

The absence of a hom ologous expansion phase during the outburst in the system CIC am can be explained in several ways. The conditions for the generation of a shock wave in the envelope may have not been met during the explosion; therefore, the matter was ejected by thermal pressure without any velocity gradient (Sparks 1969). Or it is possible that the matter ejected as a result of the shock breakout was almost immediately stopped due to the high density of the external medium. The shock produced by it was damped out almost immediately and the observed shock was generated by the subsequent ejection of matter due to the radiation pressure.

5. Envelope expansion with a constant velocity of matter

W e perform ed calculations for three density pro les of the matter in the envelope at the initial time: = const;

r 2 , and $\,$ r 3 . The envelope m ass was taken to be 10 $^6 M$.

To keep the velocity of the forward shock during the decay of an arbitrary discontinuity the same as that when the piston envelope is pushed, the velocity of the matter in the envelope should be higher than the piston velocity. In these calculations, we set it equal to 3000 km /s.

The derived tem perature pro les of the m atter behind the forward and reverse shocks are shown in Fig. 5. It follows from this gure that in all cases the tem perature

F ig.4.T in e dependence of the m ean tem perature of the m atter behind the forward shock for a hom ologous expansion of them atter in the envelope: the solid line correspond to the m odel with a m ass of the m atter in the envelope having a velocity > 2000 km/s of 2 10 5 M and p = 3; the dashed line corresponds to 10 6 M, the exponent in the density distribution of the ejected m atter is p = 3; the dotted line corresponds to 2 10 5 M p = 15.

behind the reverse shock does not exceed 0.1 keV (recall that radiative cooling in ourm odelsw itches on at tem peratures > 0.1 keV), i.e., this matter does not contribute to the observed ux in the 3-20 keV energy band and to the tem perature averaged over the X-ray ux during approxim ately the rst 0.7 day of expansion.

Investigation of the behavior of the reverse shock at later tim es is hindered by the absence of reliable theoreticalm odels for the distribution of physical parameters in the expanding m atter.

Note that the envelope expanded freely in these calculations. However, as was shown in Paper I, on the rst day of expansion, an external force keeping its velocity constant should act on the matter in the envelope. It is quite possible that the reverse shock will be suppressed even more in this case.

We see from the gure that the radiative cooling of the m atter behind the reverse shock is in portant only for the m odel with a density prole r³ (dashed line) - the tem perature prole exhibits a "shelf" behind the reverse shock. Let us show that the radiative cooling in this case also takes place in an optically thin regime.

5.1. Radiative cooling of the matter behind the reverse shock

To establish the regime of radiation of the matter behind the reverse shock, we calculated its optical depth. The optical depth for Thom son scattering up to the region behind the reverse shock in which the radiative cooling becomes important is less than unity. A signi cant contribution to the absorption of radiation in the envelope matter can be made by absorption in lines. However, using the opacity tables calculated with the OPAL code, we found that for





F ig.5. Tem perature proles behind the forward and reverse shocks on 0.5 - 0.7 day after the explosion onset for an envelope with a mass of 10^{-6} M : the solid, dotted, and dashed lines correspond to = const; r², and r³, respectively. The contact boundary is on cell no. 100.

a density of the order of 3 10^{12} cm⁻³ and a tem perature of 5 10^3 10^5 K, the absorption cross section in the matter doesn't exceed 10 24 cm². The optical depth corresponding to this cross section is also less than unity. Thus, the matter behind the reverse shock, just as behind the forward shock, radiates in an optically thin regime.

As we have already said above, the heavy-element abundance in the envelope matter ejected by the explosion of a classical nova can be higher than the solar one, which, in turn, can lead to an increase in the cooling rate of them atter. In our calculations, we retained the solar abundance, because the characteristic cooling time for the density obtained in the calculations (3 10^{12} cm 3) is rad s even for the solar abundance. If it is lower by a factor of several, then this will not a ect the calculations. It follows from the formulas in Paper I that for the matter behind the reverse shock, the characteristic time it takes for a M axwellian velocity distribution of ions at $T_i = 0.1$ keV to be established is $_{ii}$ 6 10 4 s (in these estimates, we took the parameters $A_i = 1$; $A_e = 1$ =1836; $\ln = 15$; $Z_i = 1$ 1, and $n_i = n_e$, as in Paper I). Form ally, for the ion and electron temperatures $T_i = 0.1$ keV and $T_e = 1$ eV, the ion M axwellization time is longer than the time of tem perature equalization between the ions and electrons by a factor of $_{ii}$ = $_{ie}$ = 4:5 10 ² (T_e=T_i + 5 10 ⁴) ³⁼² 42. This means that the ions initially transfer their energy to the electrons without still having a Maxwellian velocity distribution, while Spitzer's form ula for ie begins to work only when $T_e > 0.13T_i$ 0.01 keV . For our estimations, we take $T_e = 0.05$ keV (in this case, $e_e = 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ s), then 5 10 3 s.C learly, the time of electron heating by ie ions to $T_e = 0.05$ keV should be of the order of the value of ie obtained. The time it takes for an ionization equilibrium to be established is eq 1s.Thus, it follows from our estim ates that the characteristic tim es it take for an equilibrium to be established behind the reverse shock are shorter than the characteristic radiative cooling time, 4 s, and the applicability conditions for the APEC model (http://hea-www.harvard.edu/APEC/REF) to calculate the plasm a energy loss rate are met¹.

6.Conclusions

W e investigated the e ect of the reverse shock on the observed param eters of the X-ray em ission during the 1998 outburst of CIC am using a spherically sym metric model for the interaction of the envelope ejected by the nova explosion with the circum stellar matter. C om parison of our numerical calculations and observations in the frame of this model led us to the following conclusions.

- { Te hom obgous expansion phase of the m atter during the explosion in CIC am m ost likely was either absent or short and did not give rise to an observable forw and shock in the stellar wind. The velocity pro le in the m atterejected by the explosion had no steep gradients.
- { For a free envelope expansion at a constant velocity and the explosion parameters that we obtained in Paper I, the reverse shock could not heat the matter to tem peratures above 0:1 keV during the rst 0:7 day of expansion.
- { During the 1998 outburst of CIC am, the contribution from the matter heated by the reverse shock to the observed lum inosity in the 3-20 keV energy band and to the tem perature averaged over the X-ray ux during the rst 0:7 day of envelope expansion was negligible com pared to the contribution from the matter behind the forward shock.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 07-02-01051), the Program of the Russian President for Support of Scientic Schools (NSh-5579.2008.2), and the $\0$ rigin, Structure, and Evolution of O bjects of the Universe" Program of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences. E.V. Filippova is also grateful to the Foundation for Support of Russian Science for support. W e wish to thank the referees for their useful and in portant rem arks, which helped to im prove signi cantly the paper, and SA.G rebenev for a discussion of the obtained results.

References

1.E.A.Barsukova, N.V.Borisov, A.N.Burenkov, et al., A stron Rep. 50, 664 (2006).

2.R.Chevalier, Astrophys.J.258, 790 (1982).

3.V.D warkadas and R.Chevalier, Astron.Astrophys. 497, 807 (1998).

4. E. Filippova, M. Revnivtsev, and A. Lutovinov, A stron.Lett. 34, 797 (2008).

 $^{^{1}\,}$ The same reasoning should also applied to the estimates made in Paper I.

5.M. Friedjung, A IP Conf. Ser. 266 (2002).

6. P. Hauschildt, S. Starr eld, S. Austin, et al., A strophys.J. 442, 831 (1994).

7. M. Kato and I. Hachisu, Astrophys. J. 437, 802 (1994).

8. F. Khokhlov, E Muller, and P. Ho ich, Astron. Astrophys. 270, 223 (1993).

9. D. McLaughlin, Publ. A stron. Soc. Paci c 59, 244 (1947).

10.D M cLaughlin, Ann. d'A strophys. 27, 496 (1964).
11. D. Nadyozhin, A strophys. Space Sci. 112, 225

(1985).

12.D.Prialnik, Astrophys.J. 310, 222 (1986).

13. E. L. Robinson, I. I. Ivans, and W. F. Welsh, A strophys. J. 565, 1169 (2002).

14.F.K.Ropke, Astron. 432, 969 (2005).

15.G.Schwarz, S.Shore, S.Starr eld, et al, Mon. Not.R.Astron.Soc.320,103 (2001).

16.W M. Sparks, Astrophys. J. 156, 569 (1969).

17. S. Starr eld, W. M. Sparks, and J. W. Truran, Proc. of the Symp. on Structure and Evolution of C lose B inary Systems 73, ed. by P. Eggleton et al. (Reidel, D ordrecht, 1976), p. 155.

18. S. W oosley and T. W eaver, Ann. Rev. A stron. A strophys. 24, 205 (1986).

19.0.Yaron, D.Prialnik, M.Shara, and A.Kovetz, A strophys.J.623,398 (2005).