# A quantitative determ ination of the AGN content in local ULIRGs through L-band spectroscopy

G.Risaliti,<sup>1;2</sup> M. Imanishi,<sup>3</sup> and E. Sani<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INAF - O sservatorio A stro sico di A roetri, L go E . Ferm i 5, 50125 F irenze, Italy E -m ail: risaliti@ aroetri.astro.it

 $^2\,$  H arvard-Sm ithsonian C enter for A strophysics, 60 G arden St. C am bridge, M A  $\,$  02138 U SA  $\,$ 

 $^3$  N ational A stronom icalO bservatory, 2–21–1, O sawa, M itaka, Tokyo 181–8588, Japan

<sup>4</sup> D ipartim ento di A stronom ia, U niversita di Firenze, L go E . Ferm i 2, 50125 Firenze, Italy.

Released X xxx X xxxx X X

#### ABSTRACT

W e present a quantitative estim ate of the relative AGN/starburst content in a sam ple of 59 nearby (z < 0:15) infrared bright ULIRGs taken from the 1 Jy sam ple, based on infrared L-band (3-4 m) spectra. By using diagnostic diagrams and a sim ple deconvolution m odel, we show that at least 60% of local ULIRGs contain an active nucleus, but the AGN contribution to the bolom etric lum inosity is relevant only in 15 20% of the sources. O verall, ULIRGs appear to be powered by the starburst process, responsible for > 85% of the observed infrared lum inosity. The subsam ple of sources optically classi ed as LINERs (31 objects) shows a sim ilar AGN/starburst distribution as the whole sam ple, indicating a com posite nature for this class of objects. W e also show that a few ULIRGs, optically classi ed as starbursts, have L-band spectral features suggesting the presence of a buried AGN.

K ey words: galaxies: active - galaxies: starburst - infrared galaxies

### 1 IN TRODUCTION

U ltralum inous Infrared G alaxies (U L IR G s,  $L_{\rm IR} = L_{\rm B O L} > 10^{12} L$ ) have been studied at all wavelengths, from radio to hard X-rays, with the primary aim of estimating the relative contribution of accretion and star form ation activity to the bolom etric lum inosity.

Recently, L-band (3-4 m) spectroscopy provided new powerful tools to perform this study. Im anishi & Dudley (2000), Risaliti et al. (2006, hereafter R06), Im anishi et al. (2006, hearafter I06), Im anishi et al. (2008), Sani et al. (2008) analyzed nearby bright ULIRGs from the IRAS Bright G alaxy Sample (Sanders et al. 2003) and the IRAS 1 Jy sample (K im & Sanders 1998) and dem onstrated that the AGN and starburst components can be disentangled in L-band spectra, using several indicators:

-3.3 m em ission feature: this em ission is due to Policyclic A rom atic Hydrocarbon (PAH) molecules, and is prom inent in starburst-dom inated sources (equivalent width EW 110 nm), while it is weak or absent in AGN-dom inated sources. This observational result is motivated by the di erent intrinsic em ission of AGNs and starbursts: the intense X-ray radiation of the form er is believed to destroy the PAH m olecules, which can instead survive in the starburst radiation eld.

-3.4 m absorption feature: in ULIRGs, the presence of this feature with an optical depth  $_{3:4} > 0.2$  is observationally associated to heavily obscured AGNs. This is due

to the centrally concentrated em ission of AGNs, which can be e ectively covered by large columns of dust. Im anishi & M aloney (2003) demonstrated that a large-scale source, such as a starburst, interspersed within the dust responsible for absorption, cannot have a 3.4 m absorption feature with > 0.2.

- Continuum slope: ULIRGs containing heavily obscured AGNs show a much redder L-band continuum than unobscured AGNs and starbursts. This is due to the reddening e ect of the covering dust. If the continuum is modeled with a simple power law in the -f plane, i.e. f / , the typical values for the slope are -0.5 for unobscured AGNs and pure starburst, while >1 for heavily obscured AGNs (R 06).

-Bolom etric ratios: the intrinsic ratio between the 3 m and bolom etric lum inosity is 100 times higher in pure AGNs than in starbursts (RO6). The measured ratio in ULIRGs is therefore in itself an indicator of the possible presence of an AGN component.

The power of L-band spectroscopic analysis lays in the capability of detecting heavily obscured AGNs, which are m issed at other wavelenghs. The combination of the properties listed above in plies that an AGN with an L-band optical depth  $A_L$  1 5 (corresponding to  $A_V$  20-100, i.e. com – pletely absorbed from the UV to the near-IR) can still be detectable in the L-band spectrum of ULIRGs, even when its contribution to the bolom etric lum inosity is negligible.

## 2 G.Risaliti et al.

A quantitative application of the above diagnostics has been proposed by R 06, who assumed that the L-band spectra can be reproduced as the combination of a xed starburst tem plate and an AGN template with variable dust absorption. As a consequence, the model has two free parameters: the fraction of the AGN contribution to the L-band lum inosity,

, and the optical depth  $_{\rm L}$  of the dust absorbing the AGN component. These two parameters can be estimated from the observed continuum slope, , and the equivalent width EW  $_{3:3}$  of the 3.3 m PAH emission feature.

In this paper we present the application of this method to a complete sample of 59 ULIRG with available L-band spectroscopic observations. The sample consists of all the ULIRGs in the IRAS 1 Jy sample with redshift z < 0.15. The optical classi cation (Veilleux et al. 1999) is AGN for 14 sources (25%), starburst for 12 sources (22%) and LINER for 31 sources (56%). Two sources are not optically classi-

ed.Our work is mostly based on published data, which already provided an AGN/starburst classi cation, based on the diagnostic features sum marized above. The signi cant im provem ent presented here consists in moving from a sim – ple ULIRGs classi cation as starburst or AGN, to a quantitative estim ate of the contribution of the two components to the bolom etric lum inosity.

A similar approach has also been successfully used by Nardini et al. (2008) on Spitzer/IRS 5-8 m spectra of a sample of local ULIRGs largely overlapping with the one presented here. We will discuss our results in comparison with those of Nardini et al. 2008 in Section 3.

#### 2 DATA ANALYSIS

The observations in the northern hem isphere have been perform ed with the SUBARU (45 sources) and IRTF (6 sources) telescopes, and have been presented in IO6. In the southern hem isphere the observations were m ade with the VLT (eight sources). Four of these sources have been presented by RO6 and R isalitiet al. 2006B, while the rem aining four were observed in early 2006 with ISAAC, with the same con guration as for the rst eight sources, and reduced following the steps described in RO6. We refer to RO6 and IO6 for a detailed description of the data reduction and a visual analysis of the spectra.

W eperform ed a hom ogeneous analysis of all the sources in our sample, thing each f spectrum with a standard m in imization using stated observational uncertainties. The adopted m odel consists of a power law continuum, and a Gaussian emission feature at rest fram e wavelength of 3.3 m. For each source, the spectral interval used for the t is = 325 (1 + z) 4:1 m, i.e. the region containing the 3.3 m emission feature and the continuum at longer wavelengths up to the end of the L-band.W e excluded the wavelengths shortward of the PAH em ission feature to avoid regions of bad atm ospheric transm ission, and possible distortions of the continuum slope due to ice absorption features (see R 06 and I06 form ore details). In a few spectra an hydrocarbon absorption feature at rest fram e wavelength rest = 3:4 3:5 m is clearly present. In these cases we added a Gaussian absorption component in our ts. In all the other cases we checked that this extra component is not required statistically. In Fig. 1 we show a few examples



F igure 1. Six examples of our data and best tm odels, including objects am ong the highest (B) and lowest (F) S/N.A, B and C: sources intrinsically dom inated by a heavily obscured AGN. The starburst component is however strong in the observed spectra in A and C. Note that C is optically classified as starburst.D and E: objects containing a weakely obscured AGN, which is dom inant in the L-band, but not in the Bolom etric lum inosity (See Table 1). F: Starburst-dom inated object.

of the data and spectral tting for representative objects in our sample. In Table 1 we list the best t parameters

and EW<sub>3:3</sub> for each source. A third important parameter which can be directly inferred from the analysis is the ratio between the luminosity in the L-band and the bolom etric one, obtained from IRAS uxes. As shown in R06, these three parameters are enough to provide a classi cation of the sources with respect to their AGN/starburst content. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 and 3. In Fig. 2 we show the position of our sources in the  $-EW_{3:3}$  plane. We also show the regions occupied by obscured AGN, unobscured AGN, and starbursts, based on the calibration done in R06. Fig. 3 shows the measured L-band to bolom etric ux ratio,  $R_L = f (3.3 \text{ m})/F (8-1000 \text{ m})$  versus EW 3:3.W enote that

## The AGN content of ULIRGs 3

Source (instrum ent <sup>a</sup> )		ΕW		L	BOL	3:4	Cl(L) <sup>b</sup>	Cl(Sp) <sup>c</sup>
IRAS 00188-0856 (S)	6.2 0.1	76 13	7.7 1.4	0.995 0.003	0.91 0.06	0.36 0.06	AGN*	A G N
IRAS 02411+0353E (V)	-2.4 2.2	177 51	0 <sup>e</sup>	< 0:1 <sup>e</sup>	< 10 <sup>3e</sup>	0 1	{	SB
IRAS 02411+0353W (V)	-2.5 3.0	183 80	< 3	< 0:96	< 0:18	01	{	SB
IRAS 03250+1606 (S)	-1.8 1.1	83 22	04	< 0.52	< 0.01	01	AGN*	SB
IRAS 04103-2838 (V)	-0.1 0.2	59 6	0.7 0.4	0.63 0.07	0.02 0.005	01	{	AGN*
IRAS 08572+3915 (I)	1.9 0.1	1 1	1.7 0.1	> 0:99	> 0:71	0.88 0.05	A G N	AGN
IRAS 09039+0503 (S)	0.3 0.8	257 88	0e d	< 0:1 <sup>e</sup>	< 10 <sup>3e</sup> + 0.015	0 <sup>1</sup>	AGN*	AGN*
IRAS 09116+0334 (S)	-1.9 0.3	77 18	0~	0.3 0:2	0.02 0:015	0-	AGN*	SB
IRAS 09539+0857 (S)	-0.5 0.2	101 21	< 0.2	< 0.09	< 0.01	01	SB	AGN*
IRAS 10378+1108 (S)	-2.8 1.2	43 24	04	0.6 0:2	0.02 0:02	01	SB	AGN*
IRAS 10485-1447 (S)	3.4 0.2	41 3	3.6 0.3	0.98 0.01	0.37 0.03	0.25 0.08	AGN*	AGN*
IRAS 10494+4424 (S)	-0.5 0.2	126 15	< 0.2	< 0.11	< 0.01	01	AGN*	SB
IRAS 11095-0238 (S)	1.5 0.1	127 26	5.7 3.9	> 0.95	> 0:20	01	SB	A G N
IRAS 12112+0305NE (V)	0.1 1.0	69 30	< 3	0.63 0.35	< 0:04	01	SB	SB
IRAS 12112+0305SW (V)	-0.9 0.7	< 300	{	{	{	01	{	{
IRAS 12359-0725 (S)	2.3 0.3	83 17	4.6 1.8	0.97 0.02	0.25 0.15	0 <sup>1</sup>	AGN*	AGN*
IRAS 12127-1412 (S)	2.1 0.1	21 6	2.0 0.1	0.97 0.01	0.25 0.06	0 <sup>1</sup>	AGN	AGN
IRAS 13335-2612 (V)	2.0 1.5	220 146	00	< 0:10	< 10 50	0- 0-	{	SB
IRAS 14252-1550E (S)	0.1 1.6	85 32	{	{	{	0- 0f	{	{
IRAS 14252-1550W (S)	0.1 0.3	91 20	< 1.8	0.55 0.30	0.01 0.008	0-	AGN*	SB
IRAS 14348-1447 (V)	0.4 0.4	110 30	1.2 1.1	< 0.72	< 0.03	of	AGN^	AGN*
A ID 220 (I)	2.5 5 9	/9 9	1.8 0.7	0.09 0.10	0.02 0.01	0 0 <sup>f</sup>	SB NCN*	AGN^
TRAS 16090-0139 (3)	-2916	194 70	1	1	1	0 <sup>f</sup>	CD CD	AGN "
TRAS 164001 5200 (3)	-2 0 1 4	126 37	1	( 0.54	< 0.01	of	ACN*	CD
TRAS 17028+ 5817 (S)	-21 02	83 11	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.24 0.10	0 003 0 001	0 <sup>f</sup>	AGN*	SB
TRAS $170201 3017 (8)$	1.3 0.1	18 4	1.4 0.1	0.95 0.01	0.17 0.03	0.14 0.09	AGN	AGN
IRAS 21219-1757 (S)	-0.7 0.1	1 0.5	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.99 0.005	0.47 0.10	0 <sup>f</sup>	{	{
IRAS 21329-2346 (S)	-0.4 0.7	79 16	< 1:5	< 0:74	< 0:02	0 <sup>f</sup>	AGN*	AGN*
IRAS 23234+0946 (S)	0.6 0.1	146 14	0 <sup>e</sup>	< 0:1 <sup>e</sup>	< 10 <sup>3e</sup>	Of	SB	SB
IR A S 23327+ 2913 (S)	0.1 0.8	52 16	< 2:0	0.70 0.20	0.023 0.017	Of	SB	AGN*
IRAS 10190+1322E (S)	-0.5 0.1	82 3	< 0.2	0.26 0.06	0.003 0.001	Of	SB	SB
IRAS 10190+1322W (S)	-2.2 0.4	79 20	0 <sup>d</sup>	< 0:46	< 0:02	0 <sup>f</sup>	SB	SB
IRAS 11387+4116 (S)	-1.1 0.4	106 31	0 <sup>d</sup>	< 0.45	< 0.02	0 <sup>f</sup>	SB	SB
IRAS 11506+1331 (S)	2.5 0.2	87 10	5.4 1.3	0.98 0.01	0.35 0.12	01	AG N	AG N
IRAS 13509+0442 (S)	0.2 1.3	157 35	< 3:4	< 0.97	< 0.28	01	SB	SB
IRAS 13539+2920 (S)	-0.6 0.6	94 20	< 2:8	< 0.73	< 0.03	01	SB	SB
IRAS 14060+2919 (S)	-0.2 0.2	185 15	0.6	< 0:1 <sup>e</sup>	< 10 <sup>Se</sup>	01	SB	SB
IRAS 15206+3342 (S)	0.2 0.2	71 14	1.1 0.8	0.64 0.15	0.02 0.01	0 <sup>1</sup>	SB	SB
IRAS 15225+2350 (S)	1.3 0.6	28 13	1.5 0.8	0.93 0.04	0.12 0.07	0 <sup>1</sup>	AGN*	AGN*
IRAS 16474+3430 (S)	0.5 0.4	103 29	4.8 13.4	0.90 0.48	0.08 0.36	0 <sup>1</sup>	AGN*	SB
IRAS 20414-1651 (S)	-1.4 1.0	77 18	1.5 3.4	< 0.66	< 0.02	0- 0f	SB	SB
IRAS 21208-0519 (S)	-0.4 3.1	169 102	ł	ł	ł	U	SB	SB
IRAS 05189-2524 (V)	0.1 0.1	7 1	0.4 0.1	0.96 0.01	0.18 0.01	0 <sup>f</sup>	AG N	AG N
IRAS 08559+1053 (S)	-0.2 0.1	13 4	0.3 0.1	0.91 0.03	0.09 0.03	0 1	AGN	{
IRAS 12072-0444 (S)	1.2 0.2	86 19	3.5 1.9	0.90 0.08	0.08 0.06	0.57 0.11	A G N	{
M rk 273 (I)	0.1 0.1	31 5	0.5 0.2	0.81 0.04	0.04 0.01	01	AG N	A G N
IRAS 13443+0802 (S)	-0.6 1.1	111 56	< 2:0	< 0:5	< 0:01	0 <sup>1</sup>	SB	{
PKS 1345+12 (1)	0.7 0.1	7 3	0.9 0.1	0.97 0.01	0.25 0.08	0- 0f	AGN	AGN
IRAS 13130-1958 (S)	U.2 U.I 13 03	0 L 24 12	U.5 U.1	0.93 0.01	0.14 0.00	U- 1 0 E	AGN	ł
шартина типон рана (р.) Марк 1014 (т)	1.3 U.3 -0.7 0.1	24 13	1.3 U.4	0.94 0.04	0.09 0.03	⊥ U.S ∩f	AGN	1 1
TRAS 07599+ 6508 (S)	-03 01	1 1	02 005	> 0.94	> 0.07	0 <sup>f</sup>	AGN	1 1
TRAS 11598-0112 (S)	-0.3 0.2	5 3	0.1 0.1	0.96 0.03	0.19 0.10	0 <sup>f</sup>	AGN	ι (
M rk 231 (T)	-0.4 0.1	3 0 5	0.1 0.05	0.98 0.01	0.28 0.02	0 <sup>f</sup>	AGN	AGN
IRAS 15462-0450 (S)	0.1 0.2	15 5	0.4 0.2	0.90 0.04	0.09 0.03	0 <sup>f</sup>	AGN	{
IRAS 12127-1412 (S)	2.0 0.1	21 6	2.0 0.1	0.97 0.01	0.25 0.06	0.25 0:07	AGN	AGN
IR A S 14197+ 0813 (S)	-0.6 0.5	130 16	< 0.9	< 0.67	< 0.02	Of	{	{
IRAS 14485-2434 (S)	0.7 0.1	65 8	1.7 0.3	0.80 0.04	0.04 0.01	Of	AGN	{

Table 1. Results from AGN-SB decomposition as described in the text. The horizontal lines divide the sources in groups according to their optical classi cation: LINERs (rst group), starbursts (second group), AGN (third group) and unclassi ed (the last two sources). The error on  $_{BOL}$  does not take into account the uncertainties on the L-band to bolom etric ratios. A more realistic estimate can be estimate from the scatter in Fig.5B (see text for more details).<sup>a</sup>: Telescope/instrum ent of the observation: S: Subaru; V:VLT-ISAAC; I: IRTF.<sup>b</sup>: Classi cation from \standard" L-band diagnostics, (from IO6), based on the PAH EW and the presence of absorption features. An asterisk indicates a weak evidence for the presence of the AGN.<sup>c</sup>: Classi cation based on Spitzer-IRS spectroscopy (from Im anishiet al. 2007).<sup>d</sup>: Absorption is assumed to be = 0, due to the steep continuum ( < 0:5 at > 90% con dence level).<sup>e</sup>: Values and upper lim its obtained assuming the source is pure starburst, due to the high equivalent width of the 3.3 m feature (EW > 110 nm at > 90% con dence level).<sup>f</sup>: 3.4 m absorption not required to adequately t the spectrum.

 $R_L$  is in principle a rather pow erful indicator, given the huge di erence between the L-band to bolom etric ratios for pure AGNs and pure starbursts (of a factor of about 100, R 06). However it is not capable of giving an absolute estimate of the relative contributions of the AGN/SB components, because of the degeneracy introduced by the extinction of the AGN component: the same ratio can be obtained with a faint, unobscured AGN m ixed with a powerful starburst, or with a much more lum inous, even bolom etrically dom inant, but heavily obscured AGN. However, on average we observe an anticorrelation between this AGN indicator and the 3.3 m PAH starburst indicator (Fig. 3).

The second part of our analysis consists of a quantitative estimate of the physically relevant parameters for our sources, i.e. the absorption of the AGN component, , and the continuum fraction of emission due to the AGN, (estimated at 3.3 m). We use the simple method described in R 06: the total spectrum is reproduced as a combination of an AGN power law component,  $f_{AGN} = C_{1 AGN}$ , absorbed by dust following the extinction law / <sup>1:75</sup> (Cardelli et al. 1989), and a starburst component, consisting of a power law continuum  $f_{SB} = C_{2 SB}$  and a Gaussian emission line at = 3.3 m with a xed equivalent width EW<sub>SB</sub> with respect to the starburst continuum component. Following the results obtained for bright ULIRGs in R06, we chose the values  $_{AGN} = 0.5$ ,  $_{SB} = 0.5$ , and EW  $_{SB} = 110$  nm for the AGN and SB templates.

Using this model, with simple algebra we can obtain the observed parameters and  $EW_{3:3}$  as a function of the extinction and the AGN fraction =  $C_1 = (C_1 + C_2)$ . and can then be obtained simply inverting these equations, as shown in R06. The nal result is:

$$_{\rm L} = \frac{E W_{\rm SB} E W_{\rm 3:3}}{(E W_{\rm SB} E W_{\rm 3:3})}$$
(1)

$$= \frac{E W_{SB} E W_{3:3}}{(E W_{SB} E W_{3:3}) + E W_{3:3} e^{L}}$$
(2)

where is the index of the extinction power law (=1.75). The errors on and can be directly estimated from the above equations, as shown in the Appendix of R06.

In ve cases the errors on the observed parameters are too high to obtain signicant estimates of and . For all the other sources the results are listed in Table 1.

The application of this model to the measured parameters listed in Table 1 is not straightforward for all sources: in several cases the best t values and the 90% con dence intervals of one or both of EW<sub>3:3</sub> and are outside the \allowed range" of our model,  $<_{\rm m \ in} = -0.5$  and EW<sub>3:3</sub> > EW<sub>m ax</sub> = 110 nm. In these cases our approach is the following:

If < 0.5 at > 90% statistical signi cance, we assume = 0 and we estimate the relative AGN fraction using EW 3:3: = 1 EW 3:3=(110 nm);

If E W<sub>3:3</sub> > 110 nm and is not higher than the pure starburst value  $_{SB} = _{m in} = 0.5$  we assume the source is predom inantly powered by a pure starburst and we put an upper limit of 1% to the AGN contribution. We note that in no case EW  $_{3:3}$  > 110 nm and > 0:5 at a 90% con - dence level. The values of and so obtained are shown in Table 1.

The nal step in our analysis is the estimate of the fraction of the bolom etric emission due to the AGN,  $_{\rm BOL}$ .



Figure 2.3-4 m continuum slope (in a f spectrum) versus Equivalent W idth of the 3.3 m PAH emission feature for the sam ple of z < 0.15 ULIRGs in the 1 Jy sam ple (Veilleux et al. 1999). The dashed lines m ark the starburst, unobscured AGN and AGN zones according to the results of R isaliti et al. 2006. The sam ple is split into two di erent panels for clarity, depending of the optical classi cation of the objects, m arked as di erent sym bols.

This quantity is related to the AGN fraction in the L-band, , through two new parameters, i.e. the ratio between the L-band and bolom etric lum inosities for pure AGN and pure SB,  $R_{AGN}$  and  $R_{SB}$ . Simple calculations show that:  $_{bol} = = ( + K (1 ))$  where  $K = R_{AGN} = R_{SB}$ . Following the results obtained for bright sources (RO6) we use  $R_{AGN} = 0.2$  and  $R_{SB} = 0.002$ . The factor K = 100 in the above equation in ply that the bolom etric contribution of the AGN



F igure 3. Ratio between L-band and bolom etric lum inosity, R $_{\rm L}$ , versus equivalent width of the 3.3 m PAH em ission feature.W e adopt 20% errors on R $_{\rm L}$ , estim ated from the average IRAS and L-band absolute calibration uncertainties.The dashed lines m ark the starburst and AGN zones according to R06.Sym bols are the same as in Fig.2.

can be small even in those cases where the AGN component is dominant in the L-band. The values of  $_{\rm bol}$  estimated using the above equation are listed in Column 6 of Table 1. The uncertainties related to the factor K (log(K) 2 0.5, R 06) are discussed in Section 3.3.

#### 3 D ISC U SSIO N

The application of our model to the 59 z < 0.15 U LIRGs in the 1 Jy sample of Veilleux et al. (1999) provided a quantitative estim ate of the AGN /starburst contribution in U LIRGs, and in particular in those optically classi ed as LINERs.

Here we (1) review and discuss our results, (2) com – pare them with the optical spectroscopic classi cation, and with the other multi-wavelength AGN/starburst indicators summarized in the Introduction, and (3) discuss the uncertainties of our approach.

#### 3.1 The AGN content in ULIRGs

The most relevant result of the present work is a quantitative estim ate of the contributions of the two energy sources to the lum inosity of ULIRGs. The estim ated AGN fractions in the L-band and in the total emission are summarized in the histograms in Fig. 4. The comparison between the two distributions shows the power of our diagnostics: the AGN, when present, dominates the L-band emission in most cases, even in objects where its contribution to the total lum inosity is negligible. We note that our estimates strongly depend on the modelization of the starburst/AGN emission. In particular, we assume a xed starburst template, with no

free parameter. We expect this to be a simplication of a more complex spectral behaviour, in at least two respects: a) the intrinsic equivalent width of the 3.3 memission feature (assumed to be EW  $_{3:3} = 110$  nm in our model) shows a signicant spread among pure starbursts, and b) the observed starburst emission could be a ected by some reddening/absorption, as suggested by the large spread of the ratio between ux of the 3.3 m feature and the bolom etric emission in pure starbursts (IO6). Therefore, we believe our analysis provides correct results in a statistical sense for the whole sample, but can be a ected by larger uncertainties than estimated in Table 1.We will discuss this issue in more detail in Section 3.3.

O verall, our analysis shows that:

-An AGN is present in m ost (> 60%) ULIRGs. This fraction drops to > 30% for LINERs (how ever, in several objects with low S/N the upper limit for the AGN fraction is quite high, see Table 1).

- The contribution of AGNs to the L-band emission is > 50%, while the fraction of the bolom etric lum inosity of ULIRGs due to AGNs is < 20%. Restricting to LINERs, the fraction of AGN contribution is < 30% in the L-band, and < 15% bolom etrically.

- In a few LINERs the presence of an heavily obscured AGN is bolom etrically signi cant. On the other side there is an indication that most of the heavily obscured AGN are LIN - ERs (this is based only on 5 8 objects, so it needs to be con med with larger samples). This noting con m s the heterogeneous nature of this class of objects, which are on average dom inated by starburst emission, but can host pow - erful buried AGNs.

- In our model, QSO class lum inous buried AGNs are found only in a small fraction of ULIRGs classi ed optically as LINERs or starbursts.

#### 3.2 Comparison with other AGN indicators

An AGN/SB classi cation form ost of our sources has been presented, based on observations at other wavelength, or on a di erent analysis of L-band data.

O ptical: Fig. 2 shows the classi cation of our sources in a continuum slope-PAH EW plot, for each optical class: AGN, starburst, and LINER.AGNs and starbursts are clearly separated, and are located in the regions found by R06.Two exceptions are found am ong optically classi ed starbursts: two sources, IRAS 20414-1651 and IRAS 11506+1331 (Panel C in Fig.1) show signatures of the presence of absorbed AGNs, which were m issed by studies at other wavelengths.LINERs are spread throughout the plot, con m ing their com posite nature, as quantitatively shown in Table 1 and Fig. 4.

L-band absorption features: A liphatic hydrocarbon absorption features at rest-fram e wavelengths 3.4-3.5 m have been detected in seven sources (three AGNs and four LIN – ERs). All these objects have a clear detection of an AGN according to ourm odel. M oreover, they are all associated to steep continua, with estimated continuum extinction > 1 (see also Sani et al. 2008). Therefore, this correlation is a further independent con mation of the validity of our diagnostics.

P revious L-band diagnostics: M ost of the sources in our sample were presented and discussed in IO6. In this work, the possible presence of the AGN in this work is estimated



F igure 4. D istribution of the relative AGN contribution to the L-band em ission (upper panel) and to the bolom etric em ission (low er panel) in our sam ple of ULIRGs. B lue and red (dark) histogram s refer to LINERs only, while yellow (light) histogram s refer to the whole sam ple.

through the EW of the 3.3 m PAH and the presence of absorption features. The nalclassi cation is shown in Table 1, and is in general agreem ent with our results. We stress again the two main in provem ents in the analysis presented here: a quantitative estimate of the relative AGN/SB contributions, and the inclusion of the continuum reddening as a further indicator of an obscured AGN (e.g. the source C in Fig. 1).

M id-IR diagnostics: Im anishiet al. (2007) presented com – plete Spitzer-IRS spectroscopy of most of our sources, and an AGN/SB classi cation based on several diagnostic features (PAH em ission at 6.2, 7.7 and 11.3 m, silicate absorption at

9-10 m). The results are shown in the last column of Table 1, and again are in good agreem ent with the conclusions presented here, with the same limitations discussed above for previous L-band diagnostics.

N ardiniet al. (2008) perform ed an analysis of the sam e Spitzer spectra, focusing on the 5-8 m spectral range, and applying an analysis sim ilar to the one presented here. The results on the single sources are in excellent agreem ent in the two works: this can be veri ed com paring our estim ates with their Table 1. Here we only note that the L-band analysis, besides providing an independent AGN /starburst deconvolution, has a higher diagnostic power, especially for ULIRGs hosting highly obscured AGNs, thanks to the higher contrast between AGN and starbursts in the L-band than at 5-8 m.

Alternative, powerful mid- $\mathbb{R}$  indicators of the AGN/starburst contributions in ULIRGs are the silicate absorption features in the 9-10 m band (Spoon et al. 2007), and the presence of high-ionization emission lines (Farrah et al. 2007). These studies, performed on

samples with large overlaps with ours, provide results in good agreement with our classication. However, as for the other approaches described in this Section, no quantitative estimates have been done based on these indicators.

X -rays: A strong X -ray emission is one of the strongest AGN indicators. If the obscuration of the AGN is not too high (column densities  $N_{\rm H} < 10^{24}$  cm  $^2$ ), the primary AGN spectrum is directly visible at energies below 10 keV, providing a good estimate of its total lum inosity, through bolom etric corrections obtained from AGN SEDs (e.g. Risaliti & Elvis 2004). In the obscuration is higher, only the re ection component is visible in the X-rays. In these cases, the spectral features of the re ection spectrum can identify the presence of the AGN, however a good estimate of its total lum inosity is not possible, due to the large uncertainty in the re ection e ciency. Extensive studies of local ULIRGs (e.g. Franceschini et al. 2003, Ptak et al. 2003) show that the current X-ray observatories can provide useful spectra for this diagnostics only for the 10-20 brighest sources, while most of the ULIRGs in our sample are too faint for this kind of analysis. The agreem ent between X -ray and L-band diagnostics for the few ULIRGs with high quality X-ray spectra is quite good (Sani et al. 2008, Braito et al. 2009). However, this analysis cannot be extended to more sources until more sensitive X-ray observatories are available.

#### 3.3 M odel uncertainties

The model presented here is based on a series of strong assum ptions, which m ay introduce system atic errors, in addition to the statistical errors obtained from the tting procedure. The main possible simpli cations, already discussed in R 06, are the assumption of xed AGN and starburst tem plates, and the absence of any absorption/reddening in the starburst component. O ther possible relevant e ects m ay be caused by di erent dust extinction curves from the one assum ed here.

Regarding possible obscuration of the starburst com ponent, we note that, though it cannot be excluded, it is not strongly required by the data. Physically, this may be due to the origin of the starburst emission: the integrated contribution of a large number (>  $10^6$ ) of single sources. Each single star can be heavily absorbed (as observed, for exam – ple, in the central regions of our own G alaxy), but the single di erences are smeared out by the large number of sources, producing a rather constant spectral prole of the totalem ission. Regardless of the physical interpretation, this is also suggested by the observations: the highest quality spectra of pure starburst are rem arkably sim ilar, both in the L-band (R 06) and in the 5-8 m range (N ardini et al. 2008, B randl et al. 2007).

Regarding the xed AGN and SB tem plates, this is an obvious over-sim pli cation, as shown by the scatter in the spectral parameters (Fig. 2, and ROG). We may try to estimate the e ect of this scatter by changing the parameters of the pure AGN and pure SB tem plates, and reporting how this a ects the estimate of the parameters and . Since this scatter is hard to measure in a self-consistent way, we prefer to adopt a di erent, observation-based approach. In order to do this, we notice that our model can easily provide an estimate of the expected bolom etric lum inosity for



F igure 5. Upper panel: R atio between intrinsic L-band and bolom etric lum inosity versus the AGN fraction obtained from our analysis. The blue continuous line is that expected based on our m odel. The cross on the top left corner indicates the average statistical errors on the two plotted quantities. Bottom panel: C om parison between the estim ated and m easured bolom etric lum inosities. The 0.3 dex dispersion around the 1:1 relation is a fair estim ate of the total uncertainty in our prediction of the AGN and SB bolom etric contributions.

each source, as a function of R\_{AGN}, R\_{SB}, the model parameters and , and the observed L-band lum inosity,  $L_3^{OBS}$ . In particular,

$$L_{BOL} = [R_{AGN} + (1) R_{SB}] L_{34}^{INTR}$$
(3)

where  $L_{3}^{IN} L_{4}^{TR}$  is the intrinsic L-band lum inosity (i.e. corrected for the extinction of the AGN component) and is related to the observed one by:  $L_{3}^{IN} L_{4}^{TR} = L_{3}^{OBS} L_{4}^{S} = [ + (1 )e ]$ . O ur estimate of  $L_{BOL}$  can then be compared with the observed  $L_{BOL}$ , as measured by IRAS, by using the standard calibration of Sanders & M irabel (1996). In Fig. 5 we plot two relations:

- In the upper panel the ratio  $R_{CORR}$  between the absorption-corrected L-band lum inosity,  $L_3^{INT}_4^R$ , and the m easured bolom etric lum inosity is plotted versus the L-band AGN fraction, . The continuous line is the expected rela-

tion based on our model, with no free parameters. In particular, the shape of the curve is determ ined by the mathematical relations between the model parameters, while the values at = 0 and = 1 are the parameters  $R_{\rm SB}$  and  $R_{\rm AGN}$ , respectively. O verall, the agreement between the expected curve and the data is quite good. This is a strong con mation of the validity of our method: our simple, two-parameter model can successfully predict the intrinsic L-band to bolommetric ratio.

- In the lower panel we directly com pare the predicted and m easured values of  $L_{B \ O \ L}$ . This is the most direct way to estim ate the overall uncertainties in our analysis. These include both the statistical errors from the spectral ts, and the system atic errors due to the xed tem plates. The dispersion from the 1:1 relation is lower than 0.3 dex. This is enough to provide a rough estim ate of the AGN contribution in single sources, and an accurate average estim ate for the whole sam ple.

#### 4 CONCLUSIONS

L-band spectral analysis of ULIRGs is a powerful way to disentangle the AGN and starburst contribution to the bolometric emission. This is primarily due to the diagnostic power of (a) the 3.3 m PAH emission in starbursts, (b) the continuum slope, indicative of the reddening of the AGN component, (c) the high ratio (about 100) between the AGN and starburst emission at 3 m, for the same bolometric lumm inosity.

W e applied a sim plem odel to the available L-band spectra of 59 ULIRGs from the 1-Jy sam ple, at redshift z< 0.15, in order to detect and quantitatively estim ate the AGN contribution to the emission of these sources. We nd that AGNs are present in 60% of ULIRGs, but in most cases they are not the main energy source. As a consequence, their overall contribution to the total ULIRG lum inosity is 20%. We nd that the subsam ple of objects optically classi ed as LINERs is rather com posite, with sim ilarAGN/SB fractions as the whole ULIRGs sam ple.

Our analysis revealed several objects optically classied as starburst or LINERs, and/or with weak or absent previous evidence of the presence of an AGN in the near/mid-IR, which according to our model host a powerful, obscured AGN.We plan to observe these objects in the hard X-rays, in order to obtain an independent, unambiguous con mation of these ndings.

#### ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

W e are grateful to the referee for his/her constructive com – m entswhich signi cantly helped to improve this paper. This work has been partially supported by contract ASI-INAF I/023/05/0.

## REFERENCES

A m us L , et al, 2006, ApJ, 640, 204 BrandlB.R , et al, 2006, ApJ, 653, 1129 C ardelliJ.A , C layton G .C , M athis J.S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245 Farrah D , et al., 2007, ApJ, 667, 149

## 8 G.Risaliti et al.

- FranceschiniA., et al., 2003, MNRAS, 343, 1181
- GenzelR., et al., 1998, ApJ, 498, 579
- Im anishiM ., Dudley C.C., 2000, ApJ, 545, 701
- Im anishiM ., M aloney P.R., 2003, ApJ, 588, 165
- Im anishiM ., Dudley C.C., M aloney P.R., 2006, ApJ, 637, 114
  (I06)
- Im anishiM .,Dudley C.C.,M aiolino R.,M aloney P.R.,N akagawa T., RisalitiG., 2007, ApJS, 171, 72
- Im anishiM ., Nakagawa T ., Ohyam a Y ., Shirahata M ., W ada T ., O naka T ., O iN ., 2008, PA SJ, 60, 489
- K im D.-C., Sanders D.B., 1998, ApJS, 119, 41
- N ardiniE ., R isalitiG ., SalvatiM ., SaniE ., Im anishiM ., M arconi A ., M aiolino R ., 2008, M N R A S, 385, L 130
- P tak A , H eckm an T , Levenson N . A , W eaver K , Strickland D , 2003, A pJ, 592, 782
- RisalitiG., et al., 2003, ApJ, 595, L17
- R isalitiG., E lvis M., 2004, ASSL, 308, 187
- R isalitiG., et al., 2006, MNRAS, 365, 303 (R06)
- R isaliti G ., et al., 2006B , ApJ, 637, L17
- Sanders D.B., M irabel I.F., 1996, ARA & A, 34, 749
- Sanders D.B., M azzarella J.M., K im D.-C., Surace J.A., Soifer B.T., 2003, AJ, 126, 1607
- SaniE., et al., 2008, ApJ, 675, 96
- Spoon H.W. W., Marshall J.A., Houck J.R., Elitzur M., Hao L., Armus L., Brandl B.R., Charmandaris V., 2007, ApJ, 654, L49
- Veilleux S., K im D.-C., Sanders D.B., M azzarella J.M., Soifer B.T., 1995, ApJS, 98, 171