## Universal Velocity Pro le for Coherent Vortices in Two-D in ensional Turbulence

M. Chertkov<sup>a</sup>, I. Kolokolov<sup>a;b</sup>, and V. Lebedev<sup>a;b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for Nonlinear Studies & Theoretical Division, LANL, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

<sup>b</sup> Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Kosygina 2, 119334, Russia

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

Two-dimensional turbulence generated in a nite box produces large-scale coherent vortices coexisting with small-scale uctuations. We present a rigorous theory explaining the = 1=4 scaling in the V / r law of the velocity spatial prole within a vortex, where r is the distance from the vortex center. This scaling, consistent with earlier numerical and laboratory measurements, is universal in its independence of details of the small-scale injection of turbulent uctuations and details of the shape of the box.

PACS num bers: 47.27 E-, 92.60.hk

The generation of large-scale motions from small-scale ones is a remarkable property of 2D turbulence. This phenom enon is a consequence of the energy transfer to large scales [1, 2, 3], realized via inverse cascade. Sim ulations [4, 5] and experiments [6, 7] show that accumulation of energy in a large-scale coherent structure is observed at su ciently long times if the friction is small enough and does not prohibit the energy cascade from reaching the system size. Recent interest in understanding the structure of this state was sparked by experim ental [8, 9] and num erical [10] observations of large-scale coherent vortices associated with energy condensation in forced, bounded ows. One motivation for studying 2D turbulence com es from its structural and phenom enological similarity to quasi-geostrophic turbulence [11, 12], such as that observed in planetary atm ospheres [13]. A lso as suggested in [7], the emergence of large-scale coherent structures in 2D is related to the con nem ent transition in magnetic plasmas whose slow dynamics is described by quasi-2D hydrodynam ic equations.

In this Letter, we exam ine the large-scale vortices, generated by inverse energy cascade in a nite box. We begin our discussion with a brief review of the classical theory of inverse cascade by K raichnan, Leith, and Batchelor (KLB) [1, 2, 3]. The essential di erence of 2D turbulence and 3D turbulence is the presence in the form er of a second inviscid quadratic invariant, in addition to energy, the enstrophy. Therefore, stirring of 2D OW generates an enstrophy cascade from the forcing scale, l, down to smaller scales (direct cascade) and also generates an energy cascade from the forcing scale up scales (inverse cascade). V iscosity dissipates enstrophy at the Kolmogorov scale, rvisc, which is much smaller than 1 when the Reynolds number is large. In an in nite system, the energy cascade is eventually blocked at the scale of r<sub>fric</sub> by friction, thus resulted in establishing the twocascade stationary KLB turbulence for rfric l. The Kolm ogorov phenom enology (see, e.g., [14] for a review), KLB predicts the velocity power spectrum k<sup>3</sup> in the direct cascade and k  $^{5=3}$  in the inverse cascade, where k is the modulus of the wave vector. These KLB theoretical

predictions were con med in simulations [5] and laboratory experiments [16, 17, 18]. (Note also discussion of experimental evidence of simultaneous inverse and forward cascade in the in nite system setting [9].) If the frictional dissipation is weak and  $r_{\rm fric}$  exceeds the system size L, then ultimately the KLB regime is not applicable and a large-scale coherent ow (occasionally called a condensate) emerges [20].



FIG.1: A verage vorticity pro le observed in simulations (A, Fig.2C from [10]) and experiment (B, Fig.3 from [9]).

Laboratory experim ents show ed that the coherent ow contains one or two vortices, depending on the boundary conditions, and taking roughly a halfof the system size [9, 21]. Num erical simulations of [10] reported a well-de ned scaling for the average velocity pro le in the interior, V / r , as a function of distance, r, from the vortex center, and 1=4. Sim ilar scaling was also observed in the thin layer experiment [9]. Fig. 1 summarizes the results of [9, 10] for the average vorticity, , dem onstrating the / r  $^{5=4}$  behavior corresponding to = 1=4. (W e m ention the experim ental results of [9] to em phasize em ergence of the scaling range, possibly not reached in preceding experim ents, e.g. [21], presum ably because of a som ehow higher surface friction.)

Ourmain result is a rigorous derivation of the = 1=4 scaling and explanation of why this scaling is universal. Our derivation is the rst of the kind in the eld of turbulence as predicting universal scaling for a structure emerging as the result of a nonlinear balance between the

sm all-scale turbulence and the coherent structure generated by turbulence. The key feature that allowed us to derive this analytical result, is the sm allness of the am plitude of the background velocity uctuations in com parison with the coherent part. In essence, this small param eter provides an asymptotically accurate truncation of the generally in nite system of Hopf equations on the level of third order correlation functions of velocity/vorticity. The = 1=4 scaling emerges from an explicit solution of the resulting system of equations. The main contribution to the third-order Hopf equation (for the third order correlation function) is associated with a zero mode of the respective integro-di erential operator representing the hom ogeneous part of the equation. This result is substituted into the second-order H opfequation, thus treated as a linear inhom ogeneous equation for the pair-correlation function, with the third-order correlation function calculated on the previous step. Sim ilarly, the

rst order H opf equation is a linear inhom ogeneous equation with respect to the rstm om ent (the coherent term), resulting in a closed expression for the scaling exponent. Our strategy below is to derive the set of equations, introduce the truncations and show that a scale-invariant expression with = 1=4 gives a solution consistent with the assumptions made in the process of the derivations.

The 2D velocity eld v is assumed controlled by the Navier-Stocks equation

$$[\theta_t! + (vr)! = ! + r^2! + curl f;$$
(1)

form ulated in terms of the vorticity  $! = \text{curlv.One} assumes that the uid is incompressible, r v = 0. The terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) represent the bottom friction, the viscosity and the turbulent forcing respectively. The force per unit mass, f, is assumed to be random, zero mean, statistically hom ogeneous in space and time, and correlated at an intermediate scale, l, called pumping scale. We study the case in which the pumping scale is much smaller than the size of the system (of the box), L, and it is much larger than the K olmogorov (viscous) scale, <math>r_{visc}$ . The energy density (per unit mass) " injected by the forcing f in a unit of time per unit mass is considered constant in space and time.

If one starts from zero velocity and turns on the pum ping f at t = 0, in time d  $\hat{f}^{=3} = u^{1=3}$  a direct enstrophy cascade is established in the range of scales between the pum ping scale and the viscous scale. The establishm ent of this direct cascade is followed by a much slower grow th of the inverse energy cascade from the pumping scale to larger scales. The energy-containing scale of the inverse  $u^{1=2}t^{3=2}$ , until the scale reaches cascade grow s, as l<sub>inv</sub>  $(L^2=")^{1=3}$ . A fter that the system size L at time inh the system has no choice but to deviate from spatially hom ogeneous KLB regime, producing a large-scale coherent ow. This picture is correct provided the bottom  $^{1=3}L$   $^{2=3}$ , as assumed friction is su ciently weak, in the following. Establishing the spatial pro le of the resulting average velocity at times t >  $_{\rm inh}$  is our main objective.

Once the large-scale coherent ow has emerged, the total velocity eld, v (t;r), can be decom posed into coherent and uctuating parts, v(t;r) = V(t;r) + u(t;r). By de nition of the coherent part, hui = 0, where angular brackets indicate averaging over the tem poral scale of the vortex turnover time, L=V. After a transient period, i.e. once the large-scale ow has matured, the injected energy is mainly accumulated in the coherent component of the velocity V (t;r), which grows slow ly in  $tim e_r / \frac{1}{t}$ , corresponding to the linear in time grow th of the total energy. U lim ately, the average velocity pro le V is stabilized by the bottom friction, then the velocity amplitude is determined by the balance between energy in jection and dissipation, where thus V /  $1=^{P}$ . Let us consider averaging Eq.(1)

$$(\theta_t + ) + Vr + rhu \$ i = 0;$$
 (2)

where = h! i is the average vorticity and \$ = curlu stands for respective uctuations. In Eq. 2) we have ignored viscosity and dropped pumping, both irrelevant at scales larger than 1. Our description, detailed below, is based on the assumption that the coherent ow dom inates uctuations, V u, possibly everywhere except for a small neighborhood of the vortex core. (This assumption can be accurately veri ed via a self-consistent multi-step procedure including an analysis of the multipoint correlation functions. A detailed discussion of these technical details is postponed for a longer publication.)

In the periodic set-up, e.g. realized in simulations [10], a pair of vortexes forming a dipole is form ed, whereas in a bounded box set-up one observes a single vortex, as seen in the experiment of [9]. Other structures, e.g. more than one pair of vortices or stripes or combinations of stripes and vortexes, can also emerge in boxes of special shapes, such as those with large aspect ratios or non-trivial topology (e.g., stripes and rings) [22, 23]. In the following we will focus on an analysis of a vortex which applies equally well to either of the two cases mentioned above. (Note also that our approach to describing the shape of the vortex is based on analysis of stochastic Navier-Stokes, and as such it is distinctly di erent from the quasi-equilibrium 2d approach [22, 23, 24], postulating a distribution of G ibbs-kind controlled by the set of lagrangian multipliers associated with di erent moments of vorticity.)

A n em ergence of the coherent vortices results in an inhom ogeneous redistribution of energy. A fler a vortex (or pair of vortexes) has em erged, the global pro le of V (t; r) show s two regions, corresponding to a vortex exterior and an interior respectively. In the vortex exterior the average velocity is estimated as V tor V = (the latter corresponds to the stationary case, in which the turbulence is stabilized by friction), while inside the vortex the coherent part is much larger and (up to sm all variations we are ignoring) its components are  $V_r = V$  (r) and  $V_r = 0$ . Inspired by the results of the numerical [10] and laboratory [9] experiments, we assume that the spatial prole of the coherent part in the interior of the vortex is algebraic, that is

$$V (t;r) = V_0 (L=r) ;$$
 (3)

where the distance r is measured from the vortex center and  $V_0$  estimates the coherent part of the velocity in the vortex exterior. Eq. (3) is correct for the  $r_{core}$  r L range. Here  $r_{core}$  is the size of the vortex core. The term, V r , in Eq. (2) is zero due to the isotropy of the vortex. Therefore the vortex pro le is determined by a balance of the rst and third terms in Eq. (2). O by iously, Eq. (2) is not closed and one naturally needs to consider an additional equation for the pair correlation function of velocity/vorticity uctuations inside the vortex.

In fact, it is convenient to derive these extra equations for the averages in two steps, rst rew riting Eq. 1)

$$(\theta_t + )u_r + \theta_r \hat{N}^{-1}\hat{K}u_r \quad \theta_r^2 \hat{N}^{-1}(ur \$) = 0;$$
 (4)

where both the force and the viscosity term s are dropped. The di erential operators in Eq. () are

$$\dot{N} = r[(\theta_{*} + 1)^{2} + \theta_{r}^{2}];$$
(5)

$$\hat{K} = V \left( \theta_{g}^{2} + 2\theta_{g} + 2 + \theta_{r}^{2} \right) \quad \left( \theta_{g}^{2} V \right); \quad (6)$$

where  $\$ = \ln (r=L)$ . Then, we introduce the pair correlation function of the radial velocity uctuations

$$(t;r_1;r_2;') = hu_r(t;r_1;'_1)u_r(t;r_2;'_2)i;$$
 (7)

where  $' = '_1 ~'_2$ . The correlation function is invariant under the transform ation,  $' ! ~', f_1 \$ r_2$ , corresponding to the permutation of the points labeled by 1 and 2. U sing this property and assuming analyticity of the pair correlation function (7) for small ' and  $= \ln (r_1=r_2)$ , we derive the following expression for the single-point crossobject of the second-order,  $hu_r \$ i$ , appearing in Eq. (2):

$$hu_{r} \$ i = \frac{2}{r} \hat{B} @ @, ^{1} (r; ;') = 0;' = 0$$
(8)

where  $r = {}^{p} \overline{r_{1}r_{2}}$  and  $\hat{B} = 1 + r \theta_{r} = 2$ . Note that only antisymmetric in ' term in contributes to  $hu_{r}$ \$ i.

M ultiplying Eq. (4) by the velocity at another spatial point and averaging the resulting equation over uctuations, one derives

$$\hat{N}_{1}^{1} \hat{N}_{2}^{1} \hat{N}_{2} \hat{K}_{1} \hat{N}_{1} \hat{K}_{2} (r_{1}; r_{2}; ')$$

$$= r_{1}^{2} \hat{N}_{1}^{1} r_{1} hu (r_{1}) (r_{1}) u_{r} (r_{2}) i$$

$$= r_{2}^{2} \hat{N}_{2}^{1} r_{2} hu_{r} (r_{1}) u (r_{2}) (r_{2}) i; (9)$$

where the irrelevant (asymptotically small) terms, containing the time derivative and the friction coecient, are om itted. The operator on the left hand side of Eq. (9) can be rew ritten as

$$\hat{N}_{2}\hat{K}_{1} \qquad \hat{N}_{1}\hat{K}_{2} = (r_{2}V_{1} \quad r_{1}V_{2})$$

$$[\theta^{2} + \theta^{2}_{r} + \hat{B}^{2}]^{2} + (1 \quad {}^{2})[\theta^{2} + \theta^{2}_{r} + \hat{B}^{2}]$$

$$4\hat{B}^{2}\theta^{2}_{s} + 2\hat{B}(1 \quad {}^{2}) \coth[(1 + )] = 2]\theta : (10)$$

W hen the separation  $r_1$   $r_2$  is su ciently small, the right hand side of Eq. (9) controls the inverse energy ux, exactly as in the traditional KLB case. Indeed, in the spatially hom ogeneous case, the correlation function hu  $(r_1)$ \$  $(r_1)$ u  $(r_2)$ i depends solely on  $r_1$   $r_2$ and it is also divergentless due to ru = 0. Substitut-(jr r₂))<sup>2=3</sup> ing the Kolm ogorov estimate (0) into the left hand side of Eq. (9), one nds that the term is negligible for  $r_1$   $r_2 j = r_{12}$ <sub>?</sub>, where  $r_{2}(r) = \frac{1}{3}r^{3-2} + \frac{1}{2}r^{3-2} + \frac{1}{2}r^{3-2}$  is thus an important scale dependent on the distance from the vortex core, r. 0 ne concludes that for  $r_{12}$ ? (r), the inverse cascade is modi ed by the coherent ow.

However, due to isotropy the term in related to the KLB cascade does not contribute to the object of our prime attention, hu\$ i. First, we look for such solutions, also remaining regular at sm all  $r_{12}$ , in terms of the zero modes of the operator on the left-hand side of Eq. (9), thus ignoring the sm aller right-hand side in the equation. However, these zero modes do not contribute to  $hu_r$ \$ i, because the last term in the operator (10) prohibits odd zero modes to be regular for small . Therefore, in to extract a non-zero contribution to hur\$ i, one has to account for a correction to , , related to the righthand side of Eq. (9). To get a non-trivial contribution one ought to carry our analysis to the next order in the Hopf hierarchy describing the triple velocity correlation function,  $F = hv_r (r_1)v_r (r_2)v_r (r_3)i$ .

The principal term  ${\tt s}$  in the third-order  ${\tt H}\,{\tt opf}\,{\tt equation}$  governing  ${\tt F}\,$  are

$$\frac{@}{@'_{1}}\hat{N_{1}}^{1}\hat{K_{1}} + \frac{@}{@'_{2}}\hat{N_{2}}^{1}\hat{K_{2}} + \frac{@}{@'_{3}}\hat{N_{3}}^{1}\hat{K_{3}} \quad F = 0:$$
(11)

where we again om itted asymptotically irrelevant terms, including the time derivative term, the friction term and also the contribution related to the fourth order correlation function. Formally, any zero mode of the operator K satis es Eq. (11), and the quest is to nd the scale-invariant zero mode of K,  $Z_m = \exp(im ' + m ')$  where m is an integer (to guarantee sm oothness at the sm allest scales) and  $m = \frac{m^2 + 2}{m^2 + 2} = 1$  1, which generates a nonzero contribution into  $hu_r$ \$ i via Eqs. (9,8) and has the sm allest possible m. The rst terms in the hierarchy of possible candidates are

$$F / Z_m (r_1; '_1) Z_k (r_2; '_2) Z_m k (r_3; '_3) + :::;$$
 (12)

where the dots represent the sum of the terms that are obtained from the stproduct in Eq. (2) by permuting the indices 1;2;3. However, the expression (12) generates an odd outcome for the right-hand side of Eq. (9), thus resulting in an even correction giving no contribution to  $hu_r$ \$ i. Therefore, one has to look for higher order terms in the hierarchy. One nds that the desired zero mode can can be constructed with the help of an auxiliary object,  $X_m = \exp[im' + (m + 1 + )]$ , satisfying  $(\hat{N_m})^{-1}\hat{K_m}X_m = A_m Z_m$ , where  $A_m$  are real numbers:

$$F = {}_{m} X_{m} (r_{1}; '_{1}) Z_{k} (r_{2}; '_{2}) Z_{m} {}_{k} (r_{3}; '_{3}) + :::$$

$$+ {}_{k} Z_{m} (r_{1}; '_{1}) X_{k} (r_{2}; '_{2}) Z_{m} {}_{k} (r_{3}; '_{3}) + :::$$

$$+ {}_{k} {}_{m} Z_{m} (r_{1}; '_{1}) Z_{k} (r_{2}; '_{2}) X_{m} {}_{k} (r_{3}; '_{3}) + :::;(13)$$

and the dots stand for the sum of term s which accounts for respective permutations. Eq. (13) is a solution of Eq. (11), provided  $m m A_m + k k A_k$ <sub>m k</sub> (m + k)  $A_m = 0$ . Choosing m = k = 1 we nd a term giving a non-odd /  $r^{3+r}$   $r^{3+r}$   $r^{3+r}$  3 contribution to the right hand side of Eq. (9). Then the correction to the pair cor- $/ r^{4 + \frac{r}{3 + 2} 2}$ . This result, relation function is nally substituted into the last term in Eq. (2), translates  $3 + \frac{1}{3 + 2} =$ into the 4 relation, whose solution is = 1=4. To conclude, the ZZZ and ZZX terms, represented by Eqs. (12) and Eqs. (13), are the only structures possibly contributing to the third-order correlation function F, and of the various allowed (nonzero) contributions, the ZZX term (13) with m = k = 1 dom in a tes F at  $r_{1;2}$ L.

Substituting the expressions, corresponding to = 1=4, into the H opf equations of the rst, second and third orders and estimating all the terms dropped in the derivation process con rms the validity of our asymptotic approximations. This completes our derivations.

We now summarize our results. The main and somewhat surprising result we just derived conserns universality of the vortex mean pro le. The scaling of the vortex shape is controlled primarily by a nontrivial zero mode of the operator on the right-hand side of Eq. (11) and otherwise it follows from scaling relations between pairs of terms in the rst- and second-order Hopf equations. Nothing in this solution is sensitive to the geometry of the box, or the details of the pum ping. The solution also does not depend on the type of viscosity (hyper or normal), or the damping coe cient. Our conclusion does not depend of whether or not the coherent part grows in time or if it was already saturated by damping. Finally, our results make predictions going far beyond the main scaling statem ent, in particular detailed structure of angular harm onics is predicted for pair and triple correlation functions in the coherent regimes. Our theoretical statem ents call for accurate experim ental and num erical

tests.

W e conclude by m entioning a num ber of other com prehensive questions raised by this study. Suppose a vortex or a pair of vortexes, internally tuned and built up from the energy ux are produced, but then the pum ping is switched o . W ill the initially form ed vortex will keep its shape dynamically? A loo if a som ew hat di erent in shape, non-universal and large scale vortex is created, will it transform via decaying turbulence into the universal shape predicted above? W e conjecture that answers to both the questions are a mative. These questions certainly require careful investigation in the future.

The work at LANL was carried out under the auspices of the National Nuclear Security A dm inistration of the U S.D epartm ent of Energy at Los A lam os National Laboratory under Contract No. D E-AC 52-06N A 25396. The work of IK.and V L.was partially supported by RFBR under grant no. 09-02-01346-a and FTP \Kadry".

- [1] R.H.Kraichnan, Phys. of Fluids 10, 1417 (1967).
- [2] C.E.Leith, Physics of Fluids 11, 671 (1968).
- [3] G.K.Batchelor, Physics of Fluids 12, II (1969).
- [4] L.M. Sm ith and V.Yakhot, JFM 274, 115 (1994).
- [5] V.Borue, PRL 72, 1475 (1994).
- [6] D.Z.Jin and D.H.E.Dubin, PRL 84, 1443 (2000).
- [7] M.G.Shats, H.Xia, and H.Punzmann, PRE 71, 046409 (2005).
- [8] H. Xia, H. Punzmann, G. Falkovich, and M. G. Shats, PRL 101, 194504 (2008).
- [9] H. Xia, M. Shats, and G. Falkovich, PhysFluids 21, 125101 (2009).
- [10] M. Chertkov, C. Connaughton, I. Kolokolov, and V.Lebedev, PRL 99, 084501 (2007).
- [11] M . Lesieur, Turbulence in Fluids (K luwer, 1997).
- [12] J.D. Chamey, J. Atm os. Sci. 28 (1971).
- [13] G.D.Nastrom and K.S.Gage, J.Atmos.Sci.42 (1985).
- [14] U.Frisch, Turbulence: The Legacy of A.N.Kolm ogorov (C am bridge University Press, 1995).
- [15] G. Bo etta, A. Celani, and M. Vergassola, PRE 61 (2000).
- [16] J. Paret and P. Tabeling, Physics of Fluids 10, 3126 (1998).
- [17] H. Kellay and W. I. Goldburg, Reports on Progress in Physics 65, 845 (2002).
- [18] S.-Y. Chen, R.E. Ecke, G.L. Eyink, M. Rivera, M. Wan, and Z.Xiao, PRL 96, 084502 (2006).
- [19] M.A.Rutgers, PRL 81, 2244 (1998).
- [20] L.M. Sm ith and V.Yakhot, PRL 71, 352 (1993).
- [21] H.J.H.Clercx and G.J.F.van Heijst, Applied Mech. Rev. 62, 020802 (pages 25) (2009).
- [22] P.H.Chavanis and J.Sommeria, JFM 314, 267 (1996).
- [23] Z.Yin, D.C.M ontgom ery, and H.J.H.Clercx, Phys. of Fluids 15, 1937 (2003).
- [24] R.Robert and J.Sommeria, JFM 229, 291 (1991).