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Abstract

In this work we theoretically consider possibilities of the detection of single photon quantum superposition if before detection by photo plate only one superposition arm can directly quantum dynamically interact with two-level quantum systems appropriate for corresponding non-disturbing stimulated emission or absorption. If photo plate is relatively close or if life time of the excited state is relatively large (so that there is no excited state decay before detection) this photo plate will effectively detect interference effects, i.e. superposition. (Exactly quantum dynamically here is an entangled state of the super-system, photon and two two-level systems. But it can be effectively consistently approximated by superposition of the photon only.) If photo plate is relatively distant or if life time of the excited state is relatively short (so that there is excited state decay and new spontaneously emitted photon appearance before detection) this photo plate will effectively detect interference effects (absence, i.e. superposition absence. (Exactly quantum dynamically here is an entangled state of the super-system, initial photon, two two-level systems and new photon. But it can be effectively consistently approximated by entangled state of super-system, initial photon and new photon, but not on the superposition of the initial photon only.) All this can be very interesting for discussion of the quantum detection, i.e. measurement procedure (as ”unique, Magellan straight” between ”Atlantic ocean” of the classical macroscopic decoherent phenomena and ”Great, Pacific ocean” of the microscopic quantum superposition (entanglement) phenomena).
As it is well-known experiments of the quantum superposition of single photon on fixed diaphragm with double-slit (or beam splitter, especially half-silvered mirror device) with distant detection photo plate represents base for the understanding of the standard quantum mechanical formalism [1]-[7]. In this work we shall theoretically consider a variant of mentioned experiments. Precisely we consider possibilities of the detection of single photon quantum superposition if before detection by photo plate only one superposition arm can directly quantum dynamically interact with two-level quantum systems appropriate for corresponding non-disturbing stimulated emission or absorption. If photo plate is relatively close or if life time of the excited state is relatively large (so that there is no excited state decay before detection) this photo plate will effectively detect interference effects, i.e. superposition. (Exactly quantum dynamically here is an entangled state of the super-system, photon and two two-level systems. But it can be effectively consistently approximated by superposition of the photon only.) If photo plate is relatively distant or if life time of the excited state is relatively short (so that there is excited state decay and new spontaneously emitted photon appearance before detection) this photo plate will effectively detect interference effects (absence, i.e. superposition absence. (Exactly quantum dynamically here is an entangled state of the super-system, initial photon, two two-level systems and new photon. But it can be effectively consistently approximated by entangled state of super-system, initial photon and new photon, but not on the superposition of the initial photon only.) All this can be very interesting for discussion of the quantum detection, i.e. measurement procedure [7]-[9]. (Quantum measurement as a continuous phase transition with spontaneous superposition breaking could be”unique, Magellan straight” between ”Atlantic ocean” of the classical macroscopic decoherent phenomena and ”Great, Pacific ocean” of the microscopic quantum superposition (entanglement) phenomena.) Namely, we can translate photo plate so that distance between two-level systems and photo plate continuously increases (or, formally speaking, excited state life time “continuously decreases”) It will cause a continuous ”phase transition” from case with positive detection of the effective quantum superposition (interference) of initial photon in case of negative detection of the effective quantum superposition (interference) of initial photon even if, exactly speaking, in both cases initial photon is only a sub-system of the quantum super-system (initial photon, two-level systems and (in last case) spontaneously emitted photon) in quantum entangled states (super-systemic superposition).

Consider single photon P with energy $\varepsilon$ and momentum $\varepsilon/c$ (where $c$ represents speed of light) in the quantum superposition

\[
|P(q,t)\rangle = i \ 2^{-1/2} \ |R(q,t)\rangle + 2^{-1/2} \ |T(q,t)\rangle
\]

of the reflected $|R(q,t)\rangle$ and transmitted $|T(q,t)\rangle$ wave-packet state (here q represents P coordinates and t – time moment.) as the result of well-known quantum dynamical interaction between P and a (fixed) half-silvered mirror.

We suggest such experimental arrangement in which only P in transmitted superposition arm can directly quantum dynamically interact with two identical, mutually distant, quantum two-level systems QTLS1 and QTLS2 appropriate for “identical” photon stimulated emission or absorption.
Firstly, P in transmitted superposition arm directly quantum dynamically interacts with QTLS1 in the excited quantum state $|E(Q_1, t)\rangle$ where $Q_1$ represents QTLS1 coordinates. It can be treated as a typical stimulated emission of a new “identical” photon without any disturbance of the previous photon in this superposition arm (moreover without any decoherence with reflected superposition arm) and with transition of the first two-level system from the excited in the ground state. It yields the following entangled or correlated quantum state, i.e. quantum superposition state of the quantum super-system P+QTLS1

$$|P + \text{QTLS}_1(q, Q_1, t)\rangle = i \frac{2^{-1/2}}{2^{1/2}} |R(q, t)\rangle |E(Q_1, t)\rangle + 2^{-1/2} |T(q, t)\rangle |G(Q_1, t)\rangle .$$

Here $|G(Q_1, t)\rangle$ represents QTLS2 ground state while $|T(q, t)\rangle$ represents wave packet with two identical photons, one formally denoted by P and other formally denoted as new-emitted.

Latter two “identical” photons in the transmitted superposition arm, directly quantum dynamically interact with the QTLS2 in the ground quantum state $|G(Q_2, t)\rangle$ where $Q_2$ represents QTLS2 coordinates. It can be treated as a typical absorption of only one of two photons without any disturbance of the other photon in this superposition arm (moreover without any decoherence with reflected superposition arm) and with transition of the second two-level system from the ground in the excited quantum state.

It yields the following entangled or correlated quantum state, i.e. quantum superposition state of the quantum super-system P+QTLS1+QTLS2

$$|P + \text{QTLS}_1+\text{QTLS}_2(q, Q_1, Q_2, t)\rangle = i \frac{2^{-1/2}}{2^{1/2}} |R(q, t)\rangle |E(Q_1, t)\rangle |G(Q_2, t)\rangle + 2^{-1/2} |T(q, t)\rangle |G(Q_1, t)\rangle |E(Q_2, t)\rangle .$$

Here $|E(Q_2, t)\rangle$ represents QTLS2 excited quantum state while $|T(q, t)\rangle$ represents transmitted wave packet with single photon formally denoted by P.

Finally, there is P in the transmitted superposition arm and in the reflected superposition arm both of which can be redirected by corresponding (fixed) total mirrors toward distant detection photo plate. This photo plate by single detection detects directly the single P in some small space region, i.e. “point”. But at the statistical ensemble of the detections photo plate will detect space distribution of the P numbers proportional to the probability of appearance of the single photon in corresponding space “point” by single detection. This photo plate practically never directly quantum dynamically interacts with any of two-level systems.

If photo plate is relatively close to two-level systems it can detect P before decay of the excited state of any two-level system. Then we can formally speak that excited state has relatively large life time.

But we can, in principle, translate photo-plate so that it becomes more and more distant in respect to the two-level systems. When this distance becomes equal or larger than speed of light multiplied by excited state life time then spontaneous decay of the $|E(Q_1, t)\rangle$ and $|E(Q_2, t)\rangle$ will occur for reflected and transmitted superposition arm by corresponding spontaneous emission of the photons p1 and p2 (none of which can directly quantum dynamically can interacts with P) respectively before detection of P by photo plate.. Then we can formally speak that excited state has relatively short life time.
In this case entangled or correlated quantum state (3) quantum dynamically evolves in the following entangled or correlated quantum state of the quantum super-system $P^+QTLS1+QTLS2+QEMF$

\[
|P^+QTLS1+QTLS2+QEMF\rangle = (i \ 2^{-1/2} |R(q,t)\rangle |G(Q_1,t)\rangle |G(Q_2,t)\rangle |p_1(q_1,t)\rangle
+ 2^{-1/2} |T(q,t)\rangle |G(Q_1,t)\rangle |G(Q_2,t)\rangle |p_2(q_2,t)\rangle).
\]

Here $|p_1(q_1,t)\rangle$ and $|p_2(q_2,t)\rangle$ represent quantum states of p1 and p2 with coordinates $q_1$ and $q_2$ respectively, or, more generally, different quantum states of the quantized electromagnetic field QEMF induced by both two-level systems. In an admissible approximation any of mentioned quantum states $|p_1(q_1,t)\rangle$ and $|p_2(q_2,t)\rangle$ can be considered as corresponding quantum superposition of the wave packets (with energy $\varepsilon$ and momentum $\varepsilon/c$) practically uniformly distributed in all directions. Even if $|p_1(q_1,t)\rangle$ and $|p_2(q_2,t)\rangle$ have the same energies and momentums they, practically, have not constant phase difference. For this reason $|p_1(q_1,t)\rangle$ and $|p_2(q_2,t)\rangle$ are either incoherent or at least weekly interfering.

Thus we have, formally speaking, possibility of the realization a continuous “phase transition” from relatively large to relatively short time life of the excited state of mentioned two-level systems.

Consider now what will detect photo plate in case of relatively large excited state life time and in case of relatively short excited state life time.

In the first case (relatively large life time of the excited state) quantum super-system, $P^+QTLS1+QTLS2$, before photo plate detection, is in the entangled quantum state (3). However sub-systemic wave-packets corresponding to (non-decayed) excited and ground state of any of two level systems practically do not move (in respect to the photon) and do not represent active “pointers”. In this sense these wave packets, effectively unobservable for photo plate, can be, in an admissible approximation, formally changed by unit norm constant, e.g. by 1 (one), by detection of the photon by photo plate. Then, simply speaking, mentioned entangled quantum state (3) of mentioned super-system $P^+QTLS1+QTLS2$, can be effectively reduced at the non-disturbed initial superposition of P reflected and transmitted arm (1). For this reason photo plate will effectively detect interference effects (appearance of many local minimums and maximums in photon number space distribution at the statistical ensemble of the detections), i.e. superposition.

In the second case (relatively short life time of the excited state) quantum super-system, $P^+QTLS1+QTLS2+QEMF$, before photo plate detection, is in the entangled quantum state (4). However, as it has been discussed previously, $|p_1(q_1,t)\rangle$ and $|p_2(q_2,t)\rangle$ practically incoherent and any realizes very quickly way larger than width of an individual wave packet. In this way $|p_1(q_1,t)\rangle$ and $|p_2(q_2,t)\rangle$ represent active
“pointers” For this reason and previous discussions, mentioned entangled state (4) can be reduced only in the entangled state of P+ QEMF simplifiedly denoted by

\[(5) \quad |P+\text{ QEMF} (q, q_1, q_2, t)\rangle = (i 2^{1/2} |R(q,t)\rangle |p_1(q_1,t)\rangle + 2^{-1/2} |T(q,t)\rangle |p_2(q_2,t)\rangle) .\]

(In difference by ground state of the two-level systems that can be approximated by 1, quantum states corresponding to spontaneously emitted photons can not be reduced at 1!) In this way, according to standard quantum mechanical formalism, [2], [3], P must be sub-systemically described by incoherent mixture of the second kind. For this reason photo plate will effectively detect interference effects absence (absence of many local minimums and maximums in photon number space distribution at the statistical ensemble of the detections), i.e. superposition absence.

So, by “phase transition” from relatively large to relatively short time life of the excited state of mentioned two-level systems, there is no principal differences in the quantum exact description of the quantum super-system of initial photon and two-level systems (with quantized electromagnetic field). In both cases mentioned super-system is exactly in entangled or correlated quantum state, or in super-systemic quantum superposition. It represents very important fact. But, by “phase transition” from relatively large to relatively short time life of the excited state of mentioned two-level systems, there is principal difference in effective sub-systemic description of initial photon and two-level systems. In the first case two-level systems can be treated as ”no-pointers” and can be effectively neglected so that photo plate can detect initial photon interference, i.e. superposition. In the second case two-level systems can be treated as ”pointers” that can not be effectively neglected so that photo plate can detect initial photon interference absence, i.e. superposition absence. All this is in full agreement with theoretical concepts of the measurement procedure given in [7]-[9] (according to which quantum measurement as a continuous phase transition with spontaneous superposition breaking could be ”unique, Magellan straight” between ”Atlantic ocean” of the classical macroscopic decoherent phenomena and ”Great, Pacific ocean” of the microscopic quantum superposition (entanglement) phenomena).

In conclusion the following can be repeated and pointed out. In this work we theoretically consider possibilities of the detection of single photon quantum superposition if before detection by photo plate only one superposition arm can directly quantum dynamically interact with two-level quantum systems appropriate for corresponding non-disturbing stimulated emission or absorption. If photo plate is relatively close or if life time of the excited state is relatively large (so that there is no excited state decay before detection) this photo plate will effectively detect interference effects, i.e. superposition. (Exactly quantum dynamically here is an entangled state of the super-system, photon and two two-level systems. But it can be effectively consistently approximated by superposition of the photon only.) If photo plate is relatively distant or if life time of the excited state is relatively short (so that there is excited state decay and new spontaneously emitted photon appearance before detection) this photo plate will effectively detect interference effects (absence, i.e. superposition absence. (Exactly quantum dynamically here is an entangled state of the super-system, initial photon, two two-level systems and new photon. But it can be effectively consistently approximated by entangled state of super-system, initial photon and new
photon, but not on the superposition of the initial photon only.) All this can be very interesting for discussion of the quantum detection, i.e. measurement procedure.
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