# Integrability of the M anakov (Santini hierarchy

Maxim V.Pavlov<sup>1</sup>, Jen Hsu Chang<sup>2</sup>, Yu Tung Chen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>D epartm ent of Mathematical Physics,

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences,

M. Oscow, Leninskij Prospekt, 53

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of C om puter Science, N ational D efense U niversity,

Taoyuan, Taiwan

#### A bstract

The rst example of the so-called \coupled" integrable hydrodynam ic chain is presented. In nitely many commuting ows are derived. Compatibility conditions of the rst two of them lead to the remarkable Manakov {Santini system. Integrability of this four component three dimensional quasilinear system of the rst order as well as the coupled hydrodynam ic chain is proved by the method of hydrodynam ic reductions. In comparision with a general case considered by E.V. Ferapontov and K.R. Khusnutdinova, in this degenerate case N component hydrodynam ic reductions are parameterized by N + Marbitrary functions of a single variable, where M is a number of branch points of corresponding Riemann surface. These hydrodynam ic reductions also are written as symmetric hydrodynam ic type systems. New classes of particular solutions are found.

# C ontents

1	Introduction	3
2	Lax representation	5
3	G enerating functions	10
4	D erivation of the M anakov{Santini system	14
5	Sem i-H am iltonian hydrodynam ic reductions	15
6	Two species of Riemann invariants	18
7	Sym m etric hydrodynam ic reductions	23
8	Explicit hydrodynam ic reductions	28
9	G eneralized hodograph m ethod	30
10	Conclusion	33
R (	eferences	33

keywords: hydrodynam ic chains, Riem ann invariants, sym metric hydrodynam ic type system s.

MSC:35L40,35L65,37K10; PACS:0230J,1110E.

#### 1 Introduction

This paper is dedicated to a new type of integrable systems, i.e. vector hydrodynamic chains. The simplest example of scalar integrable hydrodynamic chains is the famous Benney hydrodynamic chain (see [2])

$$A_{+}^{k} = A_{x}^{k+1} + kA^{k-1}A_{x}^{0}; \quad k = 0;1;2; ...$$
 (1)

This hydrodynam ic chain can be written in the conservative form  $^1$  (see, for instance, [31])

$$Q_t H_k = Q_x \quad H_{k+1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{m=0}^{x} H_m H_{k-1} m$$
;  $k = 0;1;2; ...;$  (2)

where all conservation law densities  $H_k$  are polynomial functions with respect to moments  $A^n$ . For instance (see [2]),  $H_0 = A^0$ ;  $H_1 = A^1$ ;  $H_2 = A^2 + (A^0)^2$ ;  $H_3 = A^3 + 3A^0A^1$ ; :::

In this paper, we consider a simplest generalization of the Benney hydrodynam ic chain

0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1

B 
$$G_{k} C = B G_{k+1} C G_{k+1} C G_{k} G_{$$

where the corresponding vector function contains two species of eld variables  $(q_k; f_k)$  only. We believe that much more complicated integrable vector hydrodynamic chains

$$\tilde{A}_{t}^{k} = \hat{B}_{0} (A) \tilde{A}_{x}^{k+1} + \hat{B}_{1} (A) \tilde{A}_{x}^{k} + :::+ \hat{B}_{k+1} (A) \tilde{A}_{x}^{0}; k = 0;1;2;:::$$
 (4)

can be discovered in coming future. In such a general construction, matrix functions  $\hat{B}_k$  (A) can depend on  $\hat{A}^0$ ;  $\hat{A}^1$ ; ...;  $\hat{A}^k$  for each index k only, and vector  $\hat{A}^k$  can depend on an arbitrary number of components.

This vector hydrodynam ic chain (3) possesses two obvious reductions. If  $f_k$  vanish, then  $q_k$ !  $H_k$ , and (3) reduces to (2); if  $g_k$  vanish, then (3) reduces to the well-known linearly degenerate hydrodynam ic chain (see formula (37) in [26])

$$(f_k)_+ = (f_{k+1})_y \quad f_0 (f_k)_y; \quad k = 0;1;2; \dots$$
 (5)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  as usual, a sum m ation for negative values of upper indices should be ignored in all cases below .

Thus, (3) is a natural generalization of two remarkable integrable hydrodynamic chains on \two species" case. This paper is particularly inspired by the rst example in physical literature such a Taranov's bi-chain (describing high frequency electron-positron plasma waves, see detail in [34])

$$A_{t}^{k} = A_{x}^{k+1} + kEA^{k-1}; \quad B_{t}^{k} = B_{x}^{k+1} \quad kEB^{k-1}; \quad k = 0;1;2;...;$$

where

$$dE = (A^0 B^0)dx + (A^1 B^1)dt$$
:

However, this bi-chain has no a \hydrodynam ical" origin, i.e. this bi-chain does not possess hydrodynam ic reductions (see [11]). In a contrary with this bi-chain, hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3) possesses in nitely many hydrodynamic reductions. Moreover, hydrodynamic bi-chain (3) is connected with the three dimensional quasilinear Manakov {Santinisystem determined by a commutativity condition of two vector elds (see [21]). In this paper, these vector elds are utilized for a derivation of hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3). Method of sym m etric hydrodynam ic reductions (see [30]) is extended on this hydrodynam ic bi-chain. A construction of higher symmetries (see [3]) is reformulated for a description of hydrodynamic reductions, higher commuting ows and for an application to the generalized (T sarev's) hodograph method (see [35]). Thus, in this paper, we prove an integrability of hydrodynam ic bi-dhain (3) by the method of hydrodynam ic reductions adopted on two species of m om ents A k and B k. M oreover, extracting sym m etric hydrodynam ic reductions (see [30]), we present a list of in nitely many explicit hydrodynamic reductions, i.e. one can construct in nitely many particular solutions for the aforementioned Manakov{Santini system as well as for hydrodynamic bi-chain (3). First examples of three-component hydrodynamic reductions of the Manakov (Santini system were found in [6]. In comparison with the previous preliminary investigation (see [6]), we been able to prove a consistency of all described hydrodynam ic reductions with hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3) as well as with a whole M anakov {Santini hierarchy.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, a four component three dimensional hydrodynamic type system (equivalent to the Manakov{Santini system) is presented. Due to its dispersionless Lax representation, a rst example of integrable vector hydrodynamic chains is derived. Simple reductions to well-known hydrodynamic chains are found. In Section 3, a generating function of conservation laws and commuting ows is described. In Section 4, the Manakov{Santini system is obtained from a new hydrodynamic bi-chain. In Section 5, semi-Hamiltonian reductions of the Manakov{Santini system are considered. It is proved that a corresponding dispersion relation is degenerated. In Section 6, two species of Riemann invariants are introduced. Full extended Gibbons{T sarev system is derived. In Section 7, all symmetric hydrodynamic reductions are described, and some of them are explicitly found. In Section 8, the generalized hodograph method is applied for a construction of in nitely many particular solutions for the Manakov{Santini hierarchy. In Conclusion, a generalization of the approach presented in this paper is discussed.

# 2 Lax representation

The function (x;t;y;q) determ ined by the pair of linear equations

$$_{t} = (q \ a)_{x} u_{x q}; \quad _{y} = (q^{2} \ aq \ c)_{x} (qu_{x} + u_{t})_{q}$$
 (6)

exists, because a compatibility condition ( $_{\rm t}$ ) $_{\rm y}$  = ( $_{\rm y}$ ) $_{\rm t}$  implies to the three dimensional hydrodynam ic type system

$$a_t = (c + u)_x$$
;  $c_t = ca_x$   $ac_x$   $b_x + a_y$ ;  $u_t = b_x$   $au_x$ ;  $b_t = cu_x + u_y$ ; (7)

which is equivalent to the well-known M anakov (Santini system (see [21])

$$w_{xy} = w_{tt} + w_x w_{xt} + (u w_t) w_{xx}; u_{xy} = u_{tt} + u_x^2 + w_x u_{xt} + (u w_t) u_{xx};$$
 (8)

Indeed, the rst two equations in (7) reduce to the rst equation in (8) by the potential substitution  $a = w_x$  and  $c = w_t$  u, while the second equation in (8) can be obtained from the compatibility condition  $(b_t)_x = (b_x)_t$  of other two equations in (7).

An inverse transform ation (x;t;y;q)! q(x;t;y;) leads to the pair of compatible quasilinear equations

$$q_t = (q \ a)q_x + u_x; \quad q_v = (q^2 \ aq \ c)q_x + qu_x + u_t;$$
 (9)

Let us introduce another compatible pair of auxiliary quasilinear equations (formally, replacing  $q_x;q_y;q_y$  and u by  $f_x;f_t;f_y$  and a, respectively)

$$f_t = (q \ a)f_x + a_x; \quad f_y = (q^2 \ aq \ c)f_x + qa_x + a_t;$$
 (10)

where f(x;t;y;q) depends on the parameter implicitly via a dependence q(x;t;y;). The compatibility conditions  $(q_t)_y = (q_y)_t$  and  $(f_t)_y = (f_y)_t$  imply to (7) in both cases.

The reduction a = 0; c = u reduces (7) to the fam ous K hokhlov {Zabolotskaya equation (also well known as a dispersionless lim it of the K adom tsev {Petviashvili equation)

$$u_t = b_x; \quad b_t = u_v \quad uu_x \tag{11}$$

determ ined by compatibility condition (9) under the reduction f = 0; the reduction u = 0; b = 0 reduces (7) to the remarkable equation (recognized as an anti-self-duality reduction of the famous Yang-Mills equation, see [8])

$$a_t = c_x; \quad c_t = ca_x \quad ac_x + a_y;$$
 (12)

determ ined by compatibility condition (10) under the reduction q = 0.

Let us substitute an expansion (! 1;q! 1, according to a general theory of a relationship between dKP equation (11) and Benney hydrodynamic chain (2); see, for instance, [31])

$$q = \frac{q_0}{2} \frac{q_1}{2} \frac{q_2}{3} \quad \dots \tag{13}$$

in the rst equation of (9). Then the rst part of hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3) is derived, where  $u = q_0$  and  $b = q_1$ . A substitution of an expansion (! 1;f! 1, according to a general theory of a relationship between quasilinear system (12) and hydrodynam ic chain (5); see formula (39) in [26])

$$f = \frac{f_0}{2} \frac{f_1}{2} \frac{f_2}{3} \dots$$
 (14)

in the rst equation of (10) implies to the second part of hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3), where  $a=f_0$  and  $c=f_1$   $q_0$   $f_0^2=2$ . Thus, whole hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3) cannot be derived just from the rst equation of (9) as well as from the rst linear equation of (6) by an inverse transformation (! 1; q! 1, see (13))

$$= q + \frac{A^{0}}{q} + \frac{A^{1}}{q^{2}} + \frac{A^{2}}{q^{3}} :::;$$
 (15)

which is useful in a derivation of Benney hydrodynam ic chain (1) under a corresponding reduction f = 0 to the dKP case (see, for instance, [31]). In such a case, another form all expansion (! 1; M ! 1, the so-called 0 rlov function; see [3])

$$M = \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} nt^{n-1-n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} v_{n}^{n}$$

for the sequation of (6) can be utilized in a derivation of the second part (see [6]) of hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3), where  $p_n = (v_n)_x$  are nothing else but conservation law densities (see below). Here  $t^0 = x$ ;  $t^1 = t$ ;  $t^2 = y$ . A llother higher \times"  $t^k$  are associated with higher commuting hydrodynam ic bi-chains (see below). Instead this O rlov function M, in this paper, we use an alternative approach (see (9) and (10)) to derive a generating function of conservation laws (p M, where is a free parameter; see detail in [6]) as well as a generating function of commuting ows (see below).

A substitution (15) to (14) implies to the expansion (f! 1;q! 1)

$$f = \frac{B^0}{q} \frac{B^1}{q^2} \frac{B^2}{q^3} :::$$
 (16)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  It m eans that the function M (;t;v) satis es (6) as well as the function (q;A).

Let us rewrite (10) splitting dynam ics with respect to x;t;y and q. It means the dynam ics (9) is excluded from (10), and q becomes an extra independent variable as well as x;t;y. Corresponding equations reduce to the form (cf. (6))

$$f_t = (q \ a)f_x \ u_x f_q + a_x; \ f_y = (q^2 \ aq \ c)f_x \ (qu_x + u_t)f_q + qa_x + a_t$$
: (17)

A substitution (15) to the rst equation in (6) and (16) to the rst equation in (17) leads to a generalization of Benney hydrodynam ic chain (1) to the vector case (cf. (3))

where  $a = B^0$  and  $u = A^0$ .

This hydrodynam ic bi-chain has three obvious reductions. If  $A^k = 0$ , then (18) reduces to (5); if  $B^k = 0$ , then (18) reduces to (1); if  $B^k = A^k$ , then (18) reduces to the \deform ed Benney hydrodynam ic chain

$$A_{+}^{k} = A_{+}^{k+1}$$
  $A^{0}A_{+}^{k} + kA^{k}^{1}A_{+}^{0}$ ;  $k = 0;1;2;...;$ 

derived in [13], whose integrability was investigated in [32]. This hydrodynam ic chain is connected (see [29]) with the so-called \interpolating system " (see [7]).

M oreover, M anakov{Santini system (8) possesses a natural reduction  $u = w_t$  (see [6]) to the continuum  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) = 0$  to the continuum  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) = 0$  to the continuum  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) = 0$  (see [6])

$$xy = tt + \frac{1}{2} xt; (19)$$

where w = t. In such a case (7) reduces (i.e. c = 0) to

$$a_t = u_x$$
;  $a_y = b_x$ ;  $b_t = u_y$ ;  $u_t = b_x$   $au_x$ :

A comparison of the rst conservation law  $a_t = u_x$  (i.e.  $B_t^0 = A_x^0$ , see the notation in (18)) with the rst conservation law of (18)

$$B_t^0 = B^1 \frac{1}{2} (B^0)^2$$

implies to the rst constraint

$$A^0 = B^1 \frac{1}{2} (B^0)^2$$
:

It means, that hydrodynamic bi-chain (18) reduces to the hydrodynamic chain

$$B_{t}^{k} = B_{x}^{k+1} \quad B^{0}B_{x}^{k} + kB^{k-1} \quad B^{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} (B^{0})^{2} \quad ; \tag{20}$$

which is a particular case of a more general class of integrable hydrodynamic chains (;; are arbitrary constants)

$$B_{t}^{k} = B_{x}^{k+1} \quad B^{0}B_{x}^{k} + (k+)B^{k}B_{x}^{0} + kB^{k-1}B^{1} + \frac{2}{2} (B^{0})^{2}$$

investigated in [18] and then in [32]. A computation of all other constraints  $A^k$  (B°; B¹; ...; B k+1) is a more complicated task. Nevertheless, the second constraint can be found due to comparison of the fourth equation  $u_t = b_x$  au<sub>x</sub> (see (19)) with the rst equation  $A_t^0 = A_x^1$  B° $A_x^0$  from the A-part of (18). It means that  $b = A^1$  and a substitution of the rst constraint  $A^0 = B^1$  (B°)²=2 leads to the conservation law  $B_t^1 = A_x^1$ . Taking into account the second equation from (20), the second constraint  $A^1 = B^2$  (B°)³=3 can be easily obtained.

In aforem entioned case (19), the rst equation in (9) reduces to

$$p_{+} = \theta_{x} (e^{p} + B^{0});$$

where  $p = \ln (q B^0)$ . This is a generating function of conservation laws for the remarkable hydrodynamic chain (see, for instance, [15] and [20])

$$C_t^k = C_x^{k+1} + kC^kC_x^0; k = 0;1;2;...;$$

where  $C^0 = B^0$  and all other moments  $C^k$  are connected with  $B^n$  by inverse triangular point transform ations (see detail in [32]). Since this hydrodynam ic chain belongs to the Boyer(Finley (a continuum lim it of 2D Toda Lattice) hierarchy (see [5]), a corresponding algebraic mapping is given by the expansion (! 1;q! 1;p! 1)

$$= e^{p} + C^{0} + e^{p}C^{1} + e^{2p}C^{2} + \dots = q + \frac{C^{1}}{q^{C^{0}}} + \frac{C^{2}}{(q^{C^{0}})^{2}} + \frac{C^{3}}{(q^{C^{0}})^{3}} + \dots$$

which must coincide with two other expansions (! 1;q! 1; see (15))

$$= q + \frac{A^{0}}{q} + \frac{A^{1}}{q^{2}} + \frac{A^{2}}{q^{3}} ::: = (q B^{0}) \exp \frac{B^{0}}{q} + \frac{B^{1}}{q^{2}} + \frac{B^{2}}{q^{3}} :::$$
 (21)

Thus, we see that hydrodynam ic chain (20) is embedded in hydrodynam ic bi-chain (18) by very complicated reductions  $A^k$  ( $B^0$ ;  $B^1$ ; ...;  $B^{k+1}$ ), while (8) reduces to (19) by the simple constraint c=0 (see (7)). Moreover, in this case, both linear systems (9) and (17) coincide due to aforementioned link (see (16) and (21))

$$= (q B^{0})e^{f}$$
:

The general problem of all possible compatible reductions  $A^k$  ( $B^0$ ;  $B^1$ ; ...;  $B^{k+n}$ ) should be considered in a separate publication (for each xed non-negative integer n).

## 3 Generating functions

Let us rewrite the st equation of (10) in the form

$$s_t = (q \quad a)s_x + sa_x;$$

where (see (14))

$$s = \exp f = 1$$
  $\frac{S_0}{2}$   $\frac{S_1}{2}$   $\frac{S_2}{3}$  ::: (22)

Then hydrodynam ic bi-chain (3) reduces to the form

$$(s_k)_t = (s_{k+1})_x \quad s_0 (s_k)_x \quad q_{k-1-m} (s_m)_x + s_k (s_0)_x; \quad (q_k)_t = (q_{k+1})_x \quad s_0 (q_k)_x \quad q_{k-1-m} (q_m)_x;$$

$$m = 0$$

where  $s_0 = f_0$  (all other higher unknown functions  $s_k$  are polynomial functions of  $f_0$ ;  $q_0$ ;  $f_1$ ,  $q_1$ ; ...;  $f_k$ ;  $q_k$  for each index k). If  $q_k$  vanish, this hydrodynamic bi-chain reduces precisely to the form derived in [1].

Let us introduce the so-called vertex operator (! 1)

$$Q_{()} = \frac{1}{2}Q_{t^0} \frac{1}{2}Q_{t^1} \frac{1}{3}Q_{t^2} \dots$$
 (23)

Theorem: Higher commuting hydrodynamic bi-chains are determined by the pair of vertex equations

$$(0)_{()}s() = \frac{s()(0_{x}s()) - s()(0_{x}s())}{q() - q()}; \quad (0)_{()}q() = s()(0_{x}\ln(q()) - q())$$
 (24)

by a substitution (13), (22),

$$q() = \frac{q_0}{2} \frac{q_1}{2} \frac{q_2}{3} ::: s() = 1 \frac{s_0}{2} \frac{s_1}{2} \frac{s_2}{3} :::$$

and (23).

Proof: A direct substitution (13), (22) and (23) in (24) leads to a family of innitely many hydrodynam ic bi-chains. Their commutativity follows from the compatibility conditions ( $^{\circ}$ ()( $^{\circ}$ ( $^{\circ}$ ()) = ( $^{\circ}$ ( $^{\circ}$ ()); ( $^{\circ}$ 

$$@_{()}a = @_xs();$$
  $@_{()}c = (q() a)@_xs() s()@_x(q() a);$  (25)

$$\theta_{()}u = s()\theta_{x}q();$$
  $\theta_{()}b = s()\theta_{x} \frac{q^{2}()}{2} + u ;$ 

which are compatible (i.e.  $@_{()}(@_{t}a) = @_{t}(@_{()}a); @_{()}(@_{t}b) = @_{t}(@_{()}b); @_{()}(@_{t}c) = @_{t}(@_{()}c); @_{()}(@_{t}u) = @_{t}(@_{()}u))$  with (7). Thus, the theorem is proved.

Remark: This generating function of commuting ows (25) can be obtained by a substitution (13), (22) in (24) only.

Taking into account the rst equation in (25), expansions (22) and (23), the sole function  $S(t^0;t^1;t^2;:::)$  can be introduced such that

$$s() = 0 ()S + 1; s_k = \frac{0S}{0t^k}$$
:

Then the rst equation in (24) implies to<sup>3</sup>

$$q^{0}() = \frac{s()@_{x}s^{0}() s^{0}()@_{x}s()}{@_{()}s()}$$

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ here and below, the sign \prim e" m eans a derivative with respect to a corresponding param eter.

in the lim it ! . Thus, all functions  $q_k$  can be expressed via rst and second derivatives of the sole function S with respect to corresponding  $\mathsf{tim}\,\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{m}}$  variables  $\mathsf{t}^n$ . A substitution of these dependencies to the second equation in (24) leads to an in nite set of three dimensional quasilinear equations of the third order. From the other hand, three copies of the rst equation in (24) imply to a sole vertex equation

$$\frac{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S}{[0]_{()}[0]_{()}S} + \frac{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S}{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S} + \frac{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S}{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S} + \frac{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S}{[0]_{()}S + 1][0]_{x}[0]_{()}S} = 0:$$

An expansion of this generating function with respect to three parameters;; at in nity leads to an in nite set of three dimensional quasilinear equations of the second order.

Remark: The second equation in (24) implies to

$$s() = \frac{\theta_{()}q()}{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}()}$$
:

in the lim it ! . Thus, all functions  $s_k$  can be expressed via functions  $q_0; q_1; ...; q_k$  and their rst derivatives with respect to corresponding \time" variables  $t^n$ . A substitution of these dependencies to the rst equation in (24) leads to an in nite set of three dimensional quasilinear equations of the second order.

$$[q(\ ) \ q(\ )] \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} q(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}(\ )} = \underbrace{\theta_{(\ )} \ln q^{0}(\ )}_{\theta_{x} \ln q^{0}($$

Generating functions (24) have an obvious two reductions. The choice s() = 1 m eans reduction to the generating function associated with the dKP hierarchy (11) and with the Benney hydrodynam ic chain (2); the choice q() = m eans reduction to the generating function associated with hydrodynam ic chain (5), quasilinear system (12) and the so-called \universal" hierarchy (see detail in [1] and [26]).

Theorem: Hydrodynamic bi-chain (3) possesses a generating function of conservation laws

$$Q_t p = Q_x [(q \quad a)p]; \tag{26}$$

where the generating function of conservation law densities is given by

$$p() = \frac{q^{0}()}{s()}$$
: (27)

Proof: Indeed, taking into account the rst equation in (9) and the rst equation in (10), a direct substitution (27) in (26) in plies to an identity.

Remark: A substitution (13) and (22) in (27) leads to an expansion

$$p = 1 + \frac{p_0}{1} + \frac{p_1}{2} + \frac{p_2}{3} + \dots$$

where allowe cients  $p_k$  can be expressed polynomially via eldvariables  $q_0$ ;  $f_0$ ;  $q_1$ ;  $f_1$ ; ...;  $q_k$ ;  $f_k$ . Corresponding conservation laws can be written in the form

$$p_{k,t} = Q_x \quad p_{k+1} \quad p_0 p_k \quad q_k \quad p_{k-n-1} q_n \quad k = 0;1;2; :::$$

Of course, all conservation laws can be written via m om ents  $A^k$ ;  $B^k$ . For instance, rst three of them are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{t}\mathbf{B}^{\,0} &= \,\mathbf{Q}_{x} \quad \mathbf{B}^{\,1} \quad \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{2}}{2} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{Q}_{t} \quad \mathbf{B}^{\,1} + \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{2}}{2} + \mathbf{A}^{\,0} \quad = \,\mathbf{Q}_{x} \quad \mathbf{B}^{\,2} \quad \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{3}}{3} + \mathbf{A}^{\,1} \quad ; \\ \mathbf{Q}_{t} \quad \mathbf{B}^{\,2} + \,\mathbf{B}^{\,0}\mathbf{B}^{\,1} + \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{3}}{6} + 2\mathbf{A}^{\,1} + 2\mathbf{A}^{\,0}\mathbf{B}^{\,0} \\ &= \,\mathbf{Q}_{x} \quad \mathbf{B}^{\,3} + \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,1})^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{2}\mathbf{B}^{\,1}}{3} \quad \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{4}}{8} + 2\mathbf{A}^{\,2} + 2\mathbf{A}^{\,0}\mathbf{B}^{\,1} \quad (\mathbf{B}^{\,0})^{2}\mathbf{A}^{\,0} + (\mathbf{A}^{\,0})^{2} \quad ; \end{aligned}$$

Corollary: A generating function of conservation laws and commuting ows is given by an auxiliary vertex equation

Indeed, a substitution (24) and (27) in the above equation implies to an identity. Moreover, this generating function can be written via the sole function S

$$\underbrace{0}_{()} \underbrace{\frac{\theta_{x} [\ln s()]^{0}}{\theta_{()} \ln s()}} = \underbrace{0}_{x} \underbrace{\frac{[s()\theta_{x}s^{0}() s^{0}()\theta_{x}s()]s()\theta_{()}s()}{[s()\theta_{x}s() s()\theta_{x}s()]s()\theta_{()}s()}};$$

or via in nitely many eld variables qk

$$(0 ) \frac{(0 + q^{0})}{(0 + q^{0})} = (0 + q^{0}) \frac{(0 + q^{0})}{(0 + q^$$

## 4 Derivation of the Manakov {Santinisystem

A substitution of expansions (15) and (16) in the second equations of (6) and (17) implies to the rst commuting ow of (18)

where  $c = B^{1}$   $(B^{0})^{2}=2$   $A^{0}$ .

Let us take rst two equations from (18) and the rst equation from (29)

An elim ination  $A^2$  and  $B^2$  leads to three dimensional four component quasilinear system of the rst order 0 1 0 1 0 1

A substitution  $A^0 = u$ ;  $B^0 = a$ ;  $A^1 = b$  and  $B^1 = c + a^2 = 2 + u$  in plies to (7).

Thus, we have proved that three dimensional four component quasilinear system of the rst order (7) is equivalent to commuting hydrodynamic bi-chains (18) and (29).

It means that any solution (obtained by the method of hydrodynam ic reductions, see below) of (7) can be utilized for a construction of a corresponding solution of two linear systems (6) and (10); then such a solution can be used for construction of a solution of commuting hydrodynam ic bi-chains (18) and (29). And vice versa, any solution of commuting hydrodynam ic bi-chains (18) and (29) implies immediately to a solution of (7).

## 5 Sem i-H am iltonian hydrodynam ic reductions

Following approach established in [11], all eld variables a;b;c;u in (7) are considered as functions of N R iem ann invariants k(x;t;y), where hydrodynam ic reductions are commuting sem iH am iltonian hydrodynam ic type systems

$$i = v^{i}() i_{x}; i = w^{i}() i_{x}; i = 1;2; ...; N$$
 (30)

for any N. Characteristic velocities must satisfy the systems (see [35])

$$\theta_{k} \frac{\theta_{j} v^{i}}{v^{j} v^{i}} = \theta_{j} \frac{\theta_{k} v^{i}}{v^{k} v^{i}}; \quad i \in j \in k;$$

$$(31)$$

$$\frac{\theta_{k}v^{i}}{v^{k}v^{i}} = \frac{\theta_{k}w^{i}}{w^{k}w^{i}}; i \in k;$$
(32)

where  $\ell_k = \ell = \ell^k$  . A substitution (30) in (7) implies to the so-called \dispersion relation"

$$w^{i} = v^{i^{2}} + av^{i}$$
 c (33)

and two di erential relations

$$\theta_{i}(c+u) = v^{i}\theta_{i}a; \quad \theta_{i}b = (v^{i}+a)\theta_{i}u;$$
 (34)

A substitution (33) in (32) together with the rst equation from (34) in plies to

$$\theta_{i}q^{k} = \frac{\theta_{i}u}{q^{i} \quad q^{k}}; \quad i \in k;$$
(35)

where we introduce  $q^i = v^i + a$  instead  $v^i$ . Compatibility conditions  $\theta_k (\theta_i (c + u)) = \theta_i (\theta_k (c + u))$  and  $\theta_k (\theta_i b) = \theta_i (\theta_k b)$  for each pair of distinct indices lead to

$$\theta_{ik}u = 2\frac{\theta_i u \cdot \Omega_i}{(q^i - q^k)^2}; \tag{36}$$

$$\theta_{ik}a = \frac{\theta_{i}u \quad \ell a + \theta_{k}u \quad \ell a}{(q^{i} \quad q^{k})^{2}}$$
: (37)

System (35) { (37) is an analogue of the G ibbons{T sarev system for the dKP equation (see [9]). Equations (37) is a linear system whose variable coe cients are determined by solutions of sub-system (35), (36) which is precisely the aforementioned G ibbons{T sarev system. O riginal G ibbons{T sarev system (35), (36) has a general solution parameterized by N arbitrary functions of a single variable. Linear system (37) also has a general solution parameterized by N arbitrary functions of a single variable. Thus, whole system (35) { (37) has a general solution parameterized by 2N arbitrary functions of a single variable.

Thus, this is a st example (in a literature), where number of arbitrary functions twice bigger than in an ordinary theory (see [11]). Let us give an explanation of this deviation here. Three dimensional four component hydrodynamic type system (7) should be written in the form

A dispersion relation (see [11]) for any three dimensional hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t = \hat{A}u_x + \hat{B}u_y$$

is determ ined by

$$\det[\hat{A} + \hat{B} \quad \hat{E}] = 0;$$

where and are constants, and  $\hat{E}$  is an identity matrix. In our case,

im plies to the reducible quartic

$$(^2 + a c)^2 = 0$$
:

Thus, instead a full algebraic equation of the fourth order (with distinct roots), hydrodynamic type system (7) possesses the degenerated dispersion relation (conic) (cf. (33))

$$=$$
  $^{2} + a$  c:

An existence of hydrodynam ic reductions (30) (integrability conditions (31) and (32) are not necessary!) reduces (38) to the form (cf. (39))

Thus, indeed (see (33)) has a meaning of the characteristic velocity w, and has a meaning of the characteristic velocity v. Such an existence of this double conic leads to a double freedom of solutions for generalized G ibbons{T sarev system (35){(37).}

An existence of such a double conic leads to the following phenomenon. The number N of Riemann invariants  $^k$  can be split on two parts. First M Riemann invariants are branch points of the algebraic curve = (;q) (see below); all other N M Riemann invariants are just mark points  $r^n$  on this algebraic curve = (;q). M can run all values from 1 up to N . A nother origin of this phenomenon is connected with an observation that

whole hydrodynam ic bi-chain (18) contains two parts, where each of them is determined by a dierent linear system (see (6) and (10)). Moreover, rst M Riemann invariants are connected with the A {part of (18), while other N M Riemann invariants are connected with the B {part of (18).

Since integrable three dimensional four component hydrodynamic type system (7) determined by linear system (6) is associated with commuting hydrodynamic bi-chains (18) and (29), to avoid a lot of unnecessary computations without loss of generality and for simplicity we shall consider just rst hydrodynamic bi-chain (18) below.

# 6 Two species of Riemann invariants

Following the approach presented in [9], let us suppose that all moments  $A^k$  are functions of M Riemann invariants  $^n$ . In such a case, the rst part of (18) reduces to an in nite series of recursive relations

$$\theta_{i}A^{k+1} = q^{i}\theta_{i}A^{k}$$
  $kA^{k}$   $\theta_{i}A^{0}$ ;  $k = 0;1;2;...;$ 

whose compatibility conditions  $@_i (@_j A^k) = @_j (@_i A^k)$  are nothing else but the original Gibbons{T sarev system (35), (36). Moreover, these Riemann invariants  $^i$  are nothing else but branch points  $^i$  of the Riemann surface = (;q), i.e.  $^i =$  (;q<sup>i</sup>), where  $q^i$ () are solutions of an algebraic system (see [9] and [22])

$$Q_q$$
  $j_{q=q^i} = 0$ ,  $i = 1;2;:::N$ :

Indeed, linear system (6) reduces to the so-called Lowner equation (see [9])

$$Q_{i} = \frac{Q_{i}u}{q \quad q^{i}}Q_{q} ; \qquad (40)$$

whose compatibility conditions  $@_i (@_k) = @_k (@_i)$  imply to original Gibbons (T sarev system (35), (36). Thus, hydrodynamic bi-chain (18) reduces to a composition of the inte-

grable hydrodynam ic chain

$$B_t^k = B_x^{k+1} \quad B^0 B_x^k + k B^{k-1} u_x; \quad k = 0;1;2; ...$$
 (41)

and the sem i-H am iltonian hydrodynam ic type system (see the rst equation in (30))

$$i_{t} = (q^{i}()) \quad B^{0}) \quad i_{x}; \quad i = 1;2; :::;M;$$
 (42)

where functions u and  $q^i()$  satisfy original G ibbons{T sarev system (35), (36). Nevertheless, linear system (37) cannot be derived from (6), because  $B^0$  is not a function of R iem ann invariants i. However, if we consider hydrodynam ic reductions of hydrodynam ic chain (41), i.e. if we suppose that  $B^0$  is a function of R iem ann invariants i, then all higher m oments  $B^k$  must be functions of R iem ann invariants too. Then compatibility conditions  $\theta_i(\theta_j B^k) = \theta_j(\theta_i B^k)$  lead to (37), where

$$Q_{i}B^{k+1} = q^{i}Q_{i}B^{k} \quad kB^{k-1}Q_{i}u$$
:

A m ost interesting consequence of a forem entioned degeneracy described in the previous Section is an existence of extra R iem ann invariants which are just m ark points on already determ ined R iem ann surface = (;q). Indeed, let us introduce N M m ark points  $r^k = (;q^k)$  such that each inverse function  $q^j$  (;r<sup>j</sup>) is a solution of the Lowner equation (see (40), where we consider an inverse function q(;))

$$e_{iq} = \frac{e_{iu}}{q^{i}}$$
;  $i = 1;2; ...;M$ : (43)

A general solution of this overdeterm ined system (i.e. the compatibility conditions  $e_i(e_k e_i^j) = e_k(e_i e_i^j)$  must be fullled due to original Gibbons (T sarev system (35), (36))

$$\theta_{i} q^{j} = \frac{\theta_{i} u}{q^{i} \quad q^{j}}; \quad i = 1; 2; ...; M; \quad j = 1; 2; ...; N \quad M$$
(44)

depends on M arbitrary functions of a single variable (because this is a system of the rst order in partial derivatives with respect to M independent variables i) and other N M

arbitrary functions of a single variable (because each R iem ann invariant  $r^k$  as a mark point on the R iem ann surface = (;q) is determined up to an arbitrary transformation  $r^k$ !  $R_k(r^k)$ . Let us remind that a solution of linear system (6) is determined up to an arbitrary transformation!  $r^k$ ()). Then let us introduce the functions  $h_j(r^j)$  determined by their rst derivatives (the compatibility conditions  $h_j(r^j)$  are full led due to original G ibbons (T sarev system (35), (36))

$$\theta_{i}c_{j}(\ ;r^{j}) = \frac{\theta_{i}u}{(q^{i} \ \alpha^{j})^{2}};$$
 (45)

such that

$$B^{0}(;r) = \exp_{j=1}^{N_{X} M} \exp_{j}(;r^{j})dr^{j}$$
(46)

satis es overdeterm ined system (37). Whole overdeterm ined system (37), (44), (45) can be rewritten as a quasilinear system of the rst order on unknown functions  $q^j$ ;  $\theta_i B^0$  and  $\theta_j B^0 = \exp c_j$  (here and below in this Section  $\theta_j = \theta = \theta r^j$ ). Thus, its general solution is parameterized by N arbitrary functions of a single variable. It means, a general solution of complete overdeterm ined system (35){(37), (44), (45) is parameterized by N + M arbitrary functions of a single variable.

Let us introduce N component hydrodynamic type system (see (42))

$$r_{t}^{i} = (q^{i}()) \quad B^{0}) \quad r_{x}^{i}; \quad r_{t}^{j} = (q^{j}(); r^{j}) \quad B^{0}) r_{x}^{j};$$
 (47)

An integrability condition (i.e. sem i-H am iltonian property (31)) is full led automatically due to  $(35)\{(37), (44), (45)$ .

Theorem: Hydrodynamic bi-chain (18) possesses in nitely many N component hydrodynamic reductions (47) determined by the extended Gibbons (T sarev system (35) (37), (44), (45). Then all higher moments  $B^k$  can be reconstructed iteratively in quadratures

$$dB^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} Q^{i} Q_{i} B^{k} \quad kB^{k-1} Q_{i} u d^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} Q^{j} Q_{j} B^{k} dr^{j}; \quad k = 0;1;2; ...$$
 (48)

Proof: Since all moments  $B^k$  depend simultaneously on both species of Riemann invariants  $^i$  and  $r^j$ , then the B-part of (18) reduces to the second equation in (47), where (see (48))

$$Q_{i}B^{k+1} = q^{i}Q_{i}B^{k} \quad kB^{k-1}Q_{i}u; \quad Q_{i}B^{k+1} = q^{j}Q_{i}B^{k}$$

$$(49)$$

The compatibility conditions  $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$  ( $q^{i}\mathfrak{C}_{i}B^{k}$ ) =  $\mathfrak{C}_{i}$  ( $q^{j}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k}$ );  $\mathfrak{C}_{i}$  ( $q^{j}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k}$ ) =  $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$  ( $q^{i}\mathfrak{C}_{i}B^{k}$  kB<sup>k</sup>  $^{1}\mathfrak{C}_{i}u$ ),  $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$  ( $q^{i}\mathfrak{C}_{i}B^{k}$  kB<sup>k</sup>  $^{1}\mathfrak{C}_{i}u$ ) =  $\mathfrak{C}_{i}$  ( $q^{j}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k}$  kB<sup>k</sup>  $^{1}\mathfrak{C}_{j}u$ ) lead to the system (i  $\mathfrak{S}_{i}$  j in the rst and third equations below)

$$\mathfrak{C}_{i}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k} = 0; \qquad (q^{i} \quad q^{j})\mathfrak{Q}_{i}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k} = \mathfrak{Q}_{i}q^{j} \quad \mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k} + k\mathfrak{Q}_{i}u \quad \mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k-1};$$

$$\tag{50}$$

$$(q^{i} \quad q^{j})^{2} @_{i} @_{j} B^{k} = @_{i} u \quad {}^{g} B^{k} + @_{j} u \quad {}^{g} B^{k} + k (q^{i} \quad q^{j}) (@_{i} u \quad {}^{g} B^{k-1} \quad @_{j} u \quad {}^{g} B^{k-1}) ;$$

The second equation in (49) can be written in the common form

$$\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k} = (\mathfrak{C}_{j}^{j})^{k}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{0}; k = 0;1;2; ...$$
 (51)

A substitution (51) in the rst two equations of (50) leads to (44), (45) and (46), where each function of depends on all R iem ann invariants  $^{i}$  and just one R iem ann invariant  $r^{j}$ . The third equation in (50) coincides with (37) for k=0. The compatibility conditions  $\theta_{i}(\theta_{j1}B^{k})=\theta_{j}(\theta_{i1}B^{k})$  can be verified by the induction principle (taking into account the rst equation in (49). Precisely, such a computation was made for A-part of hydrodynamic bi-chain (18), i.e. for Benney hydrodynamic chain (1) in [9].

Correspondingly, linear problem (10) determining the B-parts of hydrodynamic bichains (18) and (29) reduces to (cf. (40))

$$\theta_{i}F = \frac{\theta_{i}a}{\alpha^{i}}; \quad \theta_{j}F = \frac{\theta_{j}a}{\theta^{j}}; \quad (52)$$

where F (;r) is used instead of f (x;t;y;q) for hydrodynam ic reductions (30). The dependence  $e^{j}$  (;r<sup>j</sup>) and (46) follow from the compatibility condition  $e_{i}$  ( $e_{i}$ F) =  $e_{j}$  ( $e_{i}$ F). The

compatibility condition  $@_i (@_j F) = @_j (@_i F)$  leads to (44) and (45). The compatibility condition  $@_i (@_j F) = @_j (@_i F)$  satisfies a sutomatically due to original G ibbons (T sarev system (35), (36). Similar computations can be repeated for generation function of conservation laws (26). Then the generating function of conservation law densities p can be found in quadratures

$$d \ln p = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{M}} \frac{\theta_{i}u}{(q \quad q^{i})^{2}} + \frac{\theta_{i}a}{q \quad q^{i}} \quad d^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_{j}a}{q \quad q^{j}} dr^{j};$$
 (53)

It is well known that generating function of conservation laws (26) is associated with the so-called \linearly degenerate" hydrodynam ic chains and their linearly degenerate hydrodynam ic reductions and (see [26] and Section 9 in [30]). First such an example was the W hitham equations of the K orteweg de V ries equation (see [16]). M ore general theory was suggested in [17]. Theory of linearly degenerate hydrodynam ic type systems is presented in [10], [12], [25]. Following [16] and [17], let us introduce the so-called \quasi-m om entum " di erential dP = pd and the so-called \quasi-energy" di erential dQ = (q - a)pd. Then characteristic velocities are given by

$$q^{j}(;r^{j})$$
  $B^{0}(;r) = \frac{dQ}{dP}j_{r^{j}};$ 

where the di erentials of \quasi-energy" and \quasi-m om entum "possess sim ilar singularities on the R iem ann surface = (;q) at vicinities of m ark points =  $r^{i}$ .

Generating function of conservation laws and commuting ows (28) can be written in similar form. In such a case, the generating function of \quasi-energy" dierentials is given by

$$dQ(;) = W(;)p()d = \frac{\exp F()}{q() - q()}p()d:$$

Corresponding generating function of commuting hydrodynamic type systems (see (47))

$$i_{()} = W i_{()} ; i_{x}; r_{()}^{j} = W^{j}(;r;)r_{x}^{j}$$

is given by (replacing q() in the expression for W (; ) by  $q^i$  and  $q^j$ , respectively)

$$i_{()} = \frac{\exp F()}{g^{i} g()} i_{x}; \quad r^{j}_{()} = \frac{\exp F()}{g^{j} g()} r^{j}_{x};$$
 (54)

This hydrodynam ic type system possesses generating function (28). In such a case (cf. Section 9 in [30]),

$$d \ln p = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{M}} \frac{e_{i}W}{W^{i}W} d^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{X}M} \frac{e_{j}W}{W^{j}W} dr^{j}$$

m ust coincide with (53). Indeed, a direct substitution of expressions W (;); W  $^{i}$  (;) and W  $^{j}$  (;r;) implies to (53). M oreover, hydrodynam ic type systems (47) and (54) commute to each other (see T sarev's condition (32)). It means, that any hydrodynam ic reduction (47) satisfying extended G ibbons (T sarev system (35) { (37), (44), (45) is compatible with the whole M anakov (Santini hierarchy described in Section 3.

Remark: Sem i-Ham iltonian property (31) and commutativity condition (32) for hydrodynam ic type systems which are hydrodynam ic reductions of linearly degenerate hydrodynam ic chains can be simplied (see Section 9 in [30]) to the form, respectively

$$\theta_{i} \frac{\theta_{k} V}{V^{k} V} = \theta_{k} \frac{\theta_{i} V}{V^{i} V}; i \in k; \quad \frac{\theta_{k} V}{V^{k} V} = \frac{\theta_{k} W}{W^{k} W};$$

where dP = p()d is a dierential of \quasi-m om entum ", dQ = V()p()d is a dierential of \quasi-energy" and dQ = W(;)p()d is a generating function of \quasi-energy" dierentials. In the case of two species of Riem ann invariants (see, for instance, (47) and (54)), the above formulas must be written in the form

# 7 Sym m etric hydrodynam ic reductions

Benney hydrodynam ic chain (1) possesses the special hydrodynam ic reduction (see [32])

$$a_t^i = \frac{(a^i)^2}{2} + A^0$$
;

where all m oments are determined by the choice ( $_{i}$  are constants)

$$A^{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{M} {}_{i}(a^{i})^{k+1}; k = 0;1;2;...;$$
  $\sum_{i=1}^{M} {}_{i} = 0:$ 

Let us extend this decomposition on both species of moments ( $_{i}$  and  $_{j}$  are constants)

$$A^{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (a^{i})^{k+1}; \quad B^{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (b^{j})^{k+1}; \quad k = 0;1;2; ...$$
 (55)

Then bi-dnain (18) reduces to the hydrodynam ic type system

$$a_{+}^{i} = (a^{i} B^{0})a_{v}^{i} + A_{v}^{0}; \quad b_{+}^{j} = (b^{j} B^{0})b_{v}^{j} + A_{v}^{0};$$
 (56)

w here

$$X^{M}$$
  $i = {}^{N}X^{M}$   $j = 0$ :

In a contrary with sem iH am iltonian hydrodynamic reductions (see (41) and (42)) of the A-part of bi-chain (18), the moment decomposition (see (55))

$$B^{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{NX} {}^{M} (b^{j})^{k+1}; k = 0;1;2; ...$$

for the B-part of (18) leads to the hydrodynam ic chain

$$A_{t}^{k} = A_{x}^{k+1}$$
  $B^{0}A_{x}^{k} + kA^{k-1}A_{x}^{0}$ ;  $k = 0;1;2;...$ 

equipped by the non-diagonalizable (i.e. non sem iH am iltonian!) hydrodynam ic type system (cf. (56))

$$b_{t}^{j} = (b^{j} B^{0})b_{x}^{j} + A_{x}^{0}; \quad j = 1;2; ...; N M :$$
 (57)

Indeed, R iem ann invariants  $r^k$  (b) cannot exist, because the last term  $A_x^0$  cannot be eliminated by any point transform ations. M oreover, in a general case, the A-part of (18) reduces to the M component hydrodynam ic type system

$$a_{t}^{i} = (a^{i} B^{0})a_{x}^{i} + A_{x}^{0}; i = 1;2; :::;M;$$
 (58)

where all moments  $A^k$  depend on eld variables  $a^n$  only, and the function  $u = A^0$  satisfies the original G ibbons (T sarev system (see [30])

$$(a^{i} \quad a^{k})u_{ik} + u_{i} u_{k} \quad u_{k} u_{i} = 0; i \in k;$$
 (59)

where =  $@=@a^m$  is a shift operator,  $u_i = @u=@a^i; u_{ik} = @^2u=@a^i@a^k$ , all higher moments  $A^k$  can be reconstructed iteratively (here and below  $@_iA^k = @A^k=@a^i$ ) in quadratures

$$dA^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{M}} [a^{i}\theta_{i}A^{k} + (A^{k} kA^{k-1})\theta_{i}A^{0}]da^{i}; k = 0;1;2;...$$

Linear system (6) reduces to the Lowner equation (cf. (40); m ore detail in [30])

$$e_i + \frac{e_i u}{a^i q} + \frac{X^M}{a^m q} = 0;$$
 (60)

written via eld variables  $a^k$ , where the equation of R iem ann surface = (a;q). The compatibility conditions  $\theta_i(\theta_j) = \theta_j(\theta_i)$  as well as the compatibility conditions  $\theta_i(\theta_j) = \theta_j(\theta_i)$  as well as the compatibility conditions  $\theta_i(\theta_j) = \theta_j(\theta_i)$ .

In a contrary, the B-part of (18) reduces to (57) if all moments  $B^k$  depend on eld variables  $b^n$  only. It means, that in a general case, moments  $B^k$  must depend on two species of eld variables  $a^n$  and  $b^m$  simultaneously (as well as on two species of Riemann invariants  $a^n$  and  $a^n$ ). Just in such a case, hydrodynamic type system (57), (58) can be sem i-H am iltonian. Indeed, the B-part of (18)

$$B_{t}^{k} = B_{x}^{k+1}$$
  $B_{x}^{0}B_{x}^{k} + kB_{x}^{k-1}A_{x}^{0}$ ;  $k = 0;1;2; ...$ 

reduces to (57) and (58), if (here and below  $@_i = @=@b^i; ~= @=@b^m$ )

$$Q_{i}B^{k+1} = a^{i}Q_{i}B^{k} + (B^{k} + {}^{c}B^{k} + kB^{k-1})Q_{i}A^{0}; \quad Q_{i}B^{k+1} = b^{i}Q_{i}B^{k};$$
 (61)

The last equation can be written in the common form (cf. (51))

$$\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k} = (b^{j})^{k}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{0}; k = 0;1;2; ...$$

The compatibility conditions  $\mathfrak{C}_{j}[a^{i}\mathfrak{C}_{i}B^{k} + (B^{k} + {}^{*}B^{k} \quad kB^{k-1})\mathfrak{C}_{i}A^{0}] = \mathfrak{C}_{i}[b^{j}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k}],$   $\mathfrak{C}_{j}[a^{i}\mathfrak{C}_{i}B^{k} + (B^{k} + {}^{*}B^{k} \quad kB^{k-1})\mathfrak{C}_{i}A^{0}] = \mathfrak{C}_{i}[a^{j}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k} + (B^{k} + {}^{*}B^{k} \quad kB^{k-1})\mathfrak{C}_{j}A^{0}]$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_{i}(b^{j}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{k}) = \mathfrak{C}_{j}(b^{i}\mathfrak{C}_{i}B^{k})$  lead to the system (cf. (50);  $i \in j$  in the rst and third equations below)

$$\mathfrak{C}_{i}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{0} = 0; \quad (a^{i} \quad b^{j})\mathfrak{C}_{i}\mathfrak{C}_{j}B^{0} + \mathfrak{C}_{i}A^{0} \quad \mathfrak{C}_{j} (B^{0} + {}^{\sim}B^{0}) = 0;$$
 (62)

$$(a^{i} \quad a^{j}) \theta_{i} \theta_{j} B^{k} + \theta_{i} A^{0} \quad Q(B^{k} + B^{k} \quad k B^{k-1}) \quad \theta_{j} A^{0} \quad Q(B^{k} + B^{k} \quad k B^{k-1}) = 0$$
:

The second equation in (62) reduces to

$$e_{i}^{\prime}_{j} + \frac{e_{i}u}{a^{i}b^{j}} + \frac{X^{M}}{a^{m}b^{j}} = 0;$$
 (63)

which can be also obtained from (60) form ally replacing on N M functions  $_j$  and correspondingly q on N M eld variables  $b^j$ . In such a case, a solution of the rst equation in (62) is given by (cf. (46))

$$B^{0} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{X} M} \left( a_{j} b^{j} \right) db^{j}$$

$$(64)$$

A dependence of B $^0$  with respect to eld variables  $a^k$  is given by the last equation in (62)

$$(a^{i} \quad a^{j})\theta_{i}\theta_{j}B^{0} + \theta_{i}A^{0} \quad Q(B^{0} + {}^{\circ}B^{0}) \quad \theta_{j}A^{0} \quad Q(B^{0} + {}^{\circ}B^{0}) = 0; \quad i \in j; \quad (65)$$

while all other higher expressions  $B^{k}$  (a;b) can be found iteratively (see (61))

$$dB^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} [a^{i}\theta_{i}B^{k} + (B^{k} + ^{n}B^{k} + ^$$

The Lowner equation (60) under the inverse transform ation (a;q) ! q(a; ) (cf. (40) and (43)) reduces to the form

$$\varrho_{i}q = \frac{\varrho_{i}u}{a^{i} q} 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{X^{M}} \frac{\varrho_{m} u}{a^{m} q} \tag{66}$$

and the generating function of conservation law densities p (see (26)) can be found in quadratures (cf. (53))

$$d \ln p = \begin{cases} X^{M} \\ \theta_{m} & (q \quad a) \quad d \ln (q \quad a) \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} X^{M} \\ \theta_{n} & d \ln (q \quad b); \end{cases}$$

while (cf. (52)) the function F (a;b) is given by another quadrature (see (22) and (27))

R em ark: System (65) automatically satis es (for any solution  $A^0$  (a) of system (59)) if

$$B^{0} = \sum_{m=1}^{X^{M}} a^{m} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_{X} M} b^{n};$$
(67)

where  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize m}}$  and  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize n}}$  are arbitrary constants. In such a case

$$F = \int_{m=1}^{M} \ln (q \ a^{m}) + \int_{n=1}^{N_{X} M} \ln (q \ b^{n}); \quad p = \frac{1}{q_{m=1}} (q \ a^{m})^{m} (q \ b^{n})^{n};$$

All moments A<sup>k</sup> can be expressed via eld variables a<sup>i</sup> as well as R iem ann invariants <sup>j</sup>. Thus, each eld variable a<sup>i</sup> is a function of all R iem ann invariants <sup>n</sup>, and vice versa each R iem ann invariant <sup>i</sup> is a function of all R iem ann invariants a<sup>n</sup>. Since all moments B<sup>k</sup> are functions of both species of eld variables a<sup>i</sup> and b<sup>j</sup> as well as both species of R iem ann invariants <sup>i</sup> and r<sup>j</sup>, nally, we need to nd a dependence of eld variables b<sup>k</sup> via R iem ann invariants <sup>i</sup> and r<sup>j</sup>. Taking into account (47), (57) and (58) imply to

$$(a^{j} b^{n}) (j_{i}b^{n} = 0; j_{i}n = 1; 2; ...; N M$$
 (68)

and  $(@_i = @=@^i; @_j = @=@r^j in (68))$  and below in this Section)

$$e_{i}a^{k} = \frac{e_{i}u}{q^{i} a^{k}}; e_{i}b^{n} = \frac{e_{i}u}{q^{i} b^{n}}; i;k = 1;2;:::;M;$$

which can be obtained directly from (43) replacing q by  $a^k$  and  $b^n$ , respectively. Relations (68) in ply to the simple dependence  $b^j = q^j$  (;  $r^j$ ). Since each R iem ann invariant  $r^i$  is a mark point on the R iem ann surface = (;q), i.e.  $r^j = (;q^j)$ , we conclude that equations (58) are connected with (42), while equations (57) reduce to the diagonal form

$$r_t^j = (b^j \quad a)r_x^j;$$

where R iem ann invariants  $r^j = (jb^j); j = 1; 2; ...; N$  M. Thus (see (63)),  $\gamma_j$  (a;  $b^j$ ) =  $R_j$  ( $r^j$ ), where  $R_j$  ( $r^j$ ) are arbitrary functions, because R iem ann invariants are determined up to an arbitrary transformation  $r^j$ !  $R_j$  ( $r^j$ ).

In the next Section, explicit hydrodynam ic reductions are considered. A relationship between eld variables ak; bh and R iem ann invariants i; rj is investigated in detail.

#### 8 Explicit hydrodynam ic reductions

A general case contains an arbitrary number of eld variables of two species a<sup>k</sup> and b<sup>n</sup> in N component hydrodynam ic type system (56). The most general reduction of (p) known recently (see [4], [17], [14]) is a combination of rational and logarithm ic functions with respect to p, i.e.

$$= \frac{p^{N+1}}{N+1} + a_0^{(0)} p^{N-1} + a_1^{(0)} p^{N-2} + \dots + a_N^{(0)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{k+1} \frac{3}{p+1} + a_0^{(1)} p^{N-1} + a_1^{(0)} p^{N-2} + \dots + a_N^{(0)}$$

$$= \frac{3}{k+1} \frac{3}{p+1} + a_0^{(1)} p^{N-1} + a_1^{(1)} p^{N-2} + \dots + a_N^{(1)} p^{N-1}$$

$$= \frac{3}{k+1} \frac{3}{p+1} \frac{3}{$$

where k and k are constants,  $a_k^{(n)}$  are functions. The approach allowing to extract more complicated reductions is presented in [33]. In this Section a simplest case is considered.

N param etric solution of original G ibbons (T sarev system (59) given by (see [32]; here all constants  $_{i}$  are independent, no such a constraint  $_{m}$  = 0 in general)

$$u = \int_{m}^{X^{M}} a^{m}$$

$$u = \int_{m=1}^{m} a^{m}$$
(69)

possesses to reconstruct an equation of the R ism ann surface = (a;q). A substitution (69) in (60) leads to the so-called waterbag reduction (see [5], [9] and [32])

$$= q \sum_{m=1}^{X^{M}} \ln (q \quad a^{m}):$$
 (70)

The Riemann invariants  $^{i}$  (a) are branch points of the Riemann surface determined by (70), i.e. the condition ( $_{q}$  = 0)

$$1 = \sum_{m=1}^{X^M} \frac{m}{q^i \quad a^m}$$

leads to M expressions  $q^{i}(a)$  as well as to M inverse expressions  $a^{i}(q)$ . Corresponding R iem ann invariants i(a) are given by (70)

$$\stackrel{i}{=} q^{i} \qquad \underset{m=1}{\overset{M}{}} \ln (q^{i} \quad a^{m}) :$$
(71)

O ther N M R iem ann invariants  $r^{j}$  (a;b) (see (63)) are m ark points on the aforem entioned R iem ann surface

$$r^{j}$$
  $j_{q=b^{j}} = b^{j}$   $m \ln (b^{j} a^{m})$ :

Since  $^{\sim}_{j}$  are arbitrary functions of corresponding R iem ann invariants (i.e.  $^{\sim}_{j} = Q_{j}(r^{j})$ ), the function a (see (65)) can be found from the system

$$Q_{i}Q_{j}a + \frac{i}{a^{i} a^{j}}Q_{j} a \frac{j}{a^{i} a^{j}}Q_{i} a = i \frac{i}{j} \frac{Q_{m}^{0}}{(a^{i} b^{m})(a^{j} b^{m})}; i \in j; (72)$$

where (see (64))

$$\mathfrak{C}_{j}a = \tilde{a}_{j} = Q_{j} \quad b^{j} \qquad \lim_{m \to 1} h \left( b^{j} \quad a^{m} \right) : \tag{73}$$

To avoid a complexity of further computations (an integration of arbitrary functions  $Q_j(r^j)$  in (73)), let us restrict our consideration on a rst nontrivial case  $Q_j(r^j) = {}_j r^j$ , where  ${}_j$  are arbitrary constants. Then (73) leads to

$$a = B (a) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N_X M} (b^n)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_X M} (a^m b^n) [\ln (a^m b^n) 1];$$
 (74)

where the function B (a) satisfes (see (72))

$$Q_iQ_jB + \frac{i}{a^i \quad a^j}Q_j B \quad \frac{j}{a^i \quad a^j}Q_i B = 0; i \in j$$
:

This linear system possesses a general solution parameterized by N M arbitrary functions of a single variable. Its rst nontrivial solution is given by

$$B = \frac{X^{M}}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{m} (a^{m})^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{m} a^{m};$$
 (75)

where and k are new arbitrary constants.

Thus, the rst nontrivial solution of extended G ibbons (T sarev system (35) (37), (44), (45) given by (69), (74) and (75) leads to the rst nontrivial N component hydrodynamic reduction (57), (58) of the M anakov (Santini system. In such a case, the generating function of conservation law densities can be found explicitly

where the bi-logarithm

$$\text{Li}_{2}z = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{z^{k}}{k^{2}}$$
:

A corresponding expression for the function F is given by

$$F = \frac{1}{2}q^{2} + \sum_{\substack{m = 1 \\ m = 1}}^{M} (a^{m} \quad q) + \sum_{\substack{m = 1 \\ m = 1}}^{M} \ln (a^{m} \quad q) + q \sum_{\substack{n = 1 \\ m = 1}}^{N} \ln (b^{n} \quad q) + \sum_{\substack{n = 1 \\ m = 1}}^{N} (b^{n$$

# 9 Generalized hodograph method

In comparison with integrable hydrodynamic chains their semi-Hamiltonian hydrodynamic reductions possess N in nite series of conservation laws and commuting ows (cf. (24) and (28)). Let us remind, that hydrodynamic type system (57), (58) is associated with the Riemann surface = (a;q), whose M branch points  $^{i} = (a;q^{i})$  determined by M solutions  $q^{i}(a)$  of the algebraic equation (a;q)=(q=0) are rst M Riemann invariants; all other N M Riemann invariants  $r^{j} = (;b^{j})$  are nothing else but just mark points on this Riemann surface.

Since a dependence p(q;a;b) can be inverted to q(p;a;b) for any hydrodynam ic reduction (57), (58), generating function of conservation laws (26)

$$\theta_t p = \theta_x [(q(p) \quad a)p]$$

leads to N in nite series of conservation laws (see [30]) by virtue of form alexpansions

$$p^{(i)} = p_0^i + p_{(1)}^i \stackrel{(i)}{=} + p_{(2)}^i (\stackrel{(i)}{=})^2 + p_{(3)}^i (\stackrel{(i)}{=})^3 + \dots; \quad i = 1; 2; \dots; M;$$
(78)

$$p^{(j)} = p_0^j + p_{(1)}^{j} \sim^{(j)} + p_{(2)}^j (\sim^{(j)})^2 + p_{(3)}^j (\sim^{(j)})^3 + ...; \quad j = 1;2; ...; N \qquad M ;$$

where <sup>(i)</sup> and <sup>(j)</sup> are local parameters at the vicinities of  $p_0^i$  and  $p_0^j$ , respectively. However, in such a case, dependencies  $q(p_0^i)$  as well as  $q(p_0^j)$  are highly complicated, because the dependence p(q) is much more simpler than q(p) in all known examples (see the previous Section). Thus, instead (78), we utilize another form all expansions given by

$$q^{(i)} = a^{i} + a^{i}_{(1)} (i) + a^{i}_{(2)} (i)^{2} + a^{i}_{(3)} (i)^{3} + ...; i = 1;2;...;M;$$

$$q^{(j)} = b^{j} + b^{j}_{(1)} (i)^{2} + b^{j}_{(2)} (i)^{2} + b^{j}_{(3)} (i)^{3} + ...; j = 1;2;...;N M;$$

$$q^{(j)} = b^{j} + b^{j}_{(1)} (i)^{2} + b^{j}_{(2)} (i)^{3} + ...; j = 1;2;...;N M;$$

where <sup>(i)</sup> and <sup>(j)</sup> are local parameters at the vicinities of a<sup>i</sup> and b<sup>j</sup>, respectively. Since, the dependence p(q) possesses M singularities in points a<sup>i</sup> and N M singularities in points b<sup>j</sup>, form all expansions (78) cannot exist in a general case. Nevertheless, in some special cases, rst N conservation laws can be found in the form

$$\theta_{t}p_{0}^{i} = \theta_{x} [(a^{i} \quad a)p_{0}^{i}]; \qquad \theta_{t}p_{0}^{j} = \theta_{x} [(b^{j} \quad a)p_{0}^{j}]; \qquad (80)$$

Below we restrict our consideration on a simplest sub-case (67), which is compatible with any reduction of (p) (see the previous Section). In this case, N in nite series of conservation laws can be found utilizing (79). It means that rst N conservation laws are determined by (80).

W ithout loss of generality, let us choose the equation for Riemann surface (70). In such a case (see (67) and (69)), three dimensional four component hydrodynamic type system (7) reduces to the pair of commuting N component hydrodynamic type systems (see (9) and (57), (58))

$$a_{t}^{i} = (a^{i} \ a)a_{x}^{i} + u_{x};$$
  $a_{v}^{i} = ((a^{i})^{2} \ aa^{i} \ c)a_{x}^{i} + a^{i}u_{x} + u_{t};$   $i = 1;2; :::;M;$ 

$$b_{t}^{j} = (b^{j} \ a)b_{x}^{j} + u_{x};$$
  $b_{y}^{j} = ((b^{j})^{2} \ ab^{j} \ c)b_{x}^{j} + b^{j}u_{x} + u_{t};$   $j = 1;2; ...;N$  M;

where 
$$( = _{m}; = _{n}; = _{m})$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} (a^{m})^{2} + u; \quad c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} (a^{m})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (b^{n})^{2} \frac{1}{2} a^{2} + (+ 1)u;$$

Then all coe cients  $p_{(k)}^i$  and  $p_{(n)}^j$  can be found iteratively, while coe cients  $a_{(k)}^i$  (a;b) and  $b_{(n)}^j$  (a;b) are determined by formal expansions of equation = (a;q) of a Riemann surface. A substitution of these expansions  $q^{(i)}$  and  $q^{(j)}$  together with other four expansions

$$@ \ \ (\ ) \ \ = \ \ \ ( \ _{t^0,i} + \ \ _{(i)} ) @_{t^1,i} + \ \ ( \ _{(i)} )^2 @_{t^2,i} + \ \ ( \ _{(i)} )^3 @_{t^3,i} + \ \ ...;$$

$$s^{(i)} = s^{i}_{(0)} + s^{i}_{(1)} + s^{i}_{(2)} (^{(i)})^{2} + s^{i}_{(3)} (^{(i)})^{3} + \dots;$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_{()} = \mathfrak{Q}_{y^{0;j}} + {}^{\sim}{}^{(j)}\mathfrak{Q}_{y^{1;j}} + ({}^{\sim}{}^{(j)})^2\mathfrak{Q}_{y^{2;j}} + ({}^{\sim}{}^{(j)})^3\mathfrak{Q}_{y^{3;j}} + \dots;$$

$$\mathbf{s}^{(j)} = \mathbf{s}_{(0)}^{j} + \mathbf{s}_{(1)}^{j} {}^{\circ}{}^{(j)} + \mathbf{s}_{(2)}^{j} ({}^{\circ}{}^{(j)})^{2} + \mathbf{s}_{(3)}^{j} ({}^{\circ}{}^{(j)})^{3} + \dots$$

to (24) leads to N in nite series of commuting ows. Then the generalized hodograph method adm its to construct in nitely many particular solutions in an implicit form (see [35]).

## 10 Conclusion

M anakov{Santini system (7) is the rst example in the theory of three dimensional hydrodynamic type systems, which is naturally equipped by the two component pseudodi erentials q; f, determined by systems in partial derivatives of the rst order

W e believe that m ore com plicated vector pseudo-di erentials

$$f_t = \hat{G}(f; u)f_x + \hat{F}(f; u)u_x$$

are associated with vector hydrodynamic chains (4).

# A cknow ledgem ent

A uthors thank Leonid Bogdanov, Eugeni Ferapontov, Igor Krichever, Oleg Morozov, Alexander Odesskii and Sergey Tsarev for their stimulating and clarifying discussions.

MVP is grateful to the Institute of M athematics in Taipei (Taiwan) where some part of this work has been done. This research was particularly supported by the Russian (Taiwanese grant 95W FE0300007 (RFBR grant 06-01-89507-HHC) and by the grant of Presidium of RAS \Fundam ental Problems of Nonlinear Dynamics".

JHC was particularly supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan under the grant No. NSC 96-2115-M-606-001-MY2; YTC was particularly supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan under the grant No. NSC 97-2811-M-606-001.

#### R eferences

- [1] Luis Martinez Alonso, A.B. Shabat, Towards a theory of dierential constraints of a hydrodynam ic hierarchy, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., 10 No. 2 (2003) 229-242. Luis Martinez Alonso, A.B. Shabat, Energy-dependent potentials revisited: A universal hierarchy of hydrodynam ic type, Phys. lett. A, 299 No. 4 (2002) 359-365; Phys. Lett. A 300 No. 1 (2002) 58-64.
- [2] D.J.Benney, Some properties of long non-linear waves, Stud. Appl. Math., 52 (1973) 45-50.
- [3] L.V. Bogdanov, On a class of multidimensional integrable hierarchies and their reductions, ArX iv: 08102397.N lin.SI.
- [4] L.V. Bogdanov, B.G. Konopelchenko, Symmetry constraints for dispersionless integrable equations and systems of hydrodynamic type, Phys. Lett. A, 330 (2004) 448 (459.
- [5] Yu. Kodama, A method for solving the dispersionless KP equation and its exact solutions, Phys. Lett. A, 129 No. 4 (1988) 223–226. Yu. Kodama, A solution method for the dispersionless KP equation, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement, 94 (1988) 184.
- [6] Jen H su Chang, Yu Tung Chen, Hodograph solutions for the generalized dKP equation, ArX iv: N lin.SI/0904.4595.
- [7] M. Dunajski, An interpolating dispersionless integrable system, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 41 (2008).
- [8] M. Dunajski, Anti-Self-Dual four manifolds with parallel real spinor, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A, 458 (2002) 1205-1222.

- [9] J. Gibbons, S.P. Tsarev, Reductions of the Benney equations, Phys. Lett. A, 211 (1996) 19-24. J. Gibbons, S.P. Tsarev, Conform alm aps and reductions of the Benney equations, Phys. Lett. A, 258 (1999) 263-271.
- [10] G.A.El, A.M. Kam chatnov, M.V. Pavlov, S.A. Zykov, K inetic equation for a soliton gas, its hydrodynam ic reductions and symmetries. submitted in CMP.
- [11] E.V. Ferapontov, K.R. Khusnutdinova, On integrability of (2+1)-dimensional quasilinear systems, Comm. Math. Phys., 248 (2004) 187-206, E.V. Ferapontov, K.R. Khusnutdinova, The characterization of 2-component (2+1)-dimensional integrable systems of hydrodynamic type, J. Phys. A:Math. Gen., 37 No. 8 (2004) 2949 - 2963.
- [12] E.V. Ferapontov, Integration of weakly-nolinear hydrodynam ic systems in Riemann invariants, Phys. Lett. A, 158 (1991) 112-118.
- [13] E.V. Ferapontov, D.G. Marshall, Dierential-geometric approach to the integrability of hydrodynamic chains: the Haantjes tensor, Mathematische Annalen, 339 No. 1 (2007) 61-99.
- [14] J.T. Ferguson, I.A.B. Strachan, Logarithm ic deformations of the rational superpotential/Landau-G inzburg construction of solutions of the W DVV equations, Comm.Math.Phys., 280 No.1 (2008) 1-25.
- [15] D.B. Ferlie, I.A.B. Strachan, The algebraic and Ham iltonian structure of the dispersionless Benney and Toda hierarchies, Inverse Problem s, 12 No. 6 (1996) 885–908.
- [16] H. Flaschka, M. G. Forest, D. W. McLaughlin, Multi-phase averaging and the inverse spectral solution of the Korteweg de Vries equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 33 No. 6 (1980) 739-784.
- [17] IM. Krichever, The averaging method for two-dimensional "integrable" equations, Funct. Anal. Appl., 22 No. 3 (1988) 200-213, IM. Krichever, Spectral theory of

two-dim ensional periodic operators and its applications, Russian M ath. Surveys, 44 No.2 (1989) 145-225. IM. Krichever, The dispersionless equations and topological m inim almodels, Comm. M ath. Phys., 143 No. 2 (1992) 415-429. IM. Krichever, The -function of the universal W hitham hierarchy, m atrix m odels and topological eld theories, Comm. Pure Appl. M ath., 47 (1994) 437-475.

- [18] B A . K upershm idt, D eform ations of integrable system s, Proc. Roy. Irish A cad. Sect. A, 83 No. 1 (1983) 45-74. B A . K upershm idt, Norm al and universal form s in integrable hydrodynam ical system s, Proceedings of the Berkeley-Am es conference on nonlinear problems in control and uid dynamics (Berkeley, Calif., 1983), in Lie Groups: Hist., Frontiers and Appl. Ser. B: Systems Inform. Control, II, M ath Sci Press, Brookline, MA, (1984) 357-378.
- [19] M.A. Lavrentiev, B.V. Shabat, Metody teorii funktsi kom pleksnogo peremennogo (Russian) Methods of the theory of functions of a com plex variable] Third corrected edition Izdat. \Nauka", Moscow (1965) 716 pp.P. Henrici, Metody teorii funktsi kom pleksnogo peremennogo Topics in computational com plex analysis. IV. The Lagrange-Burm ann formula for systems of formal power series. Computational aspects of complex analysis (Braunlage, 1982), 193{215, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C, Math. Phys. Sci., 102, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983.
- [20] Lei Yu, W aterbag reductions of the dispersionless discrete KP hierarchy, J. Phys. A:

  M ath. Gen., 33 (2000) 8127{8138. Lei Yu, Reductions of dispersionless integrable
  hierarchies, PhD Thesis, Imperial College, London, 2001.
- [21] S.V. Manakov, P.M. Santini, A hierarchy of integrable partial dierential equations in 2+1 dimensions associated with one-parameter families of one-dimensional vector elds, Theor. Math. Phys., 152 No.1 (2007) 1004 (1011.

- [22] A.V. Odesski, M.V. Pavlov, V.V. Sokolov, Classication of integrable Vlasov-type equations, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 154 No. 2 (2008) 209{219.
- [23] E.V. Ferapontov, K.R. Khusnutdinova, S.P. Tsarev, On a class of three-dimensional integrable Lagrangians, Comm. Math. Phys., 261 No. 1 (2006) 225 (243.
- [24] E.V. Ferapontov, A.M. oro, V.V. Sokolov, Ham iltonian systems of hydrodynamic type in 2 + 1 dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys., 285 (2008) 31-65.
- [25] M.V. Pavlov, Ham iltonian formalism of weakly nonlinear systems in hydrodynamics, Theor. Math. Phys., 73 No. 2 (1987) 1242-1245.
- [26] M.V. Pavlov, Integrable hydrodynamic chains, J. Math. Phys., 44 No. 9 (2003) 4134-4156.
- [27] M.V. Pavlov, Classication of the Egorov hydrodynamic chains, Theor. Math. Phys., 138 No.1 (2004) 55-71.
- [28] M.V. Pavlov, The Kupershm idt hydrodynam ic chains and lattices, IMRN (2006) 1{3.
- [29] M.V.Pavlov, Integrability of Interpolating dispersionless system.
- [30] M.V. Pavlov, A lgebro-geom etric approach in the theory of integrable hydrodynam ic type systems, Comm. Math. Phys., 272 No. 2 (2007) 469-505.
- [31] M.V. Pavlov, Classication of integrable hydrodynamic chains and generating functions of conservation laws, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., (2006) 10803-10819.
- [32] M.V. Pavlov, The Ham iltonian approach in the classic action and the integrability of hydrodynamic chains, ArX iv: N lin.SI/0603057.
- [33] M.V.Pavlov, Integrability of the Gibbons Tearev system, Amer.Math.Soc.Transl.,
  (2) 224 (2008) 247–259.

- [34] V.B. Taranov, Electron-positron plasma: kinetic symmetries and exact solutions, Problems of Atomic Science and Technology. Series: Plasma Physics 13 (2007) 63-65.
- [35] S.P. Tsarev, On Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Ham iltonian systems of hydrodynam ic type, Soviet Math.Dokl., 31 (1985) 488 (491.S.P. Tsarev, The geometry of Ham iltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. The generalized hodograph method, Math.USSR Izvestiya, 37 No. 2 (1991) 397 (419.
- [36] V.E. Zakharov, Benney's equations and quasi-classical approximation in the inverse problem method, Funct. Anal. Appl., 14 No. 2 (1980) 89-98. V.E. Zakharov, On the Benney's Equations, Physica 3D (1981) 193-200.