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#### Abstract

We construct a free field realization of the ground state of the boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C})) \mathrm{ABF}$ model. Using this ground state and type-II vertex operator, we have a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix.


## 1 Introduction

The vertex operator approach [1, 2] provides a powerful method to study solvable models. In [1] authors diagonalized the XXZ-transfer matrix on infinite spin chain by vertex operators. Sklyanin [3] begin systematic approach to boundary condition generalization in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. In periodic boundary condition, we construct a family of commuting transfer matrix from a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. Sklyanin showed that similar construction is possible with the aid of a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter equation. In open boundary condition, we construct a family of commuting transfer matrix from both a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation and a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter equation. In
[6] the authors diagonalized the boundary XXZ-transfer matrix on semi-infinite spin chain by vertex operators. The vertex operator approach was extended to higher-rank boundary XXZmodel in [9]

The vertex operator approach to solvable model was originally formulated for vertex type model such as the XXZ-model [1], and then extended to the face type model such as the Andrews-Baxter-Forrester (ABF) model [4, 10]. The ABF model is described by the elliptic algebra $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(2, \mathbb{C}))$. The $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model we are going to study in this paper were introduced in [5], as the higher-rank generlization of the ABF model introduced in [4]. In this paper we diagonalize the boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model by vertex operator approach. We construct a free field realization of the ground-state of the commuting boundary transfer matrix. Using this groundstate and type-II vertex operators for the elliptic algebra, we get a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix of the $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model. The result of this paper gives a higher-rank generalization of the ABF model [7].

The text is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the boundary $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C})) \mathrm{ABF}$ model, and introduce the boundary transfer matrix. In section 3 we recall the free field realization of the vertex operators. In section 4 we construct a free field realization of the ground-state of the boundary transfer matrix, and give a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix by using the type-II vertex opeartors.

## 2 Boundary $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model

We recall the boundary $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model.

### 2.1 Bulk Boltzmann weights

The $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model has two parameters $x$ and $r$. We assume $0<x<1$ and $r \geqq$ $5(r \in \mathbb{Z})$. We set the elliptic theta function $[u]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u]=x^{\frac{u^{2}}{r}-u} \Theta_{x^{2 r}}\left(x^{2 u}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{q}(z) & =(q, q)_{\infty}(z ; q)_{\infty}(q / z ; q)_{\infty}  \tag{2.2}\\
\left(z ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}\right)_{\infty} & =\prod_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{m}=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q_{1}^{j_{1}} q_{2}^{j_{2}} \cdots q_{m}^{j_{m}} z\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\epsilon_{\mu}(1 \leqq \mu \leqq 3)$ be the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the inner product $\left(\epsilon_{\mu} \mid \epsilon_{\nu}\right)=\delta_{\mu, \nu}$. Let us set $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}=\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon$ where $\epsilon=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \epsilon_{\nu}$. The type $\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C})$ weight lattice is the linear span of $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \mathbb{Z} \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}=0$. Let the simple root $\alpha_{\mu}=\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}-\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu+1}(\mu=1,2)$. For $a \in P$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mu, \nu}=a_{\mu}-a_{\nu}, \quad a_{\mu}=\left(a+\rho \mid \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=2 \bar{\epsilon}_{1}+\bar{\epsilon}_{2}$. The Boltzmann weights $W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
a+2 \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & \\
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a &
\end{array}\right)=r_{1}(u),  \tag{2.6}\\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & u \\
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a & u
\end{array}\right)=r_{1}(u) \frac{[u]\left[a_{\mu, \nu}-1\right]}{[u-1]\left[a_{\mu, \nu}\right]}  \tag{2.7}\\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} \\
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a
\end{array}\right)=r_{1}(u) \frac{\left[u-a_{\mu, \nu}\right][1]}{[u-1]\left[a_{\mu, \nu}\right]} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Otherwise are zero. The function $r_{1}(u)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}(u)=z^{\frac{r-1}{r} \frac{2}{3}} \frac{h_{1}\left(z^{-1}\right)}{h(z)}, \quad h_{1}(z)=\frac{\left(x^{2} z ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 r+4} z ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2 r} z ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{6} z ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used $z=x^{2 u}$. The Boltzmann weights satisfy the following relations.
(1)Yang-Baxter equation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{g} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll|}
d & e \\
c & g
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
c & g \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{ll|l}
e & f & u_{1}-u_{2} \\
g & a & ) \\
= & \sum_{g} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
g & f \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
d & e \\
g & f
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
d & g \\
c & b
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) .
\end{array} . . \begin{array}{ll} 
&
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

(2)The first inversion relation :

$$
\sum_{g} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
c & g  \tag{2.11}\\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\,-u\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
c & d \\
g & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)=\delta_{b, d}
$$

(3)The second inversion relation :

$$
\sum_{g} G_{g} W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
g & b & 3-u  \tag{2.12}\\
d & c & 3-
\end{array}\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
g & d \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)=\delta_{a, c} \frac{G_{b} G_{d}}{G_{a}}
$$

where $G_{a}=\left[a_{1,2}\right]\left[a_{1,3}\right]\left[a_{2,3}\right]$. The Boltzmann weights are related to the elliptic algebra $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$.

### 2.2 Boundary Boltzmann weights

In [8] the boundary Boltzmann weights $K\left(\begin{array}{ll|l} & b & \\ a & & u \\ & c & \end{array}\right)$ are given by

$$
K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 
& k &  \tag{2.13}\\
k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & & u \\
& k &
\end{array}\right)=z^{\frac{2}{r}\left(-\frac{r-1}{3}+\left(\bar{\epsilon}_{1} \mid k\right)\right)} \frac{h(z)}{h\left(z^{-1}\right)} \frac{[c-u]\left[k_{1, \mu}+c+u\right]}{[c+u]\left[k_{1, \mu}+c-u\right]},(c \in \mathbb{R} ; \mu=1,2,3) .
$$

Otherwise are zero. The function $h(z)$ is given in (4.18). They satisfy the boundary Yang-Baxter equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{f, g} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
c & f \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u-v\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
c & d \\
f & g
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u+v\right) K\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g \\
f & \\
& \\
& a
\end{array}\right) K\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& e \\
d & \\
& \\
& g
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\sum_{f, g} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc}
c & d \\
f & e
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u-v\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
c & f \\
b & g
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u+v\right) K\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
e \\
f & \\
& g
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right) K\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g \\
b & \\
& \\
& a
\end{array}\right) . v . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3 Vertex operator

Following the general scheme of algebraic approach in solvable lattice models, we give the typeI vertex operators. Let us consider the corner transfer matrices $A(z), B(z), C(z), D(z)$ which represent NW, SW, SE, NE quadrants, respectively. The space $\mathcal{H}_{l, k}$ of the eigenvectors of $A(z)$ is parametrized by $l, k \in P$. Let us introduce the type-I vertex operator $\Phi^{(a, b)}(z)$. We denote by $\Phi^{\left(k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}, k\right)}\left(z^{-1}\right)$ the half-infinite transfer matrix extending to infinity in the north. This is an operator

$$
\Phi^{\left(k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}, k\right)}\left(z^{-1}\right): \mathcal{H}_{l, k} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{l, k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}} .
$$

The opeartor $\Phi^{(a, b)}(z)=0$ for $a-b \neq \bar{\epsilon}_{j}$. Similarily, we introduce dual type-I vertex operator $\Phi^{*(a, b)}(z)$. We denote by $\Phi^{*\left(k, k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}\right)}(z)$ the half-infinite transfer matrix extending to infinity in the west. This is an operator

$$
\Phi^{*\left(k, k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}\right)}(z): \mathcal{H}_{l, k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{l, k} .
$$

The opeartor $\Phi^{(a, b)}(z)=0$ for $b-a \neq \bar{\epsilon}_{j}$. They satisfy the following relations.
(1)Commutation relation

$$
\Phi^{(c, b)}\left(z_{1}\right) \Phi^{(b, a)}\left(z_{2}\right)=\sum_{d} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
c & d  \tag{2.15}\\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \Phi^{(c, d)}\left(z_{2}\right) \Phi^{(d, a)}\left(z_{1}\right) .
$$

(2)Inversion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{g} \Phi^{*(a, g)}(z) \Phi^{(g, a)}(z)=1, \quad \Phi^{(a, b)}(z) \Phi^{*(b, c)}(z)=\delta_{a, c} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later we give a free field realization of the vertex operators acting on the bosonic Fock space.

### 2.4 Boundary transfer matrix

We define the boundary transfer matrix

$$
\left.T_{B}^{(k)}(z)=\sum_{j=1,2,3} \Phi^{*\left(k, k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}\right)}(z) K\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& k  \tag{2.17}\\
k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j} & \\
& k
\end{array}\right) \quad u\right) \Phi^{\left(k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}, k\right)}\left(z^{-1}\right)
$$

The boundary Yang-Baxter equation implies the commutativity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{B}^{(k)}\left(z_{1}\right), T_{B}^{(k)}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our problem of this paper is to diagonalize the boundary transfer matrix $T_{B}^{(k)}(z)$.

## 3 Free field realization

We give a free field realization of the vertex operators $[11,12]$.

### 3.1 Boson

We set the bosonic oscillators $\beta_{m}^{i},(i=1,2 ; m \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$
\left[\beta_{m}^{j}, \beta_{n}^{k}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
m \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}} \frac{[2 m]_{x}}{[3 m]_{x}} \delta_{m+n, 0} & (j=k)  \tag{3.1}\\
-m x^{3 m \operatorname{sgn}(j-k)} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}} \frac{[m]_{x}}{[3 m]_{x}} \delta_{m+n, 0} & (j \neq k)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here the symbol $[a]_{x}=\frac{x^{a}-x^{-a}}{x-x^{-1}}$. Let us set $\beta_{m}^{3}$ by $\sum_{j=1}^{3} x^{-2 j m} \beta_{m}^{j}=0$. The above commutation relations are valid for all $1 \leqq j, k \leqq 3$. We also introduce the zero-mode operators $P_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}$, ( $\alpha \in P$ ) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[i P_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}\right]=(\alpha \mid \beta), \quad(\alpha, \beta \in P) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows we deal with the bosonic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}(l, k \in P)$ generated by $\beta_{-m}^{j}(m>0)$ over the vacuum vector $|l, k\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{l, k}=\mathbb{C}\left[\left\{\beta_{-1}^{j}, \beta_{-2}^{j}, \cdots\right\}_{j=1,2,3}\right]|l, k\rangle, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{m}^{j}|k, l\rangle=0, \quad(m>0), \\
& P_{\alpha}|l, k\rangle=\left(\alpha \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} l-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} k\right.\right)|l, k\rangle, \\
& |l, k\rangle=e^{i \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} Q_{l}-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q_{k}}|0,0\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2 Vertex operator

We give a free field realization of the type-I vertex operators [11], associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))[13,14]$. Let us set $P(z), Q(z), R_{-}^{j}(z), S_{-}^{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(z)=\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \beta_{-m}^{1} z^{m}, \quad Q(z)=-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \beta_{m}^{1} z^{-m},  \tag{3.4}\\
& R_{-}^{j}(z)=-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m}\left(\beta_{-m}^{j}-\beta_{-m}^{j+1}\right) x^{j m} z^{m}, \quad S_{-}^{j}(z)=\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m}\left(\beta_{m}^{j}-\beta_{m}^{j+1}\right) x^{-j m} z^{-m} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us set the basic operators $U(z), F_{\alpha_{1}}(z), F_{\alpha_{2}}(z)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
U(z) & =z^{\frac{r-1}{3 r}} e^{-i \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q \bar{\epsilon}_{1}} z^{-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\bar{\epsilon}_{1}}} e^{P(z)} e^{Q(z)}  \tag{3.6}\\
F_{\alpha_{j}}(z) & =z^{\frac{r-1}{r}} e^{i \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q_{\alpha_{j}}} z^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\alpha_{j}}} e^{R_{-}^{j}(z)} e^{S_{-}^{j}(z)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\mu}=\sqrt{r(r-1)} P_{\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}}, \quad \pi_{\mu \nu}=\pi_{\mu}-\pi_{\nu} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\pi_{\mu \nu}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$ as an integer $\left(\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{\nu} \mid r l-(r-1) k\right)$. We give the free field realization of the type-I vertex operators.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}(z) & =U(z)  \tag{3.9}\\
\Phi_{2}(z) & =\oint_{C_{1}} \frac{d w_{1}}{w_{1}} U(z) F_{\alpha_{1}}\left(w_{1}\right) \frac{\left[v_{1}-u+\frac{1}{2}-\pi_{1,2}\right]}{\left[v_{1}-u-\frac{1}{2}\right]},  \tag{3.10}\\
\Phi_{3}(z) & =\oint \oint_{C_{2}} \frac{d w_{1}}{w_{1}} \frac{d w_{2}}{w_{2}} U(z) F_{\alpha_{1}}\left(w_{1}\right) F_{\alpha_{2}}\left(w_{2}\right) \frac{\left[v_{1}-u+\frac{1}{2}-\pi_{1,3}\right]}{\left[v_{1}-u-\frac{1}{2}\right]} \frac{\left[v_{2}-v_{1}+\frac{1}{2}-\pi_{2,3}\right]}{\left[v_{2}-v_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\right]} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we set $z=x^{2 u}, w_{j}=x^{2 v_{j}}(j=1,2)$. We take the integration contours to be simple closed curves around the origin satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}: x|z|<\left|w_{1}\right|<x^{-1}|z|, \\
& C_{2}: x|z|<\left|w_{1}\right|<x^{-1}|z|, \quad x\left|w_{1}\right|<\left|w_{2}\right|<x^{-1}\left|w_{1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We identify $\Phi^{\left(k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}, k\right)}(z)=\Phi_{j}(z)$.
Let us introduce the type-II vertex operators [12], associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$. The type-II vertex operators represents the excitations. Let us set $r^{*}=r-1$. Let us set the elliptic theta function $[u]^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u]^{*}=x^{\frac{u^{2}}{r^{*}}-u} \Theta_{x^{2 r^{*}}}\left(x^{2 u}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $P^{*}(z), Q^{*}(z), R_{+}^{j}(z), S_{+}^{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{*}(z)=-\sum_{m>0} \frac{[r m]_{x}}{m\left[r^{*} m\right]_{x}} \beta_{-m}^{1} z^{m}, \quad Q^{*}(z)=\sum_{m>0} \frac{[r m]_{x}}{m\left[r^{*} m\right]_{x}} \beta_{m}^{1} z^{-m}  \tag{3.13}\\
& R_{+}^{j}(z)=\sum_{m>0} \frac{[r m]_{x}}{m\left[r^{*} m\right]_{x}}\left(\beta_{-m}^{j}-\beta_{-m}^{j+1}\right) x^{j m} z^{m}, \quad S_{+}^{j}(z)=-\sum_{m>0} \frac{[r m]_{x}}{m\left[r^{*} m\right]_{x}}\left(\beta_{m}^{j}-\beta_{m}^{j+1}\right) x^{-j m} z^{-m} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us set the basic operators $V(z), E_{\alpha_{1}}(z), E_{\alpha_{2}}(z)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
V(z) & =z^{\frac{r}{r^{*}}} e^{i \sqrt{\frac{T}{r^{*}}} Q_{\bar{\epsilon}_{1}}} z^{\frac{T}{r^{*}}} P_{\bar{\epsilon}_{1}} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*} e^{P^{*}(z)} e^{Q^{*}(z)}, \quad z^{\frac{r}{r^{*}}} e^{-i \sqrt{\frac{r}{r^{*}}} Q_{\alpha_{j}}} z^{-\sqrt{\frac{T}{r^{*}}} P_{\alpha_{j}}} e^{R_{+}^{j}(z)} e^{S_{+}^{j}(z)} .
$$

We give a free field realization of the type-II vertex operators.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{1}^{*}(z) & =V(z)  \tag{3.17}\\
\Psi_{2}^{*}(z) & =\oint_{\tilde{C}_{1}} \frac{d w_{1}}{w_{1}} V(z) E_{\alpha_{1}}\left(w_{1}\right) \frac{\left[v_{1}-u-\frac{1}{2}+\pi_{1,2}\right]^{*}}{\left[v_{1}-u+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{*}},  \tag{3.18}\\
\Psi_{3}^{*}(z) & =\oint \oint_{\tilde{C}_{2}} \frac{d w_{1}}{w_{1}} \frac{d w_{2}}{w_{2}} V(z) E_{\alpha_{1}}\left(w_{1}\right) E_{\alpha_{2}}\left(w_{2}\right) \frac{\left[v_{1}-u-\frac{1}{2}+\pi_{1,3}\right]^{*}}{\left[v_{1}-u+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{*}} \frac{\left[v_{2}-v_{1}-\frac{1}{2}+\pi_{2,3}\right]^{*}}{\left[v_{2}-v_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{*}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

The integration contours $\tilde{C}_{1}$ encloses the poles at $w_{1}=x^{-1+2 s r^{*}} z,(s=0,1,2, \cdots)$, but not the poles at $w_{1}=x^{1-2 s r^{*}} z,(s=0,1,2, \cdots)$. The integration of $\Psi_{3}^{*}(z)$ is carried out in the order $w_{2}, w_{1}$ along the contours $\tilde{C}_{2}$ which encloses the poles the poles at $w_{j+1}=x^{-1+2 s r^{*}} w_{j},(s=$ $0,1,2, \cdots ; j=1,2)$, but not the poles at $w_{j+1}=x^{1-2 s r^{*}} w_{j},(s=0,1,2, \cdots ; j=1,2)$. Here we set $w_{0}=z$. Let us set the type-II Boltzmann weights $W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{*}\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
a+2 \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & \\
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a & u
\end{array}\right)=r_{1}^{*}(u),  \tag{3.20}\\
& W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc}
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} \\
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)=r_{1}^{*}(u) \frac{[u]^{*}\left[a_{\mu, \nu}-1\right]^{*}}{[u-1]^{*}\left[a_{\mu, \nu}\right]^{*}}, \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu}  \tag{3.22}\\
a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)=r_{1}^{*}(u) \frac{\left[u-a_{\mu, \nu}\right]^{*}[1]^{*}}{[u-1]^{*}\left[a_{\mu, \nu}\right]^{*}} .
$$

Otherwise are zero. The function $r_{1}^{*}(u)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{*}(u)=z^{\frac{r}{r^{*}} \frac{2}{3}} \frac{h_{1}^{*}\left(z^{-1}\right)}{h^{*}(z)}, \quad h_{1}^{*}(z)=\frac{\left(z ; x^{2 r^{*}}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{4} z ; x^{2 r^{*}}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2 r^{*}} z ; x^{2 r^{*}}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{6} z ; x^{2 r^{*}}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Boltzmann weights satisfy the following relations.
(1)Yang-Baxter equation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{g} W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
d & e \\
c & g
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}\right) W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
c & g \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right) W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
e & f \\
g & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
= & \sum_{g} W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
g & f \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}\right) W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
d & e \\
g & f
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right) W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}
d & g \\
c & b
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

(2)The first inversion relation :

$$
\sum_{g} W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
c & g  \tag{3.25}\\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\,-u\right) W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc|}
c & d \\
g & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)=\delta_{b, d} .
$$

(3)The second inversion relation :

$$
\sum_{g} G_{g}^{*} W^{*}\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
g & b & 3-u  \tag{3.26}\\
d & c & 3
\end{array}\right) W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll|}
g & d \\
b & a
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u\right)=\delta_{a, c} \frac{G_{b}^{*} G_{d}^{*}}{G_{a}^{*}}
$$

where $G_{a}^{*}=\left[a_{1,2}\right]^{*}\left[a_{1,3}\right]^{*}\left[a_{2,3}\right]^{*}$. The type-II vertex operators satisfy the following relations.

$$
\Phi_{\mu_{1}}^{*}\left(z_{1}\right) \Phi_{\mu_{2}}^{*}\left(z_{2}\right)=\sum_{\epsilon_{\mu_{1}^{\prime}}+\epsilon_{\mu_{2}^{\prime}}=\epsilon_{\mu_{1}}+\epsilon_{\mu_{2}}} W^{*}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc}
k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_{1}}+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_{2}} & k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_{1}^{\prime}}  \tag{3.27}\\
k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_{2}} & k
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \Phi_{\mu_{2}^{\prime}}^{*}\left(z_{2}\right) \Phi_{\mu_{1}^{\prime}}^{*}\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

The type-I and type-II vertex operators commute modulo the "energy function" $\chi(z)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mu_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) \Psi_{\mu_{2}}^{*}\left(z_{2}\right)=\chi\left(z_{1} / z_{2}\right) \Psi_{\mu_{2}}^{*}\left(z_{2}\right) \Phi_{\mu_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(z)=z^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\left(x^{5} z^{-1} ; x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x z ; x^{6}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x z^{-1} ; x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{5} z ; x^{6}\right)_{\infty}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Boundary state

In this section we construct the free field realization of the ground state of the boundary transfer matrix of the $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ ABF model, which give higher-rank generalization of [7]. We construct the free field realization of the ground state on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$. Precisely the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$ is different from the space of the state $\mathcal{H}_{l, k}$. The space of state $\mathcal{H}_{l, k}$ is obtained by the cohomological argument from the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$ by using the so-called screening operators. In this paper we omit the detailed of the screening operators and consider the operators acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l, k}$.

### 4.1 Bogoliubov transformation

The commutation relations of bosons $\beta_{m}^{j}$ are not symmetric. Hence it is convenient to introduce new generators of bosons $\alpha_{m}^{1}, \alpha_{m}^{2}$ whose commutation relations are symmetric.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{m}^{1}=x^{-m}\left(\beta_{m}^{1}-\beta_{m}^{2}\right), \quad \alpha_{m}^{2}=x^{-2 m}\left(\beta_{m}^{2}-\beta_{m}^{3}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They satisfy the following commutation relations.

$$
\left[\alpha_{m}^{j}, \alpha_{n}^{k}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
m \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}} \frac{[2 m]_{x}}{[m]_{x}} \delta_{m+n, 0} & (j=k)  \tag{4.2}\\
-m \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}} \delta_{m+n, 0} & (j \neq k) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{[r m]_{x}}{[(r-1) m]_{x}[3 m]_{x}}\left([2 m]_{x} \alpha_{-m}^{1} \alpha_{-m}^{1}+2[m]_{x} \alpha_{-m}^{1} \alpha_{-m}^{2}+[2 m]_{x} \alpha_{-m}^{2} \alpha_{-m}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjioint action of $e^{F_{0}}$ has the effect of a Bogoliubov transformation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-F_{0}} \alpha_{m}^{j} e^{F_{0}}=\alpha_{m}^{j}-\alpha_{-m}^{j} \quad(m>0, j=1,2),  \tag{4.4}\\
& e^{-F_{0}} \alpha_{-m}^{j} e^{F_{0}}=\alpha_{-m}^{j} \quad(m>0, j=1,2), \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-F_{0}} \beta_{m}^{1} e^{F_{0}}=-\beta_{-m}^{1} \quad(m>0)  \tag{4.6}\\
& e^{-F_{0}} \beta_{m}^{2} e^{F_{0}}=\left(x^{2 m}-1\right) \beta_{-m}^{1}-x^{2 m} \beta_{-m}^{2} \quad(m>0)  \tag{4.7}\\
& e^{-F_{0}} \beta_{-m}^{j} e^{F_{0}}=\beta_{-m}^{j} \quad(m>0, j=1,2) \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 4.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-F_{0}} e^{Q(z)} e^{F_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(x^{2 r+4} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2 r} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{6} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{6}\right)_{\infty}}} e^{P(1 / z)} e^{Q(z)},  \tag{4.9}\\
& e^{-F_{0}} e^{S_{-}^{j}(z)} e^{F_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(1-z^{-2}\right)\left(x^{2 r-2} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}} e^{R_{-}^{j}(1 / z)} e^{S_{-}^{j}(z)} \quad(j=1,2)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.2 Boundary state

Let us set the bosonic operator $F$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=F_{0}+F_{1}, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}$ is given in (4.3) and $F_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\sum_{m>0}\left(D_{1}(m) \beta_{-m}^{1}+D_{2}(m) \beta_{-m}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we set

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1}(m) & =\frac{x^{-m}\left[\left(r-2 c+2-2 \pi_{1,3}\right) m\right]_{x}-[(r-2 c-1) m]_{x}+x^{\left(r-2 c-2 \pi_{1,2}\right) m}[m]_{x}}{m[(r-1) m]_{x}} \\
& -\theta_{m}\left(\frac{x^{-\frac{m}{2}}[m]_{x}[r m / 2]_{x}^{+}}{m[(r-1) m / 2]_{x}}\right),  \tag{4.13}\\
D_{2}(m) & =\frac{x^{m}\left(\left[\left(r-2 c+2-2 \pi_{1,3}\right) m\right]_{x}-\left[\left(r-2 c-2 \pi_{1,2}\right) m\right]_{x}\right)}{m[(r-1) m]_{x}}-\theta_{m}\left(\frac{x^{m}[r m / 2]_{x}^{+}[m / 2]_{x}}{m[(r-1) m / 2]_{x}}\right), \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
[a]_{x}^{+}=a^{x}+a^{-x}, \quad \theta_{m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x & (m: \text { even }) \\
0 & (m: \text { odd })
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Let us set the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B\rangle_{l, k}=e^{F}|l, k\rangle . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call this vector $|B\rangle_{l, k}$ the boundary state.
Proposition 4.2 The boundary state $|B\rangle_{l, k}$ has the following properties.

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{Q(z)}|B\rangle_{l . k} & =h(z) e^{P(1 / z)}|B\rangle_{l, k},  \tag{4.16}\\
e^{S_{-}^{j}(w)}|B\rangle_{l, k} & =g_{j}(w) e^{R_{-}^{j}(1 / w)}|B\rangle_{l, k}, \quad(j=1,2) \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the fuctions $h(z), g_{j}(w)(j=1,2)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z) & =\frac{\left(x^{2 r+4} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{8} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{12} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 r} z^{-2} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}} \\
& \times \frac{\left(x^{2 r+-2 c+4} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 c+2} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2 r-2 c} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 c+6} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}} \\
& \times \frac{\left(x^{2 r+6-2 c+2 \pi_{1,2}} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 c+2 \pi_{1,2}} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{\left.2 r+4-2 c-2 \pi_{1,2} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 c+2+2 \pi_{1,2}} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}}\right.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \frac{\left(x^{\left.2 r+6-2 c+2 \pi_{1,3} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 c+2 \pi_{1,3}} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}}\right.}{\left(x^{2 r+4-2 c-2 \pi_{1,3}} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 c+2+2 \pi_{1,3}} z^{-1} ; x^{2 r}, x^{12}\right)_{\infty}},  \tag{4.18}\\
g_{1}(w) & =\left(1-1 / w^{2}\right) \frac{\left(x^{2 c+1} / w ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 r-2 c-2 \pi_{1,2}+1} / w ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2 c+2 \pi_{1,2}-1} / w ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2 r-2 c-1} / w ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}},  \tag{4.19}\\
g_{2}(w) & =\left(1-1 / w^{2}\right) \frac{\left(x^{2 c+2 \pi_{1,2}} / w ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}\left(x ^ { 2 r - 2 c + 2 - 2 \pi _ { 1 , 3 } / w ; x ^ { 2 r } ) _ { \infty } } ( x ^ { 2 c + 2 \pi _ { 1 , 3 } - 2 } / w ; x ^ { 2 r } ) _ { \infty } \left(x^{\left.2 r-2 c-2 \pi_{1,2} / w ; x^{2 r}\right)_{\infty}} .\right.\right.}{} . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The parameters $l, k \in P$ are determined by the boundary conditions. The parameter $k$ represents the central height. The parameter $l$ represents the asymptotic boundary height. In what follows we consider the case $k=l \in P$ for simplicity. For more general $l, k \in P$, there exist similar boundary state. We constract the eigenvector of the commuting boundary transfer matrix, $\left[T_{B}^{(k)}\left(z_{1}\right), T_{B}^{(k)}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]=0$. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3 The boundary state $|B\rangle_{k, k}$ is the eigenvector of boundary transfer matrix $T_{B}^{(k)}(z)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{B}^{(k)}(z)|B\rangle_{k, k}=|B\rangle_{k, k} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4.4 Using the type-II vertex operators, we get general eigenvectors of the boundary transfer matrix $T_{B}^{(k)}(z)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{B}^{(k)}(z) \cdot \Psi_{\mu_{1}}^{*}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \cdots \Psi_{\mu_{M}}^{*}\left(\xi_{M}\right)|B\rangle_{k, k} \\
= & \prod_{j=1}^{M} \chi\left(1 / z \xi_{j}\right) \chi\left(\xi_{j} / z\right) \cdot \Psi_{\mu_{1}}^{*}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \cdots \Psi_{\mu_{M}}^{*}\left(\xi_{M}\right)|B\rangle_{k, k} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi(z)$ is given by (3.29).
Here we sketch proof of main theorem 4.3. Acting the vertex operator $\Phi^{\left(k+\bar{\epsilon}_{j}, k\right)}(z)$ to the condition $T_{B}^{(k)}|B\rangle_{k, k}=|B\rangle_{k, k}$ from the left, we get the following necessary and sufficient condition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{r-1}{3}+\left(\bar{\epsilon}_{1} \mid k\right)\right)} h(z)[c-u]\left[\pi_{1, j}+c+u\right] \Phi_{j}\left(z^{-1}\right)|B\rangle_{k, k}=\left(z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}\right) \quad(j=1,2,3) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we used the inversion relation of the type-I vertex operators. In the case of $j=1$, after some calculation, LHS becomes the following

$$
h(z) h\left(z^{-1}\right)[c-u][c+u] e^{P(z)+P(1 / z)}|B\rangle_{k, k},
$$

which is invariant $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$. Hence the relation (4.23) for $j=1$ holds. In the case of $j=2,3$, after some calculation as similar as [9], the relation (4.23) are reduced to the following theta identity.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left[v+k+c-\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[v-c-\frac{1}{2}\right]}{\left[-v+k+c-\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[-v-c-\frac{1}{2}\right]}  \tag{4.24}\\
= & \frac{[c-u][k+c+u]\left[u-v+\frac{1}{2}-k\right]\left[-v-u+\frac{1}{2}\right]-[c+u][k+c-u]\left[-u-v+\frac{1}{2}-k\right]\left[-v+u+\frac{1}{2}\right]}{[c-u][k+c+u]\left[u+v+\frac{1}{2}-k\right]\left[v-u+\frac{1}{2}\right]-[c+u][k+c-u]\left[-u+v+\frac{1}{2}-k\right]\left[v+u+\frac{1}{2}\right]} .
\end{align*}
$$

Later the author will write complete proof of this theorem, $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(N, \mathbb{C}))$ version and generalization of asymptotic boundary condition $l \in P$ in the another place [15]. Our proof is different from those given in [7] even for $U_{q, p}(\widehat{s l}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ case.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the organizing committee of VIII-th International Workshop Lie theory and its applications in Physics, held in Varna, Bulgaria 2009. This work is partly supported by the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research C(21540228) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## A Some formule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(z)= \\
& \exp \left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{2 m} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}[2 m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}[3 m]_{x}} z^{-2 m}-\sum_{m>0} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}}\left(\frac{[2 m]_{x}}{[3 m]_{x}} D_{1}(m)-\frac{x^{-3 m}[m]_{x}}{[3 m]_{x}} D_{2}(m)\right) z^{-m}\right), \\
& g_{1}(z)=\exp \left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{2 m} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}\left(x^{m}+x^{-m}\right)}{[r m]_{x}} z^{-2 m}+\sum_{m>0} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}}\left(D_{1}(m)-x^{-2 m} D_{2}(m)\right) z^{-m}\right), \\
& g_{2}(z)=\exp \left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{2 m} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}\left(x^{m}+x^{-m}\right)}{[r m]_{x}} z^{-2 m}+\sum_{m>0} \frac{[(r-1) m]_{x}}{[r m]_{x}} D_{2}(m) x^{-m} z^{-m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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