Diagonalization of boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model

February 13, 2022

Takeo KOJIMA

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0062, JAPAN

Abstract

We construct a free field realization of the ground state of the boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model. Using this ground state and type-II vertex operator, we have a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix.

1 Introduction

The vertex operator approach [1, 2] provides a powerful method to study solvable models. In [1] authors diagonalized the XXZ-transfer matrix on infinite spin chain by vertex operators. Sklyanin [3] begin systematic approach to boundary condition generalization in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. In periodic boundary condition, we construct a family of commuting transfer matrix from a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. Sklyanin showed that similar construction is possible with the aid of a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter equation. In open boundary condition, we construct a family of commuting transfer matrix from both a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation and a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter equation. In

[6] the authors diagonalized the boundary XXZ-transfer matrix on semi-infinite spin chain by vertex operators. The vertex operator approach was extended to higher-rank boundary XXZ-model in [9]

The vertex operator approach to solvable model was originally formulated for vertex type model such as the XXZ-model [1], and then extended to the face type model such as the Andrews-Baxter-Forrester (ABF) model [4, 10]. The ABF model is described by the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$. The $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model we are going to study in this paper were introduced in [5], as the higher-rank generalization of the ABF model introduced in [4]. In this paper we diagonalize the boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model by vertex operator approach. We construct a free field realization of the ground-state of the commuting boundary transfer matrix. Using this groundstate and type-II vertex operators for the elliptic algebra, we get a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix of the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model. The result of this paper gives a higher-rank generalization of the ABF model [7].

The text is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the boundary $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model, and introduce the boundary transfer matrix. In section 3 we recall the free field realization of the vertex operators. In section 4 we construct a free field realization of the ground-state of the boundary transfer matrix, and give a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix by using the type-II vertex operators.

2 Boundary $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model

We recall the boundary $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model.

2.1 Bulk Boltzmann weights

The $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model has two parameters x and r. We assume 0 < x < 1 and $r \ge 5$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$. We set the elliptic theta function [u] by

$$[u] = x^{\frac{u^2}{r} - u} \Theta_{x^{2r}}(x^{2u}). \tag{2.1}$$

Here we have used

$$\Theta_q(z) = (q, q)_{\infty}(z; q)_{\infty}(q/z; q)_{\infty}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$(z; q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_m)_{\infty} = \prod_{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m = 0}^{\infty} (1 - q_1^{j_1} q_2^{j_2} \cdots q_m^{j_m} z).$$
 (2.3)

Let $\epsilon_{\mu}(1 \leq \mu \leq 3)$ be the orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 with the inner product $(\epsilon_{\mu}|\epsilon_{\nu}) = \delta_{\mu,\nu}$. Let us set $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu} - \epsilon$ where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \epsilon_{\nu}$. The type $\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$ weight lattice is the linear span of $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}$.

$$P = \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \mathbb{Z}\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}.\tag{2.4}$$

Note $\sum_{\mu=1}^3 \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} = 0$. Let the simple root $\alpha_{\mu} = \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} - \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu+1}$ $(\mu = 1, 2)$. For $a \in P$ we set

$$a_{\mu,\nu} = a_{\mu} - a_{\nu}, \quad a_{\mu} = (a + \rho | \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}),$$
 (2.5)

where $\rho = 2\bar{\epsilon}_1 + \bar{\epsilon}_2$. The Boltzmann weights $W\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} u$ are given by

$$W\begin{pmatrix} a+2\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} \\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a \end{pmatrix} u = r_1(u), \tag{2.6}$$

$$W\begin{pmatrix} a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} \\ a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a \end{pmatrix} u = r_{1}(u) \frac{[u][a_{\mu,\nu} - 1]}{[u - 1][a_{\mu,\nu}]}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$W\begin{pmatrix} a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} \\ a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a \end{pmatrix} u = r_{1}(u) \frac{[u - a_{\mu,\nu}][1]}{[u - 1][a_{\mu,\nu}]}.$$
 (2.8)

Otherwise are zero. The function $r_1(u)$ is given by

$$r_1(u) = z^{\frac{r-1}{r}\frac{2}{3}} \frac{h_1(z^{-1})}{h(z)}, \quad h_1(z) = \frac{(x^2z; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}(x^{2r+4}z; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}}{(x^{2r}z; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}(x^6z; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}}.$$
 (2.9)

Here we have used $z = x^{2u}$. The Boltzmann weights satisfy the following relations.

(1) Yang-Baxter equation:

$$\sum_{g} W \begin{pmatrix} d & e \\ c & g \end{pmatrix} u_{1} W \begin{pmatrix} c & g \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u_{2} W \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & a \end{pmatrix} u_{1} - u_{2} \\
= \sum_{g} W \begin{pmatrix} g & f \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u_{1} W \begin{pmatrix} d & e \\ g & f \end{pmatrix} u_{2} W \begin{pmatrix} d & g \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} u_{1} - u_{2} .$$
(2.10)

(2) The first inversion relation:

$$\sum_{g} W \begin{pmatrix} c & g \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} - u W \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ g & a \end{pmatrix} u = \delta_{b,d}. \tag{2.11}$$

(3) The second inversion relation:

$$\sum_{g} G_{g} W \begin{pmatrix} g & b \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} 3 - u W \begin{pmatrix} g & d \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u = \delta_{a,c} \frac{G_{b} G_{d}}{G_{a}}. \tag{2.12}$$

where $G_a = [a_{1,2}][a_{1,3}][a_{2,3}]$. The Boltzmann weights are related to the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$.

2.2 Boundary Boltzmann weights

In [8] the boundary Boltzmann weights $K\begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ are given by

$$K\left(\begin{array}{c|c} k \\ k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} \\ k \end{array} \right| u = z^{\frac{2}{r}(-\frac{r-1}{3}+(\bar{\epsilon}_{1}|k))} \frac{h(z)}{h(z^{-1})} \frac{[c-u][k_{1,\mu}+c+u]}{[c+u][k_{1,\mu}+c-u]}, \ (c \in \mathbb{R}; \mu=1,2,3). \ (2.13)$$

Otherwise are zero. The function h(z) is given in (4.18). They satisfy the boundary Yang-Baxter equation,

$$\sum_{f,g} W \begin{pmatrix} c & f \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u - v \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ f & g \end{pmatrix} u + v \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} g \\ f & u \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} e \\ d & v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{f,g} W \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ f & e \end{pmatrix} u - v \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} c & f \\ b & g \end{pmatrix} u + v \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} e \\ f & u \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} g \\ b & v \end{pmatrix} . (2.14)$$

2.3 Vertex operator

Following the general scheme of algebraic approach in solvable lattice models, we give the type-I vertex operators. Let us consider the corner transfer matrices A(z), B(z), C(z), D(z) which represent NW, SW, SE, NE quadrants, respectively. The space $\mathcal{H}_{l,k}$ of the eigenvectors of A(z) is parametrized by $l, k \in P$. Let us introduce the type-I vertex operator $\Phi^{(a,b)}(z)$. We denote by $\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z^{-1})$ the half-infinite transfer matrix extending to infinity in the north. This is an operator

$$\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z^{-1}):\mathcal{H}_{l,k}\to\mathcal{H}_{l,k+\bar{\epsilon}_i}.$$

The opeartor $\Phi^{(a,b)}(z) = 0$ for $a - b \neq \bar{\epsilon}_j$. Similarly, we introduce dual type-I vertex operator $\Phi^{*(a,b)}(z)$. We denote by $\Phi^{*(k,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j)}(z)$ the half-infinite transfer matrix extending to infinity in the west. This is an operator

$$\Phi^{*(k,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j)}(z): \mathcal{H}_{l,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j} \to \mathcal{H}_{l,k}.$$

The operator $\Phi^{(a,b)}(z) = 0$ for $b - a \neq \bar{\epsilon}_j$. They satisfy the following relations.

(1)Commutation relation

$$\Phi^{(c,b)}(z_1)\Phi^{(b,a)}(z_2) = \sum_{d} W \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u_1 - u_2 \Phi^{(c,d)}(z_2)\Phi^{(d,a)}(z_1). \tag{2.15}$$

(2)Inversion relation

$$\sum_{g} \Phi^{*(a,g)}(z) \Phi^{(g,a)}(z) = 1, \quad \Phi^{(a,b)}(z) \Phi^{*(b,c)}(z) = \delta_{a,c}.$$
 (2.16)

Later we give a free field realization of the vertex operators acting on the bosonic Fock space.

2.4 Boundary transfer matrix

We define the boundary transfer matrix

$$T_B^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{j=1,2,3} \Phi^{*(k,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j)}(z)K \begin{pmatrix} k & k \\ k+\bar{\epsilon}_j & u \end{pmatrix} \Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z^{-1}). \tag{2.17}$$

The boundary Yang-Baxter equation implies the commutativity.

$$[T_B^{(k)}(z_1), T_B^{(k)}(z_2)] = 0. (2.18)$$

Our problem of this paper is to diagonalize the boundary transfer matrix ${\cal T}_B^{(k)}(z)$.

3 Free field realization

We give a free field realization of the vertex operators [11, 12].

3.1 Boson

We set the bosonic oscillators β_m^i , $(i = 1, 2; m \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$[\beta_m^j, \beta_n^k] = \begin{cases} m \frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x} \frac{[2m]_x}{[3m]_x} \delta_{m+n,0} & (j=k) \\ -mx^{3m} \frac{sgn(j-k)}{[(r-1)m]_x} \frac{[m]_x}{[3m]_x} \delta_{m+n,0} & (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Here the symbol $[a]_x = \frac{x^a - x^{-a}}{x - x^{-1}}$. Let us set β_m^3 by $\sum_{j=1}^3 x^{-2jm} \beta_m^j = 0$. The above commutation relations are valid for all $1 \leq j, k \leq 3$. We also introduce the zero-mode operators P_α, Q_α , $(\alpha \in P)$ by

$$[iP_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}] = (\alpha|\beta), \quad (\alpha, \beta \in P).$$
 (3.2)

In what follows we deal with the bosonic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}(l,k\in P)$ generated by $\beta^j_{-m}(m>0)$ over the vacuum vector $|l,k\rangle$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{l,k} = \mathbb{C}[\{\beta_{-1}^j, \beta_{-2}^j, \cdots\}_{j=1,2,3}] | l, k \rangle, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \beta_m^j |k, l\rangle &= 0, \quad (m > 0), \\ P_\alpha |l, k\rangle &= \left(\alpha \left| \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} l - \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} k \right. \right) |l, k\rangle, \\ |l, k\rangle &= e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} Q_l - \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q_k} |0, 0\rangle. \end{split}$$

3.2 Vertex operator

We give a free field realization of the type-I vertex operators [11], associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ [13, 14]. Let us set $P(z), Q(z), R_-^j(z), S_-^j(z)$ by

$$P(z) = \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \beta_{-m}^1 z^m, \quad Q(z) = -\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \beta_m^1 z^{-m}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$R_{-}^{j}(z) = -\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} (\beta_{-m}^{j} - \beta_{-m}^{j+1}) x^{jm} z^{m}, \quad S_{-}^{j}(z) = \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} (\beta_{m}^{j} - \beta_{m}^{j+1}) x^{-jm} z^{-m}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us set the basic operators $U(z), F_{\alpha_1}(z), F_{\alpha_2}(z)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$

$$U(z) = z^{\frac{r-1}{3r}} e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}Q_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}} z^{-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}P_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}} e^{P(z)} e^{Q(z)}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$F_{\alpha_j}(z) = z^{\frac{r-1}{r}} e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}Q_{\alpha_j}} z^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}P_{\alpha_j}} e^{R_-^j(z)} e^{S_-^j(z)}.$$
(3.7)

In what follows we set

$$\pi_{\mu} = \sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}}, \quad \pi_{\mu\nu} = \pi_{\mu} - \pi_{\nu}.$$
 (3.8)

Then $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ as an integer $(\epsilon_{\mu} - \epsilon_{\nu}|rl - (r-1)k)$. We give the free field realization of the type-I vertex operators.

$$\Phi_1(z) = U(z), \tag{3.9}$$

$$\Phi_2(z) = \oint_{C_1} \frac{dw_1}{w_1} U(z) F_{\alpha_1}(w_1) \frac{\left[v_1 - u + \frac{1}{2} - \pi_{1,2}\right]}{\left[v_1 - u - \frac{1}{2}\right]}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\Phi_{3}(z) = \oint \oint_{C_{2}} \frac{dw_{1}}{w_{1}} \frac{dw_{2}}{w_{2}} U(z) F_{\alpha_{1}}(w_{1}) F_{\alpha_{2}}(w_{2}) \frac{\left[v_{1} - u + \frac{1}{2} - \pi_{1,3}\right] \left[v_{2} - v_{1} + \frac{1}{2} - \pi_{2,3}\right]}{\left[v_{1} - u - \frac{1}{2}\right]} \frac{\left[v_{2} - v_{1} + \frac{1}{2} - \pi_{2,3}\right]}{\left[v_{2} - v_{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right]}.$$
(3.11)

Here we set $z = x^{2u}$, $w_j = x^{2v_j}$ (j = 1, 2). We take the integration contours to be simple closed curves around the origin satisfying

$$C_1: x|z| < |w_1| < x^{-1}|z|,$$

 $C_2: x|z| < |w_1| < x^{-1}|z|, \quad x|w_1| < |w_2| < x^{-1}|w_1|.$

We identify $\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z) = \Phi_j(z)$.

Let us introduce the type-II vertex operators [12], associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$. The type-II vertex operators represents the excitations. Let us set $r^* = r - 1$. Let us set the elliptic theta function $[u]^*$ by

$$[u]^* = x^{\frac{u^2}{r^*} - u} \Theta_{x^{2r^*}}(x^{2u}). \tag{3.12}$$

Let us set $P^*(z), Q^*(z), R^j_{\perp}(z), S^j_{\perp}(z) (j = 1, 2)$ by

$$P^*(z) = -\sum_{m>0} \frac{[rm]_x}{m[r^*m]_x} \beta_{-m}^1 z^m, \quad Q^*(z) = \sum_{m>0} \frac{[rm]_x}{m[r^*m]_x} \beta_m^1 z^{-m}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$R_{+}^{j}(z) = \sum_{m>0} \frac{[rm]_{x}}{m[r^{*}m]_{x}} (\beta_{-m}^{j} - \beta_{-m}^{j+1}) x^{jm} z^{m}, \quad S_{+}^{j}(z) = -\sum_{m>0} \frac{[rm]_{x}}{m[r^{*}m]_{x}} (\beta_{m}^{j} - \beta_{m}^{j+1}) x^{-jm} z^{-m}.$$
(3.14)

Let us set the basic operators $V(z), E_{\alpha_1}(z), E_{\alpha_2}(z)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$.

$$V(z) = z^{\frac{r}{3r^*}} e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}} z^{\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}P_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}} e^{P^*(z)} e^{Q^*(z)}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$F_{\alpha_j}(z) = z^{\frac{r}{r^*}} e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q_{\alpha_j}} z^{-\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}P_{\alpha_j}} e^{R_+^j(z)} e^{S_+^j(z)}. \tag{3.16}$$

We give a free field realization of the type-II vertex operators.

$$\Psi_1^*(z) = V(z),$$
 (3.17)

$$\Psi_2^*(z) = \oint_{\tilde{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{w_1} V(z) E_{\alpha_1}(w_1) \frac{\left[v_1 - u - \frac{1}{2} + \pi_{1,2}\right]^*}{\left[v_1 - u + \frac{1}{2}\right]^*}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\Psi_3^*(z) = \oint \oint_{\tilde{C}_2} \frac{dw_1}{w_1} \frac{dw_2}{w_2} V(z) E_{\alpha_1}(w_1) E_{\alpha_2}(w_2) \frac{\left[v_1 - u - \frac{1}{2} + \pi_{1,3}\right]^*}{\left[v_1 - u + \frac{1}{2}\right]^*} \frac{\left[v_2 - v_1 - \frac{1}{2} + \pi_{2,3}\right]^*}{\left[v_2 - v_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right]^*}.$$
(3.19)

The integration contours \tilde{C}_1 encloses the poles at $w_1 = x^{-1+2sr^*}z$, $(s=0,1,2,\cdots)$, but not the poles at $w_1 = x^{1-2sr^*}z$, $(s=0,1,2,\cdots)$. The integration of $\Psi_3^*(z)$ is carried out in the order w_2, w_1 along the contours \tilde{C}_2 which encloses the poles at $w_{j+1} = x^{-1+2sr^*}w_j$, $(s=0,1,2,\cdots;j=1,2)$, but not the poles at $w_{j+1} = x^{1-2sr^*}w_j$, $(s=0,1,2,\cdots;j=1,2)$. Here we set $w_0 = z$. Let us set the type-II Boltzmann weights $W^*\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}u$ are given by

$$W^* \begin{pmatrix} a + 2\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} \\ a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} & a \end{pmatrix} u = r_1^*(u), \tag{3.20}$$

$$W^* \begin{pmatrix} a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} \\ a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a \end{pmatrix} u = r_1^*(u) \frac{[u]^* [a_{\mu,\nu} - 1]^*}{[u - 1]^* [a_{\mu,\nu}]^*}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$W^* \begin{pmatrix} a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} \\ a + \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu} & a \end{pmatrix} u = r_1^*(u) \frac{[u - a_{\mu,\nu}]^*[1]^*}{[u - 1]^*[a_{\mu,\nu}]^*}.$$
 (3.22)

Otherwise are zero. The function $r_1^*(u)$ is given by

$$r_1^*(u) = z^{\frac{r}{r^*}\frac{2}{3}} \frac{h_1^*(z^{-1})}{h^*(z)}, \quad h_1^*(z) = \frac{(z; x^{2r^*}, x^6)_{\infty}(x^4z; x^{2r^*}, x^6)_{\infty}}{(x^{2r^*}z; x^{2r^*}, x^6)_{\infty}(x^6z; x^{2r^*}, x^6)_{\infty}}.$$
 (3.23)

The Boltzmann weights satisfy the following relations.

(1) Yang-Baxter equation:

$$\sum_{g} W^{*} \begin{pmatrix} d & e \\ c & g \end{pmatrix} u_{1} W^{*} \begin{pmatrix} c & g \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u_{2} W^{*} \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & a \end{pmatrix} u_{1} - u_{2} \\
= \sum_{g} W^{*} \begin{pmatrix} g & f \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u_{1} W^{*} \begin{pmatrix} d & e \\ g & f \end{pmatrix} u_{2} W^{*} \begin{pmatrix} d & g \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} u_{1} - u_{2} .$$
(3.24)

(2) The first inversion relation:

$$\sum_{g} W^* \begin{pmatrix} c & g \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} - u W^* \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ g & a \end{pmatrix} u = \delta_{b,d}. \tag{3.25}$$

(3) The second inversion relation:

$$\sum_{g} G_g^* W^* \begin{pmatrix} g & b \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} 3 - u W^* \begin{pmatrix} g & d \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} u = \delta_{a,c} \frac{G_b^* G_d^*}{G_a^*}. \tag{3.26}$$

where $G_a^* = [a_{1,2}]^*[a_{1,3}]^*[a_{2,3}]^*$. The type-II vertex operators satisfy the following relations.

$$\Phi_{\mu_1}^*(z_1)\Phi_{\mu_2}^*(z_2) = \sum_{\epsilon_{\mu_1'} + \epsilon_{\mu_2'} = \epsilon_{\mu_1} + \epsilon_{\mu_2}} W^* \begin{pmatrix} k + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_1} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_2} & k + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_1'} \\ k + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_2} & k \end{pmatrix} u_2 - u_1 \Phi_{\mu_2'}^*(z_2)\Phi_{\mu_1'}^*(z_1).$$
(3.27)

The type-I and type-II vertex operators commute modulo the "energy function" $\chi(z)$.

$$\Phi_{\mu_1}(z_1)\Psi_{\mu_2}^*(z_2) = \chi(z_1/z_2)\Psi_{\mu_2}^*(z_2)\Phi_{\mu_1}(z_1). \tag{3.28}$$

Here we have set

$$\chi(z) = z^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{(x^5 z^{-1}; x^6)_{\infty} (xz; x^6)_{\infty}}{(xz^{-1}; x^6)_{\infty} (x^5 z; x^6)_{\infty}}.$$
(3.29)

4 Boundary state

In this section we construct the free field realization of the ground state of the boundary transfer matrix of the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model, which give higher-rank generalization of [7]. We construct the free field realization of the ground state on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$. Precisely the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ is different from the space of the state $\mathcal{H}_{l,k}$. The space of state $\mathcal{H}_{l,k}$ is obtained by the cohomological argument from the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ by using the so-called screening operators. In this paper we omit the detailed of the screening operators and consider the operators acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$.

4.1 Bogoliubov transformation

The commutation relations of bosons β_m^j are not symmetric. Hence it is convenient to introduce new generators of bosons α_m^1, α_m^2 whose commutation relations are symmetric.

$$\alpha_m^1 = x^{-m}(\beta_m^1 - \beta_m^2), \quad \alpha_m^2 = x^{-2m}(\beta_m^2 - \beta_m^3).$$
 (4.1)

They satisfy the following commutation relations.

$$[\alpha_m^j, \alpha_n^k] = \begin{cases} m \frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x} \frac{[2m]_x}{[m]_x} \delta_{m+n,0} & (j=k) \\ -m \frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x} \delta_{m+n,0} & (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$
 (4.2)

Let us set

$$F_0 = -\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{[rm]_x}{[(r-1)m]_x [3m]_x} ([2m]_x \alpha_{-m}^1 \alpha_{-m}^1 + 2[m]_x \alpha_{-m}^1 \alpha_{-m}^2 + [2m]_x \alpha_{-m}^2 \alpha_{-m}^2). \tag{4.3}$$

The adjioint action of e^{F_0} has the effect of a Bogoliubov transformation,

$$e^{-F_0}\alpha_m^j e^{F_0} = \alpha_m^j - \alpha_{-m}^j \quad (m > 0, j = 1, 2),$$
 (4.4)

$$e^{-F_0}\alpha_{-m}^j e^{F_0} = \alpha_{-m}^j \quad (m > 0, j = 1, 2),$$
 (4.5)

and

$$e^{-F_0}\beta_m^1 e^{F_0} = -\beta_{-m}^1 \quad (m > 0),$$
 (4.6)

$$e^{-F_0}\beta_m^2 e^{F_0} = (x^{2m} - 1)\beta_{-m}^1 - x^{2m}\beta_{-m}^2 \quad (m > 0),$$
 (4.7)

$$e^{-F_0}\beta_{-m}^j e^{F_0} = \beta_{-m}^j \quad (m > 0, j = 1, 2).$$
 (4.8)

Proposition 4.1

$$e^{-F_0}e^{Q(z)}e^{F_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(x^{2r+4}z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}(x^2z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}}{(x^{2r}z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}(x^6z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^6)_{\infty}}}e^{P(1/z)}e^{Q(z)},$$
(4.9)

$$e^{-F_0}e^{S_-^j(z)}e^{F_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(1-z^{-2})(x^{2r-2}z^{-2};x^{2r})_{\infty}}{(x^2z^{-2};x^{2r})_{\infty}}}e^{R_-^j(1/z)}e^{S_-^j(z)} \quad (j=1,2).$$
 (4.10)

4.2 Boundary state

Let us set the bosonic operator F by

$$F = F_0 + F_1, (4.11)$$

where F_0 is given in (4.3) and F_1 is given by

$$F_1 = \sum_{m>0} (D_1(m)\beta_{-m}^1 + D_2(m)\beta_{-m}^2). \tag{4.12}$$

Here we set

$$D_{1}(m) = \frac{x^{-m}[(r-2c+2-2\pi_{1,3})m]_{x} - [(r-2c-1)m]_{x} + x^{(r-2c-2\pi_{1,2})m}[m]_{x}}{m[(r-1)m]_{x}} - \theta_{m} \left(\frac{x^{-\frac{m}{2}}[m]_{x}[rm/2]_{x}^{+}}{m[(r-1)m/2]_{x}}\right), \qquad (4.13)$$

$$D_{2}(m) = \frac{x^{m}([(r-2c+2-2\pi_{1,3})m]_{x} - [(r-2c-2\pi_{1,2})m]_{x})}{m[(r-1)m]_{x}} - \theta_{m} \left(\frac{x^{m}[rm/2]_{x}^{+}[m/2]_{x}}{m[(r-1)m/2]_{x}}\right), \qquad (4.14)$$

where

$$[a]_x^+ = a^x + a^{-x}, \quad \theta_m(x) = \begin{cases} x & (m : even) \\ 0 & (m : odd) \end{cases}.$$

Let us set the vector

$$|B\rangle_{l,k} = e^F|l,k\rangle. \tag{4.15}$$

We call this vector $|B\rangle_{l,k}$ the boundary state.

Proposition 4.2 The boundary state $|B\rangle_{l,k}$ has the following properties.

$$e^{Q(z)}|B\rangle_{l,k} = h(z)e^{P(1/z)}|B\rangle_{l,k},$$
 (4.16)

$$e^{S_{-}^{j}(w)}|B\rangle_{l,k} = g_{j}(w)e^{R_{-}^{j}(1/w)}|B\rangle_{l,k}, (j=1,2).$$
 (4.17)

Here the fuctions $h(z), g_j(w) (j = 1, 2)$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} h(z) & = & \frac{(x^{2r+4}z^{-2};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}(x^8z^{-2};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}}{(x^{12}z^{-2};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2r}z^{-2};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}} \\ & \times & \frac{(x^{2r+-2c+4}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2c+2}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}}{(x^{2r-2c}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2c+6}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}} \\ & \times & \frac{(x^{2r+6-2c+2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2c+2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}}{(x^{2r+4-2c-2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2c+2+2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_{\infty}} \end{array}$$

$$\times \frac{(x^{2r+6-2c+2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2c+2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_{\infty}}{(x^{2r+4-2c-2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_{\infty}(x^{2c+2+2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_{\infty}},$$
(4.18)

$$g_1(w) = (1 - 1/w^2) \frac{(x^{2c+1}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty} (x^{2r-2c-2\pi_{1,2}+1}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty}}{(x^{2c+2\pi_{1,2}-1}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty} (x^{2r-2c-1}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty}}, \tag{4.19}$$

$$g_2(w) = (1 - 1/w^2) \frac{(x^{2c + 2\pi_{1,2}}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty} (x^{2r - 2c + 2 - 2\pi_{1,3}}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty}}{(x^{2c + 2\pi_{1,3} - 2}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty} (x^{2r - 2c - 2\pi_{1,2}}/w; x^{2r})_{\infty}}.$$
(4.20)

The parameters $l, k \in P$ are determined by the boundary conditions. The parameter k represents the central height. The parameter l represents the asymptotic boundary height. In what follows we consider the case $k = l \in P$ for simplicity. For more general $l, k \in P$, there exist similar boundary state. We construct the eigenvector of the commuting boundary transfer matrix, $[T_B^{(k)}(z_1), T_B^{(k)}(z_2)] = 0$. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3 The boundary state $|B\rangle_{k,k}$ is the eigenvector of boundary transfer matrix $T_B^{(k)}(z)$.

$$T_B^{(k)}(z)|B\rangle_{k,k} = |B\rangle_{k,k}. (4.21)$$

Corollary 4.4 Using the type-II vertex operators, we get general eigenvectors of the boundary transfer matrix $T_B^{(k)}(z)$.

$$T_B^{(k)}(z) \cdot \Psi_{\mu_1}^*(\xi_1) \cdots \Psi_{\mu_M}^*(\xi_M) |B\rangle_{k,k}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^M \chi(1/z\xi_j) \chi(\xi_j/z) \cdot \Psi_{\mu_1}^*(\xi_1) \cdots \Psi_{\mu_M}^*(\xi_M) |B\rangle_{k,k}, \qquad (4.22)$$

where $\chi(z)$ is given by (3.29).

Here we sketch proof of main theorem 4.3. Acting the vertex operator $\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z)$ to the condition $T_B^{(k)}|B\rangle_{k,k}=|B\rangle_{k,k}$ from the left, we get the following necessary and sufficient condition.

$$z^{\frac{1}{r}(-\frac{r-1}{3}+(\bar{\epsilon}_1|k))}h(z)[c-u][\pi_{1,j}+c+u]\Phi_j(z^{-1})|B\rangle_{k,k} = (z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}) \quad (j=1,2,3).$$
 (4.23)

Here we used the inversion relation of the type-I vertex operators. In the case of j = 1, after some calculation, LHS becomes the following

$$h(z)h(z^{-1})[c-u][c+u]e^{P(z)+P(1/z)}|B\rangle_{k,k}$$

which is invariant $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$. Hence the relation (4.23) for j = 1 holds. In the case of j = 2, 3, after some calculation as similar as [9], the relation (4.23) are reduced to the following theta identity.

$$\frac{[v+k+c-\frac{1}{2}][v-c-\frac{1}{2}]}{[-v+k+c-\frac{1}{2}][-v-c-\frac{1}{2}]}$$

$$= \frac{[c-u][k+c+u][u-v+\frac{1}{2}-k][-v-u+\frac{1}{2}]-[c+u][k+c-u][-u-v+\frac{1}{2}-k][-v+u+\frac{1}{2}]}{[c-u][k+c+u][u+v+\frac{1}{2}-k][v-u+\frac{1}{2}]-[c+u][k+c-u][-u+v+\frac{1}{2}-k][v+u+\frac{1}{2}]}.$$
(4.24)

Later the author will write complete proof of this theorem, $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(N,\mathbb{C}))$ version and generalization of asymptotic boundary condition $l \in P$ in the another place [15]. Our proof is different from those given in [7] even for $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ case.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the organizing committee of VIII-th International Workshop Lie theory and its applications in Physics, held in Varna, Bulgaria 2009. This work is partly supported by the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research C(21540228) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

A Some formule

$$h(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{2m} \frac{[(r-1)m]_x [2m]_x}{[rm]_x [3m]_x} z^{-2m} - \sum_{m>0} \frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x} \left(\frac{[2m]_x}{[3m]_x} D_1(m) - \frac{x^{-3m} [m]_x}{[3m]_x} D_2(m)\right) z^{-m}\right),$$

$$g_1(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{2m} \frac{[(r-1)m]_x (x^m + x^{-m})}{[rm]_x} z^{-2m} + \sum_{m>0} \frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x} (D_1(m) - x^{-2m} D_2(m)) z^{-m}\right),$$

$$g_2(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{2m} \frac{[(r-1)m]_x (x^m + x^{-m})}{[rm]_x} z^{-2m} + \sum_{m>0} \frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x} D_2(m) x^{-m} z^{-m}\right).$$

References

[1] B.Davis, O.Foda, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa and A.Nakayashiki, Diagonalization of the XXZ Hamiltonian by vertex operators, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **151**, 89- (1993).

- [2] M.Jimbo and T.Miwa, Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol 85, Providence, RI:AMS, (1994).
- [3] E.K.Sklyanin, Boundary condition for integrable quantum system, J.Phys.A21, 2375-(1988).
- [4] G.E.Andrews, R.J.Baxter and P.J.Forerester, Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities, *J.Stat.Phys.***35**, 193- (1984).
- [5] M.Jimbo, T.Miwa and M.Okado, Local state probabilities of solvable lattice models : an $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ family, Nucl.Phys.**B300** [FS22], 74- (1988).
- [6] M.Jimbo,R.Kedem,T.Kojima,H.Konno and T.Miwa, XXZ chain with a boundary, Nucl. Phys. B441[FS], 437- (1995).
- [7] T.Miwa and R.Weston, Boundary ABF models, Nucl. Phys. B486 [PM], 517- (1997).
- [8] M.T.Batchelor, V.Fridkin, A.Kuniba, Y.K.Zhou, Solutions of the reflection equation for face and vertex models associated with $A_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$ and $A_n^{(2)}$, Phys.Lett.**B376** no.4, 266-(1996).
- [9] H.Furutsu and T.Kojima, The $U_q(\widehat{sl_n})$ analogue of the XXZ chain with a boundary, J.Math.Phys. 41, no.7, 4413- (2000).
- [10] S.Lukyanov and Ya.Pugai, Multi-point local height probabilities in the integrable RSOS model, Nucl. Phys. **B473**[FS], 631- (1996).
- [11] Y.Asai, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa, Ya.Pugai, Bosonization of vertex operators for the $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ face model, $J.Phys.\mathbf{A29}$, 6595- (1996).
- [12] H.Furutsu, T.Kojima and Y.Quano, Type-II vertex operators for the $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ face model, $Int.J.Mod.Phys.\mathbf{A15},$ no.10, 1533- (2000).
- [13] M.Jimbo, H.Konno, S.Odake and J.Shiraishi: Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$: Drinfeld currents and vertex operators, Commun.Math.Phys.**199**, 605- (1999).
- [14] T.Kojima and H.Konno: The Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_N})$ and the Drinfeld Realization of the Elliptic Quantum Group $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{sl_N})$, Commun.Math.Phys.237, 405- (2003).
- [15] T.Kojima, in preparation.