# Tem perature dependent photoem ission on 1T-T iSe<sub>2</sub>: Interpretation within the exciton condensate phase model

C.Monney, EF.Schwier, C.Battaglia, M.G.Gamier, N.Mariotti, C.Didiot, H.Beck, and P.Aebi Institut de Physique, Universite de Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

> H. Cercellier and J. Marcus Institut Neel, CNRS-UJF, BP 166, 38042 Grenoble, France

> > H.Berger

Institut de Physique de la Matiere Condensee, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

AN. Titov

Institute of M etal Physics UrD RAS, Ekaterinburg, 620219, Russia Institute of M etallurgy UrD RAS, Am undsen St. 101, Ekaterinburg, 620016, Russia (D ated: A pril 2, 2024)

The charge density wave phase transition of 1T-T iSe<sub>2</sub> is studied by angle-resolved photoem ission over a wide tem perature range. An important chem ical potential shift which strongly evolves with tem perature is evidenced. In the fram ework of the exciton condensate phase, the detailed tem perature dependence of the associated order parameter is extracted. Having a mean-eld-like behaviour at low tem perature, it exhibits a non-zero value above the transition, interpreted as the signature of strong excitonic uctuations, rem iniscent of the pseudo-gap phase of high tem perature superconductors. Integrated intensity around the Ferm i level is found to display a trend sim ilar to the measured resistivity and is discussed within the model.

PACS num bers: 79.60.Bm,71.27.+a,71.35.Lk,71.45.Lr

I. IN TRODUCTION

The transition m etal dichalcogenides (TMDC) belong to a class of quasi two-dimensional compounds famous for their charge density wave (CDW) phases<sup>1,2,3</sup>. Due to their layered structure, they can be easily intercalated by foreign atoms in their so-called Van der W aals gap, providing a chemical parameter for tuning new phenom – ena. In this way, for instance superconductivity can be enhanced or suppressed, sometimes in competition with CDW phases<sup>4,5</sup>.

Among the TMDC, 1T-TiSe<sub>2</sub> turns out to be an interesting and enigmatic material. At the critical temperature of  $T_c$  ' 200K, the system undergoes a secondorder phase transition, characterized notably by a peaking resistivity  $^{6,7}$  (see Fig. 1 (a)) and a phonon softening  $^8$ at  $T_c$ . The electronic band structure and its elementary excitations can be determined by angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES). At room -tem perature (RT), ARPES evidenced twom ain contributions near the Ferm i energy  $E_F$  , namely a valence band (of Se4p character) and conduction bands (of T i3d character), whose relative energy positions are still controversial<sup>9,10</sup>. At low tem perature (LT), intense backfolded bands, characteristic of the CDW, appear. The origin of the CDW is not completely settled yet and resists to conventional explanations. Indeed, the 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> Ferm i surface (FS) topology does not favor nesting, since no large parallel portions of FS are present<sup>11</sup>. A band Jahn-Teller e ect<sup>12</sup> has been proposed as an alternative mechanism, relying on the fact that at the transition a periodic lattice distortion develops, which results in a tendency of the system to pass from the 1T (octhedralenvironm ent of the transition atom) to the 2H (prism atic environm ent) polytype. A third explanation, that is developed hereafter, is the exciton condensate phase.

This phase, originally denominated as the excitonic insulator phase, appeared in the mid-1960s as a theoretical prediction<sup>13,14</sup>. In its simplest version, its basic ingredients are a single valence and a single conduction band, having a sem in etallic or sem iconductor con guration. Then, if the overlap or the gap between them is sm all enough, bound states of holes and electrons, called excitons, will condense in a macroscopic state and drive the system into a new ground state, provided the tem perature is su ciently low. The CDW arises naturally from the coupling between the valence and conduction bands, opening a gap between them at LT and transform ing the sem in etallic or sem iconducting con guration into an insulating one. In other words, the presence of condensed excitons in the system creates the CDW as a purely electronic process.

In the case of 1T - T iSe<sub>2</sub>, the situation is more complicated. Three symmetry equivalent conduction bands having their minim a at the border of the Brillouin zone (BZ) (at the L point, see Fig. 1 (b)) are coupled to the valence band having its maximum at the center of the BZ (the point). The main di erence with the basic excitonic insulator phase is that the electron-hole coupling does not shift all the conduction bands, providing states which are unperturbed by the transition and therefore tempering the insulating character of the transition<sup>15</sup>.



FIG.1: (a) In-plane (? c) resistivity of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> as a function of tem perature<sup>7</sup>. (b) B rillouin zone of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> with its high sym metry points. (c) Left: constant energy map near  $E_F$  of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> in planes parallel to the M K plane, with electron pockets at L (M) produced by the conduction band. In parenthesis the surface B rillouin zone notation is indicated for high sym metry points. R ight: the valence bands have their maxim a at and the conduction band has its minimum at L.

Among the recent ARPES studies on 1T -T ise, Pillo et al. inferred the existence of a sm all indirect gap and a conduction band in the unoccupied states and supported the exciton condensate phase scenario<sup>16</sup>. Kidd et al. also evidenced a sm all indirect gap with a conduction band in the unoccupied states. They relied on a combination of an electron-hole coupling and a Jahn-Teller e ect as the origin of the CDW phase<sup>17</sup>. Rossnagel et al. deduced rem arkable shifts of the valence and conduction bands in the tem perature range of 100K T 300K, but without being able to determ ine the nature of the gap $^{18}$ . On this basis, they also gave a simple qualitative explanation of the peak in the resistivity of 1T -T iSe2. In their conclusions, they rather endorse the Jahn-Teller e ect. In our recent publications, we defend the thesis of the excitonic insulator phase as the origin of the CDW phase of 1T -T iSe, and we infer a sm all indirect overlap of the valence and conduction bands in the norm alphase, i.e. in the absence of excitonic e ects<sup>9,15</sup>.

In this paper, we present high resolution ARPES measurements of 1T - T ise<sub>2</sub> aim ed at extracting a detailed temperature dependence over a wide temperature range. It evidences strong shifts of the backfolded valence band and of the conduction band, which we are able to relate to the combined e ects of the order parameter characterizing the exciton condensate phase and of a chem ical potential shift. Moreover, in photoem ission spectra, relevant intensity features following closely the shape of the temperature dependent resistivity are



FIG.2: (color online) Photoem ission intensity maps, as false colour plots (dark colours represent strong intensity), measured along K (see text for an explanation of this notation) at three di erent temperatures corresponding, from left to right, to situations well below  $T_c$ , around  $T_c$  and well above  $T_c$  respectively.

found. Finally, at the lowest temperature achieved here, we identify for the rst time a new structure in the conduction band which we discuss in relation to the electron-phonon coupling. Section II presents the experimental data whereas Sections III and IV give a detailed discussion before nishing with Section V.

## II. EXPERIMENTALDATA

The photoem ission intensity maps presented here were recorded using linear p-polarized HeI radiation at 21.2 eV and using an upgraded Scienta SES-200 spectrom eter with an overall energy resolution better than 10 m eV. A liquid helium cooled manipulator having an angular resolution of 0:1 was used, with a tem perature stability < 5K.1T-TiSe<sub>2</sub> samples were cleaved in-situ, in a base pressure in the low 10<sup>11</sup> mbar, ensuring a high longevity of the sample surface. Photoem ission spectra were recorded from 13K to RT.At the end of the measurem ents, the sam ple was cooled again to 13K and com parable spectra were recorded again, con ming the stability of the surface. R eference spectra of polycrystalline gold evaporated on the same sam pleholder as 1T -T iSe2 were recorded for determ ining the Ferm i level position. At the excitation energy of 21.2 eV, at the border of the BZ, initial states close to the L point are probed (see the BZ depicted in Fig. 1 (b) for situating high symmetry points). In the following, we will use the surface BZ notation, M , for such m easurem ents.

Fig. 1 (c) presents the schematic near- $E_F$  electronic structure of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> in the norm al phase, i.e. in the absence of CDW uctuations. The constant energy map (left picture) consists of a hole pocket at ( in the surface BZ) and three symmetry equivalent electron pockets at L and L<sup>0</sup> (M and M<sup>0</sup> in the surface BZ) in planes parallel to the M K plane ( M K in the surface BZ). Schematically, the corresponding near- $E_F$  dispersions (right picture) involve three Se 4p-derived valence bands having their maximum at and one T i 3d-derived

conduction band having its minimum at L.

At RT already, strong uctuations of the CDW phase are present<sup>9</sup>, so that a gap opens between the valence and conduction bands, shifting the valence band below  $E_{\rm F}$  . Only the conduction bands remain in the neighbourhood of  $E_{\rm F}$  . Therefore, to study the tem perature dependence of the electronic structure of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub>, we focus on the situation at M . At LT, the most prom inent feature attesting the CDW phase is seen at M in the form of the backfolded valence band. It is located well inside the occupied states, below the conduction band. Its maximum shifts to higher binding energies with decreasing tem perature. Fig. 2 shows photoem ission intensity maps at the tem peratures of 13K, 172K and 288K, corresponding to situations well below  $T_{c}$ , near  $T_{c}$  and well above  $T_{c}$ , respectively. W ell below T<sub>c</sub>, the backfolded valence band is intense and clearly distinct from the conduction band. In fact, two contributions can be resolved at high binding energies representing two backfolded valence bands. The conduction band provides som e intensity just below  $E_F$  . Near  $T_c$ , the backfolded valence band is less intense and closer to the conduction band, which has gained intensity below  $E_F$  . W ellabove  $T_c$ , the backfolded valence band m ixes up with the conduction band and only som e residual intensity shows up below the conduction band, precursor of the CDW and the backfolded valence band.

To understand the origin of the CDW phase of 1T -TiSe<sub>2</sub> and its in uence on the ARPES spectra, we have perform ed a detailed series of such m easurem ents over a wide tem perature range. From each intensity map, the central energy distribution curve (EDC) (situated at M exactly) is extracted, allowing to plot the waterfall of Fig. 3(a) (left). The blue EDC was measured at 200K, at  $T_c$ . The conduction band, labelled C, is clearly recognized just below E<sub>F</sub>. At LT, a new peak, labelled B, develops below the conduction band and is identied as the valence band backfolded to M . W ith decreasing tem perature, it shifts to higher binding energies and becom esm uch m ore intense. This increase in spectral weight is mainly balanced by a decrease in spectral weight of the original valence band at (not show n here, see reference  $^{15}$  for a com prehensive discussion). Looking more carefully one sees that another peak, labelled A, also develops below this backfolded band, which can be identied with a second (spin orbit split) valence band backfolded from to M . Contribution D is close to E  $_{\rm F}\,$  and is resolved here for the rst time to our know ledge (sim ilarm easurem ents performed on other samples con m this result). The splitting between contribution C and D is of the order of 50 m eV and as the tem perature increases, contribution D rapidly disappears. We identify this peak as the quasiparticle peak originating from the coupling of the conduction band to phonons<sup>20</sup>. Contribution C is then the incoherent peak which mostly follows the dispersion of the original conduction band. This phenom enon will be described in m ore details in a forthcom ing paper<sup>21</sup>.

Fig. 3(a) (right) shows a false color plot of this waterfall, emphasizing thus the temperature evolution of the



FIG.3: (color online) (a) Left: EDCsm easured exactly at M , as a function of temperature (for the blue EDC, T = 200K). Right: the corresponding false color plot, with the dashed line at T = 200K. (b) Integrated intensity around  $E_{\rm F}$  (over 50 meV) for each measured photoem ission intensity map, as a function of temperature. (c) Inverse integrated intensity.

position and intensity of the backfolded valence band. The vertical dotted line indicates  $T_c$ . The intensity of the photoem ission intensity m aps (as those shown in Fig. 2) is integrated in the vicinity of  $E_F$  (50 m eV around  $E_F$ ) and plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 3(b). This procedure provides us with an estimate of the tem – perature dependence of the electron density n, which we can then relate to the particular behaviour of the resistivity of 1T –T iSe<sub>2</sub>. Indeed, the electron density n of the occupied states in the conduction band participating in the conductivity according to the D rude form ula, can be obtained by

$$Z Z_{+} d! A_{c}(k;!)n_{F}(!):$$

Here  $n_F(!)$  is the Ferm i-D irac distribution.  $A_c(K;!)$  is the spectral function at L, which is measured by photoem ission<sup>19</sup>. Therefore, limiting the energy integration to the neighbourhood of the Ferm i energy with ! = 50 meV permits to focus on the contribution of the conduction band. In Fig. 3(c), the inverse of the curve in Fig. 3(b) represents then an approximation of the considering changes in relaxation time and mass). It displays qualitatively the behaviour of the measured resistivity curve<sup>6,7</sup>, with a sharp increase around T<sub>c</sub> and a decrease at lower temperatures (Fig. 1(a)).

To get more information from the data of Fig. 3(a), tting EDCs as a function of temperature is necessary. Contribution D complicates the tting procedure of the EDCs at the lowest temperatures only, where it appears. Therefore, we adopt the following strategy. For



FIG. 4: (a) Position of contributions A,B,C as a function of temperature, obtained by tting EDCs, and with their error bars. (b) O rder parameter of the exciton condensate phase. The continuous (blue) line is a twith a mean-eld-like function. The dashed (blue) line is a similar t, after subtraction of the chem ical potential shift (see text form ore details). (c) Chem ical potential shift obtained from our data. The dotted-dashed line represents the extrapolation of a linear t to the high tem perature part. (d) C alculated chem ical potential shift from previous work<sup>22</sup> (see text).

EDCs at the lowest tem peratures, we start by adjusting a Lorentzian to the contribution C alone (this approximation results in larger error bars) and subtract it from the EDC. Then, contributions A and B are tted separately by two Lorentzians. At higher tem peratures, the situation is simpler as thing with three Lorentzians is possible at once for the whole EDC.Fitting is done in an iterative way, meaning that parameters of the previous t (i.e. with a lower temperature) are used as an initial quess. The Ferm i-D irac cuto is included in the t too. Fig. 4(a) displays the position of contributions A, B and C as a function of the tem perature, namely the two backfolded valence bands and the conduction band respectively. Above 200K, when the peaks start to m erge together, the tting procedure becom es less accurate. This is relected by the larger error bars. All three bands undergo a shift towards  $E_F$  as the tem perature increases, with the largest change below T<sub>c</sub>. M oreover, at RT, the center of the conduction band at M (close to L) is at 18 m eV above  $E_F$  , meaning that the band lies in the unoccupied states at RT. The goal of these ts is to extract the tem perature dependent order parameter from the experimental data within the exciton condensate phase model. The result is shown in Fig. 4(b) as explained in the next section.



FIG.5: Renorm alized dispersions at L calculated by the spectral function derived for the exciton condensate phase for (a) the norm al phase, = 0 m eV, (b) a weak CDW phase, = 25 m eV, and (c) a well-established CDW phase, = 100 m eV. The spectral weight is rendered by the grayscale (the darker the colour, the higher the spectral weight).

# III. D ISCUSSION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA

W e now adopt the exciton condensate phase m echanism as the origin of the CDW transition to go further in our analysis and to understand our experim ental results. In the exciton condensate phase, at LT, the CDW naturally appears from the condensation of excitons, which are bound pairs of holes from the valence band and electrons from the conduction bands. The non-zero centerof mass momentum of the excitons, which is the distance betw een and L, gives rise to the CDW, as a purely electronic mechanism. This CDW phase is characterized by a non-zero order parameter , similar to that of the BCS theory (see reference<sup>15</sup> for a rigorous derivation). We have obtained the spectral function within this fram ework to calculate photoem ission intensity m aps. It gives us the renorm alized dispersions in the CDW phase, as well as their spectral weight. We must emphasize that in our minimal model, we considered only the topmost valence band and the three sym m etry equivalent conduction bands at L, coupled together by the C oulom b interaction (these are the bands represented by thick lines in Fig. 1(c)).

Fig. 5 shows calculated dispersions at L and along the LH direction, for di erent values of the order parameter and with their spectral weight depicted with a grayscale. In the normal phase (graph (a)), = 0 m eV, only the original conduction band  $c_1$  exists, slightly above  $E_F$ , with a unit spectral weight. For = 25 m eV (graph (b)), spectral weight is transferred from the original conduction band  $c_1$  not only into the backfolded valence band  $v_1$  which appears just below  $E_F$ , but also into a symmetry equivalent conduction band  $c_3$  backfolded (from another L point) above the original one. When

increases to 100 m eV (graph (c)), the backfolded valence band  $v_1$  is shifted further away from  $E_{\rm F}$ , at higher binding energy. Above  $E_{\rm F}$ , more spectral weight is transferred into the backfolded conduction band  $c_3$ . As we can guess from this series of graphs, the order parameter

does not in uence the minimum position of the conduction band exactly at L, provided the chemical potential is xed. This was con med more precisely in previous work<sup>15</sup>. Therefore, in that model, any displacement of this band at L will signify a chemical potential shift. Fig. 4 (c) shows the chemical potential shift obtained with the shift of the position of the conduction band (peak C in Fig. 4 (a)) with respect to its RT value, as a function of temperature. The dashed-dotted line minics a linear behaviour, revealing that this chemical potential shift is probably composed of two components.

To get furter insight, we refer to a previous paper, where we addressed the question of the tem perature dependence of the exciton condensate phase of 1T -T iSe2 by feeding the model with a tem perature dependent order param eter having a given mean-eld form<sup>22</sup>. That study permitted us to visualize in the calculated spectra the tem perature dependent spectroscopic signature of the exciton condensate phase and to understand the essential role played by the backfolded band to evaluate the order param eter. W e also com puted (not self-consistently) the chem ical potential shift induced by the CDW transition, reproduced here in Fig. 4 (d), as well as the change in the charge carrier density, which characterizes the insulating character of the transition. M ore precisely, it was shown that a sem in etal like 1T -T iSe, exhibits a chem icalpotential shift which is quasi-linear with tem perature (blue curve in Fig. 4 (d)), when no CDW transition takes place (if = 0 m eV over the whole tem perature range). This is in fact a consequence of the Ferm i-D irac distribution on the two bands of the sem in etal with non-equal band masses. Once the excitonic transition sets in, the chem ical potential shift deviates from this quasi-linear behaviour at T<sub>c</sub> and increases even more (red curve in Fig. 4 (d)) due to the redistribution of spectral weight. The measured chemical potential in Fig. 4 (c) displays a sim ilar trend with good agreem ent.

In the exciton condensate m odel adapted to 1T - T iSe<sub>2</sub>, once  $6 \ 0 \ m eV$ , new bands, that are the direct m anisfestation of the CDW, develop at high sym m etry points. To clearly show these di erent contributions, we plot in F ig. 6 (a) the renorm alized dispersions of F ig. 5 (c) without the spectral weight inform ation. We see that in fact four bands (the band labelled c<sub>2</sub> carries a negligible spectral weight and was therefore not visible in F ig. 5) appear near L in the CDW phase. Their positions are com plicated functions of . However, exactly at L, the situation sim pli es drastically to

$$E_{v_{1}}() = E_{c_{1}} \frac{E_{G}}{2} \frac{1}{2}^{q} \frac{1}{E_{G}^{2} + 12^{-2}};$$
  

$$E_{c_{1}}() = E_{c_{1}}; E_{c_{2}}() = E_{c_{1}};$$
  

$$E_{c_{3}}() = E_{c_{1}} \frac{E_{G}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}^{q} \frac{E_{G}^{2} + 12^{-2}}{E_{G}^{2} + 12^{-2}};$$
 (1)

with  $E_{c_1} = 18 \text{ meV}$ , the position of the conduction band at RT, and  $E_G \coloneqq E_{c_1} \quad E_{v_1} = 12 \text{ meV}$ , the gap between the valence and conduction bands in the norm all phase, which in our case is an overlap ( $E_{v_1} = 30 \text{ meV}$  is the

position of the valence band for = 0 m eV taken from R ef.<sup>9</sup>). These relationships are of great interest since they provide a simple way to extract the tem perature dependence of the order parameter (T) from the position of the backfolded bands.

In our case, as mentioned above, only the backfolded valence band E $_{\rm V1}$  () is useful, since the band c $_3$  cannot be observed by photoem ission. A lso, it must be emphasized that calculations leading to relation 1 have been done in a mean-eld approximation, meaning that this relation is valid only below  $T_{\rm c}$ . Nonetheless, we use it above  $T_{\rm c}$  to get an idea of the strength of uctuations already identied in Fig. 2 at 288K, where some di use intensity remains at about 100 m eV below the conduction band, interpreted as a precursor of the emergence of the backfolded valence band and con ming this hypothesis.

Inverting thus the rst equation in (1) and inserting the temperature dependent position of the valence band of F ig. 4 (a) (E  $_{v_1}$  (), contribution B, which corresponds to  $v_1$  in 5 (c)) results in the data points of F ig. 4 (b). For comparison, they are superimposed on a mean-eld-like order parameter of the form

$$(T) = 0 \quad 1 \quad \frac{T}{T_c} + 0 \quad (2)$$

tted to the experim ent (continuous blue line), with = 1. O ne sees in m ediately that the critical tem perature extracted from the ARPES data  $T_c = 175K$  is sm aller than that determ ined from resistivity m easurem ents<sup>6</sup>  $T_c = 200K$ . For ensuring the best agreem ent with the data, an o set value for the order parameter  $_{\circ} = 72 \text{ meV}$  has to be added above  $T_c$ , to m odel roughly the strong uctuations of incoherent electron-hole pairs above  $T_c$ . O now the tem perature decreases below  $T_c$ , the order parameter nameter displays a clear increase in a mean-eld fashion. This is a strong indication of the m acroscopic condensation of coherent excitons. At the lowest tem perature the order parameter reaches the value of (T = 0K) = 120 meV

One may argue that part of the shift of the backfolded valence band, which is used to derive the order parameter curve, is due to the chemical shift of the electronic band structure. Indeed, both e ects a ect each other and a global treatm ent of these e ects should be applied. In fact, the order parameter depends on the density of charge carriers (through screening of the potential), depending them selves on the chem ical potential shift. However such an approach implies a self-consistent num erical calculation of the gap equation and of the chemical potential. Due to the anisotropy and the multiplicity of the conduction bands (the three sym m etry equivalent conduction bands at the L points), it turns out to be more complicated than for a simple BCS case and thus goes beyond the scope of the present work. Nonetheless, we superimpose on Fig. 4(b) a t (dashed blue line) to the measured order parameter obtained with the position of the backfolded valence



FIG. 6: (a) Near  $E_F$  dispersions around L of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> calculated within the exciton condensate phase model for an order parameter = 100 m eV. (b) Corresponding (calculated) photoem ission intensity map (as a false color plot), where the spectral weight has been added to the dispersions. (c) Integrated intensity around  $E_F$  (over 50 m eV) for each calculated photoem ission intensity map, as a function of tem - perature. (d) False color plot made of the collection of calculated EDCs at di erent tem peratures and at L for the case of a non-zero chem ical potential shift and a non-zero order parameter.

band  $E_{v_1}$  () from which the chemical potential shift of Fig. 4 (c) has been fully subtracted. It thus represents a lower lim it for the order parameter.

W ith the analysis of our photoem ission data in the fram ework of the exciton condensate phase, we revealed here two e ects at play in the 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> system, as a function of temperature (i.e., the shift of the chem ical potential and the onset of the order parameter). To better understand their in uence on the properties of this system, we insert them into our model, rst separately and then together.

Fig. 6 (b) depicts a photoem ission intensity m ap generated by the spectral function calculated in this m odel<sup>15</sup>. An order parameter = 100 m eV has been used in this particular case (corresponding to a CDW phase with strong excitonic e ects). It has to be reminded that only the topm ost valence band is considered in our model, m eaning that only one backfolded valence band will appear at L. For comparison with Fig. 3 (b), the intensity of such calculated photoem ission intensity m aps in the vicinity of  $E_F$  (50 m eV around  $E_F$ ) is integrated and plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 6 (c). The dashed dotted (red) curve corresponds to the case of a system with an order parameter (T) = 0 m eV and a chemical potential shift (T) = 0 m eV. The integrated

intensity around  $E_F$  shifts to smaller values as a consequence of the narrowing of the Ferm i-D irac distribution only. If excitonic e ects are included ( $_0 = 100$ meV,  $_{\circ}$  = 20 meV,  $T_{c}$  = 175K, see Eqn. (2)), one obtains the dashed (red) curve. Here, the loss of spectral weight in the conduction band, transferred to the backfolded valence band due to the CDW form ation, induces an additional decrease of the integrated intensity below  $T_c$ . On the contrary, when a linear (with tem perature) chem icalpotential shift, reaching 25 m eV at LT, is taken into account (with = 0 m eV), the trend changes to the dotted (red) curve. Indeed, the chem ical potential then shifts the conduction band into the occupied states, increasing thus the integrated intensity around  $E_{\,\mathrm{F}}$  . In 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub>, both e ects compete against each other, so that the resulting behaviour is non-monotonic.

W hen combining these two e ects, the integrated intensity (blue curve) shows the same behaviour than the measurements plotted in Fig. 3(b). It has to be noticed that the set of values (  $_0$  = 100 m eV ,  $_\circ$  = 20 m eV ,  $T_c = 175K$  ) giving the best agreem ent to our m easurements is dierent from what can be inferred from experiment (  $_0$  = 48 meV,  $_\circ$  = 72 meV,  $T_c$  = 175K, used to t the data in Fig. 4(b)). We attribute this discrepancy to the fact that our model does not reproduce all the features of the experim ent and to the fact that the chem ical potential shift and the order param eter in uence each other and cannot simply be described separately (as explained above). In Fig. 6(d), the corresponding calculated EDCs (at L) are displayed in the same way as in Fig. 3(a) (right). It reproduces the main features of the experiment, namely the appearance of the backfolded valence band with a high intensity transferred from the conduction band, which shifts slowly into the occupied states as the tem perature decreases. However, the second backfolded valence band is of course m issing (not included in the model) and the intensity of the backfolded valence band is smaller than that of the original conduction band, in contrast to the experim ent.

#### IV. FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

An unsolved debate concerning  $1T - T iSe_2$  is the conguration of the bands near  $E_F$ . In the present work, the conduction band at M (but close to L) and at RT is observed to be above  $E_F$ , at  $E_{c_1} = 18 - 10 \text{ meV}$ . This is in apparent contradiction with our previous work<sup>9</sup> (but in agreem ent with earlier ARPES studies<sup>16,17,18</sup>), where it has been m easured below  $E_F$ , at  $E_{c_1} = 40 - 5 \text{ meV}$ . A possible explanation lies in the uncontrolled excess of T i in these sam ples<sup>6</sup>. In our recent work<sup>15</sup>, in an attempt to reconcile density functional theory calculations (which predict a sem in etallic con guration with a large overlap between the valence and conduction bands<sup>23</sup>) with the experiment, we argued that the electrons of the excess T i atom s, which are probably situated in the van der W aals gap (close to the Se atom s) would ll the hole pocket of the (Se4p-derived) valence band, shifting it into the occupied states. However, this picture may be too sim plistic and the doping electrons may ll the conduction band and push it slightly below  $E_F$ . Then, a conduction band situated at  $E_{c_1} = 40$  meV is sim ply the consequence of som e excess T i in the sam ple.

Concerning the position of the valence band, the situation is also unclear. Indeed, we have seen that at RT already, strong excitonic uctuations appear to be present, resulting in a situation similar to the CDW phase with 60 meV, reminiscent to uctuations in the pseudo-gap region of high tem perature superconductors. In otherwords, according to ourmodel, the position of the valence band at RT does not correspond to that of the valence band in the norm alphase (i.e. with = 0m eV), since it is already shifted below the conduction band by excitonic uctuations (see reference<sup>15</sup> for more details). From calculations perform ed within our model, only the top of the valence band is a ected. Therefore, we tted the branches of the valence band dispersion at RT with a parabola, as an extrapolation towards its normalphase dispersion<sup>9</sup>. A position of  $E_v = 30 \text{ meV}$  for the valence band was determined, in contradiction with other ARPES studies, where excitonic e ects were not considered.

An important question is now to see to which extent the precise position of the valence and conduction bands m ay change the conclusions of the present study. W e used the tem perature dependent position of the valence band backfolded to M to extract the tem perature dependence of the order parameter of the exciton condensate phase. This operation was performed with the help of relationship (1), where the RT position of the conduction band  $E_{c_1}$  and the gap  $E_G = E_{c_1} - E_{v_1}$  are parameters. The RT position of the conduction band E c1 has been determ ined in this work. E<sub>G</sub> depends also on the RT position of the valence band  $E_{v_1}$  which was determined in previous work (see above), yielding an overlap of  $E_G = 12 \text{ meV}$  and thus a sem in etallic con guration. To test the in uence of E  $_{v_1}$  on this result, we also considered a sem iconducting con guration, with the conduction band at the same position (E  $_{\rm c_1}$  = 18 m eV ) but a valence band com pletely in the occupied states at  $E_{v_1} =$ 30 meV and calculated the corresponding order parameter. The resulting t (also done with the equation (2)) is very similar to the continuous (blue) curve of Fig. 4 (b), but the curve is shifted to lower energy values by 19 m eV . It turns out in fact that the shift of the order param eter curve varies alm ost linearly with the size of the gap  $E_{G}$  (and that its shape hardly changes).

A non-trivial issue is to know how to interpret the order parameter obtained from the measurements (Fig. 4 (b)) which clearly consists of two regimes. Below  $T_c$ , it increases in a mean-eld fashion, which we understand as a macroscopic condensation of excitons. This is expected from the mean-eld theory we used to derive equation 1. Mean-eld theory predicts a zero value for the order parameter above  $T_c$ . How ever, from our measurements and

our procedure for extracting this order parameter, we nd that it displays a nite value above T<sub>c</sub>. This com es from the fact that a contribution from the backfolded valence bands (which is not negligible as can be seen in the EDCs of Fig. 3(a)) was necessary to t the EDCs above T<sub>c</sub>. We then interpret this nite order parameter above T<sub>c</sub> as the signature of strong electron-hole pair uctuations, in a manner similar to the phase uctuations of the complex order parameter for high-tem perature superconductors in the pseudo-gap phase<sup>24</sup>. W e em phasize that the num erical value of the order param eter above T<sub>c</sub> m ust be considered carefully, since we did not analyze this part of the data with the appropriate theory. Nevertheless, our approach is con med by the high residual intensity below the conduction band at 288K (see Fig. 2).

We now discuss the transition from the uctuating to the macroscopic condensation regime. Three dierent possible cases can be compatible with our data. (i) In Fig. 4(b), we t the experimental data with a function given by equation (2) (continuous line). This function describes a m ean-eld condensation starting at  $T_c = 175K$  , sitting on a constant background  $\circ$  . In this case, incoherent excitons giving rise to uctuations of the order param eter are present from RT to the lowest tem perature (m eaning that strong uctuations produce above  $T_c$ a pseudo-CDW phase throughout the whole sam ple, hiding the norm all phase to photoem ission) and below  $T_c$ coherent excitons generated by the macroscopic condensation add them selves up to the incoherent ones. (ii) As another way to interpret the data of Fig. 4(b), one can imagine that at T<sub>c</sub> the macroscopic condensation suddenly converts all the incoherent excitons present above T<sub>c</sub> into coherent ones so that only coherent excitons exist below T<sub>c</sub>. (iii) Finally, as an alternative to the second case, the conversion of incoherent excitons into coherent ones could be progressive, so that the macroscopic condensation would start at a critical temperature higher than what seems obvious in Fig. 4(b). In that sense, the nearly constant background of uctuating excitons would hide the starting m acroscopic condensation and the real critical tem perature T<sub>c</sub> would be larger than what observed for the two other cases. D iscrim inating between these three scenarios is a di cult task, which requires a theoretical understanding of the uctuation regime above T<sub>c</sub>. This goes beyond the exciton condensate phase m odel we already investigated for 1T - T iSe<sub>2</sub><sup>15</sup>. Recently, Inle et al. studied the excitonic insulator phase within the extended Falicov-K in ballm odel, in order to understand the m etal insulator transition of Tm Se\_{0:45} Te\_{0:55}^{25} . They have drawn the corresponding phase diagram which strongly suggests a crossover from a BCS (weak coupling) to a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) (strong coupling) phase, which appears in the case of a sem in etallic and a sem iconducting con guration, respectively. On the BEC side, above the critical tem perature  $T_{
m c}$  of the exciton condensation, they predict the existence of preform ed excitons (which do not exist on the BCS side above  $T_c$ ). In

the context of our work, this gives a possible explanation to the existence of uctuating electron-hole pairs above  $T_{\rm c}$ , provided that 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub> displays a sem iconducting con guration which is in contradiction to our conclusion that the valence band maximum is at  $E_{\rm v_1}$  = + 30 m eV.

Finally, the strength of the coupling can be then estimated with the well-known BCS relationship 2 (T = 0K)=k\_B T\_c = 12.5 to 15.5. This value is four times that of usual BCS systems, suggesting that a strong coupling is at play in 1T -T ise<sub>2</sub> to build excitons. This would not be surprising, since the pairing interaction is a weakly screened C oulom b interaction for excitons (rather than an overscreened one for C ooper pairs).

# V. CONCLUSION

To sum m arize, we have perform ed angle-resolved photoem ission m easurem ents of 1T - T iSe<sub>2</sub> between 13K and 288K. We focussed on the situation at M (near L), where the conduction band represents the main contribution to the bandstructure near  $E_F$ . From its position obtained as a function of tem perature, an important tem perature dependent chem icalpotential shift is revealed. At LT, the valence band is backfolded from to M as a direct m anifestation of a transition towards a charge density wave phase. In the fram ework of the exciton condensate phase,

its position is directly linked to the order parameter describing this phase. From our measurements, we are able to extract this temperature dependent order parameter. It shows a clear increase below the critical temperature  $T_c$ of the transition, demonstrating exciton condensation in a mean-eld manner. Most remarkably, it points towards a non-zero value above  $T_c$ , which we interpret as the sig-

### A cknow ledgm ents

nature of strong electron-hole uctuations. However, a

theoretical study of the above-T<sub>c</sub> uctuations, applied to

the bandstructure of 1T -T iSe<sub>2</sub>, is still lacking. Finally,

we integrated the near- $E_F$  spectral weight around M as

a function of temperature. The inverse of the resulting

curve exhibits a striking similarity with the anom abus resistivity of 1T - T ise<sub>2</sub>. On the basis of our model we are able to reproduce this behaviour qualitatively, pro-

vided that a tem perature dependent chem ical potential

shift is included in addition to excitonic e ects.

W e thank L.Forro for valuable discussions. W e wish to acknow ledge the support of our m echanical workshop and electronic engineering team. This project was supported by the Fonds N ational Suisse pour la Recherche Scienti que through D iv. II and the Sw iss N ational C enter of C om petence in Research M an EP.

- <sup>1</sup> F.Clerc et al, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter, Special Issue, July (2007).
- <sup>2</sup> Structural P hase Transitions in Layered Transition M etal Compounds, edited by K. M otizuki (Reidel, D ordrecht, 1986).
- <sup>3</sup> P.Aebiet al, J.E lectr. Spectr. 117, 433 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup> E.M orosan et al., Nature Physics 2, 544 (2006).
- <sup>5</sup> L.Fang et al, Phys.Rev.B 72, 014534 (2005).
- <sup>6</sup> F.J.DiSalvo et al, Phys. Rev. B 14, 4321 (1976).
- <sup>7</sup> F.Levy et al, J.Phys.C 12, 473 (1979).
- <sup>8</sup> M.Holt, P.Zschack, H.Hong, M.Y.Chou and T.C.Chiang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3799 (2001).
- <sup>9</sup> H.Cercellier et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 146403 (2007).
- <sup>10</sup> J.C.E.Rasch, T.Stemmler, B.Muller, L.Dudy and R. Manzke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 237602 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup> A. Zunger and A.J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B 17, 1839 (1978).
- <sup>12</sup> H P.Hughes, J.Phys.C 10, L319 (1977).
- <sup>13</sup> L.V. Keldysh and Y.V. Kopaev, Fiz. Tverd. Tela

(Leningrad) 6 2791 (1965) [Sov.Phys.Solid State 6, 2219 (1965)].

- <sup>14</sup> D.Jerom e et al, Phys. Rev. 158, 462 (1967).
- <sup>15</sup> C.M onney et al, Phys. Rev. B 79, 045116 (2009).
- <sup>16</sup> T.Pillo et al, Phys. Rev. B 61, 16213 (2000).
- <sup>17</sup> T.E.Kidd, T.M iller, M.Y.Chou and T.C.Chiang, Phys. Rev.Lett. 88, 226402 (2002).
- <sup>18</sup> K.Rossnagel, L.K ipp and M.Skibowski, Phys.Rev.B 65, 235101 (2002).
- <sup>19</sup> A.Dam ascelli, Physica Scripta T 109, 61 (2004).
- <sup>20</sup> M.Hengsberger, D.Purdie, P.Segovia, M.Gamier and Y. Baer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83, 592 (1999).
- <sup>21</sup> C.Monney et al., unpublished.
- <sup>22</sup> C.Monney et al., Physica B 404, 3172 (2009).
- <sup>23</sup> C M .Fang, R A .deG root and C .H aas, Phys. Rev. B 56, 4455 (1997).
- $^{24}$  V J.Em ery and SA.K ivelson, Nature 374, 434 (1995).
- <sup>25</sup> D. Ihle, M. Pfa erott, E Burovski, F X. Bronold and H. Fehske, Phys. Rev. B 78, 193103 (2008).

E lectronic address: claude m onney@ unifr.ch