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#### Abstract

Three-dimensional toroidal precession solitons with a nonzero Hopf index, which uniformly move along the anisotropy axis in a uniaxial ferromagnet, have been found. The structure and existence region of the solitons have been numerically determined by solving the LandauLifshitz equation.


PACS numbers: 03.50.-k, 11.27.+d, 47.32.Cc, 75.10.Hk, 75.60.Ch, 94.05.Fg

Static and dynamical topological structures with a nonzero Hopf invariant (hopfions) in various models and media were discussed in [1, 2, 3, 4]. In particular, the magnetization distribution in three-dimensional ferromagnetic materials is characterized by an integer Hopf index $H$. The investigation of the dynamics of the hopfions in these media is not only of theoretical interest, but also important for various physical applications, particularly, in view of the prospect of the creation of fundamentally new memory elements.

Stable precession solitons with $H=0$ (magnon drops) in a uniaxial ferromagnet were found in [5, 6]. However, precession uniformly moving toroidal solitons at $H \neq 0$ were observed only in an isotropic ferromagnet (7]. Their stability with respect to perturbations violating the axial symmetry was discussed in \|8]. The aim of this work is to analyze the existence region and structure of toroidal hopfions uniformly moving along the anisotropy axis in a uniaxial ferromagnet.

The dynamics of the magnetization vector is described by the LandauLifshitz equation; in the case of negligible relaxation, it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t}=-\gamma\left[\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}\right], \quad \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}=-\frac{\delta E}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ - is the gyromagnetic ratio $(\gamma>0)$. The energy of the ferromagnet is the sum of the exchange energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{e x c h}=(\alpha / 2) \int\left(\partial_{i} \mathbf{M}\right)^{2} d \mathbf{r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the energy of the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a n i s}=(\beta / 2) \int\left(M_{x}^{2}+M_{y}^{2}\right) d \mathbf{r} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many magnetic media are characterized by a high quality factor $Q=\beta /(4 \pi)$ and the contribution of the energy of the magnetic dipole interactions is insignificant for them.

At each point of the $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ space with a Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$, the orientation of vector $\mathbf{M}$ is specified by a point on the two-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ in terms of the angular variables $\Theta$ and $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{x}+i M_{y}=M_{0} \sin \Theta e^{i \Phi}, \quad M_{z}=M_{0} \cos \Theta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider localized solutions (1), for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\omega t+Q \varphi+\phi(r, z-V t), \quad \Theta=\theta(r, z-V t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{Z}, \varphi$ - is the polar angle of the cylindrical coordinate system $(r, \varphi, z)$, and $\theta \rightarrow 0$ at $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$. Such solutions describe three-dimensional precession solitons with a stationary profile, which propagate along the anisotropy axis. For simplicity, we consider the configuration of a unit vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{n}(r, z)=(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the coordinate system moving along the $z$ axis (with the velocity $V$ ).
The desired vector field $\mathbf{M}$ specifies the mapping $\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup\{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2}$ and is characterized by an integer Hopf topological index $H$. If $Q \neq 0$, the solution given by (5) corresponds to a toroidal hopfion [9, 10] with the index

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=Q T, \quad T=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{n} \cdot\left[\partial_{r} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_{z} \mathbf{n}\right] d r d z \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us represent the energy as a functional of the vector field $\mathbf{n}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
E=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} w_{E} d r d z  \tag{8}\\
w_{E}=\alpha M_{0}{ }^{2} \pi r\left[\left(\partial_{r} \mathbf{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{z} \mathbf{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\left(n_{x}^{2}+n_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

In addition to energy, equation (11) has two integrals of motion: the number of spin deviations (magnons),

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{M_{0}}{\gamma \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-n_{z}\right) 2 \pi r d r d z \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the projection of the momentum of the magnetization field [11] on the anisotropy axis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\frac{M_{0}}{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{n} \cdot\left[\partial_{r} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_{z} \mathbf{n}\right] \pi r^{2} d r d z \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the structure of three-dimensional solitons, we used the same method for minimizing energy functional (8) with constraint (10) as in [12], but constraint (11) was taken into account through an additional additive square penalty function, and an initial field configuration was specified by smooth functions $\phi_{\text {ini }}$ and $\theta_{\text {ini }}$, corresponding to the Hopf bundle with the index $H=Q$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\text {ini }} & =-\frac{\pi}{2}+v+k \frac{z}{a}  \tag{12}\\
\cos \left(\theta_{\text {ini }}\right) & =1-\frac{4 \sinh (u)^{2}}{2+\cosh (2 u)} e^{6(1-\operatorname{coth}(u))} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the toroidal coordinates specified by the relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tanh (u)=(2 a r) /\left(a^{2}+r^{2}+z^{2}\right),  \tag{14}\\
& \cot (v)=\left(a^{2}-r^{2}-z^{2}\right) /(2 a z),  \tag{15}\\
& 0 \leqslant v<2 \pi
\end{align*}
$$

The parameters $a$ and $k$ are determined by the integrals of motion $N$ and $P$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{4 \pi^{2}} \frac{\gamma \hbar}{M_{0}} N}, \quad k=k_{0}+k_{P} \frac{\gamma}{M_{0}} \frac{P}{a^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0} \approx 3.353$ and $k_{P} \approx 0.076$.
For comparison, the calculations were performed by the same method for the case of nontopological solitons with $H=0$, which are stationary [5] and moving magnon drops. The initial field configuration in these cases was specified by the different functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\text {ini }} & =-\frac{\pi}{2}+k \text { atan }\left(\frac{z}{r}\right),  \tag{17}\\
\theta_{\text {ini }} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\pi \rho / R_{1}, \quad 0 \leqslant \rho<R_{1} \\
\pi\left(2 R_{1}-\rho\right) / R_{1}, \quad R_{1} \leqslant \rho<2 R_{1} \\
0, \quad \rho \geqslant 2 R_{1}
\end{array}\right. \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho=\sqrt{r^{2}+z^{2}}$, and the parameters $k$ and $R_{1}$ are expressed in terms of the integrals of motion $N$ and $P$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
k & =2 \frac{\gamma}{M_{0}} P\left(\frac{\gamma \hbar}{M_{0}} N\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2}-6}{3 \pi^{4}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}  \tag{19}\\
R_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma \hbar}{M_{0}} N\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{3 \pi}{4 \pi^{2}-6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The calculations of the nontopological solitons are in agreement with the data reported in [6], (13].


FIG. 1: Contours of the angles parameterizing the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$, for the (a) stationary hopfion and (b) hopfion moving with the velocity $V=0.134 V_{0}$ with the same index $H=3$ and $\omega=0.567 \omega_{0}$. The solid and dashed curves correspond to $\theta=$ const and $\cot (\phi)=$ const, respectively.

The desired field $\mathbf{n}(r, z)$ ensures an extremum of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\mathbf{n}(r, z))=E-\hbar \omega N+V P \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the necessary condition of the extremum, we arrive at a pair of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d}{d \lambda} J(\mathbf{n}(r+\lambda r, z))\right|_{\lambda=0}=0  \tag{22}\\
& \left.\frac{d}{d \lambda} J(\mathbf{n}(r, z+\lambda z))\right|_{\lambda=0}=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this system with respect to $\omega$ and $V$, we obtain the convenient formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{E_{\text {exch }}+E_{\text {anis }}-I_{z}}{\hbar N}, \quad V=\frac{2 E_{\text {exch }}-3 I_{z}}{2 P} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{z}=\alpha M_{0}^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\partial_{z} \mathbf{n}\right)^{2} 2 \pi r d r d z \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

After several thousands of iterations, minimized energy functional (8) reaches a minimum. To test the resulting field configurations, we calculated $\omega$ and $V$ by equations (24) and, then, the discrepancy for Landau Lifshitz differential equations (1). Let us discuss the results.

Fig. 1 shows the contours of the angles parameterizing vector $\mathbf{n}$. The coordinates are normalized to the characteristic length

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha / \beta} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that the radius of the central vortex ring corresponding to the value $\theta=\pi$, i.e., to the southern pole of the $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ sphere, is larger for the moving soliton. The contours $\cot (\phi)=\operatorname{const}$ are not constructed near small $\theta$ values,


FIG. 2: Velocity dependence of the energy for nontopological $(H=0)$ and topological $(H=1,2,3)$ solitons with the same precession frequency $\omega=0.567 \omega_{0}$. The points are the results of the numerical calculation.

(a)

(b)

FIG. 3: Coordinate dependence of the normalized energy density for hopfions of the (a) lower and (b) upper energy branches for $H=1, \omega=0.567 \omega_{0}, V=0.314 V_{0}$.


FIG. 4: Velocity dependence of energy for the hopfion with $H=1$ for two values of the precession frequency.
because this numerical method determining the vectors $\mathbf{n}$ does not provide an accurate calculation of the azimuth angle when $\left|n_{z}\right| \rightarrow 1$. However, the shapes of these lines are significantly different for stationary and moving hopfions. The localization region of the moving soliton is somewhat larger, but is about $l_{0}$ as in the stationary case.

Fig. 2 shows the reduced energy $\varepsilon=E /\left(\alpha M_{0}{ }^{2} l_{0}\right)$ as a function of the ratio $V / V_{0}$, where $V_{0}=\gamma M_{0} \sqrt{\alpha \beta}$. The plot manifests an important revealed property, the existence of two types of moving hopfions with the same $H, V$ and $\omega$ values at least in a certain velocity interval. Fig. 3 presents typical distributions of the normalized energy density $\sigma_{E}=w_{E} / E$. A high energy density along the wall of the toroidal surface is characteristic of hopfions of the lower energy branch with low velocities, whereas the energy density increases from the wall to the center of the toroid for the hopfions of the upper energy branch with high energies. It is also seen in Figs. 2 and that the velocity of topological solitons is limited. The limiting velocity of a hopfion decreases with decreasing precession frequency, whereas its energy increases. The frequency $\omega$ is normalized to the frequency of the homogeneous ferromagnetic resonance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\gamma M_{0} \beta \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general notions on the structure of the stationary hopfion with $H=1$ cannot allow for a comparison of its energy with the energy of the corresponding nontopological soliton [14, 15]. The features of the structure of the class of objects under investigation prevent a numerical analysis of the case with $H=1$ and $V=0$. However, the extrapolation of the $\varepsilon(V)$ dependence to the region of small $V$ values in Fig. 2 for $H=1$ certainly indicates that the energy of the stationary precession topological soliton is higher than that for the nontopological soliton at the same frequency $\omega$ at least in a certain precession frequency range.
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