Quantum capacitor with discrete charge-anticharge: spectrum and forces

J. C. Flores

Instituto de Alta Investigación IAI, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7-D Arica, Chile.

The quantum capacitor with discrete charge is modeled by a Hamiltonian containing an inductive intrinsic term (tunnel effect between plates). The spectrum is obtained using a double Hilbert space. Fluctuations in the charge-anticharge pairs (zero total charge) give rise to an elementary attraction which is compared to the Casimir force. In this case, the field-fluctuations force could be also interpreted as charge-fluctuations force.

PACS numbers: 73.23.-b ; 73.63.-b ; 73.21.-b Keywords:

Introduction. - Manifestations of charge discreteness in nano-electronic (and mesoscopic) have been well established. For instance, persistent electrical current phenomena in metallic coherent rings^{1,2} have a flux periodicity due to discrete charge existence. Also, in experimental realizations^{3,4,5} of quantum dots, single charges are manipulated inside an entourage composed of semiconductors associated to capacitances and gate voltages. In this sense, quantum theory of electric circuits (with discrete charge) becomes an important tool to understand a variety of electronic devices where the length of quantum coherence is bigger than the size of the system.

Consider the quantum Hamiltonian of a LC circuit⁶ with discrete charge^{7,8,9,10} q_e :

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{2\hbar^2}{L_o q_e^2} \sin^2(\frac{q_e \widehat{\phi}}{2\hbar}) + \frac{1}{2C_o} \widehat{q}^2, \qquad (1)$$

where L_o is the inductance and C_o the capacitance. The flux $(\hat{\phi})$ and the charge operator (\hat{q}) satisfies the usual commutation rule $[\hat{q}, \hat{\phi}] = i\hbar$. For electrical circuits, it is more convenient to proceed via the time-evolution equation for charge since physical measurements are related to electrical current and charge directly in the respective elements (capacitances and inductances). Moreover, the incorporation of an eventual electrical resistance becomes operative through the evolution equation¹⁰. Using Heisenberg equations¹¹, the temporal evolution for the charge operator is given by

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\hat{q} = -\frac{1}{2C_oL_o}\left\{\hat{R}\hat{q} + \hat{q}\hat{R}\right\},\tag{2}$$

where
$$\widehat{R} = \sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\frac{L_o q_e}{\hbar} \frac{d\widehat{q}}{dt}\right)^2\right)}$$

To clarify Eq. (2): (a) the deduction comes directly from the dynamics equations $d\hat{q}/dt = (\hbar/L_o q_e) \sin(q_e \hat{\phi}/\hbar)$ and $d\hat{\phi}/dt = -\hat{q}/C_o$. A first integral of (2) gives directly the Hamiltonian (1) with the convenient choice for the integration constant. (b) The auxiliary variable \widehat{R} corresponds directly to $\cos(q_e \widehat{\phi}/\hbar)$ expressed as function of the electrical current (the positive root is compatible with oscillatory solutions).

In this work we deal with the solution of (2) which is a nonlinear differential equation. Note that in the formal limit $q_e \rightarrow 0$ (strictly, $\phi \ll \hbar/q_e$) one obtains the well known linear differential equation for the LC-circuit. In the next sections we found explicitly a plane wave solution of (2) by working in the doubled Hilbert space (charge-anticharge). The spectrum of the system is found explicitly. The connection with the classical expression for the force between the capacitor plates is obtained validating the idea that a quantum capacitor has intrinsically associated an inductance (tunnel effect). A comparison with the Casimir force is established.

Charge-anticharge solution. - We shall consider the equation (2) inside a doubled Hilbert space $(2 \otimes \mathcal{H})$ and the time dependent charge operator solution (frequency ω):

$$\widehat{Q}_t = \widehat{q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\omega t} \\ e^{-i\omega t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3)$$

where the hermitian operator \hat{q} (acting on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}) is defined by the eigenvalues equation⁷ in the Schrödinger picture: $\hat{q} |n\rangle = nq_e |n\rangle$, with *n* integer, and related to charge discreteness. Note that the solution (3) refers to the charge in one plate, nevertheless, we assume total charge zero in the capacitor.

From (3) one has the useful expressions

$$\left(\frac{d\widehat{Q}_t}{dt}\right)^2 = \widehat{q}^2 \omega^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \frac{d^2 \widehat{Q}_t}{dt^2} = -\omega^2 \widehat{Q}_t \quad (4)$$

Putting the solution (3) into (2) (remember (4)) and considering the channel n of charge nq_e , one obtains the implicit expression for the frequency ω_n for the circuit:

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{1}{L_o C_o} \sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\frac{L_o q_e^2}{\hbar} n \omega_n\right)^2\right)},\tag{5}$$

and, as expected, in the formal limit $q_e \rightarrow 0$ one has the usual expression for the LC circuit with continuous charge.

As in the case of the "harmonic oscillator" $d^2\hat{q}/dt^2 = -(1/L_oC_o)\hat{q}$, where the frequency $\omega_o^2 = 1/L_oC_o$ gives origin to the a discrete spectrum $(E_l = \hbar\omega_o(l + \frac{1}{2}))$, in this case we have the spectrum

$$E_{n,l} = \hbar \omega_n (l + \frac{1}{2})$$
 with $l = 0, 1, 2, ...$ (6)

Note that we have two quantum index (n, l) since we have doubled the space. Here an interesting consequence must be mentioned, the diagonalization of the two dimensional matrix in (3) is easy. Its eigenvalues are ± 1 related to the couple charge-anticharge in the corresponding solution of (2).

Force due to charge fluctuations: comparison with Casimir. - Consider the background state l = 0in (6) and the channel n = 0. That is, consider the energy state $E_{0,0} = \hbar/(2\sqrt{L_oC_o})$. As manifested before, the quantum capacitor has necessarily associated an intrinsic inductance (tunnel effect). The relation between electrical parameters is obtaned by imposing the equality between the magnetic energy (for an elementary quantum flux) and the electrical energy of the capacitor with elementary charge q_e . Namely, the intrinsic inductance L_{\hbar} associated to the quantum capacitor C is given by

$$L_{\hbar} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{q_e^2}\right)^2 C. \tag{7}$$

Putting this equation in the expression for the energy $E_{0,0}$, and considering a parallel plate capacitor of area A and separation x it becomes $E_{0,0} = (q_e^2 x) / (2\epsilon_o A_{\cdot})$. The force (by distance variation) between plates could then be written as

$$F = -\frac{q_e^2}{2\epsilon_o A},\tag{8}$$

corresponding to the classical expression, but here the origin of the force is related to charge-anticharge fluctuations in the plates. In this way, equation (7) defines correctly the quantum inductance associate to a quantum capacitor. It is a powerful result since (8) validates (7). On the other hand, a circuit Hamiltonian could be expressed as function of charges and currents or as function of the electromagnetic fields. Classically for an inductance the magnetic field $B \sim \phi/S$ and for a capacitor the electric field $E \sim Q/S$. This suggests that the expression (8) could be written as function of field properties. Using the fundamental relation involving the structure fine constant α (i. e. $\alpha \hbar c 4\pi \epsilon_o = q_e^2$, for electrons) equation (8) could be written as

$$F = -(2\pi\alpha)\frac{1}{A}\hbar c.$$
(9)

The so-called Casimir force¹² F_{cas} is related to frequency contributions in the background energy of the electromagnetic fields with explicit boundary conditions. For the parallel plate capacitor the Casimir force is given by

$$F_{cas} = -\left(\frac{\pi^2}{240}\right)\frac{A}{x^4}\hbar c,\qquad(10)$$

and different from (9). In fact, $F \ll F_{cas}$ because $x^2 \ll A$ (corresponding to the usual condition for the capacitor). Note that the Casimir force (related to fields) could be expressed as function of the charge q_e due to the structure fine constant existence. We will exploit this point in the follow. Electrical circuits are by definition slow time dependent systems¹³. Moreover, the Casimir effect calculation considers the summation on low frequencies in the vacuum state (regularization technique). In this way, a connection between both kind of forces is expected. The connection becomes as

$$F_{cas} = N^2 F,\tag{11}$$

where $N \sim A/x^2$ must be interpreted directly as the number of pair-fluctuations in the plates (and Nq_e the total charge fluctuations). So, the Casimir force could be interpreted as the force between charge-anticharge fluctuations between the plates.

A final note, in the Casimir parallel plate problem, the physical quantities (energy, force, etc.) are defined per unit of area. The only physical length is the distance x. If we assume that the capacitor is composed of elementary capacitors $C_e = \varepsilon_o x$ (in parallel) then $C = \sum C_e$ or explicitly $\varepsilon_o A/x = N\varepsilon_o x$ and one obtains $N = A/x^2$ as conjectured before (i. e. every pair is associated with an elementary capacitor).

Conclusions and open questions. - We have considered the nonlinear charge evolution equation (2)corresponding to a LC quantum circuit with discrete charge. The plane wave solution (3) pertains to a doubled Hilbert space (charge-anticharge). The spectrum characterizing this kind of solution (6) has two branches: one corresponding to the channel (n) and the other associated with the usual harmonic oscillator structure (l). Since tunnel effects are unavoidable there is an intrinsical inductance L_{\hbar} (7) associated to the quantum capacitor. The force between plates (8), due to quantum charge fluctuations, was calculated and is smaller than the corresponding Casimir force (10). Nevertheless, from the estimation of the number of fluctuating pairs $N = A/x^2$ this two quantities become related (11). As pointed out in the introduction, charge discreteness play an important role in mesoscopics. Moreover, in NanoMENS devices³ our

description could be related to transmission lines, quantum resonator, Coulomb blockade, quantum dots, and others including quantum current magnification for two metallic rings¹⁴.

Finally there are three open questions. First, the frequency spectrum decreases with the number of pair n (see figure 1) related possibly to the fact that every pair is binding (negative energy). Second, for high energy $E \gg 2\hbar^2/L_o q_e^2$, the electric part must be dominant $(E \sim (nq_e)^2/2C_o)$. This corresponds to other kind of solution different from (3). A third aspect, in reference⁸ it was mentioned that (1) is not the only possible choice to enforce the charge discreteness procedure. There are others flux periodic Hamiltonian compatible with charge

- ¹ Imry Y. Introduction to Mesoscopic Physics (Oxford-Press, 1997).
- ² Büttiker M., Imry Y., and Landauer R., Phys. Lett. A, **96** (1983) 365.
- ³ de los Santos H. J. Principles and Applications of NanoMENS Physics (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
- ⁴ Heiss D. (Ed.), Quantum Dots: a Doorway to Nanoscale Physics (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
- ⁵ Di Ventro M., Evoy S. and Heflin Jr J. R., Introduction to Nanoscale Science and Technology (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
- ⁶ Louisell W. H. Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation (Wiley, New York, 1973).
- ⁷ Li Y. Q., and Chen B. Phys. Rev. B **53** (1996) 4027.
- ⁸ Flores J. C. Europhys. Lett. **69** (2005) 116.
- ⁹ Flores J. C., Bologna M., Chandia K. J., and Utreras C. A. Phys. Rev. B **74** (2006) 193319.
- ¹⁰ Utreras C. A. Phys. Lett. A **372** (2008) 6527.
- ¹¹ Bohm A. Quantum Mechanics: Foundations and Applications (Springer, Berlin, third edition 2001).
- ¹² Casimir H. B. G. Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. **51** (1948) 793
- ¹³ Landau L. D., Lifshitz E. M. and Pitaevskii L. P. *Electrodynamics of Continuos Media* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004).
- ¹⁴ Flores J. C., and Utreras C. A. Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002)

edges.

Figure 1. The frequency (normalized) structure (5) of the system draws in continuos but the modes are indexed by integer values of the variable n. When $n \to \pm \infty$ the frequency goes to zero. That is, it diminishes with the number of binding pairs.

153410.

discreteness. In every case, one expect a change in the spectrum in similar way as different crystal-cell composition changes the band-gap structure. Nevertheless, expression (7) is generic and does not depend on the particular form of the Hamiltonian.

* * *

1070597. Valuable suggestions by K. J. Chandia, M.

Bologna and D. Laroze are acknowledges. Useful discus-

sions with A. Pérez concerning (7) are deeply acknowl-

This work was supported by project FONDECYT

3