P ressure-induced change of the pairing symmetry in superconducting $C \in Cu_2Si_2$

E.Lengyel¹, M.Nicklas¹, H.S.Jeevan¹, G.Spam¹, C.Geibel¹, F.Steglich¹, Y.Yoshioka², and K.Miyake²

¹M ax Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²D ivision of M aterials P hysics, D epartm ent of M aterials Engineering Science,

Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-8531, Japan

(D ated: A pril 1, 2024)

Low temperature (T) heat-capacity measurements under hydrostatic pressure up to p 2:1 GPa have been performed on single-crystalline C eC $u_2 Si_2$. A broad superconducting (SC) region exists in the T p phase diagram. In the low pressure region antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations and in the high-pressure region valence uctuations had previously been proposed to mediate C coper pairing. W e could identify these two distinct SC regions. W e found di erent therm odynamic properties of the SC phase in both regions, supporting the proposal that di erent mechanism sm ight be im plied in the formation of superconductivity. W e suggest that di erent SC order parameters are characterizing the two distinct SC regions.

PACS num bers: 74.70.Tx, 74.62 Fj, 74.25 Bt, 74.20 Rp

The ongoing interest in unconventional, i.e., non-swave, superconductors was initiated 30 years ago by the discovery of superconductivity in the heavy-ferm ion (HF) metal CeCu₂Si₂¹ W hile for conventional (BCS) superconductors a very low concentration of magnetic in purities is generally detrimental to superconductivity, for $C \in Cu_2 Si_2$ 100at% of magnetic $C e^{3+}$ ions turned out to be prerequisite to form the SC phase:¹ The non-magnetic reference compound LaCu2Si2 is not a superconductor,¹ and doping with a sm allam ount of nonm agnetic impurities was found to suppress the SC state completely.² Because of their smalle ective Fermivelocity, the heavy quasiparticles form ing the Cooper pairs in $C \in C u_2 S i_2$ cannot escape their own \polarization cloud" which discards the BCS-type electron-phonon coupling mechanism¹. Soon after the discovery of HF superconductivity, m agnetic couplings were considered to m ediate the pairing in these materials.³ As early as 1986, antiferrom agnetic (AF) spin uctuations, including those at low frequencies near a spin-density-wave (SDW) instability (or quantum critical point, QCP) were proposed to act as SC glue in HF metals.⁴ The pressure-induced superconductor CePd2Si2 may be considered of prototype for this type of superconductors:⁵ It exhibits a very narrow \dom e" of superconductivity centered around its QCP at a critical pressure p_c 2:8 GPa and, further on, shows pronounced non-Ferm i-liquid (NFL) behavior in its low-tem perature norm al state.⁵ Rem arkably, in CeCu₂Si₂ superconductivity extends wellbeyond the AF instability, suggesting that a mechanism, other than AF spin uctuations, might be involved in the form ation of the Cooper pairs in the high-pressure region. There, valence uctuations were supposed to mediate the form ation of superconductivity.6,7 The extended SC state of $C \in C u_2 S i_2$, schem at ically depicted in F ig. 1, results from the merging of two distinct SC regions:⁸ The one on the low -pressure side (SC 1) appears to be sim ilar to that observed in other NFL superconductors, like $C \approx d_2 S \frac{1}{2}$, while in the high-pressure region, a novel type of SC state (SC 2) seems to form. Even though valence- uctuation

m ediated superconductivity has been predicted theoretically (e.g. Ref. 9,10), its experimental observation is so far limited to the CeCu₂ (Si_{1 x} Ge_x)₂ fam ily.^{7,8} In these materials the two instabilities, i.e., an AF one at low pressure and a low-lying critical end point of the storder valence-transition line at elevated pressure,¹¹ are su ciently separated in order to be distinguishable and, at the same time, show up in an experimentally accessible pressure range. W hile superconductivity in the regions SC1 and SC2 has been presum ed to be m ediated by AF spin uctuations and critical valence uctuations, respectively, 6,7,8 the pairing mechanism in the crossover region (hatched area in Fig. 1) from the HF to the interm ediate valence (IV) state is still a matter of discussion: W hile both types of uctuations may be involved together in form ing the SC state on the one hand, a rstorder transition line m ight separate the two distinct regions of superconductivity on the other.

In this paper, we study the therm odynam ic properties in SC $C \in Cu_2 Si_2$ by speci c-heat experiments un-



FIG.1: Schematic T p phase diagram of $C \in Cu_2 Si_2$. The dashed line depicts the shape of the SC phase line observed experimentally. p_c and p_v indicate the critical pressures for the magnetic and the valence instability, respectively.



FIG.2: (Color online) Low tem perature $C_{el}(T)=T$ versus T of A=S-type CeCu₂Si₂ at H = 0 for pressures as indicated in the gure.

der pressure. For the present study we have chosen a $C \in Cu_2 Si_2$ single crystal of stoichiom etric com position, in which superconductivity expels SDW order at low m agnetic eld, but where the SDW is recovered in an over-criticalm agnetic eld for superconductivity (\A =S -type" $C \in Cu_2 Si_2$).¹² W e nd di erent therm odynam ic properties in the two distinct SC regions, SC1 and SC2. This hints at a pressure-induced change of the pairing sym m etry.

The A=S-type CeCu₂Si₂ single crystal was grown in an alum inum -oxide crucible by a modi ed Bridgman technique, using Cu excess as ux medium. Powder X-ray di raction patterns con med the proper tetragonal ThCr₂Si₂ structure with lattice parameters a =0:4099 nm and c = 0:9923 nm at 295 K. Heat-capacity m easurem ents under hydrostatic pressure have been perform ed in a single-shot ³H e evaporation cryostat by em ploying a compensated quasi-adiabatic heat-pulse technique. In addition, the SC transition in $CeCu_2Si_2$ was detected through magnetocaloric and a.c.-susceptibility m easurem ents on the sam e sam ple and at the sam e pressures. A single piece of A = S -type $C \in Cu_2Si_2$ weighing 0:4 g was used for the experiments. Its about m residual resistivity was 0 10 cm, indicating a good sam ple quality.¹³ The magnetic eld was always applied parallel to the c-axis. The measurements at low pressures (p < 1:1 GPa) were carried out in a CuBe pistoncylinder pressure cell, while for the high-pressure range (p 1:1 GPa) a double layer N iC rA HC uB e type pistoncylinder pressure cell was utilized. For the entire experim ent, F lourinert FC 72 was used as pressure transm itting medium. A piece of tin served as pressure gauge. For the whole pressure range, the electronic speci c heat (C_{el}) was obtained by subtracting the am bient pressure lattice speci c heat of the isostructural non-m agnetic reference com pound LaC u_2 Si₂.¹⁴

The tem perature dependence of the low-tem perature



FIG.3: (C obr online) P ressure dependence of $T_{\rm c}$ for di erent values of $_0H$. The pressure dependence of $T_{\rm N}$ at H~=~0 is shown by the dotted line. The arrow indicates the estim ated border between the low-pressure (SC 1) and the high-pressure (SC 2) SC regions at H~=~0.

 C_{el} of $C \in Cu_2Si_2$ for selected pressures is shown in Fig. 2. Characteristic for A = S-type $C \in Cu_2Si_2$, two consecutive phase transitions can be observed at p = 0, an upper one at T_N 0:69 K to an incommensurate SDW order [Ref. 15] and a lower one marking the onset of superconductivity at T_c 0:46 K . Upon increasing pressure, the AF order is gradually suppressed while superconductivity is stabilized. Above p = 0.07 GPa no anom aly indicating the onset of AF order can be observed anym ore. In the norm al state, Cel of CeCu2Si2 decreases with increasing pressure in the entire pressure range. This is expected for Ce-based HF systems, where application of pressure leads to an increase of the hybridization strength between the Ce-4f and the conduction electrons and hence to a decrease of the e ective mass of the quasiparticles.

Figure 3 displays the evolution of T_c as function of pressure for di erent magnetic elds as obtained from the heat-capacity measurements. With increasing pressure, a steep initial rise of $T_{c}(p)$ is followed by a pronounced maximum and, at elevated pressure, a shallow minimum.For H = 0, $T_{cmax} = 0.63$ K at p 0.4 GPa and T_{cm in} 0:59K atp 1:5GPa.Weusethepressure value of $T_{\text{c};m}$ in to delineate the border between the two regions SC1 and SC2.W ith increasing magnetic eld the T_c values become reduced and T_{cm in} shifts to higher pressures, suggesting an increasing separation between the two SC regions. As can be seen in Fig. 3, superconductivity in the SC 2 region is suppressed more e ciently by the magnetic eld than in the SC1 region. At $_{0}H = 2T$, superconductivity still exists in a very narrow pressure range at low pressures, while in the high-pressure region there is no superconductivity up to p 2:1 GPa. Here, the upper-critical eld, $_0H_{c2}(0)$, is smaller than 1.5 T. In the low-temperature norm all state (T < 1 K, $_{0}H = 2 T$), $C_{el}(T)=T = const: (0:4 J/(m o I K^{2}))$ at





FIG. 4: (Color) Normalized electronic speci-c-heat data obtained on $C \in U_2 Si_2$ under pressure. The dashed line in panel a corresponds to the theoretically calculated dependence for the case of a conventional BCS-type superconductor. The two dashed lines in panel b serve as references and they reproduce the data for the two distinct groups presented in panel a.

p > 1:5 GPa, indicating a moderately heavy Landau Ferm i-liquid state. This leads us to conclude that in this pressure and magnetic eld range A=S-type CeCu₂Si₂ is situated far away from a QCP.

Figure 4 depicts the evolution of the H = 0 normalized low-temperature electronic speci c heat under pressure. The data are presented as $C_{el}(T)=(_{n}T)$ versus $T=T_{c}$, where $_{n}$ is $C_{el}=T$ $\frac{1}{T_{e}-T_{c}^{+}}$ in the normal state. The speci c-heat data obtained on SC CeCuSi₂ does not follow the BCS prediction (dashed line), and the $C_{el}=(_{n}T_{c})$ $\frac{1}{T}=_{T_{c}}$ ratio exhibits values smaller than the BCS value of 1.43. A quasi-linear temperature dependence of $C_{el}(T)=T$ can be observed at $0.5T_{c} < T < T_{c}$ for all pressures above 0.09 G Pa. A comparison with numerical calculations of $C_{el}(T)=T$ [Ref. 16] suggests that the SC state in CeCu₂Si₂ has an unconventional nature and is characterized by a gap function having line nodes. The normalized speci c-heat data, $C_{el}(T)=(_{n}T)$ ver-

sus $T = T_c$, fallon a single curve for pressures 0.09 G Pa p 0.4 G Pa; in the same way, the data in the highpressure range, 1:71 G Pa p 2.03 G Pa, collapse also on a single (but di erent) curve as can be seen in Fig.4a. Fig.4b displaying the data for the interm ediate pressure range 0:73 G Pa p 1:39 G Pa reveals a gradual shift of the data from the low-pressure to the high-pressure scaling curve. Fig.5 presents the norm alized upper-critical

FIG.5: (Color) $_0H_{c2}$ (T)=T_c versus T=T_c for di erent pressures obtained for H k c. Lines are guides to the eyes. The red and blue line from panel b reproduce the corresponding lines from panel a and serve as references for the regions SC1 and SC2 presented in panel a.

eld $_{0}H_{c2}(T)=T_{c}$ as function of the norm alized tem perature $T=T_{c}$. These data display a sim ilar pressure evolution as observed in the case of $C_{el}(T)=(_{n}T)$: Two distinct scaling curves are found for the two SC regions, and the data in the interm ediate pressure range shift gradually on increasing pressure from the scaling curve corresponding to region SC1 to the one corresponding to region SC2. These ndings highlight that the SC order parameters in regions SC1 and SC2 are di erent. The continuous evolution of the data from SC1 to SC2 favors an overlap region between SC1 and SC2 where a sm ooth crossover takes place, rather than a rst-order transition line between SC1 and SC2.

At p 0:09 GPa, the values estimated for the Paulilimiting eld are slightly smaller than those experimentally obtained for the upper-critical eld, while for the orbital-limiting eld we estimate values 3 to 4 times larger than H_{c2} (0). This proves that H_{c2} (T) is strongly Pauli limited in the pressure range 0:09 GPa p <2:1 GPa, indicating a SC order parameter of even parity, consistent with d-wave pairing symmetry as we will discuss in the following.

Our conclusion of di erent SC order parameters in CeCu₂Si₂ at low and high pressures is corroborated by theoretical considerations. The experimental results presented in Figs. 4a and 5a show that $C_{el}=(_{n}T_{c}) \quad \stackrel{SC1}{T_{e}T_{c}} = C_{el}=(_{n}T_{c}) \quad \stackrel{SC2}{T_{e}T_{c}}$ 1:6 and

 $(dH_{c2}=dT)_{T=T_{c}}^{SC1} = (dH_{c2}=dT)_{T=T_{c}}^{SC2}$ 1:9. A theoretical analysis shows that even within the manifold of \d"symmetry the above mentioned ratios can be di erent from 1 for di erent symmetries of pairing¹⁷ By choosing the $d_{x^2} v^2$ and the d_{xy} type pairings for the SC1 and SC2 region, respectively, one estimates theo- $C_{el} = ({}_{n}T_{c}) \begin{array}{c} d_{x^{2} y^{2}} \\ J_{t} = T_{c} \end{array} = C_{el} = ({}_{n}T_{c}) \begin{array}{c} d_{xy} \\ J_{t} = T_{c} \end{array}$ retically 1:6 and (dH $_{c2}$ =dT) $_{T=T_c}^{d_{x^2}y^2}$ =(dH $_{c2}$ =dT) $_{T=T_c}^{d_{xy}}$ 1:8.¹⁷ T he good agreem ent between the experim ental results and the theoretical estimation for these quantities suggests that $d_{x^2} v^2$ pairing is realized at the lower pressure side (region SC 1) and d_{xy} pairing is realized at the higher pressure side (region SC 2). It is reasonable that $d_{x^2} = \sqrt{2}$ pairing is realized in the lower pressure region where the ΑF uctuations develop due to AF quantum criticality around am bient pressure, because the AF correlations am ong felectrons at adjacent sites in the basalplane are expected to prom ote the pairing with $d_{x^2 y^2}$ sym m etry.¹⁸ The origin of the d_{xy} pairing in the higher pressure region should be assigned to a SC glue di erent from AF uctuations. A sm entioned earlier, a prom ising candidate m ay be valence uctuations which are enhanced in the higher pressure region around p' 5 GPa.^{6,19}

In conclusion, we found di erent therm odynam ic properties in the two distinct SC regions of $C \in Cu_2 Si_2$. Our results support the previously made suggestion [R ef. 6,7, 8,9,10] that two di erent mechanisms are involved in the form ation of the Cooper pairs in these two regions: In the SC 1 state, pairing is likely to be mediated by AF spin uctuations; in the high-pressure SC state (SC 2), valence uctuations are supposed to mediate the form ation of the

E lectronic address: lengyel@ cpfs.m pg.de

- ^y Present address: I. Physik. Institut, Georg-August-Universitat Gottingen, 37077 Gottingen, Germany
- ^z P resent address: M ax P lanck Institute for N uclear P hysics, 69117 H eidelberg, G erm any
- ¹ F.Steglich, J.Aarts, C.D.Bredl, W.Lieke, D.Meschede, W.Franz, and H.Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1892 (1979).
- ² H.Spille, U.Rauchschwalbe, and F.Steglich, Helv.Phys. Acta 56, 165 (1983).
- ³ S.Schm itt-Rink, K.M iyake, and C.M.Varma, Phys.Rev. Lett. 57, 2575 (1986).
- ⁴ D.J.Scalapino, E.Loh, and J.E.Hirsch, Phys.Rev.B 34, 8190 (1986).
- ⁵ F.M.Grosche, S.R.Julian, N.D.Mathur, and G.G. Lonzarich, Physica B 223-224, 50 (1996).
- ⁶ B.Bellarbi, A.Benoit, D.Jaccard, J.M.M ignot, and H.F. Braun, Phys.Rev.B 30, 1182 (1984).
- ⁷ D.Jaccard, H.W ilhelm, K.A lam i-Yadri, and E.Vargoz, Physica B 259-261, 1 (1999).
- ⁸ H.Q.Yuan, F.M.G rosche, M.Deppe, C.Geibel, G.Spam, and F.Steglich, Science 302, 2104 (2003).
- ⁹ Y.Onishi and K.M iyake, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 3955

4

Cooper pairs. Superconductivity in the low-pressure region is more robust against application of magnetic eld than in the SC2 region as indicated by the larger uppercritical elds. Further on, we observed distinct scaling laws of C_{el} (T)=($_{n}$ T) versus T=T_c and of $_{0}$ H $_{c2}$ (T)=T_c versus T=T_c in the two di erent SC regions. Therefore, we suggest the existence of di erent SC order param eters in SC1 and SC2. A theoretical analysis of our data proposes d_{x² v²} type C ooper-pairing for the SC 1 region and d_{xv} type pairing for the SC 2 region. The existence of different SC order parameters is highly consistent with the di erent mechanisms supposed to be implied in the formation of Cooper pairs in $CeCu_2Si_2$. We nd a smooth crossover from the SC1 to the SC2 region. Thus, this crossover region should be characterized by a SC state where both AF spin and valence uctuations are involved together in the Cooper pairing. However, for a precise experimental determination of the SC order parameters in the low-and high-pressure regimes, eld-angle dependent speci c-heat experiments at low temperatures have to be perform ed in the future.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was partly supported by the DFG under the auspices of both the SFB 463 and the Research Unit 960. The work at O saka University was supported by a G rant-in-A id for Scienti c Research (19340099) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. One of us (Y.Y.) is supported by the G-COE program (G10) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

(2000).

- ¹⁰ P. Monthoux and G. G. Lonzarich, Phys. Rev. B 69, 064517 (2004).
- ¹¹ H.Q.Yuan, F.M. Grosche, M.Deppe, G.Sparn, C.Geibel, and F.Steglich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 047008 (2006).
- ¹² F. Steglich, P. Gegenwart, C. Geibel, R. Helfrich, P. Hellmann, M. Lang, A. Link, R. Modler, G. Sparn, N. Buttgen, et al, Physica B 223& 224, 1 (1996).
- ¹³ U.Rauchschwalbe, Physica B 147, 1 (1987).
- ¹⁴ P.Hellm ann (unpublished data).
- ¹⁵ O.Stockert, E.Faulhaber, G.Zwicknagl, N.Stusser, H.S. Jeevan, M.Deppe, R.Borth, R.Kuchler, M.Loewenhaupt, C.Geibel, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 136401 (2004).
- ¹⁶ K.Hasselbach, J.R.K intley, and J.F louquet, Phys.Rev. B 47, 509 (1993).
- 17 Y .Yoshioka and K .M iyake (in preparation), the analysis is based on a G inzburg-Landau approach and takes into account the speci c Ferm i surface of CeCu₂Si₂.
- ¹⁸ K.M. iyake, S.Schm itt-R ink, and C.M. Varm a, Phys.Rev. B 34, 6554 (1986).
- ¹⁹ A.T.Holmes, D.Jaccard, and K.Miyake, Phys. Rev. B 69, 024508 (2004).