Mechanism of form ation of half-doped stripes in underdoped cuprates

Chung-Pin Chou and Ting-Kuo Lee Institute of Physics, Academ ia Sinica, Nankang, Taiwan

U sing a variational M onte-C arb approach with a recently proposed stripe wave function, we showed that the strong correlation included in at J type m odel has essentially all the necessary ingredients to form these stripes with m odulations of charge density, spin m agnetization, and pair eld. If a perturbative e ect of electron-phonon coupling to renorm alize the e ective m ass or the hopping rate of holes is considered with the m odel, we nd the half-doped stripes, which has on the average one half of a hole in one period of charge m odulation, to be m ost stable, energetic w ise in the underdoped region, 1=12 1=8. This is in good agreement with the observation in the neutron scattering experiments. W e also nd long range C oulom b interaction to be less e ective in the form ation of half-doped stripes.

PACS num bers: 71.10 Fd, 71.38.-k, 75.50 Ee

The mechanism for the formation of the stripe states has been one of the most im portant issues in understanding high-tem perature superconducting cuprates. Although many theoretical works based on the electronic model alone have succeeded in comprehending experim ental results qualitatively [1, 2], there are m any puzzles on the stripe form ation in the underdoped cuprates $La_{2 x} Sr_{x}CuO_{4}$ (LSCO) and $La_{2 x} Ba_{x}CuO_{4}$ (LBCO) with 1=8 doping most stable [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. For instance, the doping dependence of the incommensurate magnetic peaks associated with the stripe determined by neutron scattering experiment on LSCO obeys the so-called "Yam ada plot" [4] where it points to the existence of the half-doped stripe with average of 1=2 hole in one charge modulation period below 1=8 hole density. Furtherm ore, at 1=8 doping of LBCO, the local density of states still has a V-shape and a node at low energy [8], even though the superconductivity is alm ost com pletely suppressed by the static stripe. Surprisingly, a recent scanning tunneling spectroscopy has observed cluster glasses with random ly oriented dom ains of stripelike patterns in two other fam ilies of C a_{2 x} N a_x C uO ₂ C l₂ and $B_{12}Sr_2D_{20}$, $2C_{20}SC_{20}$, $C_{20}SC_{20}$, [9]. So far all these results are yet to be explained theoretically in a stronglycorrelated fram ework.

M any early theoretical works have found that stripeordered form ation m ay be present in the extended t J H am iltonian [10, 11, 12, 13]. In particular, using the density m atrix renorm alization group (DMRG) in the standard t J m odels, the m echanism of the half-doped stripe appears to have been understood by antiferrom agnetic correlation across the hole [14] or optim um energy per hole of a dom ain wall [15]. How ever due to sm all lattice size, weak coupling treatm ent, and special boundary conditions used in these works, this issue is still being debated [16]. The result of DMRG indicated the stripe to be m ost stable when the second nearest-neighbor hopping, t', is very sm all. This result is exactly opposite to the previous variational M onte C arb (VMC) calculation [12]. B ased upon the idea of d-w ave resonatingvalence-bond (d-RVB) state, recently we have proposed a new stripe-ordered wave function which involvesm odulations of charge, spin m agnetization, and pair eld. These stripe states either in a periodic pattern or a random ly oriented dom ain have alm ost the same energy as the uniform d-RVB state for alm ost all values of t^0 =t in the extended t J m odel [17]. This unexpected energy degeneracy of stripe-ordered states seem s to be quite com patible with the proposal of uctuating stripes [18]. How ever, this degeneracy between di erent stripe orders and a uniform state in the t J type m odel fails to explain the prom inence of the half-doped stripes.

There are several possibilities for the formation of stripes. Long-range Coulom b interaction between holes has been proposed sometime ago to transform the frustrated phase separation into a stripe state [19, 20]. On the other hand, a number of experiments have presented evidences that phonon or lattice e ects are apparently present in these materials [21, 22, 23, 24]. Particularly, due to the structural instability, the isotope e ect in LSCO and LBCO is also peculiarly strong near 1=8 doping [25]. Moreover, to understand a kink structure in angle-resolved photoem ission spectrum [26], many theoretical works have accepted that the electron-phonon couplings should be considered in the t J typem odels [27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

In this Letter, we shall consider both long-range C oulom b interaction and electron-phonon interaction on the form ation of stripes, in particular half-doped stripes, within the context of strong correlation as in the extended t J m odel. How ever electron-phonon interaction has many e ects. W e will only exam ine the sim plest e ect of m ass renorm alization of charges due to phonon coupling when the coupling strength is rather weak. The renorm alization e ect depending on the local charge density is treated self-consistently in a VM C m ethod which takes into account the strong correlation accurately. In the latter half of the paper, we present results of including long-ranged repulsive interaction between holes in the t J H am iltonian without electron-phonon interaction.

Let us begin with an extended t J H am iltonian in a two-dimensional square lattice which is de ned as,

$$H = \begin{array}{c} X \\ t_{ij} \quad e_i^y \quad e_j + h :: + J \\ i; ;; \\ & \langle i; j \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ S_i \quad S_i \quad (1) \\ \langle i; j \rangle \end{array}$$

The hopping amplitude $t_{ij} = t, t^0$, and t^{00} for sites i and j being the nearest-, the second-nearest, and the third-nearest-neighbors, respectively. Other notations are standard. In the following, we focus on the case $(t^0;t^{0};J)=t=(0:2;0:1;0:3)$ as we have shown [17] that comparing with the uniform state, energies of the stripe state are not very sensitive to the values of $t^0=J$ and t''=J. Following our treatment in Ref.[17], the stripe wave function is constructed by the mean-eld Bogoliubov de G ennes method. We assume that the spatial dependence of the local charge density i, staggered magnetization mi, and nearest-neighbor pair eld ij has the simple form s:

$$i = v \cos[2q (y y_0)];$$
 (2)

 $m_{i} = m_{v} \sin[q_{v} (y_{0})];$ (3)

where $q = =a_c$ and a_c is the period of charge modulation. Here the stripe extends uniform ly along \$ direction, and y_0 is 1=2 (0) for the bond-centered (sitecentered) stripe. Sited-centered stripe will not be considered throughout the paper unless speci cally mentioned. This state called AF-RVB stripe state [17] is quite different from the antiphase stripe studied by others [12]. The magnitude of pair eld and the magnetization are larger at sites with smaller hole density. Once the variational parameters are given, we diagonalize the meaneld Ham iltonian. Then, the trial wave function with a Gutzwiller projector P can be constructed by creating all negative energy states $\frac{y}{p}$ and annihilating all positive energy states n on a vacuum of electrons jDi,

$$P P_{J} P_{N_{e}} n \frac{y}{n} D_{i};$$
 (5)

with the xed num ber of electrons N_e. Here we introduce a particle-hole transform ation [32] in Eq.(5), $c_{i^{"}}^{y}$! f_{i} and $c_{i^{\#}}^{y}$! d_{i}^{y} . Using this transform ation, we avoid the possibility of having a divergent determ inant because of the presence of nodes in RVB-type wave function with the xed num ber of particles using periodic boundary condition. We also introduce a hole-hole repulsive Jastrow factors P_J in order to greatly in prove the variational energies (See the details in Ref.[17]).

The simplest e ect of an electron-phonon interaction is to renormalize the mass of charge carriers. To take



FIG.1: (Color online) The optim ized energy di erence between the AF-RVB stripe and uniform d-RVB states vs the doping density for di erent periods of stripe. $10a_0$ is calculated in 20 20 lattice and others in 24 24 one. Here is 0.25. In set: the electron-phonon coupling constant vs hole density. Filled circles show the data points from Ref.[31]. The dashed line is 1 3.

into account of it within the extended t J m odel, we assume the hopping terms t_{ij} in Eq.(1), which are inversely proportional to the e ective mass, are modied due to the spatial variation of hole density. We neglect the renorm alization of the exchange interaction J since it is only dom inant in the very underdoped region with a very small e ect [28]. We also do not consider the on-site energy that m ay arise from the electronphonon coupling [33]. If the on-site energy varies linearly with the local hole density, it will have the same energy irrespective of the period of periodic stripes. A ccording to the experimental results that the electronphonon coupling strength is reduced by the hole density $[\beta 1]$, we assume a linear relation between and , ()=(0) f() = 1 3 (See the inset in Fig.1). Accordingly, sites with a larger hole density in a modulated charge pattern have larger t_{ij} [34]. Thus t_{ij} in Eq.(1) is renorm alized to t_{ii}, given by

$$t_{ij} = t_{ij} \quad 1 \qquad \frac{f(n_h^i) + f(n_h^j)}{2}$$
; (6)

where n_h^i is the hole density at site i and = (0)=. We estimate a reasonable range of should be from 0 to 0.25 [34]. Since depends on the hole density n_h^i , we have to do a self-consistent calculation to nd the minimal variational energy. Here we use the iterative method to achieve the convergence. First we assume an initial set of values for the hole density at di erent sites such that t_{ij} are given, then we can proceed to optimize the trial wave functions. If the hole densities calculated by this optimized wave function do not agree with the initial input values, we will then use the calculated density, hence its t_{ij} , as input value for the next round of optimization. This process is repeated until we have a converged result. Som etim es we also try to use either the overestim ated or



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The optimized energy di erence between the AF-RVB stripe and uniform d-RVB states vs . (b) The average hole density of the AF-RVB stripes with di erent charge periods vs . For $6a_0$ and $8a_0$, empty [lled] sym bols indicate n_h (y = 1) [n_h (y = 2)]. As for $12a_0$, empty, half-lled, and lled sym bols correspond to n_h (y = 1), n_h (y = 2), and n_h (y = 3), respectively. Here the doping is 1=8.

underestim ated densities as initial input values to exam - ine the convergence.

Figure 1 shows the energy gain per site obtained by the AF-RVB stripe states as a function of doping density in the t t^0 t^{00} J model with renorm alized t. The reference ground state is the uniform d-RVB wave function. C om paring to our previous work [17], the hopping m odulation due to electron-phonon coupling indeed stabilizes the AF-RVB stripe states in the underdoped regime (0:05 0:15). The AF-RVB stripes with di erent periods of magnetic modulation like 8a0, 10a0, and $12a_0$ have its m in imum at doping density 1=8, 1=10and 1=12 respectively, which is exactly half-doped with 1=2 hole per charge dom ain. W e have not found a stable stripe with period $6a_0$. A bove doping density greater than 1=8, none of the stripe states are particularly stable. These results are quite consistent with the "Yam ada plot" observed in several experiments [3, 4, 6], except that we have not studied the diagonal stripes below hole density of 0:05. Furtherm ore, to make sure $8a_0$ is indeed most stable at 1=8 doping, we also calculate the energy of a "one-doped stripe" with spin modulation 16a0 in a 16 lattice. The AF-RVB stripe with 16a₀ is found 16 to be energetically unstable with respect to $8a_0$.

At 1=8 doping, Figure 2 (a) shows that in contrast with $6a_0$ and $12a_0$, the energy gain of AF-RVB stripe state with $8a_0$ increases with the strength of . As shown in Fig.2 (b), the di erence in the average hole density between hole-rich and hole-poor domains becomes larger as increases. Am ong all possible magnetic periods of stripes, only the stripe with $8a_0$ is stable at 1=8 doping. We also nd that long-range pair-pair correlation function for the AF-RVB stripe states is reduced at 1=8 doping (not shown), which could explain the superconducting transition temperature is suppressed near 1=8 doping for LBCO [35].

In order to understand the stability of half-doped stripe, we exam ine the spatial distribution of hole den-



FIG.3: (Color online) The average hole density of the AF-RVB stripes with the (a) $8a_0$, (b) $10a_0$ (squares) and $12a_0$ (triangles) vs the doping density using the same parameters in Fig1. D i erent symbols denote di erent average hole densities along $\hat{\gamma}$ direction. B lue dashed line is the doping density.

sity n_h (i) (= 1 $e_i^y e_i$) as a function of doping density . In F ig 3 (a), the $8a_0$ stripes only has two distinct sites along \diamondsuit direction. W hen the di erence of hole density between these two sites is getting larger, the state gains m ore kinetic energy from the modulated hopping terms as there are more holes sitting at sites with larger t . Interestingly, the maximum energy gain (see the lled circles in Fig.1)) with the largest di erence in hole density exactly happens at 1=8 doping for $8a_0$ stripe. At doping density 1=6 or higher, the kinetic energy for the uniform state is already quite substantial, hence the separation of hole-rich sites and hole-poor sites does not gain enough energy and we do not nd a stable periodic stripe with 8a₀. This argument also holds for stripe with other periods so there is no stable periodic stripe state in optimum or overdoped systems. In the very underdoped regime, since the hole density is already small, separation into a hole-rich and a hole-poor site does not bring a large di erence to gain enough kinetic energy to stabilize the periodic stripes. However, for stripes with $10a_0$ and 12a₀ shown in Fig.3 (b), there are three di erent sites with only one of them having more hole density than the uniform state. There is again the maximum di erence in hole density occurs at sm aller dopings (1=10 and 1=12, respectively). Similar argument also holds for $10a_0$ and 12a₀ stripes.

The argum ent provided above for the stability of halfdoped stripes could be applicable to other kinds of stripes. Hence we also exam ine the site-centered AF-RVB stripes and antiphase stripes at 1=8 doping. As expected, the site-centered stripes have sim ilar results as bond-centered stripes discussed above except with higher per-site energy (0.0022t) at $8a_0$. Sim ilarly for the antiphase stripes $8a_0$ has lowest energy at 1=8 doping but it again has a slightly higher per-site energy (0.0008t) [36].

Finally, we investigate the stability of the AF-RVB stripes in t t^0 t^{00} J system with long-range C oulomb interaction. In this case, the calculations om it the



FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) The optim ized energy di erence between the AF-RVB stripe and uniform d-RVB states as a function of the strength of Coulomb interaction V_c at 1=8 doping. (b) The optim ized energy di erence vs doping density for $V_c = t = 1$

mass renormalization in the Hamiltonian for the moment. The Coulomb interaction between holes is given $_{i < j} n_h$ (i) n_h (j)=j r_i r_j j. We have only studied by V_C stripes with periods $6a_0$, $8a_0$ and $12a_0$. The modulation of hole density and staggered magnetization is enhanced by Coulomb interaction. In Fig.4(a), as $V_{\rm C}$ increases, only the 8a₀ stripe pattern becom es m ore stable which is consistent with previous DMRG studies [11]. As shown in Fig.4 (b), the $6a_0$ and $12a_0$ stripes always have higher energy than uniform d-RVB state even for $V_C = t$. A though the stripe with $8a_0$ is stabilized at 1=8 doping, its energy gain is about half of that obtained by the mass renormalization e ect shown in Fig.2(a). These results indicate that although the long-range C oulom b interaction could help the form ation of stripes, it is not particularly e ective in getting the half-doped stripes as the electron-phonon coupling.

To sum marize, we have successfully included the e ect ofm ass renorm alization due to weak electron-phonon interaction with the extended t JH am iltonian in a selfconsistent VMC calculation. It is shown that the vertical half-doped stripes are most preferred in the underdoped regime with hole density 1=12 1=8. This explains the "Yam ada plot" mostly seen in LSCO materials. Since the stability of stripes is enhanced by electron-phonon coupling, our result also implies that this coupling is stronger in LSCO materials than other cuprates which is consistent with the analysis of kink in Ref. [26]. Additionally, we have found that long-range C oulom b interaction does not play as an important role in the form ation of half-doped stripes as the mass renorm alization e ect due to electron-phonon interaction.

This work is supported by the N ational Science C ouncil in Taiwan with G rant no.98-2112-M -001-017-M Y 3. The calculations are performed in the N ational Center for High-perform ance C om puting in Taiwan.

- [2] P.A.Lee, N.Nagaosa, and X.-G.W en, Rev. M od. Phys. 78, 17 (2006).
- [3] J.M. Tranquada, et al., Nature 375, 561 (1995).
- [4] K.Yam ada, et al, Phys.Rev.B 57, 6165 (1998).
- [5] M.Fujita, et al, Phys.Rev.B 65, 064505 (2002).
- [6] P.Abbam onte, et al., Nature Phys. 1, 155 (2005).
- [7] V.F.M itrovic, et al., Phys. Rev. B 78, 014504 (2008).
- [8] T. Valla, et al., Science 314, 1914 (2006).
- [9] Y.Kohsaka, et al., Science 315, 1380 (2007).
- [10] J.Zaanen, J.Phys.Chem.Solids 59 1769 (1998).
- [11] E.Arrigoni, A.P.Harjı, W.Hanke, B.Brendel, and S. A.Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 65, 134503 (2002).
- [12] A.Himeda, T.Kato, and M.Ogata, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 117001 (2002).
- [13] S. R. W hite and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1272 (1998); S. R. W hite and D. J. Scalapino, ibid. 91, 136403 (2003)
- [14] G.B.Martins, C.Gazza, J.C.Xavier, A.Feiguin, and E.Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5844 (2000).
- [15] S.R.W hite and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3227 (1998).
- [16] C.S.Hellberg and E.M anousakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 132 (1999).
- [17] C.-P.Chou, N.Fukushim a, and T.-K.Lee, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134530 (2008).
- [18] S.A.Kivelson, et al., Rev.M od. Phys. 75, 1201 (2003).
- [19] V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Physica C 209, 597 (1993).
- [20] J.Han, Q.H.W ang, and D.H.Lee, Int. J.M od. Phys. B 15, 1117 (2001).
- [21] Y. Bar-Yam, et al. (editors), Lattice E ect in High $T_{\rm c}$ superconductors (Singapore: W orld Scienti c,1992).
- [22] T.Fukuda, et al, Phys. Rev. B 71, 060501 (R) (2005).
- [23] D. Reznik, et al., Nature 440, 1170 (2006).
- [24] X. J. Zhou, et al., Handbook of High-Tem perature Superconductivity: Theory and Experim ent edited by J.R. Schrie er (Springer, 2007).
- [25] M.K.Craw ford, et al., Science 250, 1390 (1990).
- [26] A. Lanzara, et al., Nature 412, 510 (2001).
- [27] K.J.von Szczepanskiand K.W. Becker, Z.Phys. B 89, 327 (1992).
- [28] J. Lorenzana and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1867 (1995).
- [29] O. Rosch and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 146403 (2004).
- [30] S. Ishihara and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 69, 144520 (2004).
- [31] A. S. M ishchenko, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 166401 (2008).
- [32] H.Yokoyam a and H.Shiba, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 2482 (1988).
- [33] E.Kaneshita, I.Martin, and A.R.Bishop, Phys. Rev.B 76, 054507 (2007).
- [34] We roughly estimate the electrive mass by considering a Holstein electron-phonon interaction as perturbation in a free H am iltonian. By using the standard second-order perturbation theory, the electrive mass can be enhanced by a factor $(1 -)^{-1}$, which is related to the electron-phonon coupling strength .

[35] A.R.M oodenbaugh, Y.Xu, M. Suenaga, T.J.Folkerts, and R.N.Shelton, Phys. Rev. B 38, 4596 (1988). [36] M ore details will be published in elsewhere.