Carrier-induced refractive index change and optical absorption in wurtzite InN and GaN: Fullband approach

Ceyhun Bulutay,^{1,*} Cem Murat Turgut,¹ and N. A. Zakhleniuk²

¹Department of Physics, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey

²School of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering,

University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, CO4 3SQ, United Kingdom

(Dated: April 1, 2024)

Based on the full band electronic structure calculations, first we consider the effect of n-type doping on the optical absorption and the refractive index in wurtzite InN and GaN. We identify quite different dielectric response in either case; while InN shows a significant shift in the absorption edge due to *n*-type doping, this is masked for GaN due to efficient cancellation of the Burstein-Moss effect by the band gap renormalization. Moreover, for high doping levels the intraband absorption becomes significant in InN. For energies below 1 eV, the corresponding shifts in the real parts of the dielectric function for InN and GaN are in opposite directions. Furthermore, we observe that the free-carrier plasma contribution to refractive index change becomes more important than both band filling and the band gap renormalization for electron densities above 10^{19} cm⁻³ in GaN, and 10^{20} cm⁻³ in InN. As a result of the two different characteristics mentioned above, the overall change in the refractive index due to n-type doping is much higher in InN compared to GaN, which in the former exceeds 4% for a doping of 10^{19} cm⁻³ at 1.55 μ m wavelength. Finally, we consider intrinsic InN under strong photoexcitation which introduces equal density of electron and holes thermalized to their respective band edges. The change in the refractive index at 1.55 μ m is observed to be similar to the *n*-doped case up to a carrier density of 10^{20} cm⁻³. However, in the photoexcited case this is now accompanied by a strong absorption in this wavelength region due to $\Gamma_5^{v} \to \Gamma_6^{v}$ intravalence band transition. Our findings suggest that the alloy composition of $In_x Ga_{1-x}N$ can be optimized in the indium-rich region so as to benefit from high carrier-induced refractive index change while operating in the transparency region to minimize the losses. These can have direct implications for InN-containing optical phase modulators and lasers.

PACS numbers: 78.20.Ci, 78.20.Bh, 78.40.Fy

I. INTRODUCTION

The research efforts on InN and In-rich InGaN have been intensifying worldwide. As well as their traditional applications on solid-state lightning and lasers, new possibilities begin to flourish such as photovoltaics and chemical sensing.¹ From the electronic structure point of view, InN has a number of unique properties.² Its band gap for the wurtzite phase is commensurate with the 1.55 μ m wavelength for fiber optics when it forms an allow with a small amount of GaN. Furthermore, the small conduction band effective mass enables interesting band-filling effects. Even though the latter giving rise to Burstein-Moss effect^{3,4} was the center of focus in the recent reassessment of the high quality InN samples,^{5–8} its device implications have not been given the full attention it deserved. Among the viable applications are the carrierinduced optical phase modulators,⁹ and tunable Bragg reflector and filters.^{10,11} These devices are expected to play essential role, for instance, for the signal processing directly in the optical domain in the future high bit rate optical communication networks.

It was known from the early days of edge-emitting semiconductor lasers that carrier-induced refractive change affects the optical beam quality,¹² such as mode guiding along the junction plane, self-focusing, filament formation, frequency chirping under direct modulation.^{13,14} The refractive index tunability by carrier injection was studied in the previous decade for the Indium-Group-V semiconductor compounds and alloys other than $InN.^{15-17}$ In the case of InGaN laser structures, there have been recent theoretical¹⁸ and experimental^{19,20} studies on the carrier-induced refractive index change and the linewidth enhancement factor. However, these studies considered only Ga-rich limit of InGaN alloys.

The purpose of this work is to offer a comparative theoretical account of carrier-induced refractive index change in both InN and GaN, so as to form a basis for the Inrich InGaN alloys. Primary attention is given to *n*-type doping which is the prevalent type among the routinely grown samples.¹ For the case of InN, the photoexcited bipolar carrier-induced dielectric effects are also examined. The crux of our study is based on the Bennett-Soref-Del Alamo approach¹⁵ which includes band-filling, band gap renormalization (BGR) and plasma contributions. However, rather than a model band structure, we employ a rigorous full band technique to represent the band filling; preliminary account of this work was given in Ref. 21. In Sec. II we present the theoretical details, this is followed by our results in Sec. III. A self-critique of our model and further discussions are provided in Sec. IV, ending with our conclusions in Sec. V. The Appendix contains our empirical pseudopotential parameters used for wurtzite InN.

II. THEORY

First, we would like to describe the electronic structure on which our computations are based. In the case of GaN, we use the local empirical pseudopotential method that we fitted to the existing experimental data, putting special emphasis on the conduction band behavior.²² For InN, we use the nonlocal empirical pseudopotential method, treating the angular-momentum channels p and d for In, and p for N as nonlocal.²³ Further details are given in the Appendix section. The corresponding band gap for InN comes out as 0.85 eV which agrees very well with the recent first principles results^{24,25} but it is higher than the 0.64 eV value established experimentally.¹ Figure 1 shows the band structures of wurtzite InN and GaN.

As the Burstein-Moss effect^{3,4} primarily shifts the absorption edge due to band filling, the ultimate quantity to be computed is the imaginary part of the optical (i.e., the long wavelength limit) dielectric tensor which is given in Gaussian units by²⁶

$$\operatorname{Im}\left\{\epsilon^{ab}(\omega)\right\} = \frac{e^2}{\pi} \sum_{v,c} \int_{\mathrm{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \, r^a_{vc}(\mathbf{k}) r^b_{cv}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \times \delta\left(E_c(\mathbf{k}) - E_v(\mathbf{k}) - \hbar\omega\right), \qquad (1)$$

where a, b are the Cartesian indices. $r_{vc}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) = p_{vc}^{a}(\mathbf{k})/(im_{0}\omega_{vc}(\mathbf{k}))$, where $p_{vc}^{a}(\mathbf{k})$ is the momentum matrix element, m_{0} is the free electron mass, $\omega_{vc}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \omega_{v}(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{c}(\mathbf{k})$, where $\hbar\omega_{n}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv E_{n}(\mathbf{k})$ is the energy of the band n, at the wave vector \mathbf{k} . The specific band label v (c) represents filled (unfilled) bands, where for the clean cold semiconductors, the band occupation factors are taken as either one or zero. The volume integration in Eq. (1) is over the first Brillouin zone (BZ) which can be reduced to the irreducible BZ using the symmetry relations of the BZ (see, Fig. 2).



FIG. 1. The empirical pseudopotential band structures for InN (solid) and GaN (dashed) used in this work.



FIG. 2. Schematic (not to scale) of the Brillouin zone of the wurtzite structure (top), its irreducible Brillouin zone (middle), and its tessellation (bottom); each volume is further divided into tetrahedra (not shown). a and c are the lattice constants in the basal plane and perpendicular directions, respectively.

The Dirac delta term in Eq. (1) automatically reduces the volume integration to a surface formed by the **k** points which allow direct (vertical) transitions from a filled state to an unfilled state with an energy difference corresponding to the chosen photon energy. Such surface integrations routinely appear in the density of states, effective mass and response function calculations.²⁷ They can be efficiently calculated numerically using the Lehmann-Taut method.²⁸ This technique has also been shown to work for the second-order nonlinear optical response function²⁹ and also for the full band phonon scattering rate calculation.²² In this work, for the required accuracy, we divide the irreducible BZ into a mesh of $40 \times 40 \times 40$ along the basal plane and the *c*-axis directions (see, Fig. 2). In Fig. 3, we show the imaginary part of the optical dielectric function for the intrinsic (i.e., undoped) InN and GaN. The ordinary tensor component which corresponds to the electric field parallel to basal plane of the hexagonal crystal (i.e., inplane) polarization is shown. For GaN, we observe a discrepancy between the theoretical and experimental³¹ values close to the band edge. On the other hand, our theoretical result for InN matches well with the rigorous first-principles studies,^{32,33} and also in reasonable agreement with the experiment.³⁰



FIG. 3. Imaginary part of the in-plane optical dielectric function for the intrinsic InN (blue) and GaN (red), comparing our theoretical (solid) results with the experimental (dashed) data of Refs. 30 and 31.

Another physical mechanism that accompanies bandfilling is the BGR due to many-body interactions among the carriers.³⁴ In highly *n*-doped InN samples it is observed that this partially cancels the Burstein-Moss effect.⁷ The electron-electron and electron-ion contributions within the random phase approximation are given in Gaussian units by^{7,34}

$$\Delta E_{e-e} = -\frac{2e^2k_F}{\pi\epsilon_s} - \frac{e^2k_{TF}}{2\epsilon_s} \left[1 - \frac{4}{\pi}\arctan\left(\frac{k_F}{k_{TF}}\right)\right] (2)$$
$$\Delta E_{e-i} = -\frac{4\pi e^2 N}{\epsilon_s a_B^* k_{TF}^3},$$
(3)

where N is the electron (ion) density and ϵ_s is the static permittivity for which we use the experimental value of 9.5 (15.3) for GaN (InN).^{35,36} $k_F = (3\pi^2 N)^{1/3}$ and $k_{TF} = 2\sqrt{k_F/(\pi a_B^*)}$ are, respectively, the Fermi and Thomas-Fermi wave numbers, $a_B^* = 0.529 \epsilon_s m_0/m^*$ is the effective Bohr radius in Å, m^* is the conduction band effective mass. The latter quantity rapidly deviates with increase of energy from its band edge value, especially in the case of InN. Therefore, in these expressions we use an energy-dependent density of states effective mass value corresponding to the level of band-filling. Figure 4 shows for the conduction band these values used in this work for InN and GaN. The curve for InN is taken from the first-principles results.²⁴ For GaN, it has been computed using the same Lehmann-Taut method, but with a finer grid than used above, such as $60 \times 60 \times 60$ or more. In the case of photoexcitation which we consider for InN, actually we have a two-component quantum system (i.e., electron and hole gases). In the interests of simplicity, we adapt Eq. (2) by replacing the electron effective mass with the reduced electron-hole effective mass, μ_{eh} given by $\mu_{eh}^{-1} = m_e^{-1} + m_h^{-1}$ where m_e and m_h are the energy-dependent electron and hole effective masses, respectively. The latter is almost constant for the densities dealt in this work and therefore is taken to be $0.84m_0$ for InN.



FIG. 4. The density-of-states effective mass variation as a function of band-filling for the conduction band electrons in InN and GaN. The latter is computed in this work, whereas the former is taken from Ref. 24.

Having determined the imaginary dielectric function of a semiconductor with partially filled band(s), subject to BGR, the next aim is to compute their effect on the refractive index. Their interrelation is established by the causality principle which has to be satisfied by any physical response function.³⁷ In mathematical terms, this is governed by the Kramers-Kronig relation; for the real part, the corresponding expression, suppressing the Cartesian indices is given by

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\epsilon(\omega)\right\} = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega' \operatorname{Im}\left\{\epsilon(\omega')\right\}}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2} d\omega' \,. \tag{4}$$

Computationally, it requires the knowledge of the imaginary part of the dielectric function for all energies; we have verified the convergence of our results by including 60 bands and accounting for the higher energy behavior (above 30 eV) analytically with a $1/\omega^2$ fall off.

Based on the mechanisms described above, carrier injection essentially leads to a change in the refractive index. Another significant contribution to the refractive index change is caused by the plasma absorption of the free carriers: electrons in the conduction band for the *n*-doped case or the electrons and holes for the photoexcited case. Its resultant contribution can be expressed in convenient units as¹⁵

$$\Delta n_{\rm plasma} = -\frac{6.9 \times 10^{-22}}{n_0 m_r^*} \frac{N[\rm cm^{-3}]}{E^2[\rm eV]} \,, \tag{5}$$

where n_0 is the refractive index of the intrinsic semiconductor, E[eV] is the energy in eV, $N[cm^{-3}]$ is the freecarrier density in cm⁻³ and m_r^* is the effective mass in units of m_0 ; in the photoexcited case, for the latter two we use the electron-hole density and the effective mass (μ_{eh}) , respectively.

III. RESULTS

A. *n*-doped case in InN and GaN

We first start with the *n*-doped case which is quite easily achieved (sometimes even unintentionally) in InN and GaN and, we explore the filling of the conduction band by electrons fully ionized from their dopants. The electron concentrations up to about 7×10^{20} cm⁻³ (9×10^{20} cm⁻³) are considered marking the threshold beyond which the next higher conduction band starts to be filled for InN (GaN). Figure 5 shows the shift in the absorption edge due to Pauli blocking of the filled portions of the lowest conduction band. A marked contrast revealed with this plot is that the absorption edge shift in InN is much more significant compared to GaN. The disparity between the two materials' conduction band edge density of state behavior plays a role here. While in InN the band-filling effect is quite strong, in the case of GaN this becomes smaller which is moreover effectively canceled by the BGR.

Yoshikawa *et al.* have used temperature-dependent photoluminescence spectroscopy to separate BGR and band-filling effects in Si doped GaN.³⁸ Figure 6 illustrates the comparison of our *n*-doped GaN results with this work for the reduced band gap, i.e., due to BGR, as well as for the optical gap which corresponds to the absorption edge including the BGR and Burstein-Moss effects. The dashed line is the fitted expression, $-4.72 \times 10^{-8} n^{1/3}$ eV offered by Yoshikawa *et al.* for the former. Very recently Schenk *et al.* relying on band edge luminescence peak and the line shape information have extracted an expression given by $-2.6 \times 10^{-8} n^{1/3}$ eV for the BGR in *n*-doped GaN.³⁹ While our result closely agrees with the Yoshikawa data, Schenk's expression is in disagreement with these. In Fig. 6, also a much more elaborate theoretical analysis for the BGR is shown which however deviates from the rest of these results.⁴⁰ We believe that further studies are required to reach to a consensus over the BGR expression for GaN. The situation is more harmonious for InN. The comparison of our computed absorption shift for InN with the measurements and calculation from Wu *et al.*,⁸ together with other data mentioned in that work^{41–43} is provided in Fig. 7; it shows the overall agreement of our results with the literature.



FIG. 5. The shift in the absorption edge by n-type doping for GaN and InN due to conduction band filling without (dashed) and with (solid) BGR accounted. The inset shows the density of states (DOS) for InN together with the band-filling levels on the valence and conduction bands in the absence of BGR.

The conduction band filling and the associated BGR directly affect the imaginary part of the dielectric functions through the shift in the absorption edge deeper into the conduction band. This is illustrated for the ordinary components (i.e., the electric field lying on the crystal basal plane) for different electron concentrations in Figs. 8 and 9 for InN and GaN, respectively. It can be noticed for both cases, especially for larger dopings, that there is a nonzero absorption below the absorption edge, even down to zero energy. This is due to *intraband* absorption within the conduction band (see the upper inset in Fig. 8). The same behavior also appears in the measured InN samples.⁸

The real parts of the dielectric functions are obtained from the imaginary parts using the Kramers-Kronig relation in Eq. (4). The results are shown in Figs. 10 and 11 for InN and GaN, respectively. First of all, the real part of dielectric function of InN is more sensitive to *n*-type doping. Furthermore, for low energies, the shifts are in opposite directions for InN and GaN, so that as the electron concentration increases, the permittivity decreases for InN, whereas it increases for GaN. Note that these are only the electronic contributions to polarization as Eq. (1) does not include the ionic degrees of freedom.



FIG. 6. The comparison for the *n*-doped GaN, the reduced gap and the optical gap values (see text) with Yoshikawa *et al.*,³⁸ Schenk *et al.*³⁹ and Persson *et al.*⁴⁰ The band gap of intrinsic GaN is taken as 3.5 eV.



FIG. 8. The calculated imaginary part of the in-plane dielectric function (DF) of InN for different n-type doping densities. Lower inset shows the same graph for a wider energy scale. Upper inset indicates the responsible intraconduction band transitions for the low energy part of the spectra.



Energy (eV) FIG. 9. The calculated imaginary part of the in-plane dielectric function (DF) of GaN for different *n*-type doping densi-

ties. Inset shows the same graph for a wider energy scale.

FIG. 7. The comparison of measured and calculated shifts in the absorption edge in InN with the calculation and measurements from Wu,⁸ together with other data mentioned in that work: Haddad,⁴³ Inushima,⁴² Tyagai.⁴¹

Nevertheless the ions do not directly play a role in the *change* in the refractive index which is focus of this work. As mentioned in the Theory section, one should also add the free carrier plasma contribution to the refractive index [see, Eq. (5)]. This is separately shown in Fig. 12 which always has a negative contribution, and $|\Delta n_{\text{plasma}}|$ decreases as $1/E^2$ with energy E.

The overall change in the refractive index, including

all of the effects mentioned so far is shown in Fig. 13. Because of their technological importance, it is evaluated at the two fiber-optic communication wavelengths of 1.55 and 1.3 μ m which correspond to energies of 0.8 and 0.954 eV, respectively; the former is marked with dashed vertical arrows in Figs. 10 and 11. It can be observed that the refractive index change due to *n*-type doping is much higher in InN compared to GaN for both wavelengths. There are several reasons behind this outcome. First of all there is a cancellation that occurs for



 $\mathbf{6}$



Note that at the 1.3 μ m wavelength the intrinsic as well as doped InN display substantial interband absorption (see, Fig. 8), hence, in Fig. 13 we used the following generalization of the refractive index in the presence of loss:³⁷

$$n = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}\{\epsilon\}^2 + \operatorname{Im}\{\epsilon\}^2} + \operatorname{Re}\{\epsilon\}}{2}}.$$
 (6)

Because of this loss, 1.3 μ m case shows an overall reduction in the refractive index change compared to 1.55 μ m wavelength. As another curious point, the *n*-doped InN curve in the bottom panel of Fig. 13 starts from a positive value which requires an explanation. Due to the absorption in the 1.3 μ m wavelength, the refractive index also peaks around the 0.95 eV range, particularly for the 10¹⁸ cm⁻³ density (cf. Fig. 10). Hence, the *n*-doped InN curve in Fig. 13 becomes positive for this density as its refractive index *exceeds* that of the intrinsic sample. Even though the band-filling and plasma contributions try to reduce it, they are not as effective at this density.

0.00

-0.05

N =10¹⁹cm

 $\Delta oldsymbol{n}_{\mathsf{plasma}}$ -0.10 GaN N =10²⁰cm⁻³ -0.15 -0.20 -0.25 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 Energy (eV) FIG. 12. The comparison of the free-carrier-induced refrac-

N =P =10¹⁹cm

FIG. 12. The comparison of the free-carrier-induced refractive index change, i.e., plasma contribution, [see, Eq. (5)] for two different *n*-type doping densities for InN and GaN. The photoexcited $N = P = 10^{19}$ cm⁻³ bipolar case in InN is also included.

B. Photoexcited case in InN

We would like to compare the pronounced refractive index change in *n*-doped InN with the case where both electrons and holes are present. Since introducing holes with *p*-type doping is still a major technical challenge in InN,¹ we consider photodoping⁴⁴ where equal density of electrons and holes are generated by a strong photoexcitation. We further assume that these photocarriers quickly thermalize to their respective band edges and

dielectric function (DF) of InN for different *n*-type doping densities. The vertical red arrow marks the 0.8 eV (1.55 μ m) value. Inset shows the same graph for a wider energy scale.

FIG. 10. The calculated real part of the in-plane electronic

FIG. 11. The calculated real part of the in-plane electronic dielectric function (DF) of GaN for different *n*-type doping densities. The vertical red arrow marks the 0.8 eV (1.55 μ m) value. Inset shows the same graph for a wider energy scale.

GaN; as shown in Fig. 5, BGR very effectively cancels the Burstein-Moss shift up to a density of 10^{19} cm⁻³. The lack of such a cancellation in InN is because of the very strong band-filling effect as a result of small density of states of InN close to conduction band edge, see the inset in Fig. 5. Furthermore, contrary to the case in InN, the refractive index change in GaN due to band filling is positive up to the ultraviolet. This is canceled by the negative plasma contribution [cf., Eq. (5)], which actu-







FIG. 13. The percentage refractive index change with respect to intrinsic (i.e., no free carrier) case for InN and GaN. All effects considered in this work are included, i.e., band-filling, BGR, and plasma contributions. Top panel shows the $1.55 \,\mu\text{m}$ wavelength (0.8 eV) and the bottom panel corresponds to the $1.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ wavelength (0.954 eV). See text, for the explanation of why *n*-doped InN curve in the bottom panel starts from a positive value. The lines are to guide the eyes.

attain a degenerate quasiequilibrium distribution. Since the electron-hole pairs will eventually recombine within a time scale of 300-400 ps.⁴⁵ the quasicontinuous optical excitation should replenish this in order to sustain the assumed steady-state carrier distribution. The associated quasi-Fermi levels for different electron-hole densities are marked in the inset of Fig. 5 which clearly indicates that the contribution of the holes to the Burstein-Moss effect is negligible. In Fig. 14 we show the imaginary dielectric function at several electron-hole densities. Compared to the n-doped case in Fig. 8, we observe two new features around 0 and 0.94 eV which are caused by the intravalence band transitions: the former is due to very low energy transitions at the valence band maximum (left inset in Fig. 14), whereas the latter is the result of the $\Gamma_5^v \to \Gamma_6^v$ transition (right inset in Fig. 14). It is the latter that makes a significant impact around 1.55 μm (0.8 eV) and even more so at the 1.3 μ m wavelength (0.954 eV). Therefore, we would like to assert that our band structure agrees quite well with the other first-principle studies 25,32 which report the value 0.9 eV with the quasiparticle correction for the $\Gamma_5^v \to \Gamma_6^v$ transition. As observed from Fig. 14, these new intravalence band channels refill the part of the spectra cleared by the Pauli blocking, undoing the Burstein-Moss effect. Also we can observe from Fig. 13 that below a carrier density of 10^{20} cm⁻³, the change in the refractive index at 1.55 μ m is seen to be very close to the *n*-doped case. However, it should be recalled that this is now accompanied by a strong absorption (cf., Fig. 14) which can hamper its possible device applications.



FIG. 14. The calculated imaginary part of the in-plane dielectric function of InN for different electron-hole populations forming degenerate quasiequilibrium distributions. Insets show the intravalence band transitions that give rise to the new absorption channels around 0 eV (left) and 0.94 eV (right).

IV. A SELF-CRITIQUE OF THE THEORETICAL MODEL AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section we would like to discuss several aspects of the employed theoretical model both for the assertion of its general validity as well as for pointing out its possible improvements. To start with, all our results follow from the dielectric function expression of Eq. (1) which is obtained within the independent particle (i.e., random phase) approximation, 46 and as such, it neglects the excitonic effects. In the case of intrinsic InN dielectric function, it has been shown by Furthmüller et al. that, apart from small shifts in the energy positions, these excitonic effects are responsible for the enhancement of some of the peaks in the spectra, predominantly close to the band edge, while the general shape remaining as unaffected.³² Furthermore, in the presence of high concentration of free carriers, as considered in this work, the excitonic effects get perfectly screened. The transition from bound excitonic state to free electron-hole plasma takes place at the Mott critical density.³⁷ If we apply its estimate from Ref. 15, we get about 5×10^{16} and 5×10^{18} cm⁻³ for InN and GaN, respectively. Above these values, excitons will dissociate which is easily met for the density range of this study.

On the BGR, there are other theoretical estimations, such as the plasmon-pole⁴⁷ and the Vashishta-Kalia⁴⁸ models. However, we observed that when contrasted

with the existing experimental data on GaN^{49} and InN,⁵⁰ the employed Berggren-Sernelius expression³⁴ in this and recent studies^{7,8} outperforms these other models in the relevant high density range of this study. From a formal point of view, there exist more rigorous many-body approaches which incorporate excitons, BGR and band-filling effects in the same level, however, their formidable computational cost leads to a trade off with a simplistic effective mass band structure.⁵¹

Furthermore, we should mention that the employed Berggren-Sernelius expression assumes a cubic crystal with parabolic bands.³⁴ These simplifications have been avoided by Persson and co-workers who have incorporated the electron-phonon contribution as well.⁴⁰ Their framework is particularly better suited for handling the BGR under photoexcitation where both electron- and hole-gas many-body contributions can be individually included. However, as we stated previously, in the photoexcited case we still use the Berggren-Sernelius expression but with the reduced electron-hole effective mass. Essentially, the same discussion also applies for the plasma contribution in Eq. (5).

Compared to the available *ab initio* results for $InN^{2,2\bar{4},2\bar{5},32}$ our band structure is in good overall agreement. Nevertheless, there remain further discrepancies of most of these theoretical results from the experimental data. First of all, due to the fact that our computational band gap of 0.85 eV for InN is somewhat higher than the experimentally established value,¹ we expect especially our 1.55 μ m wavelength data to be affected. However, this change should be more or less similar to the deviation between 1.55 μ m and 1.3 μ m cases in Fig. 13. In the case of GaN, our observation of a substantial deviation from the measured absorption in the vicinity of band gap (see, Fig. 3) calls for improvement on pseudopotential form factors for GaN which were originally optimized for high-field transport applications.²² Furthermore, we do not include the band tail (Urbach's tail) absorption from the impurity states forming bands below the band edge.^{52,53} Just like the excitons, the effect of localized states become marginal for high free-carrier densities, like beyond 10^{18} cm⁻³. Moreover, the characteristic Urbach energy parameter in high-quality InN samples turn out to be as low as 7.5 to 15 meV which indicates that these features are anchored to just below the band gap.⁵⁴

Being intended as a bulk study, this work neglects any surface effects, the most important of which is the surface charge accumulation causing built-in electric field and band bending.^{55,56} In this regard, it has been mentioned by Kudrawiec *et al.* that for *n*-type InN layer since the region of strong band bending is the surface electron accumulation layer, it will not affect absorptionlike techniques such as photoreflectance spectroscopy due to band filling of the conduction band.⁵⁷ Another effect discarded in this study is the thermal smearing of the degenerate carrier statistics. As a possible follow up of this work it can be readily included, nevertheless we do not expect any marked deviations. Even though the quasiFermi level shift in the valence band is of the same order as the thermal energy (cf., inset of Fig. 5), as shown above, the main effect is driven by the Fermi level shift in the conduction band, which by far outweighs any thermal energy well up to room temperature for the carrier densities important for this work. Stemming from these idealizations, our main results in Fig. 13 should be taken as *upper bounds*. The list of these simplifications also suggests possible improvements of this work.

To harness the carrier-induced refractive index change such as in phase modulators, the associated losses need to be minimized. In this respect, the narrow 0.64 eV band gap of InN becomes undesirable for the 1.55 and 1.3 μ m applications as they enable supra band gap excitations where additional losses set in, especially for the photoexcited case. Our comparative study here suggests that the In_xGa_{1-x}N can enjoy both the high carrier-induced refractive index sensitivity while at the same time operating in the transparency region of the alloy. The poor sensitivity of GaN to carrier-induced refractive index change, as demonstrated by this work points towards the choice of indium-rich alloys.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that based on a full band theoretical analysis, under *n*-type doping, InN and GaN should display different dielectric characteristics. The absorption edge shift is masked in GaN, whereas it is highly pronounced in InN. For energies below 1 eV, the corresponding shifts in the real parts of the dielectric function for InN and GaN are in opposite directions. The freecarrier plasma contribution to refractive index becomes dominant above 10^{20} cm⁻³ for the case of InN. At a wavelength of 1.55 μ m, we predict more than 4% of change in the refractive index for InN for a doping of 10^{19} cm⁻³. Under optical pumping which fills the conduction and valence band edges with electrons and holes of equal density, the refractive index is not further affected by the presence of the holes. However, its major consequence is the increased intravalence band aborption as a result of $\Gamma_5^v \to \Gamma_6^v$ transition. Beyond a hole density of 10^{19} cm^{-3} , this affects 1.3 to 1.55 μ m wavelengths. We believe that this wide tunability of the index of refraction in InN by the conduction band electrons is technologically important for applications such as optical phase modulators. In the presence of holes, the valence band absorption needs to be taken into account, such as in the design of InNbased lasers for fiber-optics applications. Our findings suggest that the allow composition of $In_x Ga_{1-x}N$ can be optimized in the indium-rich region so as to benefit from high carrier-induced refractive index change while operating in the transparency region to minimize the losses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the British Council for supporting the partnership of the Essex and Bilkent Universities. CB and CMT would like to thank the EU FP7 Project UNAM-Regpot Grant No. 203953 for partial support.

TABLE I. Fitted values for the constants in the pseudopotential form factors V_s and V_a ; see Eqs. (1) and (2). Other parameters used in the EPM are also listed, where *a* and *c* are the lattice constants in the hexagonal plane and along the *c* axis, respectively, *u* is the wurtzite internal structural parameter, and E_{max} denotes the radius of the energy sphere used for the reciprocal lattice vectors.

$s_1 = 0.261905$	$a_1 = -0.411320$
$s_2 = 0.232300$	$a_2 = 0.176416$
$s_3 = -2.726784$	$a_3 = 1.441420$
$s_4 = 0.973335$	$a_4 = 0.915637$
$s_5 = 2.414422$	$a_5 = 2.595997$
$a = 3.544 \text{\AA}$	
c = 5.716472 Å	
u = 0.379	
$E_{\rm max} = 12.5 \rm Ry$	

TABLE II. Fitted nonlocal EPM parameters for InN. A values are in Rydbergs and R values are in Angstroms.

$$\begin{array}{l} A_p^{\rm In}{=}5.55440, \ R_p^{\rm In}{=}0.195168\\ A_d^{\rm In}{=}4.51525, \ R_d^{\rm In}{=}0.132069\\ A_p^{\rm N}{=}3.64897, \ R_p^{\rm N}{=}0.089251 \end{array}$$

APPENDIX: EMPIRICAL PSEUDOPOTENTIAL PARAMETERS FOR WURTZITE InN

In the light of recent experimental and first-principles studies on wurtzite InN, we have expressed the *local* empirical pseudopotential method (EPM) form factors using the following functional form,

$$V_s(q) = (s_1q^3 + s_2q^2 + s_3) \exp(-s_4q^{s_5}),$$
 (A.7)

$$V_a(q) = \left(a_1 q^2 + a_2 q + a_3\right) \exp\left(-a_4 q^{a_5}\right), \quad (A.8)$$

where V_s and V_a are the symmetric and antisymmetric form factors in Rydbergs, q is the wave number in units of $2\pi/a$ with a being the lattice constant in the hexagonal plane, and s_i , a_i (i = 1, ..., 5) are the fitting parameters which are listed in Table I.

The *nonlocal* part of the pseudopotential is based on the classical work of Chelikowsky and Cohen,⁵⁸ with the plane-wave matrix elements being given by

$$V_{NL}(\vec{K}, \vec{K'}) = \frac{4\pi}{\Omega_a} \sum_{l,i} A_l^i(E)(2l+1)P_l(\cos(\theta_{KK'})) \\ \times S^i(\vec{K} - \vec{K'})F_l^i(K, K'), \qquad (A.9)$$

where $S^i(q)$ is the structure factor⁵⁸ for the atomic species *i*, and $P_l(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial corresponding to angular-momentum channel *l*, Ω_a is the atomic volume (i.e., primitive cell volume divided by number of atoms), $\vec{K} = \vec{k} + \vec{G}$, $\vec{K}' = \vec{k} + \vec{G}'$, and

$$F_{l}(K,K') = \begin{cases} \frac{R^{3}}{2} \left\{ \left[j_{l}(KR) \right]^{2} - j_{l-1}(KR) j_{l+1}(KR) \right\}, & K = K', \\ \frac{R^{2}}{K^{2} - K'^{2}} \left[K j_{l+1}(KR) j_{l}(K'R) - K' j_{l+1}(K'R) j_{l}(KR) \right], & K \neq K' \end{cases}$$
(A.10)

here, $j_l(x)$ is the spherical Bessel function, R is (angular-momentum-dependent) well width. For InN, we treat the angular-momentum channels p and d for In, and p for N as nonlocal. Table II lists InN nonlocal EPM parameters.

- * bulutay@fen.bilkent.edu.tr
- ¹ J. Wu, J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 011101 (2009).
- ² P. Rinke, M. Winkelnkemper, A. Qteish, D. Bimberg, J. Neugebauer, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B 77, 075202 (2008).
- ³ E. Burstein, Phys. Rev. **93**, 632 (1954).
- ⁴ T. S. Moss, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, Sect. B 67, 775 (1954).
- ⁵ J. Wu, W. Walukiewicz, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager III, E. E. Haller, H. Lu, W. J. Schaff, Y. Saito, and Y. Nanishi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 3967 (2002).
- ⁶ V. Yu. Davydov, A. A. Klochikhin, R. P. Seisyan, V. V. Emtsev, S. V. Ivanov, F. Bechstedt, J. Furthmüller, H. Harima, A. V. Mudryi, J. Aderhold, O. Semchinova, and J. Graul, Phys. Status Solidi B **229**, r1 (2002).
- ⁷ J. Wu, W. Walukiewicz, W. Shan, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager, E. E. Haller, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Phys. Rev. B 66, 201403(R) (2002).
- ⁸ J. Wu, W. Walukiewicz, S. X. Li, R. Armitage, J. C. Ho, E. R. Weber, E. E. Haller, H. Lu, W. J. Schaff, A. Barcz, and R. Jakiela, Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 2805 (2004).
- ⁹ J.-F. Vinchant, J. A. Cavaillès, M. Erman, P. Jarry, and

M. Renaud, J. Lightwave Technol. 10, 63 (1992).

- ¹⁰ D. G. Deppe, N. D. Gerrard, C. J. Pinzone, R. D. Dupuis, and E. F. Schubert, Appl. Phys. Lett. **56**, 315 (1990).
- ¹¹ J.-P. Weber, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **30**, 1801 (1994).
- ¹² P.A. Kirkby, A. R. Goodwin, G. H. B. Thompson, and P. R. Selway, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **13**, 705 (1977).
- ¹³ A. Olsson and C. L. Tang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **39**, 24 (1981).
- ¹⁴ N. K. Dutta, N. A. Olsson, and W. T. Tsang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 45, 836 (1984).
- ¹⁵ B. R. Bennett, R. A. Soref, and J. A. Del Alamo, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 26, 113 (1990).
- ¹⁶ L. Chusseau, P. Martin, C. Brasseur, C. Alibert, P. Hervé, P. Arguel, and F. Lozes-Dupuy, Appl. Phys. Lett. **69**, 3054 (1996).
- ¹⁷ P. P. Paskov, J. Appl. Phys. **81**, 1890 (1997).
- ¹⁸ W. W. Chow, H. Amano, and I. Akasaki, Appl. Phys. Lett. 76, 1647 (2000).
- ¹⁹ U. T. Schwarz, E. Sturm, W. Wegscheider, V. Kümmler, A. Lell, and V. Härle, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 4095 (2003).
- ²⁰ M. Röwe, P. Michler, J. Gutowski, V. Kümmler, A. Lell, and V. Härle, Phys. Status Solidi A **200**, 135 (2003).
- ²¹ C. Bulutay and N. A. Zakhleniuk, Phys. Status Solidi C 5, 511 (2008).
- ²² C. Bulutay, B. K. Ridley, and N. A. Zakhleniuk, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 15754 (2000).
- ²³ C. Bulutay and B. K. Ridley, Superlattices Microstruct. 36, 465 (2004).
- ²⁴ P. Carrier and S.-H. Wei, J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 033707 (2005).
- ²⁵ D. Bagayoko and L. Franklin, J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 123708 (2005).
- ²⁶ J. L. P. Hughes and J. E. Sipe, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 10751 (1996).
- ²⁷ R. M. Martin, *Electronic Structure* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- ²⁸ G. Lehmann and M. Taut, Phys. Status Solidi B **54**, 469 (1972).
- ²⁹ D. J. Moss, J. E. Sipe, and H. M. van Driel, Phys. Rev. B 36, 1153 (1987).
- ³⁰ R. Goldhahn, A. T. Winzer, V. Cimalla, O. Ambacher, C. Cobet, W. Richter, N. Esser, J. Furthmüller, F. Bechstedt, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Superlattices Microstruct. **36**, 591 (2004).
- ³¹ L. X. Benedict, T. Wethkamp, K. Wilmers, C. Cobet, N. Esser, E. L. Shirley, W. Richter, and M. Cardona, Solid State Commun. **112**, 129 (1999).
- ³² J. Furthmüller, P. H. Hahn, F. Fuchs, and F. Bechstedt, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 205106 (2005).
- ³³ H. Jin, G. L. Zhao, and D. Bagayoko, J. Appl. Phys. **101**, 033123 (2007).
- ³⁴ K.-F. Berggren and B. E. Sernelius, Phys. Rev. B 24, 1971 (1981).
- ³⁵ T. Azuhata, T. Sota, K. Suzuki, and S. Nakamura, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7, L129 (1995).
- ³⁶ A. Zubrilov, in *Properties of Advanced Semiconductor Materials GaN, AlN, InN, BN, SiC, SiGe*, edited by M. E. Levinshtein, S. L. Rumyantsev, and M. S. Shur (Wiley, New York, 2001), pp. 49-66.
- ³⁷ C. F. Klingshirn, *Semiconductor Optics*, 3rd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2007).

- ³⁸ M. Yoshikawa, M. Kunzer, J. Wagner, H. Obloh, P. Schlotter, R. Schmidt, N. Herres, and U. Kaufmann, J. Appl. Phys. 86, 4400 (1999).
- ³⁹ H. D. P. Schenk, S. I. Borenstain, A. Berezin, A. Schön, E. Cheifetz, S. Khatsevich, and D. H. Rich, J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 103502 (2008).
- ⁴⁰ C. Persson, B. E. Sernelius, A. Ferreira da Silva, C. Moyseś Araujo, R. Ahuja, and B. Johansson, J. Appl. Phys. **92**, 3207 (2002).
- ⁴¹ V. A. Tyagai, A. M. Evstigneev, A. N. Krasiko, A. F. Andreeva, and V. Ya. Malakhov, Sov. Phys. Semicond. **11**, 1257 (1977).
- ⁴² T. Inushima, T. Yaguchi, A. Nagase, A. Iso, and T. Shiraishi, Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser. **142**, 971 (1996); T. Inushima, T. Shiraishi, and V. Yu. Davydov, Solid State Commun. **110**, 491 (1999).
- ⁴³ D. B. Haddad, J. S. Thakur, V. M. Naik, G. W. Auner, R. Naik, and L. E. Wenger, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **743**, L11.22 (2002).
- ⁴⁴ There exists a somewhat different use of the "photodoping" terminology to describe the dissolution of metals, such as Ag or Cu, into chalcogenide glasses under an illumination. However, this is more appropriately termed as photodissolution.⁵⁹
- ⁴⁵ F. Chen, A. N. Cartwright, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 4984 (2003).
- ⁴⁶ H. Ehrenreich and M. H. Cohen, Phys. Rev. **115**, 786 (1959).
- ⁴⁷ H. Haug and S. W. Koch, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 1887 (1989).
- ⁴⁸ P. Vashishta and R. K. Kalia, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 6492 (1982).
- ⁴⁹ T. Nagai, T. J. Inagaki, and Y. Kanemitsu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 1284 (2004).
- ⁵⁰ P. Schley, R. Goldhahn, A. T. Winzer, G. Gobsch, V. Cimalla, O. Ambacher, H. Lu, W. J. Schaff, M. Kurouchi, Y. Nanishi, M. Rakel, C. Cobet, and N. Esser, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 205204 (2007).
- ⁵¹ J. P. Löwenau, S. Schmitt-Rink, and H. Haug, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1511 (1982); J. P. Löwenau, F. M. Reich, and E. Gornik, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4159 (1995).
- ⁵² W. Z. Shen, L. F. Jiang, H. F. Yang, F. Y. Meng, H. Ogawa, and Q. X. Guo, Appl. Phys. Lett. **80**, 2063 (2002).
- ⁵³ B. Arnaudov, T. Paskova, P. P. Paskov, B. Magnusson, E. Valcheva, B. Monemar, H. Lu, W. J. Schaff, H. Amano, and I. Akasaki, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 115216 (2004).
- ⁵⁴ A. Klochikhin, V. Davydov, V. Emtsev, A. Sakharov, V. Kapitonov, B. Andreev, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Phys. Status Solidi A **203**, 50 (2006).
- ⁵⁵ H. Lu, W. J. Schaff, L. F. Eastman, and C. E. Stutz, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 1736 (2003).
- ⁵⁶ I. Mahboob, T. D. Veal, C. F. McConville, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 036804 (2004).
- ⁵⁷ R. Kudrawiec, T. Suski, J. Serafinćzuk, J. Misiewicz, D. Muto, and Y. Nanishi, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 131917 (2008).
- ⁵⁸ J. R. Chelikowsky and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 14, 556 (1976).
- ⁵⁹ A.V. Kolobov and S.R. Elliott, Adv. Phys. **40**, 625 (1991).