# E lectron transport through a quantum interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots: G reen's function approach

Santanu K .M aiti<sup>1;2;</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical C ondensed M atter P hysics D ivision, Saha Institute of N ucker P hysics, 1/AF, B idhannagar, K olkata-700 064, India <sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of P hysics, N arasinha D utt C olkege, 129 B elilious Road, H ow rah-711 101, India A b stract

We study electron transport through a quantum interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots. The interferom eter, threaded by a magnetic ux , is attached symmetrically to two sem i-in nite one-dimensional metallic electrodes. The calculations are based on the tight-binding model and the G reen's function method, which numerically compute the conductance-energy and current-voltage characteristics. Our results predict that under certain conditions this particular geometry exhibits anti-resonant states. These states are specific to the interferom etric nature of the scattering and do not occur in conventional one-dimensional scattering problems of potential barriers. Most importantly we show that, such a simple geometric model can also be used as a classical XOR gate, where the two inputs of the XOR gate. For = 0=2 ( $_0 = ch=e$ , the elementary ux-quantum), a high output current (1) (in the logical sense) appears if one, and only one, of the inputs to the gate is high (1), while if both inputs are low (0) or both are high (1), a low output current (0) appears. It clearly demonstrates the XOR gate behavior and this aspect may be utilized in designing the electronic logic gate.

PACS No.: 73.23.-b; 73.63.Rt.

Keywords: Quantum interferom eter; Conductance; I-V characteristic; XOR gate; Anti-resonant state.

Corresponding Author: Santanu K.Maiti

E lectronic m ail: santanu m aiti@ saha.ac.in

#### Introduction 1

Electronic transport in quantum con ned systems like quantum rings, quantum dots, quantum wires, etc., has become a very active eld both in the theoretical and experim ental research. The present progress in nanoscience and technology has enabled us to use such quantum con ned geom etric models in electronic as well as spintronic engineering since these simple looking system s are the basic building blocks of designing nano devices. The key idea of manufacturing nano devices is based on the concept of quantum interference e ect which is generally preserved throughout the sample of much smaller sizes, while, it disappears for larger system s. A mesoscopic norm alm etalring is one such prom ising example where electronic motion is conned, and with the help of such a ring we can construct a quantum interferom eter. In this article we will explore the electron transport properties through a quantum interferom eter with sidecoupled quantum dots, and show how such a simple geom etric m odel can be used to design an XOR logic gate. To reveal this phenom enon we make a bridge system where the interferom eter is attached sym m etrically to two external electrodes, the so-called electrode-interferom eter-electrode bridge. The theoretical progress of electron transport in a bridge system has been started after the pioneering work of A viram and Ratner [1]. Later, many excellent experiments [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] have been done in severalbridge system s to justify the actualm echanism s underlying the electron transport. Though in literature m any theoretical [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] as well as experim ental papers [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] on electron transport are available, yet lot of discrepancies are still present between the theory and experiment. The electronic transport through the interferom eter signi cantly depends on the interferom eter-toelectrodes interface structure. By changing the geometry one can tune the transmission probability of an electron across the interferom eter. This is solely due to the quantum interference e ect am ong the electronic waves traversing through different arm softhe interferom eter. Furtherm ore, the electron transport through the interferom eter can be modulated in other way by tuning the magnetic ux, the so-called A haronov-Bohm (AB) ux, that threads the interferom eter. The AB ux can Let us start by referring to Fig. 1. A quantum change the phases of the wave functions propa- interferom eter, threaded by a magnetic ux , is gating along the di erent arms of the interferom - attached symmetrically to two sem i-in nite one-

ferences, and accordingly the transmission amplitude changes [28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. Beside these factors, interferom eter-to-dots coupling is another im portant issue that controls the electron transport in a meaningful way. All these are the key factors which regulate the electron transmission in the electrode-interferom eter-electrode bridge and these e ects have to be taken into account properly to reveal the transport mechanisms.

The purposes of this paper are twofold. In the rst part we explore the appearance of unconventional anti-resonant states for our model. These states are specic to the interferom etric nature of the scattering and do not appear in ordinary scattering problem s. W hile, the second part addresses the XOR gate response in this simple geometry. In our model, the interferom eter, threaded by a magnetic ux , is directly coupled to two quantum dots, and two gate voltages V<sub>a</sub> and V<sub>b</sub>, are applied, respectively (see Fig. 1) in these dots. These gate voltages are treated as the two inputs of the XOR gate. Here we adopt a simple tight-binding model to describe the system and all the calculations are performed numerically. We narrate the XOR gate behavior by studying the conductance-energy and current-voltage characteristics as functions of the interferom eter-to-dots coupling strengths, m agnetic ux and gate voltages. Our study reveals that for a particular value of the magnetic  $ux_{1} = 0=2$ , a high output current (1) (in the logical sense) is available if one, and only one, of the inputs to the gate is high (1), while if both the inputs are low (0) or both are high (1), a low output current (0) appears. This phenom enon clearly dem onstrates the XOR gate behavior which may be utilized in manufacturing the electronic logic gate. To the best of our know ledge the XOR gate response in such a simple system has not been described earlier in the literature.

The scheme of the paper is as follow. Following the introduction (Section 1), in Section 2, we present the model and the theoretical form ulations for our calculations. Section 3 discusses the signi cant results, and nally, we conclude in Section 4.

#### 2 M odel and the synopsis of the theoretical background

eter leading to constructive or destructive inter- dimensional (1D) metallic electrodes, viz, source

and drain. Two quantum dots a and b (designed by lled blue circles) are directly coupled to the atom ic sites 2 and 3 of the interferom eter, respectively. These two quantum dots are subjected to the gate voltages  $V_a$  and  $V_b$ , respectively, those are regarded as the two inputs of the XOR gate. The gate voltages in the dots are given via the gate electrodes, viz, gate-a and gate-b. These gate electrodes are ideally isolated from the dots and can be regarded as two parallel plates of a capacitor. The actual scheme of connections with the batteries for the operation of the XOR gate is clearly presented in the gure (Fig. 1), where the source and the gate voltages are applied with respect to the drain.

To calculate the conductance of the interferom – eter with side-coupled quantum dots, we use the Landauer conductance form ula [33, 34]. At very low



Figure 1: (C olor online). The scheme of connections with the batteries for the operation of the XOR gate. A quantum interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots (lled blue circles), threaded by a magnetic ux , is attached symmetrically to two sem i-in nite 1D metallic electrodes. The gate voltages  $V_a$  and  $V_b$ , those are variable, are applied in the dots a and b, respectively. The source and the gate voltages are applied with respect to the drain. Filled red circles correspond to the position of the atom ic sites in the interferom eter.

tem perature and bias voltage, the conductance g can be expressed in terms of the transmission probability T of an electron through the interferom eter as,

$$g = \frac{2e^2}{h}T$$
 (1)

This transm ission probability can be represented in terms of the Green's function of the interferom eter including the dots and its coupling to the two electrodes by the relation [33, 34],

$$T = Tr[_{S}G_{I}^{r} _{D}G_{I}^{a}]$$
(2)

where  $G_{I}^{r}$  and  $G_{I}^{a}$  are respectively the retarded and advanced G reen's functions of the interferom eter with the side-attached quantum dots including the e ects of the two electrodes. The factors  $_{S}$  and  $_{D}$  describe the coupling of the interferom eter to the source and drain, respectively. For the com – plete system i.e., the interferom eter with the coupled quantum dots, source and drain, the G reen's function is de ned as,

$$G = (E H)^{1}$$
(3)

where E = E + i. E is the injecting energy of the source electron and gives an in nitesimal in aginary part to E. To Evaluate this G meen's function, the inversion of an in nite matrix is needed since the full system consists of the interferom eter with four atom ic sites and two coupled quantum dots, and the two sem i-in nite 1D electrodes. However, the entire system can be partitioned into sub-matrices corresponding to the individual subsystems and the G meen's function for the interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots can be effectively written as,

$$G_{I} = (E H_{I} S_{D})^{1}$$
(4)

where H  $_{\rm I}$  corresponds to the H am iltonian of the interferom eter with the two dots. W ithin the non-interacting picture it can be expressed in the form,

$$H_{I} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ i + V_{a \ ia} + V_{b \ ib} \end{pmatrix} c_{i}^{y} c_{i} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} X^{i} \\ t \\ c_{ij}^{y} \\ c_{ij}^{y} \end{pmatrix} + t_{a} c_{a}^{y} c_{2} + c_{2}^{y} c_{a} + t_{b} c_{j}^{y} c_{3} + c_{3}^{y} c_{b}$$
(5)

In this Ham iltonian i's are the site energies for all the sites i except the sites i = a and b where the gate voltages V<sub>a</sub> and V<sub>b</sub> are applied, those are variable. These gate voltages can be incorporated through the site energies as expressed in the above Ham iltonian. c<sub>i</sub><sup>V</sup> (c<sub>i</sub>) is the creation (annihilation) operator of an electron at the site i and t is the hopping integral between the nearest-neighbor sites of the interferom eter. = =2 0 is the phase factor

The factors  $t_a$  and  $t_b$  correspond to the coupling strengths of the quantum dots a and b to the atom ic sites 2 and 3 of the interferom eter, respectively. For the two sem i-in nite 1D perfect electrodes, a sim i-lar kind of tight-binding H am iltonian is also used, except the phase factor , where the H am iltonian is param etrized by constant on-site potential <sup>0</sup> and nearest-neighbor hopping integral  $t^0$ . The hopping integral between the source and the interferom eter and the drain. The param eters  $_S$  and  $_D$  in Eq. (4) represent the self-energies due to the coupling of the interferom eter to the source and drain, respectively, where all the inform ation of the coupling are included into these two self-energies [33].

The current (I) passing through the interferom eter is depicted as a single-electron scattering process between the two reservoirs of charge carriers. The current can be evaluated as a function of the applied bias voltage by the relation [33],

$$I(V) = \frac{e}{h} \sum_{E_F} \vec{Z}^{eV=2} T(E) dE$$
(6)

where  $E_F$  is the equilibrium Ferm i energy. Here we make a realistic assumption that the entire voltage is dropped across the interferom eter-electrode interfaces, and it is examined that under such an assumption the I-V characteristics do not change their qualitative features.

In this presentation, all the results are computed at absolute zero temperature. These results are also valid even for some nite (low) temperatures, since the broadening of the energy levels of the interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots due to its coupling with the electrodes becomes much larger than that of the therm all broadening [33]. On the other hand, at high temperature limit, all these phenom ena completely disappear. This is due to the fact that the phase coherence length decreases signi cantly with the rise of temperature where the contribution comes mainly from the scattering on phonons, and accordingly, the quantum interference e ect vanishes. Throughout the calculations we set  $E_F = 0$ , and choose the unit c = e = h = 1.

### 3 Results and discussion

We describe our results in two parts. In the st part, we narrate the existence of the anti-resonant states in this particular geom etry those are specic to the interferom etric nature of the scattering and

do not occur in traditional one-dimensional scattering problems of potential barriers. On the other hand, in the second part, we demonstrate how this simple geometric model can be used as an XOR gate. The key controlling parameter for the whole operation of the XOR gate is the magnetic ux threaded by the interferom eter.

As illustrative examples, in Fig. 2 we present the variation of the conductance g (red curves) and the density of states (black curves) as a function of the energy E for the interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots, considering the di er-



Figure 2: (Color online). g-E (red color) and -E (black color) curves for the interferom eterw ith sidecoupled quantum dots. (a)  $t_a = t_b = 0$ , (b)  $t_a = t_b = 1$ , (c)  $t_a = t_b = 2$  and (d)  $t_a = t_b = 3$ . Other parameters are, t = 3,  $_S = _D = 2:5$ , = 0, and the on-site potential and the hopping integral in the electrodes are set as  $^0 = 0$  and  $t^0 = 4$ , respectively. Here we set  $_1 = _2 = _3 = _4 = 0$  and  $V_a = V_b = 0$ .

ent values of the side-coupling strengths  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ , respectively. Here we set the site energies of all the atom ic sites of the interferom eter including the two dots as zero. Our results predict that, for some particular energies the conductances show resonance peaks (red curves), associated with the density of states (black curves). These energies are the so-called resonant energies, and the associated states are de ned as the resonant states. The g-E spectra predict that, though the resonance peaks are available for some particular energies, but the elec-

tron conduction from the source to drain through and the state is the so-called anti-resonant state. the interferom eter is possible almost for all other energies. This is due to the overlap of the two neighboring resonance peaks, where the contribution for the spreading of the resonance peaks com es from the imaginary parts of the self-energies s and  $_{\rm D}$  , respectively [33]. At the resonances, the conductance g approaches the value 2, and therefore, for these energies the transmission probability T goes to unity, since the relation g = 2T is satis-



Figure 3: (Color online). g-E curves for the interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots. The red, green, blue and black curves correspond to the results for the cases when the site energies of all the sites of the interferom eter including the two dots are identically set to 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. O ther parameters are, t = 3,  $t_a = t_b = 3$ ,  $s = b_b = 2$ :5,  $= 0, 0 = 0 \text{ and } t^0 = 4.$ 

ed from the Landauer conductance formula (see Eq. (1) with e = h = 1). Now we interpret the dependences of the interferom eter-to-dot coupling strengths on the electron transport. In Fig. 2(a), when there is no coupling between the interferom eter and the two dots, the conductance shows nonzero value for the entire energy range. The situation becomes much more interesting as long as the coupling of the two dots with the interferom eter is introduced. To illustrate it, in Figs. 2(b)-(d) we plot the results for the three di erent choices of the coupling strengths ta and tb, respectively. The introduction of the side-coupling provides the antiresonant state. For all these three di erent choices of t<sub>a</sub> and t<sub>b</sub>, the conductance spectra (Figs. 2(b)-(d)) show that the conductance drops exactly to zero at the energy E = 0. At this particular energy, the density of states has a sharp peak, and it is exam ined that the height of this particular peak increases very rapidly as we decrease the imaginary

W ith the increase of the side-coupling strength, the width of the resonance peaks gradually decreases, as clearly observed from these gures. Both these resonant and anti-resonant states are associated with the energy eigenvalues of the interferom eter including the two side-coupled dots, and thus, we can say that the conductance spectrum reveals itself the electronic structure of the interferom eter including the two dots.

To exam ine the dependence of the anti-resonant state on the site energies of the interferom eter and the two side-attached quantum dots, in Fig. 3 we plot the g-E characteristics for the four di erent cases of these site energies. The red, green, blue and black curves correspond to the results when the energies of the six atom ic sites (four sites of the



Figure 4: (Color online). g-E curves for the interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots. (a)  $V_{a} = V_{b} = 0$ , (b)  $V_{a} = 2$  and  $V_{b} = 0$ , (c)  $V_{a} = 0$ and  $V_b = 2$  and (d)  $V_a = V_b = 2.0$  ther parameters are, 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 0, t = 3,  $t_a = t_b = 3$ , s = p = 2:5, = 0:5,  $^{0} = 0$  and  $t^{0} = 4$ .

interferom eter and the two sites of the dots) are identically set to 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Quite interestingly we see that the anti-resonant state situates at these respective energies. Our critical investigation also shows that no anti-resonant state will appear if the site energy of anyone of these six part to the energy E. It reveals that the electron sites is dierent from the other sites. The similar conduction through this state is no longer possible, behavior will be also observed for the case if anyone of the three hopping strengths t,  $t_a$  and  $t_b$  is the conductance becomes exactly zero for the entire dierent from the other two. Thus it can be em phasized that the anti-resonant state will appear only when the site energies of all the six sites are identical to each other as well as the strengths of the three di erent hopping parameters (t, t, and t<sub>b</sub>) are same. In this context it is also important to note that, though all the results presented above are done only for the ux = 0, but the positions of these anti-resonant states will not change at all in the presence of and no new signi cant feature will be observed for the description of the anti-resonant states.

Next we concentrate our study on the XOR gate response exhibited by this geometric model. For the operation of the XOR gate, we set the magat  $_0=2$  i.e., 0:5 in our chosen unit netic ux



Figure 5: (Color online). I-V curves for the interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots. (a)  $V_{a} = V_{b} = 0$ , (b)  $V_{a} = 2$  and  $V_{b} = 0$ , (c)  $V_{a} = 0$ and  $V_b = 2$  and (d)  $V_a = V_b = 2.0$  ther parameters are, 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 0, t = 3,  $t_a = t_b = 3$ , s = p = 2.5, s = 0.5, 0 = 0 and  $t^0 = 4$ .

c = e = h = 1. As representative examples, in Fig. 4 we show the variation of the conductance the interferom eter is broken by applying the gate g as a function of the injecting electron energy E, voltage either in the dot a or in b, and therefore, where (a), (b), (c) and (d) represent the results for the non-zero value of the transm ission probability the di erent cases of the gate voltages  $V_a$  and  $V_b$  is achieved which reveals the electron conduction applied in the two side-attached quantum dots, re- across the interferom eter. Thus we can predict that spectively. W hen both the two inputs  $V_a$  and  $V_b$  are the electron conduction takes place across the inter-

energy range (see Fig. 4 (a)). This reveals that the electron conduction through the interferom eter is not possible for this particular case. Sim ilar behavior is also observed for the typical case when both the two inputs are high i.e.,  $V_a = V_b = 2$ . In this situation also the electron conduction from the source to drain through the interferom eter is not possible for the whole energy range (see Fig. 4 (d)). On the other hand, for the rest two cases i.e., when any one of the two inputs is high and other one is low i.e., either  $V_a = 2$  and  $V_b = 0$  (Fig. 4(b)) or  $V_a = 0$ and  $V_{\rm b} = 2$  (Fig. 4 (c)), the conductance exhibits resonances for som e particular energies. Thus for both these two cases the electron conduction takes place across the interferom eter. Now we justify the dependences of the gate voltages on the electron transport for these four di erent cases. The probability amplitude of getting an electron across the interferom eter depends on the quantum interference of the electronic waves passing through the upper and lower arm s of the interferom eter. For the sym m etrically connected interferom eter i.e., when the two arms of the interferom eter are identical with each other, the probability am plitude is exactly zero (T = 0) for the ux =  $_0=2$ . This is due to the result of the quantum interference among the two

Table 1: XOR gate response of the quantum interferom eter with side-coupled dots. The current I is com puted at the bias voltage 6:02.

Input-I (V <sub>a</sub> )	Input–II (V <sub>b</sub> )	Current (I)
0	0	0
2	0	4:568
0	2	4:568
2	2	0

waves in the two arms of the interferom eter, which can be obtained through the few sim ple m athem atical steps. Thus for the cases when both the two inputs (Va and Vb) are either low or high, the transm ission probability drops to zero. W hile, for the two other cases, the symmetry of the two arms of identical to zero, i.e., both the two inputs are low, ferom eter if one, and only one, of the two inputs to

the gate is high, while if both the inputs are low the essential features of the electron transport in or both are high the conduction is no longer possible. This feature clearly demonstrates the XOR gate behavior. the rst part, we have addressed the existence of the anti-resonant states, those are not available in the traditional scattering problem s of

The XOR gate response can be much more clearly noticed by studying the I-V characteristics. The current I passing through the interferom eter is com puted from the integration procedure of the transmission function T as prescribed in Eq. (6). The transm ission function varies exactly sim ilar to that of the conductance spectrum, dier only in magnitude by the factor 2 since the relation q = 2T holds from the Landauer conductance formula Eq. (1). As representative examples, in Fig. 5 we display the variation of the current I as a function of the applied bias voltage V for the four di erent cases of the two gate voltages  $V_a$  and  $V_b$ . In the particular cases when both the two inputs are identical to each other, either low (Fig. 5(a)) or high (Fig. 5(d)), the current is zero for the complete range of the bias voltage V. This behavior is clearly understood from the conductance spectra, Figs. 4(a) and (d), since the current is computed from the integration method of the transmission function T. For the other two cases when only one of the two inputs is high and other is low, a high output current is obtained which are clearly described in Figs. 5 (b) and (c). From these I-V curves the behavior of the XOR gate response is nicely observed. To make it much clear, in Table 1, we present a quantitative estim ate of the typical current am plitude, com puted at the bias voltage V = 6:02. It shows that, I = 4:568only when any one of the two inputs is high and other is low, while for the other cases when either  $V_a = V_b = 0$  or  $V_a = V_b = 2$ , the current achieves the value 0.

## 4 Concluding rem arks

To summarize, we have studied electron transport in a quantum interferom eter with side-coupled quantum dots. The interferom eter, threaded by a magnetic ux , is attached symmetrically to two sem i-in nite 1D metallic electrodes and two gate voltages, viz,  $V_a$  and  $V_b$ , are applied, respectively, in the two dots those are treated as the two inputs of the XOR gate. The system is described by the tight-binding H am iltonian and all the calculations are done in the Green's function formalism. We have num erically com puted the conductance-energy and current-voltage characteristics as functions of the interferom eter-to-dots coupling strengths, magnetic ux and gate voltages. We have described

the essential features of the electron transport in two parts. In the rst part, we have addressed the existence of the anti-resonant states, those are not available in the traditional scattering problems of potential barriers. On the other hand, in the second part, we have explored the XOR gate response for this particular model. Very interestingly we have noticed that, for the half ux-quantum value of  $( = _0=2)$ , a high output current (1) (in the logical sense) appears if one, and only one, of the inputs to the gate is high (1). On the other hand, if both the two inputs are low (0) or both are high (1), a low output current (0) appears. It clearly demonstrates the XOR gate behavior, and, this aspect m ay be utilized in designing a tailor made electronic logic gate.

The importance of this article is concerned with (i) the simplicity of the geometry and (ii) the smallness of the size. To the best of our know L edge the XOR gate response in such a simple low dimensional system has not been addressed earlier in the literature.

#### References

- A.Aviram and M.Ratner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 29, 277 (1974).
- [2] A.W. Holleitner, R.H.Blick, A.K.Huttel, K.Eberl, and J.P.Kotthaus, Science 297, 70 (2002).
- [3] K. Kobayashi, H. Aikawa, S. Katsum oto, and
  Y. Iye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256806 (2002).
- [4] Y. Ji, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev.Lett. 88,076601 (2002).
- [5] A. Yacoby, M. Heiblum, D. Mahalu, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4047 (1995).
- [6] J. Chen, M. A. Reed, A. M. Raw lett, and J. M. Tour, Science 286, 1550 (1999).
- [7] M.A.Reed, C.Zhou, C.J.Muller, T.P.Burgin, and J.M. Tour, Science 278, 252 (1997).
- [8] P. A. O rellana, M. L. Ladron de Guevara, M. Pacheco, and A. Latge, Phys. Rev. B 68, 195321 (2003).
- [9] P. A. O rellana, F. D om inguez-A dam e, I. G om ez, and M. L. Ladron de Guevara, Phys. Rev. B 67, 085321 (2003).

- (2001).
- [11] A.N itzan and M.A.Ratner, Science 300, 1384 (2003).
- [12] Z.Bai, M. Yang, and Y. Chen, J. Phys.: Condens.M atter 16, 2053 (2004).
- [13] V. Mujica, M. Kemp, and M. A. Ratner, J. [34] M. B. Nardelli, Phys. Rev. B 60, 7828 (1999). Chem . Phys. 101, 6849 (1994).
- [14] V.Mujica, M.Kemp, A.E.Roitberg, and M. A.Ratner, J.Chem.Phys. 104, 7296 (1996).
- [15] D. Zhang, J. Ma, H. Li, S. Fu, and X. W ang, Phys.Lett.A 372, 3085 (2008).
- [16] K. W alczak, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 241, 2555 (2004).
- [17] K.W alczak, arX iv:0309666.
- [18] W .Y.Cui, S.Z.Wu, G.Jin, X.Zhao, and Y. Q.Ma, Eur. Phys. J.B. 59, 47 (2007).
- [19] D.I.Golosov and Y.Gefen, Phys.Rev.B 74, 205316 (2006).
- [20] T. Kubo, Y. Tokura, T. Hatano, and S. Tarucha, Phys. Rev. B 74, 205310 (2006).
- [21] T.Nakanishi, K. Terakura, and T. Ando, Phys. Rev.B 69,115307 (2004).
- [22] O.Entin-Wohlman, Y.Imry, and A.Aharony, Phys.Rev.B 70,075301 (2004).
- [23] K. Kobayashi, H. Aikawa, S. Katsum oto, and Y. Iye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256806 (2002).
- [24] B. Kubala and J. Konig, Phys. Rev. B 65, 245301 (2002).
- [25] S.K.Maiti, Solid State Phenomena 155, 71 (2009).
- [26] S.K.Maiti, Solid State Commun. 149, 1684 (2009).
- [27] S.K.Maiti, Solid State Commun. 149, 1623 (2009).
- [28] R.Baer and D.Neuhauser, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 124,4200 (2002).
- [29] D.Walter, D.Neuhauser, and R.Baer, Chem. Phys.299,139 (2004).
- [30] K. Tagami, L. W ang, and M. Tsukada, Nano Lett. 4, 209 (2004).

- [10] A.Nitzan, Annu.Rev.Phys.Chem.52, 681 [31] K.Walczak, Cent.Eur.J.Chem.2, 524 (2004).
  - [32] R.Baer and D.Neuhauser, Chem. Phys. 281, 353 (2002).
  - [33] S. Datta, Electronic transport in mesoscopic system s, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).