

Spin Resonance and dc Current Generation in a Quantum Wire

V. L. Pokrovsky

Department of Physics, TexasA&M University and Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics

W. M. Saslow

Department of Physics, TexasA&M University

We show that in a quantum wire the spin-orbit interaction leads to a narrow spin resonance at low temperatures, even in the absence of an external magnetic field. Resonance absorption by linearly polarized radiation gives a dc spin current; resonance absorption by circularly polarized radiation gives a dc electric current or magnetization.

PACS numbers: 73.21.Hb, 76.20.+q, 73.63.Mn, 71.70.Ej

Introduction. ESR in 3d metals subject to an external magnetic field is associated with spin-flip processes of electrons with momenta between the up-spin and down-spin Fermi-spheres. The spin-flip energy (Zeeman energy) is the same for any electron because the ac-field is almost uniform. For a sufficiently strong spin-orbit (SO) interaction this ESR resonance is smeared out, since spin then couples to the lattice and the Zeeman energy is no longer uniquely determined. Shechter *et al*¹ noted that in a thin film the SO interaction in the Rashba form² leads to what they called a *chiral resonance*. There the stationary states are characterized by chirality, i.e. the direction of spin parallel or antiparallel to the vector $\hat{z} \times \mathbf{p}$, where \hat{z} is the normal to the film and \mathbf{p} is the momentum. Spin-flip from one chirality to another requires energy $E_{sf} = 2\alpha p$, where α is the Rashba SO constant. Since in the ground state this process is possible only for electrons whose momenta are located between two Fermi-circles corresponding to different chiralities, the spin-flip energy is distributed in a narrow band centered at $E_{sf} = 2\alpha p_F$ with bandwidth $\Delta = 4m\alpha^2$. Unfortunately, the Dresselhaus SO interaction³ strongly broadens the resonance. The Dresselhaus interaction in 2d can be written as $H_D = \beta(p_x\sigma_x - p_y\sigma_y)$, whereas the Rashba interaction is $H_R = \alpha(p_x\sigma_y - p_y\sigma_x)$. Together they give a spin-dependent contribution to the Hamiltonian with effective magnetic field whose magnitude depends not only on the momentum magnitude p but also on its azimuthal angle φ , with spin-flip energy $E_{sf} = 2p\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} + 2\alpha\beta\sin 2\varphi$. This leads to a bandwidth $\Delta = 2p_F \min(\alpha, \beta)$ of the same order of magnitude as the spin-flip energy. In Ref. 1 the authors proposed to avoid this broadening by choosing a specific growth direction or by decreasing the electron density. Both proposals require a sophisticated experimental technique.

This work builds on the fact that anisotropic broadening vanishes for a quantum wire where, as we will show, the direction of the effective magnetic field in the wire is the same for all momenta. In a quantum wire with only one or a few channels new effects that are absent in 2 and 3 dimensions are predicted: (1) a circularly polarized magnetic field produces a finite magnetization and a (weak) spin-polarized electric current;

(2) a linearly polarized ac magnetic field produces either a constant *spin current* or separation of opposite magnetic moments in the wire. Independent of polarization of the ac field, a *resonant heating* (RH) takes place. The (resonant) spin-polarized electric current is reminiscent of the (non-resonant) photo-galvanic effect;^{4,5} both appear space inversion symmetry is violated normal to the wire. The resonance frequency and the magnitude of the effect can be controlled easily with the external magnetic field and gate voltage V_g . These two effects are experimentally observable. The wire can be formed from a semiconducting film or heterojunction by a proper configuration of the gate electrodes⁶ or by the growth process.⁷ In the latter case the wire must be deposited on a substrate that violates reflection symmetry. The 1d geometry, together with quantization of the transverse motion, strongly suppresses the most effective Dyakonov-Perel mechanism of spin relaxation,⁸ thus stabilizing the resulting non-equilibrium state.

Electronic spectrum and eigenstates. Consider the type III-V semiconductors GaAs and InGaAs, and only their electron bands, to avoid complications associated with degeneracy of the hole band. The electron density is assumed to be sufficiently large and the temperature sufficiently low to ensure a degenerate Fermi gas. We neglect the electron-electron interaction and assume that the wire is narrow enough to exclude multiple channels. Interactions, i.e. Luttinger liquid effects in a 1d electron system with a strong Coulomb interaction,⁹ will be neglected. Let the x -axis be directed along the wire. With $\langle p_y \rangle = 0$ due to quantization, the total Hamiltonian, including both Rashba and Dresselhaus interactions, reads:

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + (\alpha\sigma_x + \beta\sigma_y)p, \quad (1)$$

where m is the effective mass, $p = p_x$ is the only non-trivial momentum component, and the $\sigma_{x,y}$ are the usual Pauli spin matrices. The eigenvalues of Eq. (1) are:

$$E(p, \sigma) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \sigma\gamma p, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ are the eigenvalues of the operator $\sigma_{\hat{x}} =$

$\frac{\alpha\sigma_x + \beta\sigma_y}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}$. The spin quantization axis \tilde{x} is tilted at an angle $\phi = \arctan \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ to the wire.¹⁰ The eigen-spinors corresponding to the state (p, σ) are:

$$\chi_{p\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma e^{-i\phi/2} \\ e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

In the ground state particles with chirality σ fill a momentum interval from $p_{\sigma-}$ to $p_{\sigma+}$. Here

$$p_{\sigma\tau} = \tau p_F - \sigma m\gamma, \quad p_F = mv_F = \frac{\pi\hbar n_1}{2}, \quad (4)$$

where $\tau = \pm 1$ distinguishes right (R) and left (L) movers, and n_1 is the 1d electron density. All states in the interval (p_{--}, p_{++}) are occupied twice; the states in the intervals (p_{++}, p_{-+}) and (p_{+-}, p_{--}) are singly occupied (Fig. 1). A net spin-flip is possible only in the singly occupied intervals, and requires energy $E_{sf} = 2\gamma|p|$. The “length” of each singly-occupied interval is $2m\gamma$. Assuming that $m\gamma \ll \hbar n_1$, we find that the spin-flip energies are confined to a narrow band of width $\Delta = 4m\gamma^2$ centered at $E_{sf}^{(0)} = 2\gamma p_F$. Spin-flip processes can be excited by an external field with resonance frequency and width

$$\omega_r = 2\gamma p_F/\hbar = \pi\gamma n_1, \quad \Delta\omega_r = 4m\gamma^2/\hbar. \quad (5)$$

The temperature T must satisfy $T < \hbar\omega_r/k_B$ to avoid thermal smearing.




FIG. 1: Energy *vs* momentum in one dimension, for spin up ($\sigma = 1$) and spin down ($\sigma = -1$) bands. Small arrows indicate spin direction. Thick horizontal bars indicate states in the lower band that can be excited to the upper band. Two allowed transitions are indicated by long vertical arrows.

Linearly polarized ac field. Let an ac magnetic field $B(t) = B_0(t)e^{-i\omega_0 t} + B_0^*(t)e^{i\omega_0 t}$ be linearly polarized along z , with spectral intensity $I(\omega)$ distributed in a narrow frequency interval $\Delta\omega$ near the central frequency ω_0 . The envelope amplitude $B_0(t)$ describes a stationary random process with correlation time $\tau_B = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta\omega}$. Averaged over a time interval t' satisfying $2\pi/\omega_r \ll t' \ll \tau_B$,

the two time correlation function satisfies $\overline{B_0^*(t)B_0(t')} = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_\omega e^{i\omega(t-t')} d\omega$. As interaction Hamiltonian we take $H_B = -g\mu_B B(t)\sigma_z$. With $(\chi_{p+}, \sigma_z \chi_{p-}) = 1$ for the two states $\chi_{p\sigma}$ given by Eq. (3), lowest order time-dependent perturbation theory gives the spin-flip transition rate for an electron with momentum p as

$$w = \frac{g^2 \mu_B^2}{\hbar^2} I_{(2\gamma|p|/\hbar) - \omega_0}. \quad (6)$$

In order of magnitude $I_\omega \approx \pi \overline{B^2}/(\Delta\omega)$, so

$$w \approx \frac{\pi g^2 \mu_B^2 \overline{B^2}}{\hbar^2 \Delta\omega}. \quad (7)$$

Spin-flip pumping by a linearly polarized ac magnetic field creates non-equilibrium right-moving excitations with spin up and left-moving excitations with spin down. Simultaneously it creates holes in the Fermi-sea with the same momenta as the excitations. Their 1d densities n_{ex} are determined by steady-state balance of the spin pumping rate w of (7) *vs* the spin relaxation time τ_{sf} . With $n_1\gamma/v_F$ the saturation density of right-moving up spins from the down-only momentum states, we have

$$n_{ex} \approx \frac{n_1\gamma}{v_F} \min(w\tau_{sf}, 1). \quad (8)$$

The equal number of left-moving down spins cause the electric current and magnetization to be zero, but the spin current is non-zero.

In the absence of back-scattering the spin current is $I_s = 2n_{ex}v_F$, in units of $g\mu_B$. However, back-scattering without spin flip reverses the velocity and tends to destroy the spin current. Let τ_b be the back-scattering time without spin-flip, determined by collisions with non-magnetic impurities. Since $\tau_b \ll (\tau_{sf}, w^{-1})$, when n_{ex} is saturated I_s is suppressed by the factor $w\tau_b$, and when n_{ex} is not saturated I_s is suppressed by the factor τ_b/τ_{sf} . These two cases can be written as a single equation:

$$I_s = 2n_{ex}v_F \frac{w\tau_b}{\min(w\tau_{sf}, 1)} = 2n_1\gamma w\tau_b. \quad (9)$$

In the ballistic regime τ_b must be replaced by the time of flight. If the spin current does not completely penetrate into the connecting leads, then the accumulation of positive spins at the right end, and of negative spins at the left end of the wire, can be observed using the magnetic Kerr effect, as was done for the spin-Hall effect.¹¹ For a wire of length L and magnetization $\pm M$ at the ends we take $I_s \sim DM/L$, with spin diffusion constant $D \sim v_F^2\tau_b$. Use of 9 then yields a magnetization per particle, in units of $g\mu_B$, of $\pm \frac{\gamma w L}{v_F^2}$. It is rather small.

Circularly polarized ac field. If the ac magnetic field is circularly polarized, it produces spin-flips only for spins of one direction; for example, spin down. In this case an excess of right-moving spin up electrons appears. Therefore, the circularly polarized magnetic field produces a finite magnetic moment per unit length

$$M_1 = 2g\mu_B n_{ex} = 2g\mu_B n_1 \frac{\gamma}{v_F} \min(w\tau_{sf}, 1) \quad (10)$$

The magnetization is stable with respect to back scattering since these processes rarely reverse spins, but to observe it the experiment must ensure that spins do not flow through the ends of the wire. The same ac field generates both dc spin and electric currents. Since now there is only one circular component of ac magnetic field, the spin current is half that given by Eq. (9).

Now consider the electric current. In equilibrium the current from particle (p, \uparrow) cancels the current from particle $(-p, \uparrow)$, since they have opposite velocities (see Fig. 1). When particle (p, \uparrow) flips its spin, it simultaneously changes its velocity by 2γ . Therefore, the total electric current is no longer zero. A balance argument yields:

$$I_e = 2en_{ex}\gamma \frac{w\tau_b}{\min(w\tau_{sf}, 1)} = 2en_1 \frac{\gamma^2}{v_F} w\tau_b. \quad (11)$$

For an isolated wire, with zero net current flow, a voltage bias $V = -I_e R$ develops across the wire.

Resonant heating (RH). For each spin-flip an electron absorbs energy $2\gamma p_F$, so the power absorbed is $W = 2\gamma p_F w$ per excited particle. The average energy absorbed by a particle during the spin-relaxation time is about $E_{abs} = 2\gamma p_F \min(w\tau_{sf}, 1)$, so the energy absorbed per unit length equals $Q = E_{abs} n_1 [\min(\hbar\Delta\omega, 2\gamma p_F) / v_F p_F]$. (The bracketed factor is the fraction of electrons that absorb the energy.) The absorbed energy turns into heat since usually spin relaxation proceeds more slowly than energy relaxation. We call this resonance heating (RH). The temperature change is $\Delta T = Q/C$, where $C \approx n_1 T / \varepsilon_F$ is the specific heat per unit length of the 1d degenerate Fermi gas. This relation and (7) yield

$$\Delta T \approx \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_F}{T} \min(w\tau_{sf}, 1). \quad (12)$$

The RH can be determined from the temperature-dependence of the resistance.

Numerical estimates. All numerical estimates are made for $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$; we take $m = 4.3 \times 10^{-29}$ g; $\alpha = 1.08 \times 10^6$ cm/s, and $g = -0.5$.^{12,13} For a typical value of $\hbar\Delta\omega_r$, (7) yields, for B in G, $w = 0.42 \times 10^4 B^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The value of B^2 accessible at terahertz frequencies is $\sim 30 \text{ G}^2$ and may be increased.¹⁴ Thus, it is feasible to reach $w \sim (4 \times 10^3 - 4 \times 10^5) \text{ s}^{-1}$. Below we show that the spin flip time τ_{sf} satisfies $w\tau_{sf} \gtrsim 1$, so the probability of spin excitation for electrons involved in the resonance is of the order of 1. A typical 2d electron density is $n_2 \approx 2 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^2$. We take a wire of width $a = 10$ nm, so $n_1 = n_2 a = 2 \times 10^6 / \text{cm}$. The mean free path for the back scattering is $l_b = (n_i R)^{-1}$, where n_i is the one-dimensional density of impurities and R is the reflection coefficient. We assume that n_i coincides with n_1 ; all impurities are positively charged and cause a weak reflection, which we estimated in the Born approximation, assuming the dielectric constant to be about 10. Our estimate for reflection coefficient is $R \sim 10^{-3} \div 10^{-2}$. Thus, $l_b = 10^2 - 10^3 / n_1 \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ cm. so electrons in a shorter wire are in the ballistic regime. Further,

$\tau_b = l_b / v_F = 10^{-10} - 10^{-11}$ s. By (11) I_e is 1-10 pA and thus is observable.

Spin relaxation. Relaxation processes play an extremely important role in spin resonance phenomena. The most important spin relaxation mechanism in 2d and 3d semiconductors is Dyakonov-Perel impurity scattering.⁸ It is effective in the diffusive regime $\omega_s \tau_i \ll 1$, where ω_s is the characteristic spin precession frequency and τ_i is the electron-impurity collision time. Here $\omega_s = \omega_r = 2\gamma p_F / \hbar$. Spin relaxation accompanying elastic collisions with impurities is associated with spin rotation due to the effective magnetic field acting during the time between collisions. Since in 1d the direction of the effective magnetic field is independent of the momentum, the spin has only two distinct orthogonal eigenstates. Thus, for a quantum wire a collision with a non-magnetic impurity cannot flip spin. (For $d > 1$ the spin eigenstates depend on the momentum direction. Therefore the spin states before and after collision are not orthogonal.) Spin-flip due to collisions with magnetic impurities is relatively unlikely since the concentration of magnetic impurities is low. The corresponding spin-flip, or spin-relaxation, time is $\tau_{sf}^{(mi)} = (v_F n_{mi} P_{mi})^{-1}$, where n_{mi} is the 1d concentration of magnetic impurities and P_{mi} is the probability of spin flip at a collision. For realistic magnetic impurity concentrations $\tau_{sf}^{(mi)}$ is rather long (about 3 ms); other sources of relaxation produce a much shorter τ_{sf} .

Spin-flip also may be associated with emission or absorption of a phonon. We have considered $\tau_{sf}^{(ph)}$ for: (i) a wire with no acoustic contact to the substrate (a good approximation for an individually grown wire or a nanotube); (ii) a wire with a strong acoustic coupling to the substrate (as for a wire formed by special gate electrodes in the 2d electron layer). In the first case the phonons are also one-dimensional; in the second case the phonons propagate in 3d space and have many more degrees of freedom. We estimate that $\tau_{sf}^{(ph,1d)} \sim 2$ ms for 1d phonons and $\tau_{sf}^{(ph,3d)} \sim 10^{-8}$ s for 3d phonons.¹⁵

Energy and momentum relaxation. Transitions without spin flip produce energy and momentum relaxation. First consider the decay of an electron (particle) excitation. The simplest decay process, into two particles and one hole, is forbidden in 1d by energy and momentum conservation; the simplest allowed process is the decay of a right-moving particle R into three particles and two holes, with one particle and one hole each left-moving (L).¹⁶ For the Coulomb interaction the inverse decay time is proportional to the fourth power of the deviation of the initial particle momentum from the corresponding Fermi boundary p_{++} . A numerical estimate for the relaxation time associated with the decay gives $\tau_d \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ s.¹⁵ We also calculated the rate of transition due to emission-absorption of phonons in an acoustically isolated wire. With U the deformation potential, u the sound velocity,

and \mathcal{A} the wire's cross-section, we find¹⁵

$$\Gamma_s = \frac{2ma^3U^2}{\hbar^2\mathcal{A}Mu}, \quad (13)$$

$U = 16$ eV, $u = 3.3 \times 10^5$ cm/s,¹⁷ and $\mathcal{A} = 100$ nm², $\Gamma_s = 1.19 \times 10^{11}$ s⁻¹, gives an energy relaxation time $\tau_s \sim 10^{-11}$ s. This rapid relaxation ensures the transformation of the absorbed energy into heat and prevents possible Rabi oscillations. When the wire is acoustically coupled to the substrate, the relaxation proceeds even faster ($\tau_s \sim 10^{-14} - 10^{-12}$ s). However, in this case the absorbed energy does not heat the electrons, but rather the substrate lattice, producing a barely measurable effect. The details of the theory of these relaxation processes will be published elsewhere.¹⁵

Influence of constant magnetic field. An external constant magnetic field \mathbf{B}_0 changes the effective magnetic field. As a result the resonance frequency becomes

$$\omega_r = \sqrt{\left(\omega_{r0} + \frac{g\mu_B B_{0\parallel}}{\hbar}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{g\mu_B B_{0\perp}}{\hbar}\right)^2}, \quad (14)$$

where $\omega_{r0} = 2\gamma p/\hbar$ is the resonance frequency in the absence of \mathbf{B}_0 , with components $B_{0\parallel}$ and $B_{0\perp}$ parallel and perpendicular to the effective spin-orbit magnetic field. By proper choice of $B_{0\parallel}$ it is possible to decrease ω_r significantly. However, this also reduces the difference $p_{-+} - p_{++}$, and thus the temperature below which the resonance is not too broadened.

Influence of gate voltage. The effective Rashba interaction $\gamma = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$ varies linearly with the gate voltage V_g , so that γ can be varied. In addition, the

Fermi-momentum and the gyromagnetic ratio also vary linearly with V_g . Thus, the resonance frequency is a quadratic polynomial in the V_g . However, the relative width of the resonance is independent of V_g . The spin and electric currents, as well as the absorbed heat, also vary as cubic polynomials of V_g (g, v_F, n_1, τ_b^{-1} all are linear in V_g). Thus, by increasing V_g it is possible, in principle, to increase the currents.

Conclusions. We have predicted a new effect: spin resonance in a quantum wire with no external magnetic field. It occurs because for a degenerate Fermi gas in one dimension the direction of the effective spin-orbit magnetic field is almost independent of momentum. The resonance frequency is typically in the terahertz region. Its relative width depends linearly on the Dresselhaus and Rashba spin-orbit constants. We predict that on resonance a linearly polarized ac magnetic field produces a dc spin current, whereas a circularly polarized magnetic field produces a completely spin polarized dc electric current of the order of pA. If the wire is not connected to an electric circuit, the circularly polarized field produces a dc magnetization. Observation of these effects is experimentally feasible. In all cases the resonance heating of the wire may be measureable, but only if the wire is acoustically decoupled from the substrate. The amplitude of these effects can be easily controlled by the external constant magnetic field and by the gate voltage, thus suggesting technological applications.

Acknowledgements. We thank A.M. Finkelstein and M. Khodas for discussions and for pointing out their work.¹ This work was supported by the Department of Energy under grant DE-FG02-06ER46278.

-
- ¹ A. Shekhter, M. Khodas, and A. M. Finkelstein, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 165329 (2005).
 - ² E. I. Rashba, Fiz. Tverdogo Tela, **2**, 1224 (1960) [Sov. Phys. Solid **2**, 1109 (1960)]; Yu. A. Bychkov and E. I. Rashba, Pis'ma v ZhETF **39**, 66 (1984); [JETP Lett. **39**, 78 (1984)].
 - ³ G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. **100**, 580 (1955).
 - ⁴ E. I. Ivchenko and G. E. Pikus, Pis'ma v ZhETF **27**, 640 (1978) [Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. **27**, 604 (1978)].
 - ⁵ V. Belinicher, Phys. Lett. A **66**, 213 (1978).
 - ⁶ T. Schaepers, J. Knobbe, A.V. Guzenko, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 235323 (2004).
 - ⁷ S. B. Kim et al., J. Cryst. Growth **201-202**, 1283 (1999); O. Zsebik et al., Nanotechnology **12**, 32 (2001) Jong-Horng Dai et al., Nanotechnology **16**, 407 (2005); C. L. Zhang et al., Nanotechnology **16**, 1379 (2005); M. A. Verheijen et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 353 (2006).
 - ⁸ M. I. Dyakonov and V. I. Perel, ZhETF **60**, 1954 (1971); [Sov. Phys. JETP **33**, 1053 (1971); M. I. Dyakonov, *Basics of Semiconductor and Spin Physics*, in *Spin Physics in Semiconductors*, ed. M. I. Dyakonov, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 157 (2008).
 - ⁹ W. Haüssler, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 121310(R) (2001); M. Gov-

- ernale and U. Zülicke, Solid State Communications **131**, 581 (2004).
- ¹⁰ $v \equiv \partial H / \partial p = p/m + \gamma \sigma_{\hat{x}}$ is commutes with both p and $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$. Thus an ac E -field along the wire, which couples to v , cannot flip spins, in contrast to the 2d case. See Ref.1.
- ¹¹ Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, D. D. Awschalom, Science **306**, 1910 (2004).
- ¹² M. V. Dorokhin et al, J. Phys. D, Appl. Phys. **41**, 245110 (2008).
- ¹³ For simplicity we neglect the anisotropy of the g-factor in a wire. Experimentally it is not small (R. Danneau et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 026403 (2006)) and must be taken in account in a more accurate theory.
- ¹⁴ M. Sherwin, Nature **240**, 131 (2002); A. Deminger and A. S. Renner, Laser Focus World, Jan. 2008, p. 111; M. C. Hoffman et al, arXiv 0904.2516; *Terahertz sources and Systems*, R. Harrison and D. Lippens, eds., Nato Science Series, Springer, 2000.
- ¹⁵ V. Pokrovsky and W. M. Saslow (unpublished).
- ¹⁶ M. Khodas, M. Pustilnik, A. Kamenev, and L.I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 155402 (2007).
- ¹⁷ T. Sugaya et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 727 (2002).