## On the nature and anisotropy of Q $\in$ 0 correlations in Tb<sub>2</sub>T i<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> under the application of magnetic elds

JPC.Ru  $^{1}_{I}$  BD.Gaulin $^{1,2,3}_{I}$  KC.Rule $^{4}_{I}$  and JS.Gardner $^{5,6}$ 

<sup>1</sup>D epartm ent of Physics and Astronom y, M cM aster University, H am ilton, Ontario, L8S 4M 1, C anada <sup>2</sup>B rockhouse Institute for M aterials Research, M cM aster University, H am ilton, Ontario, L8S 4M 1, C anada

<sup>3</sup>Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, 180 Dundas Street W est, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M 5G 1Z8

 $^4$ Helm holtz Zentrum Berlin fur Materialien und Energie, D-14109 Berlin, Germany

<sup>5</sup>N IST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899–6102, USA <sup>6</sup>D epartment of Physics, Indiana University, 2401 M ilo B. Sampson Lane, Bloom ington, IN 47408–1398, USA

We report an analysis of neutron di raction from single crystals of the spin-liquid pyrochlore  $T b_2 T i_2 O_7$  under the application of magnetic elds along the crystallographic [110] direction. Such a perturbation has been shown to destroy the spin liquid ground state and induce long-range order, although the nature of the ordered state was not im mediately determ ined. Recently, it has been proposed that the ordered state is characterized by spin-ice-like correlations, evincing an emergent ferrom agnetic tendency in this material despite the large negative Curie-W eiss constant. Here, we argue instead that the ordered state is dom inated by  $Q \notin O$  correlations that emerge either from strong antiferrom agnetism or magnetoelastic distortion of the crystal. In contrast to previous reports, we observe no evidence for re-entrant behaviour in the high eld lim it. Extrem e sensitivity of the ordered state to the alignment of the applied eld is suggested to account for these discrepancies.

PACS numbers: 75.25.+ z, 75.30.Gw, 75.30 Kz

As originally conceived by Anderson,<sup>1</sup> a spin liquid is a dynam ically disordered quantum antiferrom agnetic (AFM) phase wherein strong magnetic correlations exist, but do not extend beyond near-neighbour distances. This behaviour is dependent on geom etrical frustration in the crystal lattice, where the local connectivity of the magnetic ions prohibits the simultaneous satisfaction of all near-neighbour interactions.<sup>2</sup> This frustration is com monly realized by a combination of triangular or tetrahedral architectures and AFM interactions. Ferrom agnetic interactions can also be frustrated in the presence of strong single-ion anisotropy, as occurs in the spin ices.<sup>3</sup>

The search for materials that exhibit the ideal spin liquid physics envisioned by Anderson has occupied experimentalists for decades, with mixed results.<sup>4</sup> Typically, these e orts have focussed on the highly fustrated kagom e and pyrochlore lattices, in two and three dimensions respectively. In general, it has been di cult to identify a material with spin 1/2 moments that crystallizes into a perfect kagom e or perfect pyrochlore lattice, where only near-neighbour couplings are relevant. How ever, a host of interesting materials with slightly more com plicated interactions have been identi ed, and they exhibit physics that is intriguing in its own right.<sup>2</sup>

T b<sub>2</sub>T  $i_2O_7$  belongs to the fam ily of rare-earth-m etal titanate pyrochlores, which have gamered recent attention due to the exotic frustration-driven physics they manifest.<sup>5</sup> T b<sub>2</sub>T  $i_2O_7$  is isostructural to the canonical spin ices H o<sub>2</sub>T  $i_2O_7$  and D y<sub>2</sub>T  $i_2O_7$ ,<sup>3</sup> with m agnetic rareearth ions occupying the pyrochlore lattice (Fig. 1). In contrast to these frustrated ferrom agnets, T b<sub>2</sub>T  $i_2O_7$  presents a signi cantly reduced single-ion anisotropy (18 K) as well as a negative C urie-W eiss constant of  $_{CW}$  19 K.<sup>6</sup> Interest in T b<sub>2</sub>T  $i_2O_7$  was ignited when it was observed that dynamic short-range m agnetic correlations appear on cooling through T  $_{CW}$ , but that long range m agnetic order is absent down to the low est m easurable tem peratures ( 20 m K).<sup>7</sup> These are the key experimental signatures of a spin liquid ground state. However,  $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$  is expected to be governed by a more complicated Hamiltonian than those generally considered to model spin liquids, since the magnetic m om ents of the Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions are large and strongly in uenced by spin-orbit coupling and the crystal electric eld. One would therefore expect the moments in  $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ to be reduced from the free ion value, and to be oriented along local < 111> directions that join the centers of the corner-sharing tetrahedra in the lattice.<sup>6</sup> This has been experimentally con  $m ed_{\ell}^{8,9}$  and yet a puzzle remains: naively, one would expect single-ion anisotropy to lift the degeneracy of the spin liquid ground state, and drive the system to a non-colinear ordered N eel state<sup>6,10</sup> The manner in which frustration is reintroduced is an open topic, although recent work has shown that quanuctuations out of the Ising-like ground state doutum blet can renorm alize the e ective interactions to be ferrom agnetic, leading to a uctuating quantum spin ice state at low tem peratures.<sup>11</sup> There also exists experim entalevidence pointing to magnetoelastic e ects at low tem peratures, 12,13 which suggests that spin-lattice coupling is an important ingredient of the ground state.

Regardless of the exact origin of the exotic ground state in  $Tb_2T \downarrow_2O_7$ , it is now well known experimentally that long range order can be induced by the application of magnetic  $eld_8^{3,14}$  or pressure.<sup>15</sup> It is not unreasonable to expect that an understanding of these perturbation-induced ordered phases could unravel som e of the mysteries of the unperturbed ground state. Therefore, a careful characterization of the ordered states is crucial. The original discovery of magnetic eld induced order<sup>14</sup> did not collect enough magnetic B ragg re ections to facilitate a full magnetic structure re nement,



FIG.1: (Colour online) The pyrochlore lattice. The four tetrahedra in the unit cell are labeled by (A, B, C, D) and the four magnetic sublattices are labeled by (1,2,3,4). Chains running along the [110] applied magnetic eld direction are known as -chains, and are shown in red. Perpendicular - chains are shown in blue. A classical expectation for AFM Ising spins in high eld is shown.

TABLE	T• Phase	factors	in	the	16	en in	un it	ഘി
TADTE	1. F nase	lactors	шт	uie	τo	sрш	un	œш

Tetrahedron	Sublattice	(002)	(113)	(112)
A	1 ( )	1	1	1
	2 ( )	-1	-1	i
	3 ( )	-1	1	-i
	4 ( )	1	1	1
В	1 ( )	1	1	-1
	2 ( )	-1	-1	-i
	3 ( )	-1	1	i
	4 ( )	1	1	-1
С	1 ( )	1	1	-1
	2 ( )	-1	-1	-i
	3 ( )	-1	1	i
	4 ( )	1	1	-1
D	1 ( )	1	1	1
	2 ( )	-1	-1	i
	3 ( )	-1	1	-i
	4 ( )	1	1	1

although it did identify the high-eld ordering wavevector as Q = (2 = a) (112), where a sharp, intense Bragg re ection was observed at a  $Q \in 0$  position. A weaker, di use feature centered at (112) was also observed, which form s a halo around the sharp peak. This is evident in Fig. 1c in Ref. 14. Subsequent studies involving m any m ore m agnetic re ections returned qualitatively di erent results,<sup>8</sup> nding instead that the dom inant scattering was at the Q = 0 positions. This was interpreted as evidence for the predicted spin ice like character of Tb<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. These m easurem ents found weaker evidence for the Q  $\leq$  0 phase, with a reduced volum e fraction and a transition temperature that was suppressed at high elds relative to the original work. This phase was rened as a polarization of spins with Ising axes that project along the magnetic eld (generally referred to as -chains), and AFM ordering of spins with Ising axes perpendicular to the magnetic eld (known as chains). A depiction of this phase with a full volum e fraction, which is an AFM analogue to the Q = X phase in the spin ices,<sup>16,17</sup> is illustrated in Fig. 1. Assum ing comparable sam ple quality, the main di erence between the original measurements of Rule et al.<sup>14</sup> and the subsequent measurem ents of Cao et al.<sup>8</sup> is that the original experiment was perform ed with the magnetic

eld aligned along [110] to within an accuracy of 0.5, within the mosaic spread of the single crystal sample, while the subsequent experiment was performed with the magnetic eld misset from [110] by 5 according to the authors.<sup>8</sup> A magnetic eld misalignment of this order has been shown to qualitatively change the ground state in the sister spin-ice compounds, both experimentally<sup>18</sup> and theoretically.<sup>19</sup> Therefore, it is interesting to investigate the discrepancies between these two measurements in further detail.

Here we report a careful analysis of Bragg re ections collected using tim e-of- ight neutron scattering, in the case where the magnetic eld is nom inally perfectly aligned (i.e. to within the mosaic spread of the crystal). W e present param etric studies of the nuclear allowed (113) peak and the superlattice (002) and (112) peaks. Although insu cient for a brute-force magnetic structure re nement, the relative intensities of these re ections strongly constrain the nature of the ordered state. M easurem ents were perform ed using the D isk Chopper Spectrom eter at the N IST Center for N eutron R esearch, with cold (5A) incident neutrons.<sup>20</sup> This time-of-ight instrum ent is imm une to higher-order wavelength contam ination problems system atic to di ractom eters em ploying a crystalm onochrom ator. B ragg intensities were collected as a function of tem perature and m agnetic eld using an 11.5 Tesla superconducting magnet system with a dilution insert, and norm alized to incoherent vanadium scattering to correct for relative detector e ciencies and cryostat dark angles. The results are shown in Fig. 2, where we plot the results of the raw measurement in 2a and 2b, and a useful rescaling of the data in 2c and 2d. This rescaling is performed as follows: the zero-

eld component is subtracted out, and intensities are scaled to incoherent vanadium scattering to correct for e ciency. Then, we multiply by the magnitude of the momentum transfer, take the square root, and divide by the magnetic form factor calculated in the dipole approximation. The resulting quantity is directly proportional to the total scattering length in the unit cell which gives rise to the Bragg peak, and therefore also proportional to the related ordered magnetic moment transverse to Q. It is clear from both the raw and rescaled intensities that the dominant scattering in the eld-induced ordered phase occurs at the superlattice (112) position. It is im –



FIG. 2: (Colour online) Tem perature and applied m agnetic eld dependence of B ragg scattering at three reciprocal space positions. 2a and 2b show integrated intensities m easured by rocking the crystal through the B ragg angle, as a function of m agnetic eld and tem perature. 2c and 2d show a rescaling of this data as described in the text. The low - eld hysteresis shown in (c) has been previously discussed.<sup>14</sup> The rem nant intensity at high tem perature at the (112) position is due to the di use halo discussed in the text.

portant to note that the intensity of this Bragg peak continues to increase up to the highest applied m agnetic

eld (9 Tesla), in contrast to the re-entrant behaviour recently reported to set in above 5 Tesla.<sup>8</sup> The two preceding points illustrate a clear and qualitative difference between the results presented here, and those reported by C ao et al.<sup>8</sup> They report weak scattering at the (112) position that is further suppressed for large applied m agnetic elds; we report exactly the opposite.

It is possible to assign qualitative signi cance to the intensities of each of the measured Bragg re ections, if we assume that the scattering is magnetic in origin. Table 1 shows the phase factor  $e^{iQ r}$  calculated for each of the three re ections, and for each spin in the cubic nuclear unit cell. The magnetic ion sites are labeled to coincide with Fig. 1, where each of the four unit cell tetrahedra (A,B,C,D) contain four spins with di erent easy-axes (1,2,3,4). It becomes immediately clear that ferrom agnetic ordering or eld-induced polarization will not contribute to the scattering at (112), and that AFM correlations between (A,D) tetrahedra and (B,C) tetrahedra will. Further, an AFM moment on the -chains does not interfere with an AFM moment on the -chains - the two contributions are decoupled since the form er contributes to the imaginary part of the cross-section, while the latter contributes to the real part. It is also evident that a net polarization of -chainswill contribute to scattering at (113) but a net polarization of -chains will not, and that (002) scattering is a measure of the vector di erence in net polarization between the - and -chains. Therefore, despite the fact that this subset

of re ections is insu cient to fully re ne the magnetic structure, we can con dently comment on the size of the

TABLE II: O rdered m om ent in the unit cell. (0.4K, 7T).

(002)	(113)	(112)		
14.0 в	20 <b>.</b> 8 <sub>в</sub>	415 в		

AFM ordered m om ent, the di erence in polarization between the two sets of chains, and the degree to which the

-chains are polarized along the applied magnetic eld. By norm alizing to the nuclear scattering at (113) measured and high and low temperature in zero eld, we can extract a low er lim it on the sizes of these moments which is constrained only by an incom plete understanding of extinction e ects in our crystal, which we neccessarily ignore.

Let us begin by considering the AFM analogue of the  $Q = X \text{ spin ice phase}^{16,17}$  (QXAFM phase), which is shown in Fig. 1. This is a classical ground state for an Ising AFM in high eld, where eld perpendicular spins (on -chains) order into AFM chains, with a phase di erence of between the (A,D) tetrahedra and the (B,C) tetrahedra, while -chain spins maxim ize their polarization along the eld. Although it is possible to construct a Q = 0 state in this way as well, where (A, D) -chain spins are not ipped relative to (B,C) -chain spins, such a con guration would not generate scattering at (112). The classical expectation would be that the states described above are degenerate for nearest neghbour interactions, so the selection of  $Q \in 0$  order is indicative of the importance of long-range interactions. A ground state resembling QXAFM was re ned as a minority phase in the work of C ao et al.,<sup>8</sup> but here we consider a full volum e fraction. By considering the phase factors displayed in Table 1, it is clear that such a ground state would have identical magnetic scattering cross-sections at (002) and (113), which would be proportional to the net polarization of the -chain spins. An inspection of Fig. 2 will satisfy that this is not the case experim entally. The reduction of (002) with respect to (113) im plies som e polarization of the beta chains along the eld, away from their easy-axes. The cross-section at (112) is due solely to the component of the -chain spins which is AFM ordered and transverse to Q, which is reduced from the single-ion -chain moment by a factor of 2=3. Therefore, even by promoting the minority phase of Cao et al. to a full volum e fraction, we do not recover enough scattering power at (112) to account for the m easured intensity, assuming that and chain moments have the samem agnitude in the ground state. One would expect the scattering at (002) and (113) to be dom inant in this case. W orse still, we have the unfortunate problem of requiring a larger than expected AFM moment on the -chains while at the same time requiring a nite polarization of these same spins. Clearly, the classical Ising expectation is not a good approximation to the eldinduced ground state realized experim entally.

W e can better characterize the nature of the ordered state by relaxing the Ising constraint. The necessity of this procedure is not unexpected, since the relatively smallanisotropy gap is expected to allow for some restoration of rotational sym metry.<sup>8,10,11</sup> In general, the sym metry of the problem suggests that we consider independent [110]- eld-polarized moments on the and

chain sites, which we will refer to as P and P respectively. In addition, we consider independent AFM ordered moments on each sets of chains: S and S, which contribute to the scattering at (112). In this picture, the scattering at (002) will arise from  $\beta (P)$ P )j the scattering at (113) will arise from BP ; and the scattering at (112) will probe the quantity  $\beta$  (S + iS )  $\frac{1}{2}$ . It is important to note that while the polarized moments are transverse to Q since the magnetic eld is applied transverse to the scattering plane, for the AFM m om ents this is not necessarily the case. Only the AFM moment transverse to Q will contribute to the scattering at (112). In order to convert the quantities plotted in Fig. 2c,d to Bohr magnetons, we rst normalize to the nuclear contribution m easured at (113), for which the scattering length can be calculated for the known crystal lattice in cm<sup>12</sup>. This value is then converted to Bohrm agnetons using the ratio (2=  $r_0$ ).<sup>21</sup> The resulting total moments transverse to Q, sum m ed over the 16 spin unit cell, are listed in Table 2. These values constitute low er lim its, as extinction e ects will act to decrease the measured magnetic moments. However, since extinction is expected to preferentially suppress strong re ections, one would expect that the dom inant e ect of a proper extinction correction would be to increase the AFM ordered mom ent relative to the polarization.

Now, we are in a position to o er a description of the ordered state. The net polarization per spin, given by the quantity (P + P )=2, can be derived as 1.7 <sub>B</sub> if P > P , and 3.5 <sub>B</sub> if P < P . These values are signi cantly lower than the saturation m agnetization reported in the literature,<sup>8,22</sup> which could either indicate that a strong extinction correction is necessary, that precise alignment of the applied m agnetic eld leads to a reduction in the polarized m om ent, or both. As for the AFM ordered m om ent, if we assume that it is entirely transverse to Q, we arrive at an average value of 3.7 <sub>B</sub> per spin. How ever, this represents an extrem e departure from Ising anisotropy. If we instead assume that the AFM m om ent remains Ising-like, we arrive at a value of of 4.9 <sub>B</sub> per spin. Im plicitly, we have assumed that

the m om ent is equally distributed am ongst all spins (i.e.  $\beta j = \beta j = 3$ ). This is neither necessary or likely. However, we do so because it is an instructive way to estimate the average value. If we assume that only the -chain spins participate in the AFM order, then we nd that  $\beta j = 7.8$  B.

It bears mentioning that the above discussion of the ordered state, like that of C ao et al.<sup>8</sup>, is based on unpolarized neutron scattering measurements. It is therefore possible that the nuclear B ragg scattering could manifest some magnetic eld dependence, which would be impossible to deconvolute from the response of the magnetic spins in these measurements. T b<sub>2</sub>T i<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> is a known giant magnetostrictive material<sup>13</sup>, although no evidence for a magnetic eld induced structural phase transition has ever been reported. Nevertheless magnetoelastic distortion of the lattice has not been nuled out, and may well play a role in the nature of the ordered phase.

In conclusion, we have reported a careful analysis of three neutron B ragg re ections that strongly constrain the character of the ordered phase in Tb<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> under the application of magnetic elds along [110]. The dominant scattering originates from Q  $\pm$  0 correlations, which are robust in elds as large as 9T, in contrast to the recent report of C ao et al.<sup>8</sup> W e have developed a generalm odel based on the assumption that this scattering has magnetic origin, and nd that the AFM ordered m om ent is appreciable - conceivably on the order of 4.9 B per spin if the system retains an Ising-like character.

This represents a roughly threefold increase in the size of the AFM ordered moment relative to that rened in Ref 8. We contend that this discrepancy arises due to an extrem e sensitivity to magnetic eld misalignment. A possible test for this conjecture would be vector-magnet susceptibility measurements of the type reported in Ref 23. We hope that this work motivates such further experimental investigation, as well as theoretical work focusing on the nature of the perturbation-induced ordered phases of this enigmatic magnet.

The authors would like to thank Pat Clancy and M ichelG ingras for useful discussions and a critical reading of the manuscript, and acknow ledge the contributions of John Copley and Y im ing Q in to the measurements perform ed at DCS. This work was supported by N SERC of Canada.

- <sup>1</sup> P W . Anderson M aterials Research Bulletin, Vol. 8, Issue 2, Page 153-160 (1973)
- <sup>2</sup> Frustrated Spin System s, edited by H.T.D iep (W orld Scienti c Publishing Co.Pte.Ltd., Singapore, 2004).
- <sup>3</sup> S.T. Bramwell and M.J.P. G ingras Science 16 Vol. 294. no. 5546, pp. 1495 - 1501 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup> A P.Ram irez Nature Physics 4, 442 443 (2008)
- <sup>5</sup> J.S. Gardner, M.J.P. Gingras, J.E. Greedan arXiv:0906.3661 (2009)
- <sup>6</sup> M JP.Gingras, B C. den Hertog, M. Faucher, JS.Gardner, S R. Dunsiger, L J. Chang, B D. Gaulin, N P. Raju

and J.E.G reedan, Phys.Rev.B 62, 6496 (2000).

- <sup>7</sup> J.S.Gardner, S.R.Dunsiger, B.D.Gaulin, M.J.P.Gingras, J.E.Greedan, R.F.Kie, M.D.Lumsden, W.A.MacFarlane, N.P.Raju, J.E. Sonier, I. Swainson, and Z.Tun. Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1012 (1999).
- <sup>8</sup> H. Cao, A. Gukasov, I. Mirebeau, P. Bonville, and G. Dhalenne Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 196402 (2008)
- <sup>9</sup> H.Cao, A.Gukasov, I.M irebeau, P.Bonville, C.Decorse, and G.Dhalenne Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 056402 (2009)
- <sup>10</sup> Y -J K ao, M . Enjalran, A . D el M aestro, H R . M olavian, and M J.P.G ingras Phys. Rev. B 68 172407 (2003)

- <sup>11</sup> H R.M olavian, M J.P.G ingras, and B.C anals Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 157204 (2007)
- <sup>12</sup> JPC.Ru, BD.Gaulin, JP.Castellan, KC.Rule, JP. Clancy, J. Rodriguez, and HA.Dabkowska Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 237202 (2007).
- <sup>13</sup> I.V. A leksandrov, B.V. Lidskii, L.G. M am surova, M.G. Neigauz, K.S. Pigal'skii, K.K. Pukhov, N.G. Trusevich and L.G. Scherbakova, JEPT 62, 1287 (1985); L.G. M am surova, K.S. Pigal'skii and K.K. Pukhov, JETP Lett., 43, 755 (1986)
- <sup>14</sup> K C. Rule, JPC. Ru, B D. Gaulin, S R. Dunsiger, J.S. Gardner, JP. Clancy, M J. Lewis, H A. Dabkowska, I. Mirebeau, P. Manuel, Y. Qiu and JR D. Copley. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 177201 (2006)
- <sup>15</sup> I. M imebeau, IN. G oncharenko, P. Cadavez-Peres, S.T. Bram well, M J.P. G ingras and J.S. G ardner Nature 420 54-57 (2002)
- <sup>16</sup> T. Fennell, O A. Petrenko, B. Fak, J.S. Gardner, S.T. Bram well, and B. Ouladdiaf, Phys. Rev. B 72, 224411

(2005)

- <sup>17</sup> JPC.Ru, RG.Melko and MJP.Gingras, Phys.Rev. Lett. 95, 097202 (2005).
- <sup>18</sup> JP. Clancy, JP.C. Ru, SR. Dunsiger, Y. Zhao, HA. Dabkowska, J.S. Gardner, Y. Qiu, JR D. Copley, T. Jenkins, and B.D. Gaulin Phys. Rev. B 79 014408 (2009)
- <sup>19</sup> R.G. Melko and M.J.P.G ingras, J.P. hys: C ondens. M atter 16, R 1277 (2004)
- <sup>20</sup> JRD. Copley and JC. Cook Chem. Phys. 292, 477 (2003).
- <sup>21</sup> R E. D innebier and S.J.L. Billinge Powder Di raction: Theory and Practice (Royal Society of Chemistry, 2008)
- <sup>22</sup> Y. Yasui, M. Kanada, M. Ito, H. Harashina, M. Sato, H. Okumura, K. Kakuraiand H. Kadowaki J. Phys. Soc. Jpn Vol. 71, No. 2, February, 2002
- <sup>23</sup> R. Higashinaka and Y. Maeno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 237208 (2005)