

Equation of state of a polarized Fermi gas in the Bose-Einstein Condensate limit

F. Alzetto and X. Leyronas

*Laboratoire de Physique Statistique, Ecole Normale Supérieure, UPMC Paris 06,
Université Paris Diderot, CNRS, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France.*

We present a theoretical study of the BEC-BCS crossover in the Bose-Einstein-Condensate regime (BEC), in the case of an unequal number of fermions of two species. We take full account of the composite nature of the dimers made of fermions. In the limit of low densities, we calculate the ground state energy of the system, or equivalently the chemical potentials of each species as well as the one-particle gap and the energy of an "impurity" immersed in a Fermi sea. For the chemical potentials we go up to order $(density)^{4/3}$. The results found involve the exact atom-dimer a_{AD} and dimer-dimer a_{DD} scattering lengths and therefore include the 3 and 4-body problems in the manybody problem. We briefly comment on the importance of the different mean-field corrections for recent experiments.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Hh, 03.75.Ss, 67.85.Pq

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of a Bose-Fermi mixture in the Bose-Einstein-Condensate (BEC) limit of the BEC-BCS (Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer) crossover has been studied experimentally recently [1]. Previous theoretical works studied the zero temperature phase diagram using a mean field approach [2], or Monte Carlo simulations [3]. The BEC limit has been investigated theoretically in Refs.[4],[5] or using a functional integral formalism [6]. In Ref.[4], the authors used a Bogoliubov-de Gennes approach in order to derive in an elegant way a Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the condensate wave function. They found a dimer-dimer scattering length and an atom-dimer scattering length equal to 2 times the atom-atom scattering length a and $8/3a$ respectively. Then they gave some reasonable diagrammatic arguments in order to recover the correct scattering lengths. As far as the equation of state of the system is concerned, these calculations stopped at the mean-field level (where the chemical potentials depend linearly on the densities). On the other hand, researchers had studied previously [7],[8] a mixture of point-like bosons and fermions at zero temperature in the dilute limit. There the equation of state was determined including a lowest order mean-field correction. This is the main result of the present work to recover this result for the problem of a mixture of fermions and composite bosons relevant to experiment of Ref.[1].

In this work we determine the equation of state at zero temperature of a mixture of fermions of two species. We consider a *dilute* situation where the densities of both species n_1 and n_2 (hereafter denoted *density*) are small but are of the same order. We thus have $density \times a^3 \ll 1$, and we expand the chemical potentials of fermions up to order $(density)^{4/3}$.

For a reader mainly interested in the results, and not so much in technical details, the main results of our work (the equations of state) are shown in Eqs.51,54 and 55.

In Sec.II, we recall the general formalism of fermionic superfluids (anomalous Green's functions, anomalous self-energy, and the so-called generalized self-energies).

In Sec.III, we describe the general framework of the theory, namely how we expand the number and gap equations in powers of the atomic density. In Sec.IV, the lowest order density expansion is deduced, while the derivation of the next order correction is shown in Sec.V. The one-particle gap and the problem of a single atom immersed in the Fermi Sea of atoms of the other species are determined in Sec.VI. In Sec.VII, we conclude and comment on the relevance of our calculation for recent experiments of Ref.[1].

II. GENERAL FORMALISM

In a fermionic superfluid, the usual field-theoretical methods must be modified in order to take into account the off-diagonal order [9]. In practice, one has to consider "anomalous" Green's functions $F(p)$ and $F^+(p)$ (which are physically the propagators of condensed pairs). The Dyson equations for the fermionic superfluid are

$$G_1(p) = G_1^0(p) + G_1^0(p)\Sigma_1(p)G_1(p) + G_1^0(p)\Delta(p)F^+(p) \quad (1)$$

for the propagator of particles of type 1 (and similarly for particles of type 2) and

$$F^+(p) = G_2^0(-p)\Sigma_2(-p)F^+(p) - G_2^0(-p)\Delta^*(p)G_1(p) \quad (2)$$

for the anomalous Green's function $F^+(p)$. These two equations have a simple diagrammatical meaning (see Fig.1): the self-energy $\Sigma_1(p)$ is the sum of all diagrams with one incoming $(p, 1)$ line (a $G_1^0(p)$ Green's function) and one outgoing $(p, 1)$ line, which can not be separated into two disconnected parts by cutting a $(p, 1)$ line or a $(-p, 2)$ line (a $G_2^0(-p)$ Green's function). The anomalous self-energy $\Delta(p)$ is the sum of all diagrams with one incoming $(p, 1)$ line and one incoming $(-p, 2)$ line, which can not be separated into two disconnected parts by cutting a $(p, 1)$ line or a $(-p, 2)$ line. The anomalous Green's

function $F^+(p)$ starts diagrammatically with one outgoing $(-p, 2)$ line and finishes with one outgoing $(p, 1)$ line.




FIG. 1: The Dyson equations Eq.1 and 2 in diagrammatic language. The numbers 1 and 2 specify the atomic species.

In Ref.[11], the so-called generalized self-energy $S_1(p)$ was introduced. It is defined by the equation

$$G_1(p) = \frac{1}{(G_1^0(p))^{-1} - S_1(p)} \quad (3)$$

which can be rewritten $G_1(p) = G_1^0(p) + G_1^0(p)S_1(p)G_1^0(p) + G_1^0(p)S_1(p)G_1^0(p)S_1(p)G_1^0(p) + \dots$. Diagrammatically, this means that $S_1(p)$ is the sum of all diagrams with one incoming $(p, 1)$ line and one outgoing $(p, 1)$ line which cannot be disconnected into two parts by cutting a $(p, 1)$ line. It is natural to use the generalized self energy, since it is the only thing one needs in order to calculate the one particle Green's function $G_1(p)$ (whereas one needs both $\Sigma_1(p)$ and $\Delta(p)$) and therefore the density of fermions of species 1. Moreover, as it was shown in Ref.[11], the generalized self-energy is directly related to the dimer-atom T -matrix (hereafter denoted T_3 , as we will see in Section IV), whereas $\Sigma_1(p)$ is not. This makes the use of the generalized self-energy convenient to get more compact expressions.

III. NUMBER AND GAP EQUATIONS

The system we study is an ensemble of two distinct "species" of fermions. There are n_1 (respectively n_2) fermions per unit volume of type 1 and mass m_1 (resp. type 2 and mass m_2). These two species can be either two internal states (for instance two hyperfine states of ^6Li) and in this case $m_1 = m_2$ or two different sort of fermions (a ^6Li - ^{40}K mixture for instance). Due to the extremely low temperature and Pauli exclusion principle there is no intra-species interaction. The short range inter-species interaction is therefore described by the scattering length a (for a review, see Ref.[10]). In the limit we consider, we have $a > 0$ and there is a two-body bound state (a molecule or dimer) of energy $E_b = -1/(2m_r a^2)$ where $m_r = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)$ is the particle 1-particle 2 reduced mass and we will take in this work $\hbar = 1$. As pointed out by Pieri and Strinati [4], the limit of dilute condensed molecules with extra fermions of species 1 corresponds to a positive chemical potential μ_1 and a negative and large chemical potential (of order E_b) μ_2 for the fermions 2. Therefore this is the limit we will consider

too and we take

$$\mu_1 > 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_2 < 0 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 < 0 \quad (6)$$

The method is similar to the one used in Ref.[11] and [12], and is common to most of the studies of the BCS-BEC crossover [10]: we calculate the particles number n_1 and n_2 as a function of the chemical potentials μ_1 and μ_2 and a superfluid order parameter Δ ; we write down also a generalized "gap equation" for Δ as a function of μ_1 and μ_2 . If we eliminate Δ from the equations, we get the equations of state $\mu_1(n_1, n_2)$ and $\mu_2(n_1, n_2)$. The results for these equations of state are shown in Eqs.51,54 and 55, which are the main results of the present work.

A. Number equations

In order to get the density of particle 1, we have to sum on k the propagator $G_1(k)$

$$n_1 = - \sum_k G_1(k) e^{i\omega_n 0^+} \quad (7)$$

where we use the notation $\sum_k \equiv - \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} T \sum_n$ (in all the paper, we use the $T \neq 0$ formalism and then take the limit $T \rightarrow 0$). We have $G_1^0(k) = \frac{1}{(i\omega_n + \mu_1 - \frac{k^2}{2m_1})}$ with $k = \{\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n\}$, $\mu_1 > 0$ and $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T$ (with n integer). We have of course a similar result for the Green's function of atoms 2, with a chemical potential $\mu_2 < 0$. Following [11], the full Green's function $G_1(k)$ is related to the generalized self-energy $S_1(k)$ via a Dyson equation $G_1(k) = G_1^0(k) + G_1^0(k)S_1(k)G_1(k)$. $S_1(k)$ does not contain diagrams with a $G_1^0(k)$ propagator, but it does contains diagrams with $G_2^0(-k)$ propagators. If we iterate the Dyson equation, we get the approximation

$$G_1(k) \approx G_1^0(k) + (G_1^0(k))^2 S_1(k) \quad (8)$$

As we explain below, this approximation is good enough at the order we consider. It must be emphasized that the generalized self-energy contains anomalous contributions, as we will see. Using the approximation Eq.8, we get from the first term the contribution to the density (after taking the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit)

$$n_1^0 = \frac{k_0^3}{6\pi^2} \quad (9)$$

where the wave-vector k_0 is defined via

$$k_0 = \sqrt{2m_1 \mu_1} \quad (10)$$

If we define $\delta n_1 \equiv n_1 - n_1^0$, we get

$$\delta n_1 \approx - \sum_k (G_1^0(k))^2 S_1(k) \quad (11)$$

We can proceed in the same way for the density of particles 2. In this case we have $n_2^0 = 0$, since $\mu_2 < 0$. We therefore have

$$n_2 \approx - \sum_k (G_2^0(k))^2 S_2(k) \quad (12)$$

where $S_2(k)$ is the generalized self-energy of the particles 2.

B. Gap equation

We follow Ref.[11] in order to get our gap equation. Looking at the diagrammatic expansion of the anomalous Green's function $F(k)$, we can in general write :

$$F(k) = G_1^0(k)G_2^0(-k) \left(\sum_{k_1} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1) F(k_1) \right) + F'(k) \quad (13)$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of Eq.13 contains *all* the diagrams where the two incoming $(k, 1)$ and $(-k, 2)$ fermions lines first interact (interaction $V(\mathbf{q})$). Therefore $F'(k)$ is the sum of all the diagrams where the two incoming fermions $(k, 1)$ and $(-k, 2)$ do *not* first interact. The term between parentheses in Eq.13 is the usual BCS gap [11] $\delta_1(\mathbf{k})$

$$\delta_1(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{k_1} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1) F(k_1) \quad (14)$$

We can eliminate the interaction V in favor of the 2-body T -matrix [11] at zero momentum $T_2(0)$.

$$[T_2(0)]^{-1} = \sum_k F'(k)/\Delta \quad (15)$$

where Δ is the zero wave vector value of $\delta_1(\mathbf{k})$. As usual, T_2 is obtained by summing the ladder series and one finds easily

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(K)^{-1} &= g^{-1} - \Pi(K) \\ &= \frac{m_r}{2\pi} \left(a^{-1} - \sqrt{-2m_r(i\Omega_\nu + 2\mu - \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{2m_D})} \right) + R(K) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $K = \{\mathbf{K}, i\omega_\nu\}$, $\omega_\nu = 2\pi\nu T$ (ν integer). $m_D = m_1 + m_2$ is the mass of a dimer and $2\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ is the total chemical potential. $R(K)$ is due to the positive chemical potential μ_1 :

$$R(K) = \int_{k < k_0} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\left(i\Omega_\nu + 2\mu - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_1} - \frac{(\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{k})^2}{2m_2} \right)} \quad (17)$$

This is due physically to the presence of the Fermi Sea of the fermions in excess. We note that $T_2(K)$, is analytical

for $\text{Re}(i\omega_\nu) < 0$ (in many instances in this work, we find convenient to use the somewhat loose notation $g(i\omega_\nu)$ for the analytical continuation of a function g for $i\omega_\nu$ spanning the whole complex plane - not only the discrete Matsubara frequencies values). The final gap equation reads

$$\frac{m_r}{2\pi} \left(a^{-1} - \sqrt{4m_r|\mu|} \right) = \left(\sum_k F'(k)/\Delta \right) - R(0) \quad (18)$$

$$\text{with } R(0) = - \int_{k < k_0} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_r} + 2|\mu| \right)}$$

We *define* the bosonic chemical potential μ_B through the equation

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 \equiv 2\mu \equiv -|E_b| + \mu_B \quad (19)$$

and we can expand the l.h.s. of Eq.18 in power of μ_B . In this way we get

$$\mu_B = Z \left[\left(\sum_k F'(k)/\Delta \right) - R(0) \right] + O(\mu_B^2) \quad (20)$$

where we have defined the residue of T_2 at the molecular pole

$$Z = \frac{2\pi}{(m_r)^2 a} \quad (21)$$

In the following, we will expand $F'(k)$, $S_1(k)$ and $S_2(k)$ in power of Δ and k_0 . We will see this corresponds to an expansion in power of the density of the condensed dimer and of the fermions in excess respectively.

IV. DENSITY EXPANSION : LOWEST ORDER

A. Number equations

We start with the calculation of $S_1(k)$. Diagrams without anomalous self-energy are diagrams in the normal phase. In this case, one has to close a fermionic loop for the species 2. Going back to time representation, one sees that such contributions are zero, since the bare propagators of particles 2 are retarded, as is explained in details in [11]. Physically, this comes from the fact that at $T = 0$, there are no "free" (*i.e* not bound to a condensed molecule) fermion of species 2 which can interact with a fermion of species 1. The diagrams of lowest order in Δ (that is of lowest order in $\sqrt{n_2}$) are therefore those containing one Δ and one Δ^* . They are given in terms of the 3-particle T -matrix T_3^1 describing the scattering of a dimer with fermion of species 1 (see Fig.2(a))

$$S_1^{(a)}(k) = |\Delta|^2 T_3^1(k, k; k) \quad (22)$$

This diagram has a very simple physical meaning. An incoming fermion $k, 1$ scatters with a condensed dimer (factor Δ^* for a dimer "out of" the condensate), the scattering of the dimer with the fermion

is described by the dimer-atom 1 T -matrix T_3^1 . The right of the graph represents the outgoing fermion $k, 1$ and the dimer which "goes back" to the condensate (factor Δ). The contribution to the density of particles 1 is $\delta n_1^{(a)} = -\sum_k e^{i\omega_n 0^+} (G_1^0(k))^2 |\Delta|^2 T_3^1(k, k; k)$. The frequency summation is written as usual as an integral on a contour encircling the imaginary axis counterclockwise : $T \sum_{i\omega_n} (G_1^0(k))^2 T_3^1(k, k; k) = -\oint_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} f(z) \frac{1}{(z - \xi_1)^2} T_3^1(k, k; k)$ with $f(z) = 1/(e^{z/T} + 1)$ is the Fermi Dirac distribution and $\xi_{1,2} = \mathbf{k}^2/(2m_{1,2}) - \mu_{1,2}$ and $k = \{\mathbf{k}, z\}$. We use next the analytical properties of T_3 as a function of z . Following an argument given in [11], we can split T_3 in a first part $1/(z + \xi_2)$ which has a pole at $-\xi_2 < 0$ plus a function which is analytical for $\text{Re}(z) < 0$. When we deform the contour in order to enclose the singularities, we see that the only singularities in $\text{Re}(z) < 0$ are $-\xi_2$ and ξ_1 if $\xi_1 < 0$. Due to the exponential decay of the Fermi-Dirac distribution $f(z)$ for $\text{Re}(z) < 0$ when the temperature goes to 0, the contour encircling the domain $\text{Re}(z) > 0$ vanishes. We therefore find at zero temperature

$$T \sum_{i\omega_n} G_1^0(k)^2 T_3^1(k, k; k) = \frac{f(-\xi_2)}{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2} + \frac{df(\xi_1)}{dz} T_3^1(k, k; k) + f(\xi_1) \frac{d}{dz} T_3^1(k, k; k) \quad (23)$$

where the 4-wave vector $k = \{\mathbf{k}, \xi_1\}$ is "on the shell". In order to compute the density the next step is to integrate the three terms on the *r.h.s.* of Eq.23 on the wave vector \mathbf{k} . We use the zero temperature limits $\frac{df(\xi_1)}{dz} = -\delta(\xi_1)$, $f(\xi_1) = \Theta(k_0 - |\mathbf{k}|)$ ($\Theta(x)$ is the step function) and $f(-\xi_2) = 1$. The first term is simply $\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_r} + 2|\mu|)^2}$. However, anticipating the result, we can replace 2μ by the binding energy $E_b = -\frac{1}{2m_r a^2}$ plus terms of order (*density*). We find in this way that the contribution to $n_1/|\Delta|^2$ of the first term of Eq.23 after summation on \mathbf{k} is

$$\frac{(m_r)^2 a}{2\pi} = Z^{-1} \quad (24)$$

For the second term of Eq.23, due to the δ function, the integration gives a factor $N_0 = m_1 k_0/(2\pi^2)$, the density of state of excess fermions at energy μ_1 , times (minus) $T_3^1(k, k; k)$ at $k = \{k_0, 0\}$. Then we use Eq.A7 of Appendix A in order to find T_3^1 up to order k_0 . In this way the contribution to $\delta n_1^{(a)}/|\Delta|^2$ of the second term after summation on \mathbf{k} is

$$-Z^{-1} N_0 g_{AD} [1 + k_0 a_{AD} (2\pi) F_{a,1}(1, m_D/m_1)] \quad (25)$$

where we have introduced the dimer-particle 1 coupling strength $g_{AD} = 2\pi a_{AD}/m_{AD}$, where $m_{AD} = (m_1 m_D)/(m_1 + m_D)$ is the dimer-particle 1 reduced mass and a_{AD} is the dimer-fermion 1 scattering length. The function $F_{a,1}$ is defined in Eq.A8 of Appendix A.

Concerning the third term on the *r.h.s.* of Eq.23, we use the result of Eq.B2 of Appendix B ($k = \{\mathbf{k}, z = (\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1\}$)

$$\frac{d}{dz} T_3^1(k, k; k) = -Z^{-1} (g_{AD} m_{AD})^2 \frac{1}{k_0} F_{a,2} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{k_0}, \frac{m_D}{m_1} \right)$$

The function $F_{a,2}$ is defined in Eq.B3 of Appendix B. After integration on \mathbf{k} , due to the occupation number $f(\xi_1) = \Theta(k_0 - |\mathbf{k}|)$, we find $\int_{|\mathbf{k}| < k_0} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d}{dz} T_3^1(k, k; k)$. If we rescale \mathbf{k} by k_0 we find the contribution to $n_1/|\Delta|^2$ for the third term of Eq.23

$$-Z^{-1} g_{AD} N_0 k_0 a_{AD} \frac{m_{AD}}{m_1} (4\pi^3) \int_{x < 1} \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} F_{a,2} \left(x, \frac{m_D}{m_1} \right) \quad (26)$$

We just have to multiply the three contributions of Eqs.24,25 and 26 by $|\Delta|^2$ in order to get the correction to the density of particles 1

$$\delta n_1^{(a)} = \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z} - N_0 \left(g_{AD} \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z} \right) (1 + k_0 a_{AD} (C_{a,1} + C_{a,2})) \quad (27)$$

The dimensionless constants $C_{a,1}$ and $C_{a,2}$ are given by $C_{a,1} = 2\pi F_{a,1}(1, m_D/m_1)$ and $C_{a,2} = 4\pi^3 (m_{AD}/m_1) \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \Theta(1-x) F_{a,2}(x, m_D/m_1)$.

Going to particles of species 2, we first have to consider the self-energy of the particles 2 in the normal phase. The situation is different for S_2 and S_1 , since, due to the positive chemical potential μ_1 , the particles 2 can interact with the Fermi Sea of particles 1. This problem is related to the impurity problem, which has been the object of various works both experimentally [13] and theoretically [14]. In the normal phase, at lowest order in the density of particles 1, the self-energy is given by the so called "ladder approximation" which is shown diagrammatically in Fig.3. Explicitely it is

$$\Sigma_2^{ladder}(k) = -\sum_K T_2(K) G_1^0(K-k) \quad (28)$$

This gives the contribution to the density of particles 2 at lowest order

$$\begin{aligned} n_2^{ladder} &= -\sum_k (G_2^0(k))^2 \Sigma_2^{ladder}(k) \\ &= \sum_{k,K} (G_2^0(k))^2 T_2(K) G_1^0(K-k) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We can make the change of variables $p = K - k$ in the double summation : $n_2^{ladder} = \sum_{k,p} (G_2^0(k))^2 T_2(k+p) G_1^0(p)$. In this way, we see that the integrand is analytical for $\text{Re}(i\omega_k) < 0$ and if we perform the frequency

summation on $i\omega_k$, we get zero by deforming the frequency contour in the half-plane $\text{Re}(i\omega_k) < 0$. We thus find

$$n_2^{ladder} = 0 \quad (30)$$




FIG. 2: Diagrammatic representation of $S_1^{(a)}(k)$ and $S_1^{(b)}(k)$.




FIG. 3: Diagrammatic representation of $\Sigma_2^{(ladder)}(k)$.

We have also the contribution of the generalized self-energy due to the condensate of dimers, similar to Eq.22 but for the particles 2 now

$$S_2^{(a)}(k) = |\Delta|^2 T_3^2(k, k; k) \quad (31)$$

The contribution to the density of particles 2 is using Eq.12

$$n_2^{(a)} = - \sum_k (G_2^0(k))^2 S_2^{(a)}(k) \quad (32)$$

It is shown in Appendix C that at the order we consider we have (*i.e.* up to order $(\text{density})^{5/3}$)

$$n_2^{(a)} = \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z} \quad (33)$$

Before closing this section, we notice that we could have written

$$S_2(k) \approx \Sigma_{ladder}(k) + S_2^{(a)}(k) \quad (34)$$

and use Eq.12 to calculate n_2 .

B. Gap equation

We determine now the lowest order contribution to $F'(k)$. We first have the contribution already found in Ref.[11] (see Fig.4(a))

$$\frac{F'^{(a)}(k)}{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2} |\Delta|^2 G_1^0(k) G_2^0(-k) \Phi(k, -k; 0, 0) \quad (35)$$

When $F'^{(a)}(k)$ is summed on k in the gap equation 20, we recover the dimer-dimer T matrix T_4 :

$$\sum_k \frac{F'^{(a)}(k)}{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2} T_4(0, 0; 0, 0) |\Delta|^2 \quad (36)$$

However there is an other contribution to $F'(k)$ due to the presence of the Fermi Sea of the extra 1 atoms, with one Δ and one hole propagator (see Fig.4(b))

$$\frac{F'^{(b)}(k)}{\Delta} = -G_1^0(k) G_2^0(-k) \sum_p G_1^0(p) T_2(p-k) T_3^1(k, p; p) \quad (37)$$

Then $F'^{(b)}(k)$ is summed on k and the order of summations on k and p is exchanged. The summation on k (including the minus sign) is precisely the integral part in the integral equation for $T_3^1(p, p; p)$ [15]. Therefore we have to subtract the Born approximation term $-G_2^0(-p)$

$$- \sum_k G_1^0(k) G_2^0(-k) T_2(p-k) T_3^1(k, p; p) = T_3^1(p, p; p) + G_2^0(-p)$$

In this way, we can transform the summation on k of Eq.37 in a summation on p

$$\sum_k \frac{F'^{(b)}(k)}{\Delta} = \sum_p G_1^0(p) [T_3^1(p, p; p) + G_2^0(-p)] \quad (38)$$

The quantity between brackets is analytical for $\text{Re}(i\omega_p) < 0$. We can therefore perform the integration on $i\omega_p$ and close the contour in the half-plane $\text{Re}(i\omega_p) < 0$. We pick the contribution of the pole of $G_1^0(p)$ when $|\mathbf{p}| < k_0$ and we get

$$\sum_k \frac{F'^{(b)}(k)}{\Delta} = \int_{p < k_0} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} [T_3^1(p, p; p) + G_2^0(-p)] \quad (39)$$

where p is "on the shell" $p = \{\mathbf{p}, (\mathbf{p}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1\}$. The second term in the brackets of Eq.39 is canceled by $-R(0)$ in the gap equation 20. Finally, we can write a final gap equation in terms of the bosonic chemical potential μ_B from Eqs.20,36,39

$$\mu_B \approx Z \left(\frac{1}{2} T_4(0, 0; 0, 0) |\Delta|^2 + \int_{p < k_0} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} T_3^1(p, p; p) \right) \quad (40)$$

where we have omitted terms of order μ_B^2 , *i.e.* of the order of the square of density. We will see this is sufficient at the order we consider.

We can express T_4 in terms of the dimer-dimer scattering length a_{DD} [15]. We have $T_4(0,0;0,0) = Z^{-2}(4\pi/m_D)(2a_{DD})$. The dimer-dimer coupling constant is defined as usual by $g_{DD} = (4\pi a_{DD})/m_D$. Moreover, we can use the expansion of Appendix A Eq.A7 for $T_3^1(k,k;k)$ at lowest order : $T_3^1(k,k;k) \approx g_{AD}/Z$. In this way, we can perform the integration on the wave vector \mathbf{k} and we get the mean-field like contributions $\mu_B = g_{DD}(|\Delta|^2/Z) + g_{AD}(k_0^3/6\pi^2)$. Using Eqs.9 and 33 we find the bosonic chemical potential in terms of the densities

$$\mu_B = g_{DD}n_2 + g_{AD}(n_1 - n_2) \quad (41)$$

which is a result at linear order in density for the bosonic chemical potential μ_B defined in Eq.19.

V. DENSITY EXPANSION : NEXT ORDER

In order to get a diagram for S_1 at next order in k_0 , we include the possibility to have a hole line (therefore going backward in a graph) in addition to one Δ and a Δ^* . Such graphs are shown in Fig.2(b). The arguments yielding to these graphs are given in Appendix D.

$$S_1^{(b)}(k) = |\Delta|^2 \sum_p T_3^1(p,k;p) T_2(p-k) T_3^1(k,p;p) G_1^0(p) \quad (42)$$

In order to calculate $\delta n_1^{(b)} = -\sum_k (G_1^0(k))^2 S_1^{(b)}(k)$, we need to perform two summations on the frequencies ω_k and ω_p . In the summation on ω_p , we use the fact that $T_3^1(p,k;p) (= T_3^1(k,p;p))$ (this is quite easily seen by writing down the diagrammatic expansion where one sees that p always appears with a plus sign) and $T_2(p-k)$ are analytical for $\text{Re}(i\omega_p) < 0$ and we perform the integration like in Eq.23. By doing so, due to the analytical properties of $T_3^1(p,k;p)$ and $T_2(p-k)$ as a function of the frequency $i\omega_p$, we close the integration contour in $\text{Re}(i\omega_p) < 0$ and we get a contribution of the pole of $G_1^0(p)$ for $|\mathbf{p}| < k_F$ and in this way we find that p is "on the shell" ($p = \{\mathbf{p}, (\mathbf{p}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1\}$). The summation on ω_k is also easily performed if we use the fact that $T_2(p-k)$ are analytical for $\text{Re}(i\omega_k) > 0$. Like in Eq.23, we get two terms due to the presence of a double pole in $(G_1^0(k))^2$, and k is on "the shell" ($k = \{\mathbf{k}, (\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1\}$). In the term with the derivative of the product $T_3^1(p,k;p) T_2(k-p)$, we see easily that the derivative of T_3^1 will give a finite term in the low density limit (as can be seen easily using for instance the integral equation for T_3^1). $T_2(k-p)$ can be written as the product of the bosonic propagator which is singular at low energy and wave vector times a regular function at low energy. The derivative of $T_2(k-p)$ at low energy thus gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial i\omega_k} \left[\frac{Z}{\frac{\mathbf{p}^2 - k_0^2}{2m_1} - i\omega_k - \frac{(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k})^2}{2m_D}} \right]_{i\omega_k = \frac{(\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2)}{2m_1}} \\ = \frac{Z}{\left[\frac{\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{k}^2}{2m_1} - i\omega_k - \frac{(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k})^2}{2m_D} \right]^2} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

We can now perform the integrations on the wave vectors \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{k} . Like in Appendix A, it can be shown that the low wave vector domain is dominant and we get for the correction to the density of particles 1

$$\delta n_1^{(b)} = -N_0 \left(g_{AD} \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z} \right) (k_0 a_{AD}) (C_b - C_{a,2}) \quad (44)$$

where the constant C_b is defined by

$$C_b = 4\pi \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\Theta(1-|\mathbf{x}|)(r+1)}{(r(1-\mathbf{x}^2) + (\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{x})^2)} \quad (45)$$

where \mathbf{u} is any unitary vector. Finally, the total density of fermions of species 1 is given in terms of Δ and k_0 ($\equiv \sqrt{2m_1\mu_1}$) by the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z} + \frac{k_0^3}{6\pi^2} \\ &- N_0 \left(g_{AD} \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z} \right) (1 + k_0 a_{AD} C(m_D/m_1)) \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where the constant $C(m_D/m_1) = C_{a,1} + C_b$. After calculation of the required integrals, one finds that C is given in terms of the function $f((m_D - m_1)/(m_D + m_1))$ given by Albus *et al.* [7]. Specifically we find $\pi C(m_D/m_1) = (4/3)f(\delta)$, where we have defined the dimensionless parameter

$$\delta \equiv \frac{(m_D - m_1)}{(m_D + m_1)} = \frac{m_2}{2m_1 + m_2} \quad (47)$$

and we have

$$f(\delta) = \frac{3(\delta-1)}{4\delta} + \frac{3(1+\delta)^2(1-\delta)}{8\delta^2} \ln\left(\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}\right) \quad (48)$$

For the particles 2, we find naturally that there is no contribution of diagrams similar to the ones shown in Fig.2(b) (but with the roles of particles 1 and 2 exchanged) because there is no hole for particles 2 at lowest order. The first term in the *r.h.s.* of Eq.46 is n_2 at the order we consider, due to Eq.33. Moreover we can rewrite Eq.46 by considering it as a Taylor expansion, after replacing C by $4/(3\pi)f$

$$n_1 - n_2 = \frac{(2m_1 [\mu_1 - g_{AD}n_2(1 + \frac{4}{3\pi}k_F a_{AD} f(\delta))])^{3/2}}{6\pi^2} \quad (49)$$




FIG. 4: The two contributions to $F'(k)$.

where we ignored terms of order $(density)^{5/3}$. At the order we consider we have replaced $|\Delta|^2/Z$ by n_2 in the *r.h.s.* of the equation, and k_0 by Fermi wave vector k_F in the term in factor of f . The Fermi wave vector is defined in terms of the density of fermions in excess in the usual way

$$k_F \equiv (6\pi^2(n_1 - n_2))^{1/3} \quad (50)$$

Eq.49 is easily inverted and we find

$$\mu_1 = \frac{k_F^2}{2m_1} + g_{AD}n_2 \left(1 + \frac{4k_F a_{AD}}{3\pi} f(\delta)\right) \quad (51)$$

We can now easily calculate μ_2 using Eq.51 and 41. Indeed, we can follow [7] and use that $\mu_1 = (\partial(E/V)/\partial n_1)_{n_2}$, where E is the ground state energy in a volume V . We integrate Eq.51 as a function of n_1 for $n_1 \leq n_2$, keeping n_2 fixed. We get

$$\frac{E}{V} = E_B(n_2) + \int_{n_2}^{n_1} dn'_1 \mu_1(n'_1, n_2) \quad (52)$$

where $E_B(n_2)$ is a constant of integration. We see from this equation it is the ground state energy (per unit volume) of the system with an equal number of particles 1 and 2 ("unpolarized" situation). We know from [11] it is given by the Lee-Huang-Yang (LHY) [16] expression. Inserting Eq.51 into Eq.52, we get, using the mean field term like term of the LHY expression for $E_B(n_2)$ (the LHY beyond mean-field correction is of order $(density)^{5/2}$ which we neglect at the order we consider)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E}{V} = & -|E_b|n_2 + \frac{1}{2}g_{DD}(n_2)^2 + \frac{3}{10}\frac{k_F^5}{m_1} \\ & + g_{AD}(n_1 - n_2)n_2 \left(1 + \frac{k_F a_{AD}}{\pi} f(\delta)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

which is an expansion up to terms of order $(density)^{7/3}$. From this we can take the derivative with respect to n_2

and we find for the chemical potential of particles 2

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 = & -|E_b| - \frac{k_F^2}{2m_1} + g_{DD}n_2 \\ & + g_{AD}(n_1 - 2n_2) \\ & + g_{AD} \left(\frac{k_F a_{AD} f(\delta)}{3\pi} (3n_1 - 7n_2) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where we have included terms up to order $(density)^{4/3}$. The bosonic chemical potential $\mu_B \equiv \mu_1 + \mu_2 + |E_b|$ is then from Eq.51 and 54

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B = & g_{DD}n_2 \\ & + g_{AD}(n_1 - n_2) \left(1 + \frac{k_F a_{AD} f(\delta)}{\pi}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Looking at Eq.51 and 55, we find that we recover precisely at this order the result of Albus *et al.* [7] Eqs. 76 and 67 respectively if we consider that there are n_2 dimers considered as pointlike bosons (mass m_D) and $n_1 - n_2$ fermions (mass m_1). The boson-boson interaction is described by the scattering length a_{DD} and the fermion-bosons interaction is characterized by the scattering length a_{AD} .

VI. IMPURITY PROBLEM AND ONE-PARTICLE GAP

For a system with N_1 particles 1 and N_2 particles 2, we denote the groundstate energy $E(N_1, N_2)$. A single particle 2 (an "impurity") immersed in a Fermi Sea of particles 1 has an excitation energy

$$E(N_1, 1) - E(N_1, 0) = \mu_2(n_1, n_2 = 0) \quad (56)$$

In the BEC limit, this problem has been the subject of many studies recently both experimentally [13] and theoretically [14]. From Eq.54 with $n_2 = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2^{imp} = & -|E_b| - \frac{k_F^2}{2m_1} \\ & + g_{AD}n_1 \left(1 + \frac{k_F a_{AD} f(\delta)}{\pi}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where $k_F \equiv (6\pi^2 n_1)^{1/3}$. This result, and also a next-order correction, was found by Mora and Chevy in Ref.[14].

The one-particle gap Δ_{gap} is related to the ground-state energy via [10],[17]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{gap} = & \frac{1}{2} (2E(N+1, N) - E(N+1, N+1) - E(N, N)) \\ = & \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

From Eq.51,54, we find

$$\Delta_{gap} = \frac{1}{2} (|E_b| + (2g_{AD} - g_{DD})n_2) \quad (59)$$

a result given in Eq.(40) (for particles of equal masses) of Ref.[10].

VII. COMMENTS AND CONCLUSION

We have presented in this work a low density expansion of the equation of state of a condensate of composite bosons made of two fermions of two different species, in the case where one of the two species is in excess. We have shown results of the expansion for the chemical potentials up to order $(\text{density})^{4/3}$. However there must be terms of the type of the LHY correction of order $(\text{density})^{3/2}$ (more precisely of the type $n_2^{3/2}$ and most probably of type $n_1 n_2^{1/2}$). In order to see the quantitative importance of the LHY correction and the correction to μ_B given in Eq.55, we calculate the ratio R between these two terms

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{g_{DD} n_2 \frac{32}{3\sqrt{\pi}} (n_2 a_{DD}^3)^{1/2}}{g_{AD} (n_1 - n_2) k_F a_{AD} \frac{f(\delta)}{\pi}} \\ &= 0.258 \left(\frac{n_2}{n_F} \right)^{4/3} \sqrt{k_{F,b} a} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

with $n_F \equiv n_1 - n_2$ and $k_{F,b} \equiv (6\pi^2 n_2)^{1/3}$. We have taken $m_1 = m_2$, $a_{DD}/a = 0.6$ [18][15], $a_{AD}/a = 1.18$ [19] in order to get the numerical prefactor. In principle, for fermions densities of the same order of magnitude, the ratio n_2/n_F is of order 1, and the ratio R tends to 0 in the dilute limit $\sqrt{k_{F,b} a} \rightarrow 0$. However, in the experiment of Ref.[1], looking at Fig.4a, we estimate at the center of the trap $n_2/n_F \approx 8$ and $k_{F,b} a \approx 1$. This gives $R \approx 4.1$. Close to the edge of the bosonic cloud, if we estimate that the dimer density is divided by 2, this gives $\sqrt{k_{F,b} a} \approx 0.9$ and for a ratio $n_2/n_F \approx 4$, we find $R \approx 1.46$. From this order of magnitude estimate, we see that including the corrections of Eqs.51,54 and 55 for the equations of state (in addition to the LHY correction as it was done in Ref.[1]) should improve quantitatively the analysis of the experiment of Ref.[1].

Acknowledgments: We thank F. Chevy, R. Combescot, C. Mora, P. Pieri and G. C. Strinati for interesting discussions. F. A. thanks the CNRS for financial support.

Appendix A: Corrections to T_3^1

We want first to determine at lowest order in the densities the difference $T_3^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(0, 0; 0)$ where $k = \{\mathbf{k}, (\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2)/(2m_1)\}$ and $|\mathbf{k}| \ll a^{-1}$. $T_{3,v}^1$ is the dimer-atom 1 T -matrix *in vacuum*. It is obtained

from T_3^1 by setting μ_1 to 0 and μ_2 to $-|E_b|$. One can define in the same way $T_{2,v}$, G_1^v and G_2^v . We write $T_3^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(0, 0; 0) = (T_3^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k)) + (T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(0, 0; 0))$, and we calculate the two differences between parentheses separately.

$$1. \quad T_3^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k)$$




FIG. 5: The diagrammatic expansion of $T_3^1(k, k; k)$.

In order to calculate the first difference, we note that it comes from the fact that the fermionic propagators and the dimer propagator are different in vacuum and with $\mu_1 \neq 0$ and $\mu_2 \neq -|E_b|$. The principle of the method is therefore the following : we write $\Delta G_{1,2}(k) = G_{1,2}^0(k) - G_{1,2}^v(k)$, $\Delta T_2(K) = T_2(K) - T_{2,v}(K)$ and we expand the diagrammatic series for T_3^1 in powers of $\Delta G_{1,2}$ and ΔT_2 . If we look at the diagrams for $T_3^1(k, k; k)$ with N dimer propagators T_2 , we see that G_1^0 appear N times in $G_1^0(q_p)$ for $p = 1, \dots, N$ (see Fig.5). If we write $G_1^0(q_p) = G_1^v(q_p) + \Delta G_1(q_p)$, the term of order $\Delta G_1(q_p)$ will be multiplied by $T_{2,v}(k - q_p)$, by all the diagrams of $T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k)$ on his left and by all the diagrams of $T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k)$ on his right. However those diagrams are Feynman diagrams for $T_{3,v}^1(k, q; k)$ and $T_{3,v}^1(q, k; k)$ respectively. Therefore when we resum all the diagrams with any number of T_2 we have

$$\sum_q T_{3,v}^1(k, q; k) T_{2,v}(k - q) \Delta G_1(q) T_{3,v}^1(q, k; k) \quad (A1)$$

where we have taken the atom-atom and atom-dimer T matrices in vacuum at lowest order. By looking at the diagrammatic expansion of $T_{3,v}^1(k, q; k)$ ($= T_{3,v}^1(q, k; k)$), we find that it is analytic for $\text{Re}(i\omega_q) > 0$ ($q = \{\mathbf{q}, i\omega_q\}$ appears always with a minus sign in a particle 2 propagator G_2). For the same reason $T_2(k - q)$ is analytic for $\text{Re}(i\omega_q) > 0$. In the zero temperature limit, we can therefore transform the frequency summation in Eq.A1

in an integral along the imaginary axis. Then we deform this contour in the half-plane $\mathcal{R}e(i\omega_q) > 0$. We get the two contributions of the poles of $\Delta G_1(q)$ at the frequencies $(\mathbf{q}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1$ and $\mathbf{q}^2/2m_1$ ("on the shell") for $G_0^1(q)$ and $G_v^1(q)$ respectively

$$\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} [(T_{3,v}^1(k, q; k))^2 T_{2,v}(k - q) \Theta(|\mathbf{q}| - k_0) - (T_{3,v}^1(k, q; k))^2 T_{2,v}(k - q)] \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $q = \{\mathbf{q}, (\mathbf{q}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1\}$ and $q = \{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^2/2m_1\}$ for the first and second terms respectively. The main contribution to the integral comes from small wavevectors $|\mathbf{q}| \ll a^{-1}$ as is explained below. In this case, we can replace $T_{3,v}$ by its low energy value $T_{3,v}(0, 0; 0) = Z^{-1}g_{AD}$ (the Z factor comes from the two external dimer lines, each one giving a \sqrt{Z} factor[15, 20]). For such small wavevectors, we can also take $T_{2,v}(\{\mathbf{K}, i\Omega_K\}) \approx Z/(i\Omega_K - \mathbf{K}^2/2m_D)$ (a bosonic propagator multiplied by two \sqrt{Z} factors for the incoming and outgoing dimer end). We therefore get at lowest order

$$T_3^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k) = Z^{-1}(g_{AD})^2 \times \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{\Theta(|\mathbf{q}| - k_0)}{\frac{(\mathbf{k}^2 - \mathbf{q}^2)}{2m_1} - \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2m_D}} - \frac{1}{\frac{(\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2 - \mathbf{q}^2)}{2m_1} - \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2m_D}} \right] \quad (\text{A3})$$

We note that this correction is of order k_0 (since $|\mathbf{k}|$ is of order k_0), *i.e.* of order $(\text{density})^{1/3}$, due to the "small denominators" of order k_0^2 .

We show now that the contribution to integral A2 for wavevectors $|\mathbf{q}| > \Lambda$, where Λ is a cut-off, with $k_0 \ll \Lambda \ll a^{-1}$ is negligible. Indeed, in such a range of wavevectors, we can perform a Taylor expansion of the difference in Eq.A2 with respect with the energy variable of q . The energy difference is of order k_0^2 , and by close inspection of the high wavevectors behavior of T_3^1 and T_2 , we find a converging integral at infinity. The low wave vector behavior ($\sim \Lambda$) is dominated by the small denominators of the T_2 's and this gives a contribution of order $k_0^2\Lambda$. Compare to the term of Eq.A3, this is negligible if $k_0\Lambda a^2 \ll 1$. This is possible if $\Lambda = (k_0/a)^{1/2}$ for instance. As a conclusion, the high wavevector domain gives a negligible contribution.

We can make the same sort of analysis with ΔT_2 . In this case, we would find that the characteristic low wave vector is of order the square root of the density (this is obtained by comparing μ_B and R to \mathbf{K}^2 in the denominator of Eq.16). This gives a contribution of the order of $(\text{density})^{1/2}$ instead of k_0 which is of the order of $(\text{density})^{1/3}$. Therefore it is negligible.

We can also consider the effect of ΔG_2 . There is no "small denominator" in this case, since one has to compare the kinetic energy $\mathbf{q}^2/2m_2$ to the (large) chemical potential $\mu_2 \approx -|E_b|$. As a result, we find the correction is of order the chemical potential correction $\mu_2 + |E_b|$, which is of order k_0^2 . Therefore it is negligible here.

2. $T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k) - T_{3,v}^1(0, 0; 0)$

In order to calculate this difference, we note that in the diagrammatic expansion of $T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k)$, the 4-wavevector k appears only in $G_2^v(k - q_p - q_{p+1})$ and in $T_2(k - k_p)$ (not in the G_1^v 's). We define now $\Delta T_2(k - q_p) = T_2(k - q_p) - T_2(-q_p)$ and similarly for ΔG_2 .

The corrections of order ΔG_2 gives a negligible term again, for the same reason as given in subsection A 1.

The correction of order ΔT_2 can be analyzed like the correction of order ΔG_1 above. Indeed, we can permute the roles of $T_2(k - q_p)$ and $G_1(q_p)$ in the argument. In this way, we find

$$\sum_q T_{3,v}^1(0, q; 0) \Delta T_{2,v}(k - q) G_1^v(q) T_{3,v}^1(q, 0; 0) \quad (\text{A4})$$

The frequency summation is done as above and we get the contribution "on the shell"

$$\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} (T_{3,v}^1(0, \{\mathbf{q}, \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_1}\}; 0))^2 (T_{2,v}(\{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \frac{\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2 - \mathbf{q}^2}{2m_1}\}) - T_{2,v}(\{-\mathbf{q}, -\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_1}\})) \quad (\text{A5})$$

We can show again that the low wave vector domain gives the main contribution, and replace the atom-dimer T matrix by $Z^{-1}g_{AD}$ and the T_2 's by their bosonic expressions. In this way we get at lowest order

$$T_{3,v}^1(k, k; k) - Z^{-1}g_{AD} = Z^{-1}(g_{AD})^2 \times \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1}{\frac{(\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2 - \mathbf{q}^2)}{2m_1} - \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2m_D}} + \frac{1}{\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_{AD}}} \right] \quad (\text{A6})$$

Collecting Eq.A3 and A6, we get

$$T_3^1(k, k; k) - Z^{-1}g_{AD} = Z^{-1}(g_{AD})^2(k_0)m_{AD}F_{a,1}\left(\frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{k_0}, \frac{m_D}{m_1}\right) \quad (\text{A7})$$

where the dimensionless function $F_{a,1}$ is defined by

$$F_{a,1}(x, r) = 2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{\Theta(|\mathbf{y}| - 1)(r + 1)}{r(\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2) - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^2} \right] \quad (\text{A8})$$

Appendix B: Evaluation of $\frac{d}{dz} T_3^1(k, k; k)$

In order to evaluate this derivative, we note again that k appears in the diagrammatic expansion of $T_3^1(k, k; k)$

in the propagators of the particles 2 $G_2(k - q_p - q_{p+1})$ and in the dimer propagators $T_2(k - q_p)$. If we take the derivative of $T_2(k - q)$ with respect to the frequency of k , which we denote z here, we get similarly to A 2

$$\sum_q T_3^1(k, q; k) \frac{d}{dz} T_2(k - q) G_1^0(q) T_3^1(q, k; k) \quad (\text{B1})$$

We can perform the frequency summation as before, which has the effect to take the frequency "on the shell" ($i\omega_q = (\mathbf{q}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1$) and $|\mathbf{q}| > k_0$. Again, the low wave-vector domain gives the main contribution. We can safely replace the T_3^1 's by $Z^{-1}g_{AD}$ and T_2 by the bosonic expression. We get at lowest order (using $k = \{\mathbf{k}, (\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2)/2m_1\}$)

$$\frac{d}{dz} T_3^1(k, k; k) = -Z^{-1}(g_{AD}m_{AD})^2 \frac{1}{k_0} F_{a,2} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{k_0}, \frac{m_D}{m_1} \right) \quad (\text{B2})$$

where

$$F_{a,2}(x, r) = 4 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\Theta(|\mathbf{y}| - 1)(r + 1)^2}{(r(\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2) - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2)^2} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Appendix C: Calculation of $n_2^{(a)}$

We proceed like for the calculation of correction to T_3 : for each propagator (G_1^0 , G_2^0 or T_2) we write it as the sum of its value in vacuum plus a difference. In this way, we are left with most of the propagators in vacuum. Therefore we start with all diagrams in vacuum for $S_2^{(a)}$. The calculation of the contribution to n_2 is then similar to Ref.[11], due to the analytical properties of $T_{3,v}^2(k, k; k)$ as a function of the frequency. We find $n_2 = \frac{|\Delta|^2}{Z}$. In the course of the calculation, we have used $2\mu \approx -|E_b|$ and we have neglected terms of the order of the density.

We turn now to corrections to propagators.

1. Correction of order ΔG_1

We first consider corrections of order ΔG_1 . Looking at the diagrams for $S_2^{(a)}$, they look like Fig.5, but with the roles of particles 1 and 2 exchanged. We see that G_1 appears in four places : *i*) $G_1^0(-q_1)$, *ii*) $G_1^0(-q_N)$, *iii*) $G_1^0(k - q_p - q_{p+1})$ and *iv*) $G_1^0(-k)$.

In case *i*), we find the contribution to n_2

$$|\Delta|^2 \sum_{k, q_1} (G_2^v(k))^2 \Delta G_1(-q_1) T_2(k - q_1) G_2^v(q_1) T_{3,v}^2(q_1, k; k)$$

But in the diagrammatic expansion of $T_{3,v}^2(q_1, k; k)$, the variable k only appears with a plus sign. This means $T_{3,v}^2(q_1, k; k)$ is analytical for $\text{Re}(i\omega_k) < 0$. This is also

true for $T_2(k - q_1)$, therefore, when we sum on ω_k , we find zero. The case *ii*) is similar if we replace q_1 by q_N , and we also find a result equal to zero.

The case *iii*) is different. We find, similarly to what was found in A 1, the following contribution to the density of particles 2

$$\sum_{k, q, q'} (G_2^v(k))^2 T_{3,v}^2(k, q; k) T_2(k - q) G_2^v(q) \Delta G_1(k - q - q') T_{3,v}^2(q', k; k) T_2(k - q') G_2^v(q') \quad (\text{C1})$$

All the integrand except $\Delta G_1(k - q - q')$ is analytical for $\text{Re}(i\omega_k) < 0$. As usual by deforming the contour of integration in the half plane $\text{Re}(i\omega_k) < 0$, we get a pole of $G_1^0(k - q - q')$ for $i\omega_k = i\omega_q + i\omega_{q'} + ((\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')^2 - k_0^2)/(2m_1)$ if $|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'| < k_0$. The difference $((\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')^2 - k_0^2)/(2m_1)$ is small compared to the binding energy $|E_b|$, which is the energy scale of $T_{3,v}^1$. Therefore we can replace at lowest order $i\omega_k$ by $i\omega_q + i\omega_{q'}$ in Eq.C1. In the same manner, since $|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'| < k_0$ is much smaller than a^{-1} a typical wave vector scale for $T_{3,v}^1$, we can replace \mathbf{k} by $\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'$ in Eq.C1. This means that we can replace everywhere, at lowest order, the 4-momentum k by $q + q'$ in Eq.C1. The integrand is then independant of \mathbf{k} and we get a phase space factor $k_0^3/(6\pi^2)$. The remaining summations on q and q' give the factor

$$\sum_{q, q'} (G_2^v(q + q'))^2 T_{3,v}^2(q + q', q; q + q') T_2(q') G_2^v(q) T_{3,v}^2(q', q + q'; q + q') T_2(q) G_2^v(q') \quad (\text{C2})$$

We can perform the summation on the frequencies as before (only the "Born" contributions to $T_{3,v}^2$ contribute) and we get the two contributions "on the shell" $i\omega_q = -\mathbf{q}^2/(2m_1)$ and $i\omega_{q'} = -\mathbf{q}'^2/(2m_1)$

$$\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q} d^3\mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^6} T_2(\mathbf{q}, -\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_1}) G_2^v(\mathbf{q}, -\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_1}) T_2(\mathbf{q}', -\frac{\mathbf{q}'^2}{2m_1}) G_2^v(\mathbf{q}', -\frac{\mathbf{q}'^2}{2m_1}) \left(G_2^v(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}', -\frac{\mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}'^2}{2m_1}) \right)^2 \quad (\text{C3})$$

This integral is convergent close to $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{0}$. It is also easily shown that it converges when the wave vectors tend to infinity. The integral C3 is therefore a constant, independant of k_0 . As a conclusion, this case *iii*) gives a contribution to n_2 of order $|\Delta|^2(k_0)^3 = (\text{density})^2$ and we can safely neglect it.

Finally we consider the case *iv*). This is given by

$$|\Delta|^2 \sum_k (G_2^v(k))^2 \Delta G_1(-k) \quad (\text{C4})$$

The frequency integration is easily done and we find

$$|\Delta|^2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{\Theta(k - k_0)}{\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2 + a - 2}{2m_r} - \mu_1 \right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2 + a - 2}{2m_r} \right)^2} \right] \approx \mu_1 |\Delta|^2 2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2 + a - 2}{2m_r} \right)^3} \quad (\text{C5})$$

Performing the integral on \mathbf{k} , we find the contribution to n_2

$$\mu_1 |\Delta|^2 \pi (m_r a)^3 \quad (\text{C6})$$

2. Correction of order ΔG_2

Next, we study the contributions of order ΔG_2 . In a diagram for $(G_2^0(k))^2 S_2^{(a)}(k)$, a particle 2 propagator can be *i*) in the two external propagators $G_2^0(k)$ or *ii*) in the dimer-(fermion 2) T -matrix $T_3^2(k, k; k)$. In case *i*) the correction to n_2 of order ΔG_2 is given by

$$-2|\Delta|^2 \sum_k G_2^v(k) \Delta G_2(k) T_{3,v}^2(k, k; k) \quad (\text{C7})$$

We can then write $T_{3,v}^2$ as the sum of $-G_1^v(-k)$, which has a pole for $-\mathbf{k}^2/(2m_1) < 0$, plus a function which is analytical for $\mathcal{R}e(i\omega_k) < 0$ [11]. The frequency summation is then done as usual by deforming the contour of integration in the half plane $\mathcal{R}e(i\omega_k) < 0$. We get in this way, keeping terms of order $(\text{density})^{2/3} |\Delta|^2$

$$-2(\mu_2 + |E_b|) |\Delta|^2 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2 + a^{-2}}{2m_r})} \quad (\text{C8})$$

But $\mu_2 + |E_b| = \mu_B - \mu_1 = -\mu_1 + O(\text{density})$. And at lowest order we can replace $-\mu_2$ by μ_1 . This gives the contribution

$$-\mu_1 |\Delta|^2 \pi (m_r a)^3 \quad (\text{C9})$$

The case *ii*) is similar to what we discussed in A 1, except that the roles of particles 1 and 2 are exchanged. Therefore we find for the contribution to n_2

$$\sum_{k,q} (G_2^v(k))^2 T_{3,v}^2(k, q; k) T_2(k - q) \Delta G_2(q) T_{3,v}^2(q, k; k) \quad (\text{C10})$$

But all the functions are analytical for $\mathcal{R}e(i\omega_k) < 0$. Therefore, we can perform the summation on ω_k by transforming it into an integral, and deform the contour in the half-plane $\mathcal{R}e(i\omega_k) < 0$. In this way, we find that the sum of Eq.C10 is zero.

3. Correction of order ΔT_2

We finish this appendix by addressing the contributions to n_2 of order ΔT_2 . They are similar to the ones of order ΔG_2 , since for every dimer propagator T_2 there is a fermion 2 propagator G_2 . We find

$$\sum_{k,q} (G_2^v(k))^2 T_{3,v}^2(k, q; k) \Delta T_2(k - q) G_2^v(q) T_{3,v}^2(q, k; k) \quad (\text{C11})$$

Similarly to Eq.C10, we find that this contribution is zero.

4. Conclusion

As a conclusion of this Appendix, we find that the two corrections Eqs.C6,C9 of order $|\Delta|^2 k_0^2$ exactly compensate, and we find $n_2 = |\Delta|^2 / Z$ and there is no correction up to order $(\text{density})^{5/3}$ included.

Appendix D: Feynman diagrams of $S_1^{(b)}$




FIG. 6: (a) A general diagram for $S_1(k)$ with one hole line, one Δ and one Δ^* . (b) Diagrammatic expansion of the new vertex. Only the first diagram contributes at lowest order (see text).

We explain here why the Feynman diagrams for the generalized self-energy $S_1(k)$ are given by Fig.2(b) at the order we consider. We look for graphs with two anomalous self-energies (one Δ , one Δ^*) and one hole line. In general this can be drawn as shown in Fig.6(a). The white box is a new vertex with one incoming dimer (made of a fermion of species 1 and a fermion of species 2), two incoming fermions of species 1 and one outgoing dimer and two outgoing fermions of species 1. This sort of vertex is very similar to the vertex Φ introduced in [15] with one incoming dimer and two incoming fermions, which *do not* interact first (this condition is automatically fulfilled here since the two incoming fermions are of the same species). In this case, one of the incoming fermions 1 first interacts with the fermion 2 of the incoming dimer. All the subsequent processes are resummed in the dimer-fermion T -matrix T_3^1 and we have one dimer and two fermions 1 at the output of T_3^1 . Then the other fermion 1 interacts with the dimer, giving another T_3^1 , (see Fig.6(b)) etc ... Consider the first term with two T_3^1 's in Fig.6(b). In order to get the generalized self-energy, we have to close a fermion 1 loop. It is then rather easy to see that in order to avoid double counting, we must consider the diagram of Fig.2(b). As is shown in Eq.44, this gives a contribution to the density of fermions 1 of order

$|\Delta|^2 k_0^2$. This order of magnitude can be estimated easily : the condition $|\mathbf{k}| < k_0$ gives a factor k_0^3 , the hole line in S_1 gives another factor k_0^3 . But there is a large term of order $1/k_0^4$ coming from the derivative of $T_2(p-k)$. This is so because *both* p and k are at low energy and therefore there is a small energy denominator of order $k_0^2/(2m_1)$. If

we consider now the other terms with three or more T_3^1 , we will get the same phase space factor $(k_0)^6$, but as it is easily seen by writing the diagrams, the dimer propagators will never be at low energy, and we will not get the large prefactor $1/(k_0)^4$. This concludes the justification of retaining diagrams of Fig.2(b).

-
- [1] Yong-il Shin *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 070404 (2008)
 - [2] D. E. Seehy and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060401 (2006)
 - [3] S. Pilati and S. Giorgini Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030401 (2008)
 - [4] P. Pieri and G. C. Strinati Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 150404 (2006)
 - [5] M. Iskin and C. A. R. Sà de Melo Phys. Rev. A **77**, 013625 (2008)
 - [6] E. Taylor, A. Griffin and Y. Ohashi Phys. Rev. A **76**, 023614 (2007)
 - [7] A. P. Albus, S. A. Gardiner, F. Illuminati and M. Wilkens Phys. Rev. A **65**, 053607 (2002)
 - [8] L. Viverit and S. Giorgini Phys. Rev. A **66**, 063604 (2002)
 - [9] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of quantum field theory in statistical physics* (Dover, 1975).
 - [10] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari Rev. Mod. Phys. **80** 1215 (2008)
 - [11] X. Leyronas and R. Combescot Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 170402 (2007); R. Combescot and X. Leyronas Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053621 (2008)
 - [12] R. Combescot, X. Leyronas and M. Yu. Kagan Phys. Rev. A **73**, 023618 (2006)
 - [13] G. Partridge *et al.* Science **311**, 503 (2006); M. Zwierlein *et al.* Science **311**, 492 (2006); S. Nascimbène *et al.* arXiv:0907.3032
 - [14] N. Prokof'ev and B. Svistunov Phys. Rev. B **77**, 020408(R) (2008); R. Combescot, S. Giraud and X. Leyronas, Europhys. Lett. **88**, 60007 (2009); C. Mora and F. Chevy Phys. Rev. A **80**, 033607 (2009); M. Punk, P. T. Dumitrescu and W. Zwerger Phys. Rev. A **80**, 053605 (2009)
 - [15] I.V. Brodsky, A. V. Klapptsov, M. Yu Kagan, R. Combescot and X. Leyronas JETP Letters vol. 82, issue 5, page 273-278 (2005); Phys. Rev. A **73**, 032724 (2006)
 - [16] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1119 (1957); T. D. Lee, K. Huang and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **106**, 1135 (1957)
 - [17] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, New York) 1980
 - [18] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**,
 - [19] G. V. Skorniakov and K. A. Ter-Martirosian, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **31**, 775 (1956) [Sov. Phys. JETP **4**, 648 (1957)]
 - [20] J. Levinsen and V. Gurarie, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 053607 (2006)