C rystallization of ion clouds in octupole traps: structural transitions, core m elting, and scaling laws

F . Calvo

LASIM, Universite Claude Bernard Lyon 1 and UMR 5579, CNRS, 43 Bd du 11 Novembre 1918, F69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

C. Cham penois

PIIM, UMR 6633 Universite de Provence and CNRS, Campus Universitaire de Saint-Jerôm e C21, F13397 Marseille œdex 20, France

E.Yurtsever

Koc University, Rum elifeneriyolu, Sariyer, Istanbul 34450, Turkey (Dated: April 2, 2024)

The stable structures and melting properties of ion clouds in isotropic octupole traps are investigated using a combination of sem i-analytical and numerical models, with a particular emphasis at nite size scaling e ects. Sm all-size clouds are found to be hollow and arranged in shells corresponding approximately to the solutions of the Thom son problem. The shell structure is lost in clusters containing more than a few thousands of ions, the inner parts of the cloud becoming soft and am orphous. W hile melting is triggered in the core shells, the melting temperature unexpected by follows the rule expected for three-dimensional dense particles, with a depression scaling linearly with the inverse radius.

PACS num bers: 36.40 E i, 52.27 Jt, 52.27 G r

I. IN TRODUCTION

The storage of cold ions in electrostatic and m agnetic elds (Penning traps) or in radio-frequency electric elds (Paultraps) has become possible with the advent of laser cooling techniques [1, 2, 3, 4]. For few-particle systems in quadrupole traps, this has lead to m any applications ranging from high resolution spectroscopy and optical frequency standards [5, 6] to quantum inform ation [7, 8, 9] and tests on the possible variations of the fundam ental constants [10, 11]. W hile these e ective harm onic traps allow focussing the ions at the center, a greater number of ions with reduced rf driven m otion can be stored in higher-order con nem ents, with possible uses as m icrow ave clocks for deep space navigation [12] or to control cold chem ical reactions [13] of astrophysical relevance.

Large samples of ions also o er a practical realization of the classical many-body Coulom b problem with interesting collective properties such as phase transitions. Crystallization of ion clouds has been observed in quadrupole traps [14, 15, 16], in Penning traps [3, 17], and theoretically studied by several groups [18, 19]. There, ion clouds crystallize into well-de ned shells at sm all sizes [18], and then into the bcc W igner crystal in sam ples exceeding about 10^4 ions [19]. M elting can be experimentally triggered by varying the trap parameters [20], and proceeds by separate radial and orientational m echanism s in sm all clusters [21]. Schi er [22] has reported from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations that the large clouds melt from the surface, resulting in a melting temperature that varies linearly with inverse cluster radius.

In comparison, the properties of cold ion clouds in higher-order traps are much less docum ented, despite speci c investigations for octupole traps [23, 24]. U sing various sem i-analytical and num erical methods, we show in this article that the stable structures of ion clouds in octupole traps are generally made of multiple distinct shells only when the number of ions does not exceed a few thousands. A bove this approxim ate size, layering is progressively lost except in the outerm ost regions of the cloud. We also found that these clusters melt from the core and, quite unexpectedly, exhibit a depression in the melting point that scales linearly with the cloud radius.

In the next section, the model is described and the structural properties of clusters are investigated. In Sec. III, the nite temperature aspects are covered, and som e concluding remarks are nally given in Sec. IV.

II. MODELAND STATIC PROPERTIES

The system we are investigating consists of N identical ions with charge q and m ass m, trapped in an isotropic octopole trap. We denote by the radiofrequency of the electric eld and by E (r) its amplitude at position r. We assume that the adiabatic approximation holds and that the m acromotion is driven by the so-called pseudopotential $_{\rm T}$ (r) as

$$_{T}(r) = \frac{q^{2}}{4m^{2}} E(r)^{2}$$
: (1)

fcalvo@ lasim .univ-lyon1.fr

W e further assume that the rf-driven motion that superposes to the macromotion can be neglected for the present purposes. For sim plicity of the following analysis, the electric eld derives from a purely radial threedim ensional octupole potential E = gradV with V = V_0 r⁴, r being the distance from the trap center and V_0 a constant. The pseudopotential then scales as r^6 and the potential energy felt by an assembly of ions can thus be written as

$$(fr_{i}g) = {}_{T}(fr_{i}g) + {}_{C}(fr_{i}g);$$

= A $r_{i}^{6} + B$ $1=r_{ij};$ (2)
 i $i < j$

where we have denoted by r_i and r_{ij} the distances of ion i to the center of the trap and to particle j, respectively. The constants A and B in the previous equation can be rem oved by scaling of the quantities r! e = r and ! e = B, with $= (A = B)^{1=7}$. In the following, reduced units are thus chosen for both distances and energies, which amounts to using A = B = 1 in Eq. (2).

We have rst located the structures that globally minim ize Eq. (2) at xed size N 200, em ploying the basinhopping M onte C arlo m ethod [25] successfully used in previous related work [26]. The ions arrange into a single spherical thin shell for N < 109, and two shells above this size. This shell structure can be fruit fully used to predict the minim a for larger clouds, assuming that the radial density is written as a sum over M concentric shells of zero thickness. Such a shellm odelw as initially developed for the quadrupole trap [27] and im proved for intra-shell correlations [28]. The energy to be minimized, shell is a function of the radii fR_ig of the shells, each shell i carrying N_i ions (plus one possible ion at the center, N₀):

$$_{\text{shell}}(fR_{i};N_{i}g) = \frac{X^{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i} \frac{E_{c}^{\text{intra}}(N_{i})}{R_{i}} + \frac{N_{i}}{R_{i}} e_{r}^{7} + \frac{X_{i}}{\sum_{j < i}^{i} N_{j}A};$$
(3)

In the above equation, we have denoted by E_{C}^{intra} (N) the intra-shell Coulom b energy in which the particles lie on the unit sphere. This energy is minim ized at the solutions of the Thom son problem [29], which have been tabulated up to rather large sizes [30]

$$E_{C}^{\text{intra}}(\mathbb{N}) = E_{Th}(\mathbb{N}): \qquad (4)$$

A Iternatively, for large numbers of ions, an asymptotic expression can be substituted for the intra-shellC oulom b energy [31] as

$$E_{C}^{\text{intra}}(N) = \frac{N(N-\frac{P_{N}}{N})}{2}; \qquad (5)$$

where the N contribution accounts for intra-shell correlations (neglecting correlations in a mean-eld approach would yield N (N 1)=2). Following the recent results of C ioslow skiand G rzebielucha [31] the param eter

was taken as 1.10610 to account for correlations. The

above energy shell (fR i; N ig) can be exactly m in im ized, resulting in the expression for the radius of shell i

$$R_{i} = 4 \frac{1}{6} Q \frac{E_{C}^{intra} (N_{i})}{N_{i}} + \frac{X_{j} A 5}{\int_{j < i} X_{j} A 5} :$$
 (6)

The remaining m in imization of $_{\rm shell}$ with respect to the fN_ig must then be carried out num erically under the constraint of a xed total num ber of ions.

W hen the tabulated optim al Thom son energies are used in place for E_{c}^{intra} (N), the minimization problem is variational and provides rigorous upper bounds to the exact values. If the asymptotic expression of Eq. (5) is employed instead, energies lower than the num erically exact minim a may be reached due to the approximate nature of this asymptotic form. The optim ized energies, outer radius, and shell arrangem ents obtained from Monte Carlo minimization are listed in Table I for selected cluster sizes. In this table, the predictions of the shell models in which the intra-shell energies are either taken from the tabulated Thom son m in in a [30] (discrete model) or from the asymptotic expression (continuous model) are also given.

Energies, shell radius and ion arrangem ents agree very well between the three methods, the shell models som etim es producing di erences of 1 ion in the shells for the larger clusters containing 180 ions or m ore. Energies and radii obtained from the tabulated Thom son minim a are in very good agreem ent with the exact results until two shells are form ed above 100 ions. The agreem ent is not as good when the asymptotic form for the Thomson energies is used, the energy being slightly underestim ated. This underestim ation suggests that the value of the param eter

used in the shellm odel, which was taken from extrapolations of the Thom son m odel to the large sizes regime [31], m ay be slightly excessive at sm all sizes. The greater validity of the current value of to large sizes is also indicated by the relative error between the shell energy and the M onte C arlo data, which for the system s considered in Table I decreases from 0.5% to less than 0.01% as the num ber of ions increases from 10 to 200. Despite this system atic error, we generally nd that the exact energy obtained by m in im ization lies in between the predictions of the two shellm odels, and that the outer radius is correctly reproduced (within 0.2%) by both models.

At this point, it is in portant to stress that the agreem ent between the shell m odels and global optim ization is essentially due to the correct account of correlations, which are implicitly included in the Thomson energies $E_{\,\mathrm{T}\,\mathrm{h}}\,(\!N$) or explicitly in the asymptotic expression of Eq (5) through the term N =N. For com parison, the mean-eld treatment predicts that the structure of the 100-ion cluster would have 9 shells.

The asymptotic shell model can be further exploited in the larger sizes regime 10^3 N 10^5 , where the optim al Thom son energies are not system atically available. The shell radii predicted by this model are represented

	M in in ization			Thom son shellm odel			A sym ptotic shellm odel		
Size	Energy	0 uter radius	A rrangem ent	Energy	0 uter radius	A rrangem ent	Energy	0 uter radius	A rrangem ent
10	41.624	0.917	(10)	41.624	0.917	(10)	41.399	0.916	(10)
20	170.363	1.033	(20)	170.363	1.033	(20)	170.026	1.033	(20)
30	380.045	1.104	(30)	380.045	1.104	(30)	379.611	1.104	(30)
40	666.975	1.156	(40)	666 . 975	1.156	(40)	666.467	1.156	(40)
50	1028.596	1.197	(50)	1028.596	1.197	(50)	1027.998	1.197	(50)
60	1462.912	1,231	(60)	1462.912	1.231	(60)	1462,210	1,231	(60)
70	1968,278	1,261	(70)	1968.278	1,261	(70)	1967.484	1,261	(70)
80	2543.311	1,287	(80)	2543.311	1.287	(80)	2542.467	1,287	(80)
90	3186.982	1.310	(90)	3186.983	1.310	(90)	3185.995	1.310	(90)
100	3898.102	1.331	(100)	3898.103	1.331	(100)	3897.051	1.331	(100)
110	4675.652	1.355	(2,108)	4675.858	1.355	(2,108)	4674.619	1.355	(2,108)
120	5518.467	1.375	(4 , 116)	5518.839	1.376	(4 , 116)	5517.415	1.374	(3 , 117)
130	6425.708	1.395	(6,124)	6426.152	1.396	(6 , 124)	6424.708	1.396	(6,124)
140	7396.731	1.413	(8,132)	7397.271	1.414	(8,132)	7395.796	1.414	(8,132)
150	8430.934	1.433	(12,138)	8431.678	1.433	(12,138)	8430.054	1.432	(11 , 139)
160	9527.713	1.448	(14 , 146)	9528.564	1.449	(14,146)	9526.851	1.447	(13,147)
170	10686.607	1.464	(17 , 153)	10687.544	1.464	(17 , 153)	10685.664	1.463	(16,154)
180	11906.937	1.479	(20,160)	11907.934	1.477	(18,162)	11905.975	1.478	(19 , 161)
190	13188,284	1.492	(22 , 168)	13189.315	1.492	(22,168)	13187.303	1.492	(22,168)
200	14530,226	1.506	(26 , 174)	14531.342	1.504	(24,176)	14529.198	1.506	(25 , 175)

TABLE I: Lowest energy E_N , and outer shell radius R_N found for ion clusters in the octupole trap, as obtained from M onte C arb global m in imization (left columns), from the discrete (Thom son) or continuous (asymptotic) shell m odels (central and right columns, respectively). The ion arrangements into shells predicted by the three m ethods are also indicated.

as a function of the number of ions in Fig. 1. The average radii obtained from globally optim ized structures, superimposed for selected sizes N = 10^k , k = $1{4}$, correctly m atch Eq. (6) until about 1000 ions are reached, larger clouds showing clear deviations in the inner shells. However, the cloud radius (outerm ost shell) and them inin um energy are both accurately reproduced. One prediction of the continuous shellm odel is the size at which new shells appear. In contrast with the quadrupole case, where shells are essentially added over an existing core [18, 19], shells for octupole clusters grow both on the outside and on the inside. The shell capacitance may then be de ned as the maximum number of ions that a shell can sustain, and above which a new shell appears. The continuous shell model predicts the onset of new shells at N = 109, N = 442, N = 1129, N = 2264, N = 3992, N = 6466, N = 9709, N = 13967, and N = 19249. The m odel also predicts that the 10^5 -ion cluster should have 17 shells but, as will be seen below, structuralm in im ization yields a more contrasted picture. These transitions occur at much larger sizes than in the quadrupole case [18, 19], where for instance the 13- and 58-ion clusters adopt the (1,12) and (1,12,45) shell structures, respectively B21.

Up to 108 ions, the most stable con gurations are the same as the Thom son minima [29, 30], within some minor radial relaxations. The Thom son shell model also perform s rather well for predicting structures of clusters



FIG.1: (Color online) Shell radii versus cluster size, as predicted from the correlated shell model (solid lines; di erent curves correspond to successive shells) and from global optim ization (open circles). The largest shell radius follows a N¹⁼⁷ scaling law. Inset: lowest energy versus cluster size, as obtained from the correlated shell model (dashed line) and from global optim ization (open circles).

containing two shells. This agreem ent suggests to use the known Thom son m in in a for optim izing the atom ic structure of multishell system s as well, as long as all shells rem ain very thin. Such an idea is also supported by a re-

cent investigation by Cioslow skion a modied but sim ilar Thom son m odel [33]. W e have guided M onte Carlo globalm in imization by combining the results of the shell m odel with the available solutions to the Thom son problem [30]. In this approach, the continuous shell model is used to predict the optim al num ber of layers and the individual num bers of ions per layer (the discrete shell model could also be used). The coordinates of the ions in each layer are then scaled from the corresponding solution to the Thom son problem to have a radius given by the predictions of the shellm odel, and the only remaining degrees of freedom are two Euler angles f_{i} ; $_{i}$ g for each layer. The new M onte C arlo optim ization consists thus in rst locating the low-energy regions in these angles space, and to locally m in in ize the resulting structures by relaxing all ionic positions. This method was found to perform very satisfactorily with respect to brute force basin-hopping m in imization for several sizes in the range $N = 100\{1000, \text{ leading to low-energy structures offen}\}$ identical, or higher but by only a few 10³ percents.

P rovided that correlations are included in the evaluation of E_{C}^{intra} (N), the above results show that the com bined shell+M onte Carlo optim ization should be especially useful for large system s, for which successful basinhopping runs would be prohibitive, and this even allow ed us to explore clusters containing up to $N = 10^5$ ions. At large sizes, Fig. 1 shows that the cloud radius R and its minimum energy scale as $R / N^{1=7}$ and $/ N^{13=7}$, respectively. Both scaling laws readily follow from a sim ple cold uid approximation, which is expected to be valid for large sizes [34, 35]. The maximum radius R of the cloud naturally relates to the total num ber N of ions through a n (r) / r^4 radial density. For the energy , the Coulomb and trapping components are related to each other through the virial theorem as $_{\rm C}$ = 6 $_{\rm T}$ at any local minimum con guration where the gradient vanishes. Hence is proportional to the trapping energy only, which is simply integrated over the radial density $n(r) as = 7 _{T} / R^{13} / N^{13=7}$.

The validity of the cold uid theory is better manifested on the radial e ective density pro le. From the stable ionic con gurations obtained for the sizes N = 10^{k} , k = 3{5, we have calculated the minimum pair distance $r_{ii}^{m in}$ between a given ion i and all other ions j, which is related to the local density n(r) through r^{m in} n $^{1=3}$. Fig. 2 shows the correlation between this quantity and the radial distance r_i of ion i for the three sizes. The r $^{4=3}$ dependence highlighted in Fig. 2 thus con m s that the cold uid theory holds increasingly well for these ion clouds, deviations being more noticeable in the less populated (but more uctuating) inner regions. The most striking feature of Fig. 2 is the broadening of the shells when the number of ions increases from 10^3 to 10^4 , especially noticeable for the inner shells. Above a few thousands of ions (an estimate based on m ixed shell/Thom son optim ization yields N 4000), this broadening is su cient for some shells to overlap into a more continuous radial distribution of ions below



FIG.2: (Color online) M inimum pair distance versus radial distance in stable structures containing 10^3 (10^5 ions. Inset: fraction of ions inside a sphere of given radius. The most stable con guration of the 1000-ion cluster is also depicted, with the front quarter rem oved.

the outerm ost layer, which is the only one to rem ain thin, even for the largest size considered, $N = 10^5$. Thism ixed continuous/discrete behavior is better seen on the accumulated fraction (r) of ions inside a sphere of radius r. The variations of with increasing r, depicted as an inset in Fig. 2, exhibit spectacular changes as the size reaches 10⁴. The sharp steps found in small clouds or at large radii are characteristic of new shells, but are progressively softened in the inner regions of the 10⁴-ion system and even replaced by a nearly continuous pro le in the largest cluster. In an octupole trap, crystallization should then be understood as the form ation of an outerm ost thin layer with a softer, decreasingly dense but thick inner layer. Surface e ects, which play a major role in reducing the melting point of ion clouds in harm onic potentials [22], could thus have a very di erent in uence in the case of the octupole trap.

III. FIN ITE TEM PERATURE PROPERTIES

W hereas the previous section considered the stable structures and static issues, we now discuss the therm odynam ical and dynam ical behavior of selected ion clusters in octupole traps. Classical MD simulations have been carried out at various energies to compute several therm odynam ical and structural observables. 0 rder/disorder transitions have been monitored using the root mean square bond length uctuation or Lindem ann index , as well as the particle-resolved di usion constant D i from the integrated velocity autocorrelation function. The rms bond length uctuation index has been com puted for collective parts of the clouds (intra-shell indices) or from pairs of ions belonging to di erent shells in the stable structure (inter-shell index). The variations of the shell-resolved Lindem ann indices with the kinetic tem perature are shown in Fig. 3 for the 512-ion system

m ade of 3 shells. This size is small enough for the inner shells to be well de ned and not overlapping with each other. All Lindem ann indices exhibit sm ooth variations



FIG. 3: (Color online) Relative bond length uctuation indices obtained for the 512-ion cluster from molecular dynamics simulations. The dotted vertical line marks the onset of the melting temperature for this cluster (T_m ' 1:1 10²). Inset: dependence of the melting temperature with inverse cluster radius, and extrapolation to the in nitely large limit based on results obtained for N 512.

at low and high tem peratures, and one sharp increase above > 0:15 in a narrow tem perature range, allowing an accurate estim ation of the corresponding melting tem perature. From Fig. 3, the global melting of the 512-ion system can therefore be located near T_m ' 1:1 10². How ever, the additionalLindem ann indices clearly reveal that the interior shell exhibits some prelim inary softening already above T ' 10 3 before fully melting near $T_m^{(1)}$ ' 3 10³. Melting of this inner shell impacts the distance uctuations within the other shells, as seen from the slight increase in the corresponding Lindem ann indices. However, these shells clearly undergo their own distinct m elting transitions at $T_m^{\ (2)}$ ' 8.5 $\,$ 10 3 (second shell) and $T_m^{(3)}$ ' 1:4 10 2 (outerm ost shell). The intershellLindem ann index follows sim ilar variations as the intrashell index of the interm ediate, second shell. This agreem ent is not fortuitous: melting of the second shell occurs while the interior shell is already disordered, and the present results show that these two shells tend to m ix, to some extent, while the outerm ost layer rem ains rigid.

The melting mechanisms can be analysed in more details by looking at the ions motion at various temperatures. The correlations between the average radial distance hr_ii and the di usion constant D_i are shown in Fig.4 for the 2048-ion system at four characteristic tem – peratures. For this system, the melting point obtained from the variations of the global Lindem ann index lies near T_m ' 0.023. At T = 0.011, the four shells are clearly seen as narrow vertical spots with low values for D_i at radii close to 1.32, 1.68, 1.97, and 2.20. The inter-

shell m otion and occasional hops of ions between neighboring shells are seen at T = 0.018, they are associated with a much higher di usion constant. Note that D_i exhibit a steady decrease with the radial distance, in agreem ent with the previously found softening of inter-

nal layers. At T = 0.028 the global di usivity is also higher, and the shell structure seem s essentially lost. A sim ilar trend is found at the highest tem perature considered here, T = 0.048, with a single broad spot centered around a radius of 2.02. The peculiar core melting ef-



FIG.4: (Coloronline) Ion di usion constant as a function of its tim e average radius, in the 2048-ion cluster at four tem - peratures.

fect is a consequence of the lower density of the inner shells, and is sim ilar in this respect to the general surface m elting process in solid state and nanoscale m aterials [36]. At rst sight, this phenom enon m ay preclude from unam biguously de ning the m elting tem perature of the entire system since, strictly speaking, the system is not yet fully m elted when the global Lindem ann index barely exceeds 0.15. How ever, because the relative number of ions in the external regions grows with size as N¹⁼⁷ according to the cold uid model, the de nition of the global index should re ect more and more closely the value of the outerm ost layer. The m elting point T_m was thus de ned for all sizes as the tem perature at which

exceeds 0.15. For the present clouds in octupole traps, the variations of T_m have been represented in the inset of F ig.3 as a function of inverse cluster radius. Not surprisingly, uctuations are seen at small sizes, and the 32-ion system (1=R ' 0:61) seems extra resistant to melting relative to its neighboring sizes. Since the 31- and 33-ion system shave a much lowermelting point, the special stability of the 32-ion cluster indicates a magic character, further con med by its high icosahedral symmetry. As N reaches 256 (1=R ' 0:45), T_m increases linearly as the inverse radius decreases. This linear depression, though not anticipated for these highly heterogeneous, core-melted system s, allows some straightforward extrapolating to the in nite size lim it 1=R ! 0, leading to T_1 ' 0:076. Even though it is hard to gure out what

a cloud con ned in an octupole trap would physically represent at the bulk limit, the present simulation results should be very useful for estimating melting tem peratures in system sizes of the order of 10^5 orm ore, as experimentally studied in other groups [15].

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Despite their obvious di erences, ion clouds in octupole and quadrupole con nem ents share several remarkable features. Firstly, the onset of the transition from a multishell structure to the bcc W igner crystal in quadrupole trap was estim ated to be around 10^4 ions [19]. In octupole traps the shell structure becom es blurred above a few thousands of ions. Secondly, for both cases, the depression in the melting point with respect to the bulk limit follows a linear scaling with inverse cluster radius [22]. Thirdly, in small systems, a clear di erentialm elting between the inner and outer shells seem s to take place in both con nem ents. These sim ilarities may well extend past the speci c octupole trap, hold also in higher-order traps, and even be universal. As the exponent p = 6 in Eq. (2) takes higher integer values, the stable shell structure should be qualitatively preserved, only with fewer shells. Therefore the transition to a softened core should be delayed as p increases, but one cannot exclude that the same melting mechanisms will remain, and in particular that the melting temperature will display linear variations with inverse radius.

Because ion clouds in octupole traps remain poorly studied, the present work could be extended along m any lines. K exping the isotropic case as a m odel of a m ore re-

- W.Neuhauser, M.Hohenstatt, P.E.Toschek and H.G. Dehmelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 233 (1978).
- [2] D.J.W ineland, R.E.D rullinger and F.L.W alls, Phys. Rev.Lett. 40, 1639 (1978).
- [3] W. M. Itano, J. J. Bollinger, J. N. Tan, B. Jelenkovic, X.-P. Huang, and D. J. W ineland, Science 279, 686-689 (1998).
- [4] T.B.M itchell, J.J.Bollinger, D.H.E.Dubin, X.-P. Huang, W.M. Itano, and R.H.Baughman, Science 282, 1290-1293 (1998).
- [5] T.Rosenband, D.B.Hume, P.O.Schmidt, C.W. Chou,
 A.Brusch, L.Lorini, W.H.Oskay, R.E.Drullinger,
 T.M.Fortier, J.E.Stalnaker, et al., Science 319, 1808 (2008).
- [6] M. Chwalla, J. Benhelm, K. Kim, G. Kirchmair, T.Monz, M. Riebe, P. Schindler, A.S. Villar, W. Hansel, C.F. Roos, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 023002 (2009).
- [7] D. Kielpinski, B. E. King, C. J. M yatt, C. A. Sackett, Q. A. Turchette, W. M. Itano, C. Monroe, D. J. W ineland, and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. A 61, 032310 (2000).
- [8] F. Schmidt-Kaler, H. Haner, M. Riebe, S. Gulde, G.P.T. Lancaster, T. Deutschle, C. Becher, C. Roos,

alistic three-dim ensional trap, it would be interesting to exam inem ore speci cally dynam ical properties involving the vibrations and norm alm odes [37, 38] or the transitions between regular and chaotic regimes [39, 40]. Extension of analytical models [31, 33] developed for the quadrupole case to higher-order traps would also be useful.

Finally, at the price of introducing additional param eters in the model, one natural step beyond the present work would be to look at clusters in linear octupole traps, such as those discussed by 0 kada and cow orkers [24]. In these systems, con nement along the symmetry axis is harm onic in nature, whereas con nem ent perpendicular to the axis is octupolar. The stable structures are hollow tubes of ions [24], and it is unclear how the combined contributions of the harm onic and octupolar con nem ents will determ ine the cluster properties. Even aware of these complications, the multishell structure and coremelted phase predicted here, as well as the scaling laws connecting size, radius, and melting tem perature, should all become am enable to experim ental comparison in the near future. May such measurements also help us understanding the collective properties of these exotic states of Coulom bic m atter.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by ANR-JCJC-0053-01.E.Y. would like to acknow ledge the support of the Turk-ish A cademy of Sciences. Molecular dynamics simulations have been carried out at the Pôle Scienti que de Modelisation Numerique, which is gratefully acknowledged.

J. Eschner, and R. Blatt, Nature (London) 422, 408 (2003).

- [9] D. Leibfried, B. D eM arco, V. M eyer, D. Lucas, M. Barrett, J. Britton, W. M. Itano, B. Jelenkovic, C. Langer, T. Rosenband, et al., Nature (London) 422, 412 (2003).
- [10] S. Bize, S. Diddam s, U. Tanaka, C. Tanner, W. Oskay, R. Drullinger, T. Parker, T. Heavner, S. Je erts, L. Hollberg, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 150802 (2003).
- [11] T.M.Fortier, N.Ashby, J.C.Bergquist, M.J.Delaney, S.A.Diddams, T.P.Heavner, L.Hollberg, W.M.Itano, S.R.Jeerts, K.Kim, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 98, 070801 (2007).
- [12] J. Prestage, S. Chung, T. Le, L. Lim, and L. Maleki, in Proceedings of IEEE Int. Freq. Contr. Symp. M iam i, jun. 5-7, 2006 (IEEE, New York, 2006).
- [13] R. Otto, J. M ikosch, S. Trippel, M. W eidem uller, and R.W ester, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 063201 (2008).
- [14] F. Diedrich, E. Peik, J. M. Chen, W. Quint, and H.Walther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2931 (1987).
- [15] M. Drewsen, C. Brodersen, L. Hornek r, J. S. Hangst, and J. P. Schier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2878 (1998).
- [16] A.Mortensen, E.Nielsen, T.Matthey, and M.Drewsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 103001 (2006).

- [17] S.L.G ilbert, J.J.Bollinger, and D.J.W ineland, Phys. Rev.Lett. 60, 2022 (1988).
- [18] R.Rafac, J.P.Schier, J.S.Handst, D.H.Dubin, and D.J.W ales, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 483 (1991).
- [19] H. Totsuji, T. Kishimoto, C. Totsuji, and K. Tsunuta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 125002 (2002).
- [20] L. Homekaer, N. K jaergaard, A M. Thommesen, and M. Drewsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1994 (2001).
- [21] J.A.D rocco, C.J.O lson Reichhardt, C.Reichhardt, and B.Janko, Phys. Rev. E 68, 060401 (R) (2003).
- [22] J.P.Schier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 205003 (2002).
- [23] J. W alz, I. Siem ers, M. Schubert, W. Neuhauser, R.Blatt, and E.Teloy, Phys. Rev. A 50, 4122 (1994).
- [24] K. Okada, K. Yasuda, T. Takayanagi, M. Wada, H. A. Schuessler, and S. Ohtani, Phys. Rev. A 75, 033409 (2007).
- [25] D.J.W ales and J.P.K.Doye, J.Phys.Chem. A 101, 5111 (1997).
- [26] F.Calvo and E.Yurtsever, Eur. Phys. J.D 44, 81 (2007).
- [27] R.W. Hasse and V.V. Avilov, Phys. Rev. A 44, 4506 (1991).

- [28] K. Tsuruta and S. Ichim anu, Phys. Rev. A 48, 1339 (1993).
- [29] J.J.Thom son, Phil.M ag. 7, 237 (1904).
- [30] D.J.W ales and S.U ker, Phys. Rev. B 74, 212101 (2006).
- [31] J. Cioslowski and E. Grzebielucha, Phys. Rev. E 78, 026416 (2008).
- [32] R.A.Beekman, M.R.Roussel, and P.J.W ilson, Phys. Rev. A 59, 503 (1999).
- [33] J.Cioslowski, Phys. Rev. E 79, 046405 (2009).
- [34] D.H.E.Dubin and T.M.O'Neil, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 87 (1999).
- [35] C. Champenois, J. Phys. B: At. M ol. Opt. Phys. 42, 154002 (2009).
- [36] U. Tartaglino, T. Zykova-Timan, F. Ercolessi, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rep. 411, 191 (2005).
- [37] D.H.E.Dubin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2076 (1991).
- [38] G.Tellez, Phys.Rev.E 55, 3400 (1997).
- [39] P.Gaspard, Phys.Rev.E 68, 056209 (2003).
- [40] E.Yurtsever, F.Calvo, and D.J.W ales, Phys. Rev. E 72, 026110 (2005).