P icosecond charge variation of quantum dots under pulsed excitation

T.Kazim ierczuk¹, M.Goryca^{1,2}, M.Koperski¹, A.Golnik¹, J.A.Ga¹, M.Nawrocki¹, P.Wojnar³, and P.Kossacki^{1,2}

¹ Institute of Experimental Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoza 69, PL-00-681 Warszawa, Poland.

² G renoble H igh M agnetic F ield Laboratory, B.P.166, 38-042 G renoble, France.

³ Institute of Physics, Polish Academ y of Sciences, Al. Lotnikow 32/64, 02-688 W arsaw, Poland

(D ated: February 22, 2024)

W e present a spectroscopic study of excitation dynam ics in self assem bled CdTe/ZnTe quantum dots. Insight into details of kinetics is obtained from the time resolved m icro-photolum inescence, single photon correlation and subpicosecond excitation correlation m easurements done on single quantum dots. It is shown that the pulsed excitation in energy above the energy gap of the barrier m aterial results in separate capture of electrons and holes. The capture of carriers of di erent charge take place at di erent delay from excitation.

PACS numbers: 78.55 Et, 78.67 Hc

I. IN TRODUCTION

Q uantum dots (QDs) belong to the most intensely studied topics in the solid state physics. They owe their popularity to new physics involved and to a wide range of their possible applications in such elds as fabrication of e cient light sources, single photon em itters, inform ation storage and processing^{1,2}. A particular interest is related to the emerging eld of quantum inform ation^{3,4}.

Self-assem bled sem iconductor quantum dots receive an important share of the research e ort, due to e cient fabrication m ethods by m odern epitaxial grow th techniques and possibilities of integration w ith existing electronics. Q D studies started from the prototypical InA s/G aA sm aterial system, and were quickly extended over the entire fam ilies of III-V and II-V I sem iconductors^{5,6}. Am ong the physical phenom ena studied in the quantum dot research, those related to light em ission represent an important part, both under resonant and non-resonant excitation.

A ll-spectroscopic m ethods are well suited to study excitation and light em ission processes in the QDs, in particular their dynam ics^{7,8}. The most precise information on the physical mechanisms involved in the excitation and light em ission processes is usually supplied by single QD spectroscopy.

BasicQD spectroscopy m ethods include photolum inescence (both cw and tim e-resolved) under varied experim ental conditions: excitation power, tem perature, etc. In tim e-resolved studies the tem poral resolution is usually determ ined by the type of detectors used (down to tens of ps for avalanche photo-diodes, to several picoseconds for streak cam eras).

In some cases, more sophisticated spectroscopic techniques are necessary. For example, photon correlation m easurements have been used to establish that in the case of non-resonant excitation of QDs, carriers are trapped separately rather than as whole excitons⁹ (separate carrier capture in QDs was also demonstrated indirectly in cw experiments^{10,11}). Standard form of pump-probe techniques is not much used, as absorption m easurements of QDs present serious experimental di culties^{12,13}. A technique more feasible for QD studies, similar to but not identical with pum p-probem ethods, is excitation correlation spectroscopy $(ECS)^{14,15,16,17,18,19}$. In ECS, photolum inescence is excited by pairs of laser pulses separated by a controlled delay. It has been shown to be a powerful tool to investigate transient processes in sem iconductors, especially excitonic recombination^{16,20}. It has advantage of outstanding tem poral resolution lim ited only by the properties of light pulses. How ever, not all the possibilities o ered by ECS have been exploited so far. For instance, the order of carrier trapping in the excitation processes has not been studied to the best of our know ledge.

In this work, we prot from the excellent temporal resolution of the excitation correlation spectroscopy and apply it to a study of population dynamics in single C dTe/ZnTe quantum dots (QDs). In particular we study dynamics of carrier trapping by a QD under non-resonant pulsed excitation. The choice of the C dTe/ZnTe system is motivated by its two advantages with respect to the classical InA s/G aA s one. First, light em ission in the visible range (red to green), and second, more robust excitonic states assuring e cient light em ission at higher temperatures.

II. SAM PLES AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The studied sample contained an MBE-grown single layer of self-assembled CdTe/ZnTeQDs. The sample grow th was described in detail in Ref. 21. The density of quantum dots was estimated to be about 5 10° cm². Measurements were performed on a sample immersed in super uid helium (at 1.8K). A rejection microscope, immersed together with the sample, assured a spatial resolution better than 0.5 m. A frequency-doubled Sapphire: T i fem tosecond laser was used for pulsed abovebarrier excitation. In excitation correlation experiments, the sample was excited by pairs of pulses with a controlled temporal separation (delay) between the pulses in a pair. Consecutive pairs were separated by the laser repetition period 13:6 ns. T in e-integrated photolum ines-



FIG. 1: Experimental setup used in excitation correlation experiments. The electro-optic modulator (EOM) was used to stabilize beam intensity probed after passing by a single mode ber (SMF).BS denotes 50/50 beam splitter and P linear polarizer.

cence (PL) spectra were then recorded by a CCD cam era as a function of the delay. The experimental setup is presented on Fig. 1.

Laser pulses were split in pairs in a M ichelson interferom eter setup. The length of one arm of the interferom eter was varied by moving a corner-cube retrore-

ector mounted on a motorized translation stage. The setup allowed us to achieve a controlled delay up to 4 ns. Beams from two arms of the interferom eter were combined again on a 50/50 beam splitter form ing a train of pairs of pulses. The joint beam was then transmitted through 50 cm of single-mode optical ber acting as a spatial liter to assure a precise overlap of two laser spots on the sample. The width of each laser pulse at this point was estimated as 0.5 ps. The most challenging task in such experiment was to assure the stability of the excitation of a single quantum dot. Due to im perfections in optical alignment, the variation of the delay between the pulses led to changes in the e ciency of coupling to the ber. This e ect was canceled by introducing an electro-optical modulator into the variable-length arm of the interferom eter, to stabilize the intensity of the laser after the ber. As a result, a good stability of excitation of a single quantum dot was maintained over the m easurem ent time which could exceed 6 hours.

In case of the single photon correlation m easurem ents, a Hanbury-Brown& Tw iss detection schem e^{22} was used. The photolum inescence from the sample was split on a 50/50 beam splitter and resolved by two monochrom ators equipped with avalanche photodiode (APD from Perkin E lm er or IdQ uantique) single photon detectors. The APD s were connected to START/STOP inputs of T im eHarp 200 tim e counting system. An electrical delay introduced in the STOP signal allowed us to detect photons at negative delay values.



FIG.2: (color online) (a) PL spectra of a single QD under di erent excitation intensities. (b) PL spectra excited by pair of laser pulses with temporal separation t. The t = 0ps spectrum was shifted horizontally for clarity by 0.5 m eV.

III. PHOTOLUM IN ESCENCE SPECTRUM OF A SINGLE QD

M icrophotolum inescence spectra of QD ensembles, limited by the size of the excitation and detection spots, revealed an inhom ogeneously broadened distribution with a characteristic line structure. A low density of the lines in the low energy tail of the PL band allowed us to nd well isolated sets of lines originating from single quantum dots. An example PL spectrum of a single quantum dot is presented on Figure 2. The lines were identi ed as originating from recombination of neutral and charged excitons and biexcitons, as marked in the gure. The identi cation was based on relative em ission energies, in-plane anisotropy e ects, and photon correlation m easurem ents.

The lines emitted by the QD in the neutral or singly charged state were rst tentatively identi ed on the basis of the characteristic pattern of their emission energies, observed in previous experiments on similar samples²³, in particular with charge-tuning²⁴. The identi cation of the lines related to neutral exciton (X) and biexciton (X) transitions was con med by characteristic in-plane anisotropy e ects. In case of a quantum dot of C_{2v} sym - metry, both X and XX lines are split in linearly polarized doublets, originating from the nestructure splitting (FSS) of the excitonic state²⁵. The experimental resolution did not allow us to observe the splitting directly. However, the components of the doublets could be observed in linear polarizations parallel and perpendicular



FIG.3: (color online) M easured transition energy as a function of detected light polarization. O scillatory behaviour indicates a sm all anisotropic splitting.

to the QD anisotropy axis. At interm ediate polarization angles, each doublet was observed as a single broadened line at an interm ediate spectral position. Thise ect leads to oscillations of the apparent X and XX transition energies as a function of orientation of detection polarization, as presented in Fig. 3. As expected, no energy oscillations were observed in case of charged excitons, in particular X⁺ and X , which contain pairs of identical carriers in singlet states. An argument supporting the assignment of trion signs is a negative optical orientation of X line, observed at quasi-resonant excitation through a neighbor dot²³. The negative optical orientation had been observed for negative trions in many QD system s²⁶ and is related to electron-hole ip- op process.

Some linear polarization e ects were also observed for the doubly charged exciton X^2 line. However, they are beyond the scope of this work²⁷.

Further data supporting the identi cation of the lines were obtained from photon correlation measurements. In our experiments, the quantum dot was excited by picosecond pulses of light and photolum inescence photons related to selected excitonic lines were counted by two detectors. Example results of such experiments are presented in Fig. 4, in the form of histograms of detection events of pairs of photons from the two transitions as a function of their temporal separation (number N of laser repetition periods). Due to pulsed excitation, time delay between the two emitted photons is close to integer multiples of the repetition period. A clear antibunching



FIG. 4: (color online)Typical photon correlations related to change of charge state. Negative time distance denotes pairs with inverted photon order, e.g. X after X in case of (a). Solid lines were calculated within a model adapted from Ref. 9 with parameters = 0.30, = 0.86, and = 0.26.

(suppression of the peak) at zero delay con m s unequivocally that we deal with a single photon em itter. Sim ilar antibunching was observed for autocorrelation experiments, whereas cascade emission was witnessed by a characteristic bunching (enhancement of the central peak) in XX-X cross-correlation histogram s (not shown). The X^2 line was identi ed using the cross-correlation histogram s presented in Fig. 4. Besides the central antibunching, they show longer time-scale e ects, extending over several repetition periods. Such e ects are known to originate from QD charge variation⁹. The relatively high probability of the observation of correlated photons em itted after adjacent pulses in di erent charge states indicates an e ective capture of single carriers. In particular, recombination of a neutral exciton after recombination of a negative trion requires only a single hole capture while a capture of three carriers is necessary if the emission order is opposite. Therefore corresponding probabilities of the events are respectively higher (N = +1 peak at Fig. 4a) and lower (N =1 peak at Fig. 4a) than the probability in stationary state (\mathbb{N} ! 1). The similarity -X² and X -X correlation histogram s supports ofX the assignment of the line X^2 to the doubly charged exciton. This assignment is con med by relatively long characteristic tim e-scales of the $X^+ - X^2$ correlation his-

FIG.5: (color online) (a) Photolum inescence intensity of various excitonic lines and (b) estim ated average QD charge state versus excitation (pulsed laser) mean power. Solid line was calculated within a model described in Section VB and shifted vertically for clarity.

togram , as it is related to the largest change of the QD charge.

These qualitatively discussed correlation histograms can be simulated by a rate-equation model described in Ref. 9. We adapted this model by extending the possible states to incorporate transitions in +1 and 2 charge states. Free parameters of the model include probabilities of capturing an electron, a hole or a free exciton. Solid lines in Fig. 5 are calculated with probability values taken from Ref. 9. The results of the simulation con im the predominant role of the single carrier trapping. They depend weakly on exact value of free exciton capture probability. A similar model is used in Section V C to simulate time pro le of excitation correlation results.

The simplest experiment which gives an insight into the excitation dynam ics is the measurement of photolum inescence spectra at various intensities of the pulsed excitation. A typical dependence of the intensity of selected excitonic lines on excitation power is presented in Fig. 5(a). In the low est excitation lim it, intensities of the PL lines exhibit power-like dependence. In case of X, X⁺, and X transitions, the dependence is linear. Two intensities (X 2 and X X) increase super-linearly. The superlinear dependence observed for the X² line is not surprising in view of a large num ber (four) of carriers necessary to form the X² complex. Most of these results are in agreement with typical behavior expected for single exciton com plexes and for biexcitons when the capture of whole excitons is signi cant^{9,28,29}. A further increase of the excitation power leads to saturation of the line intensities. This behavior is related to the fact that each excitation

4

pulse results at most in one recombination cascade (the excitation time is much shorter than the recombination time). Therefore after one pump pulse only one photon related to a determ ined transition may be emitted. Nearly quadratic dependence of biexciton PL intensity indicates a need for including process of free exciton capture in addition to single carrier capture evidenced by photon correlation experiments. One should note that even a relatively small exciton capture rate may dom - inate over single carrier capture rates at low excitation power in multi-step excitation process, e.g. in case of XX form ation.

At medium and high excitation power, the lines corresponding to negatively charged states of the QD become relatively more intense. This e ect can be analysed quantitatively in terms of the average charge of em itting QD states calculated as:

$$\overline{q}_{QD} = \frac{0 \quad _{X}I + 1 \quad _{X}I}{I_{X} + I_{X^{+}} + I_{X} + I_{X^{2}}}$$
(1)

where Is is PL intensity of line S. This form ula approxim ates the averaged charge state of the quantum dot between excitation events since only the fundam ental transition of each observed charge state is taken into account. Nom atterwhich was the highest state in a recombination cascade, its last step must be one of the naltransitions: X, X^+, X or X^2 . Fig. 5 presents the average QD charge state as a function of excitation power. The e ect of QD becoming negatively charged under strong excitation has been observed¹⁰ but the underlying mechanism cannot be determ ined without m ore detailed studies. It m ay be caused by a modi cation of the electrostatic environment of the quantum dot (sim ilarly to that known for quantum wells^{30,31}). However, a mechanism inherent to the quantum dot itself is also possible. It is related to the apparent absence of doubly positively charged states of the quantum dot, while doubly charged negative trions have been identi ed. The di erence between number of bound states in positively and negatively charged QD is predom inantly caused by the small valence band o set in the CdTe/ZnTe system .

IV. MEASUREMENTS OF EXCITATION DYNAMICS

Excitation dynam ics of the QD s was studied by m eans of excitation correlation experiments. As explained in Section II, a selected QD was excited by pairs of laser pulses. Time-integrated PL intensity was measured as a function of temporal separation t between the two pulses in the pair. P lots of such dependence over the full temporal range are presented in Fig. 6 (a).

Features on two characteristic tim e-scales can be distinguished: a dip several hundreds of pswide, and a much sharper feature, both centered at zero delay. The main e ect is the relatively wide dip in the PL signal. Its width is comparable to the radiative lifetim e of excitonic states (Fig. 6(b)). The e ect arises near the saturation regime when virtually each laser pulse excites the QD to a higher state. A qualitative explanation can be based on the fact that if the second pulse in a pair arrives prior to the excitonic recombination then the second pulse does not contribute to the intensity of the X transition. On the contrary, when pulses are separated by a few nanoseconds, they act independently and therefore the recorded PL intensity is doubled with respect to single pulse excitation. Thus, in the sim plest approach the dip should be described by an exponential function which may be directly com pared to the decay of the photolum inescence. This is shown on Figure 6(b-c) where the dashed line presents a prole obtained by tting a monoexponential decay for j tj> 75 ps of the X prole from Fig.6a.

The second tim e-scale in the experim ent is in the range oftens of picoseconds. An additional variation of the PL intensity is observed within this scale, as presented in Fig. 7(a). The signal is increased or decreased depending on the excitonic complex with which the PL line is related. A clear increase of the photolum inescence at zero delay is seen for X and X^2 . A decrease is seen for neutral exciton and X⁺. However, no signi cant e ect is observed for a sum PL of intensities of photolum inescence lines related to all the observed charge states of the quantum dot (neutral and charged). This sum is shown on Figure 7 and its tem poral variation is limited only to the slow component related to the excitonic decay. Invariability of the sum suggests that the e ect is related to the QD charge state. Therefore we analyzed the averaged QD charge state versus pulse separation. The calculated charge state is shown in Fig. 7 (b) and dem onstrates a decrease of the averaged charge of the quantum dot when the pulses are in coincidence. The characteristic time of the feature appearing on the plots is the same as the lifetime of barrier lum inescence, observed on sim ilar sam ples³². Therefore we m ight associate this feature to the process of the carrier capture by the QD. The marked variation of the QD charge state during the pulse suggests a possibility of non-synchronous capture of carriers of di erent charge. In other words we wish to exam ine consequences of a delay between the arrival of holes and electrons in the QD.

We parameterized the short-timescale feature using the following procedure. In the rst step we subtracted a baseline originating from long-timescale e ect. We assumed for simplicity the same characteristic longtimescale prometer for each emission line and rendered it by a totalPL signal $_{\rm PL}$. We rescaled the totalPL signal for each emission line by a constant factor to the data points in a range 100 ps < j tj < 125 ps. The rescaled tem poral prometer was then subtracted from the prometer le of the analyzed line. In the second step, we tited an empirical function a exp(j t=b) to the remediata, obtaining an amplitude a and a time constant b for each experimental scan. The results of this procedure are presented in Fig. 7 (c-d) versus excitation power.

FIG.6: (color online) (a) Excitation dynam ics over long timescale. P resented data was sym m etrized (averaged values for t and -t) for clarity. (b) P hotolum inescence decay after a single laser pulse for neutral exciton recom bination. (c) E ect of two pulse excitation in a sem i-logarithm ic scale. The dashed lines on all panels correspond to decay with 400 ps time constant.

V. MODEL DESCRIPTION

Experim ental intensity-vs-delay plots, presented in the previous Section, exhibit two main characteristic features, centered around zero delay: (i) a sub-nanosecond decrease, comm on form ost of the PL lines (with a characteristic time comparable to the PL decay time), (ii) a feature on the scale of tens of picoseconds, related to the variation of the QD charge state. A complete model description of the observed features is complex and requires certain assumptions concerning excitation mechanisms of the quantum dot, its relaxation channels and their characteristic times. Therefore, to achieve a better insight in the physical mechanisms involved, we rst discuss simpli ed versions of the model, describing selected characteristic features of the data. In part (A) we discuss sub-nanosecond e ects in the model neglecting details of the excitation process. In part (B) we show how a delay between the capture of electrons and holes results in a fast variation of the averaged charge of the quantum dot. The amplitude of this variation is described in a simpli ed model, in which both carrier capture pro les are completely separated in time, and only their integrals are meaningful. In part (C) we include an analysis of the tem poral pro les and discuss characteristic tim es of the carrier capture.

FIG. 7: (color online) Picosecond scale photolum inescence dynamics: (a) example result of excitation correlation experiment for dierent excitonic transitions and their sum (b) average charge state calculated according to eq. (1). Power dependence of (c) amplitude and (d) time-scale obtained by tting a jexp (t=b) j to baseline-corrected data (see text). Lines are calculated within a model described in Section V B, with suitable x and y scale adjustments.

A. Sub-nanosecond scale dynam ics

The shape of observed long-scale PL dependence can be explained by introducing a simple analytical model. Here we neglect the elects related to the QD charge state and consider the QD energy spectrum as an in nite ladder of states, starting from the lowest (ground) state for a given QD charge. Leaving out the charge degree of freedom in this model is justiled by a similar decay dynamics of all the observed states (Fig. 6(b)). Within this simple model, we assume that the number of captured e-h pairs after a single laser pulse does not depend on current QD state and is described by a probability distribution R (k). For example, in case of free exciton trapping the probability of capturing exactly k e-h pairs is given by a Poisson distribution^{28,29,33}

$$P(k;) = \frac{k}{k!}e$$
 and $P(0;0) = 1$ (2)

where the average excitation is identi ed with the excitation power. In case of separate capture of electrons and holes in a dot with limited number of charge states, the probability distribution is close to the square of the Poisson distribution. The particular shape of the distribution does not change dram atically the sub-nanosecond e ects. A fler the pulse, the QD relaxes tow ands the ground state by radiative decay. We assume for simplicity that all

FIG.8: (a) Simulated temporal proles for di erent excitation intensities . (b) The same simulated data in scale proper for a relaxation processes. Function exp (t=400ps) is shown for reference (dashed line).

the excited states have the same lifetime of = 400 ps. Therefore the probability, that the quantum dot excited to nth excited level emitted exactly 1 photons over time t is given by truncated Poisson distribution:

W ithin this model, we derived the following expression for PL intensity of the rst excited state (i.e. exciton state) in an excitation correlation experiment, when the two pulses are separated by t:

$$I(t) = (1 R (0)) 1 + \begin{array}{c} X^{i} \\ R (i) \mathcal{P}_{i}(i; t=) \\ & i=0 \end{array}$$
(4)

Pro les of intensity versus t, simulated for di erent excitation intensities , taking R (k) = P(k;), are presented in Fig. 8.

It is interesting to note that the form of the simulated pro les is approximately mono-exponential (Fig. 8b). However, their slope decreases with increasing excitation intensity, and follows the lifetime of the system only in the limit of low excitation intensity. This noting should be kept in m ind when interpreting the m easurements in which correlation excitation spectroscopy is used for determ ination of lifetim $es^{16,20}$. The stability of our experimental setup was not su cient to verify the predicted slope variation. On the other hand, the assumption of equal lifetimes of all contributing excited states is not fulled.

In spite of the sim plicity of the approach, in which we neglect any possibility of the change of the charge state of the quantum dot, the above model reproduces quite well sub-nanosecond tem poral produces are also a quite good prediction of the variation of the X and XX intensities versus excitation power up to the saturation level. The predictions of the model for X and XX lines are shown on F igure 5a by solid and dashed lines respectively. The introduction of the quantum dot requires taking into account details of the carrier capture after the excitation pulse.

B. Picosecond scale dynam ics

The understanding of the short-scale PL dynam ics requires a di erent approach. A sm entioned previously, the e ects on this scale are related to the QD excitation process (m ainly the QD charge variation) rather than to the radiative relaxation after the rst pulse.

Generally speaking, the excitation correlation signal results from a non-additive character (non-linearity) of the QD excitation by the two pulses. This non-linearity m ay be attributed to processes occurring in the barrier or in the QD. Here we assume that both pulses generate the same number of carriers in the vicinity of the QD, and the non-linearity originates in the QD itself. To describe the observed QD charge state variation, we assume that electrons and holes exhibit di erent trapping dynamics after a single excitation pulse. We will show that this approach allows us to explain in a simple way all the observed experim ental features. In particular, we reproduce qualitatively the variation of the averaged charge of the quantum dot with excitation parameters: excitation power, delay between light pulses, and the ratio of energies of two consecutive light pulses.

The non-synchronous trapping of holes and electrons may be caused by di erent processes which result in different temporal process (t) and g_h (t) of their capture rates. At this rst stage, we will discuss a simple overdrawn case. We consider the carrier capture procles as non-overlapping narrow pulses, with the electron trapping pulse delayed by time $_{e h}$ with respect to the trapping of holes. The carrier trapping pulses can be described by standard rate equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \underline{p}_{\pm} &=& \underline{q}_{\pm} p_{\pm} + q_{h} p_{0} \\ p_{0} &=& q_{e} p_{\pm} & (\underline{q}_{h} + q_{e}) p_{0} + q_{h} p \\ \underline{p}_{\pm} &=& q_{e} p_{0} & (\underline{q}_{h} + q_{e}) p_{\pm} + q_{h} p_{2} \\ p_{2} &=& q_{e} p_{\pm} & \underline{q}_{h} p_{2} \end{array}$$
(5)

where we introduced an additional parameter to ac-

count for electron-electron blocking. The value of was set at 0:3 on the basis of relative intensities of neutralto-charged exciton line. Under our assumptions, the rate equations can be integrated separately for the electron and hole capture pulses, producing matrices A and B, describing the in uence of the pulses on the charge state probabilities.

where denotes the integral of capture rate over the pulse, assumed to be equal for electrons and holes and to be proportional to the intensity of the laser beam.

Each pair of laser pulses in the excitation correlation experiment will produce two pairs of carrier capture pulses. Depending on the separation between the two laser pulses, the hole trapping after the second pulse will take place before or after trapping of electrons from the rst pulse. Thus, there are two possible orders of carrier trapping: hole-hole-electron-electron or holeelectron-hole-electron. The two cases can be described in terms of a recursive equation that binds charge state distributions before and after a pair of excitation pulses. For example, for the hole-electron-hole-electron ordering:

We computed stationary states in both cases and used the di erence between them as a measure of the amplitude of the picosecond-scale feature. The results of the simulation are compared with the experimental results in Fig. 7 (c), after an appropriate adjustment of both am – plitude and power scales. A good agreement is achieved at low excitation power, while some discrepancies appear at higher power. They may originate from the absence of higher charge states in the model description. The model provides also a correct qualitative description of the observed evolution of the average QD charge state towards more negative values under increasing excitation power, as shown in Fig. 5 (b). The enhancement of the negative QD states is obtained only if $_{\rm e \ h} > 0$, that is if electrons are captured after holes.

An additionaltest of the model was provided by experim ents in which the intensities of two consecutive light pulses were di erent. This elim inates the tem poral sym metry of the obtained proles. In our experiments, the power of one beam was kept constant and the power of the second one was set at di erent levels in a series of consecutive measurements. Typical experimental pro-

les, obtained for the power ratio 12, are shown on Fig.

FIG. 9: (color online) (a,b) Results of excitation correlation experiment with two pulses of dimensities (0.5 W /1.0 W denotes pulse order for negative pulse separation). (c,d) P lots of e ect asymmetry obtained by subtraction: PL(t) PL(t) for three sets of pulse intensities: 0.2/0.5 W, 0.5/0.5 W, and 1.0/0.5 W.

FIG. 10: (color online) Amplitude of asymmetry plotted against intensity of one of the pulses. Intensity of the other pulse was set to 0.5~W. Solid lines are calculated within a model described in Section V B and rescaled by a common factor.

9(a). The obtained pro les are clearly asymmetric. This asymmetry is better visible in the plots of dierence between signals measured at opposite delays. An exam ple is presented in Fig. 9(c) for di erent power ratio values. At ratio 1:1, as expected, the signal is almost zero for all the excitonic com plexes. For ratio di erent than 1, the lines related to negative exciton com plexes are stronger when the stronger pulse arrives second. At the same time, neutral and positively charged exciton lines are less intense. The signal asymmetry increases during the rst tens of picoseconds, reaches a maximum for a delay of about 100ps and then decays with a decay time sim ilar to the exciton recombination time. We extracted the asym m etry am plitude and com pared it to the predictions of the model. The amplitude obtained for di erent exciton complexes and ratios of the light power in two beam s is presented on Fig. 10 and m arked by symbols. In the model, di erent powers of the two beams were simulated by taking di erent values of (proportional to beam power) in two pairs of matrices A and B in equation 7. The results of the simulation are presented by lines on Figure 10. The agreem ent is quite good and supports our interpretation of separate capture of electrons and holes.

C. Continuous rate equation m odel

The simple model discussed in section B was su cient to analyze the amplitudes of the picosecond scale features observed in the excitation correlation experiment. However, to describe the tem poral shape of the observed peaks, we need certain assumptions about the pro les of the carrier capture rates. Our data do not allow us to determ ine exact pro les, nevertheless they give som e insight in the characteristic times. We propose here a rate equation model with simple exponential decays of the hole and electron capture rates q_h (t) and q_e (t). They both start at the time of arrival of the light pulse and decay with di erent time constant $_{\rm h}$ and $_{\rm e}$ respectively. Such pro les could be related to the exponential decay of free carriers in the barrier material and/or wetting layer. The free carriers could be trapped by quantum dots or other centers. D irect m easurem ents of the time resolved photolum inescence from the barriers in similar samples show a fast m oncexponential decay³². Such decay would be a straightforward consequence of the above assum ption if _e $_{\rm h}$, and then the measured PL decay was equal h.

In our continuous model, we consider 10 states of the quantum dot including ground and rst excited states for the total QD charge +1, 0, -1, -2, and second excited states for the charge 0 and -1. This selection is based on the identic cation of the optical transitions observed in the spectrum. Following Ref. 9, we assume excitation by trapping of an electron, a hole or an entire exciton. Relative integrated rates of these processes are taken from Ref. 9. Due to the double pulse excitation, the temporal problem of each type is a sum of

FIG.11: (color online) M odel of time dependence: (a) Capture rates for both carrier types after a single laser pulse. (b) Calculated photolum inescence of X^2 and X^+ lines for various delays in two-pulse experiment. (c) X^2 simulations for $_{\rm e}$ = 25 ps and di erent parameters $_{\rm h}$. The curves are compared with experimental data (symbols) and simple exponential relaxation with time-scale $_{\rm e}$ + $_{\rm h}$.

two exponential decays starting at arrival of subsequent laserpulses. The rate equations that include thus de ned excitation and radiative recom bination are integrated num erically. Photolum inescence intensities of various lines are found by integrating the respective radiative recom bination over one repetition period, after nding a steady state of the system .

Example temporal proles, calculated for the extreme charge states (X^+ and X^2), are presented in Figure 11(b). A sharp increase of the intensity of X^2 is accompanied by a decrease of the X^+ intensity. Both features have a similar shape which can be approximated by

an exponential function. The characteristic tim e of this function is determ ined by parameters $_{\rm h}$ and $_{\rm e}$. However it is never smaller than the larger of them and it is close to the value of their sum : $_{\rm h}$ + $_{\rm e}$. The amplitude of the peak decreases when values $_{\rm h}$ and $_{\rm e}$ become closer to each other. A comparison of the characteristic times obtained from simulations to the experimental ones allows us to conclude that the electron capture time (the longer one) is in the range of 20-40ps. The hole capture time is much smaller to assure a su cient amplitude of the observed features.

VI. SUMMARY

W e perform ed a detailed tim e-resolved spectroscopic study of single CdTe/ZnTe quantum dots. The excitation dynam ics was investigated by the tim e resolved m icro-photolum inescence, single photon correlation and subpicosecond excitation correlation m easurem ents. The tim e resolved experim ents were done with excitation by ultrafast pulsed laser working at energy above the energy gap of the barrier m aterial. The obtained tem poral pro-

les of excitation correlation exhibit several characteristic features: sub-nanosecond decrease of the intensity com m on for m ost of the PL lines (with characteristic tim e comparable to PL decay tim e), and picosecond variation of the relative intensity of the lines related to excitons of di erent charge state. W e propose a model describing observed features and dem onstrate that it requires that the carriers are trapped separately. Moreover the capture of carriers of di erent charge take place at di erent delay from excitation. The detailed analysis of the tem poral pro les let us conclude that the electron capture takes place in 20-40ps after excitation, while capture of hole is much faster.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was partially supported by the Polish M inistry of Science and H igher E ducation as research grants in years 2006–2009 and by European P roject No. M TKD – CT-2005–029671. One of us (PK) acknow ledges the support from European Project No. FP 7/2007–2013–221515 (M O CNA).

E lectronic address: Tom asz K azim ierczuk@ fuw edu pl

- ¹ P.M ichler, Single Quantum Dots: Fundamentals, Applications and New Concepts, vol. 90 of Topics in Applied Physics (Springer, New York, 2003).
- ² P.M ichler, A.K iraz, C.Becher, W.V.Schoenfeld, P.M. Petro, L.Zhang, E.Hu, and A.Im am oglu, Science 290, 2282 (2000).
- ³ D. Loss and D. P. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. A 57, 120 (1998).
- ⁴ D.P.D iV incenzo, Science 270, 255 (1995).
- ⁵ P.M. Petro, A. Lorke, and A. Im am oglu, Phys. Today 54, 46 (2001).
- ⁶ D.Bimberg, M.Grundmann, and N.N.Ledentsov, Quantum Dot Heterostructures, Quantum Dot Heterostructures

(W iley & Sons, New York, 1999).

- ⁷ P.Bajracharya, T.A. Nguyen, S.M ackowski, L.M. Smith, H.P.W agner, U.W. Pohl, D.Bimberg, and M. Strassburg, Phys. Rev. B 75, 35321 (2007).
- ⁸ T.-E. Nee, Y.-F. Wu, C.-C. Cheng, and H.-T. Shen, J. Appl.Phys. 99, 13506 (2006).
- ⁹ J. Su czynski, T. Kazim ierczuk, M. Goryca, B. Piechal, A. Trajnerowicz, K. Kowalik, P. Kossacki, A. Golnik, K. Korona, M. Nawrocki, et al., Phys. Rev. B 74, 085319 (2006).
- ¹⁰ E.S.Moskalenko, L.A.Larsson, M.Larsson, P.O.Holtz, W.V.Schoenfeld, and P.M.Petro, Phys. Rev. B 78, 75306 (2008).
- ¹¹ G.Muoz-Matutano, J.Gomis, B.Aln, J.Martnez-Pastor, L.Seravalli, P.Frigeri, and S.Franchi, Physica E 40, 2100 (2008).
- ¹² J. Houel, S. Sauvage, P. Boucaud, A. Dazzi, R. Prazeres, F. G lotin, J.-M. Ortega, A. Miard, and A. Lem a^{tre}, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 217404 (2007).
- ¹³ B.Alen, F.Bickel, K.Karrai, R.J.W arburton, and P.M. Petro, Appl Phys. Lett. 83, 2235 (2003).
- ¹⁴ D.Rosen, A.G.Doukas, Y.Budansky, A.Katz, and R.R. Alfano, Appl Phys. Lett. 39, 935 (1981).
- ¹⁵ A.Olsson, D.J.Erskine, Z.Y.Xu, A.Schremer, and C.L. Tang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 41, 659 (1982).
- ¹⁶ M. Jorgensen and J. Hvam, Appl. Phys. Lett 43, 460 (1983).
- ¹⁷ S. Ideshita and Y. M asum oto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 59, 331 (1990).
- ¹⁸ T. Mishina, H. Chida, and Y. Masum oto, Phys. Rev. B 48, 1460 (1993).
- ¹⁹ Y. Yamada, T. M ishina, Y. Masumoto, Y. Kawakami, J. Suda, S. Fujita, and S. Fujita, Phys. Rev. B 52, R 2289 (1995).
- ²⁰ H. Hirori, K. Matsuda, Y. Miyauchi, S. Maruyama, and Y. Kanemitsu, Phys. Rev. Lett 97, 257401 (2006).

- ²¹ P. Wojnar, J. Su czynski, K. Kowalik, A. Golnik, M. Aleszkiewicz, G. Karczewski, and J. Kossut, Nanotechnology 19, 235403 (2008).
- ²² R. Hanbury-Brown and R.Q. Twiss, Nature 178, 1046 (1956).
- ²³ T. Kazim ierczuk, J. Su czynski, A. Golnik, J. A. Gaj, P. Kossacki, and P. Wojnar, Phys. Rev. B 79, 153301 (2009).
- ²⁴ Y. Leger, L. Besombes, J. Fernandez-Rossier, L. Maingault, and H. Mariette, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 107401 (pages 4) (2006).
- ²⁵ D.Gammon, E.S.Snow, B.V.Shanabrook, D.S.Katzer, and D.Park, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3005 (1996).
- ²⁶ S. Laurent, O. K rebs, M. Senes, X. Marie, T. Am and, P. Voisin, and J.-M. Gerard, Phys. Stat. Sol. (c) 1, 430 (2004).
- ²⁷ T.Kazimierczuk, A.Golnik, M.Goryca, J.A.Gaj, K.P., and P. Wojnar, Acta Phys. Pol. A to be published (2009).
- ²⁸ M.Grundmann and D.Bimberg, Phys. Rev. B 55, 9740 (1997).
- ²⁹ M. Abbarchi, C. Mastrandrea, T. Kuroda, T. Mano, A. Vinattieri, K. Sakoda, and M. Gurioli, J. Appl. Phys. 106, 53504 (2009).
- ³⁰ P. Kossacki, J. Cibert, D. Ferrand, Y. M. D'Aubigne, A. A moult, A. W aiela, S. Tatarenko, and J. A. Gaj, Phys. Rev. B 60, 16018 (1999).
- ³¹ W .Maslana, P.Kossacki, P.Plochocka, A.Golnik, J.A. GajD.Ferrand, M.Bertolini, S.Tatarenko, and J.Cibert, Appl.Phys.Lett.89, 052104 (2006).
- ³² K.Korona, P.Wojnar, J.A.Gaj, G.Karczewski, J.Kossut, and J.Kuhl, Solid State Comm. 133, 369 (2005).
- ³³ C.Santori, G.S.Solom on, M.Pelton, and Y.Yam am oto, Phys.Rev.B 65, 73310 (2002).