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#### Abstract

The distance of closest approach of hard particles is a key param eter of their interaction and plays an im portant role in the resulting phase behavior. For non-spherical particles, the distance of closest approach depends on orientation, and its calculation is surprisingly di cult. A though overlap criteria have been developed for use in com puter sim ulations $\mathbb{\|}, 2]$, no analytic solutions have been obtained for the distance of closest approach of ellipsoids in 3-D, or, until now, for ellipses in $2-D . W$ e have derived an analytic expression for the distance of closest approach of the centers of two arbitrary hard ellipses as function of their orientation relative to the line joining their centers. W e describe our m ethod for solving this problem, ilhustrate our result, and discuss its usefulness in $m$ odeling and sim ulating system $s$ of anisom etric particles such as liquid crystals.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Short range repulsive forces betw een atom $s$ and $m$ olecules in soft condensed $m$ atter are often m odeled by an e ective hard core, which govems the proxin ty ofneighbors. Since the attractive interaction w ith a few nearest neighbors usually dom inates the potential energy, the distance of closest approach is a key param eter in statistical descriptions of condensed phases. Sim ple atom $s$ and molecules w ith spherical sym m etry can be view ed as having spherical hard cores; the distance of closest approach of the centers of identicalhard spheres in $3-D$ or of hard circles in $2-D$ is the diam eter. For non-spherical m olecules, such as the constituents of liquid crystals, the distance depends on orientation, and its calculation is sunprisingly di cult [3]. T he sim plest sm ooth non-spherical shapes are the ellipse and the ellipsoid. A though overlap criteria have been developed for use in com puter sim ulations [1, 2], no analytic solutions for the distance of closest approach have been obtained for ellipsoids in $3-D$, or, up to now, for ellinses in $2-D$. The problem of determ ining the distance ofclosest approach for tw o ellipses is particularly intriguing because of its seductive apparent sim plicity [3]. W e have recently succeeded in deriving an analytic expression for the distance ofclosest approach of the centers oftw o arbitrary hard ellipses as function of their orientation relative to the line joining their centers. W e describe our m ethod for solving this problem, give the solution, ilhustrate our results, and discuss its usefulness in $m$ odeling and sim ulating system s of anisom etric particles such as liquid crystals.

## II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

W e consider tw $g$ ellipses $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ in 2D with sem i-axes lengths $a_{i}$ and $b_{i} w$ here $a_{i}>b_{i}$, eccentricity $e_{i}=1 \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{a_{i}^{2}}$, and $m$ ajor axes oriented along the unit vectors $\hat{k}_{i}(i=1 ; 2)$. Initially the ellipses are distant so that they have no point in com m on. O ne ellipse is then translated tow ards the other along the line joining their centers until they are in point contact extemally (see Fig. (1). The problem is to nd the distance d betw een centers when the ellipses are so tangent; that is, to nd the distance of closest approach.

T he equation of the ellipses are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}: \quad r_{1} A_{1} r_{1}=1 ; \quad A_{1}=\frac{1}{b_{1}^{2}} I+\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{1}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}^{2}}\left(I \quad e_{1}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.1: T wo extemally tangent ellipses $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$. The directions of the m a jor axes are given by $\widehat{k}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$, the centers are joined by the vector $d$.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{2}: \quad \mathrm{r}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{r}_{2}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{A}_{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{I}+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{2}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix and $\hat{k}_{i} \hat{k}_{i}$ is the dyad product. The vector joining the centers is given by $d=d \hat{d} ; \hat{d}$ is a given unit vector. O ur goal is to nd the distance $d$ as function of ellipse param eters $\mathrm{a}_{1} ; \mathrm{b}_{1} ; \mathrm{a}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}_{2}$ and orientations $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}, \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$.

It is tem pting to seek a solution by solving the quadratic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1} A_{1} r_{1}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r_{1} & d \tag{4}
\end{array}\right) A_{2}\left(r_{1} \quad d\right)=1
$$

sim ultaneously for the points of intersection, and then requiring that the distance d betw een centers be such that there is intersection exactly at one point. This approach fails for the follow ing reason: although the com ponents of $r_{1}$ at the points of intersection can be obtained by solving a quartic equation (say for the $x$-com ponent of $r_{1}$ ), the condition requiring that
the quartic have exactly one double real root is not straightforw ard to im plem ent (there are four roots, and it is not clear which two roots need to coalesce to yield the required tangency condition) and it further gives an equation in $d$ whose order is higher than quartic, and which cannot therefore be solved analytically.

## III. THE SOLUTION

O ur approach proceeds via three steps:
(1) Transform ation of the two tangent ellipses $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$, whose centers are joined by the vector $d$, into a circle $C_{1}^{0}$ and an ellipse $E_{2}^{0}$, whose centers are joined by the vector $d^{0}$. The circle $\mathrm{C}_{1}^{0}$ and the ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}$ rem ain tangent after the transform ation.
(2) D eterm ination of the distance $d^{0}$ of closest approach of $C_{1}^{0}$ and $E_{2}^{0}$ analytically.
(3) D eterm ination of the distance $d$ of closest approach of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ by inverse transfor$m$ ation of the vector $d^{0}$.
A. Transform ations


FIG . 2: The transform ed circle $C_{1}^{0}$ and ellipse $E_{2}^{0}$ after applying transform ation $T$ to the ellipses in F ig. 1 . Them ajor axis of transform ed ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}$ is along $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$, and the centers are joined by the vector $d^{0}$.

A n ellipse can be transform ed into a unit circle by anisotropic scaling. W e introduce for this purpose the $m$ atrix $T$, which transform $s$ the ellipse $E_{1}$ into a unit circle $C_{1}^{0}$ and the ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ into another ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}$. The transform ation is a scaling by the factor $1=a_{1}$ along the $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ direction and by the factor $1=\mathrm{b}_{1}$ in the direction perpendicular to $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$. The transfor$m$ ation $m$ atrix $T$, which transform sposition $r$ to a position $r^{0}$ in a space $w$ ith dim ensionless coordinates, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{I}+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \quad 1 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inverse, $T^{1}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}^{1}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \quad \mathrm{I}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{1}}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}} \quad 1: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can easily verify that $\mathrm{T}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~T}^{1}=\mathrm{I}$.
If $r_{i}^{0}=T r_{i}$, or, equivalently, $r_{i}=T^{1} r_{i}^{0}(i=1 ; 2)$, substitution into Eq. (1) gives a unit circle $\mathrm{C}_{1}^{0}$ and a new ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}$ (see F ig. (2) . T hat is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}^{0}: \quad r_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1} r_{1}=r_{1}^{0} \mathrm{~T}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~T}^{1} \mathrm{r}_{1}^{0}=r_{1}^{0} r_{1}^{0}=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}: \quad \mathrm{r}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} r_{2}=r_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~T}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}^{1} \mathrm{r}_{2}^{0}=r_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~A}^{0} \mathrm{r}_{2}^{0}=1: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A^{0}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{I}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}\right): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvectors of ${ }^{0}$ provide inform ation about the directions of the principal axes and the eigenvalues about the lengths of the sem i-axes of the transform ed ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}$. Since $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ is real sym $m$ etric, its eigenvalues + and are real, and the corresponding eigenvectors $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$ are orthogonal. Explicit expressions for these are given in the A ppendix. The lengths of the sem i-axes, if $+>$, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{2}^{0}=\frac{1}{+} ; \\
& a_{2}^{0}=p^{1} ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and we note that $a_{2}^{0}>b_{2}^{0}$.

U nder the transform ation $T$, the vector $d$ is transform ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{0}=\mathrm{Td}=\mathrm{dT} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}=\mathrm{d}^{0} \hat{d}^{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\hat{\mathrm{d}}^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{T} \hat{\mathrm{d}}}{\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \hat{\mathrm{d}} j}$ is a unit vector. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ta}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \quad 1 \quad\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathfrak{F} \hat{\mathrm{d}}={\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{1 \quad e_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{k}_{1}\right.} \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

B . D istance $d^{0}$ of closest approach of a circle and an ellipse

W e next derive the a useful relation betw een the position vector r of a point on the ellipse and the unit outward nom al A at that point. For an elliose, given by rAr $=1$, the unit normaln is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{r(r A r)}{j r(r A r) j}=p \frac{A r}{r A^{2} r}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying Eq. (15) by $B=A^{1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \hat{n}=p \frac{r}{r A^{2} r} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and multiplying Bfi by fi gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ABA}=\frac{1}{r A^{2} r}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into (16), we obtain $r$ in term $s$ of the unit norm al f

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=P \frac{\mathrm{BA}}{\hat{\mathrm{ABA}}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a unit circle and an ellipse are extemally tangent, then the directions of their norm als at the point of contact $m$ ust be opposite. If the unit outw ard norm al of the unit circle $\mathrm{C}_{1}^{0}$ at the point of contact is $\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0} ; \quad r_{2}^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{B}^{0} \mathrm{~A}^{0}}{\overline{\mathrm{n}^{0} \mathrm{~B}^{0} \mathrm{~A}^{0}}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have, for the vector $d^{0}$ joining the centers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{0}=r_{1}^{0} \quad r_{2}^{0}=\hat{n}^{0}+\frac{\mathrm{B}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}^{0}}{\hat{\mathrm{n}}^{0} \mathrm{~B}^{0} \mathrm{n}^{0}} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{0}=A^{01}=b_{2}^{02} I+\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{2}^{0^{2}}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0_{2}^{2}}} \quad 1>0: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (20) is a key result. It is a vector equation $w$ ith only two unknow ns: the magnitude of $d^{0}$ and the direction of $\hat{n}^{0}$. It can be solved for $d^{0}$ as follow s. W e multiply both sides of Eq. (20) by $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$ and by $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}$, and letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}^{0}=\sin ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~h}}=\sin ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\cos ; \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~h}}=\cos ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get, from Eq. (20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{0} \sin =\sin \quad 1+p \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}(1+)}{1+\sin ^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{0} \cos =\cos \quad 1+p \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}{1+\sin ^{2}} \quad: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere the unknow ns are and $d^{0}$. In the special case of $=0, d^{p}=1+b_{2}^{0}=1+a_{2}^{0}$, and in the case of $=\frac{-}{2}, d^{0}=1+\mathrm{b}_{2}^{0} \overline{1+}=1+a_{2}^{0}$. In general, $\frac{1}{2}$, and the solution for $d^{0}$ is $m$ ore challenging.
$W$ e let $q=P \frac{\sin ^{2}}{1+}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2}=\underline{q^{2} \quad 1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2}=1 \quad \underline{q^{2} 1}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution into Eq. (24) (a-b), squaring both sides and dividing these two equations to elim inate $d^{0}$ gives a quartic equation for $q$,

$$
\tan ^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
+1 & q^{2} \tag{28}
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}+1\right)^{2}=\left(q^{2} \quad 1\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}+1+\right)^{2}:
$$

$T$ his can be w ritten in the standard form $A q^{4}+B q^{3}+C q^{2}+D q+E=0$, where the coe cients are

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(1+\tan ^{2}\right) ;  \tag{29a}\\
B & =\frac{2}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}\left(1+\tan ^{2}+\right) ; \tag{29.b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C}=\tan ^{2} & (1+)^{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(1+(1+) \tan ^{2}\right)  \tag{29c}\\
\mathrm{D} & =\frac{2}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}\left(1+\tan ^{2}\right)(1+)  \tag{29d}\\
\mathrm{E}= & \left(1+\tan ^{2}+\right)(1+) \tag{29e}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan ^{2}=\frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\right)^{2}}{1\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\right)^{2}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The roots of Eq. (28) can be obtained explicitly as follow s.
To make contact w th the standard solution of the quartic equation, using Ferrari's method [4], we de ne

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{3 B^{2}}{8 A^{2}}+\frac{C}{A} ;  \tag{31a}\\
=\frac{B^{3}}{8 A^{3}} \quad \frac{B C}{2 A^{2}}+\frac{D}{A} ;  \tag{31b}\\
=\frac{3 B^{4}}{256 A^{4}}+\frac{C B^{2}}{16 A^{3}} \quad \frac{B D}{4 A^{2}}+\frac{E}{A} \tag{31c}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}=\frac{2}{12} ;  \tag{31d}\\
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{3}{108}+\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{2}{8} \tag{31e}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{}_{\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{}_{2}^{4}+{\frac{\mathrm{P}^{3}}{27}}^{!}} \text {; } \tag{31f}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take the principal values of the roots. If $U=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=\frac{5}{6} \quad \mathrm{Q}^{1=3} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

otherw ise

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{5}{6}+U \quad \frac{P}{3 U}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term s of these, the one real positive root $q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{B}{4 A}+\frac{1}{2} p \bar{q}^{+2 y}+{ }^{s}-3+2 y+p \frac{2}{+2 y} \text { ! }: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case when $+2 y=0$, then $=0$ (which we have not observed in this problem, but include here for com pleteness) and the positive real root is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{B}{4 A}+\frac{+^{P} \overline{2} \frac{4}{2}}{2}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

K now ing $q$, $d^{0}$ can be found by squaring both sides of Eqs. (24) (a-b) and adding; this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{0}=\frac{s}{q^{2} 1} 1+{\frac{b_{2}^{0}(1+)^{2}}{q}}^{2} 1 \frac{q^{2} 1}{q} 1+{\frac{b_{2}^{0}}{2}}^{2}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector joining the centers of the circle and the ellipse is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{0}=d^{0} \hat{d}^{0}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

C. D istance d of closest approach

T he distance of closest approach of the two ellipses is obtained via the transform ation from $d^{0}$ to $d$,
and nally we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=q \frac{d^{0}}{1 e_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{k}_{1} \hat{d}\right)^{2}} b_{1}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the solution for the distance of closest approach, whidh is our m ain result.
D. C ontact point

In addition to the distance of closest approach, it is interesting and useful to locate the point contact. W e denote the vector from the center of Elipse 1 to the point of contact as $r_{c}$. In the transform ed coordinate system, where E lipse 1 has becom e a unit circle after the a ne transform ation, the vector from the center of circle to the point of contact is $r_{c}^{0}=\hat{n}^{0}$ w here $\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}$ is the unit nom al at the point of contact, whose com ponents along the orthogonal unit vectors $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$ are known Thus, to obtain $r_{c}$, it is only necessary to perform the transform ation of $\hat{A}^{0}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{0} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com ponents of $\hat{A}^{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~A}}=\sin ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~A}=\cos \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin ) \stackrel{r}{\frac{q^{2} 1}{1}} ;  \tag{42}\\
& \cos =\operatorname{sgn}(\cos )  \tag{43}\\
& 1 \underline{q^{2} 1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ gives the sign of $x$ and the angle is know $n$.
It follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}=\cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}+\sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$ in term s of $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}=\cos \frac{\mathrm{p}^{-} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}}{\frac{1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}{2}}+\sin \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{p}^{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}\right.} \frac{\left.\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{1} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}{} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos =\hat{k}_{+}^{0} \quad \frac{\left(\hat{k}_{1}+\hat{k}_{2}\right)}{\frac{p}{2} \frac{\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}{1+\hat{k}^{2}}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin =\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{P}^{(\hat{\mathrm{k}}}{ }_{1}}{2} \frac{\left.\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{1} \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \\
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}
\end{array} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution gives $\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}$ in term $\operatorname{sof} \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}=\cos (+)_{p} \frac{\hat{p}^{\left(\hat{k}_{1}+\hat{k}_{2}\right)}}{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}+\sin (+)_{p} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}}{2} \frac{\left.\hat{k}_{2}\right)}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{c}=T^{1} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}^{0}=b_{1} \quad \mathrm{I}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~A}}^{0} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{c}=b_{1} \cos (+)_{p} \frac{\left(\hat{k}_{1}+\hat{k}_{2}\right)}{2} \frac{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}{1-b_{1} \sin (+)} \frac{\left(\hat{k}_{1}\right.}{2} \frac{\left.\hat{k}_{2}\right)}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}+ \\
& \left(a_{1} \quad b_{1}\right) \cos (+)_{p}^{\left(1+\frac{\hat{k}_{1}}{2} \hat{k}_{2}\right) \hat{k}_{1}} \frac{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}{1}+\left(a_{1} \quad b_{1}\right) \sin (+)_{p}^{(1} \frac{p}{2} \frac{\left.\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}\right) \hat{k}_{1}}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

and nally

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{c}= & {\left[\left(a_{1}+\left(a_{1} \quad b_{1}\right) \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}\right) \frac{\cos (+)}{2} \frac{p}{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} & \left.\left(a_{1} \quad b_{1}\right) \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}\right) \frac{\sin \left(+\frac{p}{2}(+1\right.}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}} \\
& {\left[b_{1} \cos (+)_{1}+\frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}\right.}
\end{array} b_{1} \sin (+)_{p}^{p} \frac{1}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}\right] \hat{k}_{2}\right.}
\end{align*}
$$

IV . D ISC U SSIO N

The above $m$ ethods give a closed form expression for the distance of closest approach and the position of the point of contact for two ellipses of arbitrary size, eccentricity and orientation. D etailed steps of the calculation are given in the A ppendix. To dem onstrate the applicability of the $m$ ethod, we give two exam ples: calculation of the excluded area and the locus of the point of contact while one ellipse is xed and the other is rotated.
A. Excluded area $A_{\text {ex }}$


FIG . 3: Excluded area for tw o ellipses. Ellipse $E_{1}$ is xed at origin, and ellipse $E_{2}$ rotates around it, keeping its orientation $x e d$ and rem aining tangent to $E_{1}$. The center of $E_{2}$ traces out the dashed curve. The area bounded by the dashed curve is the exchuded area $A_{\text {ex }}$.

From the analytical solution provided in Section IIT, one can easily com pute, num erically, the excluded area for tw o identical ellipses whose orientation is xed by integrating
$\mathrm{d}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{a}_{1} ; \mathrm{b}_{1} ; \mathrm{a}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}_{2} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)$ over $\hat{\mathrm{a}} ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{e x}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2}\left(\hat{d} ; a_{1} ; b_{1} ; a_{2} ; b_{2} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right) j \hat{d} \hat{d} j: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 3 show s the locus of the center of ellipse $E_{2}$ rotating around $E_{1}$ while keeping the orientation of both ellipses xed. Here $\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{2}=2 ; \mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{2}=1 . \mathrm{W}$ hen the angle between the $m$ ajor axes is 30 , the excluded area is 26:4 ( F ig. [3.a). If the angle is increased to 45 , then the excluded area is $27: 6$. If the angle is 90 , then the excluded area is 29:7 ( F ig. 3.b). The excluded area increases $m$ onotonically $w$ th the angle betw een $m$ ajor axes of two ellipses; it is the sm allest when the $m$ a jor axes are parallel, and the largest when the $m$ a jor axes are norm al to each other.
B. Locus of the point of contact


F IG . 4: Locus of the point of contact. Ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ is rotated about its center, while ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ keeps its orientation $x e d$. The center of $E_{2} m$ oves so that $E_{2}$ rem ains tangent to $E_{1}$. The point of contact traces out the dashed curve.

F ig. 4 show s that locus of the point of contact when ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ is rotating about its center while ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ keeps its orientation. It is interesting and unexpected that the locus has dipolar rather than quadrupolar sym $m$ etry.

```
C . P otential A pplication s
```

O ur result, the analytical expression for the distance of closest approach of two hard ellipses, has a num ber of potential applications. It $m$ ay be useful in $m$ odeling $2-\mathrm{D}$ liquid crystals, both analytically and num erically. T he excluded area, discussed above, is a key param eter in statistical models [5] which can be calculated for ellipses from our result. A nother potential application is in the theory of nem atic liquid crystals. O ne im portant contribution to the elastic constants of nem atics is due to anisotropic dispersion foroes. T he average $V$ an der $W$ aals interaction energy of a m olecule $w$ ith its neighbors is an algebraic function of the distance of closest approadh. T he origin of three distinct elastic constants in nem atics is still unresolved. O ur result $m$ ay be usefiul in m odeling elastic constants in $2-D$ nem atics, and possibly giving insights tow ards understanding their origins in general.

M onte C arlo calculations have played an im portant role in m odeling the phase behavior of isotropic uids and liquid crystals ©]. V ieillard $B$ aron developed the nst overlap criterion for identical hard ellipses [1]. He derived a contact function (a; $\left.; ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} ; \mathrm{d}\right)$ such that $=0$ when the ellipses are tangent (either exteriorly or interiorly), and this function is positive and at least one of two auxiliary functions are negative if the ellipses have no real point in com $m$ on. This overlap criterion has been used in $M$ onte-C arlo sim ulations of hard ellipse system $s$ [1,7]. It $m$ ay be possible to solve $\left(a ; b ; \hat{k}_{1} ; \hat{k}_{2} ; d\right)=0$ for $d$ (this involves solving $a$ quartic equation), and thus obtain a result sim ilar to ours; to our know ledge this has not yet been done. H ow ever, $V$ ieillard $B$ aron's contact function is only valid for identical ellipses, and so this result would not be as general as ours, presented here.

A ccording to the $H$ ohenberg $-M$ em in $-W$ agner theorem, long range order corresponding to broken continuous sym $m$ etry is not allowed in 2D system $s w$ ith short-range interactions [8]. T he possibility of long-range order in 2D nem atics has been discussed theoretically [9] and exam ined using $M$ onte-C arlo sim ulations w ith Lennard-Jones like potentials 110] as well as w ith hard rods [11]. A though it has been show $n$ that true long range order cannot exist if the intenparticle potential is separable into a positional and an orientationalpart [10], it is not clear what the im plications are for system $s$ of hard ellipses. Frenkel has show $n$ that only quasi-long range order exists for hard spherocylinders [11], that is, the correlations in orientational order decay algebraically. H ard ellipsoids, how ever, can show dram atically di erent behavior from hard spherocylinders I2] (hard ellipses do not form sm ectic phases,
whereas spherocylinders do [13]), and for this reason M onte C arlo sim ulations of hard ellipses, on system s larger than studied by V ieillard-B aron, would be of considerable interest. O ur result for the distance provides an overlap criterion which could be usefully applied here.

A nother area of interest is phase separation in hard particle system s [14, 15]. For exam ple, sim ulations of hard disks and hard parallel squares have been studied, and phase separation has been observed. Theoretical studies, on the other hand predict no phase separation in 2D 16]. O ur results could provide the criterion for the overlap of ellipses of di erent sizes, and thus enable $M$ onte-C arlo sim ulations ofbinary $m$ ixtures of hard ellipses.
$V$ ieillard-B aron also provides an overlap criterion for tw o identicalellipsoids of revolution in 3D [1]. This involves the evaluation of a contact function and ve auxiliary functions, three of which $m$ ust be non-negative and at least one am ong the rem aining three $m$ ust be negative to avoid overlap. Perram and $W$ ertheim provided a m ore general overlap criterion for hard ellipsoids [2]. Their schem e for evaluating the criterion involves an iterative nu$m$ erical technique to nd the $m$ axim um of a scalar function. O ur results can provide the basis of a sim ple algorithm to determ ine the distance of closest approach oftw o ellipsoids in 3D . T his involves passing a plane through the line joining the centers of the tw o ellipsoids, determ ining the distance of closest approach of the ellipses in the plane, then rotating the plane and nding the largest such distance. The details of this algorithm willbe published elsew here.
V. CONCLUSION

W e have derived an analytic expression for the distance of closest approach of two hard ellipses with arbitrary orientation in 2D. The strategy is to transform the ellipses into a circle and a new ellipse by a scaling transform ation. The relation between the position of a point on the ellipse and the norm al at that point allow s the tangency condition between the circle and ellipse to be w ritten as a sim ple vector equation $w$ ith two unknowns, which $m$ ay be solved analytically for the distance betw een the centers. The solution requires the solution of a quartic equation, whose single positive real root can be uniquely determ ined. The nal result for the distance is obtained by the inverse scaling transform ation. Explicit instructions for calculating the distance are given in the A ppendix. O ur result $m$ ay be useful in analytic and num ericalm odels of orientationally ordered system s.
A. D etailed calculation of the distance of closest approach

W e start by specifying all the quantities required for the calculation of $d$.
$\mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ are the lengths ( $\mathrm{a}_{1}>\mathrm{b}_{1}$ ) of the m ajor and m inor axes of ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{1}$.
$a_{2}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ are the lengths $\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}>\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)$ of the m a jor and m inor axes of ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}$.
$e_{1}=\frac{1}{s \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}}}$ is the eccentricity of ellinge $E_{1}$ :
$e_{2}=1 \frac{b_{2}^{2}}{a_{2}^{2}}$ is the eccentricity of ellipse $E_{2}$ :
$\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \mathrm{~d}$ is the cosine of the angle betw een the $m$ a jor axis $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ of ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and the direction $\hat{d}$ of the line joining the centers.
$\hat{k}_{2} \hat{d}$ is the cosine of the angle betw een the $m$ a jor axis $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$ of ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ and the direction d of the line joining the centers.
$\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$ is the cosine of the angle betw een the m a jor axis $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ of ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and the m ajor axis $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$ of ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{2}$.

T he above quantities are speci ed in the statem ent of the problem .
T he follow ing quantities are derived from these.

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{1}}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}} \quad 1: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the coordinate system w ith the basis $\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2+2 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}$ and $\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P} \overline{2} 2 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$, the com ponents of $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{A}_{11}^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\left((2+) \quad \hat{\epsilon}_{2}^{2}\left(1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right) ;  \tag{55}\\
& \left.\mathrm{A}_{22}^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\left((2+) \quad \hat{\epsilon}_{2}^{2}\left(1 \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right) \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{12}^{0}=A_{21}^{0}={\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}} \frac{1}{2}}_{1}^{1}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)^{2}\left((2+)+\hat{Q}_{2}^{2}\left(1 \quad 2\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right): \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues of $A^{0}$, in term $s$ of these, are

$$
\begin{equation*}
+=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{11}^{0}+A_{22}^{0}\right)+\frac{r}{\frac{1}{4}\left(A_{11}^{0} \quad A_{22}^{0}\right)^{2}+A_{12}^{Q}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{11}^{0}+A_{22}^{0}\right) \quad \overline{\frac{1}{4}\left(A_{11}^{0} \quad A_{22}^{0}\right)^{2}+A_{12}^{\mathbb{Q}}}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{p} \underset{+}{1} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{0}=p \underline{1}: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvectors are given by
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}} \frac{q}{\mathrm{~A}_{12}^{0}+\left(+\mathrm{A}_{11}^{0}\right)^{2}}} \quad \frac{\left(+\mathrm{A}_{11}^{0}\right)\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\hat{k}_{2}\right)}{1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{A}_{12}^{0}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{1 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}: \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\cos =\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}^{q}}^{q} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}_{12}^{0}+\left(+\mathrm{A}_{11}^{0}\right)^{2}}} \overline{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}} \\
& p \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{12}^{0}}{1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)+\left(\hat{k}_{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \quad 1 \quad\left(\hat{k}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(\hat{k}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\right)+ \\
& p \frac{\left(+A_{11}^{0}\right)}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{d}\right) \quad\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \quad 1 \quad\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\right): \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$, then $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be replaced by $+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \mathrm{w}$ thout the loss of generality. If $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$, care $m$ ust be taken evaluating the above expression. Letting $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}=\infty$, in the lim it as
! 0 we nd that if $\AA_{11}^{0}>A_{22}^{0}$, then $\left(+A_{11}^{0}\right) \quad A_{12}^{Q}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\cos =\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right) ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $A_{11}^{0}<\mathrm{A}_{22}^{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\cos =\frac{\mathrm{q} \overline{1\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}}}{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{2}^{\varrho}}{\mathrm{b}_{2}^{\varrho}} \quad 1: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $==2$ or if $=0$, then $d=1+a_{2}^{0}$, and Eq. (73) can be evaluated directly. O therw ise,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tan ^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}^{0}\right)^{2}} 1 ;  \tag{68}\\
\mathrm{A}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{02}}\left(1+\tan ^{2}\right) ;  \tag{69a}\\
\mathrm{B}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}\left(1+\tan ^{2}+\quad\right) ;  \tag{69b}\\
\mathrm{C}=\quad \tan ^{2} \quad(1+)^{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}}\left(1+(1+) \tan ^{2}\right) ;  \tag{69c}\\
\mathrm{D}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{0}}\left(1+\tan ^{2}\right)(1+\quad) ;  \tag{69d}\\
\mathrm{E}=\left(1+\tan ^{2}+\quad\right)(1+\quad) ;  \tag{69e}\\
=  \tag{70a}\\
=\frac{3 \mathrm{~B}^{2}}{8 \mathrm{~A}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~A}}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{B^{3}}{8 A^{3}} \quad \frac{B C}{2 A^{2}}+\frac{D}{A}: \tag{70b}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\in 0$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{3 B^{4}}{256 A^{4}}+\frac{C B^{2}}{16 A^{3}} \frac{B D}{4 A^{2}}+\frac{E}{A} ;  \tag{70c}\\
P=\frac{2}{12} ;  \tag{70d}\\
Q=\frac{3}{108}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{8} ;  \tag{70e}\\
U=\frac{Q}{2}+\frac{Q^{2}}{4}+\frac{P^{3}}{27} \tag{70f}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the principal values of the roots are taken throughout;

$$
Y=\begin{array}{llll}
8  \tag{70g}\\
\gtrless & \frac{5}{6} \\
\gtrless & \frac{5}{6} & Q^{1=3} & \frac{P}{3 U} \\
\text { if } U \in 0 ;
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{B}{4 A}+\frac{1}{2} p-\quad 3+2 y+p-\frac{2}{+2 y}+\quad: \tag{70h}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{B}=\frac{\mathrm{B}}{4 A}+\frac{\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{P} \overline{2}-4}}{2}}{\frac{q^{2} 1}{2} 1+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{2}^{0}(1+)^{2}}{q}+1 \frac{q^{2} 1}{q} 1+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{2}^{0}}{q}} ; \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nally

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=q \frac{d^{0}}{1 e_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{k}_{1} \hat{d}\right)^{2}} b_{1}: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. D etailed calculation of the position of the point of contact

T o obtain the vector $r_{c}$ from the center of E llipse 1 to the point of contact, we rst need to com pute $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ and then perform the inverse a ne transform ation. Explicitly, $r_{c}=\mathrm{T}^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{0}$. The com ponents of $\hat{n}^{0}$ can be de ned though the inner product $w$ ith $\hat{k}_{+}^{0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}::$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~h}}=\cos =\operatorname{sgn}(\cos ) \frac{\mathrm{r} \overline{1} \overline{q^{2} 1}}{\mathrm{r} \frac{\mathrm{q}^{2}}{1}} ;  \tag{74}\\
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~h}}=\sin =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin ) \underline{q^{1}}:
\end{align*}
$$

where sgn ( $x$ ) gives the sign of $x$. The expression for cos is given in Eq. ?? sin can be calculated sim ilarly, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}^{0}=\sin =\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{1}{\frac{A_{12}^{0}}{}{ }^{2}+\left(+\mathrm{A}_{11}^{0}\right)^{2}}_{1}^{1}{e_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{k}_{1} \hat{d}\right)^{2}}^{q}} \\
& p \frac{\left(+A_{11}^{0}\right)}{1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{d}\right)+\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}} 1 \quad\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{12}^{0}}{1 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \text { d) } \quad\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}} 1 \quad\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{d}\right)\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)\right):\right. \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

It follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{n}}^{0}=\cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}+\sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$ in term $\operatorname{sof} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}=\cos \frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{p}_{2}} \frac{1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}{1}+\sin \frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{p}} \frac{1 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}{} ; \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos =\hat{k}_{+}^{0} \quad \frac{\hat{k}^{\left(\hat{k}_{1}+\hat{k}_{2}\right)}}{2} \frac{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}{1} ; \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin =\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \quad \underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{1 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle can be calculated explicitly, since $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$ are known. Substitution gives $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ in term s of $\hat{\mathrm{K}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{K}}_{2}$ and gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}^{0}=\cos (+) \frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{2}} \frac{1+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}{2}+\sin (+)_{\mathrm{P}}^{2 \mathrm{P} \frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}\right)}{1 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}}}: \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, after the transform aton $T^{1}$, the contact point $r_{c}$ is given by a linear com bination of $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{c}=T^{1} \hat{n}^{0}=b_{1} I+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{n}}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[b_{1} \cos (+)_{p} \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{1+\hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}} \quad b_{1} \sin (+)_{p} \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{1 \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2}}\right] \hat{k}_{2}:} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ here are som e special cases, when either $q$ is not given or is not well de ned $W$ e treat these separately below .

1. If $=0$ or $=2$, then cos and $\sin$ are not required, since that $\hat{n}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{d}}^{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{C}=T^{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{T}^{1} \mathrm{~T} \hat{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{~T} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{a}}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}} 1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}}: \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. If $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$, care m ust to be taken in evaluating the angle. Since $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{2}$, these vectors do not span the space, and a new vector $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}$, perpendicular to $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$, needs to be introduced. :
(a) If $A_{11}>\mathrm{A}_{22}$; then $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$, and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{?}$, and cos and sin are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}^{0}=\cos =\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right) ;  \tag{85}\\
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\sin =q \frac{1}{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{?} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \text {; } \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}=\cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and the $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\mathrm{T}^{1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}^{0}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{I}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad\left(\cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{?}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{a}_{1} \cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{?}: \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) If $\mathrm{A}_{11}<\mathrm{A}_{22} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{+}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\cos =\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{88}\\
& \left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{?} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right) ;  \tag{89}\\
& \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\sin =q \frac{1}{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\left.\mathrm{k}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}}\right.} \frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{a}}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and $\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{0}=\cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{?}+\sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \mathrm{~W}$ e then have

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{c} & =T^{1} \hat{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{I}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1} \quad\left(\cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{?}+\sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{b}_{1} \cos \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{?}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \sin \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{1}: \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$
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