### Low -tem perature properties of the H ubbard m odel on highly frustrated one-dim ensional lattices

O.Derzhko $_{1}^{1,2,3}$  J.Richter, A.Honecker, M.Maksymenko $_{1}^{1}$  and R.Moessner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Condensed M atter Physics, National Academ y of

Sciences of Ukraine, 1 Svientsitskii Street, L'viv-11, 79011, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup>M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Physik kom plexer System e, N othnitzer Stra e 38, 01187 D resden, G erm any

<sup>3</sup> Institut fur theoretische Physik, Universitat Magdeburg, P.O. Box 4120, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Georg-August-Universitat Gottingen,

Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Gottingen, Germany

(D ated: February 22, 2024)

W e consider the repulsive H ubbard m odel on three highly frustrated one-dimensional lattices { saw tooth chain and two kagom e chains { with completely dispersionless ( at) lowest single-electron bands. W e construct the complete m anifold of exact m any-electron ground states at low electron llings and calculate the degeneracy of these states. As a result, we obtain closed-form expressions for low-tem perature therm odynam ic quantities around a particular value of the chem ical potential 0. W e discuss speci c features of therm odynam ic quantities of these ground-state ensem bles such as residual entropy, an extra low-tem perature peak in the speci c heat, and the existence of ferrom agnetism and param agnetism . W e con rm our analytical results by com parison with exact diagonalization data for nite system s.

PACS num bers: 71.10.-w, 71.10.Fd K eywords: Hubbard m odel, saw tooth chain, kagom e chains, at bands, ferrom agnetism

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The Hubbard model is a particularly simple model for strongly interacting electrons in solids.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, rigorous analysis of the model is a di cult task and exact statem ents about its properties are notoriously rare.<sup>1,2,3</sup> A number of rigorous/exact results have been obtained for the Hubbard model on some specic lattices, see, e.g., Refs. 4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12. In particular, in the context of the origin of ferrom agnetism in itinerant electron system s<sup>13</sup> di erent lattices supporting dispersionless (at) single-electron band were studied in some detail.4,5,6,14,15 In the last years the theory of at-band ferrom agnetism has been developed further.<sup>16,17,18,19,20,21,22</sup> Although at rst glance one may think that the lattices adm itting rigorous treatm ent are rather articial, now adays new possibilities to design interacting lattice system with controlled geom etry em erge. Thus, modern strategies in chemistry open a route to synthesize new materials with a desired lattice structure and intersite interaction.<sup>23</sup> Furtherm ore, recent progress in nanotechnology allows the fabrication of quantum dot superlattices and quantum wire systems with any type of lattice.<sup>20</sup> A nother rapidly developing eld is the controlled setup of optical lattices for cold atom s.<sup>10,24,25</sup>

On the other hand, during the past years it has been noticed that exact ground states of the quantum X X Z Heisenberg antiferrom agnet can be constructed at high magnetic elds for a large class of geom etrically frustrated lattices.<sup>26</sup> These states, called independent or isolated localized magnons, are localized on nonoverlapping restricted areas of the lattice and they clearly manifest them selves in various peculiarities of the low-tem perature strong- eld properties of the

spin systems (macroscopic magnetization jump,<sup>26</sup> eldtuned lattice instability,<sup>27</sup> residual entropy,<sup>28,29,30</sup> enhanced magnetocaloric e ect,<sup>28,31</sup> order-disorder phase transition below the saturation eld<sup>29,30</sup> etc.). Interestingly, at-band ferrom agnetism of Hubbard electrons exhibits some similarities to the localized-magnon effect for X X Z Heisenberg antiferrom agnets on certain frustrated lattices.<sup>11,12,32</sup> Note, however, that while the one-particle description may be identical, the manyparticle picture is obviously di erent. W hile the spin model can be viewed as a hard-core bosonic system with nearest-neighbor intersite repulsion, the electronic Hubbard model is a two-component ferm ionic system with on-site repulsion between di erent species. N evertheless, it has been found recently<sup>11,12,32</sup> that several ideas developed for the Heisenberg model can be carried over to the Hubbard model.

In the present paper we consider the repulsive H ubbard model on a class of one-dimensional frustrated lattices, namely the saw tooth chain and two dierent kagome chains (see Figs. 1a, 1b, and 1c). These Hubbard system s have highly degenerate ground states for certain electron num bers n  $n_{m ax}$ ,  $n_{m ax}$  / N, where N is the num ber of lattice sites. W e will give explicit analytical expressions for all these ground states. Their num ber grow s exponentially with the system size and can be counted by a mapping of the electronic problem onto a one-dimensional classical hard-dim er gas. M oreover, these system s show saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism for particular values of electron number n, i.e., the square of the total spin is  $S^2 = (n=2)(n=2+1)$ . Although the saw tooth chain on the one hand and the kagome chains on the other hand belong to di erent types of at-band ferrom agnets, the Hubbard m odelon all three lattices exhibits



FIG.1: (C olor online) Three one-dimensional lattices considered in this paper: (a) the saw tooth chain, (b) the kagome chain I, and (c) the kagome chain II. For the saw tooth H ubbard chain the hopping parameter along the zig-zag path  $t^0$  is 2 times larger than the hopping parameter t > 0 along the base line. For the kagom e H ubbard chains all the hopping parameters t > 0 are identical. Bold (red) lines denote the m inim al trapping cells for localized electrons.

an identical therm odynam ic behavior at low tem peratures around a certain value of the chem ical potential 0 if N ! 1 . Indeed, while the saw tooth lattice being a one-dim ensional version of Tasaki's model is an example of the cell construction ", 5,6 the kagom e chain I and the kagom e chain II belong to M ielke's class of  $\line graphs'',$ where the kagom e chain I is the line graph of the two-leg ladder  $^{33,34}$  and the kagom e chain II is the line graph of a decorated two-leg ladder. The num ber of sites in the unit cell is 2, 3, and 5 for the saw tooth chain, kagom e chain I, and kagom e chain II, respectively. M ore di erences can be seen in the single-electron energies for these lattices: although the tight-binding m odel of all three lattices exhibits a dispersionless (at) lowest-energy band, the next dispersive band is separated by a nite gap for the saw tooth chain, but it touches the at band at one point in momentum space in the case of the kagom e chains (for more details see Sec. III). We mention that all of the three lattices were discussed previously in the context of various problem s of strongly correlated system s, see, e.g., Refs. 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46.

W e consider the standard Hubbard Ham iltonian

$$H = \begin{matrix} A & A & A \\ H & = & H_{0} + H_{int} + & (n_{i;"} + n_{i;#}); \\ X & i \\ H_{0} & = & t_{i;j} c_{i;}^{y} c_{j;} + c_{j;}^{y} c_{i;}; \\ H_{int} & = & U & n_{i;"}n_{i;#}: \end{matrix}$$
(1.1)

The sum s run over N lattice sites i or over the nearestneighbor pairs hi; ji, and periodic boundary conditions are imposed,  $c_{i:}^{y}$  ( $c_{i;}$ ) are the usual ferm ion creation (annihilation) operators. For the saw tooth chain the hopping param eters along the zig-zag path  $t^0$  are <sup>1</sup> 2 tim es larger than the ones along the base line t > 0 (then the lowest single-electron band is completely at, see Sec. III). For the kagom e chains all the hopping param eters equal t > 0 (then the lowest single-electron band is completely at, see Sec. III). U 0 is the onsite C oulom b repulsion for electrons with di erent spins. W ith further statistical-m echanics calculation in m ind we also introduce the term with chemical potential . Note that the sign of the term with in Eq. (1.1) is chosen to have direct correspondence between the chem ical potential for the electronic model and the magnetic eld h for the respective antiferrom agnetic spin m odel.<sup>11,12,32</sup> In what follows we often will set t = 1.

The rem ainder of the paper is organized as follows. We begin with a brief sum mary of our main results, Sec. II. In Sec. III we discuss the tight-binding model of noninteracting electrons [we set U = 0 in Eq. (1.1)] for the three chains. In Sec. IV we construct the complete set of exact m any-electron ground states of the repulsive H ubbard m odel on the three lattices for the electron num bers  $n_{\text{m ax}}$  , where  $n_{\text{m ax}}$  = N  $\,$  or N + 1 and N  $\,/$  N , and n discuss som e properties of these states. M oreover, we explain the mapping of a certain subset of these states onto spatial con gurations of classical hard dim ers on a simple chain. This mapping is crucial to calculate the exact degeneracies of the ground states for electron num bers n N and to determ ine the residual entropy caused by these states. In Sec. V we calculate analytically the contribution of the highly degenerate groundstate manifold to the grand-canonical partition function of the Hubbard model on the respective one-dimensional lattices. This contribution dominates at low temperatures when the chem ical potential is around a certain value  $_0$  ( $_0$  = 2t for all three lattices). We also calculate analytically the low-tem perature behavior of several therm odynam ic quantities such as the average num ber of electrons, the entropy, and the speci c heat, and we compare these analytical ndings with num erical results obtained by exact diagonalization for nite lattices. M oreover, we use exact diagonalization for nite lattices to discuss the in uence of small deviations from the ideal geometry (leading to a dispersion of the former at band) on the low-tem perature therm odynam ics. In Sec.VIwe use the analytical ndings based on the localized-state

picture and complementary numerical data from exact diagonalization of nite systems for the discussion of the ground-state magnetic properties of the considered Hubbard chains. In particular, we discuss the appearance of ferrom agnetism and paramagnetism. In Sec. VII we brie y discuss the relation between the electron models and corresponding localized-spin models. Finally we sum marize our ndings in Sec. VIII. Som e auxiliary calculations are collected in Appendices.

#### II. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

C om pared to previous work on at-band H eisenberg X X Z m agnets, the them e of this paper is the new physics which arises in itinerant m agnets in which (a) the m obile degrees of freedom are subject to the P auliprinciple and (b) the H am iltonian exhibits full SU (2) symmetry; in particular, these features set this work apart from previous work on X X Z m odels.

P revious studies on itinerant at-band ferrom agnets were focused on particular values  $n_{\rm f}$  of the electron number n for which ground states with saturated ferrom agnetism exist. By contrast, we characterize the complete set of ground states at electron numbers n  $n_{\rm f}$ , count their numbers, and use them to obtain explicitly the low – tem perature therm odynam ic quantities as well as the average ground-state m agnetic m om ents.

O ur results cover, on an equal footing, two families of at-band ferrom agnets in one dimension. The rst are those obtained from Tasaki's cell construction and those described by Mielke's line-graph construction.

Som ewhat unusually for a strongly interacting itinerant m any-body system, for these lattices we provide an explicit construction of the fullset of exact ground states, for a nite range of doping. The construction of the ground states is based on a m apping to hard-core dim ers on an appropriate one-dim ensional structure.

This result enables us to obtain the corresponding partition functions, and hence the low-tem perature therm odynam ics, of the magnets in the low-doping regime.

The most salient consequences concern (i) the entropy, (ii) the low-temperature specic heat, (iii) the dependence of the average number of electrons on the chemical potential and (iv) the magnetic properties.

Regarding (i) the at band in the one-particle energies leads to a huge degeneracy of the many-body ground states for a certain range of electron densities resulting in a residual entropy in the therm odynam ic lim it. This highly degenerate ground-state manifold has a great in pact on the low-tem perature physics in the low-doping regime. In particular, an extra low-tem perature peak in the speci c heat appears which is related to an emerging low-energy scale separated from the energy scale determ ined by the value of the hopping integral. M oreover, the zero-tem perature average num ber of electrons exhibits a jump at a certain value of the chem ical potential. Regarding (iv) the structure in pressed on the many-body wave-function by the Pauli principle leads to a degree of ferrom agnetism in nite system swhich varies with electron number n; there are speci c llings at which ferrom agnetism is saturated [the square of the total spin is S<sup>2</sup> = (n=2) (n=2 + 1)] while at others it is only partially developed. However, in the therm odynam ic lim it the region of electron density n=N, for which ground-state ferrom agnetism exists shrinks to one point (n=N = 1=2 for the saw tooth chain, n=N = 1=3 for the kagom e chain I, and n=N = 1=5 for the kagom e chain II). For lower electron densities the ground state is param agnetic and the low-tem perature behavior of the zero- eld susceptibility follows a C urie law.

F inally we emphasize here that the localized-m agnon states for the X X Z H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on all three lattices can be also m apped onto a one-dimensional m odel of hard dimers. Interestingly, due to the Pauli principle, the localized-electron states are less constraining than the respective localized-m agnon states. As a result the manifold of localized states for the electronic system is much larger than that for the magnon system.

#### III. NON-INTERACTING ELECTRONS. TRAPPED ELECTRON STATES

For zero C oulom b interaction U the diagonalization of the H am iltonian (1.1) is straightforward. Nevertheless, we start with the discussion of this case, since it provides some important results which are relevant for the case U > 0, too. The saw tooth chain has been studied in great detail before (see, e.g., Ref. 32). We will therefore just recall the main results for this case and give further details only for the two kagom e chains. For brevity, we may also om it spin indices as irrelevant in this section.

#### A. Saw tooth chain

The saw tooth chain consists of N = N =2 cells, each cell contains two sites, see Fig. 1a. Hence, there are two branches of single-particle energies which read<sup>32</sup>

$$q = t \cos \left( t^2 \cos^2 + 2t^{0^2} (1 + \cos) \right) + :$$
(3.1)

For  $t^0 = \frac{p}{2t} > 0$  the lowest single-electron band becomes at, "1() = "1 = 2t+ and one can write down creation operators for a corresponding set of eigenstates which are localized in a valley with index  $j_1^{32}$ 

$$I_{2j}^{y} = c_{2j 1}^{y} \frac{p}{2} c_{2j}^{y} + c_{2j+1}^{y} :$$
 (3.2)

This set of localized single-electron states is a convenient starting point for the construction of the many-electron ground states of the H am iltonian (1.1) in the subspaces with electron numbers n = 2; :::; N. Moreover, this \b-calized" point of view allows a useful simple geometrical interpretation, see below.

#### B. Kagom e chain I

C onsider next the kagom e chain I, see F ig. 1b. It consists of N = N=3 cells, each cell contains three sites. A fler standard transform ations we get the diagonal form of the H am iltonian

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & X^{3} & X \\ H_{0} + & n_{i} = & & \\$$

with = 2 m = N, m 2 Z, N = 2 < m N = 2. The lowest energy band is at, "1() = "1 = 2t + . Note, how ever, that a state with = (it does exist if N is even) from the dispersive band "2() has also the energy "1 = 2t + , i.e., the next band touches the lowest at band at = . The -dependent single-electron states are given by  $\frac{y}{p}$ ; jDi, p = 1;2;3, with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overset{y}{_{1;}} & = & \frac{1}{\frac{P(2N(2+\cos n))}{2N(2+\cos n)}} \overset{N}{_{j=0}} e^{-i \cdot j} J_{3j}^{y}; \\ J_{3j}^{y} & = & c_{3j}^{y} & c_{3j+1}^{y} & c_{3j+2}^{y} + c_{3j+3}^{y}; \\ \overset{y}{_{2;}} & = & \frac{1}{\frac{P(2N)}{2N}} \overset{N}{_{j=0}} e^{-i \cdot j} & c_{3j+1}^{y} & c_{3j+2}^{y}; \\ \overset{y}{_{3;}} & = & \frac{1}{\frac{P(2N)}{N(2+\cos n)}} \overset{N}{_{j=0}} e^{-i \cdot j} \\ & & c_{3j+2}^{y} + c_{3j+1}^{y} + 2c_{3j}^{y} + c_{3j+1}^{y} + c_{3j+2}^{y}; \\ \end{array}$$

Owing to the N-fold degeneracy of the lowest at band, one can use alternatively the states  $l_{3j}^{y}$  Di, j = 0;1;:::;N 1 instead of the N states  $_{1,j}^{y}$  Di. These eigenstates are localized states where an electron is trapped on a diam ond consisting of four sites, 3j, 3j+1, 3j+3, and 3j+2 (j enumerates these diam ond traps and varies from 0 to N 1). It is easy to check that  $[H_0 + \frac{1}{2}n_{1i}; l_{3j}^{y}] = "_1 l_{3j}^{y}$ . A real-space picture for the state with = from the dispersive band "<sub>2</sub>() is as follow s:

$$\sum_{2;}^{y} Di = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{x}-1} (1)^{j} c_{3j+1}^{y} c_{3j+2}^{y} Di: (3.5)$$

This eigenstate is not localized on a nite region, but the electron is trapped on the two legs [the sites inside the strip (i.e., numbers 3j, 3j + 3, etc.) do not appear in  $\frac{y}{2}$ ; [Di]. In what follows we call the state  $\frac{y}{2}$ ; [Di the trapped two-leg state. W em ay also introduce upper- and low er-leg states

$$L_{u}^{y} \mathcal{D}i = \frac{1}{P \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{2;}^{y} \mathcal{D}i;$$

$$L_{1}^{y} \mathcal{D}i = \frac{1}{P \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{2;}^{y} \mathcal{D}i;$$

$$y = \frac{1}{P \frac{1}{2N}} \sum_{j=0}^{NX} (1)^{j} J_{3j}^{y};$$
(3.6)

O by iously, the electron in the eigenstate  $L_u^y$  ()i ( $L_1^y$ )(i) is trapped on the upper (lower) leg.

#### C. Kagom e chain II

Finally, we consider the kagome chain II (Fig. 1c) which consists of N = N = 5 cells, each cell contains ve sites. Again standard transform ations lead to the diagonal form of the tight-binding H am iltonian

with = 2 m = N , m 2 Z, N = 2 < m N = 2. The state with the energy "<sub>2</sub> ( = 0) (it does exist for odd and even N) touches the at band. The -dependent singleelectron states are given by  $\frac{y}{p}$ ; jDi, p = 1;2;3;4;5. For the sake of brevity we give  $\frac{y}{1}$ ; jDi for the low est-energy band, only

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{y}_{1;} &=& \frac{1}{p \cdot \frac{1}{2N (3 \cos )}} & \overset{NX}{}^{1} & e^{i \cdot j} {}^{y}_{5j}; \\ \\ {}^{y}_{5j} &=& c^{y}_{5j} & c^{y}_{5j+1} & c^{y}_{5j+2} + c^{y}_{5j+3} + c^{y}_{5j+4} & c^{y}_{5j+5}; (3.8) \end{array}$$

The corresponding localized states are given by  $1_{5j}^{y}$  jDi, j = 0;1;:::;N 1, where an electron is trapped on a hexagon consisting of six sites, 5j;5j + 1;5j + 3;5j + 5;5j + 4;5j + 2 (j enum erates these hexagon traps and varies from 0 to N 1). It is easily veri ed that  $[H_0 + \frac{1}{2}n_i;1_{5j}^{y}] = "_11_{5j}^{y}$ . A real-space picture for the state = 0 from the dispersive band "<sub>2</sub> () is given by

$$\sum_{2,0}^{y} \mathfrak{D}i = \frac{1}{2^{p} N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_{5j+1}^{y} c_{5j+2}^{y} c_{5j+3}^{y} + c_{5j+4}^{y} \mathfrak{D}i:$$
(3.9)

As for the kagom e chain I the electron in this eigenstate is trapped on the two legs. Again in what follows we call the state  $\stackrel{V}{_{2,0}}$  Di the trapped two-leg state. A gain we may introduce upper- and low er-leg states

$$L_{u}^{y} \mathcal{D}i = \frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} \int_{2;0}^{y} \mathcal{D}i;$$

$$L_{1}^{y} \mathcal{D}i = \frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} \int_{2;0}^{y} \mathcal{D}i;$$

$$\int_{y}^{y} = \frac{1}{2^{P_{\overline{N}}}} \int_{j=0}^{N_{X}-1} I_{5j}^{y};$$
(3.10)

O by iously, the electron in the eigenstate  $L_u^y$  ()i ( $L_1^y$ )(i) is trapped on the upper (lower) leg.

#### D. Trapped states and destructive interference. The geom etrical perspective

It is useful to discuss the appearance of localized electron states from a geometrical point of view. As discussed above, for all three lattices we can easily single out a sm all area of the lattice which plays the role of a \trapping cell", namely a V-valley for the saw tooth chain, a diam ond for the kagom e chain I, and a hexagon for the kagom e chain II (see marked regions in Fig. 1). Solving the single-electron problem for the trap one nds the lowest-energy eigenfunction  $/ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i c_i^y$  bi with  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = {}^{P}\overline{2}, a_3 = 1$  (saw tooth chain, the correspond-ing energy is  $\overline{2}t^0 < 0$ ),  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$ a<sub>2</sub> = (kagom e chain I, the corresponding energy is 2t < 0),  $or a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = 1$  (kagom e chain II, the corresponding energy is 2t < 0). A crucial point is that the scheme of the bonds connecting the trapping cell with the rest should prevent the escape of the localized electron from the trap, i.e., the constructed oneelectron (localized) state should remain an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (1.1) on the in nite lattice, It is easy to show that a su cient condition for this is  $_{i}t_{r;i}a_{i} = 0,$ where the sum runs over all sites i of a trapping cell and r is an arbitrary site which does not belong to the trap, see also Refs. 26 and 12. Indeed, the above condition is ful lled if an arbitrary bond belonging to the trap and the bonds attached to the two sites of this bond in the trap form such a triangle that the electron amplitude on all sites outside the trapping cell is zero (destructive quantum interference). Note that a similar localization mechanism can be caused by a magnetic eld for tightbinding electrons in two-dimensional structures, where the wave packet is bounded in Aharonov-Bohm cages due to destructive interference for particular values of the magnetic ux.47

Interestingly, also the (extended) upper- and low er-leg states (3.6), (3.10) t to this geom etrical picture, if we interpret each of the two legs as a regular polygon (with an even number of sites). Then again two neighboring sites of the polygon are surrounded by equilateral triangles which prevent the electron to escape from the leg. Thus, for the kagom e chains we have N localized states located on diam onds or hexagons and, in addition, two states trapped on the legs (upper-leg state and low er-leg state), i.e., in total N + 2 localized states. (Note that for the saw tooth chain such additional states do not exist.) However, these N + 2 localized states are not linearly independent, since there is one linear relation between them,  $L_1^y$  Di =  $\frac{P}{2}$  yDi, where  $L_1^y$ ,  $L_u^y$ , and y are given in Eq. (3.6) or in Eq. (3.10) (see also the general discussion of linear independence in Appendix B). As a result, there are only N + 1 linearly independent localized single-electron states. These sim ple arguments are in perfect agreem ent with the more detailed calculations presented in Sec. IIIB and Sec. IIIC.

## IV. TRAPPED ELECTRON GROUND STATES $\label{eq:formula} \text{FOR U} > 0$

In the previous section, we have found simple highly degenerate localized one-particle ground states of noninteracting spinless electrons which are created by operators  $l_{2j}^{y}$ ,  $l_{3j}^{y}$ , or  $l_{5j}^{y}$ , see Eqs. (3.2), (3.4), or (3.8) [and also by  $\frac{y}{2}$ ; or  $\frac{y}{2;0}$  operators for the kagom e chains, see Eqs. (3.5) or (3.9)]. It is straightforward to create a set of n-electron ground states with 1 < n N for the saw-tooth chain and 1 < n N + 1 for the kagom e chains by applying n di erent (i.e., attached to di erent trapping cells) operators  $l_{2j}^{y}$ ,  $l_{3j}^{y}$ , or  $l_{5j}^{y}$  [for the kagom e chain I (II) we may also apply the operator  $\frac{y}{2}$ ; ( $\frac{y}{2;0}$ )]. The energy of these states is n"<sub>1</sub>, and their degeneracy grows exponentially with the system size.

Now we return to the spinful case of interacting electrons, i.e., U > 0 in Eq. (1.1). Clearly the inclusion of the spin does not change the energy for U = 0 but increases the degeneracy. Let us denote the degeneracy at U = 0 of the ground states of n electrons by  $g_N^{(0)}(n)$ . O by by, one has  $g_N^{(0)}(n) = \frac{2n_m\,ax}{n}$ ,  $n = n_m\,ax$ , where  $n_m\,ax = N$  for the saw tooth chain and odd-N kagom e chain I, but  $n_m\,ax = N + 1$  for even-N kagom e chain I and kagom e chain II.

F irst of all we note that the H ubbard interaction in Eq. (1.1) is a positive sem ide nite operator and hence it can only increase the eigenvalues of the H am iltonian (1.1). On the other hand, am ong the huge num ber of localized n-electron states being ground states for U = 0 there is a considerable fraction of states which do not feel the H ubbard interaction term and thus they remain ground states with the U-independent energy n"<sub>1</sub> for U > 0. H owever, it is evident that the ground-state degeneracy,  $g_N$  (n), should decrease as U > 0 is switched on, i.e.,  $g_N$  (n) <  $g_N^{(0)}$  (n). We will consider the cases of the saw-tooth chain and of the kagom e chains in m ore detail separately.



FIG. 2: (Color online) Illustration of a multi-cluster state. For each cluster the total spin of the cluster can be ipped independently by applying the cluster spin- ip operator  $S_{n_i} = \sum_{j2 \text{ cluster } n_i} c_{j,\sharp}^y c_{j,\sharp}$ .

#### A. Saw tooth chain and localized ground states

Let us recall that for a localized state an electron with arbitrary spin = ";# is trapped on three contiguous sites (V-shaped trapping cell). It is evident that n-electron states where the electrons (independently of their spins) are located in disconnected V-valleys (i.e., V-valleys without common sites) are ground states in the n-electron subspace having the energy n"<sub>1</sub>. The explicit expression for this type of ground states reads:  $l_{2j_1; 1}^{y}$  ::: $l_{2j_n; n}^{y}$  jbi, 8 jk j 2.

However, these states do not exhaust all ground states in the subspace with n electrons. A nother type of ground states consists of n trapped electrons all with identical spin occupying a cluster of n contiguous V-valleys, e.g.,

$$l_{2j_1;"}^{y} :::: l_{2(j_1+n-1);"}^{y}$$
 jbi: (4.1)

Since the interaction term is inactive, this is still an eigenstate of the H am iltonian (1.1) with eigenvalue  $n_1$ . Now we take into account the SU (2)-invariance of the H ubbard m odel (1.1), i.e., [S ;H ]= [S<sup>+</sup>;H ]= [S<sup>z</sup>;H ]= 0, where

$$S = \overset{X}{c_{i;\#}^{v} c_{i;"}};$$

$$S^{+} = \overset{X^{i}}{c_{i;"}^{y} c_{i;\#}};$$

$$S^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \overset{X}{c_{i;"}^{x} c_{i;"}} c_{i;"}^{y} c_{i;\#}^{y} c_{i;\#}); \quad (4.2)$$

Repeated application of the spin-low ering operator S to the state (4.1) yields 2s + 1 = n + 1 components of the spin-(n=2) multiplet

S 
$$l_{2j_1;"}^{y} ::::l_{2(j_1+n-1);"}^{y}$$
 jb;  $m = 0;1;::::n:$  (4.3)

E vidently, all these states have the same energy n  $"_1$  . Using the commutation relation

$$[S ; l_{2j;"}^{y}] = l_{2j;\#}^{y};$$
 (4.4)

one can easily write down the explicit expressions for the states (4.3) in terms of operators  $l_{2i}^{y}$  only.

To construct the remaining ground states in the subspace with n electrons with the energy  $n''_1$  we consider now multi-cluster states.<sup>11</sup> W e consider all possible splittings of n into a sum  $n = n_1 + n_2 + :::; n_i > 0$  such that clusters of  $n_i$  consecutive occupied V-valleys are separated by at least one empty valley, see Fig. 2 for an example. Starting from a fully spin-polarized multi-cluster state we can now independently act with the cluster spin-low ering operators,  $S_{n_i} = \sum_{j2 \text{ cluster } n_i} c_{j;\#}^V c_{j;"}$ , where j runs over all sites in the cluster  $n_i$ . This yields many-electron ground states which are products of the multi-plet components in each cluster.

In order to compute the ground-state degeneracy  $g_N$  (n), we now need to compute the number  $D_N$  (n) of states which are constructed in the manner which we just described. This is complicated a bit by the non-trivial components of the SU (2)-multiplets consisting of linear combinations of products of localized many-electron states. How ever, all coe cients of these linear com binations are positive and one can choose one state to represent the com plete linear com bination, for exam ple, the one where all spins = " are at the left of each n contiguously occupied V-valleys and the = # are at the right of the clusters.<sup>11</sup> This reduces the counting problem to counting the number of con gurations Z (n;N) of three states in the trapping cells, namely empty (0) and occupied with = "; #, subject to the constraint that no "-state is allowed to appear as the right neighbor of a #-state. This combinatorial problem can be solved directly with a 3 3 transfer matrix, yielding the canonicalpartition functions Z (n;N) (see Appendix A). One small additional step then yields  $D_N$  (n): in the sector with n = N and for periodic boundary conditions, there are only two allowed con gurations according to the rules which we just described whereas the SU (2)multiplet has N + 1 components (Tasaki's ferrom agnetic ground states). Hence, in the sector with n = N and for periodic boundary conditions we need to add N 1 con gurations by hand. Putting all this together, we obtain the ground-state degeneracy of the many-electron con gurations with n N electrons localized in N traps

$$g_N (n) = D_N (n);$$
  
 $D_N (n) = Z (n; N) + (N 1)_{n;N};$  (4.5)

As described above, the last term , (N 1) \_n,N , ensures the correct counting in the sector n=N. Note furthermore that by writing  $g_N$  (n) = D $_N$  (n) we have implicitly assumed that the construction sketched at the beginning of this sections yields all ground states. This is indeed the case for the saw tooth chain,  $^{11}\,$  see also below .

A n alternative way to compute Z (n;N) has been described in Ref.11: the combinatorial problem of the three states 0, = ";# in N traps can be mapped to a harddimer problem on an auxiliary simple chain with 2N sites. In this mapping, one associates 2 sites to each V-valley in order to accomm odate either one spin projection such that the aforem entioned constraint that no "-state is allowed to appear as the right neighbor of a #-state and the constraint that double-occupancy of a V-valley is forbidden m ap to hard-dimer exclusion rules. This auxiliary hard-dim er problem on 2N sites has been solved with a 2 transferm atrix<sup>11</sup> and therefore we may also refer to the trapped electron con gurations as hard-dim er congurations". Of course, the di erent ways of computing Z (n;N) are completely equivalent.

In what follows, we call many-electron ground states, which are constructed only from the localized singleelectron states trapped in a V-valley \hard-dimer states". Their degeneracy  $D_N$  (n) is given by the second line of Eq. (4.5). The hard-dimer states are the only ground states for the saw tooth chain and for the kagom e chain I with an odd number of cells N . For the kagom e chain I with an even number of cells N as well as for the kagom e chain I with an even number of cells N as well as for the kagom e chain I in addition to the hard-dimer states we have to consider also many-electron ground states which involve an extended single-electron state with the at-band energy "1 { the two-leg state [see Eqs. (3.5) and (3.9)].

### B. Kagom e chains and trapped ground states involving two-leg states

Now we consider the ground states in the subspace with n = 1;2:::;N + 1 electrons for the case when the two-leg state comes into play (periodic kagome chain I with even number of cells N or periodic kagome chain II). Repeating the arguments elaborated for the saw tooth chain, for n = 1;2;:::;N we can construct hard-dimer states the number of which is given in Eq. (4.5). How ever, the hard-dimer states do not exhaust all many-electron ground states for n = 1;2;:::;N. Indeed, in the subspace with n = 1 electron we have in addition two ground states  $\frac{y}{2};$ ; jDi, = "; # for the kagome chain II [see Eq. (3.5)] or  $\frac{y}{2;0};$  jDi, = "; # for the kagome chain II [see Eq. (3.9)].

W hat happens if n > 1? Consider the case n = 2. We can construct ground states using the two-leg state as follows. Assume both electrons have the same spin polarization and the rst one is localized within 1 of N (diam ond or hexagon) cells whereas the second one is in a two-leg state. O byiously this is the ground state with the energy 2"<sub>1</sub>. More states can be generated applying S two times. Thus, the degeneracy of the constructed ground state is 3N.

Interestingly, in the subspace with n = 2 electrons there is one more possibility to construct an eigenstate of the interacting H am iltonian (1.1) with the energy 2"<sub>1</sub>. Recall that the electron in the state  $L_u^y$  jDi [see Eq. (3.6) or Eq. (3.10)] is located along the upper leg, whereas the electron in the state  $L_1^y$  jDi [see Eq. (3.6) or Eq. (3.10)] is located along the lower leg. Therefore, even if two electrons being in these states have opposite spins, they do not feel the Hubbard repulsion (no common sites) and the energy of this two-electron state remains 2"<sub>1</sub>. Thus, we nd the following (extra) state in the subspace with n = 2 electrons for the periodic kagom e chains:

$$jextrai = L_1^y L_u^y, \quad jDi; \qquad (4.6)$$

where  $L_u^y$ ,  $L_1^y$  are de ned by Eq. (3.6) for the periodic kagom e chain I and by Eq. (3.10) for the periodic kagom e chain II.

Consider next the subspace with n = 3 electrons. Again we can assume three electrons to have the same spin, two of which are localized within 2 of N cells whereas the third one is in the two-leg state with the at-band energy. O bviously this is the ground state with the energy  $3"_1$ . M ore states can be generated applying S three times. Thus, the degeneracy of the constructed ground state is  $4 \frac{N}{2}$ . Proceeding with such arguments for n = 4; :::; N + 1 electrons we can easily construct the ground states which involve the two-leg state. O bviously, it is easy to count their number which is equal to  $(n + 1) \frac{N}{n-1}$ .

W e wish to emphasize here that for the kagom e chains (kagom e I with even N or kagom e II chains) we have constructed the ground state in the subspace with n = N + 1 electrons, which has the energy (N + 1)", starting from the fully polarized state with N electrons occupying diam ond or hexagon trapping cells, respectively, and 1 electron being in the two-leg state, and then applying the S operator N + 1 times. The degeneracy of the constructed ground state is N + 2.

Sum m ing up, we have arrived at the follow ing form ula for the degeneracy of the ground states in the subspaces with n N + 1 electrons for the periodic kagom e chain I with an even num ber of cells N or the periodic kagom e chain II:

$$g_N(n) = (1 n_{iN+1}) D_N(n) + (1 n_{iO}) L_N(n);$$

$$L_{N}(n) = (n + 1) \frac{N}{n + n;^{2}}$$
 (4.7)

 $[n = 0;1;:::;N \text{ for } D_N (n), whereas n = 1;:::;N + 1 \text{ for } L_N (n)].$ 

## C . Some properties of the ground states for $n \quad n_{m \mbox{ ax}}$

As we have explained above, the ground states in the subspaces with n  $n_{m\ ax}$  electrons can be constructed either from hard-dimer states only (saw tooth, kagom e I with odd N ,  $n_{m\ ax}$  = N ) or from hard-dimer states and the two-leg state (kagom e I with even N , kagom e II,  $n_{m\ ax}$  = N + 1) and the degeneracy of the ground state  $g_N$  (n) in the subspace with n  $n_{m\ ax}$  electrons can be determined either according to Eq. (4.5) (saw tooth, kagom e I with even N , kagom e I with odd N ) or according to Eq. (4.7) (kagom e I with even N , kagom e I ). For the calculation of Z (n; N ), see Appendix A .

Fig. 3 illustrates the di erence in the ground-state degeneracy  $g_N$  (n) conditioned by the ground states involving two-leg states. We take as examples N = 7 and 8. The saw tooth chain never has two-leg state contributions, the kagom e chain II always has two-leg state contributions, and for the kagom e chain I the two-leg state



FIG. 3: (Color online) G round-state degeneracies for  $n = 1; :::; n_{m ax}$  for the saw tooth chain (N = 7, empty diam onds; N = 8, empty squares), the kagome chain I (N = 7, empty diam onds; N = 8, lled squares), and the kagome chain II (N = 7, lled diam onds; N = 8, lled squares).

contributions appear only for even N . Consequently, for N = 8, the degeneracies of the two kagom e chains are identical whereas for N = 7 the degeneracy of the kagom e chain I is identical to that of the saw tooth chain. Firstly, we observe that the degeneracies increase rapidly with N (note the logarithm ic scale of the vertical axis of F ig. 3). Secondly, there is an obvious contribution of the two-leg states.

Next, we discuss some general properties of the constructed many-electron ground states in the subspaces with n  $n_{m\,ax}$  electrons. As we have mentioned already, these states having the energy n"<sub>1</sub> as in the case U = 0 are indeed the lowest-energy (ground) states pfor U > 0 since the Hubbard interaction term H<sub>int</sub> = U <sub>i</sub> n<sub>i</sub>, n<sub>i</sub>, in Eq. (1.1) is a positive semide nite operator and can only increase energies.

A nother less simple question concerns completeness of the constructed ground states. In other words, are the constructed ground states the only ground states? Here we use numerics for nite systems to check completeness. Exact diagonalization data for the saw tooth chain with N = 6; 8; 10; 12; 14; 16, the kagom e chain I with N =9; 12; 15; 18; 21; 24, and the kagom e chain II with N =15; 20; 25; 30 are in perfect agreement with predictions of Sections IV A and IV B, compare also Fig. 3. These numerical ndings are supported by general arguments: W e know that the localized states (including the two-leg states) are complete for U = 0. Adding a nite U, a part of these states will have higher energy, but no new ground states will appear, since U can only increase the energy.

We have also estimated the energy gap between the ground state and the rst excited state  $_n$  in the sub-space with n electrons. For N ! 1 we have  $_1 =$ 

"2() "1 = 2t (saw tooth chain) and 1 = 0 (kagom e chains). A ssum ing U ! 1 we found for nite system s of N = 30 sites 2 0:4044 (saw tooth) but 2 0:0689 (kagom e I) and 2 0:0584 (kagom e II). These data give a hint that the excitation gap for nite kagom e chains is much smaller than for the saw tooth chain. A nite-size extrapolation to N ! 1 for xed electron density n=N suggests a vanishing gap in the therm odynam ic lim it even for the saw tooth chain. Note, how ever, that in realm atterials with chain structure im purities and other lattice im perfections are always present and therefore one deals in practice with (an ensemble of) nite chains having a gap to the excited states.

W ith respect to the contribution of the trapped ground states (including the two-leg states) to therm odynamic quantities the question arises whether these states for a given n  $n_{m\,ax}$  are linearly independent. Their linear independence can be shown following the lines of R ef. 48, for m ore details see Appendix B.

#### V. LOW -TEM PERATURE THERMODYNAM ICS

#### A. Contribution of trapped electron states

As shown in the preceding section, for all three onedimensional lattices considered the ground states of the Hubbard model (1.1) in the subspaces with  $n = 0;1;:::;n_{m ax}$  electrons have the energy  $n''_1$  and the degeneracy  $g_N$  (n) [see Eqs. (4.5), (4.7)]. Now if the chem icalpotential of electrons is around  $_0$  ( $_0 = 2t$  for all three models) the constructed ground states in the subspaces with  $n = 0;1;:::;n_{m ax}$  electrons will dom inate the grand-canonical partition function at low tem peratures due to their huge degeneracy, i.e.,

$$(T; ;N) = {}^{n_{M_{n}ax}} g_{N} (n) \exp \frac{n "_{1}}{T}$$

$$= {}^{n_{M_{n}ax}} g_{N} (n) \exp \frac{n (n_{1})}{T}$$

$$= {}^{n_{M_{n}ax}} g_{N} (n) \exp \frac{n (n_{1})}{T}$$

$$= {}^{n_{M_{n}ax}} g_{N} (n) z^{n}; (5.1)$$

where  $z = \exp x$  is the activity and  $x = (_0 )=T$ .

Consider rst the saw tooth chain and the kagom e chain Iw ith an odd number of trapping cells N, i.e., all ground states correspond to hard-dimer states. Inserting Eq. (4.5) into Eq. (5.1) we arrive at

$$G_{S}(T; ;N) = trap(z;N) + (N 1)z^{N};$$

$$trap(z;N) = z^{n}Z(n;N): (5.2)$$

$$n = 0$$

Calculating  $_{\rm trap}\,(z\,;\!N$  ) with the help of the transferm atrix m ethod  $^{49}\,$  (see Appendix A ) we get the following equations

$$G_{S}(\mathbf{T}; ; \mathbf{N}) = {}^{\mathbf{N}}_{+} + {}^{\mathbf{N}}_{+} + {}^{\mathbf{N}}_{3};$$

$$= {}^{\mathbf{T}}_{2} - {}^{\mathbf{T}}_{4} + {}^{\mathbf{T}}_{2};$$

This is an important result, since it allows to calculate the contribution of all the ground states described by hard dimens to therm odynam ic quantities explicitly.

C onsider next the kagom e chain Iw ith an even num ber of trapping cells N and the kagom e chain II. Insertion of Eq. (4.7) into Eq. (5.1) yields

$$G_{S}(T; ;N) = trap(z;N) + (N 1)z^{N} + (n + 1) n z^{n} + z^{2}; \quad (5.4)$$

Here the rst two terms account for the many-electron congurations associated to the N trapping cells, cf. Eq. (5.2), whereas the third and the fourth term are due to the two-leg state. A fler simple calculations we get the following nal result

$${}_{GS}(T; ;N) = {}_{+}^{N} + {}_{-}^{N} + {}_{3}^{N} + {}_{4}^{N} + {}_{5}^{N} + {}_{6}^{N};$$

$${}_{4} = (2z)^{\frac{1}{N}} (1 + z); \; {}_{5} = (N \; z^{2})^{\frac{1}{N}} (1 + z)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}; \; {}_{6} = z^{\frac{2}{N}}$$

$$(5.5)$$

[ and  $_3$  are de ned in Eq. (5.3)].

The entropy S(T; ;N) = (0, (T; ;N))=0T, the grand-canonical specic heat C(T; ;N)T(S(T; ;N))=0T, and the average number of electrons  $\overline{n}(T; ;N) = (0, (T; ;N))=0$  follow from Eqs. (5.3), (5.5) and the formula for the grand-therm odynam ical potential (T; ;N) = T ln (T; ;N).

A lthough explicit form ulas for these therm odynam ic quantities for nite systems are too cumbersome to be written down explicitly here, it might be useful to consider some limiting cases:

(i) For T = 0 and <  $_0$  we have z ! 1 and consequently we nd for the residual entropy S (;N) =  $\ln (N + 1)$  and  $\overline{n}$  (;N) = N [hard-dimer states only, cf. Eq. (5.3)] or S (;N) =  $\ln (N + 2)$  and  $\overline{n}$  (;N) = N + 1 [hard-dimer states and two-leg states, cf. Eq.(5.5)].

(ii) For T = 0 and  $> _0$  we have z ! 0 which leads to S (;N) = 0 and  $\overline{n}$  (;N) = 0.

(iii) At =  $_0$  we have z = 1 for all T and we nd for the residual entropy S ( $_0$ ; N) =  $\ln f[(3 + \frac{P}{5})=2]^N + [(3 + \frac{P}{5})=2]^N$ 

 $[5]=2]^{\mathbb{N}} + \mathbb{N}$  1g [hard-dim er states on ]y, cf. Eq. (5.3)] or S ( $_0$ ; N) = lnf[(3 + 5)=2]^{\mathbb{N}} + [(3 5)=2]^{\mathbb{N}} + N + 2^{\mathbb{N}+1} + \mathbb{N} 2^{\mathbb{N}} 1g [hard-dim er states and two-leg states, cf. Eq.(5.5)]. M oreover, C (T;  $_0$ ; N) = 0 for any tem perature independently of the system size.

Note, nally, that the conventional (canonical) speci c



FIG. 4: (Color online) Canonical residual entropy  $S(n;N)=N = ln D_N(n)=N$  versus n=N for system sizes N = 32; 64; 128; 256; 512; 1024.

heat C (T;n;N) for a xed number of electrons n  $n_{max}$  is identically zero within the localized-state description.

In the therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1 only the largest term, i.e.,  $^{\rm N}_{+}$ , survives in the expressions (5.3) and (5.5) for the partition function<sup>50</sup> <sub>GS</sub> (T; ;N) and we have

$$_{GS}(T; ;N) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{\frac{1}{4} + \exp{\frac{2t}{T}}}; (5.6)$$

which holds for all three considered one-dimensional lattices. The only dimension consist in the relation between the number of cells N and the lattice size N (N = N=2, N = N=3, and N = N=5 for the saw-tooth chain, the kagome chain I, and the kagome chain II, respectively). Explicit form ulas for these therm odynamic quantities can be obtained easily from the sim - ple expression (5.6) for  $_{GS}(T; ;N)$ . For instance, the residual entropy for N ! 1 is given by S ( $_0;N$ )=N =  $\ln[(3 + 5)=2] = 0.96242:::, i.e., the ground-state degeneracy grows asymptotically with the system size according to <math>\frac{7}{2N}$ , where interestingly the golden mean r = (1 + 5)=2 enters the expression.

U sing the transferm atrix representation we can also calculate numerically the (canonical) residual entropy S (n;N)=N =  $\ln D_N$  (n)=N related to the hard-dimer states for certain xed values of the electron number n for N up to 1024. The results are shown in Fig. 4. From Fig. 4 it is obvious that the largest degeneracy is found for n around N =2. The extrapolation to N ! 1 yields  $\lim_{N \mid 1} \ln D_N$  (n = N =2)=N 0.955, which is very close to the grand-canonical residual entropy at = 0, see above. Indeed, the maximum of  $\lim_{N \mid 1} S(n;N)=N$  should reproduce the grand-canonical residual entropy, albeit with slow nite-size convergence.



FIG. 5: (Color online) A verage number of electrons in the ground state  $\overline{n}(0; ; N) = N$  versus chem icalpotential =  $_0$  for the saw tooth chain  $\mathbb{N} = 12$  (solid),  $\mathbb{N} = 20$  (long-dashed)], the kagome chain I  $\mathbb{N} = 18$  (short-dashed)], the kagome chain II  $\mathbb{N} = 20$  (dotted)] with U ! 1. The result for  $\overline{n}(0; ; N) = N$  which follows from Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5) is given by  $(1 = _0)$  and  $[(\mathbb{N} + 1) = \mathbb{N}]$  (1 =  $_0$ ), respectively.

#### B. Comparison with exact diagonalization

The above predictions are expected to be valid at low tem peratures and for around 0. In order to verify these expectations and examine the region of validity m ore precisely, we have perform ed com plem entary exact diagonalization of the full Hubbard Ham iltonian (1.1). First, we perform a symmetry reduction of the problem. In particular, we use separate conservation of the num ber of electrons with a given spin projection  $n_*$  and  $n_{\#}$  as well as translational invariance. G round states can then be obtained with the help of the Lanczos recursion.<sup>51,52</sup> In order to obtain therm odynam ic properties, we perform a full diagonalization in each symmetry subspace using a library routine. The problem simplies a bit in the lim it U ! 1 where states with doubly occupied sites can be elim inated from the Hilbert space. Nevertheless, a full diagonalization of the complete problem can only be perform ed for sm all N . Low -tem perature approxim ations for som ew hat bigger N can be obtained by om itting certain sectors of n.

Fig.5 show sexact diagonalization data for the average number of electrons per cell  $\overline{n}$  (T; ;N)=N at T = 0 as a function of the chem ical potential =  $_0$  for the saw tooth chain (N = 12;20), the kagom e chain I (N = 18), and the kagom e chain II (N = 20).

In Figs. 6 and 7 we show exact diagonalization data for the temperature dependence of the entropy per cell S (T; ;N)=N at = 0:98  $_{0}$ ;  $_{0}$ ; 1:02  $_{0}$  [panels (a)] and the temperature dependence of the grandcanonical specic heat per cell C (T; ;N)=N at = 0:98  $_{0}$ ;  $_{0}$ ; 1:02  $_{0}$  [panels (b)] for the saw tooth chain (N = 12) and the kagom e chain I (N = 9) (Fig. 6) and for the kagom e chain I (N = 12) and the kagom e chain II (N = 15) (Fig. 7) with U ! 1 . We also report analyticalpredictions for nite N [obtained from Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5)] as well for in nite N [obtained from Eq. (5.6)].

From Fig. 5 we see that in the ground state the average num berofelectronspercelln (T; ;N)=N as a function of =  $_0$  exhibits a jump at =  $_0$  = 1. This jump is related to the fact that all ground states with 0 n n<sub>m ax</sub> are degenerate at  $= _0$ . For N ! 1 the jump is of height unity. For = 0 < 1 a plateau appears, see Fig. 5. The plateau width (i.e., the charge gap) increases as U increases and rapidly approaches a saturation value for all three nite lattices (for the nite saw tooth chains with N = 12; 16; 20 such data were reported in Fig. 1b of Ref. 11). W hat happens with the plateau width as N increases? For the saw tooth chain the plateau width is alm ost independent of N (com pare the results for N = 12;16;20 in Fig. 1b of Ref. 11). For U ! 1 we can also nd the exact ground state for  $n = n_{max} + 1$ , see Sec.VIC, which allow sto determ ine the size-independent = 2t. By contrast, for the kagom e plateau width chains the plateau disappears as N ! 1.

From Figs. 6 and 7 (tem perature dependences of entropy and speci cheat) we see that analytical predictions for nite N as they follow from Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5) perfectly reproduce the exact diagonalization data at low tem peratures. Note, how ever, that for nite N the deviation from the hard-dim er description is noticeable, although in the therm odynam ic  $\lim it N ! 1$  Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5) imply the one-dimensional hard-dimer behavior. Finite-size e ects are clearly seen in the panels corresponding to =  $0.98_{0}$  (Figs. 6 and 7) and to =  $_{0}$  and =  $1:02_0$  (Fig. 7). The most prominent features seen in these plots are a nite value of the entropy at very low tem peratures for  $= _0$  and an extra low-tem perature maximum in the specic heat C (T; ;N) for € 0. The value of the residual entropy for nite system s as it follows from analytical predictions based on Eqs. (5.3), (5.5) and exact diagonalization are in perfect agreem ent. A high-tem perature maximum of C (T; ;N)=N around 0 is common for any system with a nite band-Т width, whereas a low-tem perature peak of C (T; ; N)=N around T 0:01 0 for j  $_0 j = 0.02 _0$  em erges due to the manifold of localized electron states. Indeed, the analytical predictions which follow from Eqs. (5.3), (5.5)for nite N are indistinguishable from the U ! 1 exact diagonalization data in the low-tem perature peak region. We should, however, mention that for N ! 1 the excitation spectrum of the full Hubbard model in the m any-electron sectors is m ost likely gapless, in particular for nite values of U (com pare Sec. IV C and Ref. 37b). Such gapless excitations could give rise to quantitative corrections at all tem peratures for < 0. Indeed such quantitative deviations are visible for instance on nitesize saw tooth chains with =  $0.98_{0}$  and U =  $4t.^{11,37}$ 

To demonstrate the e ect of nite U on temperature dependences we consider as an example the kagom e chain







FIG. 6: (Color online) Entropy S (T; ;N)=N and grandcanonical speci c heat C (T; ;N)=N for the saw tooth chain (N = 12, triangles) and the kagome chains I (N = 9, diam onds) with t = 1, U ! 1 . (a) S (T; ;N)=N versus temperature T = 0 at = 0:98 0; 0; 1:02 0 (from top to bottom). (b) C (T; ;N)=N versus temperature T = 0 at = 0:98 0; 0; 1:02 0 (from top to bottom). We also show the hard-dimer result for N ! 1 as it follows from (5.6) (thin solid lines) as well as the results which follow from Eq. (5.3) for N = 3 (dotted lines) and N = 6 (dashed lines). Note that for these systems no additional leg states exist. Note further that for = 0 and = 1:02 0 the hard-dimer results for N = 3, 6, and 1 are indistinguishable.

FIG. 7: (Color online) Entropy S(T; ;N)=N and grandcanonical specic heat C(T; ;N)=N for the kagome chain I(N = 12, diamonds) and the kagome chain II (N = 15, the sectors with up to 7 electrons were taken into account, pentagons) with t = 1, U ! 1 . (a) S(T; ;N)=N versus temperature  $T = _0$  at = 0:98  $_0$ ;  $_0$ ; 1:02  $_0$  (from top to bottom). (b) C(T; ;N)=N versus temperature  $T = _0$  at = 0:98  $_0$ ;  $_0$ ; 1:02  $_0$  (from top to bottom). We also show the hard-dimerresult for N ! 1 as it follows from (5.6) (thin solid lines) as well as the nite-size results for N = 3 (dashdotted lines) and N = 4 (dotted lines) which follow from Eq. (5.5) and include the contribution of the leg states.





FIG.9: (Color online) Canonical speci c heat C (T;n;N)=n versus T for ideal (t\_d = t\_L = 1) and distorted (t\_d = 1 and t\_L = 1:01) kagom e chains I with n = N = 6 electrons; U ! 1.

FIG. 8: (Color online) C (T; ;N)=N versus T = 0 for the kagome chain I with N = 12 sites and t = 1 for di erent values of U [U = 1 (empty circles), U = 10 ( lled diam onds), U ! 1 (empty diam onds)]. The results for nite U were obtained taking into account the sectors with up to 8 electrons. W e also show the result which follows from Eq. (5.5) for N = 4 (lines).

I with N = 12 sites and show C (T; ;N)=N versus T =  $_0$  for U = 1; 10; 1 (see Fig. 8). For nite U the calculation of them odynam ic quantities becomes very time consuming and therefore we report the contribution of the subspaces with up to a certain number of electrons which is less than 2N thus restricting these data to not too high temperatures. As can be seen from these data, the features at su ciently low temperature do not depend on the value of U > 0. Note, how ever, that at U = 1 there are some visible corrections down to temperatures T =  $_0 = 0$  (10<sup>2</sup>).

From the experimental point of view it is important to discuss the stability of the features determ ined by localized electron states with respect to sm all deviations from the ideal lattice geometry. Then the conditions for the existence of at bands are violated, and, as a result, the exact degeneracy of the ground states in the subspaces with n = 1; :::; n<sub>m ax</sub> electrons is lifted. How ever, we are still faced with a set of a large number of low lying energy levels which m ay dom inate low-tem perature therm odynam ics as is around 0. Deviations from ideal geom etry for the saw tooth chain (i.e., when  $t^0$  slightly departs from the value 2t) has been discussed already in Refs. 32b and 37b. Below we consider the kagom e chain I assuming the hoppings along legs to be slightly di erent from the hoppings along diam onds. Speci cally, we put  $t_d = 1$  along the diam onds and  $t_L = 1.01$  along the two

legs. In Fig. 9 we report the results for the tem perature dependence of the canonical speci c heat C (T;n;N)=n for n = N = 2 = N = 6 electrons. For the ideal lattice C(T;n;N) = 0 if n  $n_{m ax}$  in the low-tem perature regime (Fig. 9). A deviation from exact degeneracy  $(t_d = 1 \in t_L = 1:01)$  produces a low-tem perature peak (Fig. 9). This peak indicates a separation of two energy scales, one is related to the manifold of low-lying trapped states, and the other one to the ordinary extended states. The position of this extra peak depends on  $t_d \quad t_L$  , for instance for  $t_d$  = 1 f  $t_L$  = 1:1 and N = 24 the peak is at T = 0.045. A lthough there are nite-size e ects in the height and the position of the peak its existence is not questioned. W e notice that the tem perature dependence of the specic heat C (T;n;N)=n is an experim entally accessible quantity and its well-pronounced low-tem perature features conditioned by localized electron states for sm all deviations from ideal lattice geom etry may increase chances to observe localized electron state e ects.

#### VI. MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

The study of ground-state m agnetic properties of the H ubbard m odel (1.1) on the considered lattices is of great interest and has been discussed since the early 1990s. Thus, the saw tooth chain belongs to the one-dimensional version of Tasaki's lattice. H. Tasaki proved that the ground state of the saw tooth-chain H ubbard m odel is ferrom agnetic and unique [up to the trivial SU (2) degeneracy] if the number of electrons n = N = 2 (saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism), see Ref. 5a. Later on, numerical studies of saw tooth chains of up to N = 12 sites by Y. W atanabe and S. M iyashita<sup>19</sup> revealed ground-state ferrom agnetism (saturated and nonsaturated) for

other values of n. M oreover, it was shown in Ref.11 that within the localized electron picture the model exhibits fullpolarization in the ground state for  $n=N=\!\!2$  1 electrons (only one-cluster states constitute the set of ground states) and 60% of the full polarization in the ground state for  $n=N=\!\!2$  2 electrons if N ! 1 .

The two considered kagome chains are line graphs. This has been pointed out explicitly for the kagom e chain  $I_r^{34}$  where this connection has been known for a while  $I_r^{33}$ albeit using a di erent term inology. A coording to a general theory elaborated by A.M ielke the repulsive Hubbard model on these lattices should have ferrom agnetic ground states for the num ber of electrons n N =3 [periodic odd-N kagom e chain I (nonbipartite parent graph)], N=3+1 [periodic even-N kagom e chain I (bipartite n parent graph)], or n N = 5 + 1 [periodic kagom e chain II (bipartite parent graph)] (Theorem of Ref. 4a or Theorem 1 of R ef. 4b). M oreover, according to A.M ielke the ferrom agnetic ground state is unique apart from degeneracy due to SU (2) invariance (i.e., saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism), if n = N=3 (periodic odd-N kagom e chain I), n = N = 3 + 1 (periodic even-N kagom e chain I), or n = N = 5 + 1 (periodic kagom e chain II) (Theorem 2 ofRef.4b).

To reveal the ferrom agnetic ground states we consider the operator

$$\frac{S^{2}}{N^{2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(S^{+}S^{+} + S^{-}S^{+}) + S^{2}}{N^{2}}$$
(6.1)

with the operators S de ned in (4.2). The average at T = 0, hS<sup>2</sup>  $i_n = N$ <sup>2</sup> is given by the equal-weight average over all degenerate ground states for the given number of electrons n. It satis es

$$0 \quad \frac{hS^{2}i_{n}}{N^{2}} \quad \frac{S_{max}(S_{max}+1)}{N^{2}}; \quad S_{max} = \frac{n}{2}: \quad (6.2)$$

If hS  $^2i_n$  =N  $^2$  achieves its maximal value the ground state in the subspace with n electrons is the saturated ferrom agnetic ground state. If hS  $^2i_n$  =N  $^2$  has a nonzero value which is less than the maximal value the ground state in the subspace with n electrons contains ferrom agnetic ones and is a nonsaturated ferrom agnetic ground state. To exam ine ground-state magnetism { in particular the existence of ferrom agnetism { for them odynamically large system s one has to consider the limit N ! 1, n ! 1 preserving n=N = const.

Below we use the constructed many-electron ground states for n = 1;::; $n_{m ax}$  to discuss system atically ground-state ferrom agnetism at electron densities n  $n_{m ax}$ . We complete our analytical arguments by numerics for nite systems. Our results are consistent with general theorem s of Tasaki and M ielke for n =  $n_{m ax}$  and go beyond considering n <  $n_{m ax}$ . M oreover, we also report numerics for higher electron densities n >  $n_{m ax}$ .

We start with a brief overview of our ndings: (i) All ground states are fully polarized (saturated ferrom agnetism) for n = N and n = N 1 (all chains) and,

in addition, for n = N + 1 for the kagom e chain II and the even-N kagom e chain I. (ii) For smaller n < N = 1 the ground-statem anifold contains fully and partially polarized as well as param agnetic states. Thus we have  $hS^2i_n < S_{m\,ax}\,(S_{m\,ax} + 1)$ . The magnetic polarization  $hS^2i_n < S_{m\,ax}\,(S_{m\,ax} + 1)$  decays monotonically with increasing N n, n < N, see Figs.10 and 11. For large N the decay becomes very rapid. (iii) W hile for nite system sthere is a nite region of electron density n=N where ground-state ferrom agnetism exists, this region shrinks to one param eter point n=N = 1 for N  $! 1 \cdot (iv)$  For n=N < 1 the system shows Curie-like behavior with a uniform zero- eld magnetic susceptibility  $/T^{-1}$ .

In what follows, we rst discuss separately the case of the system s with hard-dim er ground states only (see Sec.VIA) and the case of the system s with ground states which also involve two-leg states (see Sec.VIB). In Sec.VIC we report exact diagonalization data for nite system s at higher electron densities  $n > n_{m \ ax}$ . We com - plete our discussion considering the low-tem perature behavior of the uniform zero- eld m agnetic susceptibility for n $n_{m \ ax}$  in Sec.VID.

# A . H ard-dim er ground states and ground-state m agnetism

To calculate hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub> we may further elaborate the 3 3 transferm atrix technique. First, we use SU (2) invariance of the Hubbard model (1.1) to write hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub> =  $3hS^{z^2}i_n$ . Second, we notice that the average over all degenerate ground states for a given number of electrons n is  $hS^{z^2}i_n = N^{-1}N_{j=0}^{N-1}hS_0^zS_j^zi_n$ , where  $S_j^z$  is the z-component of the spin operator of the trap j. The operator  $S_j^z$  acting on the hard-dimer ground states yields 0 (empty trap), 1=2 (occupied trap with spin-up electron),

1=2 (occupied trap with spin-down electron). Next with the help of the 3 3 transferm atrix we nd the grand-canonical zz correlation function  $hS_0^zS_j^z\,i_z$  (the subscript z denotes the activity) yielding the required canonical zz correlation function  $hS_0^zS_j^z\,i_n$  (see Appendix A). As a result we obtain  $hS^2\,i_n$  for  $n=1;\ldots;N$  1. We recall that  $hS^2\,i_N=(N=2)\,(N=2+1)$ . An alternative computation based on a hard-dimerm apping (see Appendix A) yields the same results for  $hS^2\,i_n$ ,  $n=1;\ldots;N$ .

U sing the transfer-m atrix m ethod we have calculated hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub> =N<sup>2</sup>, n = 1;:::;N for system s of up to N = 256, see F ig. 10. We obviously have hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub> = S<sub>m ax</sub> (S<sub>m ax</sub> + 1) for n = N and N 1 independently of the system size as predicted above. From the data shown in F ig. 10 we see clearly that hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub>=N<sup>2</sup> becomes smaller for any xed n=N, 0 < n=N < 1, as N increases (com pare the curves for N = 8 and N = 256). M ore precisely, the curves shown in Fig. 10 suggest hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub>=N<sup>2</sup> ! 0 for N ! 1 at n=N = const. Note that for saturated ferror agnetic ground states hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub>=N<sup>2</sup> would equal (n=N)<sup>2</sup>=4 in the therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1. In Fig. 10, one observes increasing di erences between the



sarurated FM

Д=8

%=16

0.35

m ent of the saw tooth-H ubbard chain: hS  $^2$  i<sub>n</sub> =N  $^2$  versus n=N for N = 8; 16; 32; 64; 128; 256. For saturated ferrom agnetic ground states hS  $^2$  i<sub>n</sub> =N  $^2$  would equal (n=N ) $^2$ =4 (thin line) in the therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1 .



FIG.11: (Color online) A verage ground-state m agnetic m oment of the kagom eH ubbard chains:  $hS^2i_n = N^2$  versus n=N for N = 8; 16; 32; 64; 128; 256. For saturated ferror agnetic ground states  $hS^2i_n = N^2$  would equal (n=N)<sup>2</sup>=4 (thin line) in the therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1.

values of hS  $^{2}$  in reported in Table I we may notice that

$$hS^{2}i_{2} = \frac{6+3(N-3)}{3+2(N-3)} N^{!}!^{1} \frac{3}{2};$$

$$hS^{2}i_{3} = \frac{45+18(N-4)}{12+8(N-4)} N^{!}!^{1} \frac{9}{4};$$

$$hS^{2}i_{4} = \frac{54+18(N-5)}{9+6(N-5)} N^{!}!^{1} 3;$$

$$hS^{2}i_{5} = \frac{210+60(N-6)}{24+16(N-6)} N^{!}!^{1} \frac{15}{4}; \quad (6.3)$$

This leads to a guess for the therm odynam ic lim it:

$$\lim_{N \downarrow 1} hS^{2}i_{n} = \frac{3}{4}n; \quad \frac{n}{N} < 1:$$
 (6.4)

This result is in accordance with the numerical results presented in Fig.10, and gives again evidence for a paramagnetic ground state in the in nitely large system.

Sum m arizing the above analysis we conclude that there is no nite range of ground-state ferrom agnetism for electron densities n=N < 1 as N  $\, ! \,$  1 .

### B. G round states involving two-leg states and ground-state m agnetism

We turn now to the periodic kagom e chain I with an even number of cells N and the kagom e chain II for the number of electrons n N + 1. As was explained in Sec. IV, the states involving two-leg states increase the ground-state degeneracy for n = 1; :::;N by the number  $L_N$  (n) [see Eq. (4.7)]. All these additional states [except the one state for n = 2, see Eq. (4.6)] are fully polarized,

TABLE I: G round-state hS  $^{2}$  in for n = 1; :::; N electrons for the saw tooth-H ubbard chain.

	n = 1	n = 2	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	n = 6	n = 7	n = 8
N = 2	3 4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
N = 3	3 4	2	15 4	-	-	-	-	-
N = 4	3 4	9 5	<u>15</u> 4	6	-	-	-	-
N = 5	3 4	<u>12</u> 7	<u>63</u> 20	6	<u>35</u> 4	-	-	-
N = 6	3 4	53	81 28	24 5	35 4	12	-	-
N = 7	3 4	<u>18</u> 11	<u>11</u> 4	30	27	12	<u>63</u> 4	-
N = 8	3	21 13	117	4	<u>165</u> 28	9	63	20

curve (n=N )<sup>2</sup>=4 and the data for hS  $^2i_n=N$   $^2$  which approaches zero for n=N < 1. We have performed a nite-size extrapolation of hS  $^2i_n=N$   $^2$  for several xed electron densities n=N = 1=2; 3=4; 7=8 to estimate the corresponding values for N ! 1, and we nd, indeed,  $\lim_{N}$   $_{!}$   $_1$  hS  $^2i_n=N$   $^2$  = 0 for those values of n=N .

In a next step we will give analytical predictions for  $hS^2i_n = N^2$ . We rst collect the values for  $hS^2i_n$  for system sizes up to N = 8 in Table I. Note that the exact-diagonalization data for  $hS^2i_n$  for the saw tooth chain con rm the values given in Table I. By inspecting the

i.e.,  $S^2 = (n=2) (n=2+1)$ . Therefore, for the average value we have  $hS^2 i_n hS^2 i_n j_0$ , where  $hS^2 i_n j_0$  is the corresponding value considering hard-dimer states only (i.e., it is that value considered in Sec. VIA, see, e.g., Table I). Recalling that  $D_N$  (n) is the number of hard-dimer ground states one nds

$$hS^{2}i_{n} = \frac{D_{N}(n)}{D_{N}(n) + L_{N}(n)}hS^{2}i_{n}j_{0}$$
$$+ \frac{L_{N}(n)}{D_{N}(n) + L_{N}(n)}\frac{n}{2}\frac{n}{2} + 1 :$$
(6.5)

This form ula is valid for n = 1;:::;N 1. We recall that  $hS^2i_N = (N = 2)(N = 2 + 1)$  and  $hS^2i_{N+1} = [(N + 1)=2][(N + 1)=2 + 1]$ . Based on Eq. (6.5) we again can calculate  $hS^2i_n$  for the kagome chains of up to N = 256 cells, see Fig.11. Noticeable deviations from  $hS^2i_nj_p$  appear only for sm all N. Hence the data shown in Fig.11 give clear evidence that the contribution of the two-leg states becomes irrelevant for large system s. This conclusion is supported by further inspection of Eq. (6.5). Using Eq. (6.4) to replace  $hS^2i_nj_p$  in the limit N ! 1 we can write Eq. (6.5) for large N as

$$\lim_{N \neq 1} hS^{2}i_{n} = R_{n} \frac{3}{4}n + (1 - R_{n}) \frac{n}{2} \frac{n}{2} - 1;$$

$$R_{n} = \lim_{N \neq 1} \frac{D_{N}(n)}{D_{N}(n) + L_{N}(n)}; \quad (6.6)$$

A coording to Eqs. (A 3), (A 4), (5.3), and (5.5) the quantity  $D_N$  (n) is proportional to the nth derivative of  $_{+}^{N} + _{3}^{N} + _{3}^{N}$  with respect to z at z = 0, whereas  $L_N$  (n) is proportional to the nth derivative of  $_{4}^{N} + _{5}^{N} + _{6}^{N}$  with respect to z at z = 0. Evaluating derivatives at z = 0 we nd that for N + 1 the ratio  $L_N$  (n)= $D_N$  (n) / 1=N and  $\lim_{N + 1} L_N$  (n)= $D_N$  (n) = 0. Evidently,  $R_n = 1$  in Eq. (6.6) in plies that Eq. (6.4) is valid again, i.e., the ground state is param agnetic for the in nitely large system .

#### C . Results for $n > n_{\text{m ax}}$

The at-band ferrom agnets discussed above may exhibit ferrom agnetic ground-state ordering even for electron numbers  $n \ > \ n_{m \ ax}$ . However, this ground-state ferrom agnetism occurs only for su ciently large U and therefore is de nitely di erent from the true at-band ferrom agnetism which emerges for any arbitrary sm all U.

The occurrence of a saturated ferrom agnetic ground state can be well understood for the saw tooth chain with  $n = n_{m ax} + 1$ ,  $n_{m ax} = N$ . For U = 0 the saw tooth chain has two single-electron bands separated by the energy gap  $_1 = "_2()$  " $_1 = 2t$ , see Eq. (3.1). If U is small (in comparison with, e.g.,  $_1$ ) the ground state in the subspace with n = N + 1 electrons is a complicated m any-body state. How ever, if U is su ciently large  $U > U_c (N + 1)$  (and in particular in the lim it U ! 1) it

m ight be energetically favorable to avoid H ubbard repulsion. This can be realized by occupying all trapping cells (V-valleys) with N, say, spin-up electrons and putting the one remaining electron also with = " into the next (dispersive) band. Indeed, it is easy to show that for the periodic even-N saw tooth chain such a state

$$\mathbf{j'}_{N+1}\mathbf{i} = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{2}; \ \mathbf{j'}_{0;"} \mathbf{l}_{2;"}^{\mathbf{y}} : \mathbf{l}_{N}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{2}; \\ \mathbf{1} \end{array} \qquad (6.7)$$

is a true eigenstate with the energy N " $_1$  + " $_2$  ( ). The trapping-cell operators  $l^y_{2\,j;"}$  are de ned in Eq. (3.2) and

 $\frac{y}{2}$ ; " creates an electron in the dispersive band with =

and =". O ther states belonging to a spin-[N + 1)=2] SU (2) multiplet can be obtained by applying S to the state (6.7). This kind of saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism for the saw tooth chain was rst found num erically by Y.W atanabe and S.M iyashita<sup>19</sup> (see also Refs. 7,12).

We may expect such fully polarized ferrom agnetic ground states for su ciently large U for further electron numbers  $n_{m\ ax} < n < N$ , where n = N - 1 is that electron number where the well-known N agaoka theorem  $^{53}$  holds. U sing Lanczos exact diagonalization of nite systems we have investigated this question for the saw tooth and the kagom e chains I and II. We list our num erical ndings for U ! - 1 in Table II. Indeed, fully polarized ferrom agnetic ground states exist for various electron numbers n in the range  $n_{m\ ax} < n < N$ . For other values not listed in Table II the ground state is either partially polarized, i.e.,  $0 < hS^2 i_n < S_{m\ ax}$  ( $S_{m\ ax} + 1$ ), or it is a singlet, i.e.,  $hS^2 i_n = 0$ , with spiral structure, see also Ref. 19. How ever, a detailed discussion of this issue goes beyond the scope of the present paper.

## D. Low -tem perature behavior of the m agnetic susceptibility

We complete our discussion of the magnetic properties with a brief consideration of the low-temperature behavior of the uniform zero-eld magnetic susceptibility . Using the standard arguments for deriving the uniform zero-eld Langevin susceptibility we may write the trapped-state contribution to as

$$(T;n;N) = \frac{hS^{2}i_{n}}{3T}$$
 (6.8)

with hS<sup>2</sup> i<sub>n</sub> calculated in Secs.VIA and VIB. Thus we may expect a Curie-like behavior of the susceptibility of the considered Hubbard chains at low temperatures in case of paramagnetic ground states. In the therm odynamic limit we have  $\lim_{N \to 1} hS^2 i_n = 3n=4$  in the paramagnetic region (n=N < 1), see Eq. (6.4). Therefore the Curie constant is n=4, which corresponds to a system of n independent spins 1/2. Note, how ever, that in case of a ferrom agnetic ground state the low-tem perature dependence of is expected to be di erent. Thus for

TABLE II: Electron numbers  $n_{max} < n < N$  for which saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism in the limit U ! 1 exists. Note that for N > 20 the size of the H am iltonian matrix becomes very large. Therefore, for N > 20 only a few sectors n >  $n_{max}$  are accessible by numerical calculation. In particular, for N = 24 we can exam ine only the sectors with up to n = 16 electrons and for N = 30 with up to n = 10 electrons. Note further that for N = 40 and N = 50 we cannot reach the sectors with n >  $n_{max}$ .

chain	N = 4	N = 6	N = 8	N = 10
saw tooth	N = 8: n = 5;7	N = 12: n = 7;9;11	N = 16: n = 9;11;13;15	N = 20: n = 11;13;15;17;19
kagom e I	N = 12: n = 8;11	N = 18: n = 9;10;14;15;17	N = 24: n = 11; {	$N = 30: \{$
kagom e II	N = 20: n = 7;8;10;13;17;19	$N = 30: n = 9;10; {$	$N = 40: \{$	$N = 50: \{$

the spin-1/2 ferrom agnetic H eisenberg chain the B ethe ansatz yields / T  $^2$  (see R ef. 54) which holds also for weakly frustrated chains.<sup>55</sup>

Again we have con med Eq. (6.8) by num erical calculations for nite system s. A s an example som e results for the saw tooth chain of two di erent lengths are presented in Fig. 12 for  $t^0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $t^0 = 1$ . From Fig. 12 it is obvious that for the saw tooth chain with a at band, i.e.,  $t^0 = 12$ , Eq. (6.8) holds at low tem peratures. M oreover, the obtained C urie constants in ply hS  $^{2}i_{4} = 4$  for N = 8 and  $hS^2i_4 = 60=17$  for N = 12 in agreement with calculations of Sec. VIA. The tem perature region where this relation is valid increases with growing U. In case of a dispersive lowest band, i.e.,  $t^0 = 1$ , the quantity  $hS^{2}i_{n}$  depends on U and n. Indeed, it has been observed previously<sup>38</sup> that in the saw tooth chain with  $t^0 = t$  for quarter lling and less than quarter lling the Coulomb repulsion U may drive transitions from singlet ground states present at sm all values of U to ferrom agnetic ground states present at large values of U. For the values of U and n considered in Fig. 12 we have singlet ground states, i.e.,  $hS^{2}i_{n} = 0$ , for  $t^{0} = 1$ . Hence, contrary to the at-band case we have T (T;n;N) = 0 as T ! 0.

#### VII. RELATION TO THE XXZ MODEL

F inally we want discuss the relation between the exact many-electron states considered in this paper and the localized m agnon states found for the X X Z H eisenberg antiferrom agnet.<sup>26,29,30</sup>

First we notice that the localized m agnon states for the X X Z H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on all three lattices can be also m apped onto the m odel of hard dimers on an auxiliary simple chain. However, in contradistinction to the electron m odel, the localized m agnons cannot sit in neighboring traps and there is only one possibility to occupy a trap. Moreover, for the kagom e chains the simultaneous occupation of a leg and a diam ond or hexagon trapping cell by m agnons is not allowed. Hence, we are faced with an example where the Pauli principle leads to less constraints in comparison to hard-core bosonic system s. As a result, the number of states for the X X Z m odel is given by hard dimers on a chain of only N sites instead of the 2N sites as is the case for the H ubbard m odel.<sup>29,30</sup>



FIG. 12: (Color online) Uniform magnetic susceptibility 3T (T;n;N)=C<sub>n</sub> for the sawtooth chain (t = 1) with n = 4 electrons and N = 16 sites with U = 4 ( led triangles and circles) as well as N = 24 sites with U = 4 ( led triangles and circles). Triangles correspond to  $t^0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and circles correspond to  $t^0 = 1$ . Here we use for the norm alization of the vertical axis C<sub>n</sub> = 4 for N = 8 and C<sub>n</sub> = 60=17 for N = 12.

Further di erences can be en phasized between the localized magnons and the localized electrons for these three chains. First, in the subspace with n = N = 2m agnons the ground-state degeneracy equals 2 whereas in the corresponding subspace with n = N electrons the hard-dim er ground-state degeneracy equals N + 1. For the antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg kagom e chains the extended single-m agnon states (two-leg states) also appear, how ever, because of the stronger constraint only in the subspaces w ith n = 1 and n = 2 m agnons. This increases the total ground-state degeneracy at the saturation m agnetic eld  $h = h_{sat}$  exactly by 2 (com pare Ref. 30b). By contrast, for the Hubbard kagom e chains the extended single-electron states (two-leg states) appear in all subspaces with n = 1; ...; N + 1 electrons thus noticeably increasing the ground-state degeneracy at = 0.

D espite the di erences between the ferm ionic and the hard-core bosonic systems stressed above, the existence of localized states in both systems leads to some com - m on features. For instance, the jump in the number of

electrons as a function of the chemical potential at =  $_0$  found for the electron system corresponds to a jump in the magnetization curve as a function of the extemalmagnetic eld at the saturation eld h = h<sub>sat</sub> seen in the X X Z m odel. Moreover, in both cases the contribution of the localized states to the partition function can be calculated explicitly by a transferm atrix m ethod, which leads to simple analytical expressions for the low tem perature behavior of various therm odynam ic quantities, such as the entropy or the speci c heat.

#### VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have considered two di erent types of at-band ferrom agnets, namely, the saw tooth Hubbard chain (Tasaki's model) and two kagom e Hubbard chains (M ielke's m odels). For these three m odels we have constructed the complete set of ground states for electron num bers n  $n_{m ax}$ , where  $n_{m ax} = N$  (saw tooth, odd-N kagom e I) or  $n_{max} = N + 1$  (even-N kagom e I, kagom e II) with N = N=2 (saw tooth), N = N=3 (kagom e I), and N = N=5 (kagom e II). In these ground states the electrons are trapped on restricted areas of the full lattice. Using a transfer-m atrix m ethod, see Appendix A, we have calculated the degeneracy of the ground states  $g_N$  (n). The ground-state degeneracy grows rapidly with increasing system size, and a nite residual entropy per site remains in the therm odynam ic limit. Moreover, we have calculated exactly the contribution of the highly degenerate ground-state manifold to the partition function. The low-tem perature therm odynam ics around a particular value of the chemical potential  $_0$  ( $_0 = 2t$  for all three models) is dominated by these trapped ground states leading to a low-energy scale separated from the usual energy scale determ ined by the band width. In the therm odynamic lim it N ! 1 allm odels exhibit identical therm odynam ic behavior in this regime which is analogous to that of classical one-dim ensional hard dim ers.

The trapped ground states lead also to particularm agnetic behavior including ground-state ferrom agnetism as well as param agnetic behavior.

M oreover, with this study we have (i) illum inated relations between Tasaki's and M ielke's at-band ferrom agnets, and (ii) the relations between frustrated quantum H eisenberg antiferrom agnets and the H ubbard at-band ferrom agnets. In spite of som e sim ilarities in the m athem atical description of both correlated quantum lattice systems ow ing to localized one-particle states, the elaboration of a com prehensive theory for the H ubbard atband ferrom agnet in higher dim ensions using som e ideas from localized-spin system s rem ains an unsolved problem and calls for further e orts.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

The numerical calculations were performed using J. Schulenburg's spinpack. Financial support of the DFG is gratefully acknowledged (projects Rib15/16-1 and Rib15/18-1 and a Heisenberg fellow ship for A H.under project HO 2325/4-1). O D. acknowledges the kind hospitality of the MPIPKS-D resden in 2006 and 2009 and of the University of Magdeburg in the autumn of 2008 and 2009.

#### APPENDIX A:TRANSFER-MATRIX COUNTING OF LOCALIZED HARD-DIMER ELECTRON STATES

The ground states of electrons localized on trapping cells (V-valleys, diam onds or hexagons, respectively) for U > 0 can be counted using a transfer-m atrix m ethod.<sup>49</sup> In Ref. 11 we have associated two sites to each trapping cell and used a hard-dim er m apping for this counting. Here we w illpresent a direct solution of the same problem using a 3 3 transfer m atrix.

Recall that the ground states of the considered systems, i.e., the saw tooth chain or the odd-N kagome chain I with around 0, can be obtained by populating the trapping cells with electrons with spin-up and spin-down according to the following rules: 1) Each trap may be empty, occupied by one spin-up electron or occupied by one spin-down electron. That is, for each trap  $j = 0; 1; \dots N$  1 we have three trap states,  $s_j = 0; "; #$ . A ground state of the chain can be thought of as a certain sequence of the trap states. 2) M oving along the successive traps (the choice of the st trap is totally arbitrary, e.g., we may take j = 0) we must allow only one sequence of two trap states corresponding to the neighboring cells being occupied by di erently polarized electrons. This is only a convention for the correct counting of the number of the ground states. (Note, how ever, that the convention does not work for the number of electrons n = N yielding only 2 states instead of correct number N + 1.) For instance, let us allow the sequence of trap states  $s_1 = "$ ,  $s_{i+1} = #$  and forbid the sequence of trap states  $s_i = #$ ,  $s_{j+1} = "$ .

These rules can be encoded with a transferm  $a trix^{56}$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 0 & & & 1 \\
 T & (0;0) & T & (0;") & T & (0;\#) \\
 T & = & (0,T) & T & (";") & T & (";\#) & A \\
 & T & (\#;0) & T & (\#;") & T & (\#;\#) \\
 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & = & (0,T) & Z & (A1) \\
 & Z & 0 & Z
\end{array}$$

with the activity  $z = \exp("_1=T)$ . Now we can write down the contribution of all allowed sequences  $s_0; :::; s_N_1$  to the grand-canonical partition function

 $_{\text{trap}}$  (z;N) (5.2) as follows

trap (z; N) = TrT<sup>N</sup>  
= 
$${}^{N}_{+} + {}^{N}_{+} + {}^{N}_{0}_{;}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} + z$   $\frac{1}{4} + z;$   ${}_{0} = 0:$  (A2)

The hard-dimer computation yields the alternative representation trap (z;N) =  $\frac{2N}{4}$  + 2N where = 1=2

 $1=4 + \exp x$  are the eigenvalues of a 2 2 transfer matrix.<sup>11</sup> Since = <sup>2</sup> and <sub>0</sub> = 0, the two expressions are in fact equivalent.

W e turn now to the canonical description. M ore specifically, we consider n  $\cap$  trapped states on a (periodic) chain with N cells. W e are interested in the canonical

partition function of such a system Z (n;N) which counts the number of spatial congurations of n trapped electrons. Using the relation between the canonical partition function and the grand-canonical partition function

$$\operatorname{trap}_{n=0}^{X^{N}} z^{n} Z (n; N) \qquad (A3)$$

we immediately nd that

$$Z(n;N) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n} \operatorname{trap}(z;N)}{dz^{n}} = (A4)$$

After simple (but becoming tedious as n increases) calculations we get

$$Z (1;N) = 2N;$$

$$Z (2;N) = N (2N 3);$$

$$Z (3;N) = \frac{1}{3!}2N (4N^{2} 18N + 20);$$

$$Z (4;N) = \frac{1}{4!}2N (8N^{3} 72N^{2} + 214N 210);$$

$$Z (5;N) = \frac{1}{5!}2N (16N^{4} 240N^{3} + 1340N^{2} 3300N + 3024);$$

$$Z (5;N) = \frac{1}{5!}2N (32N^{5} 720N^{4} + 6440N^{3} 28620N^{2} + 63188N 55440);$$

$$Z (7;N) = \frac{1}{7!}2N (64N^{6} 2016N^{5} + 26320N^{4} 182280N^{3} + 706216N^{2} 1451184N + 1235520);$$

$$Z (8;N) = \frac{1}{8!}2N (128N^{7} 5376N^{6} + 96320N^{5} 954240N^{4} + 5645192N^{3} 19941264N^{2} + 38943000N 32432400);$$

The data shown in Fig. 3 are based on Eq. (A 5).

Let us calculate the (not norm alized) grand-canonical correlation function  $hS_0^zS_j^zi_z$ , where  $S_j^z$  is the z-component spin operator of the trap j and the subscript z denotes the activity. Dening a matrix

one passes again from the sum over hard-dimer ground states for a xed number of electrons n and the sum over n N to the sum over  $s_0 = 0$ ;";#,  $s_1 = 0$ ;";#, ...,  $s_{N-1} = 0$ ;";#. As a result

$$hS_0^z S_j^z i_z = Tr ST^j ST^{N-j} :$$
 (A7)

Using a MAPLE code we can easily compute  $hS_0^z S_j^z i_z$ according to Eq. (A7) for su ciently large systems (up to N = 256); the resulting expression for  $hS_0^z S_j^z i_z$  is a polynom ialwith the powers of z from 2 to N. Turning to the canonical description we use the relation

$$hS_0^z S_j^z i_z = \sum_{n=2}^{X^N} z^n Z (n; N) hS_0^z S_j^z i_n$$
 (A8)

[obviously  $hS_0^zS_j^zi_{n=0} = hS_0^zS_j^zi_{n=1} = 0$  do not enter the right-hand side of Eq. (A 8)] to derive

Z (n; N) 
$$hS_0^z S_j^z i_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n hS_0^z S_j^z i_z}{dz^n} = (A9)$$

Thus the coe cients associated with the corresponding powers of the activity z in the right-hand side in Eq. (A 7) yield the required quantities  $hS_0^z S_j^z i_n$ .

Finally, we mention that the same results for hS<sup>2</sup> $i_n$  can be obtained within the hard-dimer picture. Since each hard-dimer ground state can be represented in terms of the occupation numbers of hard dimers on a sim – ple chain, i.e., it is enumerated by a set of hard-dimer

occupation numbers  $n_0; n_1; :::; n_{2N} \ _1, n_j = 0; 1$ , and  $S^z = (1=2) \sum_{j=0}^{P} {}^{2N} {}^1$  (1)<sup>j</sup> $n_j$ , we have

$$hS^{z^{2}}i_{n} = \frac{N}{2} \int_{q=0}^{2N-1} (1)^{q} hn_{0}n_{q}i_{n}; \qquad (A10)$$

where h:::in in the right-hand side in Eq. (A10) stands for the (norm alized) average over spatial con gurations of n hard dimers on a simple chain of 2N sites. To nd a density-density correlation function at distance q for one-dimensional hard dimers in the canonical ensemble (n;2N), it is convenient to calculate rst a density-density correlation function at distance q for onedimensional hard dimers in the grand-canonical ensemble (z;2N),

$$m_0 n_q i_z = Tr N D^{q} N D^{2N} q^{q};$$

$$D = p \frac{1}{z} \frac{p_{\overline{z}}}{0}; N = \frac{0}{0} \frac{0}{1};$$
(A11)

which gives the required  $hn_0n_qi_n$  after inverting the relation  $hn_0n_qi_z = \frac{P}{n_{r=2}}N^n Z(n;N)hn_0n_qi_n$ .

- <sup>1</sup> The Hubbard Model | A Reprint Volume, edited by A.M ontorsi (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1992).
- <sup>2</sup> E. H. Lieb, in X Ith International Congress of M athem atical Physics, Paris, 1994, edited by D. Iagolnitzer (International Press, Boston, 1995), p. 392 [arXiv:cond-m at/9311033].
- <sup>3</sup> H. Tasaki, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 10, 4353 (1998).
- <sup>4</sup> A.M ielke, J.Phys. A 24, L73 (1991); A.M ielke, J.Phys. A 24, 3311 (1991); A.M ielke, J.Phys. A 25, 4335 (1992).
- <sup>5</sup> H.Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1608 (1992); A.M ielke and H.Tasaki, Commun. Math. Phys. 158, 341 (1993).
- <sup>6</sup> H.Tasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 99, 489 (1998).
- <sup>7</sup> A. Tanaka and T. Idogaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 401 (1998).
- <sup>8</sup> C. D. Batista and B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 116401 (2003).
- <sup>9</sup> Z.Gulacsi, A.Kampf, and D.Vollhardt, Phys.Rev.Lett. 99,026404 (2007); Z.Gulacsi, A.Kampf, and D.Vollhardt, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 176, 1 (2008); R.Trencsenyi, E.Kovacs, and Z.Gulacsi, Philos. Mag. 89, 1953 (2009).
- <sup>10</sup> C.Wu, D.Bergman, L.Balents, and S.Das Sama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 070401 (2007); C.Wu and S.Das Sama, Phys.Rev.B 77, 235107 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup> O. Derzhko, A. Honecker, and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B 76, 220402 (R) (2007).
- <sup>12</sup> O.Derzhko, A.Honecker, and J.Richter, Phys. Rev. B 79, 054403 (2009).
- <sup>13</sup> D. Vollhardt, N. Blum er, K. Held, M. Kollar, J. Schlipf, and M. Ulm ke, Z. Phys. B 103, 283 (1997).
- <sup>14</sup> H.Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4678 (1995); H.Tasaki, J. Stat. Phys. 84, 535 (1996).
- <sup>15</sup> A.M ielke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4312 (1999); A.M ielke, J. Phys. A 32, 8411 (1999).
- <sup>16</sup> A. Tanaka and H. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 067204

#### APPENDIX B:LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF TRAPPED ELECTRON STATES

W e wish to clarify whether the set of ground states constructed in Secs. IV A and IV B for given n  $n_{m ax}$  is linearly independent. An a mative answer for harddimer states comes from Ref. 48. For the saw tooth chain and the kagome chains the localized n-electron states (n = 1; :::; N) are linearly independent, which is connected with the fact that for all three lattices there are sites which are unique to each cell (isolated class in the nom enclature of Ref. 48).

We can use the same arguments for the set of singleelectron states which consists of localized states on a diam ond/hexagon plus one two-leg state. For this purpose the two-leg state (3.5)/(3.9) in the set of states can be replaced by the state localized along one (e.g., lower) leg only. As a result we again are faced with the case when there are isolated sites [the sites belonging to another (upper) leg] that yields linear independence of the considered set of single-electron states and thus of n-electron states (n = 1;:::; N + 1).<sup>48</sup>

(2003).

- <sup>17</sup> T.Sekizawa, J.Phys.A 36, 10451 (2003).
- <sup>18</sup> A. Tanaka and H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 116402 (2007).
- <sup>19</sup> Y.W atanabe and S.M iyashita, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.66, 2123 (1997); Y.W atanabe and S.M iyashita, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 66, 3981 (1997); Y.W atanabe and S.M iyashita, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 3086 (1999); R.Arita and H.Aoki, Phys. Rev.B 61, 12261 (2000).
- <sup>20</sup> H. Tamura, K. Shiraishi, T. Kimura, and H. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. B 65, 085324 (2002); M. Ichimura, K. Kusakabe, S. W atanabe, and T. O nogi, Phys. Rev. B 58, 9595 (1998); H. Ishii, T. Nakayama, and J.-i. Inoue, Phys. Rev. B 69, 085325 (2004).
- <sup>21</sup> S.Nishino, M.Goda, and K.Kusakabe, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 72, 2015 (2003); S.Nishino and M.Goda, J.Phys.Soc. Jpn.74, 393 (2005).
- <sup>22</sup> H.H. Lin, T. Hikihara, H.-T. Jeng, B.-L. Huang, C.-Y. Mou, and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. B 79, 035405 (2009).
- <sup>23</sup> R. Arita, Y. Suwa, K. Kuroki, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 127202 (2002); Y. Suwa, R. Arita, K. Kuroki, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 68, 174419 (2003); A. Harrison, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 16, S553 (2004); Y.-Z. Zheng, M.-L. Tong, W. Xue, W.-X. Zhang, X.-M. Chen, F.G randjean, and G. J. Long, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 46, 6076 (2007).
- <sup>24</sup> D.Jaksch and P.Zoller, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 315, 52 (2005).
- <sup>25</sup> M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, V. Ahu nger, B. Damski, A. Sen, and U. Sen, Adv. Phys. 56, 243 (2007).
- <sup>26</sup> J. Schnack, H.-J. Schm idt, J. Richter, and J. Schulenburg, Eur. Phys. J. B 24, 475 (2001); J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, J. Richter, and H.-J. Schm idt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 167207 (2002); J. Richter, J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, and H.-J. Schm idt,

J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 16, S779 (2004); J. R ichter, J. Schulenburg, and A. Honecker, in Quantum M agnetism, edited by U. Schollwock, J. R ichter, D. J. J. Famell, and R. F. B ishop, Lecture Notes in Physics, 645 (Springer, Berlin, 2004), pp. 85–153; J. R ichter, J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, and D. Schm alfu, Phys. Rev. B 70, 174454 (2004); J. R ichter, F izika N izkikh Tem peratur (K harkiv) 31, 918 (2005) [Low Tem perature Physics 31, 695 (2005)].

- <sup>27</sup> J. Richter, O. Derzhko, and J. Schulenburg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 107206 (2004); O. Derzhko and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B 72, 094437 (2005).
- <sup>28</sup> M.E.Zhitom irsky and A.Honecker, J.Stat.Mech.: Theor. Exp.P07012 (2004).
- <sup>29</sup> M.E. Zhitom irsky and H.T sunetsugu, Phys. Rev. B 70, 100403 (R) (2004); M.E. Zhitom irsky and H.T sunetsugu, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 160, 361 (2005); M.E. Zhitom irsky and H.T sunetsugu, Phys. Rev. B 75, 224416 (2007).
- <sup>30</sup> O. Derzhko and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B 70, 104415 (2004); O. Derzhko and J. Richter, Eur. Phys. J. B 52, 23 (2006); J. Richter, O. Derzhko, and T. Krokhm alskii, Phys. Rev. B 74, 144430 (2006); O. Derzhko, J. Richter, A. Honecker, and H.-J. Schm idt, Fizika Nizkikh Tem peratur (K harkiv) 33, 982 (2007) [Low Tem perature Physics 33, 745 (2007)].
- <sup>31</sup> J.Schnack, R.Schm idt, and J.Richter, Phys. Rev. B 76, 054413 (2007).
- <sup>32</sup> A. Honecker and J. Richter, Condensed Matter Physics (L'viv) 8, 813 (2005); J. Richter, O. Derzhko, and A. Honecker, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 22, 4418 (2008); O. Derzhko, J. Richter, and A. Honecker, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 145, 012059 (2009).
- <sup>33</sup> G.Misguich, D.Serban, and V.Pasquier, Phys. Rev. B 67, 214413 (2003).
- <sup>34</sup> H. Katsura, I. Maruyama, A. Tanaka, and H. Tasaki, arX iv:0907.4564v1.
- <sup>35</sup> G.C.Lau, B.G.Ueland, R.S.Freitas, M.L.Dahlberg, P. Schier, and R.J.Cava, Phys. Rev. B 73, 012413 (2006).
- <sup>36</sup> H. N. Kono and Y. Kuram oto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 084706 (2006).
- <sup>37</sup> A. Honecker and J. Richter, J. M agn. M agn. M ater. 310, 1331 (2007); A. Honecker, O. D erzhko, and J. Richter, Physica B 404, 3316 (2009).
- <sup>38</sup> K.Penc, H.Shiba, F.M ila, and T.Tsukagoshi, Phys.Rev. B 54, 4056 (1996).
- $^{39}$  H . Sakam oto and K . Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 3732

(1996).

- <sup>40</sup> Ch.W aldtm ann, H.K reutzm ann, U.Schollwock, K.M aisinger, and H.-U.Everts, Phys. Rev. B 62, 9472 (2000).
- <sup>41</sup> G.B.M artins and W.Brenig, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 20, 415204 (2008).
- <sup>42</sup> P. Azaria, C. Hooley, P. Lechem inant, C. Lhuillier, and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1694 (1998); Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3331 (2000).
- <sup>43</sup> S.K. Pati and R.R.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 60, 7695 (1999).
- <sup>44</sup> S.R.W hite and R.R.P.Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3330 (2000).
- <sup>45</sup> M. Indergand, A. Lauchli, S. Capponi, and M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. B 74, 064429 (2006).
- <sup>46</sup> A.D onkov and A.V.Chubukov, Europhys.Lett.80,67005 (2007).
- <sup>47</sup> J.V idal, R.M osseri, and B.D oucot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5888 (1998).
- <sup>48</sup> H.-J.Schm idt, J.R ichter, and R.M oessner, J.Phys.A 39, 10673 (2006).
- <sup>49</sup> R.J.Baxter, Exactly Solved M odels in Statistical M echanics (A cadem ic P ress, London, 1982).
- <sup>50</sup> Interestingly, for nite (large) N , however, + is the largest one among i (when i = ;3 or i = ;3;4;5;6) only if z does not exceed some (large) value z<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, for xed 0 > 0 if T becomes smaller than some (small) T<sup>2</sup> = (0) = ln z<sup>2</sup> a crossover takes place which may produce some tiny features of nite (large)-N low-tem perature therm odynamic quantities.
- <sup>51</sup> C.Lanczos, J.Res.Nat.Bur.Standards 45, 255 (1950).
- <sup>52</sup> J.K.Cullum and R.A.W illoughby, Lanczos Algorithms for Large Symmetric Eigenvalue Computations. Vol. I: Theory, SIAM Classics in Applied M athematics 41 (2002).
- <sup>53</sup> Y.Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. 147, 392 (1966).
- <sup>54</sup> M. Yam ada and M. Takahashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 55, 2024 (1986).
- <sup>55</sup> M. Hartel, J. Richter, D. Ihle, and S.-L. D rechsler, Phys. Rev. B 78, 174412 (2008).
- <sup>56</sup> A nother choice is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} p \overline{z} & p \overline{z} \\ p \overline{z} & z & z \\ p \overline{z} & 0 & z \end{pmatrix};$$

This matrix has the same eigenvalues as the one in Eq. (A1).