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#### Abstract

W e consider the repulsive $\mathrm{H} u b b a r d \mathrm{~m}$ odel on three highly frustrated one-dim ensional lattices \{ saw tooth chain and two kagom e chains \{ w ith com pletely dispersion less (at) low est single-electron bands. W e construct the com plete $m$ anifold of exact $m$ any-electron ground states at low electron llings and calculate the degeneracy of these states. A s a result, we obtain closed-form expressions for low tem perature them odynam ic quantities around a particular value of the chem ical potential 0 . W e discuss speci c features of therm odynam ic quantities of these ground-state ensem bles such as residual entropy, an extra low tem perature peak in the speci $c$ heat, and the existence of ferrom agnetism and param agnetism. W e con $m$ our analytical results by com parison w ith exact diagonalization data for nite system $s$.


PACS num bers: 71.10.-w, 71.10 Fd
$K$ eyw ords: H ubbard $m$ odel, saw tooth chain, kagom e chains, at bands, ferrom agnetism

## I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The Hubbard model is a particularly simple model for strongly interacting electrons in solids. N evertheless, rigorous analysis of the model is a di cult task and exact statem ents about its properties are notoriously rare $\frac{1,2,3}{}$ A num ber of rigorous/exact results have been obtained for the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel on som e speci $c$ lattioes, see, e.g., Refs. $4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12$. In particular, in the context of the origin of ferrom agnetism in itinerant electron system $s^{13}$ di erent lattioes supporting dispersionless (at) single-electron band were studied in som e detail $4,5,6,14,15$ In the last years the theory of at-band ferrom agnetism has been developed further 16,17,18,19,20,21,22 A lthough at rst glance onem ay think that the lattioes adm itting rigorous treatm ent are rather arti cial, now adays new possibilities to design interacting lattioe system $w$ th controlled geom etry em erge. Thus, m odem strategies in chem istry open a route to synthesize new $m$ aterials $w$ ith a desired lattioe structure and intersite interaction ${ }^{23}$ Furtherm ore, recent progress in nanotechnology allow sthe fabrication of quantum dot superlattices and quantum $w$ ire system $s w$ th any type of lattice. 20 A nother rapidly developing eld is the controlled setup of optical lattices for cold atom s . $10,24,25$

On the other hand, during the past years it has been notioed that exact ground states of the quantum X X Z Heisenberg antiferrom agnet can be constructed at high $m$ agnetic elds for a large class of geom etrically frustrated lattioes. ${ }^{26}$ These states, called independent or isolated localized magnons, are localized on nonoverlapping restricted areas of the lattice and they clearly $m$ anifest them selves in various peculiarities of the low -tem perature strong- eld properties of the
spin system $s$ ( $m$ acroscopic $m$ agnetization jum $p, \frac{26}{2}$ eldtuned lattice instability, ${ }^{27}$ residual entropy ${ }^{28,29,30}$ enhanced m agnetocaloric e ect,, , ${ }^{281}$ order-disorder phase transition below the saturation eld 29,30 etc.). Interestingly, at-band ferrom agnetism of H ubbard electrons exhibits some sim ilarities to the localized-m agnon effect for X X Z Heisenberg antiferrom agnets on certain frustrated lattices $11,12,32 \mathrm{~N}$ ote, how ever, that while the one-particle description $m$ ay be identical, the $m$ anyparticle picture is obviously di erent. W hile the spin m odel can be viewed as a hard-core bosonic system with nearest-neighbor intersite repulsion, the electronic H ubbard $m$ odel is a two-com ponent ferm ionic system with on-site repulsion betw een di erent species. N evertheless, it has been found recently ${ }^{11,12,32}$ that several ideas developed for the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel can be carried over to the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel.

In the present paperw e consider the repulsive $H$ ubbard m odel on a class of one-dim ensional frustrated lattioes, nam ely the saw tooth chain and two di erent kagom e chains (see $F$ igs. $1 \mathrm{l}, 1 \mathrm{l}$, and 1 c ). T hese H ubbard system s have highly degenerate ground states for certain electron numbers $n \quad n_{m a x}, n_{m a x} / N$, where $N$ is the num ber of lattice sites. W e w ill give explicit analytical expressions for all these ground states. T heir num ber grow s exponentially w th the system size and can be counted by a m apping of the electronic problem onto a one-dim ensional classical hard-dim er gas. M oreover, these system s show saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism forparticularvalues of electron number $n$, i.e., the square of the total spin is $S^{2}=(n=2)(n=2+1)$. A though the saw tooth chain on the one hand and the kagom e chains on the other hand belong to di erent types of at-band ferro$m$ agnets, the $H$ ubbard $m$ odelon all three lattices exhibits


F IG . 1: (C olor on line) T hree one-dim ensional lattices considered in this paper: (a) the saw tooth chain, (b) the kagom e chain I, and (c) the kagom e chain II. For the saw tooth H ubbard chain the hopping param eter along the zig-zag path $t^{0}$ is $\overline{2}$ tim es larger than the hopping param eter $t>0$ along the base line. For the kagom e H ubbard chains all the hopping param eters $t>0$ are identical. Bold (red) lines denote the $m$ inim altrapping cells for localized electrons.
an identical them odynam ic behavior at low tem peratures around a certain value of the chem icalpotential o if N ! 1 . Indeed, while the saw tooth lattice being a one-dim ensional version of Tasaki's $m$ odel is an exam ple of the \cell construction" 5,6 the kagom e chain I and the kagom e chain IIbelong to M ielke's class of $\backslash$ line graphs" ${ }^{\frac{4}{r}}$ where the kagom e chain $I$ is the line graph of the two-leg ladder ${ }^{33,34}$ and the kagom e chain II is the line graph of a decorated tw o-leg ladder. T he num ber of sites in the unit cell is 2,3 , and 5 for the saw tooth chain, kagom e chain $I$, and kagom e chain II, respectively. M ore di erences can be seen in the single-electron energies for these lattioes: although the tight-binding $m$ odel of all three lattices exhibits a dispersion less (at) low est-energy band, the next dispersive band is separated by a nite gap for the saw tooth chain, but it touches the at band at one point in m om entum space in the case of the kagom e chains (for m ore details see Sec. IIII) . We mention that all of the three lattioes w ere discussed previously in the context of various problem s of strongly correlated system s, see, e.g., Refs. $35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46$.

W e consider the standard H ubbard H am iltonian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} X
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
H_{0}={ }_{\text {hi;jji }}^{X} t_{i ; j} C_{i ;}^{y} C_{j}+C_{j ;}^{y} C_{i ;} \quad ; \\
H_{\text {int }}=U_{i}^{X} n_{i ; "} n_{i ; \#}:
\end{array} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum s run over $N$ lattice sites i or over the nearestneighbor pairs hi; ji, and periodic boundary conditions are im posed, $c_{i}^{y} \quad\left(c_{i} ;\right)$ are the usual ferm ion creation (annihilation) operators. For the saw tooth chain the hopping param eters along the zig-zag path $t^{0}$ are $\overline{2}$ tim es larger than the ones along the base line $t>0$ (then the lowest single-electron band is completely at, see Sec. III) . For the kagom e chains all the hopping param eters equal $t>0$ (then the lowest single-electron band is completely at, see Sec. III) U 0 is the onsite C oulom b repulsion for electrons $w$ ith di erent spins. $W$ ith further statistical-m echanics calculation in $m$ ind $w e$ also introduce the term with chem icalpotential. N ote that the sign of the term $w$ ith in Eq. (1.1) is chosen to have direct correspondence betw een the chem ical potential for the electronic model and the magnetic eld h for the respective antiferrom agnetic spin m odel $11,12,32$ In what follow s we often will set $t=1$.

T he rem ainder of the paper is organized as follow s. W e begin $w$ ith a brief sum $m$ ary of our $m$ ain results, $S e c$.I. In Sec. III we discuss the tight-binding model of noninteracting electrons $[w e$ set $U=0$ in Eq. (1.1)] for the three chains. In Sec. IV we construct the com plete set of exact $m$ any-electron ground states of the repulsive $H$ ubbard $m$ odel on the three lattices for the electron num bers $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}$, where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}=\mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{N}+1$ and $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}$, and discuss som e properties of these states. M oreover, we explain the $m$ apping of a certain subset of these states onto spatial con gurations of classical hard dim ers on a simple chain. This mapping is crucial to calculate the exact degeneracies of the ground states for electron num bers $n \quad N$ and to determ ine the residual entropy caused by these states. In Sec. $V$ we calculate analytically the contribution of the highly degenerate groundstate $m$ anifold to the grand-canonicalpartition function of the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel on the respective one-dim ensional lattices. This contribution dom inates at low tem peratures when the chem icalpotential is around a certain value $0 \quad(0=2 t$ for all three lattices). W e also calculate analytically the low tem perature behavior of several them odynam ic quantities such as the average num ber of electrons, the entropy, and the speci $c$ heat, and we com pare these analytical ndings w ith num erical results obtained by exact diagonalization for nite lattices. M oreover, we use exact diagonalization for nite lattices to discuss the in uence ofsm alldeviations from the ideal geom etry (leading to a dispersion of the form er at band) on the low tem perature them odynam ics. In Sec. V I we use the analytical ndings based on the localized-state
picture and com plem entary num erical data from exact diagonalization of nite system $s$ for the discussion of the ground-state $m$ agnetic properties of the considered Hub ubard chains. In particular, we discuss the appearance of ferrom agnetism and param agnetism. In Sec. VII we brie y discuss the relation between the electron models and corresponding localized-spin m odels. Finally we sum $m$ arize our ndings in Sec.VII. Som e auxiliary calculations are collected in A ppendices.

## II. SUMMARYOFRESULTS

C om pared to previous work on at-band Heisenberg X X Z magnets, the them eofthispaper is the new physics which arises in itinerant m agnets in which (a) the mo bile degrees of freedom are sub ject to the $P$ auliprinciple and (b) the H am iltonian exhibits full SU (2) sym m etry; in particular, these features set this w ork apart from previous work on X X Z m odels.
$P$ revious studies on itinerant at-band ferrom agnets were focused on particular values $n_{f}$ of the electron num ber $n$ for which ground states $w$ th saturated ferrom agnetism exist. By contrast, we characterize the com plete set of ground states at electron num bers $n \quad n_{f}$, count their num bers, and use them to obtain explicitly the low tem perature them odynam ic quantities as well as the average ground-state $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents.

O ur results cover, on an equal footing, two fam ilies of at-band ferrom agnets in one dim ension. T he rst are those obtained from Tasaki's cell construction and those described by $M$ ielke's line-graph construction.

Som ew hat unusually for a strongly interacting itinerant $m$ any-body system, for these lattices we provide an explicit construction of the full set of exact ground states, for a nite range of doping. The construction of the ground states is based on a m apping to hard-core dim ers on an appropriate one-dim ensional structure.
$T$ his result enables us to obtain the corresponding partition functions, and hence the low -tem perature them odynam ics, of the $m$ agnets in the low-doping regim $e$.

Them ost salient consequences concem (i) the entropy, (ii) the low-tem perature speci c heat, (iii) the dependence of the average num ber ofelectrons on the chem ical potential and (iv) the $m$ agnetic properties.

Regarding (i) the at band in the one-particle energies leads to a huge degeneracy of the $m$ any-body ground states for a certain range of electron densities resulting in a residual entropy in the therm odynam ic lim it. This highly degenerate ground-state $m$ anifold has a great im pact on the low-tem perature physics in the low-doping regim e. In particular, an extra low -tem perature peak in the speci cheat appears which is related to an em erging low -energy scale separated from the energy scale deter$m$ ined by the value of the hopping integral. M oreover, the zero-tem perature average num ber of electrons exhibits a jump at a certain value of the chem ical potential. Re garding (iv) the structure im pressed on the $m$ any-body
wave-function by the $P$ auliprinciple leads to a degree of ferrom agnetism in nite system $s$ which varies $w$ ith electron number $n$; there are speci $c$ llings at which ferrom agnetism is saturated the square of the total spin is $\left.S^{2}=(n=2)(n=2+1)\right]$ while at others it is only partially developed. H ow ever, in the them odynam ic lim it the region of electron density $n=N$, for which ground-state ferrom agnetism exists shrinks to one point ( $n=N=1=2$ for the saw tooth chain, $n=N=1=3$ for the kagom e chain $I_{\text {, }}$ and $n=N=1=5$ for the kagom e chain II). For low er electron densities the ground state is param agnetic and the low -tem perature behavior of the zero- eld susceptibility follow s a C urie law.

Finally we em phasize here that the localized-m agnon states for the X X Z H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on all three lattioes can be also $m$ apped onto a one-dim ensional m odel of hard dim ers. Interestingly, due to the P auli principle, the localized-electron states are less constraining than the respective localized-m agnon states. A s a result the $m$ anifold of localized states for the electronic system is $m$ uch larger than that for the $m$ agnon system.

## III. NON-INTERACTING ELECTRONS. TRAPPED ELECTRON STATES

For zero $C$ oulom b interaction $U$ the diagonalization of the $H$ am iltonian (1.1) is straightforw ard. N evertheless, we start w ith the discussion of th is case, since it provides som e im portant results which are relevant for the case U > 0, too. The saw tooth chain has been studied in great detail before (see, e.g., R ef. 32) . W e w ill therefore just recall the $m$ ain results for this case and give further details only for the two kagom e chains. For brevity, we $m$ ay also om it spin indices as irrelevant in this section.

## A. Saw tooth chain

The saw tooth chain consists of $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=2$ cells, each cell contains tw o sites, see Fig. 1a. H ence, there are tw o branches of single-particle energies which read ${ }^{32}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{1 ; 2}()=t \cos \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{t^{2} \cos ^{2}+2 t^{2}(1+\cos )}+: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t^{0}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} t>0$ the lowest single-electron band becomes at, $"_{1}()="_{1}=2 t+$ and one can $w$ rite dow $n$ creation operators for a corresponding set of eigenstates which are localized in a valley with index j ${ }^{32}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 j}^{y}=C_{2 j 1}^{y} \quad P_{\overline{2}}^{C_{2 j}^{y}}+c_{2 j+1}^{y}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This set of localized single-electron states is a convenient starting point for the construction of the $m$ any-electron ground states of the $H$ am iltonian (1.1) in the subspaces w ith electron num bers $n=2 ;::: ; N$. M oreover, this $\backslash \mathrm{l}$ calized" point of view allow s a useful sim ple geom etrical interpretation, see below.

## B. K agom e chain I

C onsider next the kagom e chain I, see Fig. 1b. It consists of $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=3$ cells, each cell contains three sites. A fter standard transform ations we get the diagonal form of the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{X}^{3} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}() \underset{\mathrm{p} ; ~}{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{p} ; ; \\
& \mathrm{i} ; \\
& "_{1}()=2 \mathrm{t}+; \\
& "_{2}()=2 \mathrm{tcos}+; \\
& "_{3}()=2 \mathrm{t}(1+\cos )+ \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $=2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{N}=2<\mathrm{m} \mathrm{N}=2$. The low est energy band is at, $"_{1}()="_{1}=2 t+$. Note, how ever, that a state w ith $=$ (it does exist if N is even) from the dispersive band $"_{2}()$ has also the energy $"_{1}=2 t+$, i.e., the next band touches the low est at band at $=$. The -dependent single-electron states are given by $\underset{p}{\mathrm{p}} ; j 0 \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{p}=1 ; 2 ; 3$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{3 j}^{y}=c_{3 j}^{y} \quad c_{3 j+1}^{y} \quad c_{3 j+2}^{y}+c_{3 j+3}^{y} ; \\
& \underset{2 ;}{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}^{1}{ }_{j=0}^{\mathrm{XX}} e^{i j} \mathrm{C}_{3 j+1}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{3 j+2}^{\mathrm{y}} \text {; } \\
& { }_{3}^{y} ;=\frac{1}{2^{P} \frac{1}{N(2+\cos )}}{ }_{j=0}^{1} e^{i j} \\
& c_{3 j 2}^{y}+c_{3 j 1}^{y}+2 c_{3 j}^{y}+c_{3 j+1}^{y}+c_{3 j+2}^{y}:(3 \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Owing to the N -fold degeneracy of the lowest at band, one can use altematively the states $I_{3 j}^{Y} j 0 i, j=$ $0 ; 1 ;::: ; N \quad 1$ instead of the $N$ states $\underset{1}{y}$; j0i. These eigenstates are localized states where an electron is trapped on a diam ond consisting of four sites, $3 j, 3 j+1$, $3 j+3$, and $3 j+2$ ( $j$ enum erates these diam ond traps and varies from 0 to $N \quad 1)$. It is easy to check that $\left.\mathbb{H}_{0}+\quad{ }_{i} n_{i} ; I_{3 j}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]="_{1} I_{3 j}^{\mathrm{y}}$. A real-space picture for the state w th $=$ from the dispersive band $"_{2}()$ is as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y}{2} ; \quad j 0 i=p_{2 N}^{1}{ }_{j=0}^{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{X}^{1}}(1)^{j} C_{3 j+1}^{y} \quad C_{3 j+2}^{y} \quad j 0 i: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This eigenstate is not localized on a nite region, but the electron is trapped on the tw o legs the sites inside the strip (i.e., num bers $3 j, 3 j+3$, etc.) do not appear in $\frac{y}{2}$; j0i]. In what follow s we call the state $\frac{y}{2}$; joi the trapped tw o-leg state. W em ay also introduce upper-and
low er-leg states

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{u}^{y}-j 0 i=P_{\overline{2}}^{1} \underset{2}{\frac{y}{2} ; \quad \text { y } \quad j 0 i ; ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=P \frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{j=0}^{L X}(1)^{j} Y_{3 j}^{Y}: \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

O bviously, the electron in the eigenstate $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{Oi}}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}^{y} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}\right)$ is trapped on the upper (low er) leg.

> C. K agom e chain II

Finally, we consider the kagome chain II ( $F$ ig. 1 l ) which consists of $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=5$ cells, each cell contains ve sites. A gain standard transform ations lead to the diagonal form of the tight-binding $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& "_{1}()=2 t+; \\
& n_{2}()=t^{p} \overline{2+2 \cos }+; \\
& "_{3}()=t t^{p} \overline{3+2 \cos }+\text {; } \\
& "_{4}()=t^{p} \overline{2+2 \cos }+; \\
& "_{5}()=t+t^{p} \overline{3+2 \cos }+ \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $=2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{N}=2<\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{N}=2$. T he state w ith the energy $"_{2}(=0)$ (it does exist for odd and even $N$ ) touches the at band. The -dependent singleelectron states are given by $\underset{p}{\mathrm{y}}$; $j 0 i, p=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5$. For the sake of brevity we give ${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{y}}$; j0i for the low est-energy band, only

$$
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{y}{1 ;}_{y}^{P} \frac{1}{2 N(3 \cos )} \\
I_{j=0}^{y} e^{1} e^{i j} I_{5 j}^{y} ; \\
I_{5 j}^{y}=C_{5 j}^{y} \quad C_{5 j+1}^{y} \quad C_{5 j+2}^{y}+C_{5 j+3}^{y}+C_{5 j+4}^{y} \quad C_{5 j+5}^{y}:(3.8)
\end{gathered}
$$

The corresponding localized states are given by $I_{5 j}^{Y} j 0$ i, $j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; N \quad 1$, where an electron is trapped on a hexagon consisting of six sites, $5 j ; 5 j+1 ; 5 j+3 ; 5 j+$ $5 ; 5 j+4 ; 5 j+2$ ( $j$ enum erates these hexagon traps and varies from 0 to $N \quad 1)$. It is easily veri ed that $\mathbb{H}_{0}+$ $\left.{ }_{i} n_{i} ; Y_{5 j}^{Y}\right]="_{1} I_{5 j}^{Y}$. A real-space picture for the state $=0$ from the dispersive band $"_{2}()$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{2 ; 0}{y} j 0 i=\frac{1}{2}_{P^{\frac{N}{N}}}^{j=0} C_{j j+1}^{y} \quad C_{5 j+2}^{y} \quad C_{5 j+3}^{y}+C_{5 j+4}^{y} \quad j 0 i: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the kagom e chain I the electron in this eigenstate is trapped on the two legs. A gain in what follow s we
call the state $\underset{2}{y} ; 0$ joi the trapped tw o-leg state. A gain we $m$ ay introduce upper- and low er-leg states

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{u}^{y}-j 0 i=P_{\overline{2}}^{1} \underset{2 ; 0}{y} \quad \text { y } \quad j 0 i ; \\
& L_{1}^{y} j 0 i=P_{\overline{2}}^{1} \underset{2 ; 0}{y}+\quad y \quad j 0 i ; \\
& y=\frac{P}{2}_{2^{N}}^{X=0} I_{j j}^{1}: \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

O bviously, the electron in the eigenstate $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{O} i}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}^{Y} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{Oi}\right)$ is trapped on the upper (low er) leg.

## D . Trapped states and destructive interference. The geom etrical perspective

It is usefiul to discuss the appearance of localized electron states from a geom etrical point of view. As discussed above, for all three lattioes we can easily single out a sm all area of the lattice which plays the role of a \trapping cell", nam ely a V-valley for the saw tooth chain, a diam ond for the kagom e chain $I$, and a hexagon for the kagom e chain II (see m arked regions in Fig. (1). Solving the single-electron problem for the trap one nds the low est-energy eigenfunction / $\quad a_{i} c_{i}^{y}$ joi w ith $a_{1}=1$, $\mathrm{a}_{2}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{p}_{-}=1$ (saw tooth chain, the corresponding energy is $\left.\overline{2} t^{0}<0\right), a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{4}=1$ (kagom e chain $I$, the corresponding energy is $2 t<0$ ), or $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{4}=a_{5}=a_{6}=1$ (kagome chain II, the corresponding energy is $2 t<0$ ). A crucial point is that the schem e ofthe bonds connecting the trapping cell w ith the rest should prevent the escape of the localized electron from the trap, i.e., the constructed oneelectron (localized) state should rem ain an eigenstate of the H am iltonian (1.1) on the in nite lattioe, It is easy to show that a su cient condition for this is ${ }_{i} t_{r ; i} a_{i}=0$, where the sum runs over all sites i of a trapping cell and $r$ is an arbitrary site which does not belong to the trap, see also Refs. 26 and 12. Indeed, the above condition is ful lled if an anbitrary bond belonging to the trap and the bonds attached to the two sites of this bond in the trap form such a triangle that the electron am plitude on all sites outside the trapping cell is zero (destructive quantum interference). N ote that a sim ilar localization m echanism can be caused by a m agnetic eld for tightbinding electrons in two-dim ensional structures, where the wave packet is bounded in A haronov-B ohm cages due to destructive interference for particular values of the $m$ agnetic ux. 47

Interestingly, also the (extended) upper-and low er-leg states (3.6), (3.10) $t$ to this geom etrical picture, if we interpret each of the two legs as a regular polygon (w ith an even number of sites). Then again two neighboring sites of the polygon are surrounded by equilateral triangles which prevent the electron to escape from the leg. Thus, for the kagom e chains we have N localized states
located on diam onds or hexagons and, in addition, tw o states trapped on the legs (upper-leg state and low er-leg state), i.e., in totalN + 2 localized states. (N ote that for the saw tooth chain such additional states do not exist.) H ow ever, these N + 2 localized states are not linearly independent, since there is one linear relation betw een them, $\mathrm{L}_{1}^{y} j \mathrm{jOi} \quad \mathrm{L}_{u}^{y}-j \mathrm{Oi}={ }^{P} \overline{2}{ }^{y}-j 0 i$, where $L_{1}^{y}, L_{u}^{y}$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ are given in Eq. (3.6) or in Eq. (3.10) (see also the general discussion of linear independence in A ppendix B). A s a result, there are only $\mathrm{N}+1$ linearly independent localized single-electron states. T hese sim ple argum ents are in perfect agreem ent $w$ ith the $m$ ore detailed calculations presented in Sec.IIIB and Sec.IIIC.
IV.TRAPPED ELECTRON GROUND STATES
FOR U $>0$

In the previous section, we have found sim ple highly degenerate localized one-particle ground states of noninteracting spinless electrons which are created by operators $1_{2 j}^{Y}$, $I_{3 j}^{Y}$, or $I_{5 j}^{Y}$, see Eqs. (32), (3.4), or (3.8) [and also by $\frac{y}{2}$; or ${ }_{2}^{y}$;0 operators for the kagom e chains, see Eqs. (3.5) or (3.9) ]. It is straightforw ard to create a set of $n$-electron ground states $w$ ith $1<n \quad N$ for the saw tooth chain and $1<n \quad N+1$ for the kagome chains by applying $n$ di erent (i.e., attached to di erent trapping cells) operators $I_{2 j}^{Y}, I_{3 j}^{Y}$, or $I_{5 j}^{Y}$ [for the kagom e chain I (II) we m ay also apply the operator $\frac{y}{2} ;\left(\begin{array}{c}\left.\left.\frac{y}{2} ; 0\right)\right] \text {. The }\end{array}\right.$ energy of these states is $n "_{1}$, and their degeneracy grow $s$ exponentially w the system size.

N ow we retum to the spinful case of interacting electrons, i.e., $\mathrm{U}>0$ in Eq. (1.1). C learly the inclusion of the spin does not change the energy for $U=0$ but increases the degeneracy. Let us denote the degeneracy at $U=0$ of the ground states of $n$ electrons by $g_{N}^{(0)}(n)$. O bviously, one has $g_{N}^{(0)}(n)=\underset{\substack{2 n_{m a x} \\ n}}{\substack{n}} \quad n_{m a x}$, where $n_{m a x}=N$ for the saw tooth chain and odd N kagom e chain I , but $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}=\mathrm{N}+1$ for even -N kagom e chain I and kagom e chain II.

First of allwe note that the H ubbard interaction in Eq. (1.1) is a positive sem ide nite operator and hence it can only increase the eigenvalues of the H am iltonian (1.1). On the other hand, am ong the huge num ber of localized $n$-electron states being ground states for $U=0$ there is a considerable fraction of states which do not feel the H ubbard interaction term and thus they rem ain ground states $w$ th the $U$-independent energy $n "_{1}$ for $U>0$. H ow ever, it is evident that the ground-state degeneracy, $g_{N}(n)$, should decrease as $U>0$ is switched on, i.e., $g_{N}(n)<g_{N}^{(0)}(n)$. W e will consider the cases of the saw tooth chain and of the kagom e chains in $m$ ore detail separately.


FIG. 2: (C olor online) Ilhustration of a multi-cluster state. For each cluster the total spin of the cluster can be ipped independently by apply ing the cluster spin-ip operator $S_{n_{\mathrm{i}}}=$ $\sum_{j 2 \text { cluster } n_{i}} C_{j ; \#}^{y} C_{j ; "}$.

## A. Saw tooth chain and localized ground states

Let us recall that for a localized state an electron w ith arbitrary spin $=" ; \#$ is trapped on three contiguous sites ( $V$-shaped trapping cell). It is evident that $n$-electron states where the electrons (independently of their spins) are located in disconnected $V$-valleys (i.e., $V$-valleysw ithout com $m$ on sites) are ground states in the $n$-electron subspace having the energy $n{ }_{1}$. T he explicit expression
 $8{ }^{1} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{j} \quad 2$.

H ow ever, these states do not exhaust all ground states in the subspace $w$ ith $n$ electrons. A nother type of ground states consists of $n$ trapped electrons all with identical spin occupying a chuster ofn contiguous $V$-valleys, e.g.,

Since the interaction term is inactive, th is is stillan eigenstate of the H am iltonian (1.1) w ith eigenvalue $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{H}_{1}$. N ow we take into account the SU (2)-invariance of the Hub bard model (1.1), i.e., $[S ; H]=\left[S^{+} ; H\right]=\left[S^{z} ; H\right]=0$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S={ }^{X} C_{C_{i ; \#}^{y} C_{i ; "} ;}^{X^{i}}{ }^{S^{+}}={ }_{C_{i ; "} C_{i ; \#} ;}^{i} \\
& S^{z}=\frac{1}{2}_{i}^{X}\left(C_{i ; "}^{y} C_{i ; "} \quad C_{i ; \#}^{y} C_{i ; \#}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

R epeated application of the spin-low ering operators to the state (4.1) yields $2 \mathrm{~s}+1=\mathrm{n}+1$ com ponents of the spin-( $n=2$ ) multiplet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S \quad{ }^{m} I_{2 j_{1} ; "}^{Y}::: I_{2\left(j_{1}+n\right.}^{Y} \quad 1\right) ; " j 0 i ; \quad m=0 ; 1 ;::: ; n: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, all these states have the sam e energy n " ${ }_{1}$. U sing the com mutation relation

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S & ; \tag{4.4}
\end{array} I_{2 j ; "}^{Y}\right]=\right]_{2 j ; \#}^{Y} ;
$$

one can easily $w$ rite dow $n$ the explicit expressions for the states (4.3) in tem s of operators $1_{2 j}^{Y}$ only.

To construct the rem aining ground states in the subspace w th $n$ electrons $w$ th the energy $n "_{1}$ we consider
now multi-cluster states 11 W e consider all possible splittings of $n$ into a sum $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+::: ; n_{i}>0$ such that clusters of $n_{i}$ consecutive occupied $V$-valleys are separated by at least one em pty valley, see Fig. 2 for an exam ple. Starting from a fully spin-polarized $m$ ulti-cluster state we can now independeptly act $w$ ith the cluster spinlow ering operators, $S_{n_{i}}=\quad j 2$ cluster $n_{i} C_{j ; \#}^{y} C_{j ; "}$, where $j$ runs over all sites in the cluster $n_{i}$. This yields $m$ anyelectron ground states which are products of the multiplet com ponents in each cluster.

In order to compute the ground-state degeneracy $g_{N}(n)$, we now need to com pute the num ber $D_{N}(n)$ of states which are constructed in them annerw hich we just described. This is com plicated a bit by the non-trivial com ponents of the SU (2)-multiplets consisting of linear com binations of products of localized $m$ any-electron states. H ow ever, all coe cients of these linear com binations are positive and one can choose one state to represent the com plete linear com bination, for exam ple, the onewhere allspins $=$ " are at the left ofeach $n$ contiguously occupied $V$-valleys and the $=\#$ are at the right of the clusters. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~T}$ his reduces the counting problem to counting the number of con gurations $Z(n ; N)$ of three states in the trapping cells, nam ely em pty (0) and occupied w ith $=" ; \#$, sub ject to the constraint that no "-state is allowed to appear as the right neighbor of a \#-state. This combinatorial problem can be solved directly w th a 33 transfer $m$ atrix, yielding the canonical partition functions Z ( $n ; N$ ) (see A ppendix $\mathbb{A}$ ). O ne sm all additional step then yields $D_{N}(n)$ : in the sector w th $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ and for periodic boundary conditions, there are only two allowed con gurations according to the rules which we just described whereas the SU (2)multiplet has $N+1$ com ponents (Tasaki's ferrom agnetic ground states). Hence, in the sector w ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ and for periodic boundary conditions we need to add N 1 con gurations by hand. Putting all this together, we obtain the ground-state degeneracy of the $m$ any-electron con gurationswith $n \quad N$ electrons localized in $N$ traps

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{N}(n) & =D_{N}(n) ; \\
D_{N}(n) & =Z(n ; N)+\left(\mathbb{N} \quad \text { 1) } n_{n ; N}:\right. \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

A s described above, the last term, $(\mathbb{N}$ 1) $n ; N$, ensures the correct counting in the sector $n=N$. N ote further$m$ ore that by w riting $g_{N}(n)=D_{N}(n)$ we have im plicitly assum ed that the construction sketched at the beginning of this sections yields all ground states. This is indeed the case for the saw tooth chain ${ }^{11}$ see also below .

An altemative way to com pute $Z(n ; N)$ has been described in $R$ ef. 11: the com binatorialproblem of the three states $0,=" ; \#$ in $N$ traps can be $m$ apped to a harddim er problem on an auxiliary simple chain w th 2 N sites. In this $m$ apping, one associates 2 sites to each $V$ valley in order to accom $m$ odate either one spin pro jection such that the aforem entioned constraint that no "-state is allow ed to appear as the right neighbor of a \#-state and the constraint that double-occupancy of a $V$-valley is forbidden $m$ ap to hard-dim er exclusion rules. This auxiliary
hard-dim er problem on 2 N sites has been solved w ith a 22 transferm atrix ${ }^{11}$ and therefore wem ay also refer to the trapped electron con gurations as \hard-dim er congurations". O f course, the di erent ways of com puting $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N})$ are com pletely equivalent.

In what follow s , we call m any-electron ground states, which are constructed only from the localized singleelectron states trapped in a V-valley \hard-dim er states". Their degeneracy $D_{N}(n)$ is given by the second line of Eq. (4.5). The hard-dim er states are the only ground states for the saw tooth chain and for the kagom e chain I w ith an odd num ber of cells N . For the kagom e chain I $w$ th an even num ber of cells $N$ as well as for the kagom $e$ chain II in addition to the hard-dim er states we have to consider also $m$ any-electron ground states which involve an extended single-electron state $w$ th the at-band energy " 1 \{ the two-leg state [see Eqs. (3.5) and (3.9] ].

## B . K agom e chains and trapped ground states involving tw o-leg states

N ow we consider the ground states in the subspace w ith $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2::: ; \mathrm{N}+1$ electrons for the case $w$ hen the two-leg state com es into play (periodic kagom e chain I $w$ th even number of cells N or periodic kagom e chain II). Repeating the argum ents elaborated for the saw tooth chain, for $n=1 ; 2 ;::: ; N$ we can construct hard-dim er states the num ber ofw hich is given in Eq. (4.5). H ow ever, the hard-dim er states do not exhaust all m any-electron ground states for $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$. Indeed, in the subspace w th $\mathrm{n}=1$ electron we have in addition tw o ground states $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{2}$; $;$ j0i, $=" ; \#$ for the kagom e chain I [see Eq.
 Eq. (3.9) ].

W hat happens if $\mathrm{n}>1$ ? C onsider the case $\mathrm{n}=2$. W e can construct ground states using the two-leg state as follow s. A ssum e both electrons have the sam e spin polarization and the rst one is localized w thin 1 of N (diam ond or hexagon) cells whereas the second one is in a two-leg state. Obviously this is the ground state w ith the energy $2 "_{1}$. M ore states can be generated applying $S$ two tim es. Thus, the degeneracy of the constructed ground state is 3 N .

Interestingly, in the subspace w th $\mathrm{n}=2$ electrons there is one $m$ ore possibility to construct an eigenstate of the interacting H am iltonian (1.1) w th the energy 2 " $_{1}$. Recall that the electron in the state $L_{u}^{y}$ jOi [see Eq. (3.6) or Eq. (3.10) is located along the upper leg, whereas the electron in the state $L_{1}^{Y}$ joi [see Eq. (3.6) or Eq. (3.10)] is located along the low er leg. Therefore, even if tw o electrons being in these states have opposite spins, they do not feel the $H$ ubbard repulsion ( no com m on sites) and the energy of this tw o-electron state rem ains $2 "_{1}$. Thus, we nd the follow ing (extra) state in the subspace w ith $\mathrm{n}=2$ electrons for the periodic kagom e chains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jextrai }=\mathrm{L}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{u} ;}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad j 0 \mathrm{j} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{u}^{y}, L_{1}^{y}$ are de ned by Eq. 3.6) for the periodic kagom e chain I and by Eq. (3.10) for the periodic kagom e chain II.

Consider next the subspace w ith $\mathrm{n}=3$ electrons. A gain we can assum e three electrons to have the same spin, two of which are localized within 2 of N cells whereas the third one is in the two-leg state with the at-band energy. O bviously this is the ground state with the energy $3 "_{1}$. M ore states can be generated applying $S$ three tim es. Thus, the degeneracy of the constructed ground state is $4{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}$. P roceeding with such argum ents for $\mathrm{n}=4 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}+1$ electrons we can easily construct the ground states which involve the tw o-leg state. O bviously, it is easy to count their num ber which is equal to $(\mathrm{n}+1)_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{N}} 1$.
W e w ish to em phasize here that for the kagom e chains (kagom e I w ith even N or kagom e II chains) we have constructed the ground state in the subspace $w$ th $\mathrm{n}=$ $\mathrm{N}+1$ electrons, which has the energy $(\mathbb{N}+1)$ " , starting from the fiully polarized state $w$ ith $N$ electrons occupying diam ond or hexagon trapping cells, respectively, and 1 electron being in the two-leg state, and then applying the $S$ operator $N+1$ times. The degeneracy of the constructed ground state is $\mathrm{N}+2$.

Sum $m$ ing up, we have arrived at the follow ing form ula for the degeneracy of the ground states in the subspaces w ith $\mathrm{n} N+1$ electrons for the periodic kagom e chain I w ith an even num ber of cells $N$ or the periodic kagom e chain II:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{N}(n)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n ; N+1
\end{array}\right) D_{N}(n)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n ; 0
\end{array}\right) L_{N}(n) ; \\
& L_{N}(n)=(n+1) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}\right.$ for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{n})$, whereas $\mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}+1$ for $\left.L_{N}(n)\right]$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { C. Som e properties of the ground states for } \\
n \quad n_{m a x}
\end{gathered}
$$

A s we have explained above, the ground states in the subspaces $w$ th $n \quad n_{m}$ ax electrons can be constructed either from hard-dim er states only (saw tooth, kagom e I w ith odd $N, n_{m a x}=N$ ) or from hard-dim er states and the two-leg state (kagom e I w th even N , kagom e II, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{N}+1$ ) and the degeneracy of the ground state $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{n})$ in the subspace w ith $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax electrons can be determ ined either according to Eq. (4.5) (saw tooth, kagom e Iw ith odd N ) or according to Eq. 4.7) (kagom e I w ith even $N$, kagom e II). For the calculation of $Z(n ; N$ ), see A ppendix $A$.

Fig. 3 illustrates the di erence in the ground-state degeneracy $g_{N}(n)$ conditioned by the ground states involving two-leg states. W e take as exam ples $\mathrm{N}=7$ and 8. The saw tooth chain never has two-leg state contributions, the kagom e chain II alw ays has tw o-leg state contributions, and for the kagom e chain I the tw o-leg state


FIG.3: (C olor online) G round-state degeneracies for $\mathrm{n}=$ $1 ;::: ; n_{m}$ ax for the saw tooth chain $(\mathbb{N}=7$, em pty diam onds; $N=8$, em pty squares), the kagom e chain $I(N=7$, em pty diam onds; $\mathrm{N}=8$, lled squares), and the kagom e chain II ( $\mathrm{N}=7$, lled diam onds; $\mathrm{N}=8$, lled squares).
contributions appear only for even N. C onsequently, for $\mathrm{N}=8$, the degeneracies of the two kagom e chains are identicalw hereas for $\mathrm{N}=7$ the degeneracy ofthe kagom e chain $I$ is identical to that of the saw tooth chain. $F$ irstly, we observe that the degeneracies increase rapidly w ith $N$ (note the logarithm ic scale of the vertical axis of F ig.(3) . Secondly, there is an obvious contribution of the two-leg states.

Next, we discuss som e general properties of the constructed $m$ any-electron ground states in the subspaces w th $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax electrons. A s we have m entioned already, these states having the energy $n "_{1}$ as in the case $U=0$ are indeed the low est-energy (ground) statesfor $U>0$ since the $H$ ubbard interaction term $H$ int $=U \quad{ }_{i} n_{i ;} n_{i ; \#}$ in Eq. [1.1] is a positive sem ide nite operator and can only increase energies.

A nother less sim ple question concems com pleteness of the constructed ground states. In other words, are the constructed ground states the only ground states? H ere we use num erics for nite system $s$ to check com pleteness. E xact diagonalization data for the saw tooth chain $w$ ith $\mathrm{N}=6 ; 8 ; 10 ; 12 ; 14 ; 16$, the kagom e chain I w ith $\mathrm{N}=$ $9 ; 12 ; 15 ; 18 ; 21 ; 24$, and the kagom e chain II w th $\mathrm{N}=$ 15; 20; 25; 30 are in perfect agreem ent $w$ ith predictions of Sections IV A and IV B, com pare also Fig. 3. These num erical ndings are supported by general argum ents: W e know that the localized states (inchuding the tw o-leg states) are complete for $U=0$. Adding a nite $U$, a part of these states $w$ ill have higher energy, but no new ground states will appear, since $U$ can only increase the energy.

W e have also estim ated the energy gap between the ground state and the rst excited state $n$ in the subspace w th n electrons. For N ! 1 we have $1=$
$"_{2}() \quad "_{1}=2 t$ (saw tooth chain) and $1_{1}=0$ (kagome chains). A ssum ing U ! 1 we found for nite system sof $\mathrm{N}=30$ sites $20: 4044$ (saw tooth) but 2000689 (kagom e I) and 2 0:0584 (kagom e II). T hese data give a hint that the excitation gap for nite kagom e chains is much sm aller than for the saw tooth chain. A nite-size extrapolation to $N$ ! 1 for xed electron density $n=N$ suggests a vanishing gap in the them odynam ic lim it even for the saw tooth chain. N ote, how ever, that in realm aterials w ith chain structure im purities and other lattice im perfections are alw ays present and therefore one deals in practioe $w$ th (an ensemble of) nite chains having a gap to the excited states.

W ith respect to the contribution of the trapped ground states (including the two-leg states) to therm odynam ic quantities the question arises whether these states for a given $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax are linearly independent. Their linear independence can be shown follow ing the lines of $R$ ef. 48 , for $m$ ore details see A ppendix B.

> V. LOW - TEMPERATURE THERMODYNAM ICS

## A. C ontribution of trapped electron states

A s shown in the preceding section, for all three onedimensional lattioes considered the ground states of the $H$ ubbard model (1.1) in the subspaces $w$ th $n=$ $0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax electrons have the energy $\mathrm{n} "_{1}$ and the degeneracy $g_{N}(n)$ [see Eqs. (4.5), 4.7)]. N ow if the chem icalpotential of electrons is around 0 ( $0=2 t$ for all three $m$ odels) the constructed ground states in the subspaces w ith $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax electrons w ill dom inate the grand-canonical partition function at low tem peratures due to their huge degeneracy, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (T; ; } \mathrm{N}) \quad \text { GS ( } \mathrm{T} \text {; ; } \mathrm{N} \text { ) } \\
& =g_{n=0}^{n \operatorname{tax}} g_{N}(n) \exp \frac{n \|_{1}}{T} \\
& \mathrm{n}=0 \\
& =\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{X}=0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{n}) \exp \frac{\mathrm{n}(0 \quad)}{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \mathrm{n}=0 \\
& { }^{\text {nd }} \text { ax } \\
& =\quad g_{N}(n) z^{n} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

w here $\mathrm{z}=\exp \mathrm{x}$ is the activity and $\mathrm{x}=(0 \quad)=\mathrm{T}$.
C onsider rst the saw tooth chain and the kagom echain Iw ith an odd num ber oftrapping cells N , i.e., allground states correspond to hard-dim er states. Inserting Eq. (4.5) into Eq. (5.1) we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{GS}(\mathrm{~T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}) & =\operatorname{trap}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N})+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{N}} ; \\
\operatorname{trap}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N}) & =\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} Z(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N}):
\end{align*}
$$

Calculating $\operatorname{trap}(z ; N)$ with the help of the transfer$m$ atrix $m$ ethod ${ }^{49}$ (see A ppendix $\mathbb{A}$ ) we get the follow ing equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{GS}(\mathrm{~T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}) & ={ }_{+}^{\mathrm{N}}+{ }^{\mathrm{N}}+\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{3} ; \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{1}{4}+\mathrm{z}} ; \\
3 & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N} & 1)^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}} \mathrm{z}:
\end{array},\right. \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This is an im portant result, since it allow s to calculate the contribution of all the ground states described by hard dim ers to therm odynam ic quantities explicitly.

C onsider next the kagom echain Iw ith an even num ber of trapping cells N and the kagom e chain II. Insertion of Eq. (4.7) into Eq. (5.1) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
G S(T ; & ; N) \\
& =\operatorname{trap}(z ; N)+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) z^{N}  \tag{5.4}\\
& +X_{n=1}^{N+1}(n+1) n^{N} \quad z^{n}+z^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

H ere the rst two term $s$ account for the $m$ any-electron con gurations associated to the N trapping cells, cf. Eq. (5.2), whereas the third and the fourth term are due to the tw o-leg state. A fter sim ple calculations we get the follow ing nal result

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G S(T ; ; N)={ }_{+}^{N}+{ }^{N}+{ }_{3}^{N}+\begin{array}{l}
N
\end{array}{ }_{4}^{N}+{ }_{5}^{N}+{ }_{6}^{N} ; \\
4=(2 z)^{\frac{1}{N}}(1+z) ; \quad 5=\left(\mathbb{N} z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}(1+z)^{\frac{N}{N}} ; 6=z^{\frac{2}{N}} \tag{5.5}
\end{array}
$$

[ and 3 are de ned in Eq. 5.3) ].
The entropy $S(T ; i N)=@(T ; i N)=@ T$, the grand-canonical speci c heat $C(T ; i N)$ $\mathrm{T} @ \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~T} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N})=@ \mathrm{~T}$, and the average num ber of electrons $\overline{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{T} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N})=$ @ (T; N )=@ follow from Eqs. (5.3), (5.5) and the formula for the grand-therm odynam ical potential ( $\mathrm{T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}$ ) $=\mathrm{T} \ln (\mathrm{T} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N})$.

A though explicit form ulas for these them odynam ic quantities for nite system s are too cum bersom e to be written down explicitly here, it $m$ ight be useful to consider som e lim iting cases:
(i) For T $=0$ and $<0$ we have $z$ ! 1 and consequently we nd for the residual entropy $S(; N)=$ $\ln (\mathbb{N}+1)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{n}}(; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$ hard-dim er states only, cf. Eq. (5.3)] or $S(; N)=\ln (\mathbb{N}+2)$ and $\bar{n}(; N)=N+1$ [hard-dim er states and two-leg states, cf. Eq.(5.5) ].
(ii) For $\mathrm{T}=0$ and $>0$ we have z ! 0 which leads to $\mathrm{S}(; \mathrm{N})=0$ and $\overline{\mathrm{n}}(; \mathrm{N})=0$.
(iii) At $=0$ we have $z=1$ for all $T$ and we nd for the residualentropy $S(0 ; N)=\ln f\left[\left(3+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{5}\right)=2\right]^{N}+[(3$ $\overline{5})=2]^{N}+N \quad 1 g$ hard-dim er states onfy, cf. Eq. (5.3) ] $\operatorname{orS}(0 ; N)=\operatorname{lnf}\left[\left(3+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{5}\right)=2\right]^{N}+\left[\left(3 \quad \mathrm{P}^{-} \frac{5}{5}\right)=2\right]^{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{N}+$ $2^{\mathrm{N}+1}+\mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~g}$ 【hard-dim er states and two-leg states, cf. Eq.(5.5) ]. M oreover, C (T; $0 ; \mathrm{N}$ ) $=0$ for any tem perature independently of the system size.
$N$ ote, nally, that the conventional (canonical) speci c


FIG. 4: (Color online) Canonical residual entropy $\left.S(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}=\ln \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{n})\right]=\mathrm{N}$ versus $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ for system sizes $\mathrm{N}=32 ; 64 ; 128 ; 256 ; 512 ; 1024$.
heat $C(T ; n ; N)$ fora xed number ofelectrons $n \quad n_{m}$ ax is identically zero $w$ ithin the localized-state description.

In the them odynam ic lim it N ! 1 only the largest term, i.e., ${ }_{+}^{N}$, survives in the expressions (5.3) and (5.5) for the partition function ${ }^{50}$ GS (T; ;N) and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{GS}(\mathrm{~T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N})=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\exp \frac{2 \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~T}}^{2 \mathrm{~N}} \text {; } \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for all three considered one-dim ensional lattices. The only di erences consist in the relation betw een the number of cells N and the lattice size N $\mathbb{N}=N=2, N=N=3$, and $N=N=5$ for the saw tooth chain, the kagom e chain $I$, and the kagom e chain II, respectively). Explicit form ulas for these them odynam ic quantities can be obtained easily from the sim ple expression (5.6) for GS (T; ;N). For instance, the residualentropy for $N$ ! 1 is given by $S(0 ; N)=N=$ $\ln [(3+P \overline{5})=2]=0: 96242:::$, i.e., the ground-state degeneracy grow s asym ptotically w ith the system size according to ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{2 N}$, where interestingly the golden $m$ ean $'=\left(1+{ }^{\prime}\right)=2$ enters the expression.

U sing the transfer-m atrix representation we can also calculate num erically the (canonical) residual entropy $\left.S(n ; N)=N=\ln \mathbb{D}_{N}(n)\right]=N$ related to the hard-dim er states for certain xed values of the electron number $n$ for $N$ up to 1024. T he results are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 4]. From Fig. 4 it is obvious that the largest degeneracy is found for n around $\mathrm{N}=2$. The extrapolation to N ! 1 yields $\left.\lim _{N}!1 \ln \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2)\right]=\mathrm{N} \quad 0: 955$, which is very close to the grand-canonical residual entropy at $=0$, see above. Indeed, the $m$ axim um of $\lim _{N}!1 \quad S(n ; N)=N$ should reproduce the grand-canonical residual entropy, albeit w ith slow nite-size convergence.


F IG . 5: (C olor online) A verage num ber of electrons in the ground state $\bar{n}(0 ; i N)=N$ versus chem icalpotential $=0$ for the saw tooth chain $\mathbb{N}=12$ (solid), $\mathrm{N}=20$ (long-dashed)], the kagome chain $I \mathbb{N}=18$ (short-dashed)], the kagom e chain II $\mathbb{N}=20$ (dotted)] with U ! 1. The result for $\mathrm{n}(0$; ; N$)=\mathrm{N}$ which follow s from Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5) is given by ( $1 \quad 0$ ) and $[(\mathbb{N}+1)=\mathrm{N}](1 \quad=0)$, respectively.
B. C om parison w ith exact diagonalization

T he above predictions are expected to be valid at low tem peratures and for around 0 . In order to verify these expectations and exam ine the region of validity $m$ ore precisely, we have perform ed com plem entary exact diagonalization of the full $\mathrm{H} u b b a r d \mathrm{H}$ am iltonian (1.1). $F$ irst, we perform a sym $m$ etry reduction of the problem. In particular, we use separate conservation of the num ber ofelectronsw ith a given spin pro jection $n "$ and $n \#$ as well as translational invariance. G round states can then be obtained w th the help of the Lanczos recursion ${ }^{51,52}$ In order to obtain them odynam ic properties, we perform a full diagonalization in each sym $m$ etry subspace using a library routine. The problem sim pli es a bit in the lim it U ! 1 where states $w$ ith doubly occupied sites can be elim inated from the H ibert space. N evertheless, a full diagonalization of the com plete problem can only be perform ed for $s m$ all $N$. Low tem perature approxim ations for som ew hat bigger $N$ can be obtained by om itting certain sectors of $n$.

Fig.5 show s exact diagonalization data for the average num ber of electrons per cell $\bar{n}(T ; i N)=N$ at $T=0$ as a function of the chem icalpotential $=0$ for the saw tooth chain $(\mathbb{N}=12 ; 20)$, the kagom e chain $I(\mathbb{N}=18)$, and the kagom e chain II $(\mathbb{N}=20)$.

In $F$ igs. 6 and 7 we show exact diagonalization data for the temperature dependence of the entropy per œell $S(\mathrm{~T} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$ at $=0: 980 ; 0 ; 1: 020$ [panels (a)] and the tem perature dependence of the grandcanonical speci c heat per cell $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T}$; ; N ) $=\mathrm{N}$ at $=$ $0: 98$ 0; 0; 1:02 o [panels (b)] for the saw tooth chain
$(\mathbb{N}=12)$ and the kagom e chain $I(\mathbb{N}=9)(F$ ig.6) and for the kagom e chain $I(\mathbb{N}=12)$ and the kagom e chain $I I$ $(\mathbb{N}=15)$ ( $F$ ig. 7 ) w ith U ! 1 . W e also report analyticalpredictions for nite N [obtained from Eqs. 5.3) and (5.5)] as w ell for in nite N [obtained from Eq. (5.6)].

From Fig.5we see that in the ground state the average num ber ofelectronsper celln ( T ; ; N ) =N as function of $=0$ exhibits a jump at $=0=1$. This jump is related to the fact that all ground states with $0 \quad n \quad n_{m a x}$ are degenerate at $=0$. For $N$ ! 1 the jump is of height unity. For $=0<1$ a plateau appears, see Fig. 5. T he plateau w idth (i.e., the charge gap) increases as U increases and rapidly approaches a saturation value for all three nite lattices (for the nite saw tooth chains w ith $\mathrm{N}=12 ; 16 ; 20$ such data were reported in F ig. 1b of Ref. (11). W hat happens w th the plateau w idth as N increases? For the saw tooth chain the plateau w idth is alm ost independent of N (com pare the results for $\mathrm{N}=$ 12;16; 20 in Fig. 1b of Ref. 11). For U ! 1 we can also nd the exact ground state for $n=n_{m}$ ax +1 , see Sec.V IC, which allow s to determ ine the size-independent plateau width $=2 t$. By contrast, for the kagome chains the plateau disappears as N ! 1 .

From Figs. 6 and 7 (tem perature dependences of entropy and speci cheat) we see that analyticalpredictions for nite $N$ as they follow from Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5) perfectly reproduce the exact diagonalization data at low tem peratures. N ote, how ever, that for nite N the deviation from the hard-dim er description is notioeable, although in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it N ! 1 Eqs. (5.3) and (5.5) im ply the one-dim ensional hard-dim er behavior. F in ite-size e ects are clearly seen in the panels corresponding to $=0: 98$ 。(Figs. 6 and 7) and to $=0$ and
$=1: 020$ ( F ig.77). The m ost prom inent features seen in these plots are a nite value of the entropy at very low tem peratures for $=0$ and an extra low-tem perature $m$ axim um in the speci $c$ heat $C(T ; i N)$ for $\mathcal{O}$. $T$ he value of the residual entropy for nite system $s$ as it follow s from analytical predictions based on Eqs. (5.3), (5.5) and exact diagonalization are in perfect agreem ent. A high-tem perature $m$ axim um of $C(T ; i N)=N$ around T $\quad 0$ is comm on for any system $w$ th a nite bandw idth, whereas a low -tem perature peak of ( T ; ; N ) $=\mathrm{N}$ around $T \quad 0: 01$ ofor $j \quad 0 j=0: 020$ em erges due to the $m$ anifold of localized electron states. Indeed, the analyticalpredictions which follow from Eqs. (5.3), (5.5) for nite $N$ are indistinguishable from the $U$ ! 1 exact diagonalization data in the low -tem perature peak region. W e should, how ever, $m$ ention that for $N$ ! 1 the excitation spectrum of the full H ubbard $m$ odel in the m any-electron sectors is $m$ ost likely gapless, in particular for nite values of $U$ (com pare Sec . IV C and $R$ ef. 37b). Such gapless excitations could give rise to quantitative corrections at all tem peratures for $<0$. Indeed such quantitative deviations are visible for instance on nitesize saw tooth chains w ith $=0: 980$ and $U=4 t .11,37$

To dem onstrate the e ect of nite $U$ on tem perature dependences we consider as an exam ple the kagom e chain


FIG. 6: (C olor online) Entropy $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$ and grandcanonical speci cheat $C(T ; i N)=N$ for the saw tooth chain $(\mathbb{N}=12$, triangles) and the kagome chains $I(\mathbb{N}=9$, diam onds) $w$ ith $t=1, U$ ! 1 . (a) $S(T ; i N)=N$ versus temperature $\mathrm{T}=0$ at $=0: 980 ; 0 ; 1: 020$ (from top to bottom). (b) $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$ versus tem perature $\mathrm{T}=0$ at
$=0: 980 ; 0 ; 1: 020$ (from top to bottom). W e also show the hard-dim er result for N ! 1 as it follow s from (5.6) (th in solid lines) as well as the results which follow from Eq. (5.3) for $\mathrm{N}=3$ (dotted lines) and $\mathrm{N}=6$ (dashed lines). N ote that for these system s no additional leg states exist. N ote further that for $=0$ and $=1: 020$ the hard-dim er results for $\mathrm{N}=3,6$, and 1 are indistinguishable.


FIG.7: (C olor online) Entropy $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T} ; \quad$; N ) $=\mathrm{N}$ and grandcanonical speci $c$ heat $C(T ; i N)=N$ for the kagome chain I $(\mathbb{N}=12$, diam onds) and the kagome chain $I I(\mathbb{N}=15$, the sectors w ith up to 7 electrons were taken into account, pentagons) w ith $t=1, \mathrm{U}$ ! 1 . (a) $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T} ; \quad$; N$)=\mathrm{N}$ versus temperature $\mathrm{T}=0$ at $=0: 980 ; 0 ; 1: 020$ (from top to bottom ). (b) $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$ versus temperature $\mathrm{T}=0$ at
$=0: 980 ; 0 ; 1: 020$ (from top to bottom). W e also show the hard-dim er result for N ! 1 as it follow s from (5.6) (th in solid lines) as well as the nite-size results for $\mathrm{N}=3$ (dashdotted lines) and $N=4$ (dotted lines) which follow from Eq. (5.5) and include the contribution of the leg states.


FIG. 8: (Color online) $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$ versus $\mathrm{T}=0$ for the kagome chain $I$ w ith $N=12$ sites and $t=1$ for di erent values of $U \mathbb{U}=1$ (em pty circles), $U=10$ ( lled diam onds), $\mathrm{U}!1$ (em pty diam onds)]. The results for nite $U$ were obtained taking into account the sectors w ith up to 8 electrons. W e also show the result which follows from Eq. (5.5) for $N=4$ (lines).

Iw th $N=12$ sites and show $C(T ; i N)=N$ versus $T=0$ for $U=1 ; 10 ; 1$ (see Fig.8). For nite $U$ the calculation of them odynam ic quantities becom es very time consum ing and therefore we report the contribution of the subspaces w ith up to a certain num ber of electrons which is less than 2 N thus restricting these data to not too high tem peratures. A s can be seen from these data, the features at su ciently low tem perature do not depend on the value of $U>0$. N ote, however, that at $\mathrm{U}=1$ there are som e visible corrections down to tem peratures $T=0=O\left(10{ }^{2}\right)$.

From the experim ental point of view it is im portant to discuss the stability of the features determ ined by localized electron states $w$ th respect to sm all deviations from the ideal lattioe geom etry. T hen the conditions for the existence of at bands are violated, and, as a result, the exact degeneracy of the ground states in the subspaces w th $\mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax electrons is lifted. H ow ever, we are still faced w ith a set of a large num ber of low lying energy levels w hich $m$ ay dom inate low -tem perature therm odynam ics as is around 0 . D eviations from ideal geom etry for the saw tooth chain (i.e., when $t^{0}$ slightly departs from the value ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \mathrm{t}$ ) has been discussed already in $R$ efs. 32b and 37b. Below we consider the kagom e chain I assum ing the hoppings along legs to be slightly di erent from the hoppings along diam onds. Speci cally, we put $t_{d}=1$ along the diam onds and $t_{1}=1: 01$ along the two


FIG. 9: (C olor online) C anonical speci c heat C (T;n;N)=n versus $T$ for ideal ( $t_{d}=t_{L}=1$ ) and distorted ( $t_{d}=1$ and $t_{L}=1: 01$ ) kagom e chains I w ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=6$ electrons; U ! 1 .
legs. In F ig. 9 we report the results for the tem perature dependence of the canonical speci cheat $C(T ; n ; N)=n$ for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2=\mathrm{N}=6$ electrons. For the ideal lattice $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N})=0$ if $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax in the low tem perature regim e ( $F$ ig. 9). A deviation from exact degeneracy ( $t_{d}=1$ t $=1: 01$ ) produces a low-tem perature peak (Fig. 9). This peak indicates a separation of two energy scales, one is related to the $m$ anifold of low-lying trapped states, and the other one to the ordinary extended states. T he position of this extra peak depends on $t_{d} \quad t_{L}$, for instance for $t_{d}=1 \in t_{L}=1: 1$ and $N=24$ the peak is at $T=0: 045$. A though there are nite-size e ects in the height and the position of the peak its existence is not questioned. $W$ e notice that the tem perature dependenœ of the speci c heat $C(T ; n ; N)=n$ is an experim entally accessible quantity and its w ell-pronounced low -tem perature features conditioned by localized electron states for sm all deviations from ideal lattice geom etry $m$ ay increase chances to observe localized electron state e ects.

## VI. MAGNETICPROPERTIES

T he study of ground-state $m$ agnetic properties of the H ubbard $m$ odel (1.1) on the considered lattices is ofgreat interest and has been discussed since the early 1990s. Thus, the saw tooth chain belongs to the one-dim ensional version of Tasaki's lattice. H. Tasaki proved that the ground state of the saw tooth-chain H ubbard m odel is ferrom agnetic and unique [up to the trivialSU (2) degeneracy] if the num ber of electrons $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2$ (saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism ), see $R$ ef. (5a. Later on, num erical studies of saw tooth chains of up to $\mathrm{N}=12$ sites by Y. W atanabe and S. M 亡̇yashita ${ }^{19}$ revealed groundstate ferrom agnetism (saturated and nonsaturated) for
other values ofn. M oreover, it was shown in Ref. 11 that $w$ thin the localized electron picture the $m$ odel exhibits fullpolarization in the ground state for $n=N=2 \quad 1$ electrons (only one-cluster states constitute the set of ground states) and 60\% of the full polarization in the ground state for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2 \quad 2$ electrons if N ! 1 .

The two considered kagome chains are line graphs. This has been pointed out explicitly for the kagom e chain I, 34 where this connection has been known for a while, ${ }^{33}$ albeit using a di erent term inology. A ccording to a general theory elaborated by A. M ielke the repulsive Hubbard $m$ odel on these lattices should have ferrom agnetic ground states for the num ber of electrons $n \quad N=3$ [periodic odd -N kagom e chain I (nonbipartite parent graph)], n $\quad \mathrm{N}=3+1$ [periodic even N kagom e chain I (bipartite parent graph) ], or $n \quad N=5+1$ [periodic kagom e chain II (bipartite parent graph)] (T heorem of Ref. La or Theorem 1 of Ref. 4b). M oreover, according to $A$. M ielke the ferrom agnetic ground state is unique apart from degeneracy due to SU (2) invariance (i.e., saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism ), if $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=3$ (periodic odd N kagom e chain I), $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=3+1$ (periodic even N kagom echain I), or $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=5+1$ (periodic kagom e chain II) (Theorem 2 of $R$ ef. (4b).

To reveal the ferrom agnetic ground states we consider the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{+} \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{z}^{2}}}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the operators $S$ de ned in (42). The average at $T=0, h S^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}$ is given by the equalw eight average over all degenerate ground states for the given num ber of electrons $n$. It satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad \frac{h S^{2} i_{n}}{N^{2}} \quad \frac{S_{m a x}\left(S_{m a x}+1\right)}{N^{2}} ; \quad S_{m a x}=\frac{n}{2}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

If hS ${ }^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}$ achieves its $m$ axim al value the ground state in the subspace $w$ th $n$ electrons is the saturated ferrom agnetic ground state. If $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ has a nonzero value which is less than the $m$ axim al value the ground state in the subspace w ith $n$ electrons contains ferrom agnetic ones and is a nonsaturated ferrom agnetic ground state. To exam ine ground-state $m$ agnetism \{ in particular the existence of ferrom agnetism \{ for therm odynam ically large system s one has to consider the lim it N! 1, n ! 1 preserving $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=$ const.

Below we use the constructed many-electron ground states for $\mathrm{n}=1 ;::: \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax to discuss system atically ground-state ferrom agnetism at electron densities n $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$. W e com plete our analytical argum ents by num erics for nite systems. O ur results are consistent $w$ ith general theorem s of Tasakiand $M$ ielke for $n=n_{m}$ ax and go beyond considering $\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$. M oreover, we also report num erics for higher electron densities $n>n_{m a x}$.

We start w ith a brief overview of our ndings: (i) All ground states are fully polarized (saturated ferrom agnetism ) for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ (all chains) and,
in addition, for $n=N+1$ for the kagom $e$ chain $I I$ and the even -N kagom e chain I. (ii) For sm aller $\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ the ground-statem anifold contains fully and partially polarized as well as param agnetic states. Thus we have $h S^{2} i_{n}<S_{m}$ ax $\left(S_{m a x}+1\right)$. The $m$ agnetic polarization $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}+1\right)$ decays m onotonically w ith increasing $N \quad n, n<N$, see $F$ igs. 10 and 11. For large $N$ the decay becom es very rapid. (iii) W hile for nite system sthere is a nite region ofelectron density $n=N$ where ground-state ferrom agnetism exists, this region shrinks to one param eter point $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=1$ for N ! 1 . (iv) For $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}<1$ the system show s Curie-like behavior with a uniform zero- eld $m$ agnetic susceptibility / T ${ }^{1}$.

In what follow s, we rst discuss separately the case of the system swith hard-dim er ground states only (see Sec.VIA) and the case of the system sw ith ground states which also involve two-leg states (see Sec. VIB). In Sec. VIC we report exact diagonalization data for nite system $s$ at higher electron densities $n>n_{m}$ ax . W e com plete our discussion considering the low-tem perature behavior of the uniform zero- eld $m$ agnetic susceptibility for $n \quad n_{m a x}$ in Sec.VID.

## A. H ard-dim er ground states and ground-state m agnetism

To calculate $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ wem ay further elaborate the 33 transfer-m atrix technique. First, we use SU (2) invariance of the $\mathrm{H} u \mathrm{bb}$ ard m odel (1.1) to write $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ $3 h^{z^{2}} i_{n}$. Second, we notioe that the average over all degenerate ground states for a given number of electrons $n$ is $h S^{z 2} i_{n}=N \quad{ }_{j=0}^{N}{ }^{1} h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{n}$, where $S_{j}^{z}$ is the $z$-com ponent of the spin operator of the trap $j$. T he operator $S_{j}^{z}$ acting on the hard-dim er ground states yields 0 (em pty trap), 1=2 (occupied trap w th spin-up electron), $1=2$ (occupied trap $w$ ith spin-dow $n$ electron). N ext w ith the help of the 3 transfer $m$ atrix we nd the grandcanonical $z z$ correlation function $h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z}$ (the subscript $z$ denotes the activity) yielding the required canonical zz correlation function $\mathrm{h} S_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}_{j}^{\mathrm{z}} i_{\mathrm{n}}$ (see A ppendix A). A s a result we obtain $h^{2}{ }^{2} i_{n}$ for $n=1 ;::: ; N \quad 1 . W$ e recall that $h S^{2} i_{\mathrm{N}}=(\mathbb{N}=2)(\mathbb{N}=2+1)$. An altemative com putation based on a hard-dim erm apping (see A ppendix A) yields the sam e results for $h S^{2} i_{n}, n=1 ;::: ; N$.
$U$ sing the transfer-m atrix $m$ ethod we have calculated $h S^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}, n=1 ;::: ; N$ for system s of up to $N=256$, see F ig. 10. W e obviously have $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m} a \mathrm{x}}+1\right)$ for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ independently of the system size as predicted above. From the data shown in Fig. 10 we see clearly that $h S^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}$ becom es sm aller for any xed $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}, 0<\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}<1$, as N increases (com pare the curves for $\mathrm{N}=8$ and $\mathrm{N}=256$ ). M ore precisely, the curves shown in Fig . 10 suggest $\mathrm{hs}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ! 0 for N ! 1 at $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=$ const. N ote that for saturated ferrom agnetic ground states $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ w ould equal $(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N})^{2}=4$ in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it N ! 1 . In Fig. 10, one observes increasing di erences betw een the


F IG. 10: (C olor online) A verage ground-state m agnetic mo$m$ ent of the saw tooth $H$ ubbard chain: $h^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}$ versus $n=N$ for $N=8 ; 16 ; 32 ; 64 ; 128 ; 256$. For saturated ferrom agnetic ground states $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ w ould equal $(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N})^{2}=4$ (thin line) in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it N ! 1 .

TABLE I: $G$ round-state $h S^{2} i_{n}$ for $n=1 ;::: ; N$ electrons for the saw tooth H ubbard chain.

|  | $\mathrm{n}=1$ | $\mathrm{n}=2$ | $\mathrm{n}=3$ | $\mathrm{n}=4$ | $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | $\mathrm{n}=8$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=2$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{N}=3$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | 2 | $\frac{15}{4}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{N}=4$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{9}{5}$ | $\frac{15}{4}$ | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{N}=5$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{12}{7}$ | $\frac{63}{2}$ | 6 | $\frac{35}{4}$ | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{N}=6$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\frac{81}{28}$ | $\frac{24}{5}$ | $\frac{35}{4}$ | 12 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{N}=7$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{18}{11}$ | $\frac{11}{4}$ | $\frac{30}{7}$ | $\frac{21}{4}$ | 12 | $\frac{63}{4}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{N}=8$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{21}{13}$ | $\frac{117}{44}$ | 4 | $\frac{165}{28}$ | 9 | $\frac{63}{4}$ | 20 |

curve $(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N})^{2}=4$ and the data for $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ which approaches zero for $n=N<1$. W e have perform ed a nitesize extrapolation of $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ for several xed electron densities $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=1=2 ; 3=4 ; 7=8$ to estim ate the corresponding values for N ! 1 , and we nd, indeed, $\lim N$ ! $1 S^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}=0$ for those values of $n=N$.

In a next step we w ill give analytical predictions for $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$. W e nst collect the values for $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for system sizes up to $N=8$ in Tabler. $N$ ote that the exactdiagonalization data for $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for the saw tooth chain con $m$ the values given in Table 1 . By inspecting the


F IG . 11: (C olor online) A verage ground-state magnetic mo$m$ ent of the kagom eH ubbard chains: $h S^{2} i_{n}=N^{2}$ versus $n=N$ for $N=8 ; 16 ; 32 ; 64 ; 128 ; 256$. For saturated ferrom agnetic ground states $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ w ould equal $(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N})^{2}=4$ (thin line) in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it N ! 1 .
values of $\mathrm{hS}^{2} i_{n}$ reported in Table回 we m ay notioe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{hS}^{2} i_{2}=\frac{6+3(\mathrm{~N}}{3+2(\mathbb{N}} 3 \text { 3) } \mathrm{N}\right)!!^{1} \frac{3}{2} ; \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{3}=\frac{45+18(\mathrm{~N}}{12+8(\mathrm{~N}} \quad 4\right) \mathrm{4}\right) \mathrm{N}!{ }^{1} \frac{9}{4} ; \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{4}=\frac{54+18(\mathrm{~N}}{9+6(\mathrm{~N}} \quad 5\right) \mathrm{5}\right) \mathrm{N}!!^{1} \quad 3 ; \\
& h S^{2} i_{5}=\frac{210+60(\mathbb{N} \quad 6)}{24+16(\mathbb{N}} \quad \text { 6) }!1 \frac{15}{4}: \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

T his leads to a guess for the therm odynam ic lim it:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!1} h S^{2} i_{n}=\frac{3}{4} n ; \quad \frac{n}{N}<1: \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result is in accordance $w$ th the num erical results presented in F ig. 10 , and gives again evidence for a para$m$ agnetic ground state in the in nitely large system.

Sum $m$ arizing the above analysis w e conclude that there is no nite range ofground-state ferrom agnetism for electron densities $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}<1$ as N ! 1 .
B. G round states involving tw o-leg states and ground-state $m$ agnetism

W e tum now to the periodic kagom e chain I w ith an even num ber of cells N and the kagom e chain II for the number of electrons $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N}+1$. As was explained in Sec. IV, the states involving tw o-leg states increase the ground-state degeneracy for $n=1 ;::: ; N$ by the num ber $L_{N}$ (n) [see Eq. (4.7)]. A 11 these additional states [except the one state for $n=2$, see Eq. (4.6)] are fully polarized,
i.e., $S^{2}=(n=2)(n=2+1)$. Therefore, for the average value we have hS ${ }^{2} i_{n} \quad h S^{2} i_{n} \dot{i}$, where $h S^{2} i_{n} \dot{i}$ is the corresponding value considering hard-dim er states only (i.e., it is that value considered in Sec. VIA, see, e.g., Table (I). Recalling that $D_{N}(n)$ is the num ber of harddim er ground states one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& h S^{2} i_{n}=\frac{D_{N}(n)}{D_{N}(n)+L_{N}(n)} h S^{2} i_{n} \dot{D} \\
& \quad+\frac{L_{N}(n) n_{j} ; 2}{D_{N}(n)+L_{N}(n)} \frac{n}{2} \frac{n}{2}+1: \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

This formula is valid for $n=1 ;::: ; N \quad 1$. We recall that $h S^{2} i_{N}=(\mathbb{N}=2)(\mathbb{N}=2+1)$ and $h S^{2} i_{N+1}=$ $[(\mathbb{N}+1)=2][(\mathbb{N}+1)=2+1]$. Based on Eq. (6.5) we again can calculate $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for the kagom e chains of up to $\mathrm{N}=256$ cells, see F ig. 11. N oticeable deviations from $h S^{2} i_{n} \dot{d}$ appear only for $s m$ all $N$. H ence the data shown in $F$ ig. 11 give clear evidence that the contribution of the tw o-leg states becom es irrelevant for large system s. T his conclusion is supported by further inspection ofE q. (6.5). U sing Eq. (6.4) to replace $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ib in the lim it N ! 1 we can write Eq. (6.5) for large $N$ as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{N!1} h S^{2} i_{n}=R_{n} \frac{3}{4} n+\left(1 \quad R_{n}\right) \frac{n}{2} \frac{n}{2} 1 ; \\
R_{n}=\lim _{N!} \frac{D_{N}(n)}{D_{N}(n)+L_{N}(n)}: \tag{6.6}
\end{array}
$$

A ccording to Eqs. (A 3), (A 4), (5.3), and (5.5) the quantity $D_{N}(n)$ is proportional to the nth derivative of ${ }_{+}^{N}+{ }^{N}+{ }_{3}^{N} w$ ith respect to $z$ at $z=0$, whereas $L_{N}(n)$ is proportional to the $n$th derivative of ${\underset{4}{N}}_{N}+{ }_{5}^{N}+{ }_{6}^{N}$ w ith respect to $z$ at $z=0$. Evaluating derivatives at $z=0$ we nd that for $N$ ! 1 the ratio $L_{N}(n)=D_{N}(n) / 1=N$ and $\lim _{N}!1 L_{N}(n)=D_{N}(n)=0$. Evidently, $R_{n}=1$ in Eq. (6.6) im plies that Eq. (6.4) is valid again, i.e., the ground state is param agnetic for the in nitely large system .

$$
\text { C. Results for } n>n_{m} \text { ax }
$$

The at-band ferrom agnets discussed above $m$ ay exhibit ferrom agnetic ground-state ordering even for electron numbers $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$. H ow ever, this ground-state ferrom agnetism occurs only for su ciently large $U$ and therefore is de nitely di erent from the true at-band ferrom agnetism which em enges for any arbitrary sm all U.

T he occurrence of a saturated ferrom agnetic ground state can be well understood for the saw tooth chain w ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}+1, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}=\mathrm{N}$. For $\mathrm{U}=0$ the saw tooth chain has two single-electron bands separated by the energy gap $1_{1}="_{2}() \quad "_{1}=2 t$, see Eq. (3.1]. If $U$ is sm all (in comparison with, e.g., 1) the ground state in the subspace w ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}+1$ electrons is a complicated $m$ any-body state. H ow ever, ifU is su ciently large $\mathrm{U}>\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbb{N}+1$ ) (and in particular in the $\lim$ it U ! 1 ) it
m ight be energetically favorable to avoid $H$ ubbard repulsion. T his can be realized by occupying alltrapping cells (V-valleys) with $N$, say, spin-up electrons and putting the one rem aining electron also w ith $=$ " into the next (dispersive) band. Indeed, it is easy to show that for the periodic even -N saw tooth chain such a state
is a true eigenstate w th the energy $\mathrm{N} "_{1}+"_{2}(\mathrm{)}$. T he trapping-cell operators $I_{2 j ; "}^{Y}$ are de ned in Eq. (32) and $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{2}$; ;" creates an electron in the dispersive band w th = and $="$. Other states belonging to a spin-[ $(\mathbb{N}+$ 1)=2] SU (2) multiplet can be obtained by applying $S$ to the state 6.7). This kind of saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism for the saw tooth chain was rst found num erically by Y .W atanabe and S.M iyashita ${ }^{19}$ (see also Refs. 7,12 ).

W e may expect such fully polarized ferrom agnetic ground states for su ciently large U for further electron num bers $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $<\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{N}$, where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ is that electron num ber where the well-know $n \mathrm{~N}$ agaoka theorem 53 holds. U sing Lanczos exact diagonalization of nite system swe have investigated this question for the saw tooth and the kagom e chains I and II. W e list our num erical ndings for U ! 1 in Table In. Indeed, fully polarized ferrom agnetic ground states exist for various electron num bers $n$ in the range $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}<\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{N}$. For other values not listed in Table the ground state is either partially polarized, i.e., $0<h S^{2} i_{n}<S_{m a x}\left(S_{m a x}+1\right)$, or it is a singlet, i.e., $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=0$, w ith spiral structure, see also Ref.19. H ow ever, a detailed discussion of this issue goes beyond the scope of the present paper.

## D. Low -tem perature behavior of the $m$ agnetic su sceptib ility

W e com plete our discussion of the $m$ agnetic properties with a brief consideration of the low -tem perature behavior of the uniform zero- eld $m$ agnetic susceptibility . U sing the standard argum ents for deriving the uniform zero- eld Langevin susceptibility we $m$ ay w rite the trapped-state contribution to as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N})=\frac{\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}{3 \mathrm{~T}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith hS ${ }^{2} i_{n}$ calculated in Secs. VIA and VIB. Thus we $m$ ay expect a Curie-like behavior of the susceptibility of the considered H ubbard chains at low tem peratures in case of param agnetic ground states. In the them odynam ic $\lim$ 止 we have $\lim _{\mathrm{N}}!1 \mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=3 \mathrm{n}=4$ in the para$m$ agnetic region ( $n=N<1$ ), see Eq. (6.4). Therefore the C urie constant is $n=4$, which corresponds to a system of $n$ independent spins $1 / 2$. N ote, how ever, that in case of a ferrom agnetic ground state the low -tem perature dependence of is expected to be di erent. Thus for

TABLE II: E lectron num bers $n_{m}$ ax $<n<N$ for $w$ hich saturated ground-state ferrom agnetism in the lim it $U$ ! 1 exists. N ote that for $N>20$ the size of the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix becom es very large. Therefore, for $N>20$ only a few sectors $n>n_{m}$ ax are accessible by num erical calculation. In particular, for $\mathrm{N}=24 \mathrm{we}$ can exam ine only the sectors w th up to $\mathrm{n}=16$ electrons and for $N=30 \mathrm{w}$ th up to $\mathrm{n}=10$ electrons. N ote further that for $\mathrm{N}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}=50 \mathrm{we}$ cannot reach the sectors w th $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax .

| chain | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ | $\mathrm{~N}=8$ | $\mathrm{~N}=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| saw tooth | $\mathrm{N}=8: \mathrm{n}=5 ; 7$ | $\mathrm{~N}=12: \mathrm{n}=7 ; 9 ; 11$ | $\mathrm{~N}=16: \mathrm{n}=9 ; 11 ; 13 ; 15$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20: \mathrm{n}=11 ; 13 ; 15 ; 17 ; 19$ |
| kagom e I | $\mathrm{N}=12: \mathrm{n}=8 ; 11$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18: \mathrm{n}=9 ; 10 ; 14 ; 15 ; 17$ | $\mathrm{~N}=24: \mathrm{n}=11 ;\{$ | $\mathrm{N}=30:\{$ |
| kagom e II | $\mathrm{N}=20: \mathrm{n}=7 ; 8 ; 10 ; 13 ; 17 ; 19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=30: \mathrm{n}=9 ; 10 ;\{$ | $\mathrm{N}=40:\{$ | $\mathrm{N}=50:\{$ |

the spin-1/2 ferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg chain the Bethe ansatz yields / T ${ }^{2}$ (see Ref. 54) which holds also for weakly frustrated chains. ${ }^{55}$

A gain we have con m ed Eq. (6.8) by num erical calculations for nite system s . A s an exam ple som e results for the saw tooth chain oftwo di erent lengths are presented in $F$ ig. 12 for $t^{0}=\overline{2}$ and $t^{0}=1$. From $F$ ig. 12 it is obvious that for the saw tooth chain with a at band, i.e., $t^{0}=\overline{2}$, Eq. (6.8) holds at low tem peratures. M oreover, the obtained Curie constants im ply hs ${ }^{2} \dot{i}_{4}=4$ for $\mathrm{N}=8$ and $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{4}=60=17$ for $\mathrm{N}=12$ in agreem ent w ith calculations of Sec . VIA. The tem perature region $w$ here this relation is valid increases $w$ th grow ing $U$. In case of a dispersive low est band, i.e., $t^{0}=1$, the quantity $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ depends on U and n . Indeed, it has been observed previously ${ }^{38}$ that in the saw tooth chain w ith $t^{0}=t$ for quarter lling and less than quarter lling the C oulom b repulsion $U \mathrm{~m}$ ay drive transitions from singlet ground states present at sm all values of $U$ to ferrom agnetic ground states present at large values of U. For the values of $U$ and $n$ considered in $F$ ig. 12 we have singlet ground states, i.e., $\mathrm{hS}^{2} i_{n}=0$, for $t^{0}=1$. H ence, contrary to the at-band case we have $T \quad(T ; n ; N)=0$ as $T!0$.

## VII. RELATION TO THEXXZ MODEL

Finally we want discuss the relation betw een the exact $m$ any-electron states considered in this paper and the localized m agnon states found for the X X Z H eisenberg antiferrom agnet. ${ }^{\text {26,29,30 }}$
$F$ irst we notice that the localized $m$ agnon states for the X X Z H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on all three lattices can be also $m$ apped onto the $m$ odel of hard dim ers on an auxiliary sim ple chain. H ow ever, in contradistinction to the electron $m$ odel, the localized $m$ agnons cannot sit in neighboring traps and there is only one possibility to occupy a trap. M oreover, for the kagom e chains the sim ultaneous occupation of a leg and a diam ond or hexagon trapping cell.by m agnons is not allow ed. H ence, we are faced $w$ ith an exam ple where the Pauli principle leads to less constraints in com parison to hard-core bosonic system s. A s a result, the num ber of states for the XXZ model is given by hard dim ers on a chain of only N sites instead of the 2 N sites as is the case for the Hubbard model-29,30


FIG. 12: (C olor online) Uniform $m$ agnetic susceptibility $3 T(T ; n ; N)=C_{n}$ for the saw tooth chain $(t=1) w$ ith $n=4$ electrons and $N=16$ sites $w$ ith $U=4$ (lled triangles and circles) as well as $N=24$ sites $w$ th $U$ ! $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ (em pty triangles and circles). Triangles correspond to $t^{\circ}=P \overline{2}$ and circles correspond to $t^{0}=1$. H ere we use for the norm alization of the vertical ax is $C_{n}=4$ for $N=8$ and $C_{n}=60=17$ for $N=12$.

Further di erences can be em phasized betw een the $10-$ calized $m$ agnons and the localized electrons for these three chains. First, in the subspace w th $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2$ $m$ agnons the ground-state degeneracy equals 2 whereas in the corresponding subspace w ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ electrons the hard-dim er ground-state degeneracy equals $\mathrm{N}+1$. For the antiferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg kagom e chains the extended single-m agnon states (tw o-leg states) also appear, how ever, because of the stronger constraint only in the subspaces w th $\mathrm{n}=1$ and $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ agnons. T his increases the totalground-state degeneracy at the saturation m agnetic eld $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h}_{\text {sat }}$ exactly by 2 (com pare $\mathrm{Ref}$. . 30 b ). By contrast, for the H ubbard kagom e chains the extended single-electron states (tw o-leg states) appear in all subspaces w th $\mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}+1$ electrons thus notioeably increasing the ground-state degeneracy at $=0$.

D espite the di erences betw een the ferm ionic and the hard-core bosonic system s stressed above, the existence of localized states in both system $s$ leads to som e com $m$ on features. For instance, the jum $p$ in the number of
electrons as a function of the chem ical potential at
$=0$ found for the electron system corresponds to a jum $p$ in the $m$ agnetization curve as a function of the extemalm agnetic eld at the saturation eld $h=h_{\text {sat }}$ seen in the X X Z model. M oreover, in both cases the contribution of the localized states to the partition function can be calculated explicitly by a transfer-m atrix m ethod, which leads to sim ple analytical expressions for the low tem perature behavior of various therm odynam ic quantities, such as the entropy or the speci c heat.

## V III. C O N C LU S IO N S

In this paper, we have considered two di erent types of at-band ferrom agnets, nam ely, the saw tooth H ubbard chain (Tasaki's m odel) and two kagom e Hubbard chains ( $M$ ielke's $m$ odels). For these three $m$ odels we have constructed the com plete set of ground states for electron num bers $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$, where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{N}$ (saw tooth, odd N kagome I) or $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}=\mathrm{N}+1$ (even-N kagome I, kagome II) w ith $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=2$ (saw tooth), $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=3$ (kagome I), and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=5$ (kagom e II). In these ground states the electrons are trapped on restricted areas of the fiull lattioe. U sing a transfer-m atrix $m$ ethod, see A ppendix A, we have calculated the degeneracy of the ground states $g_{N}(n) . T$ he ground-state degeneracy grow s rapidly $w$ ith increasing system size, and a nite residual entropy per site rem ains in the them odynam ic lim it. M oreover, we have calculated exactly the contribution of the highly degenerate ground-state $m$ anifold to the partition finction. The low -tem perature therm odynam ics around a particular value of the chem icalpotential 0 ( $0=2 t$ for all three m odels) is dom inated by these trapped ground states leading to a low-energy scale separated from the usualenergy scale determ ined by the band width. In the therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1 allm odels exhibit identical therm odynam ic behavior in this regim e which is analogous to that of classical one-dim ensional hard dim ers.

The trapped ground states lead also to particularm agnetic behavior including ground-state ferrom agnetism as well as param agnetic behavior.

M oreover, w ith this study we have (i) ilhum inated relations betw een T asaki's and $M$ ielke's at-band ferrom agnets, and (ii) the relations betw een frustrated quantum $H$ eisenberg antiferrom agnets and the $H$ ubbard at-band ferrom agnets. In spite of som e sim ilarities in the $m$ athem atical description of both correlated quantum lattioe system s ow ing to localized one-particle states, the elaboration of a com prehensive theory for the $H$ ubbard atband ferrom agnet in higher dim ensions using som e ideas from localized-spin system s rem ains an unsolved problem and calls for furthere orts.
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```
    APPENDIX A:TRANSFER MM ATRIX
COUNTING OF LOCALIZED HARD-D IM ER
    ELECTRON STATES
```

The ground states of electrons localized on trapping cells ( V -valleys, diam onds or hexagons, respectively) for U > 0 can be counted using a transfer-m atrix m ethod..$^{49}$ In Ref. 11 we have associated two sites to each trapping cell and used a hard-dim er $m$ apping for this counting. H ere w ew illpresent a direct solution of the sam e problem using a 3 transfer $m$ atrix.

Recall that the ground states of the considered system S, i.e., the sawtooth chain or the odd -N kagom e chain I w ith around 0 , can be obtained by populating the trapping cells $w$ th electrons $w$ th spin-up and spin-dow $n$ according to the follow ing rules: 1) E ach trap $m$ ay be em pty, occupied by one spin-up electron or occupied by one spin-dow $n$ electron. T hat is, for each trap $j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$ we have three trap states, $\mathrm{s}_{j}=0 ; " ; \#$. A ground state of the chain can be thought of as a certain sequence of the trap states. 2) M oving along the successive traps (the choige of the rst trap is totally arbitrary, e.g., wem ay take $j=0$ ) wem ust allow only one sequence of tw o trap states corresponding to the neighboring cells being occupied by di erently polarized electrons. This is only a convention for the correct counting of the num ber of the ground states. (N ote, how ever, that the convention does not work for the num ber of electrons $n=N$ yielding only 2 states instead of correct num ber $N+1$.) For instance, let us allow the sequence of trap states $S_{j}=$ ", $s_{j+1}=\#$ and forbid the sequence of trap states $s_{j}=\#$, $s_{j+1}="$ 。

These rules can be encoded $w$ ith a transfer $m$ atrix ${ }^{56}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{0} \mathrm{~T}(0 ; 0) \mathrm{T}(0 ; ") \mathrm{T}(0 ; \#)^{1} \\
& T=@ T(" ; 0) T(" ; ") T(" ; \#) A \\
& \text { T (\#; 0) T (\#;") T (\#;\#) } \\
& 0 \text { 1 } 1 \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
111
\end{array} \\
& =@ \mathrm{z} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{zA}  \tag{A1}\\
& \text { z } 0 \text { z }
\end{align*}
$$

w th the activity $\mathrm{z}=\exp \left(\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{T}\right)$. Now we can write down the contribution of all allowed sequences $s_{0} ;::: ; S_{N} 1$ to the grand-canonical partition function
trap $(z ; N)$ 5.2) as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{trap}(z ; N) & =\operatorname{TrT} T^{N} \\
& =\stackrel{N}{+}+\stackrel{N}{r}+\stackrel{N}{0} ; \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+z \quad \frac{1}{4}+z ; \quad 0=0: \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

The hard-dim er com putation yields the altemative representation $\operatorname{trap}(z ; N)={ }_{+}^{2 \mathrm{~N}}+{ }^{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ where $=1=2$
$\overline{1=4+\exp x}$ are the eigenvalues of a 2 transfer matrix. 11 Since $=2$ and $0=0$, the two expres sions are in fact equivalent.

W etum now to the canonicaldescription. M ore specifically, we consider $n \quad \mathrm{~N}$ trapped states on a (periodic) chain w ith N cells. W e are interested in the canonical
partition function ofsuch a system $Z(n ; N)$ which counts the num ber of spatial con gurations of $n$ trapped electrons. U sing the relation betw een the canonicalpartition function and the grand-canonicalpartition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trap}(z ; N)=X_{n=0}^{X^{N}} z^{n} Z(n ; N) \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we im mediately nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(n ; N)=\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{trap}(z ; N)}{\mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{n}}} \underset{\mathrm{z}=0}{ }: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter sim ple (but becom ing tedious as $n$ increases) calculations we get

$$
Z(5 ; N)=\frac{1}{5!} 2 N^{2}\left(16 N^{4} \quad 240 N^{3}+1340 N^{2} \quad 3300 N+3024\right)
$$

$$
Z(6 ; N)=\frac{1}{6!} 2 N\left(32 N^{5} \quad 720 N^{4}+6440 N^{3} \quad 28620 N^{2}+63188 N \quad 55440\right) ;
$$

$$
Z(7 ; N)=\frac{1}{7!} 2 N^{1}\left(64 N^{6} \quad 2016 N^{5}+26320 N^{4} \quad 182280 N^{3}+706216 N^{2} \quad 1451184 N+1235520\right)
$$

$$
Z(8 ; N)=\frac{1}{8!} 2 N\left(128 N^{7} \quad 5376 N^{6}+96320 N^{5} \quad 954240 N^{4}+5645192 N^{3} \quad 19941264 N^{2}+38943000 N \quad 32432400\right):
$$

one passes again from the sum over hard-dim er ground states for a xed number ofelectrons $n$ and the sum over $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N}$ to the sum over $\mathrm{s}_{0}=0 ; " ; \#, \mathrm{~s}_{1}=0 ; " ; \#, \ldots$, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1=0$;";\#. A s a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z}=\operatorname{Tr} S T^{j} S T^{N} \quad \text { j } \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing a MAPLE code we can easily com pute $h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z}$ according to Eq. (A 7) for su ciently large system s (up to $N=256$ ); the resulting expression for $h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z}$ is a polynom ialw th the powers of $z$ from 2 to $N$.

Tuming to the canonical description we use the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z}={ }_{n=2}^{X^{N}} z^{n} Z(n ; N) h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{n} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[obviously $h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{n=0}=h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{n=1}=0$ do not enter the right-hand side of Eq. A 8) ] to derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(n ; N) h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{n}=\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n} h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z}}{d z^{n}}{ }_{z=0}: \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the coe cients associated with the corresponding pow ers of the activity $z$ in the right-hand side in Eq. A 7) yield the required quantities $h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{n}$.

Finally, we mention that the sam e results for $\mathrm{hS}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be obtained within the hard-dim er picture. Since each hard-dim er ground state can be represented in term $s$ of the occupation numbers of hard dimers on a sim ple chain, i.e., it is enum erated by a set of hard-dim er

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}(1 ; \mathrm{N})=2 \mathrm{~N} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{Z}(2 ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 3) \text {; } \\
& Z(3 ; N)=\frac{1}{3!} 2 N^{2}\left(4 N^{2} \quad 18 \mathrm{~N}+20\right) ; \\
& \text { T he data show } n \text { in } F \text { ig. } 3 \text { are based on Eq. A 5). } \\
& \text { Let us calculate the (not norm alized) grand-canonical } \\
& \text { correlation function } h S_{0}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i_{z} \text {, where } S_{j}^{z} \text { is the } z^{-} \\
& \text {com ponent spin operator of the trap } j \text { and the subscript } \\
& \mathrm{z} \text { denotes the activity. De ning a m atrix } \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0^{1}
\end{array} \\
& S=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array} \mathrm{~A}  \tag{A6}\\
& 00 \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

occupation num bers $n_{0} ; n_{1} ;::: ; n_{2 N} \quad 1, n_{j}=0 ; 1$, and $S^{z}=(1=2)^{P} \underset{j=0}{2 N}(1)^{j} n_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S^{z 2} i_{n}=\frac{N}{2}_{q=0}^{2 \mathrm{X}}{ }^{1}(1)^{q} \mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} i_{\mathrm{n}} \text {; } \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where h: : $i_{n}$ in the righthand side in Eq. A 10 stands for the (norm alized) average over spatial con gurations of $n$ hard dimers on a simple chain of 2 N sites. To nd a density-density correlation function at distance $q$ for one-dim ensional hard dim ers in the canonical ensemble $(\mathrm{n} ; 2 \mathrm{~N})$, it is convenient to calculate rst a density-density correlation function at distance $q$ for onedim ensionalhard dim ers in the grand-canonicalensem ble ( $\mathrm{z} ; 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{z}}=\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{ND}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{NDD}^{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{q}} \text {; } \\
& D=p_{\overline{1}}^{\bar{z}}{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{z}} \quad ; N=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} \text {; } \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the required $\mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ after inverting the relation $\mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N}) \mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

APPENDIX B:LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF TRAPPED ELECTRON STATES

W e wish to clarify whether the set of ground states constructed in Secs. IV A and IV B for given $n \quad n_{m a x}$ is linearly independent. An a m ative answ er for harddim er states com es from Ref.48. For the saw tooth chain and the kagome chains the localized $n$-electron states ( $\mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ ) are linearly independent, which is connected w ith the fact that for all three lattioes there are sites which are unique to each cell (isolated class in the nom enclature of $R$ ef. 48).

W e can use the sam e argum ents for the set of singleelectron states which consists of localized states on a diam ond/hexagon plus one tw o-leg state. For this purpose the two-leg state (3.5) / (3.9) in the set of states can be replaced by the state localized along one (e.g., low er) leg only. A s a result we again are faced with the case when there are isolated sites the sites belonging to another (upper) leg] that yields linear independence of the considered set of single-electron states and thus ofn-electron states $(n=1 ;::: ; N+1) 48$
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T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p^{1} & p_{\bar{z}} & p_{\bar{z}} \\
p^{\bar{z}} & z & z \\
z & 0 & z
\end{array}\right):
$$

$T$ his $m$ atrix has the sam e eigenvalues as the one in Eq . (A 1).

