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#### Abstract

W e address the now classical problem of a di usion process that crosses over from a ballistic behavior at short tim es to a fractionaldi usion (sub-or super-di usion) at longer tim es. U sing the standard non $M$ arkovian di usion equation we dem onstrate how to choose the $m$ em ory kemel to exactly respect the tw o di erent asym ptotics of the di usion process. H aving done so we solve for the probability distribution function (pdf) as a continuous function which evolves inside a ballistically expanding dom ain. This general solution agrees for long tim es with the pdfobtained within the continuous random walk approach but it is much superior to this solution at shorter tim es where the e ect of the ballistic regim $e$ is crucial.


Introduction: N ature o ens us a large num ber of exam ples of di usion processes for which an observable X di uses in tim e such that its variance grow saccording to

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
h X^{2} i(t) & D_{2} t^{2} & \text { for } t & t_{c} ; \\
h X^{2} i(t) & D t & \text { for } t & t_{c} ; \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

$w$ here angular brackets $m$ ean an average over repeated experim ents and $D_{2}$ and $D$ are coe cients $w$ ith the appropriate dim ensionality. The short tim e behavior is known as ballistic', and is generic for a wide class of processes. The long tim e behavior w ith \& 1 is generic when the di usion steps are correlated, $w$ th persistence for $>1$ and anti-persistence for < 1 [1]. These correlations $m$ ean that the di usion process is not $M$ arkovian, but rather hasm em ory. $T$ hus the probability distribution function (pdf) of the observable $X, f(X ; t)$ is expected to satisfy a di usion equation $w$ th $m$ em ory []],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f(X ; t)}{@ t}=\int_{0}^{Z} d t^{0} K\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) r^{2} f(X ; t) \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $K(t)$ being the $m$ em ory kemeland $r^{2}$ the Laplace operator.

In this Letter we study the class of processes which satisfy Eqs. (1)-(3). First of all we nd an expression for the kemel $K(t)$ which is unique for a given law of $m$ ean-square-displacem ent. Second we consider the kernelw hich contains both the ballistic contribution em bodied in Eq. (1) and the long-tim e behavior (2). For this case we nd an exact equation and a solution for Eq. (3). Lastly a sim ple interpolation form ula for the kemel is inserted to the exact equation which is then solved for the pdfof X w ithout any need for the fractionaldynam ics approach [3]. Som e interesting characteristics of the solution are described below.
$D$ eterm ination of the kernel $K(t)$ : To determ ine the kemel in Eq. (3) we use a result obtained in [4]. $C$ onsider the auxiliary equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P(X ; t)}{@ t}=r^{2} P(X ; t): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ne the Laplace transform of the solution of Eq. (4) as

$$
P(X ; s) \quad \int_{0}^{Z_{t}} d t e{ }^{s t} P(X ; t) ;
$$

it was shown in [4] that the solution of Eq. (3) w th the sam e initial conditions can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f^{(X} ; s\right)=\frac{1}{K^{\sim}(s)} P^{\widetilde{ }\left(X ; \frac{s}{K^{\sim}(s)}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here and below the tilde above the sym bolm eans the Laplace transform . The developm ent that we propose here is to replace in Eq. (6) the Laplace transform $K^{\sim}(s) w$ th the Laplace transform of the $m$ ean-square displacem ent. This is done by rst realizing (by com puting the variance and integrating by parts) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h X^{2} i(t)}{@ t}=2_{0}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0} K\left(t \quad e^{0}\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{\sim}(s) & =\frac{s^{2} X^{2} i(s)}{2} ;  \tag{8}\\
& =\frac{@ h X^{2} i(t)}{2 @ t}+\frac{s}{2} \frac{\text { h }^{®^{2}} i(t)}{@ t} \frac{@ h X^{2} i(t)}{2 @ t}:
\end{align*}
$$

The second line was written in order to nd the time representation of $K(t)$ which is the inverse Laplace transform :

$$
\begin{align*}
K(t) & =\frac{1}{2} \quad(t) \frac{@}{@ t} h X^{2} i(t)+\frac{@^{2}}{@ t^{2}} h X^{2} i(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{\varrho t} H(t) \frac{@ h X^{2} i(t)}{@ t} ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H(t)$ is the H eaviside function. Obviously, using the rst line ofEq. (8) in Eq. (6) the solution is entirely determ ined by whatever law is given for the variance, together $w$ ith initial conditions.

For ordinary di usion the variance is de ned by Eq. (2) $w$ ith $=1$ and $t_{c}=0$. It follows from Eq. (9) that the kemel is $K$ ( $t$ ) ( $(t)$ and Eq. (b) is reduced to the $M$ arkovian Eq. (4) ; this process does not possess any $m$ em ory. M ore com plicated exam ples are considered below.

Exam ple I: fractional di erential equations. In recent literature the problem of a di usion process w hich is consistent w ith Eq. (2) only for alltim es (i.e. $t_{c}=0$ ) is investigated using the form alism of fractional di erential equations (see, e.g., [3]). In this form alism Eq. (3) is replaced by the fractional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f(X ; t)}{@ t}=D \quad{ }_{0} D_{t}^{1} \frac{@^{2} f(X ; t)}{@ x^{2}} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $R$ iem an- - iouville operator ${ }_{0} D_{t}^{1}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} D_{t}^{1} \quad(x ; t)=\frac{1}{()} \frac{@}{\mathrm{Z}}_{0}^{t} d t^{0} \frac{\left(x ; t^{0}\right)}{(t)^{1}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) is the gam $m$ a function. It is easy to see that this equation follow s from Eq. (3) w th the kemel evaluated by Eq. (9) w th the variance (2). W e reiterate how ever that this equation is consistent with Eq. (2) for all tim es $t \quad 0$. This of course is a problem since this form alism cannot agree w ith the ballistic short time behavior which is generic in $m$ any system $s$.

Exam ple II: ballistic behavior. For X onedim ensional the solution of Eq. (4) $w$ ith the initial condition $P(X ; t=0)=(X)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(X ; s)=\frac{1}{2^{P} \bar{s}} \quad \exp \left(\quad j X^{p} \overline{j s}\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in Eq. (6) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X ; s)=q \frac{1}{2 s^{3} h^{2} i(s)} \exp \left(j X j \frac{s}{\operatorname{shX}^{2} i(s)}\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For system sw th the pure ballistic behavior (e.g., dilute gas) the variance can be w ritten ashX ${ }^{2} i_{t}=h u^{2}$ it $^{2}$, where $h u^{2} i$ is the $m$ ean square average of the particle velocities. The Laplace transform of this expression is given by $h{ }^{2} i(s)=2 h u^{2} i=s^{3}$ and the Laplace transform of the pdf is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\sim}(X ; s)=\frac{1}{2 p \frac{1}{h u^{2} i}} \exp \left(\quad j X \frac{s}{h u^{2} i}\right): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse transform reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X ; t)=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(j X \quad j \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{h u^{2} i t}\right): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution corresponds to a determ inistic evolution; there is a com plete $m$ em ory of the initial conditions in the absence of inter-particle interactions, $\left.K(t)=h u^{2} i\right)$.
$G$ eneral case: In the general case the $m$ ean-squaredisplacem ent satis ed some law $h X^{2} i(t)$ which is supposed to be know $n$ at alltim es, $w$ th possible asym ptotic behavior as shown in Eqs. (1) and (2). To nd the appropriate general solution we will split $f^{\sim}(X ; s)$ into two parts, $f_{I}(X ; s)$ and $f_{I I}(X ; s)$, such that the rst part is constructed to agree $w$ ith the existence of a ballistic regim e. Suppose that in that regim e, at short tim $e$, the $m$ ean-square-displacem ent can be expanded in a Taylor series

$$
\begin{equation*}
h X^{2} i(t)=X_{i=0}^{X_{i}} a_{i} t^{i}=a_{0} t^{2}+a_{1} t^{3}+a_{2} t^{4} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=3,1=4$ etc. $T$ hen the Laplace transform h ${ }^{2} i(s)$ can be written fors! 1 as [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2} i(s)=X_{i=0}^{X_{i}} \quad a_{i} \quad(i) \frac{1}{s^{i}}=2 a_{0} \frac{1}{s^{3}}+6 a_{1} \frac{1}{s^{4}}+24 a_{2} \frac{1}{s^{5}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (17) up to $O\left(s^{4}\right)$ in Eq. (13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{I}(X ; s)_{s!1}=\frac{1}{2^{P} \overline{a_{0}}} \exp \left(\underset{P^{P} \overline{a_{0}}}{j}\left(s \quad \frac{3 a_{1}}{2 a_{0}}\right)\right): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse Laplace transform of this result reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{I}(X ; t)=\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{3 a_{1}}{2 a_{0}} t\right) \quad\left(j X \quad j \quad P \overline{a_{0}} t\right): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ot surprisingly, this partial solution corresponds to a determ inistic propagation. N ote that in order to avoid exponential divergence in tim e we $m$ ust have $a_{1}<0$ in the expansion (16).

Having found $\tilde{f_{I}}(X ; s)$ we can now write $f_{\text {II }}(X ; s)$ sim ply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{I I}(X ; s)=\tilde{f^{\prime}}(X ; s) \quad f_{I}(X ; s): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

C alculating this di erence explicitly we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{f}_{\text {II }}(X ; s)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{\left.s^{3} h^{2} i(s)\right)} \exp \left(\quad j X j\left(\frac{2}{s h} \quad \frac{s}{s^{2} i(s)}\right)\right) \quad \frac{1}{p_{a_{0}}} \exp \left(\frac{3 a_{1}}{2 a_{0}^{3=2}} j X \quad \exp \left(\frac{j X}{P^{3} \overline{a_{0}}} s\right)\right. \\
& F^{\sim}(X ; s) \exp \left(\underset{P_{\overline{a_{0}}}^{j}}{j}\right. \text { ) : } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The inverse Laplace transform of Eq . (21) is given by

$$
f_{I I}(X ; t)=F \quad X ; t \quad j X \quad \stackrel{p}{j} \overline{a_{0}} \text { н }{ }^{p} \overline{a_{0}} t \quad j X \quad \text { j): (22) }
$$

The im portance of th is result is that the explicit H eaviside function is taking upon itself the discontinuity in the solution $f_{\text {II }}(X ; t) \dot{p}$ The exact value of this function at the point $j X \dot{\rho} \overline{a_{0}}$ t can be calculated using the in itial value theorem and is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\text {II }}\left(j X \dot{F} \overline{a_{0}} t ; t\right)= \\
& \frac{3}{4} \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}^{3=2}}+\frac{1}{2^{P} \overline{a_{0}}}\left(\frac{27}{8}\left[\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right]^{2} \quad \frac{a_{2}}{a_{0}}\right) t \quad \exp \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} t\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

Sum m ing together the results (19) and (22) in the tim e dom ain we get a general solution of the non $-M$ arkovian problem w ith a short-tim e ballistic behavior, in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
f(X ; t) & =\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{3 a_{1}}{2 a_{0}} t\right) \quad\left(j X j^{p} \overline{a_{0}} t\right) \\
& +F\left(X ; t \sum_{\overline{a_{0}}}^{j X}\right) H\left(\overline{a_{0}} t \quad j X \quad j:\right. \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is the $m$ ain result of the present Letter. The di $u-$ sion repartition of the probability distribution function occurs inside the spatialdi usion dom ain which increases in a determ inistic way. The rst term in Eq. (4) corre-


F IG .1: Thetim e evolution ofthe function $f_{I}(X ; t)$ de ned by Eq. (19) for tim e intervals $t=t_{0}=0.5,1,2,4,8$ (the tim e scale $\left.t_{0}=a_{0}=\left(3 a_{1}\right)\right)$. The -function is graphically represented by narrow Gaussians.
sponds to the propagating -function which is inherited from the initial conditions, and it lives at the edge of the ballistically expanding dom ain. Schem atically the time evolution of this tem is shown in Fig园, where the function is graphically represented as a narrow $G$ aussian. $T$ he dashed line represents the exponential decay of the integral over the -function. The function $F\left(X\right.$; $\left.\frac{p^{X} j}{\bar{a}_{0}}\right)$ in the tim e dom ain is a continuous function and can be evaluated num erically, for exam ple using the direct integration $m$ ethod [6]. Below we dem onstrate this calculation $w$ th explicit exam ples.

Interpolation for all tim es: To interpolate Eqs. (1) and (2) we propose the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x^{2} i_{t}=2 D \quad t_{0} \frac{\left(t=t_{0}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\left(t=t_{0}\right)\right)^{2}} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \quad$ 2. Here $d$ is the crossover characteristic time, att to the law (25) describes the ballistic regim e and at $t$ to fractionaldi usion.


F IG .2: The continuouspart of the pdf (22) fordi erent values of the param eter . Superdi usion ( $=3=2$, upper panel), regular di usion ( $=1$, m iddle panel) and subdi usion ( $=$ $1=2$, low er panel). Tim e intervals from the top to the bottom
$=0.5,1,2,4,8$. The reader should note that the fillsolution of the problem is the sum of the two solutions shown in this and the previous gure.

Introduce now dimensionless variables $h{ }^{2} i=$ $h X^{2} i_{t}=\left(2 D \quad t_{0}\right)$ and $=t=t_{0} . W$ ith these variables the last equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2} i=\frac{2}{(1+)^{2}}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The T aylor expansion of (25) is given by

$$
h^{2} i=r^{2} \quad(2 \quad)^{3}+\frac{1}{2}(3 \quad)(2 \quad)^{4}+::::
$$

Substitution these expansion coe cients into Eq. (19) yields the rst term in the expression for the probability distribution function (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{I}(x ; t)=\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{3(2}{2}\right) \quad(j \quad j \quad): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplace transform of q . (26) is
$\left.h{ }^{2} i(s)=\left(\frac{-}{s} \quad 1\right)_{s}^{1}+\quad(\quad 1) \underset{s}{\leftarrow} \quad 2\right)+s \frac{e^{s}}{s} \quad(\quad 1 ; s) ;$
where $(a ; s)$ is the incom plete gam $m$ a function. N ote that the case $=2$ is special, since it annuls the exponent in Eq. [28), leaving as a solution a ballistically propagating -function. For allother values of $<2$ the inverse Laplace transform of the function $E(x ; s)$ which de nes the di usion process inside the expanded spatial dom ain should be evaluated, in general, num erically.

R esults of the calculations follow ing them ethod ofR ef. [G] for the sm ooth part of the probability distribution function $f_{\text {II }}(x ; t)$ for di erent values of the param eter are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2. The reader should appreciate the trem endous role of $m$ em ory, or the non $M$ arkovian nature of the process under study. For exam ple regular di usion with $=1$ results in a Gaussian pdf that is peacefully expanding and attening as tim e increases.

Here, in the m id panel of F ig. 2 we see that the ballistic part which is represented by the advancing and reducing -function sends backwards the probability that it loses due to the exponential decay seen in $F$ ig. 11. This back-di usion' leads initially to a qualitatively di erent looking pdf, w ith a maxim um at the edge of the ballistically expanding dom ain. At later tim es the pdfbegins to resemble $m$ ore regular di usion. The e ect strongly depends on simply due to the appearance of in the exponent in Eq. (28).

For long tim es the solutions show n in Fig. 2 agree w ith the $M$ arkovian pdfobtained in the fram e of a continuoustime random walk [7]. For the special case $=0$ the lim iting behavior of the general solution from Eq. (24) can be evaluated w th the help of the nalvalue theorem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X)=P_{\overline{2}}^{1} \exp \left({ }^{p} \overline{2} j X i\right) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

this analytical result coincides w ith the pdf from [7] at the sam e conditions.

In sum $m$ ary, we have show $n$ how to dealw th di usion processes that cross-over from a ballistic to a fractional behavior for short and long tim es respectively, w ithin the tim e non-local approach. T he general solution (24) dem onstrates the e ect of the tem poralmem ory in the form of a partition of the probability distribution function inside a spatial dom ain which increases in a deter$m$ inistic way. The approach provides a solution that is valid at all tim es, and in particular is free from the instantaneous action puzzle.
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