M agetic and Superconducting P roperties of Single C rystals of Fe_{1+} Te_{1 x}Se_x System

Jinhu Yang¹, Mam i Matsui¹, Masatom o Kawa¹, Hiroto O hta¹, Chishiro Michioka¹, Chiheng Dong², Hangdong Wang², Huigiu Yuan², Minghu Fang^{1,2}, and Kazuyoshi Yoshim ura^{1, y}

¹D epartm ent of chem istry, G raduate School of Science, K yoto U niversity, 606 - 8502, Japan ²D epartm ent of physics, G raduate School of Science, Zhejiang U niversity, H angzhou 310027, C hina (D ated: February 22, 2024)

The spin- uctuation e ect in the Se-substituted system F_{P+} $Te_1 \times Se_x$ (x = 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33, 0.45, 0.48 and 1.00; 0 < 0.12) has been studied by the measurements of the X-ray di raction, the magnetic susceptibility under high magnetic elds and the electrical resistivity under magnetic elds up to 14 T. The sam ples with x = 0.05, 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33, 0.45 and 0.48 show superconducting transition temperatures in the ranger of 10 K 14 K. We obtained their intrinsic susceptibilities by the H onda-O wen method. A nearly linear-in-T behavior in magnetic susceptibility of superconducting sam ples was observed, indicating the antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations have a strong link with the superconductivity in this series. The upper critical eld $_0H_{c2}^{orb}$ for T ! 0 was estim ated to exceed the Pauli param agnetic lim it. The K adow aki-W oods and W ilson ratios indicate that electrons are strongly correlated in this system . Furtherm ore, the superconducting parameters indicate that the superconductivity in the Fe₁₊ Te_{1-x} Se_x system is unconventional.

PACS num bers: 74.70.-b,75.50 Bb,74.62 D h

I. IN TRODUCTION

Shortly after the discovery of the iron-based oxypnictide superconductor LaFeA sO $_{1x}$ F_x with T_c of 26 K 1 another fam ily of the iron-based chalcogenide superconductor -FeSe with T_c of about 8.5 K was reported by W u's group.² Later on, Fang's group enhanced the T_c up to 14 K by substituting Se for Te in the FeTe_{1 x} Se_x system.³ Interestingly, although both FeTe and FeS are not superconductors under am bient pressure, the superconductivity can be induced by Se doping in FeT $e_{1,x}$ Se_x as well as S doping in the FeT $e_{1 x} S_{x}$ system $.^{3,4,5}$ W hile there is no sign of superconductivity in pure FeTe under high pressure in contrast to that the superconducting transition tem perature was enhanced to 37 K, just below the M cM illan lim it in FeSe under high pressure.6 Superconducting -Fe1:01 Se belongs to the tetragonal symmetry system at room tem perature and undergoes a structural transition to an orthorhom bic phase at 90 K, while the non-superconducting Fe_{1:03}Se does not.⁷ FeSe has a simpler structure by stacking only the conducting Fe₂Se₂ layers in contrast to the Fe-As based superconductors having both the conducting Fe_2As_2 layers and the blocking R_2O_2 layers (R = rare earth elements). FeTe has the sam e structure as FeSe but with a rather com plex m agnetic structure. For exam ple, the stoichiom etric sam ple FeTe has an commensurate antiferrom agetic (AF) ordering at low tem peratures after su ering a structural phase transition, while samples with excess iron Fe_{1+} Te has an incommensurate AF ordering.8 According to the result of density functional calculations, the spin density wave (SDW) is more stable in FeTe than that in FeSe.⁹ Therefore, the doped sam ple FeTe is expected to have higher T_c. This was indeed observed in S or Te substituted system s for Se in FeSe.¹⁰ The iso-valent substitution does not directly introduce extra carriers but m ay change the

topology of the Ferm i surface.³ Recently, a spin uctuation spectrum and a spin gap behavior were observed by neutron scattering.^{8,11} In fact, the NMR results indicated that the AF spin uctuations were enhanced greatly toward T_c, indicating the importance of AF spin uctuations for the superconducting mechanism in FeSe.¹²

As for the sample preparations, the iron-based superconductors, as previously reported, however, usually contain a very sm all amount of magnetic impurities, e.g., Fe_7Se_8 and Fe_3O_4 .^{2,3,5,7,13,14,15,16} Therefore, in many cases the Verwey-phase like transition happens around 120 K due to the existence of the magnetic impurity Fe₃O₄ which causes a peak in the magnetic susceptibility.¹⁷ To elucidate the superconducting mechanism and its relation with the AF spin uctuations, it is vitally important to obtain the intrinsic susceptibility. In this study, we successfully synthesized the single crystals of Fe₁₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x and m easured their m agnetic susceptibilities under high magnetic elds and obtained the intrinsic susceptibilities by using the Honda-Owen plot.¹⁸ W e have found that the magnetic susceptibility of Fe₁₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x (0:12 6 x 6 1:00) decreases with decreasing temperature from 300 K to 20 K, sim ilar to the results in high tem perature cuprate superconductor $(La_{1 x} Sr_{x})_{2}CuO_{4}$, or Fe-A s based superconductors.^{19,20} In addition, we conducted electrical resistivity measurements of two single crystal samples with very close com -0.3, both of which show the superconducposition x tivity at T_c 14 K under magnetic elds up to 14 T in order to estimate the upper critical eld $_{0}H_{c2}^{orb}$ and the coherence length . As a result, the upper critical eld is found to be much larger than the Pauli lim it, and the initial slope near T_c is comparable with those of Fe-As based superconductors.^{21,22} A though Fe (Te-Se) is a layered superconductor, both the upper critical eld and the initial slope near T_c show weak an isotropies. In order to

investigate the electron correlation strength, we have estimated K adow aki-woods and W ilson ratios which indicate a strongly correlated electrons picture. The superconducting coherence length and the electron m ean free path are also discussed, leading to the fact that Fe_{1+} Te_{1 x} Se_x is a clean superconductor.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The high-quality single crystals of Fe_{1+} Te_{1-x} Se_x (x = 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33, 0.45, 0.48 and 1.00; 0 < < 0.12) were prepared from Fe powders (4N purity), Te powders (4N purity) and Se powders (5N purity). Stoichiom etric quantities of about 3g-m ixtures were loaded into a small quartz tube. This small tube was then sealed into second evacuated quartz tube, and placed in a furnace at room temperature. The temperature was slow ly ram ped up to 920 C over for 36 hours and then held at that temperature for another 12 hours in order to obtain sam ple hom ogeneities. Then, the tem perature was reduced to 400 C over for 140 hours. On the other hand, the polycrystalline of Fe_{1+} Se was synthesized by previously reported solid state reaction m ethod.²

The obtained single crystal samples were ground into powders for measuring powder X-ray di raction (XRD) with Cu K radiation. The detailed structural param eters were analyzed by Rietveld re nem ents. The com positions of the single crystals were analyzed using SEM (JED-2300, JEOL) equipped with an Energy D ispersive X-Ray (EDX) spectrom eter. The DC m agnetic m easurem ents were perform ed by using a Superconducting Q uantum Interference Device (SQUID, Quantum Design Magnetom eter). For the observations of the superconducting transitions, both the zero-eld cooling (ZFC) and eld cooling (FC) measurements were performed under the magnetic eld of 20 Oe. The temperature dependence of resistivity was measured using a standard dc fourprobem ethod under dcm agnetic elds up to 14 T with a Physics Property M easurements System (PPM S, Quantum Design Magnetom eter). The current direction was parallel to the a-axis of the single crystal sam ple.

III. RESULTSAND DISCUSSION

A. XRD and EDX Spectroscopy

The obtained single crystal has the layered planes held together by Van der W aals force only, and thus the crystal can easily be cleaved. X-ray di raction pattern of a typical single crystal $Fe_{1:12}Te_{0:72}Se_{0:28}$ m easured with the scattering vector perpendicular to the cleaved surface was shown in Fig. 1 (a) and the in age of the single crystal is in the inset. Only (001) re ections appear, indicating that the c-axis is perpendicular to the cleaved surface. In order to get m ore structural inform ation from

the XRD pattern, the singe crystalwere ground into powders for pow der XRD m easurem ents. Figure 1 (b) shows XRD patterns of the selected sam ples of Fe₁₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x (x = 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.20 and 0.33). The real compositions were analyzed by EDX measurements as listed in Table I. The EDX spectroscopy results show that there is a slight excess am ount of Fe existing in each sam ple, and furtherm ore, the Se content has a sm aller ratio than the nom inal one. All the peaks are well indexed based on a tetragonal cellw ith the space group of P 4/nm m, except for a sm all am ount of the magnetic impurity phase of Fe_7Se_8 -type, indicating that the sam ples are alm ost in a single phase. The impurity phase exits in the surface or in the interlayers of the single crystal. It should be pointed out that there is a very small amount of Fe₃O₄ in purity in each sample, which cannot however be probed in the XRD patterns but causes a peak in tem perature dependence of m agnetic susceptibility at about 120 K due to the Verwey phase transition.¹⁷ W ith increasing Se doping level, the a-axis decreases slightly, while the c-axis shrinks rem arkably, which makes the (001) and (200) di raction peaks shift to higher angles m onotonously, shown as enlarged views in the inset. The cell volume is consequently decreased by substituting Te for Se from 92 A³ to 78 A³, indicating that the sam ples are in a solid solution in which Se enters the lattice as Fe_{1+} Te_{1-x} Se_x successfully.

B. M agnetic Susceptibility

Since the presence of a sm all am ount of ferrom agnetic in purities which have a profound e ect on the low – eld m agnetization as shown in Fig. 2 (a) for sam ple Fe₁₊ Te. A linear-in-H term in high m agnetic elds m agnetization appears in each curve for various tem peratures; if we extrapolate the data from high m agnetic elds to H = 0, all the extrapolation ends into alm ost the same point, M s, indicating the saturation m agnitude m om ent of the im purity. A coording to the H onda-O wen plot, by extrapolating them easured susceptibility M = H = $+ C_s M_s = H$ for 1=H \cdot 0, where M = H is the m easured susceptibility,

the intrinsic susceptibility, C $_{\rm s}$ the presum ed ferrom agnetic in purity content and M $_{\rm s}$ its saturation m agnetization. The in unce of ferrom agnetic in purities must be avoided in order to obtain the intrinsic susceptibility,

. Therefore, we use the H onda-O wen m ethod to obtain the of these sam ples as $(T) = \frac{M}{H}$. The m agnetizations were m easured between 5 K and 300 K separately under m agnetic elds of 3 and 4 T, or 4 and 5 T, above which the m agnetizations of the m agnetic in purity were supposed to be saturated. Figure 2 (b) shows the tem – perature dependence of the intrinsic m agnetic susceptibility for sam ples with x = 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33and 1.00. The external eld is applied parallel along the c-axis. For undoped sam ple $Fe_{1:12}Te$, the m agnetic susceptibility (T) increases with decreasing tem perature, and decreases sharply near 69 K, due to the AF phase transition accompanied by the structural phase transi-



FIG. 1: X-ray di raction patterns of Fq₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x. (a) A typical single crystal XRD pattern for sample $Fe_{1:12}Te_{0:72}Se_{0:28}$ as well as the single crystal in age in the inset. (b) Pow der XRD patterns by using samples of ground single crystal; the peaks marked by * are Fe_7Se_8 in purity phase. The enlarged view of the (001) and (200) peaks and the lattice constants as functions of Se content x were shown in the inset.

tion, then becom es alm ost the constant with decreasing tem perature, in agreem ent with the previous reports.²³ The susceptibility does not show any anisotropy since it has alm ost no distinct di erence in the param agnetic phase in cases of H //c and H //a, and even at low tem peratures H == c (5 K) / H == a (5 K)1.45 shows a weak anisotropy. For the superconducting sample, the intrinsic susceptibility of the sam ple with x = 0.28 under H //c and H //a also shows a very weak an isotropy as displayed in Fig. 2 (c). On Se-doping, the AF transition shifts to lower temperature and the peak is broadened, then is hardly observed in the range x > 0.12, where the superconducting phase transition occurs at 10 K 14 K . The uptum of (T) at low temperatures, indicating a Curie-W eiss like behavior, which is naturally ascribed to



FIG.2: (a) Isotherm alm agnetization (M) with magnetic eld (H) in the temperature range 60 K 6 T 6 200 K with the step of 10 K, M_s is saturation moment of the impurities. (b) Temperature dependence of the intrinsic susceptibility for Fe_{1+} Te_{1 x} Se_x (x = 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.20, 0.28 and 0.33) with H //c. The intrinsic susceptibility of $Fe_{1:12}$ Te was also measured under H //a. (c) The Fe(I) site contribution to the magnetic susceptibility: $_{Fe(I)}$ as a function of temperature for superconducting sam ples with x = 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33, 0.45 and 0.48 with H //c as well as the sam ple with x = 0.28 with H //a. The anisotropy susceptibility in this series is very weak: the susceptibility in sam ple of x = 0.28 showed only weak dependence on the magnetic direction of H //c or H //a.

a local moment e ect. Here, we noticed that the Fe's possibly occupy two di erent sites in Fq_+ $Te_{1x} Se_x$, i. e., Fe(I) occupies (0, 0, 0) site and has 1.6 1.8 _B with it inerant characters and Fe(II) occupies (0.5, 0, z) with a localized moment of 2.5 $_{\rm B}$ 8,24,25 The localized moment has a strong competition with superconductivity, making the superconductivity very sensitive to the excess am ount of Fe in the FeSe com pound.¹² Supposed by the band theory, the excess Fe occurs as Fe^+ , donating one electron to the Fe(I) layer. Experim entally, there is always excess iron in Fe(II) site and the number is lager in FeTe than that in FeSe, 27 which m ay be the reason for that FeSe has such a high T_c under high pressure while FeTe just changes to a metallic state at low temperatures in the same situation.²⁸ In contrast to the doped sam ple, the end parent com pound Fe_{1+} Se shows a very weak T-linear behavior in (T), in agreement with the $^{77}\mbox{Se-nuclear}\,m$ agnetic resonance (NMR) measurem $\mbox{ent}^{29}_{\rm r}$ con ming a good reliability of H onda-O wen m ethod. In NMR measurement, the Fe(I) site contributes completely to the Knight shift, strongly indicating that the Fe(I) site plays the key role to understand the superconducting mechanism in this system .²⁹ Furthermore, density functional calculations show that the electronic states near the Ferm i level are mostly of Fe 3d characters from the Fe(I) site and with a sm aller contribution from the excess Fe(II) site.²⁵ Herein, we ascribe the tem perature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility in Fe_{1+} Te_{1-x} Se_x prim arily originates from the Fe(I) and Fe(II) sites. How ever, the magnetic susceptibility of Fe(II) will be dom inant since it has a larger localm om ent than that of Fe(I) site, especially at low tem peratures. We suggest that the uptum in (I) at low tem peratures com es from the excess Fe(II) site. In order to separate the contributions from the two di erent Fe sites to the magnetic susceptibility, We tted the magnetic susceptibility data with the Curie-W eiss law at low tem peratures for sample with x = 0.12, 0.20, 0.28 and 0.33 in the tem perature range of 20 K 6 T 6 50 K as

$$(T) = _{0} + \frac{C}{(T)};$$
 (1)

where the T-independent term 0 contains the Pauliparam agnetic susceptibility from itinerant-electron bands, the Van V leck-orbital susceptibility and the Larm or diam agnetic susceptibility from ionic cores, C stands for the Curie constant, and the W eiss tem perature. Here, the Curie-W eiss term may be due to the Fe(II) site contribution. Therefore, the magnetic susceptibility of the Fe(I) can be roughly estimated as $F_{e(I)} = (T) - (T)$ $\frac{C_{II}}{(T_{II})}$, as shown in Fig. 2 (c), where C_{II} is Curie constant due to the Fe(II) site, II the W eiss tem perature due to the Fe(II) site. We also tted the data with Eq. (1) by using di erent tem perature range of 100 K 6 T 6 300 K for samples with x = 0 and 0.05 (the nom inal composition is x = 0 and 0.10). The thing results are listed in Table I in detail.

A fter subtracting the Fe(II) contribution from the sus-

TABLE I:Fitted parameters using Eq. (1) for Fe₁₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x system as well as the real compositions checked by EDXS.C and are obtained from the wider temperature tting. The units of C, , $_{eff}$, C_{II} and $_{II}$ are emu K/mol, K, $_{B}$, emu K/mol and K, respectively.

sam ple (nom inal)	Fe	Те	Se	С		eff	C _(II)	II
0	1.12	1	0	2,24	-319	42	-	-
0.10	1.00	0.95	0.05	1.6	-260	3.7	-	-
0.20	1.01	0.88	0.12	-	-	-	0.10	-52
0.30	1.07	0.80	0.20	-	-	-	0.02	-5
0.40 (I)	1.12	0.72	0.28	-	-		0.12	-24
0.40 (II)	1.04	0.67	0.33	-	-	-	0.05	-23

ceptibility, it is clear that Fe(I) decreases gradually from 300 K down to 20 K, as shown in Fig. 2 (c), qualitatively consistent with our NMR results.³⁰ It is important to note that there are other systems which also show the linear-in-T behavior: for example, the geom etric frustrated system Na0;5C oO 2, 31 the high tem perature cuprate superconductor $La_{2x} Sr_x CuO_2 r^{19}$ the simply metal Cr as well as its alloys³² and even the new discovered Fe-As based superconductors.²⁰ All the above systems share a common feature: having antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations on their backgrounds. Very recently, Han and his colleagues studied the electronic structure and magnetic interaction in Fe1+ Te. They found that the sm all am ount of excess Fe played an im portant role in determ ining the magnetic structure and drove the Ferm i surface nesting from (,) to $(,0)^{33}$. W ith increasing Se doping, the ratio of Fe(II) was depressed in Fe₁₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x system $.^{27}$ Thus, the upturn at low tem peratures will disappear in Se rich sam ple. It did so in the samples with x = 0.45 and 0.48 as shown in Fig. 2 (c) in which we did not subtract the Fe(II) site contribution to the magnetic susceptibility but only show the raw data. In LaFeA sO $_{1 x}$ F_x, the linear-in-T behavior is considered to be a strong AF spin uctuations with multiorbital character.²⁰ K orshunov argued that it was universalfor system s with the strong (,) SDW uctuation.³⁴ In fact, we observed the q \pm 0 m odes of antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations were strongly enhanced toward T_c in the normal state.³⁰ O verall the linear-in-T behavior of (T) observed in our single crystal sam ples strongly supports the above m odel, suggesting the im portance of the (,) AF spin uctuations originated from the Fe(I) site in superconducting mechanism of this system.

C . Superconducting State and Upper C ritical F ield $_{0}H_{c2}^{orb}$

The superconducting transition temperature was found to be 10 K for the sample with x > 0.05 as shown in Figs. 3 (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e). Because the excess

Fe in the Fe(II) site has a localized m om $ent_{l}^{24,25,26}$ where there is the more excess amount of Fe(II), the less superconducting volum e fraction is observed, com pared with Figs. 3 (d) and (e), where these two samples have very close composition. W ith increasing the Se content x, the superconducting volum e fraction was enhanced greatly. The susceptibility measured in the FC process shows no negative sign but a sm all positive value in the superconducting state, indicating an intrinsic pinning e ect in this layered structure com pound.35

In order to estimate the superconducting param eters, we selected two samples with close composition Fe1:12Te0:72Se0:28 (simplied as R1) and Fe1:04 Te0:67 Se0:33 (simpli ed as R2) for resistivity measurements. Figures 4 (a), (b), (c) and (d) show the suppression of the superconducting transition in the electrical resistivity for H //c and H //a up to 14 T for the sam ples of R1 and R2, respectively. W ith increasing the magnetic eld, the superconducting transitions shifted to lower tem peratures, and becam e broadened. The upper critical eld $_{0}H_{c2}^{orb}$ determined from the onset T_{c} were plotted in Figs. 5 (a) and (b) for the sam ples R1 and R2, respectively. Here, the onset T_c was de ned as the resistivity falls to 90% of the $_0$ value in the norm al state just above T_c . The initial slopes ($_0H_{c2}$ =()T near T_c are -6 T/K and -3.9 T/K for the R1 sam ple with H //a and H //c, respectively, leading to an estim ation of the upper critical eld extrapolated to zero-tem perature as $_{0}H_{c2}^{orb}(0) = 57 \text{ T}$ and 37 T, by using the W ertham er-

Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) model as

$$_{0}H_{C2}^{orb}(0) = 0.693T_{c}(\frac{\theta_{0}H_{C2}^{orb}}{\theta_{T}})_{T=T_{c}};$$
 (2)

In contrast to the sam ple R1, the sam ple R2 shows larger initial slopes of -8.7 T/K and -4.2 T/K as well as the upper critical elds of 85 T and 40 T under H //a and H //c, respectively. The upper critical eld in this system is comparable with the cases of the Fe-As based superconductors LaFeA sO $_{0:93}F_{0:03}^{21}$ and KFe₂A s₂ \cdot^{22} In addition, the upper critical eld is much lager than the Pauli $\lim it eld_0 H_P = 1.84 T_c$ 25 T. The anisotropy co- $= H_{c2}^{?}/H_{c2}^{k}$ are 1.54 and determ ined from e cients 2.1 for the sam ples R1 and R2, respectively. In fact, the

is rather isotropic at low tem peratures, indicating the three dim ensional nature of the Ferm i-surface topology.³⁶ These results strongly suggest an unconventional superconducting mechanism in this compound. The estimated param eters are listed in Table II.

The initial slope of $_{0}H_{c2}^{orb}$ near T_{c} is also weakly anisotropic. The similar behavior was also observed in the 122-type compounds, and was thought to be twoband superconductivity.37 The Sample R2 with the less Fe shows a larger initial slope and a higher upper critical eld in compared with the sample R1, indicating the existence of Fe(II) a ects the electronic band structure and consequently the superconductivity greatly. The initial slope near T_c is proportional to the square of the electron e ective mass m 2 , in agreement with a large



FIG. 3: Tem perature dependence of susceptibility in superconducting samples under magnetic eld H = 200e applied along the c-axis H //c, for ZFC and FC processes. (a) Fe_{1:00} Te_{0:95} Se_{0:05}. (b) Fe_{1:01}Te_{0:88}Se_{0:12}, (c) $Fe_{1:07}Te_{0:80}Se_{0:20}$. (d) Fe_{1:04}Te_{0:67}Se_{0:33}. (e) Fe1:12Te0:72Se0:28.



FIG.4: Tem perature dependence of resistivity () under magnetic eld (H) up to 14 T (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 T) for samples of R1 and R2: (a) The sample R1 for H // c. (b) The sample R1 for H // a. (c) The sample R2 for H // c. (d) The sample R2 for H // a.



FIG.5: Tem perature dependence of the upper critical elds of (a) the sample R1 and (b) the sample R2. The dashed line is the estimation by the W HH theory.

39 mJ/mol K^2 .²⁷ In strongly correlated electron systems, the Kadowaki-Woods ratio $A = 2^{2}$ is expected 10 5 to be a constant 1.0 $cm (mJ/mol-K)^2$, where A is the quadratic term of the resistivity and is the linear term coe cient of the speci c heat, so called the electronic speci c heat coe cient. We ob cm / K^2 by thing the data with tained A 0:03 = $_0 + AT^2$ in the tem perature range of 16 K 6 T 6 20 K for the sample R2, resulting in A = 2 2 10 5 $cm / (m J/m ol K)^2$, which is a little bit larger than the value of heavy ferm ion compound UBe₁₃:³⁹ The W ilson ratio $R_w = {}^2k_B^2$ spin = 3 2_B is estimated as 5.7 for the sample R2 with $_{\rm spin}$ of 2 $\,$ 10 3 em u/m ol from our data, well exceeding the unity for a free electron system . These results strongly suggest that the electron in superconducting Fe1+ Te1 x Sex is strongly correlated, being in good agreem ent with our recent NMR investigation on the sam e single crystalofFe1:04 Te0:67 Se0:33 which strongly indicates the unconventional d-wave superconductivity with spin singlet pairing-symmetry.30

It is also very important to know whether the

TABLE II: Estim ated superconducting parameters for the samples R1 and R2. $T_c = 13.7$ and 14.1 K for the samples R1 and R2, respectively.

sample	0 0H c2 ^{orb} /0T	$_0\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{c2}}$	₀ H _P (0)	0	GL	١	۷ ٥
(H //a,c)	(T =K)	(T)	(T)	(A)	(A)	(A)	
R1(a)	-6.0	57	25	32	24	2336	73
R1(c)	-3.9	37	25	32	30		
R 2 (a)	-8.7	85	26	31	20	2516	79
R 2 (c)	-4.2	40	29	32	29		

 Fe_{1+} $Te_{1 x} Se_{x}$ is a clean superconductor or not. To solve this issue, we need to know the mean free path ' and the Pippard coherence length $_0$. On the basis of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrie er (BCS) theory and the D rude m odel, $' = ~ (3^2)^{1=3}/e^2 _0 n^{2=3}$ and $_0 =$ $-V_F/$, where n is the carrier concentration, $_0$ the residual resistivity, V_F the Ferm i velocity and the superconducting gap. Giving the superconducting gap $2 / k_B T_c = 3.52$ in the BCS theory, the $_0$ can be written as \sim^2 (3 2 n)¹⁼³/1.76 m k_B T_c, where m is the free electron rest mass. Very recently, the angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurement on Fe1:03Se0:3Te0:7 showed the Ferm ivelocity 0.4 eVA for both the hole and the electron bands and the supercon-4 m eV.³⁸ Therefore the ₀ is estimated ducting gap as 33.5A and the carrier concentration estimated as $6.8 \quad 10^{23}$ /m³. Since the composition is very close among the sam ples of $Fe_{1:12}Te_{0:72}Se_{0:28}$, $Fe_{1:04}Te_{0:67}Se_{0:33}$ and $Fe_{1:03}Te_{0:70}Te_{0:30}$ (in ref^{28}), it is reasonable to consider that the carrier concentration does not change very much am ong these three sam ples. The residual resistivity was estimated as $_0$ = 0:70 10^5 m, 0:65 10^5 m for the samples R1 and R2, respectively. Therefore, $_0$ is estimated as 31 A and ' 2336 A for the sample R1 32 A, ' 2516 A for the case of the sample and o R2. The estimated parameters are listed in Table II. Therefore, the ratio of γ_0 80 is well exceeding the unity so that the Fe_{1+} Te_{1-x} Se_x is thought to be a clean superconductor and the estim ated superconducting param eters are considered to be intrinsic. For example, in a clean superconductor, $_{GL}$ 0.74 $_0/(1-T=T_c)^{1=2}$. We estimated $_{GL}$ 24 A for sample R2 at T = 0 K along the c direction, in good agreem ent with the value of 29 A derived from the upper critical eld, where GL is expressed as $^2_{GL} = _0/2 H^{orb}_{c2}$ ($_0 = 2 10^7 \text{ O} \text{ e m}^2$). However, it should be pointed out that those estimations based on the one band theory which may not valid for the multi-band compound, and much information will be needed for further discussion on superconductivity in this system.

In summary, we successfully synthesized the single crystal of Fe_{1+} Te_{1 x} Se_x (x = 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33, 0.45, 0.48 and 1.00; 0 < < 0.12) and m easured their magnetic susceptibilities. The intrinsic magnetic susceptibility was obtained though H onda-O wen m ethod for the rst time. The nearly linear-in-T behavior in susceptibility was observed in superconducting samples with x = 0.12, 0.20, 0.28, 0.33, 0.45, 0.48 and 1.00, indicating a close relationship between the AF spin uctuations around (,) and the superconductivity. The excess Fe has a localized moment which a ects the superconducting state greatly. The intrinsic susceptibility shows a weakly anisotropic behavior. Also, the initial slope near T_c and the upper critical eld estimated by measuring the resistivity under high magnetic elds show a weakly anisotropic behavior. The estimated coherence length $_{GL}$, the P ippard coherence length $_0$ and the mean free path 'by the BCS theory and the D rude m odel support a clean superconductor scenario. The estimations of K adowaki-W oods and W ilson ratios indicate Fe1+ Te1 x Sex belongs to a strongly electroncorrelated system . Consequently, the superconductivity in Fe₁₊ Te_{1 x} Se_x is considered to be of unconventional and in the strongly correlated one with the very high value of $_{0}H_{c2}^{orb}$, which are also supported by our recent NMR study.30

A cknow ledgem ent

This research was supported by G rant-in-A id for the G lobal COE P rogram \International C enter for Integrated Research and A dvanced E ducation in M aterials Science" and for Scienti c Research on Priority A rea \Invention of anom alous quantum m aterials" (16076210) from the M inistry of E ducation, C ulture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and also by G rants-in-A id for Scienti c Research (19350030) from the Japan Society for P rom otion of Science.

E lectronic address: yangjinhu@ kuchem .kyoto-u.ac.jp

- ^y E lectronic address: kyhv@ kuchem .kyoto-u.ac.jp
- ¹ Y.Kam ihara, T.W atanabe, M.H irano, and H.Hosono, J. Am.Chem.Soc. 130, 3296 (2008).
- ² H su F.C., Luo.J.Y., Yeh K.W. Chen T.K., Huang T. W., Wu Philip M., Lee Y.C., Huang Y.L., Chu Y.Y.

, Yan D.C., and W u M.K., Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci.U.S. A.105, 14262 (2008).

³ M.H.Fang, H.M.Pham, B.Qian, T.J.Liu, E.K.Vehstedt, Y.Liu, L.Spinu, and Z.Q.Mao, Phys.Rev.B 78, 224503 (2008).

⁴ M.H.Fang, B.Qian, H.M.Pham, J.H.Yang, T.J.Liu,

- E.K.Vehstedt, L.Spinu and Z.Q.M ao, arX iv: 0811.3021
- ⁵ Y.M izuguchi, F.Tom ioka, S.T suda, T.Yam aguchi, and Y.Takano, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 012503 (2009).
- ⁶ S.M argadonna, Y. Takabayashi, Y.O hishi, Y.M izuguchi, Y.Takano, T.kagayama, T.Nakagawa, M.Takata, and K. Prassides, Phys. Rev. B 80, 064506 (2009).
- ⁷ T.M.M.Queen, A.J.W illiam s, P.W. Stephens, J.Tao, Y.Zhu, V.K senofontov, F.Casper, C.Felser, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 057002 (2009).
- ⁸ W. Bao, Y. Qiu, Q. Huang, M. A. Green, P. Zajdel, M. R.Fitzsim mons, M. Zhemenkov, M. Fang, B.Qian, E.K. Vehstedt, J.Yang, H.M. Pham, L.Spinu, and Z.Q. Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 247001 (2009).
- ⁹ A.Subedi, L.J.Zhang, D.J.Singh, and M.H.Du, Phys. Rev.B 78, 134514 (2008).
- ¹⁰ Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomiok, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi, and Y. Takano, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 074712 (2009).
- ¹¹ Y.Qiu, W. Bao, Y. Zhao, C. Broholm, V. Stanev, Z. Tesanovic, Y.C.Gasparovic, S. Chang, J. Hu, B.Qian, M.H.Fang, and Z.Q.Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 067008 (2009).
- ¹² T. Im ai, K. Ahilan, F. L. Ning, T. M. M Q ueen, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 177005 (2009).
- ¹³ L.Li, Z.R.Yang, M.Ge, L.Pi, J.T.Xu, B.S.W ang, Y. P. Sun, and Y.H. Zhang, J. Supercond. Nov. M agn. 22, 667 (2009).
- ¹⁴ K. Horigane, H. Hiraka, and K. Ohoyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 074718 (2009).
- ¹⁵ A. J. W illiam s, T. M. M Q ueen, and R. J. Cava, Solid State Commun. 149, 1507 (2009).
- ¹⁶ T. Taen, Y. Tsychiya, Y. Nakajima, and T. Tamegai, arXiv: 0906.1951.
- ¹⁷ E.J.W .Verwey, Nature (London) 144, 327 (1939).
- ¹⁸ K.Honda, Ann.Phys.Lpz.32, 1048 (1910).M.Owen, ibid. 37, 657 (1912).
- ¹⁹ H. Takagi, T. Ido, S. Ishibashi, M. Uota, S. Uchida, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 40, 2254 (1989).
- ²⁰ R. Klingeler, N. Leps, I. Hellmann, A. Popa, C. Hess, A. Kondrat, J. H.Borrero, G. Behr, V. Kataev and B.Buechner, arX iv: 0808.0708; J.Q. Yan, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Budko, A. Kracher, R. J. Moqueeney, R. W. McCallhum, T. A. Lograsso, A. I. Goldman, and P. C. Can eld, Phys. Rev. B 78, 024516 (2008).
- ²¹ Y. Kohama, Y. Kamihara, S. A. Baily, L. Civale, S. C. Riggs, F. F. Balakirev, T. Atake, M. Jaine, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Phys. Rev. B 79, 144527 (2009).
- ²² T. Terashim a, M. K im ata, H. Satsukawa, A. Harada, K. hazam a, S.U ji, H. harim a, G.F. Chen, J.L Luo, and N. L.W ang, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 063702 (2009).
- ²³ G.F.Chen, Z.G.Chen, J.Dong, W.Z.Hu, G.Li, X.D. Zhang, P.Zheng, J.L.Luo, and N.L.W ang, Phys. Rev.

- B 79,140509(R) (2009).
- ²⁴ S.Chiba, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 10, 837 (1955).
- ²⁵ L.J.Zhang, D.J.Singh, and M.H.Du, Phys. Rev. B 79, 012506 (2009).
- ²⁶ T.J.Liu, X.Ke, B.Qian, J.Hu, D.Fobes, E.K.Vehstedt, H.Pham, J.H.Yang, M.H.Fang, L.Spinu, P.Schi er, Y. Liu, and Z.Q.Mao, Phys. Rev. B 80, 174509 (2009).
- ²⁷ B. C. Sales, A. S. Sefat, M. A. M cGuire, R.Y. Jin, D. M andrus. and Y. M ozharivskyj, Phys. Rev. B 79, 094521 (2009).
- ²⁸ H O kada, H. Takahashi, Y. M izuguchi, Y. Takano, and H. Takahashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 083709 (2009).
- ²⁹ H.Kotegawa, S.Masaki, Y.Awai, H.Tou, Y.Mizuguchi, and Y.Takano, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 113703 (2008).
- ³⁰ C. Michioka, H. Ohta, M. Matsui, J. H. Yang, K. Yoshimura, M. H. Fang, arXiv: 0911,3729. The Knight shift is proportional to the uniform spin susceptibility spin, relecting the temperature dependence of spin. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate1/T₁ divided by temperature T measures the weighted average for various q-m odes of low frequency spin uctuations. In our recent NMR measurement, the Knight shift in samples with x = 0.28and 0.33 decreases and $1/T_1T$ was enhanced with decreasing temperature tow and T_c. The ¹²⁵Te nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate strongly indicates that the d-wave superconductivity with spin singlet superconducting pairingsymmetry.
- ³¹ M.L.Foo, Y.Y.W ang, S.W atauchi, H.W. Zandbergen, T.He, R.J.Cava, and N.P.Ong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 247001 (2004).
- ³² E.Fawecett, H.L.Alberts, V.G.Yu, R.D.Noakes, and V.J.Yakhmi, Rev.M od.Phys. 66, 25 (1994).
- ³³ M.J.Han, S.Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 067001 (2009).
- ³⁴ M.M.Korshunov, I.Eremin, D.V.Efremov, D.L.Maslov, and A.V.Chubukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 236403 (2009).
- ³⁵ Y. Iye, S. Nakamura, and T. Tamegai, Physica C 159, 433 (1989); Y. Iye, T. Terashima, and Y. Bando, Physica C 184, 362 (1991).
- ³⁶ M.H.Fang, J.H.Yang, F.F.Balakizev, Y.Kohama, J. Singleton, B.Qian, Z.Q.Mao, H.D.Wang, and H.Q. Yuan, arXiv: 0909.5328V1.
- ³⁷ S.A. Baily, Y. Kohama, h. Hiram atsu, B. Maiorov, F.F. Balakirev, M. Hiranl, and H. Hosono, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 117004 (2009).
- ³⁸ K. Nakayama, T. Sato, P. Richard, T. Kawahara, Y. Sekiba, T. Qian, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, arX iv: 0907.0763V1.
- ³⁹ K.Kadowaki, and S.B.W oods, Solid State Commun. 58, 507 (1986).