# A note on "New abundant solutions for the Burgers equation"

Nikolai A. Kudryashov and Dmitry I. Sinelshchikov

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Kashirskoe sh. 31, Moscow 115409, Russia

#### A bstract

Salas, G om ez and H eranandez [A Y. Salas S., C A. G om ez S., JE  $\mathcal L$  H emandez, N ew abundant solutions for tha B urgers equation, C om puters and M athem atics with Applications 58 (2009) 514 -520] presented 70 "new exact solutions" of a "generalized version" of the B urgers equation. In this comment we show that all 70 solutions by these authors are not new and cannot be new.

PACS: 02.30 Jr - Ordinary di erential equations

K ey words: Nonlinear evolution equations; Exact solution; Burgers equation; Riccati equation.

### 1 Introduction

Recently Salas, Gomez and Heranandez in [1] considered the Burgers equation in the form

$$u_t + u u_x + u_{xx} = 0$$
: (1)

The authors [1] believe that they studied a "generalized version" of the Burgers equation but they are w rong here. Eq.(1) can be transformed to the usual form of the Burgers equation  $[2{4}]$ 

$$u_t + u u_x = u_{xx} \tag{2}$$

E-m ail: K udryashov@ m ephi.ru

if we use the following transform ations

$$u = \frac{1}{2}u^{0}; \quad x = x^{0}; \quad t = t^{0}$$
 (3)

(primes in (2) are omitted).

Eq. (2) was restly introduced in [5]. But this equation became popular after work [2] for describing turbulence processes. It is well known that the Burgers equation can be linearized by the Cole | Hopf transformation [6,7]

$$u = 2 \frac{\theta \ln z}{\theta x} \tag{4}$$

As a result of application of transform ation (4), we have

$$u_t + u u_x$$
  $u_{xx} = 2 \frac{\theta}{\theta x} \frac{z_t - z_{xx}}{z}$  (5)

Thus, solution of the Burgers equation (2) can be expressed via solutions of the linear heat equation

$$z_{t} z_{xx} = 0 (6)$$

Solving the Cauchy problem for Eq. (6) we can obtain the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Burgers equation (2) [8,9].

## 2 A nalysis of 70 exact solutions of the R iccati equation by Salas, G om ez and H eranandez

Taking a "new modi ed Exp-function method" into account Salas, Gomez and Heranandez in [1] have used the traveling wave = x + t for the Burgers equation (1) and obtained 70 solutions of the nonlinear ordinary dierential equation

$$u^{0}() + u()u^{0}() + u^{0}() = 0$$
: (7)

At this stage the authors [1] essentially reduced a class of possible solutions for Eq.(1) because the authors studied the nonlinear ordinary di erential equation (7) but not the partial di erential equation (1).

The authors [1] did not note that Eq.(7) can be integrated. Integrating Eq. (7) with respect to we obtain the famous Riccati equation

$$C + u() + \frac{1}{2}u()^2 + u^0() = 0;$$
 (8)

where C is a constant of integration.

Equation (8) was introduced by Italian m athematician Jacopo Francesco Riccati in 1724. A fiter that Eq. (8) was studied many times (see [10{15]).

The general solution of the Riccati equation is well known and is described by the formulae (see for example [9,13])

$$u() = - + \frac{2 K}{m} \tanh fK ( + C_1)g; K = \frac{p}{2C + \frac{2}{2}};$$

$$(9)$$

$$u() = \frac{2}{m + 2 C_1}; C = 0;$$

where  $C_1$  is an arbitrary constant.

These solutions were found more than one century ago and nobody can not new solutions of Eq. (8).

An alternative form of expression (9) is

$$u() = \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \quad K \qquad \frac{4 \quad K}{1 + C_2 e^{2K}} \quad ; \tag{11}$$

where  $C_2 = e^{2K C_1}$ .

Solution (11) follows from the set of identities

$$u() = - + \frac{2 K}{m} \tanh fK ( + C_1)g =$$

$$= - + \frac{2 K}{m} \frac{e^{K(+C_1)} e^{K(+C_1)}}{e^{K(+C_1)} + e^{K(+C_1)}} =$$

$$= - + \frac{2 K}{m} 1 \frac{2e^{K(+C_1)}}{e^{K(+C_1)} + e^{K(+C_1)}} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} 2 K \frac{4 K}{1 + C_2 e^{2K}} :$$

Following to the report by one of the referees let us show that all solutions by Salas, G om ez and H eranandez in [1] can be reduced to the formulae (9) or (11).

In [1], the solutions  $u_{2m}$  (m = 1;:::;35) are obtained from the solutions  $u_{2m-1}$  by replacing by i . (There is typographical error in  $u_8$ :  ${}^0\!x+{}^0$  should

```
be ^{0}x ^{0}.) Consequently, it is only necessary to show that solutions u_{2m-1}
 (m = 1; :::; 35) are just special cases of (9) or (11). We have
       u_1 is (11) with K = = 2, = , C_2 = b_2;
       u_3 is (11) with K = =2, = , C_2 = b_2;
      u_5 is (11) with K = =2, = ( + p ), C<sub>2</sub> = b_2;
      u_7 is (11) with K = = 2, = ( + \frac{a_2}{b_2} ), C_2 = b_2;
      u_9 is (11) with K = =2, =
u_{11} is (11) with K = =2, =
u_{12}, u_{13} is (11) with u_{14}, u_{15}, u_{15}, u_{16}, u_{17}, u_{17}, u_{18}, u_{18}, u_{19}, u_{
      u_{15} is (9) with K = , = p , K C <sub>1</sub> = i =2;
       u_{17} is (9) with K = , = p , C _1 = 0;
       u_{19} is (9) with K = =2, = p , K C _1 = i =2;
       u_{21} is (9) with K = =2, = p, C<sub>1</sub> = 0;
      u_{23} is (9) with K = , = \frac{a_1}{b_1} , K C<sub>1</sub> = i =2;

u_{25} is (9) with K = , = \frac{a_2}{b_2} , C<sub>1</sub> = 0;
      u_{27} is (9) with K = , = p + \frac{a_1}{b_1} , K C_1 = i = 2;
      u_{29} is (9) with K = , =  p + \frac{a_2}{b_2} , C_1 = 0;
      u_{31} is (9) with K = =2, = \frac{a_1}{a_2}, C_1 = 0;
      u_{33} is (9) with K = a_2 =2, = \frac{a_1}{a_2}, K C_1 = i =2;
       u_{35} is (9) with K = -2, 2K C_1 = 0 + i, where tanh_0 = \frac{p + 1}{2};
       u_{37} is (9) with K = -2, 2K C_1 = 0, where tanh_0 = \frac{p^+}{};
       u_{39} is (9) with K = =2, = p , 2K C<sub>1</sub> = _{0} + i , where tanh _{0} = _{0} + ;
       u_{41} is (9) with K = = 2, = p, 2KC_1 = 0, where tanh_0 = \frac{a_0}{r};
       u_{43} is (11) with K = =2, = ( + p), C_2 = b_2;
       u_{45} is (9) with K = =2, = p + i b_2, K C_1 = _0 + i =4, where
tanh_0 = \frac{1}{ib_0};
      u_{47} is (9) with K = =2, = p i b_2, K C_1 = 0 i =4, where
tanh_0 = \frac{1}{ib_2};
       u_{49} is (9) with K = =2,2K C_1 = 0 + i, where tanh_0 = -i
       u_{51} is (9) with K = =2, 2K C<sub>1</sub> = 0, where tanh 0 = -;
       u_{53} is (9) with K = =2, 2K C<sub>1</sub> = 0, where tanh _0 = \frac{a_0 + a_1}{2};
       u_{55} is (9) with K = =2, = i b_2, K C_1 = 0 + i = 4, where tanh 0 = 1
       u_{57} is (9) with K = =2, = i b_2, K C_1 = 0 i =4, where
tanh_0 = \frac{1}{ib_2};
       u_{59} is (9) with K = =2, = p, K C<sub>1</sub> = i =4;
       u_{61} is (9) with K = =2, = p , K C _1 = i =4;
      u_{63} is (9) with K = = 2, = p = \frac{a_2}{a_1}, K C_1 = i = 4;
```

$$u_{65}$$
 is (9) with  $K = = 2$ ,  $= p$   $\frac{a_2}{a_1}$ ,  $K C_1 = i = 4$ ;  $u_{67}$  is (9) with  $K = = 2$ ,  $= \frac{a_2}{a_1}$ ,  $K C_1 = i = 4$ ;  $u_{69}$  is (9) with  $K = = 2$ ,  $= \frac{a_2}{a_1}$ ,  $K C_1 = i = 4$ .

In considering  $u_{59}$ ,  $u_{61}$ ,  $u_{63}$ ,  $u_{65}$ ,  $u_{67}$  and  $u_{69}$  it was used the identities

$$tanh z$$
 isech  $z = coth z = \frac{i}{2}$  cosech  $z = \frac{i}{2} = tanh = \frac{z}{2} = \frac{i}{4}$  (13)

Thus, the analysis of 'm any new solutions' of the Riccati equation (7) shows that all 70 exact solutions by Salas, G om ez and H eranandez [1] can be found from the general solution (9) of Eq.(7). At rst glance we have the only negative m om ent of work [1]. However the authors obtained 70 dierent forms of the solution of the Riccati equation. Taking the Riccati equation as the simplest equation in the method discussed in [16,17] we can imagine how many methods can be suggested to search for exact solutions of nonlinear dierential equations. Every form of the solution for the Riccati equation can be used in nding exact solutions of nonlinear ordinary dierential equations. However we hope the researches will not use this dubious idea.

Salas, G om ez and H eranandez w rote in [1] "we conclude that the variant of the Exp -m ethod here used is a very powerfulm athem atical tool for solving other nonlinear equations". However the analysis of the solutions for the R iccati equation by the paper [1] points clearly to the obvious deciency of the Exp - function m ethod in nding exact solutions of nonlinear ordinary dierential equations: this m ethod allows us to nd many redundant solutions.

We a rm that Salas, Gomez and Heranandez in [1] made the errors that were discussed in works [18{26}].

We are grateful to one of referee for the useful remarks and his careful consideration.

#### R eferences

- [1] A lvaro H . Salas S ., C esar A . G om ez S , Jairo E mesto C astillo H eman'dez N ew abudant solutions for the B urgers equation, C om puters and M athem atics with Applications, 58 (2009) P . 514-520
- [2] Burgers JM., A mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence, Advances in Applied Mechanics, 1 (1948) 171-199.
- [3] Rosenblatt M., Remark on the Burgers equation, Phys. Fluids. 9 (1966) 1247-1248.

- [4] Benton E.R., Some New Exact, Viscous, Nonsteady Solutions of Burgers' Equation, J.M ath. Phys. 9 (1968) 1129-1136.
- [5] Batem an H. Som e recent researches on the motion of uids. Monthly Weather Review . 1915;43:163{170.
- [6] Hopf E., The partial di erential equation  $u_t + u u_x = u_{xx}$ , Communs. Pure Appl. M ath, 3 (1950) 201–230.
- [7] Cole JD., On a quasi-linear parabolic equation occurring in aerodynam ics, Quart. Appl. Math. 9 (1950) 225-236.
- [8] Whitham G.B., Linear and Nonlinear Waves, New York: Wiley-Interscience, 1974
- [9] Kudryashov N. A. Analitical theory of nonlinear dierential equations, Moskow Igevsk, Institute of computer investigations, 2004, (in Russian)
- [10] G laisher JW L., On Riccati's Equation and Its Transform ations, and on Some De nite Integrals W hich Satisfy Them, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond, 172 (1881) 759-828.
- [11] Reid, William T. Riccati Dierential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [12] Davis, Harold T. Introduction to Non-Linear Di erential and Integral Equations, Dover, New York, 1962.
- [13] Kamke E., Handbook on Ordinary Dierential Equations [in German], Chelsea Publ., 1974
- [14] Polyanin A D., Zaittsev V F., Handbook of Exact Solutions for Ordinary Dierential Equations, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 2003, 689

  -733
- [15] Polyanin A D . and M anzhirov A V ., H andbook of M athematics for Engineers and Scientists, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 2007, 518 522
- [16] Kudryashov N.A., Simplest equation method to look for exact solutions of nonlinear dierential equations, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2005;24:1217 1231
- [17] K udryashov N A ., Exact solitary waves of the F isher equation, P hysics Letters A ., 2005;342:99-106,

- [18] K udryashov N A , Loguinova N B , Extended simplest equation m ethod for nonlinear di erential equations , Applied M athematics and C om putation. 205 (2008) 396-402
- [19] Kudryashov N A., Comment on: "A novel approach for solving the Fisher equation using Exp-function method", Physics Letters A, 373 (2009) 1196-1197
- [20] Kudryashov N.A., Loguinova N.B., Be careful with the Exp-function method, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation.14 (2009), 1881–1890.
- [21] Kudryashov N A., On "new travelling wave solutions" of the KdV and the KdV Burgers equations, Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat, 14 (2009), 1891-1900
- [22] Kudryashov N.A., Seven common errors in nding exact solutions of nonlinear di erential equations, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 14 (2009), 3507-3529
- [23] Kudryashov N.A., Soukharev M.B., Popular ansatz methods and solitary wave solutions of the Kuram oto-Sivashinsky equation, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 14 (2009), 407-419
- [24] Kudryashov N.A., Soukharev M.B. Comment on: multi-soliton solution, rational solution of the Boussinesq - Burgers equation, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. (2009), doi:10.1016/jcnsns.2009.07.016
- [25] Parkes E.J., A note on travelling -wave solutions to Lax's seventh order KdV equation, ApplM ath Computation, 215 (2009), 864-865
- [26] Parkes E.J., A note on travelling -wave solutions to the O strovsky equation, Communication in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, (2009),