New Phase-Integral Method Platform Function

S. Yngve^{1, a)} and B. Thidé^{2, b)}

¹⁾Department of Physics and Astronomy, Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University, P. O. Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden,

²⁾Swedish Institute of Physics, Physics in Space, P. O. Box 537, SE-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden ^{c)}

(Dated: 12 December 2009; Revised 16 September 2021)

The phase-integral method (PIM) is an asymptotic method of the geometrical optics or semi-classical type for solving approximately, but in many cases very accurately, a wide class of differential equations in physics. Unlike the related (J)WKB method, the higher-order corrections in the PIM can be generated from a generic, unspecified base function, providing added symmetry and flexibility. However, with the conventional approach of using the next-to-lowest (third) order correction to the integrand in the phase integral as a platform for calculating higher (fifth, seventh, ninth,...) order corrections, the higher-order calculations very often become quite complicated.

We therefore introduce a new platform function, which considerably simplifies the calculation of the third-order contribution for a wide range of problems. We also present directly integrable conditions for the phase integral, which so far seem to have gone unnoticed.

For a large number of observables, our analysis makes a clearer distinction between physical and, in a sense, unphysical contributions.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Sq, 02.30.Hq, 02.30.Mv, 02.60.Nm

Keywords: WKB method, phase-integral approximations, semiclassical applications

I. INTRODUCTION

In their pioneering 1936 article on the calculation of Coulomb wave functions in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, Yost, Wheeler and Breit¹ commented on the fact that the WKB method in the first-order approximation sometimes has an unsatisfactory accuracy. Moreover, they found that the replacement, originally suggested by Kramers,² of l(l+1) by $(l+1/2)^2$ where l is the orbital angular momentum quantum number, does not always improve the results obtained in the first-order WKB approximation. This situation can mostly be remedied by going to the next-order approximation. The phase-integral method of Fröman and Fröman³ provides a straightforward structure for calculating the contributions of order five and higher. For this, Ref 4 introduces a platform function $\varepsilon_0(z)$ which, apart from a factor 1/2, is the third-order contribution.

In the Fröman and Fröman PIM, the third-order contribution is the most important correction. Hence, it is desirable to find ways to simplify the calculation of this contribution. For this purpose, we here introduce a more flexible platform function $P_s(z)$ which generalizes $\varepsilon_0(z)$ in a way that depends on the number of singularities in the integrand and which has the potential to simplify the evaluation of higher-order correction terms. As a result, the choice of the so called base function, usually denoted Q(z), becomes more transparent.

II. HIGHER-ORDER PHASE-INTEGRAL APPROXIMATIONS AND THE PLATFORM FUNCTION

Let us consider the differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \psi}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + R(z)\psi = 0 \tag{1}$$

where R(z) is a meromorphic function of the complex variable z. Following Ref. 4, we introduce into equation (1) a "small" book-keping parameter λ , ultimately to be set equal to unity, such that we obtain the auxiliary differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \psi}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \left(\frac{Q^2(z)}{\lambda^2} + R(z) - Q^2(z)\right)\psi = 0 \tag{2}$$

which goes over into equation (1) when $\lambda=1$. The meromorphic function Q(z) is the unspecified base which determines the phase-integral approximation of order one. The auxiliary differential equation (2) has two linearly independent solutions

$$f_{+} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) e^{+iw(z)}$$
 (3a)

$$f_{-} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z)e^{-iw(z)}$$
 (3b)

where

$$w(z) = \int^{z} q(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \tag{4}$$

and

$$q^{2}(z) - q^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)\frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) = R(z) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)Q^{2}(z)$$
 (5)

a) Electronic mail: staffan.yngve@fysast.uu.se.

b)Electronic mail: bt@irfu.se.; Home page: www.physics.irfu.se/~ht.

c) Also at LOIS Space Centre, Växjö University, SE-351 95 Växjö, Sweden.

or, alternatively,

$$\left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{2} - \lambda^{2} \frac{1}{Q^{2}(z)} [q(z)\lambda]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} [q(z)\lambda]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^{2} \frac{R(z) - Q^{2}(z)}{Q^{2}(z)} = 1 \quad (6)$$

Introducing the complex variable

$$\zeta = \int_{z_0}^{z} Q(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \tag{7}$$

where, typically, $\{z_0 : Q^2(z_0) = 0\}$, one finds, after some calculations, that equation (6) can be rewritten

$$\left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{2} - \lambda^{2} \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d\zeta^{2}} \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^{2} \frac{R(z) - Q^{2}(z)}{Q^{2}(z)} - \lambda^{2} \frac{1}{Q^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)} \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \frac{1}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)} = 1 \quad (8)$$

[cf. equation (2.2.5), with (2.2.1), in Ref. 4]. Using equation (7), and the equality

$$\frac{1}{Q^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)} \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \frac{1}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)} = \frac{1}{Q(z)} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{1}{2} z^{s} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{s} Q(z)} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} z^{s} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{s} Q(z)} \right)^{2} + \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^{2} Q^{2}(z)} \tag{9}$$

this can be rewritten

$$\left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{2} - \lambda^{2} \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{d}\zeta^{2}} \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{2} \left(\frac{Q^{2}(z) - R(z) - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^{2}}}{Q^{2}(z)} - \frac{\mathrm{d}P_{s}(z)}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} + P_{s}^{2}(z)\right) = 1 \quad (10)$$

where

$$P_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^s \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \frac{1}{z^s Q(z)} \tag{11}$$

This is our new platform function. It allows us to write the right hand member of (3.5c) in Ref. 3 as

$$\frac{R(z) - Q^2(z)}{Q^2(z)} + \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0(z)}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} - P_0^2(z) \tag{12}$$

In practice, the choice of s in $P_s(z)$ more or less determines the base function Q(z) as we shall show next.

III. BASE FUNCTION

To obtain a solution of equation (10), we make the formal Ansatz

$$\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{2n}(z)\lambda^{2n}$$
 (13)

TABLE I. Properties of the platform function $P_s(z)$, equation (11), for various choices of s.

S	Platform function	Base function	Typical z range
0	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{Q(z)}$	$Q^2(z) = R(z)$	$-\infty < z < \infty$
	$\frac{1}{2}z\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{1}{zQ(z)}$	$Q^{2}(z) = R(z) - \frac{1}{4z^{2}}$	$0 < z < \infty$
-2l	$\frac{1}{2z^{2l}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{z^{2l}}{Q(z)}$	$Q^{2}(z) = R(z) + \frac{l(l+1)}{z^{2}}$	$0 < z < \infty$

and find that

$$Y_0 = 1 \tag{14a}$$

$$Y_2 = -\frac{1}{2}P_s^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dP_s}{d\zeta} - \frac{Q^2(z) - R(z) - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{2Q^2(z)}$$
(14b)

Using equations (3) and (13) with $\lambda = 1$, and truncating after n = 2, we obtain the approximate local solutions of the original differential equation (1) as

$$\psi_{\pm} = [Q(z)(1 + Y_2(z))]^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm i \int^z Q(z)(1 + Y_2(z)) dz}$$
 (15)

It should be noted that the integrands of these solutions contain the total derivative

$$\frac{1}{2}Q(z)\frac{\mathrm{d}P_s(z)}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}P_s(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} \tag{16}$$

which is integrable irrespective of the expression for R(z) in the original differential equation (1) and of the choice of the base function Q(z). Hence, we can write

$$\psi_{\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{dP_s}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2\right) Q - \frac{Q^2 - R - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{2Q^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times e^{\pm i \left[\frac{1}{2} P_s + \int^z \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2\right) Q - \frac{Q^2 - R - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{2Q^2}\right) dz\right]}$$
(17)

From this we conclude that an obvious choice for the (square of the) base function is

$$Q^{2}(z) = R(z) + \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^{2}}$$
 (18)

For the new platform function (11), three cases can be discerned (see also Table I):

- 1. s = 0. This corresponds to the case of an unmodified base function, $Q^2(z) = R(z)$. One can show that the use of the platform function $P_0(z)$ simplifies the calculation of phase-integral approximations, *e.g.*, for the Weber functions.
- 2. s = 1. This corresponds to the well-known Kramers-Langer modification for obtaining the base function $Q^2(z) = R(z) 1/(4z^2)$. One can show that the use of the platform function $P_1(z)$ simplifies the calculation of phase-integral approximations, *e.g.*, for the Coulomb wave functions.

3. s=-2l. This corresponds to the omission of the centrifugal barrier in the base function, *i.e.*, the choice $Q^2(z) = R(z) + l(l+1)/z^2$. This is (for $l \neq 0$) a less known alternative; see, *e.g.*, Chapter 7 in Ref. 3. One can show that the use of the platform function $P_{-2l}(z)$ simplifies the calculation of phase-integral approximations for spherically and cylindrically symmetric problems.

In all the three above cases, the third-order solution becomes

$$\psi_{\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{dP_s}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2\right) Q\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times e^{\pm i \left[\frac{1}{2} P_s + \int^z \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2\right) Q dz\right]}$$
(19)

which exhibits the simplification attainable if the new platform function $P_s(z)$ is used.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have derived a platform function $P_s(z)$ for the thirdorder correction of the phase-integral method. Since $P_s(z)$ is readily generalized to problems involving more than one singular point in R(z) and makes computational simplifications possible, this new platform function is in many cases preferable to the conventional PIM platform function $\varepsilon_0(z)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Some of the new ideas presented in this paper were inspired by a diploma work by Oscar Stål, who studied a model for the scattering of a radio beam off a cylindrical ionization trail produced by ultra-high-energy cosmic particles interacting with the Earth's lower atmosphere. One of the authors (B. T.) gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Swedish Research Council (VR).

¹F. L. Yost, John A. Wheeler, and G. Breit, "Coulomb wave functions in repulsive fields," Phys. Rev. **49**, 174–189 (Jan 1936).

²H. A. Kramers, "Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige quantisierung," Zeitschr. Phys. **39**, 828–840 (Oct 1926).

³Nanny Fröman and Per Olof Fröman, *JWKB Approximation: Contributions to the Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, NL, 1965).

⁴Nanny Fröman and Per Olof Fröman, *Physical Problems Solved by the Phase-Integral Method* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002) ISBN 0-521-67476-6.