

Nonmeasurability in Banach spaces

Robert Rałowski

ABSTRACT. We show that for a σ -ideal \mathcal{I} with a Steinhaus property defined on Banach space, if two non-homeomorphic Banach with the same cardinality of the Hamel basis then there is a \mathcal{I} nonmeasurable subset as image by any isomorphism between of them. Our results generalize results from [2].

1. Notation and Terminology

Throughout this paper, X, Y will denote uncountable Polish spaces and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the Borel σ -algebra of X . We say that the ideal \mathcal{I} on X has *Borel base* if every element $A \in \mathcal{I}$ is contained in a Borel set in \mathcal{I} . (It is assumed that an ideal is always proper.) The ideal consisting of all countable subsets of X will be denoted by $[X]^{\leq \omega}$ and the ideal of all meager subsets of X will be denoted by \mathbb{K} . Let μ be a continuous probability measure on X . The ideal consisting of all μ -null sets will be denoted by \mathbb{L}_μ . By the following well known result, \mathbb{L}_μ can be identified with the σ -ideal of Lebesgue null sets.

Theorem 1.1 ([5], Theorem 3.4.23). *If μ is a continuous probability on $\mathcal{B}(X)$, then there is a Borel isomorphism $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for every Borel subset B of $[0, 1]$, $\lambda(B) = \mu(h^{-1}(B))$, where λ is a Lebesgue measure.*

Definition 1.1. *We say that (Z, \mathcal{I}) is Polish ideal space if Z is Polish uncountable space and \mathcal{I} is a σ -ideal on Z having Borel base and containing all singletons. In this case, we set*

$$\mathcal{B}_+(Z) = \mathcal{B}(Z) \setminus \mathcal{I}.$$

A subset of Z not in \mathcal{I} will be called a \mathcal{I} -positive set; sets in \mathcal{I} will also be called \mathcal{I} -null. Also, the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{B}(Z) \cup \mathcal{I}$ will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(Z)$, called the \mathcal{I} -completion of $\mathcal{B}(Z)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E75; Secondary 03E35, 46XY, 28A05, 28A99.

Key words and phrases. Lebesgue measure, Baire property, Banach space, measurable set, algebraic sum, Steinhaus property.

It is easy to check that $A \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}(Z)$ if and only if there is an $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A \triangle I$ (the symmetric difference) is Borel.

Example 1.1. Let μ be a continuous probability measure on X . Then $(X, [X]^{\leq \omega})$, (X, \mathbb{K}) , (X, \mathbb{L}_μ) are Polish ideal spaces.

Definition 1.2. A Polish ideal group is 3-tuple $(G, \mathcal{I}, +)$ where (G, \mathcal{I}) is Polish ideal space and $(G, +)$ is an abelian topological group with respect to the Polish topology of G .

Now we are ready to recall the crucial property which was introduced by Steinhaus see [6].

Definition 1.3. Let $(X, +)$ be any topological group with topology τ . We say that ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ have Steinhaus property iff

$$\forall A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X) \exists B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_+(X) \exists U \in \tau \quad B_1 \subset A_1 \wedge B_2 \subset A_2 \wedge U \subset A_1 + A_2.$$

In the same paper [6] was proven that ideal of null sets poses the Steinhaus property.

Definition 1.4. Let $(X, +)$ be any topological group and let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be any invariant σ -ideal with singletons then \mathcal{I} has strong Steinhaus property iff

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_+ \forall A \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathcal{I} \quad \text{int}(A + B) \neq \emptyset.$$

It is well known that ideal of meager sets in any topological group $(G, +)$ has strong Steinhaus property see [4]. Moreover the ideal of the null sets respect to Haar measure on the locally compact topological group $(G, +)$ has also strong Steinhaus property see [1].

Definition 1.5. Let (X, \mathcal{I}) be a Polish ideal space and $A \subseteq X$. We say that A is \mathcal{I} -nonmeasurable, if $A \notin \overline{\mathcal{B}}(X)$. Further, we say that A is completely \mathcal{I} -nonmeasurable if

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_+(X) \quad A \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge A^c \cap B \neq \emptyset.$$

Clearly every completely \mathcal{I} -nonmeasurable set is \mathcal{I} -nonmeasurable. In the literature, completely $[X]^{\leq \omega}$ -nonmeasurable sets are called Bernstein sets. Also, note that A is completely \mathbb{L}_μ -nonmeasurable if and only if the inner measure of A is zero and the outer measure one.

For any set E , $|E|$ will denote the cardinality of E .

The rest of our notations and terminology are standard. For other notation and terminology in Descriptive Set Theory we follow [5].

The main motivation of this paper is the Theorem about the nonmeasurability of the images under isomorphism over \mathbb{Q} between \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m whenever $m \neq n$. More precisely in [2] the following Theorem was proved

Theorem 1.2. *Let I is nontrivial invariant σ -ideal of subsets of the group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ which has strong Steinhaus property such that $[\mathbb{R}]^\omega \subset I$. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any linear isomorphism over \mathbb{Q} . Then*

- (1) *If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded subset of the real plane such that $A + T = \mathbb{R}^2$ for some $T \in [\mathbb{R}^2]^\omega$ then $f[A]$ is I -nonmeasurable subset of the real line.*
- (2) *If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded subset of the real plane with non empty interior $\text{int}(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $f[A]$ is completely I -nonmeasurable subset of the real line.*

2. Results

Here we present the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X, Y be a Banach spaces and let us suppose that*

- (1) *$\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be an σ - ideal with Steinhaus property,*
- (2) *$\forall n \in \omega \forall A \in \mathcal{I} \ n \neq 0 \rightarrow nA \in \mathcal{I}$,*
- (3) *$f : X \rightarrow Y$ by any isomorphism between X, Y which is not homeomorphism.*

Then the image of the unit ball $f[K]$ is \mathcal{I} non-measurable in Y , where K a unit ball of the space X with the center equal $0 \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X, Y be any Banach spaces for which there exists linear isomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ which is not continuous then image of the unit ball $K \subset X$ by f has not Baire property.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let X, Y be a Banach spaces and let us assume that*

- (1) *$\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be an κ - complete additive invariant ideal with Steinhaus property,*
- (2) *let $\min\{|S| : S \in \mathcal{P}(X) \wedge S \text{ is dense set in } X\} < \kappa$,*
- (3) *$f : X \rightarrow Y$ by any isomorphism between X, Y , which is not homeomorphism.*

Then the image of the unit ball $f[K]$ is \mathcal{I} non-measurable in Y , where K a unit ball of the space X with the center equal $0 \in X$.

3. Proofs o the main results

Proof. *of the Theorem 2.1. Let assume that image $f[K]$ is \mathcal{I} -measurable in space Y . First of all let observe that*

$$Y = f[X] = f\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nK\right] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f[nK] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nf[K]$$

then we have that $f[K] \notin \mathcal{I}$ is \mathcal{I} positive. Then by Steinhaus property of the ideal \mathcal{I} there exist unit ball $0 \in U$ consisting 0 for which $U \subset f[K] - f[K] = f[K - K]$ is hold. But f is linear isomorphism then $f^{-1}[U] \subset K - K$ then f^{-1} is bounded linear

operator (so is continuous) then by Banach Theorem on invertible operator we have that f is bounded then f is homeomorphism what is impossible by (3). ■

Here we give the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be any Banach space then σ -ideal \mathbb{K} of the meager sets has Steinhaus property.*

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathbb{K}$ be any meager positive subsets of the Banach space then there exists positive radius $r > 0$ of two balls $K_1 = K(x, \frac{r}{2}), K_2 = K(y, r) \subset X$ with the centers $x, y \in X$ such that $A_1 = A \cap K_1$ and $B_1 = B \cap K_2$ are comeager subsets in the balls $K_1(x, r)$ and $K_2(y, r)$ respectively. Let $z = y - x$ and $r_0 = \frac{r}{2}$ and let $K_0 = K(z, r_0)$ then we will show that

$$\forall t \in K_0 \quad (t + A_1) \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$$

First let observe that if $t \in K_0$ and $s \in K_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(t + s) - y\| &= \|(t - z + z) + (s - x + x) - y\| = \|(t - z) + (s - x) + z + x - y\| \\ &= \|(t - z) + (s - x)\| \leq \|t - z\| + \|s - x\| < r_0 + \frac{r}{2} = r \end{aligned}$$

thus we have $K_0 + K_1 \subset K_2$ and then $(K + A_1) \cap B_1$ is comeager in the open set $(K_0 + K_1) \cap K_2$ then $(K + A_1) \cap B_1$ is nonempty set.

Thus there exists open set K_0 such that

$$\forall t \in K_0 \quad (t + A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$$

Finally we have

$$\forall t \in K_0 \quad (t + A) \cap B \neq \emptyset \leftrightarrow \forall t \in K_0 \exists a \in A \exists b \in B \quad t + a = b \leftrightarrow \forall t \in K_0 \quad t \in B - A$$

Thus we have $K_0 \subset B - A$ what finishes proof of this lemma. ■

By the above Lemma we can give the Theorem 2.2.

Proof. (of the Theorem 2.2). By Lemma 3.1 the ideal of the meager sets of the space Y has Steinhaus property and let observe that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ the map

$$Y \ni y \mapsto \alpha \cdot y \in Y$$

is the homeomorphism then second condition of the Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled then we are getting assertion. ■

Immediately we have

Corollary 3.1. *Let $X < \|\cdot\|$ be infinite dimensional Banach space then there exists linear automorphism of X for which image of the unit ball do not has Baire property.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} is Hamel base which is subset of the unit ball of the space X . Let $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{e_n \in X : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be any countable subset of the our Hamel base \mathcal{B} .

Let define $g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} (n+1) \cdot x & x = e_n \in \mathcal{B}_0 \\ x & x \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_0 \end{cases}$$

Now we are ready to define $f : X \rightarrow X$ let $x \in X$ and let $A \in [\mathcal{B}]^{<\omega}$ and assume that

$$x = \sum_{e \in A} \alpha_e \cdot e$$

then $f(x) = \sum_{e \in A} \alpha \cdot g(e)$. It is easy to see that f is noncontinuous linear automorphism of X . Then by Theorem 2.2 proof is finished. ■

Proof. of the Theorem 2.3. Let assume that image $f[K]$ is \mathcal{I} -measurable in space Y . Let $\gamma < \kappa$ be such that $\gamma = |S|$ and the subset $S \subset X$ be dense in space X then we have

$$Y = f[X] = f\left[\bigcup_{x \in S} \{x\} + K\right] = \bigcup_{x \in S} f[\{x\} + K] = \bigcup_{x \in S} \{f(x)\} + f[K]$$

thus $f[K] \notin \mathcal{I}$ is \mathcal{I} positive. Then by Steinhaus property of the ideal \mathcal{I} there exist unit ball $0 \in U$ consisting 0 for which $U \subset f[K] - f[K] = f[K - K]$ is hold. But f is linear isomorphism then $f^{-1}[U] \subset K - K$ then f^{-1} is bounded linear operator (so is continuous) then by Banach Theorem on invertible operator we have that f is bounded then f is homeomorphism what is impossible by (3). ■

Immediately we are getting the following

Corollary 3.2. Let X, Y be a Banach spaces with the following properties:

- (1) X is separable Banach space,
- (2) $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be additive invariant σ -ideal with Steinhaus property,
- (3) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ by any isomorphism between X, Y , which is not homeomorphism.

Then the image of the unit ball $f[K]$ is \mathcal{I} non-measurable in Y , where K a unit ball of the space X with the centre equal $0 \in X$.

Acknowledgement Author is very indebted to Professor Jacek Cichoń for help and critical remarks.

References

- [1] A. Beck, H.H. Corson, A. B. Simon, The interior points of the product of two subsets of a locally compact group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 648–652
- [2] J. Cichoń, P. Szczepaniak, *When is the unit ball nonmeasurable?*, accepted to Fund. Math.
- [3] D. H. Fremlin, Measure additive coverings and measurable selectors, Dissertationes Math. **260** (1987)
- [4] E. J. McShane, Images of sets satisfying the condition of Baire, Ann. of Math. **51** (1950), 380–386
- [5] S.M. Srivastava, A course on Borel sets, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998

- [6] H. Steinhaus, Sur les distances des points dans les ensembles de mesure positive, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 99–104

ROBERT RAŁOWSKI, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND.

E-mail address: robert.ralowski@pwr.wroc.pl