

The elliptic Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^N perturbed by a local nonlinearity*

A. Azzollini [†]

Abstract

In this paper we present a very simple proof of the existence of at least one non trivial solution for a Kirchhoff type equation on \mathbb{R}^N , for $N \geq 3$. In particular, in the first part of the paper we are interested in studying the existence of a positive solution to the elliptic Kirchhoff equation under the effect of a nonlinearity satisfying the general Berestycki-Lions assumptions. In the second part we look for ground states using minimizing arguments on a suitable natural constraint.

keywords: Kirchhoff equation, Pohozaev identity, natural constraint, minimizing sequence
MSC: 35J20 35J60

Introduction

The multidimensional Kirchhoff equation is

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial t)^2} - \left(1 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies some initial or boundary conditions. It arises from the following Kirchhoff' nonlinear generalization (see [5]) of the well known d'Alembert equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial t)^2} - \left(\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial x)^2} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) describes a vibrating string, taking into account the changes in length of the string during the vibration. Here, L is the length of the string, h is the area of the cross section, E is the Young modulus of the material, ρ is the mass density and P_0 is the initial tension. In [6] the problem was proposed in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial t)^2} - M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \end{cases}$$

*The author is supported by M.I.U.R. - P.R.I.N. "Metodi variazionali e topologici nello studio di fenomeni non lineari"

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica, Università degli Studi della Basilicata, Via dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, I-85100 Potenza, Italy, e-mail: antonio.azzollini@unibas.it

where $M : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $M(s) \geq c > 0$ for any $s \geq 0$, and Ω is a bounded set in \mathbb{R}^N , with smooth boundary.

This hyperbolic problem has an elliptic version when we look for static solutions.

In [14], it has been considered a class of problems among which the following elliptic Kirchhoff type equation was included

$$\begin{cases} -M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

(here Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N).

Taking into account the original formulation of the equation given by Kirchhoff, we assume the following

Definition 0.1. *If there exist two positive constants a and b such that $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written $M(s) = a + bs$, then M is called Kirchhoff function.*

Recently, many authors have used variational methods to study the Kirchhoff equation perturbed by a local nonlinear term (see [7] for a short survey on the topic). By arguments based on the mountain pass theorem, in [1] the problem

$$\begin{cases} -M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has been solved in a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N under suitable growth conditions on $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $M : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Taking $N = 1, 2$ or 3 , the problem has been treated also in [10] where M is a Kirchhoff function, and the nonlinearity $f(x, t)$ has been supposed to behave linearly near 0 and like t^3 at infinity. The Yang index has been used to find a nontrivial solution. A similar growth at infinity has been assumed in [15] where the authors have looked for sign changing solutions. They also have obtained a sign changing solution when the nonlinearity f satisfies either the following growth condition

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C(|t|^{p-1} + 1), \text{ uniformly in } x, \text{ for } p < 4$$

or the following Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition

$$\nu F(x, t) \leq tf(x, t), \text{ for } |t| \text{ large and } \nu > 4.$$

In [8], the equation has been studied assuming that the nonlinearity grows at infinity more than t^3 , without introducing the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz hypothesis. Using a variational approach, a multiplicity result has been showed in [4]. Finally we recall the recent result obtained in [11], where three solutions have been found for the Kirchhoff type problem

$$\begin{cases} -M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, t) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where λ and μ are two parameters.

The joining point of all these papers is that they consider the equation on a bounded domain, with Dirichlet conditions on the boundary.

In this paper we study an autonomous Kirchhoff type equation on all the space

\mathbb{R}^N , looking for the existence of positive solutions, namely we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 3, \\ u > 0. \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{K})$$

In this sense, the problem turns out to be a generalization of the well known Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u = g(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (\mathcal{S})$$

In the first part of the paper we are interested in studying the problem (\mathcal{K}) in presence of a Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity. In order to explain what this means, we provide the following definitions

Definition 0.2. A function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity if it satisfies the following assumptions

(g1) $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $g(0) = 0$;

(g2) $-\infty < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s)/s \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s)/s = -m < 0$;

(g3) $-\infty \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} g(s)/s^{2^*-1} \leq 0$;

(g4) there exists $\zeta > 0$ such that $G(\zeta) := \int_0^\zeta g(s) ds > 0$.

A function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a zero mass Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity if it satisfies (g1), (g3), (g4) and the following zero mass assumption

(g2)' $-\infty < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s)/s, \quad \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s)/s^{2^*-1} \leq 0$.

Remark 0.3. Using the terminology inherited by [2], we refer to the constant m in (g2) calling it mass. This is the reason for which we say that a function g satisfying (g2)' instead of (g2) is a zero mass nonlinearity.

In the very celebrated paper [2], these types of nonlinearities appeared for the first time, and it was showed that the hypotheses (g1), ..., (g4) are almost optimal to get an existence result for the Schrödinger equation.

In the first section of this paper, we use a simple rescaling argument to establish a sufficient condition for the existence of a solution to (\mathcal{K}) . The first result we get is the following

Theorem 0.4. Let $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ a continuous function such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} tM(t^{\frac{2-N}{2}}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

and let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a (possibly zero mass) Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity. Then the problem (\mathcal{K}) has a solution in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We point out that any Kirchhoff function satisfies our assumptions if $N = 3$. On the other hand, when M is a Kirchhoff type function we are able to refine our estimates and we get the following result

Theorem 0.5. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a (possibly zero mass) Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity, $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a continuous function and $M(s) = a + bf(s)$. Then for any $N \geq 3$ there exists a positive constant δ (depending on a) such that if $b \in]0, \delta]$, the problem (\mathcal{K}) has a solution in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If moreover

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{2}{2-N}} f(t) = 0, \quad (4)$$

then there exists a positive constant δ (depending on b) such that if $a \in]0, \delta]$, the problem (\mathcal{K}) has a solution in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We again remark that when M is a Kirchhoff function, then $f = id|_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and assumption (4) is automatically satisfied for $N \geq 5$.

In the second part of the paper we study the existence of the so called *ground state* solutions to the Kirchhoff equation

$$-(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = g(u). \quad (5)$$

We recall that a ground state is a solution which minimizes the functional of the action among all the other solutions.

The problem of finding such a type of solutions is a very classical problem: it has been introduced by Coleman, Glazer and Martin in [3], and reconsidered by Berestycki and Lions in [2] for a class of nonlinear equations including the Schrödinger's one. Here we will use a minimizing argument based on an idea developed in [13] (recent applications can be found in [9] and in [12]). In that paper the author showed that the ground state for the Schrödinger equation can be found as the minimizer of the functional of the action restricted to a particular natural constraint. This natural constraint actually is a manifold which is constituted by all non null functions satisfying the Pohozaev identity related to the Schrödinger equation.

Unfortunately, a similar manifold turns out to be a nice constraint in order to look for ground state solutions of the Kirchhoff equation only if $N = 3$ or $N = 4$: when $N \geq 5$, we are no more able to establish if the restricted functional is bounded below. The final result we get is

Theorem 0.6. *If $N = 3$ or $N = 4$ and g is a Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity, then equation (5) possesses a ground state solution.*

The paper is so organized:

in Section 1 we show our rescaling argument to get a solution for (\mathcal{K}) in the general case described in Theorem 0.4 and in the particular situation of a Kirchhoff type function as in Theorem 0.5.

In Section 2 we study the problem of the existence of a ground state solution for the Kirchhoff equation using a variational approach.

1 Bound state solution

In the sequel, we denote by v a ground state solution of (\mathcal{S}) (respectively a bound state solution if g is a zero mass Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity).

Proof of Theorem 0.4 Observe that, by hypothesis (3) and since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} tM(t^{\frac{2-N}{2}}) = +\infty,$$

by continuity we have that there exists $\bar{t} > 0$ such that $\bar{t}^2 M(\bar{t}^{2-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2) = 1$. The function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow v(\bar{t}x) \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfies the equalities

$$\begin{cases} M(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2) = \frac{1}{\bar{t}^2} \\ -\Delta u(x) = -\bar{t}^2 \Delta v(\bar{t}x) = \bar{t}^2 g(v(\bar{t}x)) = \bar{t}^2 g(u(x)), \end{cases}$$

and then it is a solution of (\mathcal{K}) . \square

Remark 1.1. We point out that, since the only moment in which we use hypothesis (3) is to determine the rescaling parameter \bar{t} , we can relax our assumption just requiring that

$$\inf_{t \geq 0} tM \left(t^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \right) \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

Proof of Theorem 0.5 As in the proof of Theorem 0.4 we look for a solution to the equation

$$t^2 \left(a + bf(t^{2-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2) \right) = 1.$$

Taking into account the previous remark, it is enough to prove that

$$\inf_{t \geq 0} \Psi(t) \leq 1,$$

where $\Psi(t) := t \left(a + bf(t^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2) \right)$. Set

$$\bar{h} = f \left((2a)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \right)$$

and $\delta_1 = \frac{a}{\bar{h}}$. It is easy to verify that, if $b \leq \delta_1$, then $\Psi(1/2a) \leq 1$. Now suppose that (4) holds. We deduce that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} tf \left(t^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \right) = 0.$$

Let \bar{t} such that $\bar{t}f \left(\bar{t}^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2b}$ and choose $a \leq \delta_2 = \frac{1}{2\bar{t}}$. Again we have that $\Psi(\bar{t}) \leq 1$. \square

2 Ground state solution

In this section we use a variational approach which requires some preliminaries. In next subsection we will use the same arguments as in [2] to modify the nonlinearity g in such a way we can study equation (\mathcal{K}) looking for critical points of a suitable functional.

2.1 Functional framework

Define $s_0 := \min\{s \in [\zeta, +\infty[\mid g(s) = 0\}$ ($s_0 = +\infty$ if $g(s) \neq 0$ for any $s \geq \zeta$). We set $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function such that

$$\tilde{g}(s) = \begin{cases} g(s) & \text{on } [0, s_0]; \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [0, s_0]; \\ (g(-s) - ms)^+ - g(-s) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_-. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

By the strong maximum principle, if u is a nontrivial solution of (\mathcal{K}) with \tilde{g} in the place of g , then $0 < u < s_0$ and so it is a positive solution of (\mathcal{K}) . Therefore we can suppose that g is defined as in (7), so that **(g1)**, **(g2)**, **(g4)** and the following limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s^{2^*-1}} = 0 \quad (8)$$

hold.

We set

$$g_1(s) := \begin{cases} (g(s) + ms)^+, & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(s) := g_1(s) - g(s), \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_1(s)}{s} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g_1(s)}{s^{2^*-1}} = 0, \quad (9)$$

and

$$g_2(s) \geq ms, \quad \forall s \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

by some computations, we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$g_1(s) \leq C_\varepsilon s^{2^*-1} + \varepsilon g_2(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

If we set

$$G_i(t) := \int_0^t g_i(s) ds, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then, by (10) and (11), we have

$$G_2(s) \geq \frac{m}{2} s^2, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \quad (12)$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$G_1(s) \leq \frac{C_\varepsilon}{2^*} s^{2^*} + \varepsilon G_2(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (13)$$

We define the functional

$$I(u) := \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}(\|u\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(u)$$

where we are denoting by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the space $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is the closure of the compactly supported smooth functions with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. The previous functional is C^1 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, being $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the closure of the compactly supported smooth functions with respect to the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \cdot|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\cdot|^2.$$

We will look for critical points of the functional I inside

$$H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid u \text{ is radial}\},$$

which is a natural constraint for the functional I by Palais' principle of symmetric criticality. By standard variational arguments, it is easy to prove that any critical point of I corresponds to a weak solution of the equation. By the maximum principle we will get a positive solution.

2.2 Existence of a ground state solution

We look for a ground state solution to

$$-(a + b\|u\|^2)\Delta u = g(u), \quad u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad N = 3, 4.$$

A ground state of (5) is a nontrivial solution $\bar{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, if $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is another nontrivial solution of (5), then

$$I(\bar{u}) \leq I(v),$$

where $I : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the functional of the action related with (5), namely

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(a + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u).$$

Usually a standard technique to find a ground state consists in looking for minimizers of the functional of the action restricted to a natural constraint which contains all the possible solutions. A candidate to play this role is the following Pohozaev set

$$\mathcal{P} = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid P(u) = 0\}$$

where for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$P(u) = a \frac{N-2}{2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + b \frac{N-2}{2N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u).$$

Actually the equality $P(u) = 0$ is nothing but the Pohozaev identity related with equation.

We will prove Theorem 0.6 following this scheme:

step 1: we show that \mathcal{P} is a C^1 manifold containing all the possible solutions of equation (5);

step 2: we prove that \mathcal{P} is a natural constraint, in the sense that every critical point of I restricted to \mathcal{P} is a critical point of I ;

step 3: we show that $I|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is bounded below and

$$\mu = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}} I(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{N} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(4-N)b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \right)$$

is achieved.

It is easy to see that P is a C^1 functional. Moreover \mathcal{P} is nondegenerate in the following sense:

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{P} : P'(u) \neq 0$$

so that \mathcal{P} is a C^1 manifold of codimension one. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and $P'(u) = 0$, namely u is a solution of the equation

$$-\left(a\frac{N-2}{N} + 2b\frac{N-2}{N}\|u\|^2\right)\Delta u = g(u). \quad (14)$$

As a consequence, u satisfies the Pohozaev identity referred to (14), that is

$$a\frac{(N-2)^2}{2N^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 + b\frac{(N-2)^2}{N^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}G(u). \quad (15)$$

Since $P(u) = 0$, by (15) we get

$$-2a\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 + b(N-4)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\right)^2 = 0$$

and we conclude that $u = 0$: absurd since $u \in \mathcal{P}$. So \mathcal{P} is a C^1 manifold. It obviously contains all the solutions to (5) since every solution satisfies the Pohozaev identity $P(u) = 0$.

Now we pass to prove that \mathcal{P} is a natural constraint for I . Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{P}$ is a critical point of the functional $I|_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I'(u) = \lambda P'(u),$$

that is

$$-(a + b\|u\|^2)\Delta u - g(u) = -\lambda\left(a\frac{N-2}{N} + 2b\frac{N-2}{N}\|u\|^2\right)\Delta u - \lambda g(u).$$

As a consequence, u satisfies the following Pohozaev identity

$$P(u) = \lambda a\frac{(N-2)^2}{2N^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda b\frac{(N-2)^2}{N^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\right)^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}G(u)$$

which, since $P(u) = 0$, can be written

$$\lambda\left(-2a\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 + b(N-4)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\right)^2\right) = 0.$$

Since $u \neq 0$, we deduce that $\lambda = 0$, and we conclude.

Now it remains to show that μ is achieved.

By the well known properties of the Schwarz symmetrization, we are allowed to work on the functional space $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as showed by the following

Lemma 2.1. *For any $u \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{P} \cap H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $I(\tilde{u}) \leq I(u)$*

Proof Let $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and set $u^* \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ its symmetrized. It is easy to see that there exists $0 < \tilde{\theta} \leq 1$ such that $\tilde{u} := u^*(\cdot/\tilde{\theta}) \in \mathcal{P} \cap H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tilde{u}) &= \frac{1}{N} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{(4-N)b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \right)^2 \right) \\ &= a \frac{\tilde{\theta}^{N-2}}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^*|^2 + b \frac{(4-N)\tilde{\theta}^{2(N-2)}}{4N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^*|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{a}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^*|^2 + \frac{(4-N)b}{4N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^*|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq I(u). \end{aligned}$$

□

Before we proceed with the proof of the main result, another preliminary result is required

Lemma 2.2. $\mu := \inf\{I(v) \mid v \in \mathcal{P}\} > 0$.

Proof If $u \in \mathcal{P}$, then, by (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C\|u\|^2 &\leq a \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + b \frac{N-2}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 + N(1-\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) \\ &\leq NC_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} \leq C'\|u\|^{2^*} \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon < 1$, C_ε , C and C' are suitable positive constants. We deduce that there exists a positive constant C'' such that $\|u\| \geq C''$ for any $u \in \mathcal{P}$. The conclusion then follows once one observes that $I|_{\mathcal{P}}(u) \geq \tilde{C}\|u\|^2$. □

Now let $(u_n)_n$ be a minimizing sequence for $I|_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, namely

$$\{u_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{P} \cap H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad I(u_n) \rightarrow \mu. \quad (16)$$

Obviously $\|u_n\|$ is bounded. Moreover, since $\{u_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{P}$, certainly, by (13), there exist $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$a \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + b \frac{N-2}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 + N(1-\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) \leq C_\varepsilon N \|u_n\|_{2^*}^{2^*},$$

and then we deduce also the boundedness of the L^2 -norm of $\{u_n\}_n$ by the continuous Sobolev embedding $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and (12).

Let $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the function such that, up to subsequences,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u, \text{ weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (17)$$

We are going to prove that there exists $\bar{\theta} > 0$ such that

$$\bar{u} \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } I(\bar{u}) = \mu$$

where $\bar{u} := u(\cdot/\bar{\theta})$.

Actually, by compactness due to the radial symmetry, from the weak convergence (17) we deduce

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u). \quad (18)$$

Of course, $u \neq 0$. Otherwise, by (18) and since $u_n \in \mathcal{P}$ for any $n \geq 1$, we should have that

$$0 \leq \limsup_n a \frac{N-2}{2} \|u_n\|^2 \leq N \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) = 0,$$

which, by (16), contradicts Lemma 2.2.

By (18), the lower semicontinuity of the $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -norm and the Fatou lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + b \frac{N-2}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) \\ & \leq \liminf_n \left(a \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 + b \frac{N-2}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^2 + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u_n) \right) \\ & = \lim_n N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u). \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < \bar{\theta} \leq 1$ such that $\bar{u} = u(\cdot/\bar{\theta}) \in \mathcal{P}$. Using the lower semicontinuity of the $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -norm, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\bar{u}) &= a \frac{\bar{\theta}^{N-2}}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + b \frac{(4-N)\bar{\theta}^{2(N-2)}}{4N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq \liminf_n \frac{a}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \frac{b(4-N)}{4N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^2 = \lim_n I(u_n) = \mu \end{aligned}$$

and then we conclude.

References

- [1] Alves, C. O., Correa, F. J. S. A., Ma, T. F.: Positive solutions for a quasi-linear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 49: 85-93 (2005).
- [2] Berestycki, H., Lions, P.L.: Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 82: 313-345 (1983).
- [3] Coleman, S., Glaser, V., Martin, A.: Action minima among solutions to a class of euclidean scalar field equations. *Commun. math. Phys.*, 58: 211-221 (1978).
- [4] He, X., Zou, W.: Infinitely many positive solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems. *Nonlin. Anal.*, 70: 1407-1414 (2009).
- [5] Kirchhoff, G.: *Mechanik*. Teubner, Leipzig (1883).
- [6] Lions, J.L.: On some questions in boundary value problems in Mathematical Physics, Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations (Rio de Janeiro, 1977), North-Holland Math. Stud., 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 284-346 (1978).
- [7] Ma, T.F.: Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. *Nonlin. Anal.*, 63: e1967-e1977 (2005).

- [8] Mao, A., Zhang, Z.: Sign-changing and multiple solutions of Kirchhoff type problems without the P.S. condition. *Nonlin. Anal.*, 70: 1275-1287 (2009).
- [9] Pomponio, A., Secchi, S.: A note on coupled nonlinear Schrödinger systems under the effect of general nonlinearities. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 9: 741750 (2010).
- [10] Perera, K., Zhang, Z.T.: Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index. *J. Diff. Eq.*, 221: 246-255 (2006).
- [11] Ricceri, B.: On an elliptic Kirchhoff-type problem depending on two parameters. *J. Global Opt.*, doi: 10.1007/s10898-009-9438-7 (2009).
- [12] Ruiz, D., Siciliano, G.: Existence of ground states for a modified nonlinear Schrodinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, 23: 1221–1233 (2010).
- [13] Shatah, J.: Unstable ground state of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 290: 701–710 (1985)
- [14] Vasconcellos, C.F.: On a nonlinear stationary problem in unbounded domains. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Comput. Madrid*, 5: 309–318 (1992).
- [15] Zhang, Z.T., Perera, K.: Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via invariant sets of descent flow. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 317: 456-463 (2006).