

# $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ at high temperature

I.M.Narodetskii, Yu.A.Simonov, and A.I.Veselov

*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow 117218, Russia*

## Abstract

We use the screened Coulomb potential with  $r$ -dependent coupling constant and the non-perturbative quark-antiquark potential derived within the Field Correlator Method (FCM) to calculate  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  binding energies and melting temperatures in the deconfined phase of quark-gluon plasma.

**keywords:** Quark-gluon plasma, non-perturbative potential, heavy mesons

**PACS:** 12.38Lg, 14.20Lq, 25.75Mq

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Since 1986, the gold-plated signature of deconfinement was thought to be  $J/\psi$  suppression [1]. If Debye screening of the Coulomb potential above  $T_c$  is strong enough then  $J/\psi$  production in A+A collisions will be suppressed. Indeed, applying the Bargmann condition [2] for the screened Coulomb potential

$$V_C(r) = -\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\alpha_s(r)}{r} \cdot e^{-m_d r}, \quad (1)$$

where  $m_d$  is the Debye mass, we obtain the simple estimate for the number of, say,  $c\bar{c}$   $S$ -wave bound states

$$n \leq \mu_c \int_0^\infty |V_C(r)| r dr = \frac{4\alpha_s}{3} \cdot \frac{\mu_c}{m_d}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu_c$  is the constituent mass of the  $c$ -quark and for the moment we neglect the  $r$ -dependence of  $\alpha_s$ . Taking  $\mu_c = 1.4$  GeV and  $\alpha_s = 0.39$  we conclude that if  $m_d \geq 0.7$  GeV, there is no  $J/\psi$  bound state. Parenthetically, we note that no light or strange mesons ( $\mu \sim 300 - 500$  MeV) survive. But this is not the full story.

There is a significant change of views on physical properties and underlying dynamics of quark–gluon plasma (QGP), produced at RHIC, see *e.g.* [3] and references there in. Instead of behaving like a gas of free quasiparticles – quarks and gluons, the matter created in RHIC interacts much more strongly than originally expected. Also, the interaction deduced from lattice studies is strong enough to support  $Q\bar{Q}$  bound states. It is more appropriate to describe the non-perturbative (NP) properties of the QCD phase close to  $T_c$  in terms remnants of the non-perturbative part of the QCD force rather than a strongly coupled Coulomb force.

In the QCD vacuum, the NP quark-antiquark potential is  $V = \sigma r$ . At  $T \geq T_c$ ,  $\sigma = 0$ , but that does not mean that the NP potential disappears. In a recent paper [4] we calculated binding energies for the lowest  $Q\bar{Q}$  and  $QQQ$  eigenstates ( $Q = c, b$ ) above  $T_c$  using the NP  $Q\bar{Q}$  potential derived in the Field Correlator Method (FCM) [5] and the screened Coulomb potential with the strong coupling constant  $\alpha_s = 0.35$  in Eq. (1). In this talk we extend our analysis to the case of the running  $\alpha_s(r)$ .

## 2 The Field Correlator Method as applied to finite T

The NP  $Q\bar{Q}$  potential can be studied through the modification of the correlator functions, which define the quadratic field correlators of the nonperturbative vacuum fields

$$\langle \text{tr } F_{\mu\nu}(x) \Phi(x, 0) F_{\lambda\sigma}(0) \rangle = \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu;\lambda\sigma} D(x) + \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu;\lambda\sigma} D_1(x), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu;\lambda\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu;\lambda\sigma}$  are the two covariant tensors constructed from  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $x_\mu x_\nu$ ,  $\Phi(x, 0)$  is the Schwinger parallel transporter,  $x$  Euclidian.

At  $T \geq T_c$ , one should distinguish the color electric correlators  $D^E(x)$ ,  $D_1^E(x)$  and color magnetic correlators  $D^H(x)$ ,  $D_1^H(x)$ . Above  $T_c$ , the color electric correlator  $D^E(x)$  that defines the string tension at  $T = 0$  becomes zero [6] and, correspondingly,  $\sigma^E = 0$ . The color magnetic correlators  $D^H(x)$  and  $D_1^H(x)$  do not produce static quark–antiquark potentials, they only define the spatial string tension  $\sigma_s = \sigma^H$  and the Debye mass  $m_d \propto \sqrt{\sigma_s}$  that grows with  $T$ .

The main source of the NP static  $Q\bar{Q}$  potential at  $T \geq T_c$  originates from the color–electric correlator function  $D_1^E(x)$

$$V_{np}(r, T) = \int_0^{1/T} d\nu (1 - \nu T) \int_0^r \lambda d\lambda D_1^E(x). \quad (4)$$

In the confinement region the function  $D_1^E(x)$  was calculated in [7]

$$D_1^E(x) = B \frac{\exp(-M_0 x)}{x}, \quad (5)$$

where  $B = 6\alpha_s^f \sigma_f M_0$ ,  $\alpha_s^f$  being the freezing value of the strong coupling constant to be specified later,  $\sigma_f$  is the sting tension at  $T = 0$ , and the parameter  $M_0$  has the meaning of the gluelump mass. In what follows we take  $\sigma_f = 0.18 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $M_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$ . Above  $T_c$  the analytical form of  $D_1^E$  should stay unchanged at least up to  $T \sim 2T_c$ . The only change is

$B \rightarrow B(T) = \xi(T)B$ , where the factor  $\xi(T) = \left(1 - 0.36 \frac{M_0}{B} \frac{T-T_c}{T_c}\right)$  is determined by lattice data [9]. Integrating over  $\lambda$  one obtains

$$V_{np}(r, T) = \frac{B(T)}{M_0} \int_0^{1/T} (1 - \nu T) \left( e^{-\nu M_0} - e^{-\sqrt{\nu^2 + r^2}} M_0 \right) d\nu = V(\infty, T) - V(r, T) \quad (6)$$

Note that  $V(\infty, T_c) \approx 0.5$  GeV that agrees with lattice estimate for the free quark-antiquark energy.

In the framework of the FCM, the masses of heavy quarkonia are defined as

$$M_{Q\bar{Q}} = \frac{m_Q^2}{\mu_Q} + \mu_Q + E_0(m_Q, \mu_Q), \quad (7)$$

$E_0(m_Q, \mu_Q)$  is an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + V_{np} + V_C$ ,  $m_Q$  are the bare quark masses,  $\mu_Q$  are the auxiliary fields that are introduced to simplify the treatment of relativistic kinematics. The auxiliary fields are treated as c-number variational parameters to be found from the extremum condition imposed on  $M_{Q\bar{Q}}$  in Eq. (7). Such an approach allows for a very transparent interpretation of auxiliary fields as the constituent masses that appear due to the interaction. Once  $m_Q$  is fixed, the quarkonia spectrum is described. The dissociation points are defined as those temperature values for which the energy gap between  $V(\infty, T)$  and  $E_0$  disappears.

### 3 Coulomb potential

We use the perturbative screened Coulomb potential (1) with the  $r$ -dependent QCD coupling constant  $\alpha_s(r, T)$ . Note that in the entire regime of distances for which at  $T = 0$  the heavy quark potential can be described well by QCD perturbation theory  $\alpha_s(r, T)$  remains unaffected by temperature effects at least up to  $T \leq 3 T_c$  and agrees with the zero temperature running coupling  $\alpha_s(r, 0) = \alpha_s(r)$ . For our purposes, we find it convenient to define the  $r$ -dependent coupling constant in terms of the  $\mathbf{q}^2$  dependent constant  $\alpha_B(\mathbf{q}^2)$  calculated in the background perturbation theory (BPTh) [8]

$$\alpha_s(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dq \frac{\sin qr}{q} \alpha_B(\mathbf{q}^2). \quad (8)$$

The formula for  $\alpha_B(\mathbf{q}^2)$  is obtained by solving the two-loop renormalization group equation for the running coupling constant in QCD:

$$\alpha_B(\mathbf{q}^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 t} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{\ln t}{t} \right), \quad t = \ln \frac{\mathbf{q}^2 + m_B^2}{\Lambda_V^2}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\beta_i$  are the coefficients of the QCD  $\beta$ -function.

In Eq. (9) the parameter  $m_B \sim 1$  GeV has the meaning of the mass of the lowest hybrid excitation. The result can be viewed as arising from the interaction of a gluon with

Table 1:  $J/\psi$  above the deconfinement region.  $V(\infty, T)$  is the continuum threshold (a constant shift in the potential). Units are GeV or  $\text{GeV}^{-1}$ .

$T/T_c$	$V(\infty)$	$\mu_b$	$E_0 - V(\infty)$	$r_0$	$M_{J/\psi}$
1	0.445	1.443	-0.011	8.23	3.235
1.2	0.368	1.423	-0.003	10.07	3.171

background vacuum fields. We employ the values  $\Lambda_V = 0.36 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_B = 0.95 \text{ GeV}$ , which lie within the range determined in Ref. [10]. The result is consistent with the freezing of  $\alpha_B(r)$  with a magnitude 0.563 (see Table 4 of Ref. [11]. The zero temperature potential with the above choice of the parameters gives a fairly good description of the quarkonium spectrum [10]. At finite temperature we utilize the information on  $m_d$  in Eq. (1) from Ref. [12]. For pure-gauge  $\text{SU}(3)$  theory ( $T_c = 275 \text{ MeV}$ )  $m_d$  varies between 0.8 GeV and 1.4 GeV, when  $T$  varies between  $T_c$  and  $2T_c$ .

## 4 Results

The solutions for the binding energy for the  $1S$   $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  states are shown in Tables 1, 2. In these Tables we present the constituent quark masses  $\mu_Q$  for  $c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$ , the differences  $\varepsilon_Q = E_0 - V_{Q\bar{Q}}(\infty)$ , the mean squared radii  $r_0 = \sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle}$ , and the masses of the  $Q\bar{Q}$  mesons. We employ  $m_c = 1.4 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_b = 4.8 \text{ GeV}$ . Note that, as in the confinement region, the constituent masses  $\mu_Q$  only slightly exceed bare quark masses  $m_Q$  that reflect smallness of the kinetic energies of heavy quarks.

At  $T = T_c$  we obtain the weakly bound  $c\bar{c}$  state that disappears at  $T \sim 1.3 T_c$ . The charmonium masses lie in the interval 3.2 - 3.3 GeV, that agrees with the results of Ref. [9]. Note that immediately above  $T_c$  the mass of the  $c\bar{c}$  state is about 0.2 GeV higher than that of  $J/\psi$ . As expected, the  $\Upsilon$  state remains intact up to the larger temperatures,  $T \sim 2.3 T_c$ , see Table 2. The masses of the  $L = 0$  bottomonium lie in the interval 9.7–9.8 GeV, about 0.2–0.3 GeV higher than 9.460 GeV, the mass of  $\Upsilon(1S)$  at  $T = 0$ . At  $T = T_c$  the  $b\bar{b}$  separation  $r_0$  is 0.25 fm that compatible with  $r_0 = 0.28 \text{ fm}$  at  $T = 0$ . The  $1S$  bottomonium undergo very little modification till  $T \sim 2 T_c$ . The results agree with those found previously for a constant  $\alpha_s = 0.35$  [4]. We also mention that the melting temperatures for  $\Omega_c$  and  $\Omega_b$  calculated in [4] practically coincide with those for  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$ .

Our results for  $1S(J/\psi)$  are qualitatively agree with those of Refs. [13], [14] based on phenomenological  $Q\bar{Q}$  potentials identified with the free quark-antiquark energy measured on the lattice while our melting temperature for  $1S(\Upsilon)$  is much smaller than  $T \sim (4 - 6) T_c$  found in Ref. [14].

This work was supported in part by RFBR Grants # 08-02-00657, # 08-02-00677, # 09-02-00629 and by the grant for scientific schools # NSh.4961.2008.2.

Table 2:  $1S\ b\bar{b}$  state above the deconfinement region.

$T/T_c$	$V(\infty, T)$	$\mu_b$	$E_0(T) - V(\infty, T)$	$r_0$	$M_{b\bar{b}}$
1	0.445	4.948	-0.255	1.39	9.796
1.3	0.332	4.922	-0.158	1.69	9.777
1.6	0.237	4.894	-0.084	2.23	9.755
2.0	0.134	4.854	-0.022	4.23	9.712
2.2	0.090	4.831	-0.006	6.77	9.684
2.3	0.070	4.821	-0.002	8.32	9.668

## References

- [1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. **B178**, 416 (1986)
- [2] V. Bargmann, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), **38** 961 (1952)
- [3] M. J. Tannenbaum, Rep. Prog. Phys. **69**, 2005 (2006)
- [4] I. M. Narodetskiy, Yu. A. Simonov, A. I. Veselov, JETP Lett. **90**, 232 (2009) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **90**, 254 (2009)];
- [5] for a recent review see A. V. Nefediev, Yu. A. Simonov, M. A. Trusov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E18**, 549 (2009) and references therein
- [6] Yu. A. Simonov, JETP Lett. **54**, 249 (1991); Phys. At. Nucl. **58**, 309 (1995)
- [7] Yu. A. Simonov, Phys. Lett. B **619**, 293 (2005)
- [8] Yu. A. Simonov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **58**, 107 (1995), [Yad. Fiz. **58**, 113 (1995)].
- [9] A. DiGiacomo, E. Meggiolaro, Yu. A. Simonov, and A. I. Veselov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **70**, 908 (2007)
- [10] A. M. Badalian and D. S. Kuzmenko, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 016004 (2002).
- [11] R. Ya. Kezerashvili, I. M. Narodetskiy, A. I. Veselov, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 034003 (2009)
- [12] N. O. Agasian, Phys. Lett. **B 562**, 257 (2003), [arXiv:hep-ph/0303127].
- [13] D. Blaschke, O. Kaczmarek, E. Laermann, V. Yudinchev, Eur. Phys. J. C **43**, 81 (2005)
- [14] W. M. Alberico, A. Beraudo, A. De Pace, and A. Molinari, arXiv: hep-ph/0507084