

UPPER SEMICONTINUOUS ATTRACTORS FOR 3D HYPERVISCOUS FLOW

ABDELHAFID YOUNSI

ABSTRACT. We regularized the 3D Navier-Stokes equations by adding a high-order viscosity term. We first prove the existence of the global attractors of the Leray-Hopf weak solutions of the regularized 3D Navier-Stokes equations and then we study the upper semicontinuity, as the artificial dissipation ε goes to 0. We also consider applications of obtained results to the regularized problem by allowing the family of forcing functions to vary with ε , for $\varepsilon > 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the robustness, or upper semicontinuity of the global attractors of the Leray-Hopf weak solutions of modified three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. We regularized the 3D Navier-Stokes system by adding a high order artificial viscosity term to the conventional system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^l u^\varepsilon - \nu \Delta u^\varepsilon + (u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u^\varepsilon + \nabla p &= f(x), \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon &= 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0^\varepsilon, \text{ in } \Omega, \\ p(x + Le_i, t) &= p(x, t), u^\varepsilon(x + Le_i, t) = u^\varepsilon(x, t) \quad i = 1, 2, 3, t \in (0, \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega = (0, L)^3$ with periodic boundary conditions and (e_1, \dots, e_d) is the natural basis of \mathbb{R}^d . Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is the artificial dissipation parameter, u^ε is the velocity vector field, p is the pressure, $\nu > 0$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid and f is a given force field. For $\varepsilon = 0$, the model is reduced to 3D Navier-Stokes system.

Mathematical model for such fluid motion has been used extensively in turbulence simulations (see e.g. [3, 4, 10]). For further discussion of theoretical results concerning (1.1), see [1, 2, 5, 12, 16, 17, 21, 23].

In the work [23], the strong convergence of the solution of this problem to the solution of the conventional system as the regularization parameter goes to zero, was established for each dimension $d \leq 4$.

For the 3D Navier-Stokes system weak solutions of problem are known to exist by a basic result by J. Leray from 1934 [11], only the uniqueness of weak solutions remains as an open problem. Then the known theory of global attractors of infinite dimensional dynamical systems is not applicable to the 3D Navier-Stokes system.

The theory of trajectory attractors for evolution partial differential equations was developed in [15, 19], which the uniqueness theorem of solutions of the corresponding initial-value problem is not proved yet, e.g. for the 3D Navier-Stokes system (see [8, 15, 18, 19]). Such trajectory attractor is a classical global attractor

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35-xx, 76Dxx, 76D05, 35D-xx, 35B41, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Navier Stokes equations; attractor; upper semicontinuity; hyperviscosity.

but in the space of weak solutions defined on $[0, \infty)$, with the corresponding semigroup being simply the translation in time of such solutions. A compact set $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq E$ is said to be a global attractor of a semigroup $\{S(t), t > 0\}$ acting in a Banach or Hilbert space E if \mathfrak{A} is strictly invariant with respect to $\{S(t)\} : S(t)\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A} \forall t \geq 0$ and \mathfrak{A} attracts any bounded set $B \subset E : \text{dist}(S(t)B, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow 0 (t \rightarrow \infty)$ (see [14], [15], [18], [19], [21]).

In this article, we study the upper semicontinuity, of the global attractors of the Leray-Hopf weak solutions of a regularized 3D Navier-Stokes equations, as the artificial dissipation ε goes to 0. While there exist other examples of such robustness in the literature of the Navier-Stokes equations, the specific emphasis on the regularized problem is new for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations and is of interest. This would be an extension of the earlier work on Ou and Sritharan for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations, see references [16] and [17]. It is now known that there is a global attractor \mathfrak{A}_0 for the Leray-Hopf weak solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, see Sell [18, 19] and Chepyzhov [13].

The main object of this paper is to show that there is a global attractor, which one might denote by \mathfrak{A}_ε , for the regularized problem (1.1), and that the family $\{\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon\}$ is upper semicontinuous at $\varepsilon = 0$. Moreover, we can modify the argument described above so that the final result will have broader applicability by allowing the family of forcing functions f^ε to vary with ε , for $\varepsilon > 0$.

The family of sets \mathfrak{A}_ε , $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ is robust at \mathfrak{A}_0 , or is upper semicontinuous with respect to ε at $\varepsilon_0 = 0$, provided that, for every $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there is a neighborhood $O(\varepsilon_0)$ of $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and a neighborhood $N_{\varepsilon_0}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ of \mathfrak{A}_0 , such that $\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon \subset N_{\varepsilon_0}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, for every $\varepsilon \in O(\varepsilon_0)$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, see (23.13) in [19].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the relevant mathematical framework for the paper. In Section 3, we recall the definition of the trajectory attractor \mathfrak{A}_0 of the conventional 3-D Navier-Stokes equations. In Section 4, we study the regularized problem (see equation (1.1)), then we show the existence of trajectory attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε . In Section 5, we present the main result of this paper, that is, a theorem on the upper semicontinuity on the attractors \mathfrak{A}_ε . Finally, an application of our general results to the study of the robustness of the system (1.1) with a perturbed external force.

2. PRELIMINARY

We denote by $H_{per}^m(\Omega)$, the Sobolev space of L -periodic functions endowed with the inner product

$$(u, v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (D^\alpha u, D^\alpha v)_{L^2(\Omega)} \text{ and the norm } \|u\|_m = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We define the spaces V_m as completions of smooth, divergence-free, periodic, zero-average functions with respect to the H_{per}^m norms. V'_m denote the dual space of V_m and V denote the space V_0 .

We present the topology to be used for generating the neighborhood of robustness. Let F any vector space. A metric $d(f, g)$ on F is said to be invariant if

$$d(f, g) = d(f - g, 0) \text{ for all } f, g \in F.$$

A Fréchet space is a complete topological vector space whose topology is induced by a translation invariant metric $d(f, g)$. Given a Banach space X , with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote by $L_{loc}^p[0, \infty; X)$ the Fréchet space of measurable

functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ that are p -integrable over $[0, T]$, for each $0 < T < \infty$, endow with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \min(\|f - g\|_{L^p(0, n; X)}, 1).$$

We denote by $L_{loc}^p(0, \infty; X)$ the Fréchet space of measurable functions $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ that are p -integrable over $[t_0, T]$, for each $0 < t_0 \leq T < \infty$ endow with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \min(\|f - g\|_{L^p(\frac{1}{n}, n; X)}, 1).$$

Similarly for $p = \infty$, we will let $L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; X)$ denote the collection of all functions $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ with the property that, for all τ and T with $0 < T < \infty$, one has $\sup_{0 < s < T} \|f\|_X < \infty$. We denote by $C[0, \infty; X)$ the space of strongly continuous functions from $[0, \infty)$ to X , endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence over compact sets and by $C_w[0, \infty; X)$ the space of weakly continuous functions from $[0, \infty)$ to X . We denote by $L^{\infty}C = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the Fréchet space $L^{\infty}C$ endow with the L_{loc}^{∞} -topology, which is the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets.

Let E be a complete metric space with metric d . We write B_r for the open ball centre $0 \in E$ and radius r . The following quantity is called the Hausdorff (non-symmetric) semidistance from a set X to a set Y in a Banach space E

$$dist_E(X, Y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \|\cdot\|_E.$$

Let M be a subset of E and let $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$. A mapping $\sigma = \sigma(u, t)$, where $\sigma : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ is said to be a semiflow on M provided the following hold

- 1) $\sigma(w, 0) = w$, for all $w \in M$.
- 2) The semigroup property holds, i. e.,

$$\sigma((w, s), t) = \sigma(w, s + t) \text{ for all } w \in M \text{ and } s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

- 3) The mapping $\sigma : M \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ is continuous.

If in addition the mapping $\sigma : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ is continuous we will say that the semiflow is continuous at $t = 0$. Here we use $t > 0$ in order that the Robustness Theorem 23.14 in [19] is valid, see Sell [19] and Hale [8]. For any $u \in M$ the positive trajectory through u is defined as the set $\gamma^+(u) = \{\sigma(t)u, t \geq 0\}$. For any set $B \subset M$ we define the positive hull $\mathcal{H}^+(B)$ and the omega limit set $\omega(B)$ as follows

$$\mathcal{H}^+(B) = Cl_M \gamma^+(B) \text{ and } \omega(B) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^+(\sigma(\tau)B).$$

If $\mathcal{A} \subset E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we write

$$N_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}) = \{z \in E, \inf_{a \in \mathcal{A}} d(z, a) < \varepsilon\}.$$

for the open ε -neighbourhood of \mathcal{A} .

We denote by A the Stokes operator $Au = -\Delta u$ for $u \in D(A)$. We recall that the operator A is a closed positive self-adjoint unbounded operator, with $D(A) = \{u \in V_0, Au \in V_0\}$. We have in fact, $D(A) = V_2$. The spectral theory of A allows us to define the powers A^l of A for $l \geq 1$, A^l is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in V_0 with a domain $D(A^l)$ dense in $V_2 \subset V_0$. We set here

$$A^l u = (-\Delta)^l u \text{ for } u \in D(A^l) = V_{2l}.$$

The space $D(A^l)$ is endowed with the scalar product and the norm

$$(u, v)_{D(A^l)} = (A^l u, A^l v), \|u\|_{D(A^l)} = \{(u, u)_{D(A^l)}\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now define the trilinear form $b(., ., .)$ associated with the inertia terms

$$b(u, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} w_j dx$$

Recall that for u satisfying $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ we have

$$b(u, u, u) = 0 \text{ and } b(u, v, w) = -b(u, w, v). \quad (2.1)$$

Hereafter, $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$, will denote a dimensionless scale invariant positive constant which might depend on the shape of the domain. The trilinear form $b(., ., .)$ is continuous on $V_{m_1}(\Omega) \times V_{m_2+1}(\Omega) \times V_{m_3}(\Omega)$, $m_{i=1,2,3} \geq 0$

$$|b(u, v, w)| \leq c_0 \|u\|_{m_1} \|v\|_{m_2+1} \|w\|_{m_3}, \quad m_3 + m_2 + m_1 \geq \frac{3}{2} \quad (2.2)$$

see [6, 19]. The continuity property of the trilinear form enables us to define (using Riesz representation theorem) a bilinear continuous operator $B(u, v); V_2 \times V_2 \rightarrow V'_2$ will be defined by

$$\langle B(u, v), w \rangle = b(u, v, w), \quad \forall w \in V_2.$$

We recall some inequalities that we will be using in what follows.

Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{\epsilon}{p} a^p + \frac{1}{q\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}}} b^q, \quad a, b, \epsilon > 0, p > 1, q = \frac{p}{p-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Poincaré's inequality

$$\lambda_1 \|u\|^2 \leq \|u\|_1^2 \text{ for all } u \in V_0, \quad (2.4)$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of the Stokes operator A .

3. NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

The conventional Navier-Stokes system can be written in the evolution form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nu Au + B(u, u) &= f, \quad t > 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \text{ and } u(x, 0) = u_0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

let $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V_0)$ be given. We will say that a function u is a weak solution of the 3D Navier-Stokes of Class LH (Leray–Hopf) on $[0, \infty)$ provided that $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in V_0$, and the following properties hold

1) $u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V_0) \cap L^2_{loc}[0, \infty; V_1)$.

2) $\frac{du}{dt} \in [L^{\frac{4}{3}}_{loc}(0, \infty; V'_1)$.

Taking the inner product of (3.1) with u , and using (2.3) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 + 2\nu \|\nabla u\|^2 = 2\langle f, u \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

by application of Young's inequality and the Poincaré's Lemma, yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla u\|^2 \leq \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu \lambda_1}, \quad (3.3)$$

using the Poincaré Lemma and Gronwall's inequality, to get

$$\|u(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\nu\lambda_1(t-t_0)} \|u(t_0)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2\lambda_1^2} \|f\|^2 \left(1 - e^{-\nu\lambda_1(t-t_0)}\right), \text{ with } 0 < t_0 < t,$$

3) which implies that

$$\|u(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\nu\lambda_1(t-t_0)} \|u(t_0)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2\lambda_1^2} \|f\|^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating (3.2) over $[t_0, t]$ we find that

$$\|u(t)\|^2 + 2\nu \int_{t_0}^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(s)\|^2 ds \leq \|u(t_0)\|^2 + 2 \int_{t_0}^t \langle f(s), u(s) \rangle ds. \quad (3.5)$$

4) The function u satisfies the following equality

$$\langle u(t) - u(t_0), v \rangle + \nu \int_{t_0}^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(s), A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle ds + \int_{t_0}^t \langle B(u(s), u(s)), v \rangle ds = \int_{t_0}^t \langle f, v \rangle ds, \quad (3.6)$$

for all $v \in V_1$ and for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

The proof of the following theorem is given in [12, 19, 22].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in V_1'$ and $u_0 \in V_0$ be given. Then for every $T > 0$, there exists a weak solution $u(t)$ of (3.1) from the space $L^2(0, T; V_1) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V_0)$, such that $u(x, 0) = u_0$ and $u(t)$ satisfies the energy equality (3.6).*

Moreover (see [22]), $u(\cdot)$ is weakly continuous from $[0, T]$ into V_0 , the function $u \in C_w([0, T]; V_0)$ and consequently $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in V_0$. Let W is the set of all Leray-Hopf weak solutions $u(\cdot)$ of equation (3.1) in the space $L^\infty(0, \infty; V_0) \cap L_{loc}^2[0, \infty; V_1)$ that satisfy the following properties

- $\frac{du}{dt} \in L_{loc}^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, \infty; V_1')$;
- for almost all t and t_0 , with $t > t_0 > 0$, inequalities (3.5, 3.6) are valid.

Let X^0 denote the Fréchet space used to define the Leray-Hopf weak solutions. Thus

$$\varphi \in X^0 = L^\infty(0, \infty; V_0) \cap L_{loc}^2[0, \infty; V_1),$$

where $\varphi \in C_w[0, \infty; V_0)$ and we let \mathfrak{F}^0 denote a compact, translation invariant set of forcing functions f in

$$L^\infty C = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\Omega)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\Omega))$$

where the topology on the Fréchet space $L^\infty C$ is the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets in \mathbb{R} .

Then, we use the Leray-Hopf solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with $\varepsilon = 0$ to generate a semiflow π^0 on $\mathfrak{F}^0 \times X^0$, where

$$\pi^0(\tau)(f, \varphi) = (f_\tau, S^0(f, \tau)\varphi) \text{ for } \tau \geq 0,$$

$f_\tau(t) = f(\tau + t)$ and $u(t) = S^0(f, t)\varphi$ is the Leray-Hopf solution of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations that satisfies $u(0) = S^0(f, 0)\varphi = \varphi(0)$. By using the theory of generalized weak solutions, as in Sell [18] or [19], we note that π^0 has a trajectory attractor $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subset \mathfrak{F}^0 \times X^0$ see Theorem 65.12 in [19], and Chepyzhov [13, 14].

4. THE REGULARIZED NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

Using the operators defined in the previous section, we can write the modified system (1.1) in the evolution form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon A^l u^\varepsilon + \nu A u^\varepsilon + B(u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) &= f(x), \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon &= 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0^\varepsilon, \text{ in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The existence and uniqueness results for initial value problem (1.1) can be found in J. L. Lions [12, Remark 6.11].

In three dimensions, the following theorem collects the main result in this work

Theorem 4.1. *For $l \geq \frac{5}{4}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, $f \in L^2(0, T; V_0')$ and $u_0^\varepsilon \in V_0$ be given. There exists a unique weak solution of (4.1) which satisfies $u^\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; V_l) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V_0)$, $\forall T > 0$.*

We introduce the following result of the convergence of u^ε as the regularized parameter $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

Theorem 4.2. *For $l \geq \frac{3}{2}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, $f \in L^2(0, T; V_0')$ and $u_0^\varepsilon \in V_0$ be given.*

i) There exists a unique weak solution of (4.1) which satisfies

$$u^\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; V_l) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V_0), \quad \forall T > 0.$$

ii) This weak solution u^ε converges strongly in $L^2(0, T; V_0)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to u a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations.

The above theorem is established directly by using of a general result [23, Theorem 3.9.]. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we let π^ε denote the semiflow on $\mathfrak{F}^0 \times X^0$ generated by the weak solutions of regularized 3D Navier-Stokes equations of (4.1). Thus

$$\pi^\varepsilon(\tau) = (f_\tau, S^\varepsilon(f, \tau)\varphi), \quad (4.2)$$

where $u_0^\varepsilon = \varphi$ and

$$u^\varepsilon(t) = S^\varepsilon(f, t)\varphi = S^\varepsilon(f, t)u_0^\varepsilon \quad (4.3)$$

is the weak solution of (4.1) that satisfies $\varphi(0) = u_0^\varepsilon$.

Regarding the existence of the attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε when $\varepsilon > 0$, we use especially the related papers of Chepyzhov and Vishik, such as [15, 13] to show that the system (4.1) possesses a global attractor. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider the trajectory space \mathcal{K}_ε of the modified Navier-Stokes equations (4.1). \mathcal{K}_ε is the union of all weak solutions $u^\varepsilon \in X^0$ that satisfy (4.1), see (6.163) in [12].

Using the described scheme in [15], we construct the spaces \mathcal{S}_b

$$\mathcal{S}_b = \{v(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; V_0) \cap L_b^2(0, T; V_1), \partial_t v(\cdot) \in L_b^2(0, T; D(A^{\frac{l}{2}})')\}$$

with norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_b} = \|v\|_{L_b^2(0, T; V_1)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V_0)} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L_b^2(0, T; D(A^{\frac{l}{2}})')}$$

where

$$\|v\|_{L_b^2(0, T; V_1)} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|v(s)\|_1^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V_0)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \geq 0} \|v\|$$

and

$$\|\partial_t v\|_{L_b^2(0, T; V_l')} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|v(s)\|_{V_l'}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We need a topology in the space \mathcal{K}_ε . We define on X^0 the following sequential topology which we denote Γ .

By definition, a sequence of functions $\{v_n\} \subseteq X^0$ converges to a function $v \in X^0$ in the topology Γ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if, for any $T > 0$, $v_n \rightarrow v$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; V_1)$; $v_n \rightarrow v$ weak-* in $L^\infty(0, T; V_0)$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^2(0, T; V_0)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We consider the topology Γ on \mathcal{K}_ε . It is easy to prove that the space \mathcal{K}_ε is closed in Γ . From the definition of \mathcal{K}_ε , it follows that $\pi^\varepsilon \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Corollary 4.3. *If $u^\varepsilon(t)$ is a solution of (4.1), then the following inequalities hold for all $t > 0$*

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\nu\lambda_1 t} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu^2\lambda_1^2}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \leq \frac{e^{-\nu\lambda_1 t}}{\nu\lambda_1} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu^2\lambda_1^2}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\nu \int_t^{t+1} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_1^2 ds \leq \frac{e^{-\nu\lambda_1 t}}{\nu\lambda_1} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu^2\lambda_1^2} + \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu\lambda_1}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Taking the inner product of (4.1) by u^ε , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2\nu \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^2 = 2(f, u^\varepsilon). \quad (4.7)$$

Applying Young's inequality and using the Poincaré Lemma, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^\varepsilon\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^2 \leq \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu\lambda_1}. \quad (4.8)$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality over $[0, t]$, we obtain (4.4). Integrating (4.4) over $[t, t+1]$ we find (4.5). Integrating (4.8) over $[t, t+1]$ we find

$$\nu \int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \leq \frac{\|f\|^2}{\nu\lambda_1} + \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2. \quad (4.9)$$

Applying inequality (4.4), we get (4.6). \square

We recall the following result

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f \in L^2(0, T; V_1')$, then, for any solution $u^\varepsilon(t)$ of problem (1.1) the time derivative $\frac{du^\varepsilon}{dt}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(0, T; V_1')$.*

A simple consequence of Lemma 3.6 [23] is the following Corollary

Corollary 4.5. *Let $f \in L^2(0, T; V_1')$, then any solution $u^\varepsilon(t)$ of (4.1) satisfies*

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|\partial_t u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 ds \leq C_3, \quad (4.10)$$

C_3 is a positive constant independent of ε .

Moreover, due to estimates (4.4) and (4.10), we also have the uniform estimate.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $f \in L^2(0, T; V_1')$, then any solution $u^\varepsilon(t)$ of (4.1) satisfies the inequality*

$$\|\pi^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)\|_{S_b}^2 \leq \frac{c_7 e^{-\nu\lambda_1 t}}{\nu\lambda_1} \|u^\varepsilon(0)\|^2 + \frac{c_7 \|f\|^2}{\nu^2\lambda_1^2} + C_4 \quad (4.11)$$

where the positive constant C_4 is independent of ε .

Proposition 4.7. *For $l \geq \frac{3}{2}$ and $f \in L^\infty C$ a time independent functions, π^ε is a continuous family of semiflows on X^0 .*

Proof. Since $u^\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; V_l)$ and $\frac{du^\varepsilon}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; V_l')$, u^ε is almost everywhere equal to an uniform continuous function from $[0, T]$ to the space V_0 . The continuity of u^ε is a direct consequence of [22, Lemma 1.4. ChIII, Sec1].

From the result of the strong convergence, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, such that

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^{\varepsilon_0}(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \forall \epsilon \geq 0, \text{ for each } \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1, \quad (4.12)$$

it follows from (4.12) that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon_0} \|\pi^\varepsilon(t) - \pi^{\varepsilon_0}(t)\|$ goes to 0, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

This shows that π^ε is continuous semiflow on X^0 and π^ε approximates π^0 uniformly for t in compact sets in $[0, \infty)$. \square

From Proposition 4.6 it follows that $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{S}_b$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $\tau > 0$. Also Proposition 4.6 implies that the semigroup π^ε has absorbing set in \mathcal{K}_ε for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $\tau > 0$ (We note, that this absorbing set does not depend on ε , since the constant C_4 in (4.11) is independent of ε), bounded in \mathcal{S}_b and inequality (4.11) implies that absorbing set is compact in Γ . The continuity of π^ε is proved. These facts are sufficient to state that π^ε has a global attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε . Such that $\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon \subset \mathfrak{F}^0 \times X^0$, bounded in \mathcal{S}_b and compact in Γ . For a more detailed, see [13, 14, 15].

5. UPPER SEMICONTINUITY OF ATTRACTORS

We now prove the robustness property for the global attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε . We have shown in Proposition 4.7 the continuity of the family of semiflows π^ε on X^0 . Having done this, We can simply invoke Theorem 23.14 in [19] to complete the proof of the robustness for the family of attractors \mathfrak{A}_ε at $\varepsilon = 0$. We denote by

$$B_R = \{u^\varepsilon(x, t), 0 \leq t, 0 < \varepsilon < 1; \|\pi^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{S}_b}^2 \leq R\}$$

with $R = \frac{c_7 e^{-\nu \lambda_1 t}}{\nu \lambda_1} \|u^\varepsilon(0)\|^2 + \frac{c_7 \|f\|^2}{\nu^2 \lambda_1^2} + C_4$, $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ is a family of solutions of system (4.1), and the norms of $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ in \mathcal{S}_b are uniformly bounded, see Proposition 4.7. It is sufficient to show that a small δ -neighbourhood of attractor \mathfrak{A}_0 is an absorbing set and π^ε approximates π^0 on B_R uniformly for all t in $[0, \infty)$, see [7, 19].

Theorem 5.1. *For $l \geq \frac{3}{2}$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ the family of semiflows π^ε generated by the weak solutions of the regularized 3D Navier-Stokes equations (4.1) admits a compact attractor $\{\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1\}$ which attracts bounded sets of V_0 and is contained in the absorbing balls B_R where R is independent of ε . Moreover, $d_{X^0}(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon, \mathfrak{A}_0) \rightarrow 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{A}_0 is a global attractor, for any bounded set $B_{R_0} = \{u(x, 0) \in V, \|u(x, 0)\| \leq R_0\} \subset V$, we have

$$d_{X^0}(\pi^0 B_{R_0}, \mathfrak{A}_0) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

Thus, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d_{X^0}(\pi^0 B_{R_0}, \mathfrak{A}_0) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{ for } t \geq t_\delta. \quad (5.2)$$

Consequently

$$\pi^0(t) B_{R_0} \subset N_\delta(\mathfrak{A}_0), \text{ for } t \geq t_\delta, \quad (5.3)$$

where $N_\delta(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ be the δ -neighborhood of \mathfrak{A}_0 . This shows that $N_\delta(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ is an absorbing set. Since π^ε approximates π^0 uniformly for all $t \geq 0$, then for any $\delta > 0$, there are $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $t_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$\pi^\varepsilon(B_R \cap B_{R_0}) \subset N_\delta(\mathfrak{A}_0), \text{ for } 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, t \geq t_0. \quad (5.4)$$

Since the attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε is contained in $B_R \cap B_{R_0}$, we have

$$\pi^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon) \subset N_\delta(\mathfrak{A}_0), \text{ for } \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1, t \geq t_0. \quad (5.5)$$

Since \mathfrak{A}_ε is an invariant set, we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon \subset N_\delta(\mathfrak{A}_0), \text{ for } 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, t \geq t_0. \quad (5.6)$$

Moreover, since δ is arbitrary, we obtain the upper semicontinuity of \mathfrak{A}_ε , at $\varepsilon_0 = 0$

$$d_{X^0}(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon, \mathfrak{A}_0) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \in O(\varepsilon_0). \quad (5.7)$$

□

One can modify the argument described above so that the final result will have broader applicability by allowing the family of forcing functions to vary with ε , for $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, we consider the regularized Navier-Stokes system (4.1) with a perturbed external force f^ε in place of f , for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon A^l u^\varepsilon + \nu A u^\varepsilon + B(u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) &= f^\varepsilon(x), \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon &= 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0^\varepsilon, \text{ in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

We show that the trajectory attractor of the perturbed system (5.8) coincides with the trajectory attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε of the unperturbed system (3.1). Our results rely on the work of Hale ([15]) who show that the limit behaviour is valid even through \mathfrak{F}^ε , where \mathfrak{F}^ε denote a compact, translation invariant set of perturbed forcing functions to vary with ε , for $\varepsilon > 0$ and satisfy the condition

$$\omega(\mathcal{H}^+(f^\varepsilon)) = \omega(\mathcal{H}^+(f)). \quad (5.9)$$

Thus we would use \mathfrak{F}^ε in place of \mathfrak{F}^0 , for $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, by using a metric d on the $L^\infty C$ -toplogy, see [19] for some samples, we can note that (5.9) is equivalent to saying that for every $\delta > 0$ there is an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $T_\delta = T(\delta) \geq 0$ such that

$$d_{X^0}(f^\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}^0) \leq \delta, \text{ for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2 \text{ and } f^\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{F}^\varepsilon \quad (5.10)$$

for any $t \geq T_\delta$, that is

$$\mathfrak{F}^\varepsilon \subset N_\delta(\mathfrak{F}^0), \text{ for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2, \quad (5.11)$$

where N_δ denotes the δ -neighborhood of \mathfrak{F}^0 in $L^\infty C$. The resulting argument for robustness will then depend on two parameters $\lambda = (\varepsilon, \delta)$, where $\lambda \rightarrow (0, 0)$.

The following statement generalizes Theorem 5.1

Theorem 5.2. *Under the above conditions, the trajectory attractor of the perturbed 3D Navier-Stokes system (5.8) coincides with the trajectory attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε of the non-perturbed system (3.1). Moreover, the perturbed attractor of (5.8) is upper semicontinuous with respect to ε at $\varepsilon = 0$.*

Proof. The existence of trajectory attractor \mathfrak{A}_ε is treated above. The proof follows from formulas (5.9), (5.11) and Theorem 5.1. □

Proposition 4.7 can be used to extend the 2D result of Ou and Sritharan[16] to l -laplacian with $l > 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Avrin, The Asymptotic Finite-dimensional Character of a Spectrally-hyperviscous Model of 3D Turbulent Flow. *J. Dynam. Diff. Eqns.* 20(2008), 1-40.
- [2] J. Avrin, Singular initial data and uniform global bounds for the hyperviscous Navier–Stokes equations with periodic boundary conditions. *J. Diff. Eq.* 190(2003), 330-351.
- [3] P. Bartello, O. Metais and M. Lesieur, Coherent structures in rotating three-dimensional turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 1994, vol. 273, pp. 1-29.
- [4] C. Basdevant, B. Legras, R. Sadourny, M. B eland, A study of barotropic model flows: intermittency, waves and predictability, *J. Atmos. Sci.* 38 (1981) 2305–2326.
- [5] M. Cannone and G. Karch, About the regularized Navier-Stokes equations, *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics* 7, No. 1 (2005), 1 - 28.
- [6] P. Constantin, C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988.
- [7] J. K. Hale, X. B. Lin, and G. Raugel. Upper semicontinuity of attractors for approximations of semigroups and partial differential equations. *Mathematics of Computation*, 50(181):89-123, 1988.
- [8] J. K. Hale, Asymptotic behavior of dissipative systems, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* n  25, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, R.I., 1988.
- [9] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, Nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, Vol. 25 (1962) pp. 151-160.
- [10] B. Legras, G. David, Dritschel, A comparison of the contour surgery and pseudo-spectral methods, *J. Comput. Phys.* 104 (2) (1993) 287–302.
- [11] J. Leray, Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace., *Acta Mathematica*, 63 (1934), pp. 193–248.
- [12] J. L. Lions, *Quelques M thodes de R solution des Probl mes aux Limites Non Lin aires*, Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [13] V. Chepyzhov, E. S. Titi , M. Vishik On the convergence of solutions of the Leray-alpha model to the trajectory attractor of the 3D Navier-Stokes system. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems.* 17. 2007. N.3. P.481-500.
- [14] V. V. Chepyzhov and M. I. Vishik, *Attractors for Equations of Mathematical Physics*. AMS Colloquium Publications. V. 49. Providence: AMS, 2002.
- [15] V. V. Chepyzhov and M. I. Vishik, Trajectory attractors for evolution equations, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Series I*, 321 (1995), 1309-1314.
- [16] Y. Ou and S. S. Sritharan, Upper Semicontinuous Global Attractors for Viscous Flow, *Journal: Dynamic Systems and Applications* 5 (1996), 59-80.
- [17] Y. U. Ou and S. S. Sritharan, Analysis Of Regularized Navier-Stokes Equations I, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 49, 651-685 (1991).
- [18] G. Sell, Global attractors for the three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations. *J. Dyn. Diff. Eq.*, 8, 1–33 (1996).
- [19] G. Sell and Y. You, *Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 68, New york, 2002.
- [20] S. S. Sritharan, Deterministic and stochastic control of Navier-Stokes equation with linear, monotone, and hyperviscosities, *Appl. Math. Optim.* 41 (2) (2000) 255–308.
- [21] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences Series, 68, New york, Springer-Verlag, 2nd ed. 1997.
- [22] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations*. North-Holland Pub. Company, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [23] A. Yousi; Effect of hyperviscosity on the Navier-Stokes turbulence, *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.*, Vol. 2010(2010), No. 110, pp. 1-19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE , UNIVERSITY OF DJELFA , ALGERIA.
 E-mail address: yousihafid@gmail.com