

Uniqueness of singular solution of semilinear elliptic equation *

Baishun, Lai and Qing, Luo

Department of Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475001, PR China

E-mail: laibaishun@henu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study asymptotic behavior of solution near 0 for a class of elliptic problem. The uniqueness of singular solution is established.

Keywords: Nonhomogeneous semilinear elliptic equation; Positive solutions; Asymptotic behavior; Singular solutions

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the elliptic equation

$$\Delta u + K(|x|)u^p + \mu f(|x|) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $n \geq 3$, $\Delta = \sum_1^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ is the Laplace operator, $p > 1$ is a constant, $\mu \geq 0$ is a parameter, and f and K are given locally Hölder continuous function in $R^n \setminus \{0\}$, so that the solutions of (1.1) are classical on $0 < |x| < \infty$. However, at $x = 0$, when K is "bad", usually we cannot expect the solutions to be differentiable, or even continuous owing to the singularity of K at $x = 0$. Let u be a solution of (1.1), the singular point $x = 0$ of u is called a removable singular point if $u(0) \equiv \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x)$ exists, otherwise $x = 0$ is called a nonremovable singular point. It is shown by Ni and Yotsutani [13] that when $x = 0$ is a removable singular point of a solution of (1.1), the existence of the derivatives of the solution depends on the "blow up" rate of K at $x = 0$ [13, Proposition 4.4].

Let $u \in C^2(R^n \setminus 0)$ be a solution of (1.1). If $x = 0$ is a removable singular point of u , then u is said to be a regular solution of (1.1), otherwise u is said to be a singular solution.

The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of singular positive solutions and to obtain the uniqueness of singular positive solutions of (1.1) which has diverse physical and geometrical backgrounds. In particular, in the case $K = 1$ and $p = 2$, (1.1) arises naturally in establishing occupation time limit theorems for super-Brownian motions which requires analyzing cumulant generating functions satisfying some integral equations equivalent to the parabolic counterparts of (1.1). There are

*Supported in part by the National Science Funds of China (10901047)

many works devoted to the studying the existence, monotonicity and asymptotic expansion at infinity of positive solutions of the equation (1.1). We refer the interested readers to [2,3,4,6,7, 9-12] and the references therein.

In this paper, we consider positive radial solutions of (1.1) with radial functions K, f . The radial version of (1.1) is of the form

$$u'' + \frac{n-1}{r}u' + K(r)u^p + \mu f = 0.$$

For the same reasons, the regular solutions that have finite limits at $r = 0$, are particularly interesting, which lead us to consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \frac{n-1}{r}u' + K(r)u^p + \mu f = 0, \\ u(0) = \alpha. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

We use $u_\alpha = u(r, \alpha)$ to denote the unique solution of (1.2).

First, we introduce the following notations, which will be used throughout this paper:

$$m \equiv \frac{l+2}{p-1}, \quad L \equiv [m(n-2-m)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

$$p_c = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-2)^2 - 2(l+2)(n+l) + 2(l+2)\sqrt{(n+l)^2 - (n-2)^2}}{(n-2)(n-10-4l)}, & n > 10 + 4l, \\ \infty, & 3 \leq n \leq 10 + 4l. \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(n, p, l) = \frac{(n-2-2m) + \sqrt{(n-2-2m)^2 - 4(l+2)(n-2-m)}}{2}.$$

The hypotheses of $K(|x|)$ are often divided into two cases: the fast decay case and the slow decay case. In this paper, we will focus on the slow decay case, i.e. $K(r) \geq cr^l$, for some $l > -2$ and r large. First, let us introduce a collection of hypotheses on $K(|x|)$ and f :

(K.1) $K(|x|) = k_\infty|x|^l + O(|x|^{-d_1})$ at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for some constants $k_\infty > 0$ and $d_1 > n - \lambda_2 - m(p+1)$.

(K.2) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |x|^{-l}K(|x|) = k_0 > 0$.

(K.3) $K(r)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and $\frac{d}{dr}(r^{-l}K(r)) \leq 0$ for a.e. $r > 0$.

(f.1) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^d f(|x|) = b \geq 0$, where $0 < d \leq m+2$.

(f.2) $f(x) = O(|x|^{-q})$ near ∞ for some $q > n - m - \lambda_2$.

(f'.2) $\int_0(r^d f)_r^+ dr < \infty$.

(K'.2) $\int_0(r^{-l}K(r))_r^+ dr < \infty$.

(f'.3) $\int_0(r^d f)_r^- dr < \infty$.

(K'.3) $\int_0(r^{-l}K(r))_r^- dr < \infty$, where $k^\pm = \max\{\pm k, 0\}$, $r = |x|$.

Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1. Suppose that $K(r)$ satisfies (K.1) – (K.3), (K'.2) f satisfies (f.1) and (f.2), (f'.2), $p > p_c$, $0 < d < 2$. Then (1.2) has one and only one singular solution $U(r)$, Furthermore, for any regular solution $u(r)$, the following holds

$$u(r) < U(r) \leq \frac{L}{(r^{-l}K(r))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r^m}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the asymptotic behavior of singular positive solution of (1.2) near 0 is studied. Finally, the uniqueness result about the singular positive solution is established.

2 Asymptotic behavior of singular solution near 0

In this section, we obtain the asymptotic behavior of the positive radial solution of (1.2) near 0. First, we obtain the prior estimates of the positive solution of (1.2) near 0.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p > 1$ and u be a positive solution of (1.2) in $B_r \setminus \{0\}$. Then, there exists a positive constant R such that for $0 < r < R$, $u(r) \leq Cr^{-\frac{2+l}{p-1}}$ for some constant C .

Proof. From (1.2), we have

$$(r^{n-1}u'(r))' + r^{n-1}K(r)u^p \leq 0,$$

then, there exists a small positive constant $r_k < r$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}u'(r) &= r_k^{n-1}u'(r_k) - \int_{r_k}^r r^{n-1}K(r)u^p dr \\ &\leq - \int_{r_k}^r r^{n-1}K(r)u^p dr, \end{aligned}$$

since $u'(r_k) < 0$ near 0. From that,

$$\frac{u'(r)}{u^p(r)} \leq -\frac{C}{r^{n-1}} \int_{r_k}^r s^{n-1}K(s)ds \leq Cr^{l+1} \quad (2.1).$$

Integrating (2.1) over $(0, r)$, we have $u(r) \leq Cr^{-\frac{2+l}{p-1}}$, and the proof is completed.

Now, we verify the following asymptotic behavior of u near 0 by using the Li's energy method in [9]

Theorem 2.2. Let u be a positive solution of (1.2) near 0. Assume that $u(r) = O(r^{-m})$ at 0 and K, f satisfy

- (i) $(f.1), (K.1)$ and $(f'.2), (K'.2)$ if $p > \frac{n+2+2l}{n-2}$ or
- (ii) $(f.1), (K.1)$ and $(f'.3), (K'.3)$ if $\frac{n+l}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2+2l}{n-2}$ with $d = m + 2, b \geq 0$.

Then, $b \leq \max_{z \in R^+} \{L^{p-1}z - k_0 z^p\}$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^m u(r) = z_1$ or z_2 , where z_1 and z_2 , $z_1 \leq z_2$ are two roots of the equation $k_0 z^p - L^{p-1}z + b = 0$.

Proof. Denote $v(t) := r^m u, t = \log r$, then

$$v''(t) + av'(t) - L^{p-1}v + k(t)v^p + g(t) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $a = n - 2 - 2m, k(t) = e^{-lt}K(e^t)$ and $g(t) := e^{(m+2)t}f(e^t)$. Suppose that

$$0 \leq \alpha = \liminf_{t \rightarrow -\infty} v(t) < \limsup_{t \rightarrow -\infty} v(t) = \beta < \infty.$$

Then, there exist two sequences $\{\eta_i\}$ and $\{\xi_i\}$ going to $-\infty$ as $i \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\{\eta_i\}$ and $\{\xi_i\}$ are local minima and local maxima of v , respectively, satisfying $\eta_i < \xi_i < \eta_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Define an energy function

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2}(v')^2 - \frac{L^{p-1}}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}k(t)v^{p+1} + bv. \quad (2.3)$$

Now, multiplying (2.2) by $v'(t)$ and integrating by parts over $[t, T]$ (T is a constant) we obtain

$$E(t) + a \int_t^T (v')^2 ds + [g(t) - b]v(t) = C(T) + \int_t^T g' ds + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_t^T v^{p+1} k' ds. \quad (2.4)$$

Assume that f, K satisfy (f.1), (K.1) and (f'.2), (K'.2) and $p > \frac{n+2+2l}{n-2}$, from (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) + a \int_t^T (v')^2 ds + [g(t) - b]v(t) + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_t^T v^{p+1} [k']^- ds + \int_t^T [g']^- ds \\ = C(T) + \int_t^T [g']^+ ds + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_t^T v^{p+1} [k']^+ ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since v is bounded, $E(\eta_i)$ is bounded independently of i and $[k']^+, [g']^+ \in L^1(T, \infty)$, we conclude that

$$\int_T^{\eta_i} V_s^2 ds, \quad \int_T^{\eta_i} [k']^- V^{p+1} ds \quad \text{and} \quad \int_T^{\eta_i} [g']^- ds$$

are bounded independent of i which implies that

$$\int_{-\infty}^T (v')^2 ds < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Similarly, if f, K satisfy (f.1), (K.1) and (f'.3), (K'.3), we also have $V_s \in L^2(-\infty, T)$. It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that $E = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} E(t)$ exists, which in turn from (2.3) implies $v'(t)$ is bounded. Then, from (2.2), $v''(t)$ is bounded also and from (2.5), $v'(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

Let $h(v) = -\frac{L^{p-1}}{2}v^2 + \frac{k_0}{p+1}v^{p+1} + bv$. Since

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\eta_i) = h(\alpha) = E = h(\beta) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\xi_i),$$

we choose $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ and $t_i \in (\eta_i, \xi_i)$ such that $v(t_i) = \gamma$, $\frac{dh}{dv}(\gamma) = 0$ and $h(\gamma) \neq E$. However $E = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(t_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{2}v'(t_i)^2 + h(\gamma)) = h(\gamma)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $v_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} v(t)$ exists. For given $\tilde{\xi} > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{s_i\}$ converging to $-\infty$ such that $|v'(s_i)| \leq \tilde{\xi}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $E(s_i)$ is bounded, we obtain (2.5) from (2.4). From (2.4) and (2.5), $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} E(t)$ exists. Thus, (2.3) implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} v'(t) = 0$. Then, by (2.2), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v''(t)$ exists and must be 0. Therefore, we conclude from (2.2) that $b \leq \max_{z \in R^+} \{L^{p-1}z - k_0 z^p\}$ and $v_\infty = z_1$ or z_2 . The proof is completed.

Corollary 2.3. Let u be a positive solution of (1.2) near 0, and f, K satisfy the same condition as in Theorem 2.2 except that $d = m + 2$ is replaced by $0 < d < m + 2$. Then $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^m u(r) = \frac{L^{p-1}}{k_0}$ or 0.

In case $0 < d < m + 2$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{(m+2)t} f(e^t) = 0$, similar to that of Theorem 2.2, we can immediately obtain $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^m u(r) = \frac{L^{p-1}}{k_0}$ or 0. The detail proof is omitted here

Theorem 2.4. Let $p > \frac{n+l}{n-2}$. Suppose that $f(r) = O(r^{-d})$ at 0 with $d < m + 2$. Then, any positive solution of (1.2) satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^m u(r) = 0$ has the asymptotic behavior at 0 such that

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} O(r^{2-d}) & \text{if } 2 < d < m + 2, \\ O(|\log r|) & \text{if } d = 2, \\ O(1) & \text{if } d < 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we claim that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $u(r) = O(r^{-m+\beta})$ near 0. Set $v(r) = r^m u(r)$ for $r > 0$ and $L_\varepsilon v \equiv \Delta v - \frac{2mv'}{r} - m(n-2-m-\varepsilon)\frac{v}{r^2} + \mu r^m f$. Then, $v(r)$ satisfies

$$L_\varepsilon v - m\varepsilon \frac{v}{r^2} + \frac{v^p}{r^2} K(r) r^{-l} = 0$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $L_\varepsilon v \geq 0$ for $0 < r \leq R_\varepsilon$.

On the other hand, for $0 < \varepsilon < n - 2 - m$, let $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = |x|^{\beta_\varepsilon}$ we have

$$L_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon = [\beta(\beta-1) + (n-1-2m)\beta - m(n-2-m-\varepsilon)]|x|^{\beta_\varepsilon-2} + \mu r^m f$$

in $R^n \setminus \{0\}$. Choosing $\beta_\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\beta_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon - 1) + (n-1-2m)\beta_\varepsilon - m(n-2-m-\varepsilon) \leq 0,$$

and

$$\frac{r^m f}{r^{\beta_\varepsilon-2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow 0.$$

So there exists an $R'_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$L_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon \leq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad 0 < r < R'_\varepsilon.$$

Setting $R''_\varepsilon = \min\{R'_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon\}$, $C_\varepsilon = v(R''_\varepsilon)(R''_\varepsilon)^{-\beta_\varepsilon}$, we see that

$$\begin{cases} L_\varepsilon(v - C_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon) \geq 0 & \text{for } 0 < r \leq R''_\varepsilon, \\ v - C_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{at } R''_\varepsilon, \\ v(r) - C_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon(r) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } r \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

since $\beta_\varepsilon > 0$. Observing that the coefficient of the term v in L_ε is negative, we conclude by the maximum principle that $v - C_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon \leq 0$ for $0 < r \leq R''_\varepsilon$, i.e. $v(r) \leq C_\varepsilon r^{\beta_\varepsilon}$ near 0. This guarantees that $u(r) \leq C_\varepsilon r^{-m+\beta_\varepsilon}$ near 0. Since, there exists a sequence t_i going to $-\infty$ such that $v'(t_i) \rightarrow 0$ with $r_i = e^{t_i}$, then, $r_i^{\frac{p+1+l}{p-1}} u'(r_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $r_i \rightarrow 0$ and thus

$$\lim_{r_i \rightarrow 0} r_i^{n-1} u'(r_i) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

By (1.2) and (2.6), we observe that

$$u'(r) = -\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_0^r (K(s)u^p + \mu f)s^{n-1} ds \quad (2.7)$$

for $0 < r \leq R$. Integrating (2.7) over $[r, R]$, and changing the order of integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(r) &= \int_r^R \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} [\int_0^t (K(s)u^p + \mu f)s^{n-1} ds] dt + u(R) \\ &\leq \frac{r^{2-n}}{n-2} \int_0^r (K(s)u^p + \mu f)s^{n-1} ds + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_r^R (K(s)u^p + \mu f)s ds + u(R). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Then, for small $r > 0$,

$$u(r) \leq \begin{cases} C(1 + r^{-m+p\beta} + r^{2-d}) & \text{if } p\beta \neq m, d > 2, \\ C(1 + |\log r| + r^{2-d}) & \text{if } p\beta = m, d > 2. \end{cases}$$

If $m + 2 - p\beta \leq d$, then $u(r) = O(r^{2-d})$ near 0. Otherwise, repeating the above arguments with β replace by $p\beta$ leads to $m + 2 - p^2\beta > d$ and in turn $m + 2 - p^k\beta > d$ for any positive integer k , which is absurd. Therefore, we have $u(r) = O(r^{2-d})$ near 0.

If $d = 2$, then it follows (2.8) that for small $r > 0$,

$$u(r) \leq \begin{cases} C(1 + r^{-m+p\beta} + |\log r|) & \text{if } p\beta \neq m, \\ C(1 + |\log r|) & \text{if } p\beta = m. \end{cases}$$

The case $p\beta \neq m$ can be dealt as same in the above. Therefore, $u(r) = O(\log r)$ near 0. On the other hand, if $d < 2$, then it follows (2.8) that for small $r > 0$,

$$u(r) \leq \begin{cases} C(1 + r^{-m+p\beta}) & \text{if } p\beta \neq m, \\ C(1 + |\log r|) & \text{if } p\beta = m. \end{cases}$$

If $-m + p\beta > 0$, then $u(r) = O(1)$ near 0. Otherwise, repeating the above arguments with β replace by $p\beta$ leads to $-m + p^2\beta < 0$ and in turn $-m + p^k\beta < 0$ for any positive integer k , which is absurd. Therefore, we have $u(r) = O(1)$ near 0. The proof is completed.

3 Uniqueness of singular solution

In order to prove the Theorem 1, we need following Lemma

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $K(r), f(r)$ satisfy the same condition as in the Theorem 2.2 except that $d = m + 2$ is replaced by $d < m + 2$. Let $u(r)$ be a positive solution of (1.2), then,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r \frac{d}{dr} (r^m u(r)) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $v(t) = r^m u(r), r = e^t$, then

$$v'' + b_0 v' - L^{p-1} v + k(t) v^p + \mu f(e^t) e^{(m+2)t} = 0$$

or

$$(e^{b_0 t} v'(t))' + e^{b_0 t} (k(t) v^p - L^{p-1} v + \mu f(e^t) e^{(m+2)t}) = 0.$$

Integrating from T to t for $T < t$, we have

$$e^{b_0 t} v'(t) - e^{b_0 T} v'(T) + \int_T^t e^{b_0 s} (k(s) v^p - L^{p-1} v + \mu f(e^s) e^{(m+2)s}) ds = 0.$$

By Corollary 2.3, we know that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} (k(t)v^p - L^{p-1}v + \mu f(e^t)e^{(m+2)t}) = 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists t_ε such that $|k(t)v^p - L^{p-1}v + \mu f(e^t)e^{(m+2)t}| < \varepsilon$, when $t < t_\varepsilon$, and

$$|v'(t)| \leq |e^{b_0(T-t)}v'(T)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{b_0}(-e^{-b_0(t-T)} + 1).$$

Letting T (if necessary, take a subsequence) go to $-\infty$, we have $|v'(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b_0}$, if $t < t_\varepsilon$, since ε can be arbitrary small, we conclude $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} v'(t) = 0$, or equivalently $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r \frac{d}{dr}(r^m u(r)) = 0$.

Lemma 3.3.^[11] Suppose $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are continues functions, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = b > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = c > 0$. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of

$$y'' - f(t)y' + g(t)y = 0.$$

Then $y(t)$ is unbounded as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove (1.2) has only one singular solution. Suppose $u_1(r)$ and $u_2(r)$ are two different singular solutions. As we did in Lemma 3.2, let $v_i(t) = r^m u_i(r)$, $i = 1, 2$, $r = e^t$, and $h(t) = \frac{v_2(t)}{v_1(t)}$, then we have

$$h'' + (b_0 + \frac{2v_1'}{v_1})h' + k(t)v_1^{p-1}(h^p - h) - \mu f(e^t)e^{(m+2)t}(\frac{h-1}{v_1}) = 0.$$

Let $Q(t) = h(-t) - 1$, $f(t) = b_0 + \frac{2v_1'}{v_1}$, $g_1(t) = k(t)v_1^{p-1}(h^p - h)/(h - 1)$ when $h \neq 1$, and $g_1(t) = (p-1)k(t)v_1^{p-1}$ when $h = 1$, $g_2(t) = \mu f(e^t)e^{(m+2)t}/v_1$, $g(t) = g_1(t) - g_2(t)$. Then $Q(t)$ satisfies

$$Q'' - f(-t)Q' + g(-t)Q = 0.$$

Suppose $Q(t) \not\equiv 0$. By Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.2, $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Q(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Q'(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(-t) = b_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(-t) = c_0$. But by the Lemma 3.3, $Q'(t)$ is unbounded as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. The contradiction shows (1.2) has only one singular solution.

Now we show the existence of singular solution of (1.2). By Theorem A, for any $\alpha > \alpha_{**}$, there exists a positive solution u_α of (1.2) which is strictly increasing in α , and $r^m u_\alpha < \frac{L}{[r^{-l}K(r)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$ by Lemma 2.2 of [6]. From (1.2) we have that

$$(r^{n-1}u'_\alpha(r))' + r^{n-1}(K(r)u_\alpha^p + \mu f(r)) = 0$$

Integrating from 0 to r , we have that, from the fact $r^{-l}K(r)$ is non-increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} u'_\alpha(r) &= -\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_0^r (K(s)u_\alpha^p(s) + \mu f(s))s^{n-1} ds \\ &\leq \frac{L^p}{r^{n-1}} \int_0^r s^{n-1-\frac{2p}{p-1}} K(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} ds + \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} (\int_0^{r_0} + \int_{r_0}^r \mu f(s)s^{n-1} ds) \\ &\leq \frac{L^p}{r^{n-1}} r^{\frac{l}{p-1}} K(r)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^r s^{n-1-\frac{2p}{p-1}-\frac{l}{p-1}} ds + (Cr^{1-n} + Cr^{1-q}) \\ &= \frac{(p-1)L^p}{[(n-2)p - (n+l)][r^{p+1}K(r)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} + (Cr^{1-n} + Cr^{1-q}), \end{aligned}$$

where r_0 is positive number. Hence, u'_α is uniformly bounded on any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$ for α and consequently, u_α is equicontinuous on any compact subset of

$(0, \infty)$. By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem and a standard diagonal argument, there exists a sequence α_j tending to ∞ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that u_{α_j} converges uniformly on compact subsets, and thus $U(r) := \lim_{\alpha_j \rightarrow \infty} u_{\alpha_j}$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$. From the Lemma 2.2 of [6], we have that, for each $\alpha > 0$,

$$r^m u_\alpha < r^m U(r) \leq L/(r^{-l} K(r))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{on } (0, \infty).$$

Considering the equation

$$u''_\alpha = -\frac{n-1}{r} u'_\alpha - K u_\alpha^p - \mu f, \quad (3.1)$$

we observe that u''_α is uniformly bounded in α on any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$. The Arzela-Ascoli Theorem implies again that there exists a subsequence of α_j (still denote it by α_j) such that $u'_{\alpha_j}(r)$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$ as $\alpha_j \rightarrow \infty$. Then, U is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and $u'_{\alpha_j}(r) \rightarrow U'$ uniformly on any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$ as $\alpha_j \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.1), u''_{α_j} converges also uniformly on any compact subset. Therefore, U' is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and $u''_{\alpha_j} \rightarrow U''$ uniformly on any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$ as $\alpha_j \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.1), letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, $U \geq 0$ satisfies

$$U'' = -\frac{n-1}{r} U' - K U^p - \mu f \quad \text{on } (0, \infty)$$

and is a singular solution. By the maximum principle, $U > 0$, which completes the proof.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their thanks to Prof Yi-Li for some helpful suggestions and the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] S. Bae, Separation structure of positive radial solutions of a semilinear elliptic equations in R^n , J. Differential Equations 194 (2003) 460-499.
- [2] S. Bae, T.-K. Chang, D.-H. Pank, Infinit multiplicity of positive entire solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation, J. Differential Equations 181 (2002) 367-387.
- [3] S. Bae, W.-M. Ni, Existence and infinite multiplicity for an inhomogeneous semilinear elliptic equation on R^n , Math. Ann. 320 (2001) 191-210.
- [4] G. Bernard, An inhomogeneous semilinear equation in entire space, J. Differential Equations 125 (1996) 184-214.
- [5] Y.-B. Deng, Y. Li, Y. Liu, On the stability of the positive radial steady states for a semilinear Cauchy problem, Nonlinear Anal. 54 (2003) 291-318.
- [6] Y.-B. Deng, Y. Li, F. Yang, On the stability of the positive steady states for a nonhomogeneous semilinear Cauchy problem, J. Differential Equations 228 (2006) 507-529.

- [7] C.-F. Gui, Positive entire solutions of equation $\Delta u + F(x, u) = 0$, J. Differential Equations 99 (1992) 245-280.
- [8] C.-F. Gui, On positive entire solutions of the elliptic equation $\Delta u + K(x)u^p = 0$ and its applications to Riemannian geometry, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 126 (1996) 225-237.
- [9] Y. Li, Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of equation $\Delta u + K(x)u^p = 0$ in R^n , J. Differential Equations 95 (1992) 304-330.
- [10] Y. Li, W.-M. Ni, On conformal scalar curvature equations in R^n , Duke Math. J. 57 (1988) 895-924.
- [11] Y. Liu, Y. Li, Y.-B. Deng, Separation Property of solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation, J. Differential Equations 163 (2000) 381-406.
- [12] W.-M. Ni, On the elliptic equation $\Delta u + K(x)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0$, Its generalizations and applications in geometry, Indian Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982) 493-529.
- [13] W.-M. Ni, S. Yotsutani, Semilinear elliptic equations of Matukuma type and related topics, Japan J. Appl. Math. 5 (1988) 1-32.