

STRONG SOLUTIONS TO THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL COMPRESSIBLE VISCOELASTIC FLUIDS

XIANPENG HU AND DEHUA WANG

ABSTRACT. The existence and uniqueness of the local strong solution to the three-dimensional compressible viscoelastic fluids near the equilibrium is established. In addition to the uniform estimates on the velocity, some essential uniform estimates on the density and the deformation gradient are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Viscoelastic fluids exhibit a combination of both fluid and solid characteristics, and keep memory of their past deformations. The interaction between the microscopic elastic properties and the macroscopic fluid motions leads to the rich and complicated rheological phenomena in viscoelastic fluids, and also causes formidable analytic and numerical challenges in mathematical analysis. We consider the following equations of three-dimensional compressible flow of viscoelastic fluids [7, 10, 16]:

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$(\rho \mathbf{u})_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla P(\rho) = \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top), \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F} = \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}, \quad (1.1c)$$

where ρ stands for the density, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity, and $\mathbf{F} \in M^{3 \times 3}$ (the set of 3×3 matrices) the deformation gradient. The viscosity coefficients μ, λ are two constants satisfying $\mu > 0, 2\mu + 3\lambda > 0$, which ensures that the operator $-\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}$ is a strongly elliptic operator. The pressure term $P(\rho)$ is an increasing and convex function of ρ for $\rho > 0$, and in particular, we take $P(\rho) = \rho^\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$ a constant. The symbol \otimes denotes the Kronecker tensor product, \mathbf{F}^\top means the transpose matrix of \mathbf{F} , and the notation $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}$ is understood to be $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{F}$. As usual, we call equation (1.1a) the continuity equation. For system (1.1), the corresponding elastic energy is chosen to be the special form of the Hookean linear elasticity:

$$W(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{F}|^2,$$

which, however, does not reduce the essential difficulties for analysis. The methods and results of this paper can be applied to more general cases.

In this paper, we consider equations (1.1) subject to the initial condition:

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{F})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), \mathbf{u}_0(x), \mathbf{F}_0(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.2)$$

Date: February 4, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A05, 76A10, 76D03.

Key words and phrases. Compressible viscoelastic fluids, strong solution, existence, uniqueness.

and we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of strong solution to the initial-value problem (1.1)-(1.2) near its equilibrium state in the three dimensional space \mathbb{R}^3 . Here the equilibrium state of the system (1.1) is defined as: ρ is a positive constant (for simplicity, $\rho = 1$), $\mathbf{u} = 0$, and $\mathbf{F} = I$ (the identity matrix in $M^{3 \times 3}$). We introduce a new unknown variable E by setting

$$\mathbf{F} = I + E.$$

Then, (1.1) becomes

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1.3a)$$

$$(\rho \mathbf{u})_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla P(\rho) = \operatorname{div}(\rho(I + E)(I + E)^\top), \quad (1.3b)$$

$$E_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E = \nabla \mathbf{u} E + \nabla \mathbf{u}, \quad (1.3c)$$

with the initial data

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, E)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), \mathbf{u}_0(x), E_0(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.4)$$

By a *strong solution* to (1.3)-(1.4), we mean a triplet (ρ, \mathbf{u}, E) satisfying (1.3) almost everywhere with initial condition (1.4), in particular, $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t) \in W^{2,q}$ and $(\rho(t, \cdot), E(t, \cdot)) \in W^{1,q}$ with $q \in (3, \infty)$ in some time interval $[0, T]$ for $T > 0$ in this paper.

When the density ρ is a constant, system (1.1) governs the homogeneous incompressible viscoelastic fluids, and there exist rich results in the literature for the global existence of classical solutions (namely in H^3 or other functional spaces with much higher regularity); see [5, 6, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21] and the references therein. When the density ρ is not a constant, the question related to existence becomes much more complicated and not much has been done. In [15] the authors considered the global existence of classical solutions in H^3 of small perturbation near its equilibrium for the compressible viscoelastic fluids without the pressure term. One of the main difficulties in proving the global existence is the lacking of the dissipative estimate for the deformation gradient and the gradient of the density. To overcome this difficulty, for incompressible cases, the authors in [14] introduced an auxiliary function to obtain the dissipative estimate, while the authors in [16] directly deal with the quantities such as $\Delta \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}$. Those methods can provide them with some good estimates, partly because of their high regularity of (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{F}) . However, in this paper, we deal with the strong solution with much less regularity in $W^{2,q}$, $q \in (3, \infty]$, hence those methods do not apply. For that purpose, we need a new method to overcome this obstacle, and we find that a combination between the velocity and the convolution of the divergence of the deformation gradient with the fundamental solution of Laplace operator will develop some good dissipative estimates which may be very useful for the global existence. The local existence is established using a fixed point theorem. Some uniform estimates on the solution are also obtained. These estimates are essential for the global existence although one of the estimates needs to be improved in order to establish the global existence.

The viscoelastic fluid system (1.1) can be regarded as a combination of compressible Navier-Stokes equations with the source term $\operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top)$ and the equation (1.1c). For the global existence of classical solutions with small perturbation near an equilibrium for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, we refer the reader to [22, 23, 24, 26] and the references cited therein. We remark that, for the nonlinear compressible inviscid elastic

systems, the existence of solutions was established by Sideris-Thomas in [29] under the null condition; see also [27] for a related discussion.

The existence of global weak solutions with large initial data of (1.1) is still an outstanding open question. In this direction for the homogeneous incompressible viscoelastic fluids, when the contribution of the strain rate (symmetric part of $\nabla \mathbf{u}$) in the constitutive equation is neglected, Lions-Masmoudi in [20] proved the global existence of weak solutions with large initial data for the Oldroyd model. Also Lin-Liu-Zhang in [17] proved the existence of global weak solutions with large initial data for the incompressible viscoelastic fluids when the velocity satisfies the Lipschitz condition. When dealing with the global existence of weak solutions of the viscoelastic fluid system (1.1) with large data, the rapid oscillation of the density and the non-compatibility between the quadratic form and the weak convergence are two of the major difficulties.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall briefly the compressible viscoelastic fluids from some basic mechanics and conservation laws. In Section 3, we state our main results, including the local existence and uniqueness of the strong solution to the system (1.3)-(1.4), as well as uniform estimates which may be very useful for the proof of the global existence. In Section 4, we prove the local existence via a fixed-point theorem. In Section 5, we prove the uniqueness of the solution obtained in Section 4. In Section 6, we establish some uniform *a priori* estimates, especially on the dissipation of the deformation gradient and gradient of the density. In Section 7, we improve the uniform estimates in Section 6.

2. BACKGROUND OF MECHANICS FOR VISCOELASTIC FLUIDS

To provide a better understanding of system (1.1), we recall briefly some background of viscoelastic fluids from mechanics in this section.

First, we discuss the deformation gradient \mathbf{F} . The dynamics of a velocity field $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ in mechanics can be described by the flow map or particle trajectory $x(t, X)$, which is a time dependent family of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms defined by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t, X) = \mathbf{u}(t, x(t, X)), \quad x(0, X) = X, \quad (2.1)$$

where the material point X (Lagrangian coordinate) is deformed to the spatial position $x(t, X)$, the reference (Eulerian) coordinate at time t . The deformation gradient $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t, X) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial X}(t, X),$$

which describes the change of configuration, amplification or pattern during the dynamical process, and satisfies the following equation by changing the order of differentiation:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t, X)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(t, x(t, X))}{\partial X}. \quad (2.2)$$

In the Eulerian coordinate, the corresponding deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}(t, x)$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{F}(t, x(t, X)) = \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t, X).$$

Equation (2.2), combined with the chain rule and (2.1), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{F}(t, x(t, X)) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}(t, x(t, X)) &= \partial_t \mathbf{F}(t, x(t, X)) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(t, x(t, X))}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x(t, X)}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t, X)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(t, x(t, X))}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(t, x(t, X))}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial X} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(t, x(t, X))}{\partial x} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t, X) = \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F}, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly equation (1.1c). Here, and in what follows, we use the conventional notations:

$$(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}, \quad (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F})_{i,j} = (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ik} \mathbf{F}_{kj}, \quad (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F})_{ij} = u_k \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{ij}}{\partial x_k},$$

and summation over repeated indices will always be well understood. In viscoelastic fluids, (1.1c) can also be interpreted as the consistency of the flow maps generated by the velocity field \mathbf{u} and the deformation gradient \mathbf{F} .

The difference between fluids and solids lies in the fact that, in fluids, such as Navier-Stokes equations [24], the internal energy can be determined solely by the determinant part of \mathbf{F} (equivalently the density ρ , and hence, (1.1c) can be disregarded); while in elasticity, the energy depends on all information of \mathbf{F} .

In the continuum physics, if we assume that the material is homogeneous, then the conservation laws of mass and of momentum become [7, 14, 25, 27]:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla P(\rho) = \operatorname{div}((\det \mathbf{F})^{-1} S \mathbf{F}^\top), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\rho \det \mathbf{F} = 1, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$S_{ij}(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}_{ij}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Here S , $\rho S \mathbf{F}^\top$, $W(\mathbf{F})$ denote *Piola-Kirchhoff stress*, *Cauchy stress*, and the elastic energy of the material, respectively. Recall that the condition (2.6) implies that the material is hyperelastic [21]. In the case of Hookean (linear) elasticity [13, 14, 18],

$$W(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{F}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top), \quad (2.7)$$

where the notation “tr” stands for the trace operator of a matrix, and hence,

$$S(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F}. \quad (2.8)$$

Combining equations (2.1)-(2.8) together, we obtain system (1.1).

If the viscoelastic system (1.1) satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho_0 \mathbf{F}_0^\top) = 0,$$

initially at $t = 0$ (with $\mathbf{F}_0 = I + E_0$), it was verified in [16] (see Proposition 3.1) that this condition will insist in time, that is,

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho(t) \mathbf{F}(t)^\top) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Another hidden, but important, property of the viscoelastic fluids system (1.1) is concerned with the curl of the deformation gradient (for the incompressible case, see [13, 14]). Actually, the following lemma says that the curl of the deformation gradient is of higher order.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that (1.1c) is satisfied and (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{F}) is the solution of the system (1.1). Then the following identity*

$$\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} = \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik} \quad (2.10)$$

holds for all time $t > 0$ if it initially satisfies (2.10).

Again, throughout this paper, the standard summation notation over the repeated index is always adopted.

Proof. First, we establish the evolution equation for the equality $\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}$. Indeed, by the equation (1.1c), we can get

$$\partial_t \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} + \nabla_l \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}_{ij} = \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mj} + \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mj}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{F}_{lk} (\partial_t \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij}) + \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}_{ij} = \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mj} + \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mj}. \quad (2.11)$$

Also, from (1.1c), we obtain

$$\nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} (\partial_t \mathbf{F}_{lk} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}_{lk}) = \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_l \mathbf{F}_{mk}. \quad (2.12)$$

Now, adding (2.11) and (2.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij}) &= -\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}_{ij} + \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mj} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mj} + \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_l \mathbf{F}_{mk} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mj} + \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mj}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Here, we used the identity which is derived by interchanging the roles of indices l and m :

$$\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}_{ij} = \mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{u}_m \nabla_m \mathbf{F}_{ij} = \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_l \mathbf{F}_{mk}.$$

Similarly, one has

$$\partial_t (\mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}) = \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mk} + \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mk}. \quad (2.14)$$

Subtracting (2.14) from (2.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}) \\ = \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mj} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mk}) + \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i (\mathbf{F}_{mj} \mathbf{F}_{lk} - \mathbf{F}_{mk} \mathbf{F}_{lj}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Due to the fact

$$\nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i = \nabla_m \nabla_l \mathbf{u}_i$$

in the sense of distributions, we have, again by interchanging the roles of indices l and m ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i (\mathbf{F}_{mj} \mathbf{F}_{lk} - \mathbf{F}_{mk} \mathbf{F}_{lj}) &= \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mj} \mathbf{F}_{lk} - \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mk} \mathbf{F}_{lj} \\ &= \nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{mj} \mathbf{F}_{lk} - \nabla_m \nabla_l \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{F}_{lk} \mathbf{F}_{mj} \\ &= (\nabla_l \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i - \nabla_m \nabla_l \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{F}_{lk} \mathbf{F}_{mj} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this identity, equation (2.15) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{ik}) \\ = \nabla_m \mathbf{u}_i (\mathbf{F}_{lk} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mj} - \mathbf{F}_{lj} \nabla_l \mathbf{F}_{mk}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Multiplying (2.16) by $\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t |\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik}|^2 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla |\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik}|^2 \\ &= 2(\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik})\nabla_m\mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{mj} - \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{mk}) \\ &\leq 2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\mathcal{M}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where \mathcal{M} is defined as

$$\mathcal{M} = \max_{i,j,k} \{|\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik}|^2\}.$$

Hence, (2.17) implies

$$\partial_t\mathcal{M} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathcal{M} \leq 2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\mathcal{M}. \quad (2.18)$$

On the other hand, the characteristics of $\partial_t f + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f = 0$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{ds}X(s) = \mathbf{u}(s, X(s)), \quad X(t) = x.$$

Hence, (2.17) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \leq B(t, y)U, \quad U(0, y) = \mathcal{M}_0(y), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$U(t, y) = \mathcal{M}(t, X(t, x)), \quad B(t, y) = 2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}(t, X(t, y)).$$

The differential inequality (2.19) implies that

$$U(t, y) \leq U(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t B(s, y)ds\right).$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}(t, x) \leq \mathcal{M}(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t 2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}(s)ds\right).$$

Hence, if $\mathcal{M}(0) = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$, and the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.1 can be interpreted from the physical viewpoint as follows: formally, the fact that the Lagrangian derivatives commute and the definition of the deformation gradient imply

$$\partial_{X_k}\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 x_i}{\partial X_k \partial X_j} = \frac{\partial^2 x_i}{\partial X_j \partial X_k} = \partial_{X_j}\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{ik},$$

which is equivalent to, in the Eulerian coordinates,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij}(t, x(t, X)) = \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik}(t, x(t, X)),$$

that is,

$$\mathbf{F}_{lk}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ij}(t, x) = \mathbf{F}_{lj}\nabla_l\mathbf{F}_{ik}(t, x).$$

Using $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{E}$, (2.10) means

$$\nabla_k E_{ij} + E_{lk}\nabla_l E_{ij} = \nabla_j E_{ik} + E_{lj}\nabla_l E_{ik}. \quad (2.20)$$

According to (2.20), it is natural to assume that the initial condition of E in the viscoelastic fluids system (1.3) should satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\nabla_k E(0)_{ij} + E(0)_{lk}\nabla_l E(0)_{ij} = \nabla_j E(0)_{ik} + E(0)_{lj}\nabla_l E(0)_{ik}. \quad (2.21)$$

Finally, if the density ρ is a constant, then we have the following equations of incompressible viscoelastic fluids (see [6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18] and references therein):

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^\top), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F} = \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

For more discussions on viscoelastic fluids and related models, see [4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 17, 20, 21, 25, 30] and the references cited therein.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this Section, we state our main results. The standard notations for Sobolev spaces $W^{s,q}$ and Besov spaces B_{pq}^s ([3]) will be used. Throughout this paper, the real interpolation method ([3]) will be adopted and the following interpolation spaces will be needed

$$(L^q(\mathbb{R}^3), W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p} = B_{qp}^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})}, \quad (L^q(\mathbb{R}^3), W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p} = B_{qp}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p, q \geq 1.$$

Now we introduce the following functional spaces to which the solution and initial conditions of the system (1.3) will belong. Given $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $T > 0$, we set $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, and

$$\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T) := \{ \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in W^{1,p}(0, T; (L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))^3) \cap L^p(0, T; (W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))^3) \}$$

with the norm

$$\| \mathbf{u} \|_{\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T)} := \| \mathbf{u} \|_{W^{1,p}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \| \mathbf{u} \|_{L^p(0, T; W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))},$$

as well as

$$V_0^{p,q} := \left(B_{qp}^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})} \cap B_{qp}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \right)^3 \times (W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))^{10}$$

with the norm

$$\| (f, g) \|_{V_0^{p,q}} := \| f \|_{B_{qp}^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})}} + \| f \|_{B_{qp}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} + \| g \|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{W}(0, T) = \mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T) \cap \mathcal{W}^{2,2}(0, T),$$

and

$$V_0 = V_0^{p,q} \cap V_0^{2,2}.$$

Our first result is the following local existence and uniqueness:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T_0 > 0$ be given and $(\mathbf{u}_0, \rho_0, E_0) \in V_0$ with $p \in [2, \infty), q \in (3, \infty)$. There exists a positive constant $\delta_0 < 1$, depending on T_0, μ , and λ , such that if*

$$\| (\mathbf{u}_0, \rho_0 - 1, E_0) \|_{V_0} \leq \delta_0, \quad (3.1)$$

then the initial-value problem (1.3)-(1.4) has a unique strong solution on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_0)$, satisfying $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{W}(0, T_0)$ and

$$(\rho - 1, E) \in (W^{1,p}(0, T_0; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^p(0, T_0; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)))^{10}.$$

The solutions in Theorem 3.1 is local in time since $\delta_0 = \delta_0(T_0)$ implies that T_0 is finite for a given $\delta_0 \ll 1$.

Remark 3.1. Notice that if $q > 3$, then by Theorem 5.15 in [1], the imbedding $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow C_B^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuous. Here, the notation $C_B^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ means the spaces of bounded, continuous functions in \mathbb{R}^3 . Hence the condition (3.1) implies that, if we choose δ_0 sufficiently small, by Sobolev's imbedding theorem, there exists a positive constant C_0 such that

$$\rho_0 \geq C_0 > 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.2)$$

Remark 3.2. An interesting case is the case $q \leq p$. Indeed, by the real interpolation method and Theorem 6.4.4 in [3], we have

$$W^{2(1-\frac{1}{p}),q} \subset B_{qp}^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})}, \quad W^{1-\frac{1}{p},q} \subset B_{qp}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then, if we replace the functional space $V_0^{p,q}$ in Theorem 3.1 by

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{p,q} := \left((W^{2(1-\frac{1}{p}),q}(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 \cap (W^{1-\frac{1}{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 \right) \times (W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))^{10},$$

Theorem 3.1 is still valid.

For the solutions claimed in Theorem 3.1, the following estimates hold uniformly in time.

Theorem 3.2. *Let δ_0 be the same as in Theorem 3.1 and assume $0 < \delta \ll \min\{\frac{1}{3}, \delta_0\}$.*

(I) *If the initial data satisfies $\|(\mathbf{u}_0, \rho_0 - 1, E_0)\|_{V_0} \leq \delta^2$, and μ and λ satisfy the assumption (6.18) below, then the solution (ρ, \mathbf{u}, E) constructed in Theorem 3.1 satisfies*

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T_0;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}, \quad \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T_0;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}.$$

(II) *If in addition, we assume $p = 2$ and $q \in (3, 6]$; and the initial data satisfies the compatibility condition (2.21) and*

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho_0 \mathbf{F}_0^\top) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |E_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (\rho_0^\gamma - \gamma \rho_0 + \gamma - 1) \right) dx \leq \delta^4, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla E_0\|_{L^2 \cap L^q} \leq \delta^4. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, the solution (ρ, \mathbf{u}, E) has the following improved estimates:

$$\begin{cases} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \max \{ \|\rho - 1\|_{W^{1,2} \cap W^{1,q}}(t), \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2 \cap L^q}(t), \|E\|_{W^{1,2} \cap W^{1,q}}(t) \} \leq C\delta_0, \\ \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0,T_0;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C\delta_0, \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T_0;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}}, \|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0,T_0;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}}, \end{cases}$$

for some $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, where the constant C is independent of the time and δ .

Remark 3.3. Under assumption (3.3), the authors in [15, 16] showed that the property will insist in time, that is, for all $t \geq 0$, $\operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F}^\top) = 0$.

Remark 3.4. It is remarkable to point out that if we could obtain one better estimate on $\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}$, say $\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C\delta_0^{1+\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then one could extend the local existence in Theorem 3.1 to the global existence for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

4. LOCAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we prove the local existence of strong solution in Theorem 3.1 using a fixed-point argument. To this end, we introduce the following new variables by scaling

$$s := \nu^2 t, \quad y := \nu x, \quad v(y, s) := \frac{1}{\nu} \mathbf{u}(x, t), \quad r(y, s) := \rho(x, t), \quad G(y, s) := E(x, t),$$

where $\nu > 0$ will be determined later. Then, system (1.3) becomes

$$r_t + \operatorname{div}(rv) = 0, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$(rv)_t + \operatorname{div}(rv \otimes v) - \mu \Delta v - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} v + \nu^{-2} \nabla P = \nu^{-2} \operatorname{div} \left(r(I + G)(I + G)^\top \right), \tag{4.1b}$$

$$G_t + v \cdot \nabla G = \nabla v G + \nabla v, \tag{4.1c}$$

This scaling is needed in order to apply a fixed-point argument. From (2.20), one has

$$\nabla_k G_{ij} + G_{lk} \nabla_l G_{ij} = \nabla_j G_{ik} + G_{nj} \nabla_n G_{ik}. \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, if we denote by G_i the i -th row of the matrix G (or the i -th component of the vector G), then (4.2) becomes

$$\operatorname{curl} G_i = G_{nj} \nabla_n G_{ik} - G_{lk} \nabla_l G_{ij}. \tag{4.3}$$

The proof of local existence of strong solutions with small initial data will be carried out through three steps by using a fixed point theorem. Instead of working on (1.3) directly, we will work on (4.1). We note that (4.1) is just a scaling version of (1.3). It can be seen from the argument below that we only need to verify the local existence in $\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T)$, $0 < T \leq T_0$, while the initial data belongs to $V_0^{p,q}$.

4.1. Solvability of the density with a fixed velocity. Let $A_j(x, t)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, be symmetric $m \times m$ matrices in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$, $B(x, t)$ an $m \times m$ matrix, $f(x, t)$ and $V_0(x)$ be m -dimensional vector functions defined in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ and \mathbb{R}^n , respectively.

For the following initial-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t) \partial_j V + B(x, t) V = f(x, t), \\ V(x, 0) = V_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

we have

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that*

$$A_j \in [C(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1(0, T; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))]^{m \times m}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$B \in C((0, T), H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{m \times m}, \quad f \in C((0, T), H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))^m, \quad V_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^m,$$

with $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ is an integer. Then there exists a unique solution to (4.4), i.e., a function

$$V \in [C([0, T), H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1((0, T), H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))]^m$$

satisfying (4.4) pointwise.

Proof. This lemma is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.16 in [24] with $A_0(x, t) = I$. \square

To solve the density with respect to the fixed velocity $v \in \mathcal{W}(0, T)$, we have

Lemma 4.2. *Under the same conditions as Theorem 3.1, there is a unique strictly positive function*

$$r := \mathcal{S}(v) \in W^{1,p}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

which satisfies the continuity equation (4.1a) and $r - 1 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, the density satisfies

$$\|\nabla r\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(T, \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}(0, T)}) (\|\nabla r_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1), \quad (4.5)$$

and the norm $\|\mathcal{S}(v) - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}(t)$ is a continuous function in time.

Here, and in what follows, C stands for a generic positive constant, and in some case, we will specify its dependence on parameters by the notation $C(\cdot)$.

Proof. For the proof of the first part of this lemma, we refer the reader to Theorem 9.3 in [24], or the first part of the proof for Lemma 4.3 below. The positivity of density follows directly from the observations: by writing (4.1a) along characteristics $\frac{d}{dt}X(t) = v$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}r(t, X(t)) = -r(t, X(t))\operatorname{div}v(t, X(t)), \quad X(0) = x,$$

and with the help of Gronwall's inequality,

$$(\inf_x \rho_0) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \|\operatorname{div}v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dx\right) \leq r(t, x) \leq (\sup_x \rho_0) \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\operatorname{div}v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dx\right).$$

Now, we can assume that the continuity equation holds pointwise in the following form:

$$\partial_t r + r \operatorname{div}v + v \cdot \nabla r = 0.$$

Taking the gradient in both sides of the above identity, multiplying by $|\nabla r|^{q-2} \nabla r$ and then integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get, by Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla r\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla r|^q |\operatorname{div}v| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r |\nabla r|^{q-1} |\nabla \operatorname{div}v| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v| |\nabla r|^q dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \nabla |\nabla r|^q dx \\ &\leq \|\nabla r\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q (\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \operatorname{div}v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}v |\nabla r|^q dx + \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \operatorname{div}v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla r\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \|v\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \operatorname{div}v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

since $q > 3$. Then (4.5) follows from Gronwall's inequality.

Finally, noting from (4.6) and (4.5) that $\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla r\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \in L^1(0, T)$, and hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla(r-1)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \in L^1(0, T),$$

which together with (4.5) implies that $\|\nabla(r-1)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q(t)$ is continuous in time, and hence, $\|\nabla(r-1)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}(t)$ is continuous in time. Similarly, from the continuity equation, we know that

$$\partial_t(r-1) = -\operatorname{div}((r-1)v) - \operatorname{div}v \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

which, together with the fact $r - 1 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, yields $r - 1 \in C([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Hence, the quantity $\|r - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}(t)$ is continuous in time. The proof of Lemma 4.2 is complete. \square

4.2. Solvability of the deformation gradient with a fixed velocity. Due to the hyperbolic structure of (4.1c), we can apply Lemma 4.1 again to solve the deformation gradient G in terms of the given velocity $v \in \mathcal{W}(0, T)$. For this purpose, we have

Lemma 4.3. *Under the same conditions as Theorem 3.1, there is a unique function*

$$G := \mathcal{T}(v) \in W^{1,p}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

which satisfies the equation (4.1c). Moreover, the deformation gradient satisfies

$$\|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(T, \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}(0, T)}) (\|\nabla G(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1), \quad (4.7)$$

and, the norm $\|G\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}(t)$ is a continuous function in time.

Proof. First, we assume that $v \in C^1(0, T; C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $G_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, we can rewrite (4.1c) in the component form as

$$\partial_t G_k + v \cdot \nabla G_k = \nabla v G_k + \nabla v_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 3.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 with $A_j(x, t) = v_j(x, t)I$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$, $B(x, t) = \nabla v$, and $f(x, t) = \nabla v_k$, we get a solution

$$G \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \left\{ C^1(0, T; H^{l-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap (0, T; H^l(\mathbb{R}^3)) \right\},$$

which implies, by the Sobolev imbedding theorem,

$$G \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C^1(0, T; C^k(\mathbb{R}^3)) = C^1(0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)). \quad (4.8)$$

Next, for $v \in \mathcal{W}(0, T)$, there are two sequences: $v_n \in C^1(0, T; C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $G_0^n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{W}(0, T)$, $G_0^n \rightarrow G_0$ in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, thus $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $C(B(0, a) \times (0, T))$ for all $a > 0$ where $B(0, a)$ denotes the ball with radius a and centered at the origin. According to the previous result (4.8), there are a sequence of functions $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C^1(0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$ satisfying

$$\partial_t G_n + v_n \cdot \nabla G_n = \nabla v_n G_n + \nabla v_n, \quad (4.9)$$

with $G_n(0) = G_0^n$. Multiplying (4.9) by $|G_n|^{q-2}G_n$, and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , using integration by parts and Young's inequality, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n|^q dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n \cdot \nabla |G_n|^q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla v_n |G_n|^{q-2} G_n^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla v_n |G_n|^{q-2} G_n dx \\ &\leq \frac{1+q}{q} \|G_n\|_{L^q}^q (\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q}) + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q}. \end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall's inequality, one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n|^q dx \\
& \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n(0)|^q dx + q \int_0^t \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q} \exp \left(- \int_0^t (q+1)(\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q}) d\tau \right) ds \right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(\int_0^t (q+1)(\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q}) ds \right) \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n(0)|^q dx + q \int_0^t \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q} ds \right) \exp \left(\int_0^t (q+1)(\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^q}) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|G_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(T, \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))}) (\|G(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1) < \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Hence, up to a subsequence, we can assume that the sequence $\{v_n\}$ was chosen so that $G_n \rightarrow G$ weak-* in $L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Taking the gradient in both sides of (4.9), multiplying by $|\nabla G_n|^{q-2} \nabla G_n$ and then integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get, with the help of Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla G_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla G_n|^q |\nabla v_n| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n| |\nabla G_n|^{q-1} |\nabla \nabla v_n| dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n| |\nabla G_n|^q dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n \nabla |\nabla G_n|^q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \nabla v_n| |\nabla G_n|^{q-1} dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla G_n|^q |\nabla v_n| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n| |\nabla G_n|^{q-1} |\nabla \nabla v_n| dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n| |\nabla G_n|^q dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n| |\nabla G_n|^q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \nabla v_n| |\nabla G_n|^{q-1} dx \\
& \leq C \|\nabla G_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \|v_n\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + (\|G_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q + 1) \|v_n\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \leq C \|\nabla G_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \|v_n\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + (\|G_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q + 1) \|v_n\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

since $q > 3$. Using Gronwall's inequality and (4.10), we conclude from (4.11) that

$$\|\nabla G_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(T, \|v_n\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)}) (\|\nabla G_n(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1),$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla G_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \leq C(T, \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)}) (\|\nabla G(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1).
\end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Therefore,

$$\|G_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(T, \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))}, \|G(0)\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}) < \infty.$$

Furthermore, since $q > 3$, we deduce $G \in L^\infty(Q_T)$ and

$$\|G\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C(T, \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))}, \|G(0)\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}) < \infty.$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.9), we show that (4.1c) holds at least in the sense of distributions. Therefore, $\partial_t G \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, then $G \in W^{1,p}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and hence $G \in C([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Finally, to show that the quantity $\|G\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}(t)$ is continuous in time, it suffices to show that $\|\nabla G\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is continuous in time. Indeed, from (4.11), we know that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla G\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q(t) \in L^p(0, T),$$

which, with (4.12), implies that $\|\nabla G\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \in C([0, T])$. The proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete. \square

4.3. Local existence via the fixed-point theorem. In order to solve locally system (4.1), we need to use the following fixed point theorem (cf. 1.4.11.6 in [24]):

Theorem 4.1 (Tikhonov Theorem). *Let M be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a separable reflexive Banach space X and let $F : M \mapsto M$ be a weakly continuous mapping (i.e. if $x_n \in M, x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in X , then $F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x)$ weakly in X as well). Then F has at least one fixed point in M .*

Now, let us consider the following operator

$$L\omega := \omega_t - \mu \Delta \omega - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \omega, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T).$$

One has the following theorem by the maximal regularity of parabolic equations; see Theorem 9.2 in [24], or equivalently Theorem 4.10.7 and Remark 4.10.9 in [2] (page 188).

Theorem 4.2. *Given $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega_0 \in V_0^{p,q}$ and $f \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)^3)$, the Cauchy problem*

$$L\omega = f, \quad t \in (0, T); \quad \omega(0) = \omega_0,$$

has a unique solution $\omega := L^{-1}(\omega_0, f) \in \mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T)$, and

$$\|\omega\|_{\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0,T)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\omega_0\|_{V_0^{p,q}} \right),$$

where C is independent of ω_0 , f and T . Moreover, there exists a positive constant c_0 independent of f and T such that

$$\|\omega\|_{\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0,T)} \geq c_0 \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|\omega(t)\|_{V_0^{p,q}}.$$

Notice that Theorem 4.2 implies that the operator L is invertible. Thus we define the operator $\mathcal{H}(v) : \mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T) \mapsto \mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(v) := L^{-1} \Big(& v_0, \partial_t((1 - \mathcal{S}(v))v) - \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)v \otimes v) + \nu^{-2} \nabla(P(1) - P(\mathcal{S}(v))) \\ & + \nu^{-2} \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top) \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Then, solving system (4.1) is equivalent to solving

$$v = \mathcal{H}(v). \quad (4.14)$$

To solve (4.14), we define

$$B_R(0) := \{v \in \mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0, T) : \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0,T)} \leq R\}.$$

Then, we prove first the following claim:

Lemma 4.4. *There are $\nu, T > 0$, and $0 < R < 1$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H}(B_R(0)) \subset B_R(0).$$

Proof. Let $T > 0$, $0 < R < 1$ and $v \in B_R(0)$. Since $\mathcal{S}(v)$ solves (4.1a), we can rewrite operator \mathcal{H} as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(v) = L^{-1} \Big(& v_0, (1 - \mathcal{S}(v))\partial_t v - \mathcal{S}(v)v \cdot \nabla v + \nu^{-2} \nabla(P(1) - P(\mathcal{S}(v))) \\ & + \nu^{-2} \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top) \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that the terms in the above expression are small in the norm of $L^p(0, T; (L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))^3)$.

First of all, we begin to deal with the first term by letting $\bar{r} := \mathcal{S}(v) - 1$. Thus, \bar{r} satisfies the equations

$$\partial_t \bar{r} + \operatorname{div}(\bar{r}v) = 0, \quad \bar{r}(x, 0) = r_0 - 1.$$

Repeating the argument in Section 4.2 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{r}\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} &\leq C \|\bar{r}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C \|r_0 - 1\|_{W^{1, q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} C(T, \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}(0, T)}) \\ &\leq \|r_0 - 1\|_{W^{1, q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} C(T, R) \leq C(T)R, \end{aligned}$$

where, by the formula of change of variables, we deduce that

$$\|r_0 - 1\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \nu^{\frac{3}{q}} \|\rho_0 - 1\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq R, \quad \|\nabla r_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \nu^{\frac{3-q}{q}} \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq R,$$

if $\|\rho_0 - 1\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is small enough and $\nu > 1$ is large enough. Hence, due to the assumption $v \in B_R(0)$, we obtain

$$\|(1 - \mathcal{S}(v))\partial_t v\|_{L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(T)R^2. \quad (4.16)$$

Secondly, by the Sobolev imbedding,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v \nabla v|^q dx \leq \|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \leq C \|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \|v\|_{W^{2, q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q,$$

and thus, since $W^{1, p}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v \nabla v|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} ds &\leq C \int_0^T \|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^p \|v\|_{W^{2, q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^p ds \\ &\leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}^p \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; W^{2, q}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^p \leq \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}(0, T)}^{2p} \leq CR^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\|\mathcal{S}(v)(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^2. \quad (4.17)$$

Thirdly, for the term $\nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v))$, we can estimate it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v))\|_{L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} &\leq C(T) \sup \{P'(\eta) : C(T)^{-1} \leq \eta \leq C(T)\} (\|\nabla r_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Fourthly, for the term $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top)$, we have

$$|\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top)| \leq |\nabla \mathcal{S}(v)| |I + \mathcal{T}(v)|^2 + 2\mathcal{S}(v) |\nabla \mathcal{T}(v)| |I + \mathcal{T}(v)|,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top)\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \\ & \leq \| |\nabla \mathcal{S}(v)| |I + \mathcal{T}(v)|^2 \|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} + 2\|\mathcal{S}(v)|\nabla \mathcal{T}(v)|\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \|I + \mathcal{T}(v)\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \\ & \leq C(T)M, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

with

$$M = \max \{ \|G_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + 1, \|G_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1, \|r_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + 1, \|r_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1 \}^3 < \infty.$$

Combining together (4.16)-(4.19), using the Theorem 4.2, and assuming parameter ν sufficiently large and $R < 1$ sufficiently small, we get

$$\|\mathcal{H}(v)\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)} \leq C(T)(R^2 + \nu^{-2}) \leq R.$$

The proof of Lemma 4.4 is complete. \square

Thus, it is only left to show the following:

Lemma 4.5. *The operator \mathcal{H} is weakly continuous from $\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0,T)$ into itself.*

Proof. Assume that $v_n \rightarrow v$ weakly in $\mathcal{W}^{p,q}(0,T)$, and set $r_n := \mathcal{S}(v_n)$, $G_n := \mathcal{T}(v_n)$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap W^{1,p}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3. Hence, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $r_n \rightarrow r$ and $G_n \rightarrow G$ weakly* in $L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap W^{1,p}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and then strongly in $C((0,T) \times B(0,a))$ for all $a > 0$. And at least the same convergence holds for v_n . Thus, (4.1a) and (4.1c) follow easily from above convergence.

Since $r_n \rightarrow r$ weakly* in $L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap W^{1,p}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, we can assume that $P'(\mathcal{S}(v_n))\nabla \mathcal{S}(v_n) \rightarrow P'(\mathcal{S}(v))\nabla \mathcal{S}(v)$ weakly in $L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and hence,

$$L^{-1}(0, \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_n))) \rightarrow L^{-1}(0, \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v))) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{W}(0,T),$$

since the strong continuity of L^{-1} from $L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ into $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ and the linearity of the operator L imply also the weak continuity in these spaces.

Similarly, since $\partial_t v_n \rightarrow \partial_t v$ weakly in $L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $r_n \rightarrow r$ in $C((0,T) \times B(0,a))$ for all $a > 0$, we have $(r_e - r_n)\partial_t v_n \rightarrow (r_e - r)\partial_t v$ weakly in $L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and consequently

$$L^{-1}(0, (r_e - r_n)\partial_t v_n) \rightarrow L^{-1}(0, (r_e - r)\partial_t v) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{W}(0,T).$$

Since $\nabla v_n \rightarrow \nabla v$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(0,T;W^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^p(0,T;W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ which is compactly imbedded in to $C([0,T];L^q(B(0,a)))$ for all $a > 0$, we can assume that $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^q(B(0,a)))$ for all $a > 0$, and then

$$\mathcal{S}(v_n)(v_n \cdot \nabla)v_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(v)(v \cdot \nabla)v$$

weakly in $L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Hence

$$L^{-1}(0, \mathcal{S}(v_n)(v_n \cdot \nabla)v_n) \rightarrow L^{-1}(0, \mathcal{S}(v)(v \cdot \nabla)v) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{W}(0,T).$$

Finally, due to the facts that $r_n \rightarrow r$ and $G_n \rightarrow G$ weakly* in $L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap W^{1,p}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and strongly in $C((0,T) \times B(0,a))$ for all $a > 0$, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v_n)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_n))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_n))^\top) \rightarrow \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top)$$

weakly in $L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Therefore,

$$L^{-1} \left(0, \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v_n)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_n))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_n))^\top) \right) \rightarrow L^{-1} \left(0, \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v)(I + \mathcal{T}(v))(I + \mathcal{T}(v))^\top) \right)$$

weakly in $\mathcal{W}(0, T)$.

Thus, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}(v_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(v) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{W}(0, T),$$

due to the weak continuity of map $L^{-1}(v, 0)$. The proof of Lemma 4.5 is complete. \square

Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, there exists at least a fixed point

$$v = \mathcal{H}(v) \in B_R(0) \subset \mathcal{W}(0, T), \quad (4.20)$$

of mapping \mathcal{H} . The fixed point v provides a local in time solution (ρ, \mathbf{u}, E) of system (1.3) near its equilibrium through the scaling with ν sufficiently large.

The proof of the local existence in Theorem 3.1 is complete. The uniqueness will be proved in the next section.

5. UNIQUENESS

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of the local solution found in the previous section. Notice that, the argument in Section 4 yields that

$$\partial_t v \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla r \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla G \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Hence, using the interpolation, we deduce that

$$\partial_t v \in L^{p_0}(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla r \in L^{p_0}(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla G \in L^{p_0}(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{p}, \quad \frac{1}{3} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{q},$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Now, assume that v_1, v_2 satisfy (4.20) for some $T > 0$. Let

$$r := \mathcal{S}(v_1) - \mathcal{S}(v_2), \quad v := v_1 - v_2, \quad G := \mathcal{T}(v_1) - \mathcal{T}(v_2),$$

with a little abuse of notations (however, there should be no confusion in the rest of this section). Then, we have

$$\partial_t r + v_1 \cdot \nabla r + v \cdot \nabla \mathcal{S}(v_2) + r \operatorname{div} v_1 + \mathcal{S}(v_2) \operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad r(0) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Multiplying (5.1) by r , and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|r\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |r|^2 \operatorname{div} v_1 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \nabla \mathcal{S}(v_2) r + |r|^2 \operatorname{div} v_1 + r \mathcal{S}(v_2) \operatorname{div} v) dx = 0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &\leq \|\operatorname{div} v_1\|_{L^\infty} \|r\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathcal{S}(v_2) r\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|r\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|\operatorname{div} v_1\|_{L^\infty} \|r\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^3}^2 \|r\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|r\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \eta_1(\varepsilon) \|r\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta_1(\varepsilon) = \|\operatorname{div} v_1\|_{L^\infty} + C(\varepsilon) (\|\nabla \mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2)$.

Similarly, from (4.1c), we obtain

$$\partial_t G + v_1 \cdot \nabla G + v \cdot \nabla G_2 = \nabla v_1 G + \nabla v G_2 + \nabla v, \quad G(0) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Multiplying (5.3) by G , and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|G\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G|^2 \operatorname{div} v_1 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla \mathcal{T}(v_2) : G dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G|^2 \nabla v_1 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla v \mathcal{T}(v_2) : G dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla v : G dx, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|G\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &\leq \|\operatorname{div} v_1\|_{L^\infty} \|G\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathcal{T}(v_2) G\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|G\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\operatorname{div} v_1\|_{L^\infty} \|G\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^3}^2 \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|G\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \eta_2(\varepsilon) \|G\|_{L^2}^2 + 3\varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\eta_2(\varepsilon) = \|\operatorname{div} v_1\|_{L^\infty} + C(\varepsilon) (\|\nabla \mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1)$.

For each v_j , $j = 1, 2$, we deduce from (4.1b) that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}(v_j) \partial_t v_j - \mu \Delta v_j - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} v_j \\ \quad = -\mathcal{S}(v_j)(v_j \cdot \nabla) v_j - \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_j)) + \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v_j)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_j))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_j))^\top), \\ v_j(0) = v_0. \end{cases}$$

Subtracting these equations, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(v_1) \partial_t v_1 - \mathcal{S}(v_2) \partial_t v_2 - \mu \Delta v - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} v \\ &= -\mathcal{S}(v_1)(v_1 \cdot \nabla) v_1 + \mathcal{S}(v_2)(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v_2 - \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_1)) + \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_2)) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v_1)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top) - \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v_2)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))^\top). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & -\mathcal{S}(v_1)(v_1 \cdot \nabla) v_1 + \mathcal{S}(v_2)(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v_2 \\ &= -\mathcal{S}(v_1)(v \cdot \nabla) v_1 - (\mathcal{S}(v_1) - \mathcal{S}(v_2))(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v_1 - \mathcal{S}(v_2)(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(v_1)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top - \mathcal{S}(v_2)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))^\top \\ &= \mathcal{S}(v_1)G(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top + r(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top + \mathcal{S}(v_2)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))G^\top, \end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite (5.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(v_1) \partial_t v - \mu \Delta v - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} v \\ &= -r \partial_t v_2 - \mathcal{S}(v_1)(v \cdot \nabla) v_1 - \mathcal{S}(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v_1 - \mathcal{S}(v_2)(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v - \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_1)) + \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_2)) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(v_1)G(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top) + r(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))(I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top + \mathcal{S}(v_2)(I + \mathcal{T}(v_2))G^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Multiplying (5.6) by v , using the continuity equation (1.3a) and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{S}(v_1) |v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla v|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} v|^2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{S}(v_1) (v_1 \cdot \nabla) v \cdot v - r \partial_t v_2 v - \mathcal{S}(v_1) (v \cdot \nabla) v_1 v - \mathcal{S}(v_2 \cdot \nabla) v_1 v \\
&\quad - \mathcal{S}(v_2) (v_2 \cdot \nabla) v v - \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_1)) v + \nabla P(\mathcal{S}(v_2)) v \\
&\quad - (\mathcal{S}(v_1) G (I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top + r (I + \mathcal{T}(v_2)) (I + \mathcal{T}(v_1))^\top + \mathcal{S}(v_2) (I + \mathcal{T}(v_2)) G^\top) \nabla v dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{S}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\partial_t v_2\|_{L^3}^2 \|r\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\mathcal{S}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v_1\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|v_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v_1\|_{L^\infty} (\|r\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\varepsilon) (\sup\{P'(\eta) : C(T)^{-1} \leq \eta \leq C(T)\})^2 \|r\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\varepsilon) (\|\mathcal{S}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2 (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|G\|_{L^2}^2 + \|r\|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2) (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2)) \\
&\leq 5\varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_3(\varepsilon) (\|r\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|G\|_{L^2}^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_3(\varepsilon) &= C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{S}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\partial_t v_2\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\mathcal{S}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v_1\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\quad + 2 \|v_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v_1\|_{L^\infty} + C(\varepsilon) \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\varepsilon) (\sup\{P'(\eta) : C(T)^{-1} \leq \eta \leq C(T)\})^2 \\
&\quad + C(\varepsilon) (\|\mathcal{S}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2 (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2) + \|\mathcal{S}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2 (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2)) \\
&\quad + (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_1)\|_{L^\infty}^2) (1 + \|\mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{L^\infty}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up (5.2), (5.4), and (5.7), by taking $\varepsilon = \frac{\mu}{20}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{S}(v_1) |v|^2 + |r|^2 + |G|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla v|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} v|^2) dx \\
&\leq 2(\eta_3(\varepsilon) + \eta_2(\varepsilon) + \eta_1(\varepsilon)) (\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|r\|_{L^2}^2 + \|G\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq 2\eta(\varepsilon, t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{S}(v_1) |v|^2 + |r|^2 + |G|^2) dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

with

$$\eta(\varepsilon, t) = \frac{\eta_3(\varepsilon) + \eta_2(\varepsilon) + \eta_1(\varepsilon)}{\min\{\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{S}(v_1)(x, t), 1\}}.$$

It is a routine matter to establish the integrability with respect to t of the function $\eta(\varepsilon, t)$ on the interval $(0, T)$. This is a consequence of the regularity of $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0, T)$ and the estimates in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 for $\mathcal{S}(v_i), \mathcal{T}(v_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, (5.8), combining with Gronwall's inequality, implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{S}(v_1) |v|^2 + |r|^2 + |G|^2) dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \tag{5.9}$$

and consequently $v \equiv 0$, $r \equiv 0$, $G \equiv 0$. Thus, the uniqueness in Theorem 3.1 is established.

6. UNIFORM *A Priori* ESTIMATES

Up to now, we prove that for any given T_0 , we can find a unique solution to the scaling system (4.1). That is, we have proved the local existence of solution to the viscoelastic fluid system (1.3) and its uniqueness. For the unique solution we constructed in the previous sections, we have some *a priori* estimates uniform in time as stated in Theorem 3.2. In this section, we prove the first part of Theorem 3.2. To simplify the presentation, we will focus on the case $\nu = 1$, that is, system (1.3).

We introduce the new variable:

$$\sigma := \nabla \ln \rho.$$

Then, we have

Lemma 6.1. *Function σ satisfies*

$$\partial_t \sigma + \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma) = 0, \quad (6.1)$$

in the sense of distributions. Moreover, the norm $\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is continuous in time.

Proof. We follow the argument in [24] (Section 9.8) by denoting $\sigma_\varepsilon = S_\varepsilon \sigma$, where S_ε is the standard mollifier in the spatial variables. Then, we have

$$\partial_t \sigma_\varepsilon + \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon &= \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma_\varepsilon) - S_\varepsilon \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma) = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \sigma_\varepsilon - S_\varepsilon(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \sigma)) + (\sigma_\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{u} - S_\varepsilon(\sigma \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u})) \\ &=: \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^1 + \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Since $\sigma \in L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(0, T; W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, we deduce from Lemma 6.7 in [24] (cf. Lemma 2.3 in [19]) that $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover,

$$\|(\sigma_\varepsilon - \sigma) \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^1(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \|\sigma - \sigma_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \rightarrow 0,$$

and $S_\varepsilon(\sigma \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \sigma \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$ in $L^1(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ since $\sigma \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Thus, we have $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Then, taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (6.2), we get (6.1).

Multiplying (6.1) by $|\sigma|^{q-2} \sigma$, and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\partial_j \mathbf{u}_k \sigma_j \sigma_k |\sigma|^{q-2} - \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} |\sigma|^q) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q + \frac{1}{q} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2, q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the above inequality by $\|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1}$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} \right| \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2, q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}.$$

Since $\sigma \in L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $\frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} \in L^p(0, T)$. Thus, $\|\sigma\|_{L^q} \in C(0, T)$. The proof of Lemma 6.1 is complete. \square

For a given $R = \delta_0 \ll 1$ as in Section 4, if the initial data satisfies $\|\mathbf{u}(0), \rho_0 - 1, E(0)\|_{V_0} \leq \delta^2$ with $0 < \delta \ll \min\{\frac{1}{3}, \delta_0\}$, let $T(R)$ be the maximal time T such that there is a solution of the equation $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{u})$ in $B_R(0)$. By virtue of Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 6.1, we know that $\|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, $\|\sigma\|_{L^q}$ and $\|\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u})\|_{W^{1,q}}$ are continuous in the interval $[0, T(R))$. On the other hand, under the assumptions on initial data and Remark 3.1, we know, if δ is sufficiently small, then

$$\|\sigma(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{C_0} \|\nabla \rho(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll 1.$$

Hence, there exists a maximum positive number T_1 such that

$$\max \{ \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(t)}, \|\sigma\|_{L^q(t)}, \|\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u})\|_{W^{1,q}(t)} \} \leq \sqrt{R} \ll 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_1]. \quad (6.3)$$

Now, we denote $T = \min\{T(R), T_1\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $T < \infty$.

Since $q > 3$, we have

$$\|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\rho - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\sqrt{R} < \frac{1}{2},$$

if R is sufficiently small. Hence, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \rho \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

On the other hand, for any given $t \in (0, T)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^q}^p &= \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^q}^p + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^q}^p ds \\ &= \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{p}{q} \int_0^t \left(\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^q}^{p-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}(s)|^{q-2} \mathbf{u}(s) \partial_s \mathbf{u}(t) dx \right) dt \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{p}{q} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^q}^{p-1} \|\partial_s \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} ds \\ &\leq \delta^{2p} + \frac{p}{q} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t \|\partial_s \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \delta^{2p} + \frac{p}{q} R^p, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q)} \leq \left(\delta^{2p} + \frac{p}{q} R^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq CR, \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (6.4)$$

Similarly, we have, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^2)} \leq CR$.

6.1. Dissipation of the deformation gradient. The main difficulty of the proof of Theorem 3.2 is to obtain estimates on the dissipation of the deformation gradient and the gradient of the density. This is partly because of the transport structure of equation (1.3c). It is worthy of pointing out that it is extremely difficult to directly deduce the dissipation of the deformation gradient. Fortunately, for the viscoelastic fluids system (1.3), as we can see in [6, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], some sort of combinations between the gradient of

the velocity and the deformation gradient indeed induce good dissipation. To make this statement more precise, we rewritten the momentum equation (1.3b) as, using (1.3a)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{div} E = & -\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla P(\rho) + \operatorname{div}(\rho(I + E)^\top) \\ & + \operatorname{div}((\rho - 1)E) + \operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top) + (1 - \rho) \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and prove the following estimate:

Lemma 6.2.

$$\|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(p, q, \mu) \left(R + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right). \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. Now we introduce an operator $\mathcal{L} : \omega \mapsto \mathcal{L}\omega$ such that

$$-\mu \Delta \mathcal{L}(\omega) - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}(\omega) = \omega.$$

Then we denote $Z_1(x, t)$ as

$$Z_1 := \mathcal{L}(\operatorname{div} E). \quad (6.7)$$

Notice that, from the elliptic theory, if $E \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1 < p < \infty$, then $Z_1 \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, (6.5) becomes

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mu \Delta (\mathbf{u} - Z_1) - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} - Z_1) = \mathcal{F}_1, \quad (6.8)$$

where, with the help of Remark 3.3,

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = -\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla P(\rho) + \operatorname{div}((\rho - 1)E) + \operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top) + (1 - \rho) \partial_t \mathbf{u}.$$

Also, from (1.3), we have

$$\frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{\partial(\operatorname{div} E)}{\partial t} \right) = \mathcal{L} \left(\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \right) \right) = \mathcal{L} (\operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u} E - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) E)). \quad (6.9)$$

From (6.8) and (6.9), we deduce, denoting $Z = \mathbf{u} - Z_1$,

$$\partial_t Z - \mu \Delta Z - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} Z = \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_2, \quad (6.10)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{L} (\operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u} E - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) E))$. Equation (6.10) with Theorem 4.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)} & \leq C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \left(\|Z(0)\|_{X_p^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})}} + \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right) \\ & \leq C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) (R + \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Next, we estimate $\|\mathcal{F}_i\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}$, $i = 1, 2$. Indeed, for \mathcal{F}_1 , using (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}_1\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \quad + \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} + \alpha \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \\
& \quad + \|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \quad + \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} + \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}^2 \\
& \quad + \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\partial_t v\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \leq 2R \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \quad + \alpha \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \quad + R \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + R^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq R^{\frac{3}{2}} + \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Here, $\alpha = \sup \{xP'(x) : \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{2}\}$ and in the first inequality, we used the identity

$$\nabla \rho = -\rho \operatorname{div} E^\top - \nabla \rho E^\top$$

due to Remark 3.3. And, for \mathcal{F}_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u} E - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^{\frac{3q}{q+3}}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q} \cap H^2)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^3)} \|E\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^3)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \\
& \leq R + R^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^{\frac{3q}{q+3}}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \left(\int_0^T \left(\|\mathbf{u}(s)\|^\theta \|\Delta \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta} \right)^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q} \cap H^2)},$$

with $\theta = \frac{4q}{7q-6}$. Hence, one can estimate, by L^p estimates of elliptic operators,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}_2\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} & \leq \|\mathcal{F}_2\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,\frac{3q}{3+q}})} \\
& \leq C(\mu, \lambda) \|\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u} E - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^{\frac{3q}{3+q}})} \\
& \leq C(\mu, \lambda) (R + R^{\frac{3}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Therefore, from (6.12) and (6.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} & \leq C(\mu, \lambda) (R^{\frac{3}{2}} + R) + \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \\
& \quad + \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Inequalities (6.11) and (6.14) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Z\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
& \leq C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \left(R + \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} + \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Hence, we have, from (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} &\leq \mu \|Z\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \left(R + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right) \\ &\quad + C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \mu \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

On the other hand, from the identity (4.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{curl} E_i\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} &\leq 2 \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq C \|E\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \leq C \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Combining together (6.16) and (6.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} &\leq C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \left(R + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} + \sqrt{R} \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)} \right) \\ &\quad + C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \mu \alpha \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by choosing $\sqrt{R} \ll \frac{1}{2}$ and using the assumption

$$C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \mu \alpha < 1, \quad (6.18)$$

one obtains (6.6). The proof of Lemma 6.2 is complete. \square

Remark 6.1. The assumption (6.18) is reasonable, because if we consider the special case: $0 < \mu \leq 1$ and $\lambda = 0$. Then, after the scaling, we get a control on the constant $C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \leq C(p, q) \mu^{-\frac{6+q}{3q}}$, and hence $C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \mu \alpha \leq \alpha \mu^{\frac{2q-6}{3q}} \rightarrow 0$, as $\mu \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 6.2. Notice that, in view of the above argument, estimate (6.6) is actually valid for all $t \in [0, T]$, that is, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(p, q, \mu, \lambda) \left(R + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right).$$

6.2. Dissipation of the gradient of the density. To make Theorem 3.2 valid, we need further the uniform estimate on the dissipation of the gradient of the density.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $t \in (0, T)$,*

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(p, q, \mu) R. \quad (6.19)$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.3b) by $\sigma|\sigma|^{q-2}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mu + \lambda}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho P'(\rho) |\sigma|^q dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx - (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma) \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\rho(I + E)(I + E)^\top) \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

We estimate the right-hand side of (6.20) term by term,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1};$$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| \leq \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1};$$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| \leq \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1};$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma) \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \mathbf{u}_k \sigma_k \sigma_j |\sigma|^{q-2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{u}_k \partial_j \partial_k (\ln \rho) \partial_j \ln \rho |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \mathbf{u}_k \sigma_k \sigma_j |\sigma|^{q-2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{u}_k \partial_k |\sigma|^2 |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \mathbf{u}_k \sigma_k \sigma_j |\sigma|^{q-2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{u}_k \partial_k |\sigma| |\sigma|^{q-1} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \mathbf{u}_k \sigma_k \sigma_j |\sigma|^{q-2} dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{u}_k \partial_k |\sigma|^q dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \mathbf{u}_k \sigma_k \sigma_j |\sigma|^{q-2} dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\sigma|^q \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q, \end{aligned}$$

and, due to (2.9), we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\operatorname{div}(\rho(I+E)(I+E)^\top) \right)_i &= \frac{\partial(\rho(e_i + E_i)(e_j + E_j))}{\partial x_j} \\ &= (e_i + E_i) \frac{\partial(\rho(e_j + E_j))}{\partial x_j} + \rho(e_j + E_j) \frac{\partial(e_i + E_i)}{\partial x_j} \\ &= \rho(e_j + E_j) \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial x_j}, \end{aligned}$$

then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\rho(I+E)(I+E)^\top) \cdot \sigma |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(e_j + E_j) \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial x_j} \sigma_i |\sigma|^{q-2} dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla E\|_{L^q} \|I+E\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \leq 2 \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\rho P'(\rho) = P'(1) + (\rho - 1) \int_0^1 (P'(\eta(\rho - 1) + 1) + (\eta(\rho - 1) + 1) P''(\eta(\rho - 1) + 1)) d\eta,$$

for ρ close to 1 and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho P'(\rho) - P'(1)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty} \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{R} \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\} \leq C\sqrt{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) = P'(x) + xP''(x)$. Thus, from (6.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu + \lambda}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q + P'(1) \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q \\
& \leq \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \left(\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} + C \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} \right) \\
& \leq C \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \left(\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, by assuming that $R \ll 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu + \lambda}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q + \frac{1}{2} P'(1) \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^q \\
& \leq C \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{q-1} (\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Multiplying (6.21) by $\|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{p-q}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{1}{2} P'(1) \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p \\
& \leq C \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^{p-1} (\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\sigma\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality over the interval $(0, t)$, one obtains, by using (6.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{1}{2} P'(1) \int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \\
& \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(0)\|_{L^q}^p + C \left(\int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\left(\int_0^t \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + 1) \left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}}^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(0)\|_{L^q}^p + C(p, q, \mu) \sqrt{R} \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}^p \\
& \quad + C(p, q, \mu) R \left(\int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (1 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}).
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, by letting R be so small such that $C(p, q, \mu) \sqrt{R} < \frac{1}{4}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{1}{4} P'(1) \int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \\
& \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(0)\|_{L^q}^p + C(p, q, \mu) R \left(\int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (1 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Plugging (6.4) into (6.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{1}{4} P'(1) \int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \\ & \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(0)\|_{L^q}^p + C(p, q, \mu) R \left(\int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (1 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{1}{8} P'(1) \int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{L^q}^p ds \\ & \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{p} \delta^{\frac{3}{2}p} + C(p, q, \mu) R^p (1 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^q)})^p, \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

for all $0 \leq t < T$.

Now, we let R be so small that

$$C(p, q, \mu)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sqrt{R} (1 + \sqrt{R}) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Due to the fact that $\|\sigma(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \delta^{\frac{3}{2}}$, we can assume that $\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q} < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R}$ in some maximal interval $(0, t_{\max}) \subset (0, T)$. If $t_{\max} < T$, then, $\|\sigma(t_{\max})\|_{L^q} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R}$ and by (6.23),

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R} = \|\sigma(t_{\max})\|_{L^q} \leq C(p, q, \mu)^{\frac{1}{p}} R (1 + \sqrt{R}) < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $t_{\max} = T$ and

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^q} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{6.24}$$

Thus, by (6.23), one obtains (6.19). The proof of Lemma 6.3 is complete. \square

We remark that, from (6.6) and (6.19), one has

$$\|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(p, q, \mu) R. \tag{6.25}$$

7. REFINED UNIFORM ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove the second part and thus complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. Define

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\max} := \sup \Big\{ T > 0 : \exists \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{W}(0, T) \text{ with } \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{u}), \text{ such that, } \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)} \leq R, \\ \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq \sqrt{R}, \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \leq \sqrt{R}, \text{ and} \\ \|\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq \sqrt{R} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where R was constructed in the previous section.

7.1. Uniform estimates in time. We now establish some estimates which are uniform in time T . First we prove the following energy estimates:

Lemma 7.1. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^2, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^2, \quad (7.2)$$

$$\|E\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^2, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^2, \quad (7.4)$$

where C is a constant independent of $T \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. First we recall that

$$\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

and

$$\rho, E \in W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)).$$

Multiplying equation (1.3b) by \mathbf{u} , and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we obtain, using the conservation of mass (1.3a),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (\rho^\gamma + \gamma - 1) \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the notation $A : B$ means the dot product between two matrices. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (\rho^\gamma + \gamma - 1) \right) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) dx ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (\rho_0^\gamma + \gamma - 1) \right) dx - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the conservation of mass (1.3a), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (\rho^\gamma - \gamma \rho + \gamma - 1) \right) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) dx ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (\rho_0^\gamma - \gamma \rho_0 + \gamma - 1) \right) dx - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

On the other hand, due to equations (1.3c) and (1.3a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho |\mathbf{F}|^2) &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |\mathbf{F}|^2 + 2\rho \mathbf{F} : \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |\mathbf{F}|^2 + 2\rho \mathbf{F} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}) \\ &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |\mathbf{F}|^2 + 2\rho \mathbf{F} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}) - \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla |\mathbf{F}|^2 \\ &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |\mathbf{F}|^2 + 2\rho \mathbf{F} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) |\mathbf{F}|^2 - \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} |\mathbf{F}|^2) \\ &= 2\rho \mathbf{F} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}) - \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} |\mathbf{F}|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Integrating (7.6) over \mathbb{R}^3 , we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\mathbf{F}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{F} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}) dx. \quad (7.7)$$

Since

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{F} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}) dx ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx ds,$$

we finally obtain, by summing (7.5) and (7.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{F}|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} (\rho^\gamma - \gamma \rho + \gamma - 1) \right) dx \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) dx ds \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |\mathbf{F}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} (\rho_0^\gamma - \gamma \rho_0 + \gamma - 1) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

From Remark 3.3, $\rho(I + E^\top) : \nabla \mathbf{u} = 0$. Hence, from (1.3c) and (1.3a), we have

$$\partial_t(\rho \operatorname{tr} E) = 0. \quad (7.9)$$

Therefore, from (7.8), (7.9) and the conservation of mass (1.3a), we finally arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho |E|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} (\rho^\gamma - \gamma \rho + \gamma - 1) \right) dx \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) dx ds \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |E_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} (\rho_0^\gamma - \gamma \rho_0 + \gamma - 1) \right) dx \leq R^4. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

Since $\mu > 0$ is a constant and $\rho \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, then inequalities (7.1)-(7.3) follow from (7.10), and inequality (7.4) follows from (7.10) and the following straightforward inequalities: for some $\eta > 0$, we have

$$x^\gamma - 1 - \gamma(x-1) \geq \begin{cases} \eta |x-1|^2, & \text{if } \gamma \geq 2, \\ \eta |x-1|^2, & \text{if } |x| < 2 \text{ and } 1 < \gamma < 2. \end{cases}$$

The proof of Lemma 7.1 is complete. \square

Based on the uniform estimates from Section 6, we have

Lemma 7.2. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 3.2,*

$$\|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} < \sqrt{R}, \quad \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} < \sqrt{R}, \quad (7.11)$$

for any $T \in [0, T_{\max}]$.

Proof. According to (6.24), it is obvious to see that

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\sigma\|_{L^q}(t) < \sqrt{R}.$$

Hence, we are only left to show

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{W^{1,q}}(t) < \sqrt{R}.$$

Indeed, for any $t \in (0, T)$, we have, by using (1.3a) and (6.19),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(t) - 1\|_{L^q}^\alpha \\
&= \|\rho_0 - 1\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) - 1\|_{L^q}^\alpha ds \\
&= \|\rho_0 - 1\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{q} \int_0^t \left(\|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) - 1\|_{L^q}^{\alpha-q} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) - 1|^{q-2} (\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) - 1) \partial_s \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) dx \right) ds \quad (7.12) \\
&\leq \|\rho_0 - 1\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{q} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) - 1\|_{L^q}^{\alpha-1} \|\partial_s \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^q} ds \\
&\leq \delta^{2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(s) - 1\|_{L^q}^{\frac{(5q-6)p}{3q-6}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t \|\partial_s \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{(5q-6)(p-1)}{3q-6} + 1.$$

From (1.3a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} &= \|\nabla \rho \cdot \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\
&\leq 2\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} + 2\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \quad (7.13) \\
&\leq CR^2 + R \leq CR.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\|\rho - 1\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\rho - 1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta \|\nabla(\rho - 1)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta} \leq C \|\rho - 1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta \|\sigma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta},$$

with $\theta = \frac{2q}{5q-6}$. Thus, by Hölder's inequality, (7.4), and (6.19), one has

$$\|\rho - 1\|_{L^{\frac{(5q-6)p}{3q-6}}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C \|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}^\theta \|\sigma\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}^{1-\theta} \leq CR,$$

which, together (7.12) and (7.13), yields

$$\|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})(t) - 1\|_{L^q} \leq CR.$$

Hence, according to (6.19), we obtain, by letting R be sufficiently small,

$$\max_{t \in [0,T]} \max \{ \|\mathcal{S}(v)(t) - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \} < \sqrt{R}. \quad (7.14)$$

The proof of Lemma 7.2 is complete. \square

Lemma 7.3. *For each $1 \leq l \leq 3$, $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l}$ satisfies*

$$\partial_t \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} = - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla E + \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \right) E + \nabla \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} + \nabla \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \quad (7.15)$$

in the sense of distributions, that is, for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \partial_t \psi dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \psi) \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla E + \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \right) E + \nabla \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} + \nabla \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \right) \psi dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

for any $T \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. The proof is a direct application of the regularization. Indeed, one easily obtains, using (1.3c),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (S_\varepsilon E) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (S_\varepsilon E) &= S_\varepsilon (\partial_t E + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (S_\varepsilon E) - S_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E) \\ &= S_\varepsilon (\nabla \mathbf{u} E + \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (S_\varepsilon E) - S_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E). \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

Differentiate (7.16) with respect to x_l , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial S_\varepsilon E}{\partial x_l} \right) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial S_\varepsilon E}{\partial x_l} \right) \\ &= S_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (\nabla \mathbf{u} E + \nabla \mathbf{u}) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (S_\varepsilon E) - S_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E)) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla S_\varepsilon E. \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (S_\varepsilon E) - S_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E)) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla S_\varepsilon E - S_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla E \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla S_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right) - S_\varepsilon \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 6.7 in [24] (cf. Lemma 2.3 in [19]), we know that

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla S_\varepsilon E - S_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla E \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla S_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right) - S_\varepsilon \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

in $L^1(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (S_\varepsilon E) - S_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E)) \rightarrow 0$$

in $L^1(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Thus, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (7.17), we deduce

$$\partial_t \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla E + \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \right) E + \nabla \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} + \nabla \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l},$$

in the sense of weak solutions. The proof of Lemma 7.3 is complete. \square

Using (7.15), formally we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right) \left| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right|^{q-2} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \cdot \nabla E + \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \right) E + \nabla \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} + \nabla \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_l} \right) \left| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right|^{q-2} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} dx \\
&\leq C \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q + \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{q-1} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \right) \\
&\leq C \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q + \sqrt{R} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{q-1} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

We remark that the rigorous argument for the above estimate involves a tedious regularization procedure as in DiPerna-Lions [8], thus we omit the details and refer the reader to [8]. Using (7.18), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l}(t) \right\|_{L^q}^p \\
&= \left\| \frac{\partial E(0)}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{L^q}^p + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left\| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l}(s) \right\|_{L^q}^p ds \\
&= \left\| \frac{\partial E(0)}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{L^q}^p + \frac{p}{q} \int_0^t \left[\left\| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{L^q}^{p-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right|^{q-2} \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right) \partial_s \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l}(s) \right) dx \right] ds \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{\partial E(0)}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{L^q}^p + C \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{L^q}^{p-q} \left[\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{R} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{q-1} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \right] ds \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{\partial E(0)}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{L^q}^p + C \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \int_0^t \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^{p-1} [\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + (1 + \sqrt{R}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}}] ds \\
&\leq \delta^{2p} + C \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \left(\int_0^t \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}}^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla E\| + \sqrt{R} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq \delta^{2p} + C \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) R^p \left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla E\| + \sqrt{R} + 1 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

Taking the summation over l in (7.19) and taking the maximum over the time t , one has,

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla E\|^p \leq \delta^{2p} + C \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) R^p \left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla E\| + \sqrt{R} + 1 \right),$$

and hence, by letting R, δ be sufficiently small and using (6.25), we obtain,

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla E\|^p \leq \delta^{2p} + CR^p < (\sqrt{R})^p. \tag{7.20}$$

We are now left to deal with the quantity $\|E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. To this end, from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\|E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|E\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta \|\nabla E\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta},$$

with $\theta = \frac{2q}{5q-6}$. Thus, by Hölder's inequality, (7.3), and (6.25)

$$\|E\|_{L^{\frac{(5q-6)p}{3q-6}}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C \|E\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}^\theta \|\nabla E\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}^{1-\theta} \leq CR. \quad (7.21)$$

Hence, we have the following estimate:

Lemma 7.4. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 3.2, it holds*

$$\|E\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} < \sqrt{R}, \quad (7.22)$$

for any $T \in [0, T_{\max}]$.

Proof. By (1.3c), (6.25) and (7.20), and letting

$$\alpha = \frac{(5q-6)(p-1)}{3q-6} + 1,$$

one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|E(t)\|_{L^q}^\alpha \\ &= \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|E(s)\|_{L^q}^\alpha ds \\ &= \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{q} \int_0^t \left(\|E(s)\|_{L^q}^{\alpha-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |E(s)|^{q-2} E(s) \partial_s E(s) dx \right) ds \\ &= \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{q} \int_0^t \left(\|E(s)\|_{L^q}^{\alpha-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |E(s)|^{q-2} E(s) \left[\nabla \mathbf{u} E + \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E \right] dx \right) ds \\ &\leq \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{q} \int_0^t \left(\|E(s)\|_{L^q}^{\alpha-1} \left[2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|E\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \right] \right) ds \\ &\leq \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{q} \left(\int_0^t \|E(s)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{(5q-6)p}{3q-6}} dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\quad \times \left(2 \sup_{t \in (0, T_{\max})} \|E(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{q} \right) R \left(\int_0^t \|E(s)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{(5q-6)p}{3q-6}} dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|E(0)\|_{L^q}^\alpha + CR \|E\|_{L^{\frac{(5q-6)p}{3q-6}}(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

Then, according to (7.21), one has, for all $t \in [0, T_{\max}]$,

$$\|E(t)\|_{L^q}^\alpha \leq \delta^{2\alpha} + CR^\alpha < \sqrt{R}^\alpha, \quad (7.24)$$

if R is sufficiently small. Thus, (7.22) follows from (7.24). The proof of Lemma 7.4 is complete. \square

Lemma 7.4, together with (7.20) and Lemma 7.2, gives

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \max \{ \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{W^{1,q}(t)}, \|\sigma\|_{L^q(t)}, \|T(\mathbf{u})\|_{W^{1,q}(t)} \} \leq CR < \sqrt{R}. \quad (7.25)$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \max \{ \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - 1\|_{W^{1,2}}(t), \|\sigma\|_{L^2}(t), \|T(\mathbf{u})\|_{W^{1,2}}(t) \} \leq CR < \sqrt{R}. \quad (7.26)$$

7.2. Refined estimates on $\nabla \rho$ and ∇E . In order to prove Theorem 3.2, we need some refined estimates on $\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ and $\|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}$.

Lemma 7.5.

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR, \quad (7.27)$$

for any $T \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. Taking the divergence in (1.3b), one obtains

$$\Delta P(\rho) = \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top)) + \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(\rho E)) - \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div}(\rho \partial_t \mathbf{u}) + (\lambda + 2\mu) \Delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}. \quad (7.28)$$

Since, $\operatorname{div}(\rho(I + E)^\top) = 0$, we get

$$\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(\rho E)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho E_{ij}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho E_{ij}) = -\Delta \rho$$

in the sense of distributions. Hence, (7.28) becomes

$$\Delta P(\rho) + \Delta \rho = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top) - \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div}(\rho \partial_t \mathbf{u}) + (\lambda + 2\mu) \Delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}. \quad (7.29)$$

Hence, one obtains, using L^q theory of elliptic equations and Taylor's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} &\leq C \left(\|\rho \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} + \|\operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top)\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\rho \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^\infty)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q)} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{2, q})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \|E\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, q})}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \|E\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, q})} + R \right) \\ &\leq C(R^2 + R) \leq CR. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 7.5 is complete. \square

In order to refine $\|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}$, we need the following estimate:

Lemma 7.6.

$$\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}}, \quad (7.30)$$

for any $T \in (0, T_{\max})$ and some $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Proof. We first notice that, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, for $q \in (3, 6]$,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}^\theta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}^{1-\theta} \leq CR^{1+\theta}, \quad (7.31)$$

with $\theta = \frac{3(q-2)}{2q} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Next, we multiply (1.3b) by $\partial_t \mathbf{u}$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t)$ to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(t)|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}_0|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0|^2) dx - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx ds - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla P \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top) \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\rho E) \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx ds \\ &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}_0|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0|^2) dx + \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i, \end{aligned} \quad (7.32)$$

with the following estimates on I_i ($i = 1 \dots 4$): recalling $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx ds + CR^4; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx ds + C \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx ds + CR^2; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq C \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + C \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\nabla E\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx ds + CR^4; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t(\rho E) \nabla \mathbf{u} dx ds \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 E_0 \nabla \mathbf{u}_0 dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(T) E(T) \nabla \mathbf{u}(T) dx \right| \\ &\leq (\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\partial_t E\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|E\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(Q_T)}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \\ & \quad + CR^3 + (\|\nabla \rho(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|E(T)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla E(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\rho(T)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \|\mathbf{u}(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq CR^3, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the estimate I_4 , we used equations (1.3a), (1.3c), Lemma 7.1 and estimate (7.31). Thus, from (7.32), one obtains

$$\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq CR, \quad (7.33)$$

and

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR. \quad (7.34)$$

Now, we differentiate (1.3b) with respect to t , multiply the resulting equation by $\partial_t \mathbf{u}$, and integrate it over \mathbb{R}^3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu |\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\operatorname{div} \partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \partial_t P \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\rho E E^\top) \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\rho E) \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u} dx \\
&:= \sum_{j=1}^7 J_j,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.35}$$

where using (7.34), we can control J_j ($j = 1 \dots 7$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \rho \mathbf{u} |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \right| \leq \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \leq CR^2 \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
|J_2| &\leq \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^6} \leq R \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq R^2 \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^3 \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
|J_3| &\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2 \leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CR^{\frac{7}{4}} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
|J_4| &\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^6} \leq CR \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
|J_5| &\leq C \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla \rho \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + CR^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
|J_6| &\leq \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2} \|E\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|E\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t E\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq R^2 \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + R \|\nabla \mathbf{u} E - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E + \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq R^2 \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + R (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|E\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla E\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq R^6 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^2 (R^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2);
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_7| &\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t E\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|E\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^2 \|\nabla \rho \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^4 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that in the above estimates, we used several times the interpolation inequality:

$$\|f\|_{W^{2,3}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|f\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta \|f\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta}$$

for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. These estimates and (7.35) imply that, for R sufficiently small,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \leq R^3 \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + R^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2. \quad (7.36)$$

Integrating (7.36) over $(0, T)$, we obtain that, using (7.1),

$$\|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq CR^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (7.37)$$

Here we used the estimate

$$\|\rho_0 \partial_t \mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla E_0\|_{L^2}) \leq \delta^4$$

by letting $t = 0$ in (1.3b). Thus, by (7.33), (7.37) and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\theta \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^{1-\theta} \leq CR^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}},$$

for some $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. The proof of Lemma 7.6 is complete. \square

With (7.30) in hand, we can now get the estimate for $\|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))}$.

Lemma 7.7. *For the same $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ as in Lemma 7.6, it holds*

$$\|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CR^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}}, \quad (7.38)$$

for any $T \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. Substituting the following two facts

$$\partial_t \operatorname{div} E = \operatorname{div}(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E + \nabla \mathbf{u} E) + \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \operatorname{div}(\rho E) = \operatorname{div}((\rho - 1)E) + \operatorname{div} E,$$

into (1.3b), multiplying the resulting equation by $|\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E$ and integrating it over \mathbb{R}^3 , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^q + \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^q \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} |\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{u} |\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E dx \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla P |\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\rho E E^\top) |\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E dx \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}((\rho - 1)E) |\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E dx \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{u} E - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla E) |\operatorname{div} E|^{q-2} \operatorname{div} E dx \right| \\ & := \sum_{m=1}^6 M_m, \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

where

$$M_1 \leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1};$$

$$M_2 \leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \leq R \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1};$$

$$M_3 \leq C \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4 &\leq \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \|E\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|E\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \\
&\leq (R^2 \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} + R \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}) \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1}; \\
M_5 &\leq \|\rho - 1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \|E\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \\
&\leq R(\|\nabla E\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}) \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1}; \\
M_6 &\leq (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla E\|_{L^q} + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q} \|E\|_{L^\infty}) \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \leq R \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^{q-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

With those estimates in hand, we multiply (7.39) by $|\operatorname{div} E|_{L^q}^{2-q}$ to deduce that, using Young's inequality,

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^2 \leq C \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^2 + R^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}}^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}^2 + R^2 \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^2. \quad (7.40)$$

On the other hand, we still have

$$\|\operatorname{curl} E\|_{L^q}^2 \leq \|E\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^2 \leq C R^2 \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^2.$$

Hence, substituting this into (7.40), we get

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\operatorname{div} E\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^2 \leq C \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^2 + R^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,q}}^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}^2 + C R^2 \|\nabla E\|_{L^q}^2. \quad (7.41)$$

Integrating (7.41) over $(0, T)$ and using the estimates (7.27), (7.30), we obtain

$$\|\nabla E\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C R^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}}.$$

The proof of Lemma 7.7 is complete. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Xianpeng Hu's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-0604362 and by the Mellon Predoctoral Fellowship of the University of Pittsburgh. Dehua Wang's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS-0604362 and DMS-0906160, and by the Office of Naval Research under Grant N00014-07-1-0668.

REFERENCES

- [1] Admas, R. A., *Sobolev spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65. Academic Press, New York-London, 1975.
- [2] Amann, H., *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems*. Vol. I. Abstract linear theory. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, 1995.
- [3] Bergh, J.; Löfström, J., *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [4] Bird, R. B.; Armstrong, R. C.; Hassager, O., *Dynamics of polymeric liquids. Vol. 1. Fluid mechanics*. Wiley Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [5] Chemin, J.; Masmoudi, N., *About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), 84–112.
- [6] Chen, Y.; Zhang, P., *The global existence of small solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system in 2 and 3 space dimensions*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006), 1793–1810.
- [7] Dafermos, C. M., *Hyperbolic conservation laws in continuum physics*. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 325. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [8] DiPerna, R. J.; Lions, P.-L., *Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces*. Invent. Math. 98 (1989), 511–547.

- [9] Gurtin, M. E., *An introduction to Continuum Mechanics*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 158. Academic Press, New York/London, 1981.
- [10] Joseph, D., *Fluid dynamics of viscoelastic liquids*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 84. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [11] Kessenich, P., *Global Existence with Small Initial Data for Three-Dimensional Incompressible Isotropic Viscoelastic Materials*, preprint.
- [12] Larson, R. G., *The Structure and Rheology of Complex Fluids*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [13] Lei, Z.; Liu, C.; Zhou, Y., *Global existence for a 2D incompressible viscoelastic model with small strain*. Commun. Math. Sci. 5 (2007), 595–616.
- [14] Lei, Z.; Liu, C.; Zhou, Y., *Global solutions for incompressible viscoelastic fluids*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 188 (2008), 371–398.
- [15] Lei, Z.; Liu, C.; Zhou, Y., *Global solutions for compressible viscoelastic fluids with small initial data*. Preprint.
- [16] Lei, Z.; Zhou, Y., *Global existence of classical solutions for the two-dimensional Oldroyd model via the incompressible limit*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37 (2005), 797–814.
- [17] Lin, F.; Liu, C.; Zhang, P., *On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 1437–1471.
- [18] Lin, F.; Zhang, P., *On the initial-boundary value problem of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), 539–558.
- [19] Lions, P. L., *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible Models*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 3. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [20] Lions, P. L.; Masmoudi, N., *Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 21 (2000), 131–146.
- [21] Liu, C.; Walkington, N. J., *An Eulerian description of fluids containing visco-elastic particles*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 159 (2001), 229–252.
- [22] Matsumura, A.; Nishida, T., *The initial-value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), 67–104.
- [23] Matsumura, A.; Nishida, T., *Initial-boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids*. Comm. Math. Phys. 89 (1983), 445–464.
- [24] Novotný, A.; Straškraba, I., *Introduction to the mathematical theory of compressible flow*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 27. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [25] Renardy, M.; Hrusa, W. J.; Nohel, J. A., *Mathematical Problems in Viscoelasticity*. Longman Scientific and Technical; copublished in the US with John Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [26] Salvi, R.; Straškraba, I., *Global existence for viscous compressible fluids and their behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$* . J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 40 (1993), 17–51.
- [27] Sideris, T. C., *Nonlinear hyperbolic systems and elastodynamics*. Phase space analysis of partial differential equations. Vol. II, 451–485, Pubbl. Cent. Ric. Mat. Ennio Giorgi, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 2004.
- [28] Sideris, T. C., *Personal notes on viscoelasticity*, 2007.
- [29] Sideris, T. C.; Thomases, B., *Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 750–788.
- [30] Slemrod, M., *Constitutive relations for Rivlin-Ericksen fluids based on generalized rational approximation*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 146 (1999), 73–93.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA.
E-mail address: xih15@pitt.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA.
E-mail address: dwang@math.pitt.edu