A priori bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev norms of space-periodic three-dimensional solutions to equations of hydrodynamic type

Vladislav Zheligovsky

International institute of earthquake prediction theory and mathematical geophysics
84/32 Profsoyuznaya St., 117997 Moscow, Russian Federation

UNS, CNRS, Laboratoire Cassiopée, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur
BP 4229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

arXiv:1001.4237v6 [math.AP] 21 Mar 2011

We present a technique for derivation of a priori bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev norms of space-periodic three-dimensional solutions to evolutionary partial differential equations of hydrodynamic type. It involves a transformation of the flow velocity in the Fourier space, which introduces a feedback between the index of the norm and the norm of the transformed solution, and results in emergence of a mildly dissipative term. We illustrate the technique using it to derive finite-time bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions to the Euler and inviscid Burgers equations, and global in time bounds for the Voigt-type regularisations of the Euler and Navier–Stokes equation (assuming that the respective norm of the initial condition is bounded). The boundedness of the norms implies analyticity of the solutions in space.

1 Introduction

We suggest a new approach to derivation of bounds for analytic Gevrey–Sobolev norms (see their definition (6) below) of solutions to evolutionary partial differential equations of hydrodynamic type, which exploits a feedback between the norm of the suitably transformed solution and the index of the norm.

Whether three-dimensional solutions to the Euler equation remain smooth forever is an open question. If the initial flow velocity belongs to the Hölder class $C^{1+\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary), the solution remains in it at least for a finite time [4]. Moreover, if initially the flow is analytic in space, the solution is guaranteed to be analytic in a finite spatio-temporal region [1, 2, 3, 5, 6]; the loss of analyticity does not occur before the solution ceases to be continuously differentiable [2]. These demonstrations involve construction of bounds for iterated approximations of vorticity followed along characteristics, the knowledge of the Green function in the integral representation of the flow in the terms of vorticity, and application of methods of the theory of functions of complex variables. It is yet unknown, whether a singularity can develop at finite times.

Foias and Temam [11] proved that viscosity can enhance the smoothness of space-periodic solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation (see also [10]): If initially the flow velocity belongs to the Sobolev space $H_1(T^3)$ (i.e., the space of space-periodic functions, whose derivatives of order 1 are square-integrable), then at any small time $t > 0$ the flow has a bounded Gevrey–Sobolev norm. Their method was used subsequently to demonstrate that a similar result holds for space-periodic solutions to various modifications of the Navier–Stokes equation [16, 31]. The method relies on the presence of the viscous term in the equation, and hence is not directly applicable to the Euler equation.

We overcome the difficulty by performing a suitable transformation of the Fourier coefficients of the flow, which introduces a dependence of the index of a Gevrey–Sobolev norm on the norm of the transformed solution. The transformation results in emergence of a weakly dissipative operator in the modified equation and it becomes possible to proceed following the method of [11] (time dependence of the index giving rise to a weakly dissipative operator was employed in [22]). In Section 2 we use this technique to give a simple proof of the boundedness of a Gevrey–Sobolev norm of a space-periodic three-dimensional solution to the Euler equation on a finite time interval. In section 3 the same technique is applied to the Burgers equation.

The three–dimensional Navier–Stokes equation can be regularised by introduction of suitable nonlinear [18, 19, 20] or linear terms, such as hyperviscosity [23, 24], or the time derivative of the Laplacian of the flow (proposed by O.A. Ladyzhenskaya at the International mathematical congress in 1966) which can be regarded as a non-standard "dynamic" viscosity. Boundary value problems for the regularised equation of this type, known as the Navier–Stokes–Voigt equation and the Oskolkov equation in the Russian literature, were studied in [25, 26, 27, 8]. They describe the motion of non-Newtonian visco-elastic fluids with memory (characterised by an exponential decay of the rate of deformation under a constant stress) arising for a class of linear integro-differential rheology equations [28, 29, 30]. While in the Voigt regularisation of the Navier–Stokes equation dynamic viscosity is positive, its negative values may be also physically sound [27]. Regularisation by progressive damping of high-wavenumber harmonics in some instances of occurrence of the flow velocity in the equation gives rise to the so-called Camassa–Holm or Navier–Stokes–α equation [12, 13, 16] supposedly describing Lagrangian-averaged flows [15]; however, an additional term must be introduced for this interpretation to be accurate [32, 33].

Bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev norms were derived in [21] for solutions to the so-called Voigt regularisation of the Euler equation (this type of regularisation was suggested for the Euler equation in [8]) by the method, initially applied in [22] to the "lake equation" (i.e., a modified two-dimensional Euler equation). We consider a Voigt-type regularisation of the three-dimensional Euler equation in Section 4 and show that a milder regularisation suffices to establish the boundedness of Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions. The boundedness of the norms is demonstrated for solutions to the Voigt-type regularisation of the Navier–Stokes equation in Section 5; the presence of diffusion enables us to further weaken the regularising term. Constructions of Sections 4 and 5 illustrate another aspect of our approach: dependence of the index of a Gevrey–Sobolev norm on the norm of the transformed solution allows to decrease the order of nonlinearity in the energy-type inequality and thus to obtain global (in time) bounds for the norm.

In this paper, we consider zero-mean (note that the means of solutions are conserved in time) space-periodic three-dimensional solutions, assuming for simplicity that the elementary periodicity cell is the cube $T^3 = [0, 2\pi]^3$. It is not difficult to generalise our analysis to encompass the case of arbitrary periods along the Cartesian axes. All the equations mentioned above normally involve a force $\mathbf{f}$. Following a long-established tradition, for the sake of simplicity we consider only the illustrative equations for $\mathbf{f} = 0$. Reinstating the forcing in the analysis is also straightforward (provided the force has the right analyticity properties).

Let us also remark on the accurate procedure for derivation of a priori bounds. The

bounds are derived below for Fourier–Galerkin truncations of the solutions. We do this by tacitly using the ODE's governing the evolution of the Fourier coefficients of the truncated solutions. The bounds involve norms of the initial conditions for the truncations. Assuming in their place (in general, larger) norms of non-truncated initial conditions, we obtain bounds for the truncated solutions, that are uniform in the number of harmonics retained in the Fourier–Galerkin truncation. By the standard arguments, these bounds remain valid for the norms of the non-truncated solutions.

2 The three-dimensional Euler equation

In this section we establish bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions to the Euler equation on a finite time interval $[0, t_*)$, assuming that the initial condition has a finite Gevrey–Sobolev norm.

The motion of a perfect (inviscid) fluid with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ under the action of a body force $\mathbf{f}$ is governed by the Euler equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f} + \nabla p. \tag{1}$$

Incompressibility of fluid implies the solenoidality of the flow:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0. \tag{2}$$

The initial (at $t = 0$) distribution of the flow velocity $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ is prescribed.

We expand a zero-mean space-periodic flow in T^3 into the Fourier series:

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}. \tag{3}$$

The flow is real, as long as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}} = \overline{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{-\mathbf{n}}}, \tag{4}$$

and solenoidality (2) implies

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0. \tag{5}$$

The norm $\| \cdot \|_q$ of a zero-mean space-periodic vector or scalar field $\mathbf{v}$ in the Sobolev space $H_q(T^3)$ is defined in the terms of its Fourier coefficients by the relation

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_q^2 \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} |\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}|^2 |\mathbf{n}|^{2q},$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of a three-dimensional vector. For any $\sigma > 0$ we define the Gevrey–Sobolev norm[1]

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\sigma,q}^2 \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} |\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}|^2 \mathrm{e}^{2\sigma|\mathbf{n}|} |\mathbf{n}|^{2q}. \tag{6}$$

[1]Functions, whose Gevrey–Sobolev norms are finite, are analytic (see [22]), and hence the norm (6) might be called the analytic Gevrey–Sobolev norm. We could extend our analysis to non-analytic Gevrey classes of index α, $0 < \alpha < 1$, whose norms involve the exponents $\exp(2\sigma|\mathbf{n}|^\alpha)$ instead of $\exp(2\sigma|\mathbf{n}|)$ in (6). Under the transformation of equations that we employ a weakly dissipative operator emerges, whose symbol would then grow as $|\mathbf{n}|^\alpha$. Since the operator is the strongest for $\alpha = 1$, we consider only this case.

Here the first index σ is a lower estimate for the radius of the region of analyticity of $\mathbf{w}$ around the real axis in the complex space. For $\sigma = 0$, (6) defines the norm in $H_q(T^3)$. Let $|\cdot|_q$ denote the norm in the Lebesgue space $L_q(T^3)$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem [7, 35], for any positive $q < 3/2$ there exists a constant C_q such that for any function $f \in H_q(T^3)$

$$|f|_{6/(3-2q)} \le C_q \|f\|_q. \tag{7}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$ denote the linear operator of projection of a three-dimensional vector on the plane normal to $\mathbf{n} \neq 0$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{m}} \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{m}} - \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{|\mathbf{n}|^2} \mathbf{n}.$$

The evolution of Fourier coefficients of the flow is governed by equations

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} + \mathrm{i} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}))\, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}} = 0 \tag{8}$$

obtained by substitution of the series (3) (and $\mathbf{f} = 0$) into the Euler equation (1).

Assuming $\| \mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})} \|_{\sigma,\, s+3/2} < \infty$ for some positive σ and $s \le 1/2$, we consider a transformation

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) \exp\left(-\beta |\mathbf{n}| \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-\varepsilon} \right), \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}},$$

where β and $\varepsilon < 2$ are positive constants. The transformation involves solving the system of nonlinear equations (9) in $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)$; the solution takes the form

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) \exp(\psi(t)|\mathbf{n}|),$$

where the quantity $\psi(t) \ge 0$ satisfies the equation

$$\psi(t) \| \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{\psi(t),\, s+3/2}^{\varepsilon} = \beta.$$

It has a unique solution for any $t \ge 0$, because the l.h.s. is a continuous monotonically increasing function of ψ (as discussed in the introduction, the a priori estimates are derived for Fourier–Galerkin truncations of the flow, whereby the sum (3) is assumed to involve a finite number of terms). If $\beta < \sigma \| \mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})} \|_{\sigma,\, s+3/2}^{\varepsilon}$, then $\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)\|_{s+3/2}$ is bounded at $t = 0$ (uniformly over the number of terms in the truncated sum (3)). Our goal is to show that $\mathbf{w}$ is bounded in $H_{s+3/2}(T^3)$ on some finite-length time interval $[0, t_*)$.

Substitution (9) transforms (8) into

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} + \beta\varepsilon|\mathbf{n}|\, \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-1-\varepsilon}\, \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}\, \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)\|_{s+3/2}$$

$$= -\mathrm{i} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}))\, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}} \exp\left(\beta \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-\varepsilon} \left(|\mathbf{n}| - |\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}| \right) \right). \tag{10}$$

Scalar multiplying this equation by $|\mathbf{n}|^{2+2s}\, \overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}$ and considering the real part of the sum over $\mathbf{n}$, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|^2_{1+s}+\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|^{2-\varepsilon}_{s+3/2}\right)$$

$$=\mathrm{Im}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\cdot\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}})|\mathbf{n}|^{2+2s}\exp\left(\beta\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|^{-\varepsilon}_{s+3/2}\left(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|\right)\right). \tag{11}$$

We call (11) the energy balance equation. In construction of estimates for the sum arising from the nonlinear term we follow, with some variations, the approach [9]. By the inequality for sides of a triangle, the exponential function in the r.h.s. of (11) does not exceed 1. We will show now that the absolute value of the r.h.s. of (11) is bounded by $(D_s/2)\|\mathbf{w}\|^3_{s+3/2}$, where the constant D_s is independent of $\mathbf{w}$ ($D_s\to\infty$ for $s\to 0$).

By virtue of the inequality

$$|\mathbf{n}|^{s+1/2}\le|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|^{s+1/2}+|\mathbf{k}|^{s+1/2},$$

valid for $0<s\le 1/2$, the r.h.s. of (11) does not exceed

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{k}}\left(|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}||\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|^{s+3/2}|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}|+|\mathbf{k}|^{s+1/2}|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}||\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}|\right)|\mathbf{n}|^{s+3/2}|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}|$$

$$=(2\pi)^{-3}\int_{T^3}\left(f_0(\mathbf{x})f_{s+3/2}(\mathbf{x})+f_{s+1/2}(\mathbf{x})f_1(\mathbf{x})\right)f_{s+3/2}(-\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x}, \tag{12}$$

where scalar functions f_q are defined as the Fourier series

$$f_q(\mathbf{x},t)\equiv\sum_{\mathbf{n}}|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)||\mathbf{n}|^q\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}. \tag{13}$$

By the Cauchy–Buniakowski–Schwarz inequality, for any $f=\sum_{\mathbf{n}}\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$,

$$|f|\le\sum_{\mathbf{n}}|\mathbf{n}|^{s+3/2}|\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{n}|^{-(s+3/2)}\le\|f\|_{s+3/2}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}}|\mathbf{n}|^{-3-2s}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The second factor in the l.h.s. of this inequality, which we denote by c_s, is finite for any $s>0$ (it tends to infinity, when $s\to 0$). Consequently, using Hölder's inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem (see (7)) and Parseval's identity, we find a bound for the r.h.s. of (12):

$$(2\pi)^{-3}\left(|f_{s+3/2}|_2\max_{T^3}|f_0|+|f_{s+1/2}|_6|f_1|_3\right)|f_{s+3/2}|_2$$

$$\le(2\pi)^{-3}\left(c_s\|f_0\|^2_{s+3/2}+C_1C_{1/2}\|f_0\|_{s+3/2}\|f_0\|_{3/2}\right)\|f_0\|_{s+3/2}\le\frac{D_s}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|^3_{s+3/2}$$

for $D_s\equiv(c_s+C_1C_{1/2})/(4\pi^3)$. Thus, we obtain from (11)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|^2_{1+s}+A\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|^{2-\varepsilon}_{s+3/2}\right)\le D_s\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|^3_{s+3/2},$$

where

$$A\equiv 2\beta\varepsilon/(2-\varepsilon). \tag{14}$$

Hence, for $\xi \equiv \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{1+s}^2 + A\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}^{2-\varepsilon}$,

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} \le D_s\, A^{-3/(2-\varepsilon)}\, \xi^{3/(2-\varepsilon)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\frac{d}{dt}\xi^{-\theta} \le D_s\theta A^{-3/(2-\varepsilon)},$$

where $\theta \equiv (1+\varepsilon)/(2-\varepsilon)$. Consequently, the bound

$$\xi \le \left((\xi|_{t=0})^{-\theta} - D_s\theta A^{-3/(2-\varepsilon)}\, t\right)^{-1/\theta} \tag{15}$$

holds for

$$t < t_* \equiv (D_s\theta)^{-1} A^{3/(2-\varepsilon)} (\xi|_{t=0})^{-\theta}. \tag{16}$$

For such t,

$$\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2} \le \varphi(t) \equiv \left((A/\xi|_{t=0})^{\theta} - D_s\theta t/A\right)^{-1/(1+\varepsilon)}. \tag{17}$$

Assuming in

$$\xi|_{t=0} = \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)\|_{1+s}^2 + A\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)\|_{s+3/2}^{2-\varepsilon} \tag{18}$$

the norms of the non-truncated vector field $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)$, we obtain bounds for $\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}$ and t_* that are uniform in the number of harmonics in the Fourier–Galerkin truncation of a solution. Inequality (17) and transformation (9) imply

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\beta\varphi^{-\varepsilon},\, s+3/2} \le \left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\beta\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-\varepsilon},\, s+3/2} = \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2} \le \varphi(t).$$

We have therefore proved

Theorem 1. Let initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ of a solution to the force-free Euler equation have a finite Gevrey–Sobolev norm $\left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\sigma,\, s+3/2}$, where $0 < s \le 1/2$. For $0 \le t < t_*$ the solution satisfies the bound

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\beta\varphi(t)^{-\varepsilon},\, s+3/2} \le \varphi(t), \tag{19}$$

where $0 < \beta < \sigma \left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\sigma,\, s+3/2}^{\varepsilon}$, $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, t_* and $\varphi(t)$ are defined by relations (16)–(18), and $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)$ is the result of application of transformation (9) to the initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$.

3 The inviscid Burgers equation

In this section we show that our technique gives the same bound for the Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions to the inviscid Burgers equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}.$$

The solenoidality of solutions is not required any more. For the sake of simplicity, we again consider only zero-mean space-periodic solutions for the force-free case $\mathbf{f} = 0$, with the elementary periodicity cell being the cube T^3.

A solution is expanded into the Fourier series (3); the evolution of Fourier coefficients is now governed by equations

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} + \mathrm{i}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}} = 0. \tag{20}$$

After transformation (9) is applied, (20) becomes

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} + \beta\varepsilon|\mathbf{n}|\,\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-1-\varepsilon}\,\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}\,\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}$$

$$= -\mathrm{i}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))\,\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\exp\left(\beta\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-\varepsilon}\left(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|\right)\right). \tag{21}$$

Scalar multiplying this equation by $|\mathbf{n}|^{2+2s}\,\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}$ and considering the real part of the sum over $\mathbf{n}$, we again obtain the energy balance equation (11). Since the orthogonality (5) was not used to derive from (11) the bound (17) yielding (19), the same derivation applies for the force-free inviscid Burgers equation, implying that the bounds (17) and (19) hold true for its solutions as well. In other words, Theorem 1 applies literally to solutions to the force-free inviscid Burgers equation.

4 Voigt-type regularisation of the Euler equation

In this section we present another illustration of our technique, demonstrating global (in time) boundedness of Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions to the Voigt-type regularisation of the Euler equation. The name "Voigt regularisation" was proposed in [21] for $s = 1$ (see (22) below). The boundedness was proved *ibid.* for $s = 1$ by the method [22]. Our technique seems simpler in application. We show that a milder regularisation, than considered in [21], suffices to guarantee global regularity of solutions.

We consider three-dimensional solenoidal space-periodic zero-mean solutions (3) to the equation

$$\alpha^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\nabla^2)^s\mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f} + \nabla p \tag{22}$$

for $s > 0$. For $s = 1$ this is the Voigt-regularised Euler equation, studied in [21]. For $\mathbf{f} = 0$, in the Fourier space it takes the form

$$(1+\alpha^2|\mathbf{n}|^{2s})\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} + \mathrm{i}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))\,\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}} = 0. \tag{23}$$

We assume $\left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\sigma,\,s+1/2} < \infty$ for a $\sigma > 0$ and make the transformation

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)\exp\left(-\beta|\mathbf{n}|\,|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)|||^{-\varepsilon}\right), \tag{24}$$

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}},$$

where β and $\varepsilon < 2$ are positive constants and

$$|||\mathbf{w}|||^2 \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{n}}(1+\alpha^2|\mathbf{n}|^{2s})|\mathbf{n}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}|^2 \tag{25}$$

defines a norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{s+1/2}$. Choosing

$$0 < \beta < \sigma\left(\left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\sigma,1/2}^2 + \alpha^2\left|\!\left|\!\left|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\sigma,\,s+1/2}^2\right)^{\varepsilon/2}, \tag{26}$$

we ensure that $|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)|||$ is bounded uniformly over the number of Fourier harmonics preserved in Fourier–Galerkin truncations of the initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$. Our goal is to derive bounds for $|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)|||$.

Substitution (24) transforms (23) into

$$(1+\alpha^2|\mathbf{n}|^{2s})\left(\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{n}}{dt}+\beta\varepsilon|\mathbf{n}|\,|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-1-\varepsilon}\,\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{n}\,\frac{d}{dt}|||\mathbf{w}|||\right)$$

$$=-\mathrm{i}\sum_\mathbf{k}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))\,\mathcal{P}_\mathbf{n}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right).$$

The real part of the sum over $\mathbf{n}$ of these equations scalar multiplied by $\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{n}}$ reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2+\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2+A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{2-\varepsilon}\right)$$

$$=2\mathrm{Im}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\cdot\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{n}})\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right)$$

$$=\mathrm{Im}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\cdot\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{n}})\left(\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right)\right.$$

$$\left.-\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}|)\right)\right) \tag{27}$$

(above, in one of the sums in $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ we have changed the index of summation $\mathbf{n}\to\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n}$ and relied on the fact that $\mathbf{w}$ is real-valued and solenoidal, which stems from (5) and (4)).

Note that $|e^{\alpha'}-e^{\alpha''}|\le|\alpha'-\alpha''|$ for any negative α' and α''. Consequently, the absolute value of the r.h.s. of (27) does not exceed

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{k}}|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_\mathbf{n}|\,2\beta\,|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,||\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}||\le\frac{\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}}{4\pi^3}\int_{T^3}f_0(-\mathbf{x})f_1^2(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x}$$

(here and in (27) we use the notation A and f_q (13) introduced in Section 2)

$$\le\frac{\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}}{4\pi^3}|f_0|_{9/(2-3\zeta)}|f_1|^2_{18/(7+3\zeta)} \tag{28}$$

(by Hölder's inequality for a sufficiently small $\zeta>0$). By the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$|f_0|_{9/(2-3\zeta)}\le C_{5/6+\zeta}\|f_0\|_{5/6+\zeta},\qquad|f_1|_{18/(7+3\zeta)}\le C_{1/3-\zeta/2}\|f_1\|_{1/3-\zeta/2}$$

(see (7)). By virtue of (13) and by Hölder's inequality, (28) is bounded by

$$\frac{\beta C_{5/6+\zeta}C^2_{1/3-\zeta/2}|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}}{4\pi^3}\|\mathbf{w}\|_{5/6+\zeta}\|\mathbf{w}\|^2_{4/3-\zeta/2}\le\frac{\beta C_{5/6+\zeta}C^2_{1/3-\zeta/2}|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}}{4\pi^3}\|\mathbf{w}\|^{1+6\zeta}_{5/6+\zeta}\|\mathbf{w}\|^{2-6\zeta}_{4/3+\zeta}.$$

Hence, for $s\ge5/6+\zeta$ and $\varepsilon=2-6\zeta$, provided $0<\zeta<1/3$, we obtain from the energy balance equation (27):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2+\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2+A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{6\zeta}\right)\le\frac{\beta C_{5/6+\zeta}C^2_{1/3-\zeta/2}}{4\pi^3\alpha^\varepsilon}\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^{1+6\zeta}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} \le D\,\xi^{1/2+3\zeta}, \tag{29}$$

where it is denoted

$$D \equiv \beta C_{5/6+\zeta} C_{1/3-\zeta/2}^2 (\pi\alpha)^{-3}/4,$$
$$\xi \equiv \|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2 + \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2 + A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{6\zeta}. \tag{30}$$

For $0 < \zeta < 1/6$, we obtain from (29) a global polynomial bound

$$\xi \le \Big((\xi|_{t=0})^{1/2-3\zeta} + (1/2 - 3\zeta)\, Dt \Big)^{(1/2-3\zeta)^{-1}} \equiv \varphi(t;\zeta), \tag{31}$$

and for $\zeta = 1/6$ an exponential one

$$\xi \le \xi|_{t=0}\, \mathrm{e}^{Dt} \equiv \varphi(t;1/6). \tag{32}$$

For $\zeta > 1/6$, (29) yields bounds for ξ, which are finite-time and thus not of interest. In view of (24), (31) and (32), the solution to equation (22) satisfies the inequalities

$$|||\mathbf{w}||| \le (\varphi/A)^{1/(6\zeta)} \qquad \Rightarrow$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta(\varphi(t;\zeta)/A)^{1-1/(3\zeta)},\,1/2} \le \|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)|||^{-\varepsilon},\,1/2} = \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2} \le |||\mathbf{w}||| \le (\varphi(t;\zeta)/A)^{1/(6\zeta)}.$$

Note that the first index of the norm in the l.h.s. of this inequality is strictly positive for any $t > 0$.

We have proved the following statement:

Theorem 2. Suppose $0 < \zeta \le 1/6$ and $s \ge 5/6 + \zeta$. Let the norm $\|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\|_{\sigma,\,s+1/2}$ of initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ of a solution to the Voigt-type regularisation of the force-free three-dimensional Euler equation (22) be finite for some $\sigma > 0$. Then the bound

$$\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta(\varphi(t;\zeta)/A)^{1-1/(3\zeta)},\,1/2} \le (\varphi(t;\zeta)/A)^{1/(6\zeta)}$$

holds true for the solution at any time $t > 0$. Here A is defined by (14), $\varepsilon = 2 - 6\zeta$ and β satisfies inequality (26); φ is defined by (31) and (32), where $\xi|_{t=0}$ is obtained by application of formula (30) to $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)$, which is the result of application of transformation (9) to the non-truncated initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$.

To the best of our knowledge, the regularised equation (22) with the fractional power of the Laplacian has not yet been considered in Sobolev spaces in literature. It is easy to show incrementally that for $s \ge 5/6$ the solution of (22) belongs to Sobolev spaces of arbitrary high indices (provided the initial data and the forcing $\mathbf{f}$ are sufficiently regular). Such a proof can be started by multiplication of (22) by $\mathbf{v}$ and deriving a bound for $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{5/6}$. Scalar multiplying now (22) by $(-\nabla^2)^{5/3-s}\mathbf{v}$, using the inequality $|\mathbf{v}|_{9/2} \le C_{5/6}\|\mathbf{v}\|_{5/6}$ to bound the integral arising from the nonlinear term, it easy to show that $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{5/3}$ is bounded at any $t > 0$. Higher-index Sobolev norms can be subsequently bounded similarly.

5 Voigt-type regularisation of the Navier–Stokes equation

In this section we prove global (in time) boundedness of Gevrey–Sobolev norms of three-dimensional solenoidal space-periodic zero-mean solutions (3) to the Voigt-type regularisation of the Navier–Stokes equation

$$\alpha^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\nabla^2)^s\mathbf{v}+\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}-\nu\nabla^2\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{f}+\nabla p, \tag{33}$$

where $s>0$. The Voigt regularisation ($s=1$) was previously investigated in [25, 36]; boundedness of Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions for $s=1$ was demonstrated in [16] by a method, different from the one used here. We show here that a milder regularisation, than the one considered in the previous section for the Euler equation, suffices to guarantee global regularity of solutions. In the first two subsections we derive the bounds separately for $s>1/2$, where the smoothing term is excessively strong, and for the limit value $s=1/2$ — constructions in the two cases are somewhat different. In the last subsection we show that the method [11] can be used for $s\leq 1/2$ to establish instantaneous development of analyticity of solutions for mildly regular initial conditions.

5.1 Excessive damping: $s>1/2$

Assuming $\|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\|_{\sigma,\,s+1/2}<\infty$ for a positive σ, we make transformation (24) for $1<\varepsilon<2$, where the norm $|||\cdot|||$, equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{s+1/2}$, is defined by (25); β is supposed to satisfy inequality (26), implying $|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)|||<\infty$. We need to derive a bound for $|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)|||$.

Substituting (3) and (24), we transform (33) (for $\mathbf{f}=0$) into the system of equations

$$(1+\alpha^2|\mathbf{n}|^{2s})\left(\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt}+\beta\varepsilon|\mathbf{n}|\,|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-1-\varepsilon}\,\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}\,\frac{d}{dt}|||\mathbf{w}|||\right)+\nu|\mathbf{n}|^2\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}$$

$$=-\mathrm{i}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))\,\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right).$$

The real part of the sum of these equations scalar multiplied by $\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}$ reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2+\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2+A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{2-\varepsilon}\right)+2\nu\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2$$

$$=\mathrm{Im}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\cdot\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}})\left(\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right)\right.$$

$$\left.-\exp\left(\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,(|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{k}|-|\mathbf{n}|)\right)\right) \tag{34}$$

(this identity differs from (27) only by the presence of the diffusion-related term $2\nu\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2$).

Note that $|e^{\alpha'}-e^{\alpha''}|\leq|\alpha'-\alpha''|^{1/\varepsilon}$ for all $\alpha'\leq 0$ and $\alpha''\leq 0$ (since $\varepsilon>1$). Consequently, the r.h.s. of (34) does not exceed

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{k}} |\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}||\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}| \left(2\beta|||\mathbf{w}|||^{-\varepsilon}\,||\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}||\right)^{1/\varepsilon}$$

$$\leq \frac{(2\beta)^{1/\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^3|||\mathbf{w}|||}\int_{T^3} f_0(-\mathbf{x})f_{1/\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})f_1(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{(2\beta)^{1/\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^3|||\mathbf{w}|||}|f_0|_6|f_{1/\varepsilon}|_{3/(s+1/2)}|f_1|_{3/(2-s)}$$

(by Hölder's inequality and definition (13) of the functions f_q)

$$\leq \frac{(2\beta)^{1/\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^3|||\mathbf{w}|||}C_1\|f_0\|_1 C_{1-s}\|f_{1/\varepsilon}\|_{1-s}C_{s-1/2}\|f_1\|_{s-1/2} \tag{35}$$

(by the Sobolev embedding theorem, see (7)). We have assumed here $1/2 < s < 1$, and we further demand $2 > \varepsilon > 1/s$. Then, by Hölder's inequality and definition (13) of the functions f_q, (35) is bounded by

$$\frac{C_1C_{1-s}C_{s-1/2}(2\beta)^{1/\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^3|||\mathbf{w}|||}\|\mathbf{w}\|_1\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1-s+1/\varepsilon}\|\mathbf{w}\|_{s+1/2} \leq D_{s,\varepsilon}\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^{2-\kappa}\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^{\kappa},$$

where we have denoted

$$D_{s,\varepsilon} \equiv C_1C_{1-s}C_{s-1/2}(2\beta)^{1/\varepsilon}(2\pi)^{-3}/\alpha, \qquad \kappa \equiv \min(1,(s-1/\varepsilon)/(1-s)).$$

We therefore obtain from (34), by Young's inequality,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2+\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2+A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{2-\varepsilon}\right) \leq D'_{s,\varepsilon}\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2,$$

where

$$D'_{s,\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{D_{s,\varepsilon}^{2/\kappa}\kappa}{2\alpha^2}\left(\frac{2-\kappa}{4\nu}\right)^{(2-\kappa)/\kappa}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2+\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2+A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{2-\varepsilon} \leq \left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2+\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_s^2+A|||\mathbf{w}|||^{2-\varepsilon}\right)\Big|_{t=0}\mathrm{e}^{D'_{s,\varepsilon}t} \equiv \varphi(t). \tag{36}$$

Since $|||\mathbf{w}||| \leq (\varphi/A)^{1/(2-\varepsilon)}$, transformation (24) implies

$$\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta(\varphi(t)/A)^{-\varepsilon/(2-\varepsilon)},1/2} \leq \|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)|||^{-\varepsilon},1/2} = \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2} \leq |||\mathbf{w}||| \leq (\varphi(t)/A)^{1/(2-\varepsilon)}.$$

The first index of the Gevrey–Sobolev norm in the l.h.s. of this inequality is strictly positive for any $t > 0$.

Thus, we have demonstrated

Theorem 3. Suppose $2 > \varepsilon > 1/s > 1$. Let the norm $\|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\|_{\sigma,\,s+1/2}$ of initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ of a solution to the Voigt-type regularisation of the force-free three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equation (33) be finite for a $\sigma > 0$. Then the bound

$$\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta(\varphi(t)/A)^{-\varepsilon/(2-\varepsilon)},1/2} \leq (\varphi(t)/A)^{1/(2-\varepsilon)}$$

holds true for the solution at any time $t > 0$. Here A is defined by (14), β satisfies inequality (26) and φ is defined by (36), where $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)$ is obtained from the non-truncated initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ by transformation (9).

5.2 The critical damping: $s = 1/2$

In this subsection we focus on the limit value $s = 1/2$ and show that in this case bounds for the Gevrey–Sobolev norms can be obtained in a similar way. Instead of (24), we now make a substitution

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) \exp\left(-\beta|\mathbf{n}|(1 + |||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)|||)^{-2}\right). \tag{37}$$

$|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0)|||$ is bounded uniformly over truncations of $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ provided

$$0 < \beta < \sigma \left(1 + \sqrt{\|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\|^2_{\sigma,1/2} + \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\|^2_{\sigma,1}}\,\right)^2 \tag{38}$$

(under this condition a transformation of the non-truncated initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ is also well-defined).

As in the previous subsection, we derive from the Voigt-type regularised Navier–Stokes equation (33) (for $\mathbf{f} = 0$) a system of equations governing the evolution of Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)$ of $\mathbf{w}$, and consider the real part of the sum of these equations, scalar multiplied by $\overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}$. The energy balance equation, analogous to (34), takes now the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2 + \alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2}^2) + 4\beta\,\frac{|||\mathbf{w}|||^2}{(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||)^3}\frac{d}{dt}|||\mathbf{w}||| + 2\nu\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2$$

$$= \mathrm{Im}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}))(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}) \left(\exp\left(\beta(|\mathbf{n}| - |\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}|)/(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||)^2\right)\right.$$

$$\left. - \exp\left(\beta(|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{n}|)/(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||)^2\right)\right). \tag{39}$$

Using the inequality $|e^{\alpha'} - e^{\alpha''}| \le |\alpha' - \alpha''|^{1/2}$ which holds for any $\alpha' \le 0$ and $\alpha'' \le 0$, we obtain from (39) the energy inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2 + \alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2}^2 + 4\beta\,\left(\ln(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||) + \frac{4|||\mathbf{w}||| + 3}{2(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||)^2}\right)\right) + 2\nu\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2$$

$$\le \sqrt{2\beta}\sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{k}} |\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}||\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}||\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{k}|^{1/2}(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||)^{-1} \le \frac{\sqrt{2\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} C_{1/2} C_1 \frac{\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^3}{1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||}$$

$$\le \frac{\sqrt{2\beta} C_{1/2} C_1}{(2\pi)^3 \alpha^2}\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2. \tag{40}$$

Consequently, if

$$\beta \le 2\nu^2 (2\pi)^6 C_{1/2}^{-2} C_1^{-2} \alpha^4$$

(in addition to condition (38)), then for

$$\xi(t) \equiv \|\mathbf{w}\|_0^2 + \alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2}^2 + 4\beta \ln(1 + |||\mathbf{w}|||) \tag{41}$$

we find, integrating (40) in time:

$$\xi(t) \le \xi(0) + 4\beta\left(-\frac{4|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)||| + 3}{2(1 + |||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)|||)^2} + \frac{4|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0)||| + 3}{2(1 + |||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0)|||)^2}\right) \le \xi(0) + 6\beta.$$

Each of the three terms, constituting $\xi(t)$, is positive; hence we deduce from this inequality

$$1 + |||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)||| \le \exp(\xi(0)/(4\beta) + 3/2), \qquad \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_0 \le \sqrt{\xi(0) + 6\beta}.$$

In view of (37), the two inequalities imply

$$\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta\exp(-\xi(0)/(2\beta)-3),\,0} \le \|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta(1+|||\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)|||)^{-2},\,0} \le \|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_0 \le \sqrt{\xi(0) + 6\beta}.$$

Thus, in the case of critical damping the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4. Suppose $\sigma > 0$ and the norm $\|\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}\|_{\sigma,1}$ of initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ of a solution to the Voigt-type regularisation of the force-free three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equation (33) for $s = 1/2$ is finite. Then the bound

$$\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{\beta\exp(-\xi(0)/(2\beta)-3),\,0} \le \sqrt{\xi(0) + 6\beta} \tag{42}$$

holds true for the solution at any time $t > 0$. Here β satisfies inequality (38) and $\xi(0)$ is determined by application of formula (41) to $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},0)$, obtained from the non-truncated initial condition $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ by transformation (37).

What happens if the initial data $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$ is non-analytic and thus Theorem 4 is inapplicable? Like in the case of the Voigt-type regularisation of the three-dimensional Euler equation, it is possible to develop the theory of solutions to equation (33) in the Sobolev spaces. In particular, one can show incrementally that for $s \ge 1/2$ the solution of (33) belongs to Sobolev spaces of arbitrary high indices (when the initial data and the forcing $\mathbf{f}$ are sufficiently regular). Since this question is not in the scope of our paper, we only present a brief sketch of derivation of the bounds. Multiplication of (33) by $\mathbf{v}$ demonstrates boundedness of $\int_0^t \|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau$ for any $t > 0$. Scalar multiplication of the equation by $(-\nabla^2)\mathbf{v}$ and the use of the inequality

$$|(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}\cdot(-\nabla^2)\mathbf{v}| \le |\mathbf{v}|_6|\nabla\mathbf{v}|_3|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|_2 \le (C\|\mathbf{v}\|_1^2\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1+s}^2 + \nu\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2)/2$$

yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\alpha^2\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1+s}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_1^2) \le \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1-s}^2 + (1 + C\|\mathbf{v}\|_1^2)\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1+s}^2,$$

whereby

$$\alpha^2\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1+s}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_1^2 \le (\alpha^2\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},0)\|_{1+s}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},0)\|_1^2)\exp\left(\alpha^{-2}\int_0^t (1 + C\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\|_1^2)d\tau\right)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\|_{1-s}^2 \exp\left(\alpha^{-2}\int_\tau^t (1 + C\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},\tau')\|_1^2)d\tau'\right)d\tau.$$

Bounds for higher-index Sobolev norms can be subsequently derived by a similar procedure.

5.3 Instantaneous development of analyticity for $s \leq 1/2$

The remark, made in [16], that the Navier–Stokes–Voigt equation ($s = 1$) exhibits damped hyperbolicity, remains valid for the milder regularisation for $s > 1/2$ considered in subsection 5.1. In particular, solutions for $s > 1/2$ apparently cannot instantaneously acquire analyticity — at least, the method [11], revealing that solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation are capable of this, is not directly applicable to equation (33). To see this, let us transform a solution to (33), following [11], using the relations

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq 0} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta t|\mathbf{n}|+\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \qquad \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}(t)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \tag{43}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a constant. The evolution of the transformed Fourier coefficients satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+\alpha^2|\mathbf{n}|^{2s})\left(\frac{d\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} - \beta|\mathbf{n}|\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}\right) + \nu|\mathbf{n}|^2\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= -\mathrm{i}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))\, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}}\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}} \exp\left(\beta t(|\mathbf{n}| - |\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Scalar multiplying it by $|\mathbf{n}|^{2\gamma}\,\overline{\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}}$ for $\gamma \geq 0$, summing over $\mathbf{n}$ and taking the real part we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\gamma}^2 + \alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\gamma+s}^2) - \beta(\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2+\gamma}^2 + \alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2+\gamma+s}^2) + \nu\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1+\gamma}^2 \\ &= \mathrm{Im}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}} (\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}))(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}\cdot\overline{\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}})|\mathbf{n}|^{2\gamma} \exp\left(\beta t(|\mathbf{n}| - |\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}|)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Thus, the strength of the regularising term for $s > 1/2$ gives rise to a problem: viscous dissipation is too weak to control the term $\beta\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2+\gamma+s}^2$, appearing in the l.h.s. of the energy balance equation (44). Still, for $s > 1/2$ finite-time Gevrey class $G_{1/(2-2s)}$ regularity emerges instantaneously, and this can be established by the method [11].

To show that the method [11] works for $0 < s \leq 1/2$, we choose three quantities $\eta_i > 0$ such that $\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 = \nu$ and $1/2 < \gamma \leq 1$. If $s = 1/2$, we also demand

$$\beta \leq \eta_2/\alpha^2 \tag{45}$$

in transformation (43). By Hölder's and Young's inequalities,

$$\beta\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2+\gamma}^2 \leq \eta_1\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1+\gamma}^2 + (4\eta_1)^{-1}\beta^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\gamma}^2, \tag{46}$$

$$\beta\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2+\gamma+s}^2 \leq \eta_2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{1+\gamma}^2 + Q_1\alpha^2\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\gamma+s}^2, \tag{47}$$

where

$$Q_1 \equiv \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta \leq \eta_2/\alpha^2, \\ \dfrac{\beta(1-2s)}{2(1-s)}\left(\dfrac{\beta\alpha^2}{2\eta_2(1-s)}\right)^{1/(1-2s)}, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem (7) and Young's inequality, we find that the r.h.s. of (44) is bounded by the sum

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}} |\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{k}}||\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}||\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}||\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{n}|^{2\gamma}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{T^3} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}} |\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}} |\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{n}| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}} |\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{n}|^{2\gamma} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\le (2\pi)^{-3} |\mathbf{w}|_{6/(3-2\gamma)} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{n}} |\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{n}| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right|_3 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{n}} |\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}||\mathbf{n}|^{2\gamma} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right|_{6/(1+2\gamma)}$$

$$\le (2\pi)^{-3} C_\gamma \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma C_{1/2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{3/2} C_{1-\gamma} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1+\gamma} \le (2\pi)^{-3} C_\gamma C_{1/2} C_{1-\gamma} \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma^{1/2+\gamma} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1+\gamma}^{5/2-\gamma}$$

$$\le (Q_2/2) \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma^{2(1+2\gamma)/(2\gamma-1)} + \eta_3 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1+\gamma}^2, \tag{48}$$

where we have denoted

$$Q_2 = 2(2\gamma-1) \left(\frac{5-2\gamma}{\eta_3} \right)^{(5-2\gamma)/(2\gamma-1)} \left(\frac{C_\gamma C_{1/2} C_{1-\gamma}}{4(2\pi)^3} \right)^{4/(2\gamma-1)}.$$

Relations (44)–(48) imply the energy-type inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma^2 + \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\gamma+s}^2) \le (2\eta_1)^{-1} \beta^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma^2 + 2Q_1 \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\gamma+s}^2 + Q_2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma^{2(1+2\gamma)/(2\gamma-1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} \le q\xi + Q_2 \xi^{(1+2\gamma)/(2\gamma-1)},$$

where

$$\xi(t) \equiv \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma^2 + \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1/2+\gamma}^2, \qquad q \equiv \max((2\eta_1)^{-1}\beta^2, 2Q_1). \tag{49}$$

Integrating this inequality, we obtain a bound

$$\xi(t) \le \mathrm{e}^{qt} \left((\xi(0))^{-(\gamma-1/2)^{-1}} - (Q_2/q)(\mathrm{e}^{qt(\gamma-1/2)^{-1}} - 1) \right)^{-(\gamma-1/2)} \equiv \varphi(t), \tag{50}$$

valid for

$$t < t_* \equiv \frac{2\gamma-1}{2q} \ln \left(1 + \frac{q}{Q_2 (\xi(0))^{1/(\gamma-1/2)}} \right). \tag{51}$$

From transformation (43), relation (49) and this bound we infer

Theorem 5. Suppose $0 < s \le 1/2 < \gamma \le 1$ and the initial condition of a solution to the Voigt-type regularisation of the force-free three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equation (33) belongs to the Sobolev space $H_{\gamma+1/2}(T^3)$. Then for $t < t_*$ the solution satisfies the bound

$$\| \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{\beta t, \gamma} \le \sqrt{\varphi(t)}.$$

Here a positive constant β satisfies inequality (45), if $s = 1/2$, and is arbitrary otherwise, φ and t_* are defined by formulae (50) and (51), respectively, where $\xi(0)$ is determined applying (49) to $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{in})}$.

This shows that analyticity of solutions to the Voigt-type regularisation of the Navier–Stokes equation (33) for $s = 1/2$ emerges instantaneously, provided the initial conditions are in the Sobolev space $H_{\gamma+1/2}(T^3)$. For small values of the regularising parameter α the bounds $\varphi(t)$ and t_* are uniform in α (note that q is independent of sufficiently small α).

6 Concluding remarks

We have explored a new approach to derivation of inequalities for Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions to evolutionary partial differential equations, in which a suitable nonlinear transformation of a solution in the Fourier space introduces a feedback between the norm of the transformed solution and the first index of the norm (6). Several examples of application of our technique were discussed.

We have proved that if initially a three-dimensional flow is analytic, then analyticity in spatial variables is preserved by the Euler equation on the interval $[0, t_*)$ (16) — this is implied by the bound (19) for Gevrey–Sobolev norms of a solution. (Alternatively, a bound for a Gevrey–Sobolev norm of the solution can be obtained [34] from finite-time bounds for solutions to the Voigt regularisation, which are uniform in the small parameter in the regularising term (α in (22)); such uniform bounds can be derived [34] by application of the method similar to the one used in [21].)

Pivotal to our technique is transformation (9), which results in emergence of a new term,

$$\beta\varepsilon|\mathbf{n}|\,\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2}^{-1-\varepsilon}\,\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}}\,\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{s+3/2},$$

in the equations (10) and (21) governing the evolution of a solution (more precisely, of its transformed Fourier coefficients) to the Euler and Burgers equations. This term represents a new pseudodifferential mildly diffusive operator, controlling the norms in $H_{s+3/2}$; it is analogous to the term

$$-\dot{\tau}(t)\|(-\nabla^2)^{r+1/2}\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

appearing in literature on analyticity of solutions to PDE's of hydrodynamic type [17, 22]. (Our assumption $s \leq 1/2$ is technical, similar bounds can be obtained in other Sobolev spaces for larger s in a slightly different way.) The order of this operator cannot be increased without acquiring difficulties in deriving bounds for the exponent in the energy balance equation (11). Evidently, by the same construction one can derive an identical bound on the interval $[0, t_*)$ for solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation. This bound is uniform in viscosity and can be employed for a study of convergence of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation to the solution to the Euler equation when viscosity tends to zero (such a study is beyond the scope of the present paper).

Solutions to the Burgers equation are known to develop shocks at finite time [14] due to intersection of characteristics; this rules out global (in time) analyticity in spatial variables of its solutions. That our bounds for the Gevrey–Sobolev norms of solutions to the Euler and Burgers equations are identical suggests, that the bounds are rough. Other nonlinear transformations of solutions can probably yield more accurate bounds. A clear drawback of our technique is associated with its relative simplicity: we cannot use it to demonstrate persistence of analyticity of solutions to the Euler equation, i.e. the fact that the solution is analytic on any interval $0 \leq t < t_c$, where it is continuously differentiable [2] (persistence of non-analytic Gevrey-class regularity of space-periodic solutions to the Euler equation is shown in [17]).

We have also investigated the Voigt-type regularisations (22) of the Euler equation, involving the regularising term $\alpha^2\partial/\partial t\,(-\nabla^2)^s\mathbf{v}$. and proved boundedness of Gevrey–

Sobolev norms of solutions to the regularised Euler equation for $s > 5/6$. Thus, a regularisation, milder than the one investigated in [21] (for $s = 1$), suffices to guarantee global regularity and analyticity of the solutions. Our technique enables us to decrease the order of nonlinearity of the energy-type inequality for the norm of the transformed solution; as a result, our bounds are global in time and exhibit a polynomial or, at most, exponential growth in time.

Finally, we have explored the Voigt-type regularisation (33) of the Navier–Stokes equation with the regularising term for $s > 1/2$, which is milder than the regularisation considered in [16] ($s = 1$) and the regularisation of the Euler equation ($s > 5/6$) studied here. We have obtained global exponential in time bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev norms. For $s = 1/2$, both the method [11] and our technique can be applied. Consequently, if the initial velocity is in the Sobolev space $H_{\gamma+1/2}(T^3)$, then the solution has a bounded Gevrey–Sobolev norm (50) on the time interval $[0, t_*)$ defined by (51). Furthermore, starting at any point t_0, $0 < t_0 < t_*$, we obtain a global (in time) bound (42) (performing optimisation of the bounds in t_* and other parameters is desirable). Unlike all other bounds for Gevrey–Sobolev bounds that we have derived in this paper, the bound for solutions to the Voigt-type regularisation (33) of the Navier–Stokes equation for $s = 1/2$ does not deteriorate in time — neither the indices of the norm, nor the r.h.s. depend on time in inequality (42).

Acknowledgments

This work was triggered by discussions with Uriel Frisch while walking around the pine tree park on the premises of the Observatory of Nice. I have benefited from fruitful discussions with Edriss Titi. My research visits to the Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur in the autumns 2009 and 2010 were supported by the French Ministry of Education. My work was also partially financed by the grants ANR-07-BLAN-0235 OTARIE from Agence nationale de la recherche, France, and 07-01-92217-CNRSL_a from the Russian foundation for basic research.

References

[1] C. Bardos. Analyticité de la solution de l'équation d'Euler dans un ouvert de R^n. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris A 283 (1976) 255–258.

[2] C. Bardos, S. Benachour. Domaine d'analyticité des solutions de l'équation d'Euler dans un ouvert de R^n. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di scienze 4e ser. 4 (1977) 647–687.

[3] C. Bardos, S. Benachour, M. Zerner. Analycité des solutions périodiques de l'équation d'Euler en deux dimensions. C R. Acad. Sci. Paris 282 (1976) 995–998.

[4] C. Bardos, U. Frisch. Régularité d'un fluide parfait de données initiales holderiennes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris A 281 (1975) 775–778.

[5] S. Benachour. Analyticité des solutions périodiques de l'équation d'Euler en trois dimensions. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris A 283 (1976) 107–110.

[6] S. Benachour. Analyticité des solutions de l'équation d'Euler. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 71 (1979) 271–299.

[7] J. Bergh, J. Löfström. Interpolation spaces. An introduction. Springer, Berlin (1976).

[8] Y. Cao, E. Lunasin, E.S. Titi. Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous and inviscid simplified Bardina turbulence models. Commun. Math. Sci. 4 (2006) 823–848 (arXiv:physics/0608096v1).

[9] C.R. Doering, J.D. Gibbon. Applied analysis of the Navier–Stokes equations. Cambridge Univ. Press (1995).

[10] C.R. Doering, E.S. Titi. Exponential decay rate of the power spectrum for solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. Phys. Fluids 7 (1995) 1384–1390.

[11] C. Foias, R. Temam. Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. J. Funct. Anal. 87 (1989) 359–369.

[12] C. Foias, D.D. Holm, E.S. Titi. The Navier–Stokes–alpha model of fluid turbulence. Physica D 152-153 (2001) 505–519 (arXiv:nlin/0103037).

[13] C. Foias, D. Holm, E.S. Titi. The three dimensional viscous Camassa–Holm equations and their relation to the Navier–Stokes equations and turbulence theory. J. Dynam. Diff. Equations 14 (2002) 1–35.

[14] J.D. Fournier, U. Frisch. L'équation de Burgers déterministe et statistique. J. Méc. Théor. Appl. 2 (1983) 699–750.

[15] D.D. Holm. Lagrangian averages, averaged Lagrangians, and the mean effect of fluctuations in fluid dynamics. Chaos 12 (2002) 518–530.

[16] V.K. Kalantarov, B. Levant, E.S. Titi. Gevrey regularity for the attractor of the 3D Navier–Stokes–Voight equations. J. Nonlin. Sci. 19 (2009) 133–152.

[17] I. Kukavica, V. Vicol. On the radius of analyticity of solutions to the three-dimensional Euler equation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009) 669–677.

[18] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya. On new equations for description of motion of viscous incompressible fluids and solvability in the large of boundary value problems for them. Trudy Mat. Inst. AN SSSR 102 (1967) 85–104.

[19] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya. On modifications of the Navier–Stokes equations for large gradients of velocities. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. (LOMI) 7 (1968) 126–154.

[20] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya. Mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow. 2nd edn. Nauka, Moscow (1970) (in Russian).

[21] A. Larios, E.S. Titi. On the higher-order global regularity of the inviscid Voigt-regularization of three-dimensional hydrodynamic models. Disc. Contin. Dyn. Systems Ser. B 14 (2010) 603–627 (arXiv:0910.3354v1 [math.AP]).

[22] C.D. Levermore, M. Oliver. Analyticity of solutions for a generalized Euler equation. J. Differential Equations 133 (1997) 321–339.

[23] J.L. Lions. Quelque méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires. Dunod, Paris (1969).

[24] J.C. Mattingly, Ya.G. Sinai. An elementary proof of the existence and uniqueness theorem for the Navier–Stokes equations. Commun. Contemp. Math. 1 (1999) 497–516 (arXiv:math/9903042v2).

[25] A.P. Oskolkov. On uniqueness and solvability in the large of boundary value problems for the equations of motion of aqueous solutions of polymers. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. (LOMI) 38 (1973) 98–136.

[26] A.P. Oskolkov. On certain non-steady linear and quasilinear systems encountered in the study of motion of viscous fluids. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. (LOMI) 59 (1976) 133-177 (Engl. transl.: Some nonstationary linear and quasilinear systems occurring in the investigation of the motion of viscous fluids. J. Sov. Math. 10 (1978) 299–335).

[27] A.P. Oskolkov. To the theory of Voigt fluids. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. (LOMI) 96 (1980) 233–236.

[28] A.P. Oskolkov. On certain model systems in the theory of non-Newtonian fluids. IV. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. (LOMI) 110 (1981) 141-162. (Engl. transl.: Certain model nonstationary systems in the theory of non-Newtonian fluids. IV. J. Sov. Math. 25 (1984) 902–917).

[29] A.P. Oskolkov. On non-steady motion of visco-elastic fluids. Trudy Mat. Inst. AN SSSR 159 (1983) 103–131.

[30] A.P. Oskolkov. Initial-boundary value problems for equations of Kelvin–Voigt fluids and Oldroyd fluids. Trudy Mat. Inst. AN SSSR 179 (1988) 126–164.

[31] M. Paicu, V. Vicol. Analyticity and Gevrey-class regularity for the second-grade fluid equations. J. Math. Fluid Mechanics (2010) in print (arXiv:0912.1327 [math.AP]).

[32] P.H. Roberts, A.M. Soward. The Navier–Stokes–α equations revisited. Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 103 (2009) 303–316.

[33] A.M. Soward, P.H. Roberts. On the derivation of the Navier–Stokes–α equations from Hamilton's Principle. J. Fluid Mech. 604 (2008) 297–323.

[34] E.S. Titi. Private communication (2009).

[35] H. Triebel. Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differential operators. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin (1978).

[36] V. Zheligovsky. On some nonlinear non-steady problems of hydrodynamics. Master of art (Diploma) paper. Mechanico-mathematical faculty, Moscow State University (1981).