

Existence of solutions to a higher dimensional mean-field equation on manifolds

Luca Martinazzi*

Centro De Giorgi, Pisa

luca.martinazzi@sns.it

Mircea Petrache

ETH Zurich

mircea.petrache@math.ethz.ch

January 26, 2010

Abstract

For $m \geq 1$ we prove an existence result for the equation

$$(-\Delta_g)^m u + \lambda = \lambda \frac{e^{2mu}}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g}$$

on a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $2m$ for certain values of λ .

1 Introduction and statement of the main result

Let $T^2 \simeq S^1 \times S^1$ be the 2-dimensional flat torus of volume one. Motivated by the study of vortices in the Chern-Simons Gauge theory, M. Struwe and G. Tarantello [17] showed that for $\lambda \in]4\pi, 2\pi^2[$, the following equation admits a non-trivial solution¹

$$-\Delta u + \lambda = \lambda \frac{e^{2u}}{\int_{T^2} e^{2u} dx} \quad \text{on } T^2. \quad (1)$$

In this paper we generalize this result by considering an arbitrary closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $2m$, and studying the equation

$$(-\Delta_g)^m u + \lambda = \lambda \frac{e^{2mu}}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \quad \text{on } M, \quad (2)$$

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The main theorem we shall prove is the following.

Theorem 1 *Let $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $(-\Delta_g)^m$ and $\Lambda_1 := (2m-1)! \text{vol}(S^{2m})$. Assume that $\Lambda_1 / \text{vol}(M) < \lambda_1 / (2m)$. Then for every $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1 / \text{vol}(M), \lambda_1 / (2m)[$, $\lambda \notin \frac{\Lambda_1 \mathbb{N}}{\text{vol}(M)}$, (2) has a non-constant solution.*

*The first author was partially supported by the ETH Research Grant no. ETH-02 08-2 and by the Italian FIRB Ideas “Analysis and Beyond”.

¹Actually [17] deals with the equation $-\Delta u + \lambda = \lambda \frac{e^u}{\int_{T^2} e^u dx}$, but upon defining $\tilde{u} := 2u$, $\tilde{\lambda} = 2\lambda$ one can pass from one equation to the other.

It is easy to see that in the case when $M = T^{2m}$ is the flat torus of dimension $2m$, one has $\Lambda_1/\text{vol}(M) < \lambda_1/(2m)$ for every $m \geq 1$, hence the theorem applies.

Notice that given a solution u to (2), $u + \alpha$ is also a solution for any constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, hence it is not restrictive to assume that $\int_M u d\mu_g = 0$. Moreover, by a simple scaling argument we can assume that $\text{vol}(M) = 1$.

Equation (2) is a model for the intensively studied problems of existence and compactness properties of elliptic equations of order 4 and higher with critical non-linearity. In fact, other than the result of Theorem 1 itself, also the proof is interesting, as it rests on some recent compactness results for equations arising in conformal geometry. For this reason we shall now briefly describe its strategy, which is inspired to [17].

Let us consider the space

$$E := \left\{ u \in H^m(M) : \int_M u d\mu_g = 0 \right\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_M |\Delta_g^{\frac{m}{2}} u|^2 d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\Delta_g^{\frac{k}{2}} u := \nabla_g \Delta_g^{\frac{k-1}{2}} u$ if k is odd. Then weak solutions of (2) are critical points of the functional

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\Delta_g^{\frac{m}{2}} u|^2 d\mu_g - \frac{\lambda}{2m} \log \left(\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g \right)$$

on E . By the Adams-Moser-Trudinger inequality (see [1] and Fontana [8]), we have

$$\sup_{u \in E} \int_M e^{m\Lambda_1 \frac{u^2}{\|u\|^2}} d\mu_g < \infty, \quad (3)$$

where $\Lambda_1 = (2m-1)! \text{vol}(S^{2m})$ is the total Q -curvature of the round sphere of dimension $2m$, see e.g. [12]. Then writing $2mu \leq m\Lambda_1 \frac{u^2}{\|u\|^2} + \frac{m}{\Lambda_1} \|u\|^2$, we find

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2\Lambda_1} \right) \|u\|^2 - C. \quad (4)$$

Therefore I_λ is bounded from below and coercive on E for $\lambda \leq \Lambda_1$.

We shall see (Lemma 2) that $u \equiv 0$, which is a trivial solution to (2), is a strict local minimum of I_λ if $\lambda < \lambda_1/2m$. Moreover for $\lambda > \Lambda_1$ there always exists a function $u \in E$ such that $I_\lambda(u) < I_\lambda(0) = 0$ (Lemma 3). This suggests that a mountain-pass technique might be used. In fact, as in [17], one can use a technique of M. Struwe [16] to construct a converging Palais-Smale sequence for the functional I_λ for almost all $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$.

In order to pass from the existence for *almost every* $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$ to the existence for *all* $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[\setminus \Lambda_1 \mathbb{N}$, we need a compactness argument. Given λ_k for which a non-trivial solution u_k exists, and assuming that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$, can we say that u_k converges (up to a subsequence) in a good norm (C^0 for instance²)?

In dimension 2 this question was addressed by Brezis-Merle [3] and Li-Shafrir [9]; their result implies that if the sequence (u_k) is not precompact, then $\lambda_k \rightarrow$

²By elliptic estimates, convergence in C^0 implies convergence in C^k for every $k > 0$.

$N\Lambda_1$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, contrary to our assumption on λ . As shown in [2], things are more subtle in higher dimension, and we cannot work locally as in [17]. Instead, we can rely on a recent result by the first author [13] specific for closed manifolds (see also [7], [11] and [15]) to obtain compactness for the sequence (u_k) , unless $\lambda_k \rightarrow N\Lambda_1$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Roughly speaking, the geometric constant Λ_1 enters our problems as follows: if the sequence (u_k) is not precompact, then up to a subsequence, u_k concentrates at finitely many points. A blow-up argument at such points shows that the concentration profile is precisely that of a round sphere with total Q -curvature Λ_1 .

Related to the work of Struwe and Tarantello, several other results have been proven about the existence theory for (1). For instance Ding, Jost, Li and Wang proved existence for the mean-field equation $-\Delta u = \lambda \frac{e^{2u}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{2u} dx}$ on an annulus Ω , with boundary datum $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ for $\lambda \in (4\pi, 8\pi)$. Z. Djadli [6] proved the existence of solutions to (1) for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 4\pi\mathbb{N}$. F. De Marchis [4] proved the existence of at least 2 non-trivial solutions when $\lambda \in (4\pi, 4\pi^2)$, also in the case of a torus with nonflat metric. We refer to this last work for a more comprehensive survey of 2-dimensional results.

These and other works usually rest on topological arguments, sometimes much more subtle than a mountain-pass principle. But a common feature is the presence of a compactness argument, which is the reason why the values $\lambda \in 4\pi\mathbb{N}$ cannot be treated. It is reasonable to believe that using the compactness result from [13] as we did here, also these more general works can be generalized to higher dimensional manifolds and to more general semilinear equations with asymptotically exponential non-linearity. Also in this sense our Theorem 1 can be seen as a model situation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we show that for $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$ the constant function $u \equiv 0$ is a strict local minimum of I_{λ} and that I_{λ} is unbounded from below. In Section 3 we prove the existence of a non-trivial solution to (2) for almost every $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$. In Section 4 we complete the proof of Theorem 1. Finally, in Section 5 we show that, similarly to the 2-dimensional case, for $\lambda > 0$ small enough the only solution to (2) is $u \equiv 0$.

In the following, the letter C denotes a generic positive constant, which may change from line to line and even within the same line.

2 Two fundamental lemmas

We now show that for $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/(2m)[$ the functional I_{λ} is unbounded from below on E and 0 is a strict local minimum for I_{λ} .

Recall that there exists an optimal constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $v \in E$ there holds

$$\int_M v^2 d\mu_g \leq C_0 \int_M |\nabla v|^2 d\mu_g.$$

In fact C_0 is the inverse of the smallest eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$.

Lemma 2 Let $\lambda < \frac{\lambda_1(M)}{2m}$, where $\lambda_1(M) = \frac{1}{C_0^m}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $(-\Delta_g)^m$. Then the function $u \equiv 0$ is a strict local minimum for I_λ .

Proof. Since I_λ is smooth on E , it suffices to show that $I_\lambda''(0)$ is positive definite on E . We know that $-\Delta_g$ is injective on E and has an L^2 -orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions. Moreover, for $k > 0$ and if $v_j \in E$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j of $-\Delta_g$ we have

$$(-\Delta_g)^k v_j = (\lambda_j)^k v_j,$$

hence $\{v_j\}$ is also an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions for $(-\Delta_g)^m$, whose smallest eigenvalue is therefore C_0^m . Moreover

$$C_0^m = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \int_M v^2 d\mu_g, \quad (5)$$

so that C_0^m is the best constant such that for $v \in E$ there holds

$$I_\lambda''(0)(v, v) = \|v\|^2 - 2m\lambda \int_M v^2 d\mu_g \geq \left(1 - \frac{2m\lambda}{\lambda_1(M)}\right) \|v\|^2, \quad (6)$$

and the result of the lemma easily follows. \square

According to (4) I_λ is bounded from below for $\lambda \leq \Lambda_1$. The following lemma shows that this result is sharp.

Lemma 3 There is a one-parameter family of functions $(u_\sigma)_{\sigma>0} \subset E \cap C^\infty(M)$ such that for every $\lambda > 0$

$$\|u_\sigma\| = (2\Lambda_1 + o(1)) \log \sigma, \quad (7)$$

$$I_\lambda(u_\sigma) = (\Lambda_1 - \lambda + o(1)) \log \sigma \quad (8)$$

with error $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if $\lambda > \Lambda_1$ then I_λ is not bounded from below.

Proof. We divide the proof into steps.

Step 1: Construction of u_σ and proof of (7). Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_1)$ be a radially symmetric function such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ on B_1 , $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{1/4}$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $B_1 \setminus B_{1/2}$. Set

$$v_\sigma(x) := \varphi(x) \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right) + (1 - \varphi(x)) \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2} \right), \quad x \in B_1,$$

so that $v_\sigma \in C^\infty(B_1)$ and, since $r \mapsto \log(2\sigma/(1 + \sigma^2 r^2))$ is decreasing and $\varphi \geq 0$, there holds

$$\log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2} \right) \leq v_\sigma(x) \leq \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right), \quad x \in B_1. \quad (9)$$

Set $w_\sigma(r) := \log(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2 r^2})$ and (with an abuse of notation) write $\varphi(x) = \varphi(r)$, with $r := |x|$, so that

$$v_\sigma(x) = \varphi(r)w_\sigma(r) + (1 - \varphi(r))w_\sigma(1).$$

For two radial functions $f(r), g(r)$ we have

$$\Delta(fg) = f\Delta g + g\Delta f + 2f'g', \quad \nabla(fg) = f\nabla g + g\nabla f, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\Delta f = f'' + \frac{2m-1}{r}f', \quad \nabla f(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}f'(|x|),$$

hence, up to identifying $\nabla f(x)$ with $f'(|x|)$, we may repeatedly use (10) to get

$$\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} v_\sigma = \varphi \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} w_\sigma + \sum_{\substack{j+k+\ell=m \\ j, \ell \geq 0, k \geq 1}} C_{jk\ell m} \frac{\partial_r^j(w_\sigma - w_\sigma(1)) \partial_r^k \varphi}{r^\ell}, \quad (11)$$

for some dimensional constants $C_{jk\ell m}$. Observe that $\partial_r^k \varphi$ is supported in $B_{1/2} \setminus B_{1/4}$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\|\partial_r^k \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(k)$ for every $k \geq 0$. We now claim that

$$|\partial_r^j(w_\sigma(r) - w_\sigma(1))| = O(r^{-j}) \quad \text{for } j \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (12)$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed for $j = 0$ and $\frac{1}{4} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$|w_\sigma - w_\sigma(1)| = \left| w_\sigma - \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1+\sigma^2} \right) \right| \leq C \left| \log \left(\frac{1+\sigma^2}{1+(\sigma/4)^2} \right) \right| = O(1),$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, and for $j = 1$

$$|\partial_r(w_\sigma - w_\sigma(1))| = |w'_\sigma| = \left| \frac{2\sigma^2 r}{1+\sigma^2 r^2} \right| = O(r^{-1}) \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $j \geq 2$, observe that $\partial_r^j w_\sigma = \sigma^j \frac{P_j(\sigma r)}{Q_j(\sigma r)}$ for some polynomials P_j and Q_j . In fact we have

$$\frac{d}{dr} \frac{P_j(\sigma r)}{Q_j(\sigma r)} = \sigma \frac{P'_j(\sigma r)Q_j(\sigma r) - Q'_j(\sigma r)P_j(\sigma r)}{Q_j^2(\sigma r)} =: \frac{P_{j+1}(\sigma r)}{Q_{j+1}(\sigma r)}.$$

Then clearly

$$\left| \frac{P_{j+1}(\sigma r)}{Q_{j+1}(\sigma r)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{r} \left| \frac{P_j(\sigma r)}{Q_j(\sigma r)} \right| \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty,$$

and (12) follows by induction.

Since in the sum in (11) there is no term with more than $m-1$ derivatives of w_σ , and by the bounds on φ , we then have for σ large

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} |\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} v_\sigma - \varphi \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} w_\sigma|^2 dx &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq j+\ell \leq m+1} \int_{B_{1/2} \setminus B_{1/4}} \frac{|\partial_r^j(w_\sigma - w_\sigma(1))|^2}{r^{2\ell}} dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq j+\ell \leq m+1} \int_{B_{1/2} \setminus B_{1/4}} r^{-2j-2\ell} dr \\ &\leq C \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} r dr = C. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Also $\sigma^{-m} \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} w_\sigma$ is the quotient of two polynomials in σr . In fact

$$|\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} w_\sigma| = 2^m (m-1)! \sigma^m \frac{\sigma^m r^m + p(\sigma r)}{(1 + \sigma^2 r^2)^m}, \quad (14)$$

where $\deg p \leq m-1$. Then (13), (14) and the change of variable $s = 1 + \sigma^2 r^2$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} |\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} v_\sigma|^2 dx &= \omega_{2m-1} (2^m (m-1)!)^2 \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{4m} r^{4m-1}}{(1 + \sigma^2 r^2)^{2m}} dr + O(1) \\ &= 2\Lambda_1 \int_1^{1+\sigma^2} \frac{(s-1)^{2m-1}}{2s^{2m}} ds + O(1) \\ &= 2\Lambda_1 \log \sigma + O(1), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with error $|O(1)| \leq C$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

Fix now $p \in M$ and take $\alpha > 0$ smaller than the injectivity radius of (M, g) . Consider the map $f_\alpha : B_1 \rightarrow M$ given by $f_\alpha(x) := \exp_p(\alpha x)$, where \exp_p is the exponential map at p . Then we define

$$\tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha} := \begin{cases} v_\sigma \circ f_\alpha^{-1} & \text{on } K_\alpha := f_\alpha(B_1) \\ \log \frac{2\sigma}{1+\sigma^2} & \text{on } M \setminus K_\alpha, \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_{\sigma, \alpha} := \tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha} - \int_M \tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha} d\mu_g \in E.$$

We also consider the metric $h_\alpha := \alpha^{-2} f_\alpha^* g$ on B_1 . We claim that

$$\int_M |\Delta_g^{\frac{m}{2}} u_{\sigma, \alpha}|_g^2 d\mu_g = \int_{K_\alpha} |\Delta_g^{\frac{m}{2}} (v_\sigma \circ f_\alpha^{-1})|_g^2 d\mu_g = \int_{B_1} |\Delta_{h_\alpha}^{\frac{m}{2}} v_\sigma|_{h_\alpha}^2 d\mu_{h_\alpha}. \quad (16)$$

The first identity in (16) is clear. In order to prove the second one, consider first the case when m is even. Then, writing

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha, ij} &:= h_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = \alpha^{-2} g \left(\frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial x^j} \right) =: \alpha^{-2} g_{ij} \\ \sqrt{h_\alpha} &:= \sqrt{\det(h_{\alpha, ij})} = \alpha^{-2m} \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} =: \alpha^{-2m} \sqrt{g}, \end{aligned}$$

and using the summation convention, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K_\alpha} (\Delta_g^{\frac{m}{2}} (v_\sigma \circ f_\alpha^{-1}))^2 d\mu_g \\ &= \int_{B_1} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \right)^{m/2} v_\sigma \circ f_\alpha^{-1}(f_\alpha(x)) \right\}^2 \sqrt{g} dx \\ &= \int_{B_1} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha^{-2}}{\sqrt{h_\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sqrt{h_\alpha} h_\alpha^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \right)^{m/2} v_\sigma(x) \right\}^2 \alpha^{2m} \sqrt{h_\alpha} dx \\ &= \int_{B_1} (\Delta_{h_\alpha}^{\frac{m}{2}} v_\sigma)^2 d\mu_{h_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (16) for m even. When m is odd the argument is similar, additionally using the formula

$$\int_{K_\alpha} |\nabla \psi|_g^2 d\mu_g = \int_{B_1} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \psi(f_\alpha(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \psi(f_\alpha(x)) \sqrt{g} dx$$

for any $\psi \in C^\infty(K_\alpha)$. Since the metric g is smooth, we have that $h_\alpha \rightarrow |dx|^2$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in $C^\ell(B_1)$ for every ℓ , where $|dx|^2$ denotes the Euclidean metric. In particular, using (15), we see that there exists a function $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ defined for α small with $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon(\alpha) = 0$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon(\alpha))2\Lambda_1 \log \sigma - C \leq \int_{B_1} |\Delta_{h_\alpha}^{\frac{m}{2}} v_\sigma|_{h_\alpha}^2 d\mu_{h_\alpha} \leq (1 + \varepsilon(\alpha))2\Lambda_1 \log \sigma + C. \quad (17)$$

For each $\sigma > 1$ choose $\alpha = \alpha(\sigma)$ such that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\sigma) = 0, \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sigma \alpha(\sigma) = \infty. \quad (18)$$

Then setting $u_\sigma := u_{\sigma, \alpha(\sigma)}$ and taking into account (16), (17) and (18), we infer (7).

Step 2: Proof of (8). It remains to estimate

$$\frac{1}{2m} \log \left(\int_M e^{2mu_\sigma} d\mu_g \right) = \frac{1}{2m} \log \left(\int_M e^{2m\tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha}} d\mu_g \right) - \int_M \tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha} d\mu_g =: I - II.$$

We claim that

$$I = \log \alpha + O(1), \quad (19)$$

$$II = -(1 + o(1)) \log \sigma, \quad (20)$$

with errors $|O(1)| \leq C$ and $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. As for (20) we have

$$II = \int_{K_\alpha} v_\sigma \circ f_\alpha^{-1} d\mu_g + \int_{M \setminus K_\alpha} \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2} \right) d\mu_g =: III + IV$$

Since $\text{vol}(M \setminus K_\alpha) \rightarrow 1$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$IV = -(1 + o(1)) \log \sigma,$$

with error $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Defining h_α , \sqrt{g} and $\sqrt{h_\alpha}$ as above, with $\alpha = \alpha(\sigma)$, using that $h_{\alpha(\sigma)} \rightarrow |dx|^2$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ in $C^\ell(B_1)$ for every $\ell \geq 0$, (9) and (18), we also get

$$\begin{aligned} III &= \int_{B_1} v_\sigma \sqrt{g} dx = \alpha^{2m} \int_{B_1} v_\sigma \sqrt{h_\alpha} dx = \alpha^{2m} (1 + o(1)) \int_{B_1} v_\sigma dx \\ &= \alpha^{2m} (1 + o(1)) (-\log \sigma) = o(1) \log \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

with error $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore (20) is proved.

We shall now prove (19). We have

$$A := \int_M e^{2m\tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha}} d\mu_g = \int_{M \setminus K_\alpha} \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2} \right)^{2m} d\mu_g + \int_{K_\alpha} e^{2m\tilde{v}_{\sigma, \alpha}} d\mu_g =: A_1 + A_2.$$

We clearly have $A_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$A_2 = \alpha^{2m} \int_{B_1} e^{2mv_\sigma} d\mu_{h_\alpha} = \alpha^{2m} (1 + o(1)) \int_{B_1} e^{2mv_\sigma} dx.$$

Therefore

$$I = \frac{1}{2m} \log A = \log \alpha + \frac{1}{2m} \log \left(\int_{B_1} e^{2mv_\sigma} dx \right) + o(1),$$

with error $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ and we complete the proof of (19) by showing that

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \int_{B_1} e^{2mv_\sigma} dx \leq C. \quad (21)$$

Observe that for $|x| \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{B_1 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{4}}} \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2} \right) + \chi_{B_{\frac{1}{4}}}(x) \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right) \\ \leq v_\sigma(x) \leq \log \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\int_{B_{1/4}} \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right)^{2m} dx \leq \int_{B_1} e^{2mv_\sigma} dx \leq \int_{B_1} \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right)^{2m} dx.$$

Now (21) follows observing that for any fixed $R > 0$ one has

$$\int_{B_R} \left(\frac{2\sigma}{1 + \sigma^2|x|^2} \right)^{2m} dx = \int_{B_{\sigma R}} \left(\frac{2}{1 + |y|^2} \right)^{2m} dy = C_0 + o(1),$$

with error $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, where $C_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} (2/(1 + |y|^2))^{2m} dy < \infty$.

Together with Step 1, we have shown that

$$I_\lambda(u_\sigma) = (\Lambda_1 - \lambda + o(1)) \log \sigma - \lambda \log(\alpha), \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

Observing that (18) implies $\log \alpha = o(1) \log \sigma$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, we infer (8). \square

3 Existence for almost every $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$

Fix $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$. By Lemma 3, there exists $\sigma = \sigma(\lambda) > 0$ such that for $u_0 := u_\sigma$ we have

$$I(u_0) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\| \geq 1.$$

Consider the set of paths

$$P := \{\gamma \in C^0([0, 1]; E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = u_0, \gamma(t) \in C^\infty(M) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

which is clearly non-empty since $u_0 \in E \cap C^\infty(M)$, and for $\mu \in]\lambda, \lambda_1/2m[$ set

$$c_\mu := \inf_{\gamma \in P} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_\mu(\gamma(t)).$$

Since by Jensen's inequality $\log(\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g) > 0$, the function $\mu \mapsto c_\mu$ is non-increasing, hence differentiable for almost every $\mu \in]\lambda, \lambda_1/2m[$. Then we will show that for any μ such that $c'_\mu := dc_\mu/d\mu$ exists, the functional I_μ admits a converging Palais-Smale sequence at level c_μ .

Lemma 4 1. For any $u, v \in E$, $\mu \geq 0$ there holds

$$I_\mu(u + v) \leq I_\mu(u) + \langle I'_\mu(u), v \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2,$$

where

$$\langle I'_\mu(u), v \rangle := \frac{d}{dt} I_\mu(u + tv) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

2. For any $C_1 \geq 0$ there exists a constant \tilde{C}_1 such that for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ there holds

$$\|I'_\mu(u) - I'_\nu(u)\| \leq \tilde{C}_1 |\mu - \nu|,$$

uniformly in $u \in E$ with $\|u\|^2 \leq C_1$, where

$$\|I'_\mu(u)\| := \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \langle I'_\mu(u), v \rangle.$$

Proof. 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\mu(u + v) - I_\mu(u) - \langle I'_\mu(u), v \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{\mu}{2m} \log \left(\frac{\int_M e^{2m(u+v)} d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \right) + \mu \frac{\int_M v e^{2mv} d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} = -\frac{\mu}{2m} \int_0^1 \int_0^t f''(s) ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(s) = \log \left(\int_M e^{2m(u+sv)} d\mu_g / \int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g \right)$. By Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} f''(s) &= \left[4m^2 \int_M v^2 e^{2m(u+sv)} d\mu_g \int_M e^{2m(u+sv)} d\mu_g \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(2m \int_M v e^{2m(u+sv)} d\mu_g \right)^2 \right] \times \left(\int_M e^{2m(u+sv)} d\mu_g \right)^{-2} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. Take $u, v \in E$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$. Recalling that $\int_M e^{2mu} \geq 1$ and using (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_\mu(u), v \rangle - \langle I'_\nu(u), v \rangle &= (\nu - \mu) \frac{\int_M v e^{2mu} d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \\ &\leq |\mu - \nu| \left(\int_M e^{4mu} d\mu_g \int_M v^2 d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_P^{\frac{m}{2}} |\mu - \nu| \left(\int_M e^{4mu} d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Fontana's inequality (3) together with $4mu \leq m\Lambda_1 \frac{u^2}{\|u\|^2} + \frac{4m}{\Lambda_1} \|u\|^2$, and recalling that $\|u\| \leq C_1$ we find

$$\left(\int_M e^{4mu} d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left(\int_M e^{m\Lambda_1 \frac{u^2}{\|u\|^2}} d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C,$$

and we conclude. \square

Lemma 5 Fix $\mu \in]\lambda, \lambda_1/(2m)[$ such that the derivative c'_μ exists. Then there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset E \cap C^\infty(M)$ such that $\|u_n\|^2 \leq C$, $I_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow c_\mu$ and $I'_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is false. Then for each $C_0 > 0$ there exists $\delta(C_0) > 0$ for which $\|u\|^2 \leq C_0$ and $|I_\mu(u) - c_\mu| < 2\delta$ imply $\|I'_\mu(u)\| \geq 2\delta$. We set $\alpha := -c'_\mu + 3 \geq 3$, we consider a decreasing sequence $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ and a sequence of paths $\gamma_n \in P$ such that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\mu(\gamma_n(t)) \leq c_\mu + (\mu_n - \mu). \quad (22)$$

Take $v_n = \gamma_n(t_n)$ such that

$$I_{\mu_n}(v_n) \geq c_{\mu_n} - 2(\mu_n - \mu). \quad (23)$$

Then for n sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu - \alpha(\mu_n - \mu) &\leq c_{\mu_n} - 2(\mu_n - \mu) \leq I_{\mu_n}(v_n) \leq I_\mu(v_n) \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\mu(\gamma_n(t)) \leq c_\mu + (\mu_n - \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In particular

$$I_\mu(v_n) - I_{\mu_n}(v_n) \leq c_\mu + (\mu_n - \mu) - (c_{\mu_n} - \alpha(\mu_n - \mu)) = (\alpha + 1)(\mu_n - \mu),$$

so that

$$\frac{I_\mu(v_n) - I_{\mu_n}(v_n)}{\mu_n - \mu} = \frac{1}{2m} \log \left(\int_M e^{2mv_n} d\mu_g \right) \leq \alpha + 1.$$

This and (22) yield

$$\|v_n\|^2 = 2I_\mu(v_n) + \frac{\mu}{m} \log \left(\int_M e^{2mv_n} d\mu_g \right) \leq C(\mu) =: C_1. \quad (25)$$

By assumption we can now choose $\delta = \delta(C_1)$ so that for n sufficiently large if $|I_\mu(v_n) - c_\mu| < 2\delta$, then $\|I'_\mu(v_n)\| \geq 2\delta$. By Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_{\mu_n}(v_n), I'_\mu(v_n) \rangle &= \|I'_\mu(v_n)\|^2 - \langle I'_\mu(v_n) - I'_{\mu_n}(v_n), I'_\mu(v_n) \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|I'_\mu(v_n)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|I'_\mu(v_n) - I'_{\mu_n}(v_n)\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|I'_\mu(v_n)\|^2 - \tilde{C}_1 |\mu - \mu_n|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|I'_\mu(v_n)\|^2 \geq \delta^2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for n sufficiently large. Now choose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, -2]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in E$ set

$$\varphi_n(u) := \varphi \left(\frac{I_{\mu_n}(u) - c_\mu}{\mu_n - \mu} \right).$$

With $\gamma_n \in P$ and $v_n = \gamma_n(t_n)$ as above we set

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n(t) := \gamma_n(t) - \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \varphi_n(\gamma_n(t)) \frac{I'_\mu(\gamma_n(t))}{\|I'_\mu(\gamma_n(t))\|} \in E \cap C^\infty(M),$$

and $\tilde{v}_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n(t_n)$. Then we get from Lemma 4 and (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\mu_n}(\tilde{v}_n) &= I_{\mu_n}\left(v_n - \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \varphi_n(v_n) \frac{I'_\mu(v_n)}{\|I'_\mu(v_n)\|}\right) \\
&\leq I_{\mu_n}(v_n) - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \varphi_n(v_n)}{\|I'_\mu(v_n)\|} \langle I'_{\mu_n}(v_n), I'_\mu(v_n) \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_n - \mu) \varphi_n^2(v_n) \\
&\leq I_{\mu_n}(v_n) - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \varphi_n(v_n) \|I'_\mu(v_n)\| + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_n - \mu) \varphi_n^2(v_n) \\
&\leq I_{\mu_n}(v_n) - \frac{\delta}{4} \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \varphi_n(v_n) \leq I_{\mu_n}(v_n),
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

for n large enough. Now we claim that for n large enough

$$c_{\mu_n} \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\mu_n}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) = \max_{\{t \in [0,1]: I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) \geq c_{\mu_n} - (\mu_n - \mu)\}} I_{\mu_n}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)). \tag{28}$$

The inequality is clear. As for the identity, observe that if $t \in [0, 1]$ is such that $I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) \leq c_{\mu_n} - 2(\mu_n - \mu)$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_n(t) = \gamma_n(t)$, hence

$$I_{\mu_n}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) = I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) < c_{\mu_n}.$$

If $t \in [0, 1]$ is such that

$$I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) \in]c_{\mu_n} - 2(\mu_n - \mu), c_{\mu_n} - (\mu_n - \mu)[,$$

then (23) holds for $v_n = \gamma_n(t)$ and we can apply (27) with $\tilde{v}_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)$ and infer

$$I_{\mu_n}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) \leq I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) < c_{\mu_n}.$$

Then (28) is proven and, since for t such that $I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) \geq c_{\mu_n} - (\mu_n - \mu)$ we have that (27) holds for $v_n = \gamma_n(t)$ and $\tilde{v}_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)$ with $\varphi(\gamma_n(t)) = 1$, recalling (22) and (24), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\mu_n} &\leq \max_{\{t \in [0,1]: I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) \geq c_{\mu_n} - (\mu_n - \mu)\}} I_{\mu_n}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) \\
&\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\mu_n}(\gamma_n(t)) - \frac{\delta}{4} \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\mu(\gamma_n(t)) - \frac{\delta}{4} \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \\
&\leq c_\mu + (\mu_n - \mu) - \frac{\delta}{4} \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \leq c_{\mu_n} + (\alpha - 1)(\mu_n - \mu) - \frac{\delta}{4} \sqrt{\mu_n - \mu} \\
&< c_{\mu_n},
\end{aligned}$$

for n large enough, contradiction. \square

Lemma 6 *If $\mu \mapsto c_\mu$ is differentiable at μ then c_μ is a critical value of I_μ .*

Proof. By Lemma 5 there exists a bounded sequence (u_n) in E such that $I'_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $I_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow c_\mu$. We may assume that u_n converges weakly in E and almost everywhere to a function u . Moreover we can use Fontana's inequality together with the inequality $8mu \leq m\Lambda_1 \frac{u^2}{\|u\|^2} + \frac{16m}{\Lambda_1} \|u\|^2$ as in the proof of Lemma 4 to show that e^{2mu_n} and e^{2mu} are uniformly bounded in L^4 . Observing that by dominated convergence one has for $N > 0$

$$\min\{e^{2mu_n}, N\} \rightarrow \min\{e^{2mu}, N\} \quad \text{in } L^2(M, d\mu_g)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\min\{e^{2mu_n}, N\} - e^{2mu_n}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{N^2} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e^{2mu_n}\|_{L^4}^4 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

we infer that $e^{2mu_n} \rightarrow e^{2mu}$ in L^2 . Then we have

$$o(1) = \langle I'_\mu(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1),$$

with error $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E , hence u is a critical point of I_μ with $I_\mu(u) = c_\mu$. \square

4 Compactness and proof of Theorem 1

The following theorem follows from [13, Thm. 2], compare also [3], [9], [7], [11] and [14].

Theorem 7 *Let $u_k \in C^\infty(M)$ be a sequence of solutions to*

$$(-\Delta_g)^m u_k + \lambda_k = \lambda_k \frac{e^{2mu_k}}{\int_M e^{2mu_k} d\mu_g}, \quad (29)$$

where $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ are positive real numbers. Then one of the following is true:

- (i) Up to a subsequence $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $C^{2m-1}(M)$ for some $u_0 \in C^\infty(M)$.
- (ii) Up to a subsequence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_M u_k = \infty$ and there is a positive integer N such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = N\Lambda_1. \quad (30)$$

Proof. In [13] the equation

$$P_g^{2m} u_k + Q_g = Q_k e^{2mu_k}$$

is treated, where P_g^{2m} is the Paneitz (or GJMS) operator of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $Q_g \in C^\infty(M)$ (it is the Q -curvature of (M, g)) and $Q_k \rightarrow Q_0$ in $C^1(M)$ is a given sequence. Under these assumptions it is proven that up to a subsequence either

- (i) $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $C^{2m-1}(M)$ for some $u_0 \in C^\infty(M)$, or
- (ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_M u_k = \infty$ and there is a positive integer N such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_M Q_k e^{2mu_k} d\mu_g = N\Lambda_1. \quad (31)$$

But in fact the proof of [13] applies to more general equations of the form

$$L_g u_k + f_k = h_k e^{2mu_k}, \quad (32)$$

where

1. L_g is any differential operator of the form $L_g = (-\Delta_g)^m + A_k$, where A_k is a differential operator of order $2m - 1$ at most and whose coefficients converge in C^1 ;
2. $f_k \rightarrow f_0$ in C^1 and $h_k \rightarrow h_0$ in C^1 ,

see e.g. [7]. In this case the conclusion is that if (u_k) is not precompact in $C^{2m-1}(M)$, then up to a subsequence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_M h_k e^{2mu_k} d\mu_g = N\Lambda_1 \quad (33)$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solutions to (29) are also solutions to (32) with $f_k \equiv \lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ and

$$h_k \equiv \frac{\lambda_k}{\int_M e^{2mu_k} d\mu_g} \rightarrow h_0 \equiv \text{const} \geq 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

up to a subsequence. If the sequence (u_k) is not precompact in $C^{2m-1}(M)$, then (33) implies (30) at once. \square

Proof of Theorem 1 (completed). For $\lambda \in]\Lambda_1, \lambda_1/2m[$, $\lambda \notin \Lambda_1\mathbb{N}$, consider a sequence $\lambda_k < \lambda$ with $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ such that for every $k > 0$ there is a solution $u_k \in E$ to (29) with $I_{\lambda_k}(u_k) = c_{\lambda_k}$. That such a sequence (λ_k, u_k) exists was shown in Lemma 6. Moreover Lemma 2 implies that $c_\lambda > 0$. Theorem 7 then implies that (up to a subsequence) $u_k \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $C^{2m-1}(M)$, hence smoothly, for some function $u_\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$, which also satisfies (2). Moreover, since $c_{\lambda_k} \geq c_\lambda$, we have

$$I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda_k}(u_k) \geq c_\lambda > 0,$$

hence showing that $u_\lambda \not\equiv 0$, as wanted. \square

5 Non-existence for small λ

We also have a non-existence result for λ small enough, analogous to [17, Thm. 5.10].

Theorem 8 *There exists a constant $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in [0, \Lambda_0[$, $u \equiv 0$ is the only solution to (2) in E .*

Proof. The Green function for $(-\Delta_g)^m$ is of the form

$$G_y(x) = \frac{2}{\Lambda_1} \log \frac{1}{d_g(x, y)} + \gamma(x, y),$$

where γ is smooth on $M \times M$. If $u \in E$ solves (2), then

$$\begin{aligned} u(y) &= \int_M (-\Delta_g)^m u G_y d\mu_g = \lambda \frac{\int_M e^{2mu} G_y d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \\ &\leq \lambda \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{2\lambda}{\Lambda_1} \frac{\int_M \log \left(\frac{1}{d_g(y, x)} \right) e^{2mu(x)} d\mu_g(x)}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

We now use the inequality $ab \leq e^a + b(\log b - 1)$ which holds for $b \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and which follows from the identity

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \{ab - e^a\} = b(\log b - 1),$$

choosing $a = -\log(d_g(y, \cdot))$, $b = e^{2mu}$, hence getting

$$\log \left(\frac{1}{d_g(y, \cdot)} \right) e^{2mu} \leq \frac{1}{d_g(y, \cdot)} + 2mue^{2mu} - e^{2mu},$$

and recalling that by the Jensen inequality $\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu \geq 1$, we infer

$$\frac{\int_M \log \left(\frac{1}{d_g(y, \cdot)} \right) e^{2mu} d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \leq \frac{C}{2m-1} + \frac{2m \int_M e^{2mu} u d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g}.$$

We now use (2) and notice that the above right-hand side does not depend on y to show

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 &= \lambda \frac{\int_M e^{2mu} u d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \leq \lambda \sup_M u \leq \frac{2\lambda^2 C}{\Lambda_1(2m-1)} + \frac{4m\lambda}{\Lambda_1} \|u\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\lambda^2 + \frac{4m\lambda}{\Lambda_1} \|u\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Then for $\lambda < \frac{\Lambda_1}{8m}$ we obtain

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \frac{C\Lambda_1\lambda^2}{\Lambda_1 - 4m\lambda} \leq C\lambda^2,$$

and (35) gives $\sup_M |u| \leq C\lambda$ for $\lambda > 0$ small enough. Therefore $|e^{2mu} - 1| \leq e^{C\lambda}u$ and, recalling that $\int_M u d\mu_g = 0$, we get

$$\|u\|^2 = \lambda \frac{\int_M (e^{2mu} - 1) u d\mu_g}{\int_M e^{2mu} d\mu_g} \leq \lambda e^{C\lambda} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\lambda \|u\|^2.$$

For $\lambda > 0$ small enough this implies $\|u\| = 0$, hence $u \equiv 0$, and this concludes the proof. \square

References

- [1] D. ADAMS *A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives*, Ann. Math. **128** (1988), 385-398.
- [2] ADIMURTHI, F. ROBERT, M. STRUWE *Concentration phenomena for Liouville's equation in dimension 4*, Journal EMS **8** (2006), 171-180.
- [3] H. BRÉZIS, F. MERLE *Uniform estimates and blow-up behaviour for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1223-1253.
- [4] F. DE MARCHIS *Multiplicity result for a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **33** (2008), 2208-2224.

- [5] W. DING, J. JOST, J. LI, G. WANG *Existence results for mean field equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **16** (1999), 653-666.
- [6] Z. DJADLI *Existence result for the mean field problem on Riemann surfaces of all genres*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **10** (2008), 205-220.
- [7] O. DRUET, F. ROBERT *Bubbling phenomena for fourth-order four-dimensional PDEs with exponential growth*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (2006), 897-908.
- [8] L. FONTANA *Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **68**(3) (1993), 415-454.
- [9] Y. LI, I. SHAFRIR *Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in dimension 2*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 1255-1270.
- [10] A. MALCHIODI *Morse theory and a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, Adv. Differential Equations **13** (2008), 1109-1129.
- [11] A. MALCHIODI *Compactness of solutions to some geometric fourth-order equations*, J. reine angew. Math. **594** (2006), 137-174.
- [12] L. MARTINAZZI *Classification of solutions to the higher order Liouville's equation on \mathbb{R}^{2m}* , Math. Z. **263** (2009), 307-329.
- [13] L. MARTINAZZI *Concentration-compactness phenomena in higher order Liouville's equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), 3743-3771.
- [14] L. MARTINAZZI, M. PETRACHE *Asymptotics and quantization for a mean-field equation of higher order*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **35** (2010), 1-22.
- [15] C. B. NDIAYE *Constant Q -curvature metrics in arbitrary dimension*, J. Funct. Anal. **251** (2007), 1-58.
- [16] M. STRUWE, *Critical points of embeddings of $H_0^{1,n}$ into Orlicz spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **5** (1988), 425-464.
- [17] M. STRUWE, G. TARANTELLO *On multivortex solutions in Chern-Simons Gauge theory*, Boll. UMI (8) **1-B** (1998), 109-121.