

Infinitely many positive solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson system ^{*}

P. d'Avenia[†], A. Pomponio[‡], G. Vaira[§]

Abstract

We are interested in the existence of infinitely many positive solutions of the Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + V(|x|)\phi u = |u|^{p-1}u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = V(|x|)u^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $V(|x|)$ is a positive bounded function, $1 < p < 5$ and $V(r)$, $r = |x|$ has the following decay property:

$$V(r) = \frac{a}{r^m} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{m+\theta}}\right)$$

with $a > 0$, $m > \frac{3}{2}$, $\theta > 0$. The solutions founded are non-radial.

Keywords. Non autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson system; Perturbation Method.

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper we consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + V(x)\phi u = |u|^{p-1}u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = V(x)u^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{SP})$$

where $p \in (1, 5)$ and $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative bounded function.

This kind of problem has been introduced in [6] and arises in an interesting physical context. In fact, according to a classical model, the interaction of a charge particle with an electromagnetic field can be described by coupling the nonlinear Schrödinger and the Maxwell equations and so it is also known as Schrödinger-Maxwell system. In our case, $V(x)$ can be interpreted as a changing pointwise charge distribution. There is a wide literature concerning this type of problem in different situations, see for example [3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 22].

^{*}P. d'Avenia and A. Pomponio are supported by M.I.U.R. - P.R.I.N. "Metodi variazionali e topologici nello studio di fenomeni non lineari". G. Vaira is supported by M.I.U.R. - P.R.I.N. "Variational Methods and Nonlinear Differential Equations".

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Bari, Via E. Orabona 4, I-70125 Bari, Italy, e-mail: p.davenia@poliba.it

[‡]Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Bari, Via E. Orabona 4, I-70125 Bari, Italy, e-mail: a.pomponio@poliba.it

[§]S.I.S.S.A. Via Beirut, 2-4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy, e-mail: vaira@sissa.it

The equations in (\mathcal{SP}) are the Eulero-Lagrange equations of the C^2 -functional $G : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$G(u, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} dx,$$

and the critical points of G are the solutions of (\mathcal{SP}) . Let us observe that the functional G is unbounded both from above and from below, also modulo compact perturbations. As we shall see in Section 2, for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the Poisson equation in (\mathcal{SP}) admits a unique solution $\phi_u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence we can reduce ourselves to the study of the C^2 one variable functional $I : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$I(u) = G(u, \phi_u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_u u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

The critical points of I are the solutions of the problem

$$-\Delta u + u + V(x) \phi_u u = |u|^{p-1} u. \quad (\mathcal{SP}')$$

If $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution of (\mathcal{SP}') , then $(u, \phi_u) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution of (\mathcal{SP}) and so we will look for solutions of (\mathcal{SP}') .

In this paper we assume that V is a radial function, that is $V(x) = V(|x|) = V(r)$. Moreover we assume that V satisfies the following condition:

(V) there are constants $a > 0$, $m > \frac{3}{2}$, $\theta > 0$ such that

$$V(r) = \frac{a}{r^m} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{m+\theta}}\right),$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

In what follows, without any loss of generality, we assume $a = 1$.

The main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *If V satisfies (V), then the problem (\mathcal{SP}') has infinitely many non-radial positive solutions.*

To prove Theorem 1.1 we will construct solutions with large number of bumps near infinity. In fact, since $V(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, the solutions of (\mathcal{SP}') can be approximated by using the solution U of the following limit problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = u^p, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

For any positive integer k , let us define

$$P_j = \left(r \cos \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, r \sin \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, 0 \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

with $r \in [r_0 k \log k, r_1 k \log k]$ for some $r_1 > r_0 > 0$ and

$$z_r(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k U_{P_j}(x),$$

where $U_{P_j}(\cdot) := U(\cdot - P_j)$.

If $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we set

$$H_s = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \left| \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is even in } x_2, x_3; \\ u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_3) = u\left(r \cos\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), r \sin\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), x_3\right) \\ j = 1, \dots, k-1 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *If V satisfies (V), then there exists an integer $k_0 > 0$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$, (\mathcal{SP}') has a positive solution u_k of the form*

$$u_k = z_{r_k} + w_k,$$

where $r_k \in [r_0 k \log k, r_1 k \log k]$, $w_k \in H_s$ and, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $\|w_k\| \rightarrow 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. This technique is almost standard in the perturbation methods, prevalently in the presence of a small parameter (see [2]) and it has been applied to Schrödinger-Poisson type system by several authors (see, for example, [9, 15, 17]). Here we use k as parameter, that is we use the number of bumps of the solutions in the construction of spike solutions for (\mathcal{SP}') . This idea has been introduced by J. Wei and S. Yan ([20]). They consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + V(|x|)u = u^p$$

with $V(r) \rightarrow V_0 > 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ and they prove the existence of infinitely many positive non-radial solutions for such equation by using the technique outlined above. This method has also been applied for the study of different problems (see for example [18, 21]). In our case, however, many technical difficulties arise due to the presence of the non-local term ϕ_u and a more careful analysis of the interaction between the bumps is required.

2 Notation and preliminaries

Hereafter we use the following notations:

- $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the usual Sobolev space endowed with the standard scalar product and norm

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla u \nabla v + uv] dx, \quad \|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|\nabla u|^2 + u^2] dx;$$

- $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx;$$

- $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space with the standard norm $|u|_q$;
- for any $\rho > 0$ and for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $B_\rho(z)$ denotes the ball of radius ρ centered at z and $B_\rho = B_\rho(0)$;
- C, C', C_i, \bar{C} are various positive constants which may also vary from line to line;
- $A = O(\frac{1}{h})$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|A| \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{h}$.

Let us summarize some properties of ϕ_u .

Lemma 2.1. *For every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists a unique $\phi_u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ solution of $-\Delta\phi = V(x)u^2$. Such a solution ϕ_u is non-negative and the following representation formula holds*

$$\phi_u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x-y|} V(y)u^2(y) dy.$$

Moreover:

- i) there exist $C, C' > 0$ independent of $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\|\phi_u\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C|u|_{\frac{12}{5}}^2,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\phi_u u^2 \leq C'|u|_{\frac{12}{5}}^4;$$

- ii) if $u \in H_s$, then $\phi_u \in D_s$, where

$$D_s = \left\{ \phi \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \left| \begin{array}{l} \phi \text{ is even in } x_2, x_3; \\ \phi(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_3) = \phi\left(r \cos\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), r \sin\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), x_3\right) \\ j = 1, \dots, k-1 \end{array} \right. \right\};$$

- iii) for all $v_1, v_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ there holds

$$\|\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2}\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C(\|v_1\| + \|v_2\|)\|v_1 - v_2\|. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of $\phi_u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a direct application of the Lax-Milgram theorem. Moreover the inequalities in i) are well known (see, for instance, [6, 8, 16]) and here we give the proofs only of ii) and iii).

ii) If $g \in O(3)$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let us set

$$(T_g u)(x) = u(gx). \quad (2.2)$$

Fixed $g \in O(3)$, if $T_g u = u$, we have that

$$-\Delta(T_g \phi_u) = T_g(-\Delta\phi_u) = T_g(V(|x|)u^2(x)) = V(|gx|)u^2(gx) = V(|x|)u^2(x) = -\Delta\phi_u$$

and then $T_g \phi_u = \phi_u$.

Since H_s and D_s are the sets of the fixed points with respect to the action (2.2)

for all $g = (g_{i,j}) \in O(3)$ with

$$g_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & i = j, i = 2, 3 \\ \delta_{ij} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{or } g = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi j}{k} & -\sin \frac{2\pi j}{k} & 0 \\ \sin \frac{2\pi j}{k} & \cos \frac{2\pi j}{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we conclude that if $u \in H_s$, then $\phi_u \in D_s$.

iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2}\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla(\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2})|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)(\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2}) dx \\ &\leq C|v_1^2 - v_2^2|_{\frac{6}{5}} \|\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2}\|_{D^{1,2}} \\ &\leq C|v_1 + v_2|_{\frac{12}{5}} |v_1 - v_2|_{\frac{12}{5}} \|\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2}\|_{D^{1,2}} \\ &\leq C(\|v_1\| + \|v_2\|) \|v_1 - v_2\| \|\phi_{v_1} - \phi_{v_2}\|_{D^{1,2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Analogously, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, let $\bar{\phi}$ be the solution in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of $-\Delta \bar{\phi} = V(x)uv$. Then*

$$\|\bar{\phi}\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C|V(x)uv|_{\frac{6}{5}} \leq C\|u\|\|v\|$$

and for any $z, w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\bar{\phi}zw dx \leq C\|\bar{\phi}\|_{D^{1,2}} |V(x)zw|_{\frac{6}{5}} \leq C\|u\|\|v\|\|z\|\|w\|. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, by (V), for any $x \in B_{\alpha r}$ and for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have that

$$V(x + P_i) = \frac{1}{|x + P_i|^m} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x + P_i|^{m+\theta}}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, for any $x \in B_{\alpha r}$ and $\beta > 0$

$$\frac{1}{|x + P_i|^\beta} = \frac{1}{|P_i|^\beta} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|x|}{|P_i|}\right)\right). \quad (2.5)$$

Let us evaluate now the distance between the various P_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Indeed, for $i \neq j$, by making a simple computation, we find

$$|P_i - P_j| = 2r \left| \sin \frac{(i-j)\pi}{k} \right|. \quad (2.6)$$

Finally, let us recall the following elementary inequalities which hold for all $a, b, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$:

$$|a + b|^p \leq C(|a|^p + |b|^p) \quad (2.7)$$

and, if $|a| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |a + b_1|^p - |a + b_2|^p - p|a|^{p-1}(b_1 - b_2) \right| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C(|b_1|^{p-1} + |b_2|^{p-1})|b_1 - b_2|, & \text{if } p \leq 2, \\ C(|b_1|^{p-1} + |b_2|^{p-1} + |b_1| + |b_2|)|b_1 - b_2|, & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the constant C depends only on p .

As mentioned in the introduction, we denote by U the unique positive radial solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the problem (1.1). This solution satisfies the following decay property (see [14]):

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} U(r)re^r = C > 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{U'(r)}{U(r)} = -1, \quad r = |x|,$$

for some constant C .

The function U is a critical point of the C^2 functional $I_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$I_0(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

Furthermore the solution U is nondegenerate (up to translations). More specifically, there holds

Lemma 2.3. *Define the operator $Q : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as*

$$Q[v] := I_0''(U)[v, v] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|\nabla v|^2 + v^2 - pU^{p-1}v^2] dx.$$

We denote by $U_j = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j}$. Then there hold:

- $Q[U] = (1-p)\|U\|^2 < 0$;
- $Q[U_j] = 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$;
- $Q[v] \geq C\|v\|^2$ for all $v \perp U$, $v \perp U_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

For the proof we refer, for instance, to [2, Lemma 8.6].

Finally, let us recall the following results (see [11, Corollary 3.2] and [1, Lemma 3.7]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\beta_1 \geq 1$ and $\beta_2 \geq 1$ be two positive numbers. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_i}^{\beta_1} U_{P_j}^{\beta_2} dx = O\left(e^{-(\min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} - \delta)|P_i - P_j|}\right), \quad i \neq j,$$

where $\delta > 0$ is any small number.

Lemma 2.5. *For all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, $i \neq j$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_i}^p U_{P_j} dx = C \frac{e^{-|P_i - P_j|}}{|P_i - P_j|} (1 + o(1)).$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. Let

$$Z_{i,j} = \frac{\partial U_{P_i}}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and define

$$W := \left\{ w \in H_s : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_i}^{p-1} Z_{i,j} w dx = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, 3 \right\}$$

and P_W the orthogonal projection onto W . Our approach is to find first a solution $w \in W$ of the auxiliary equation

$$P_W I'(z_r + w) = 0$$

and then to solve the remaining finite dimensional equation, namely the bifurcation equation

$$(I - P_W)I'(z_r + w) = 0.$$

In what follows we always assume that V satisfies (V) and

$$r \in S_k := \left[\left(\frac{m}{\pi} - \beta \right) k \log k, \left(\frac{m}{\pi} + \beta \right) k \log k \right],$$

where m is the constant in (V) and $\beta > 0$ is a small constant.

3.1 The auxiliary equation

In the sequel we find a solution of the auxiliary equation, namely we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists an integer $k_0 > 0$, such that for each $k \geq k_0$, there is a C^1 map $w : S_k \rightarrow H_s$, $w = w(r)$, satisfying $w \in W$ and $P_W I'(z_r + w) = 0$. Moreover, there is a small $\sigma > 0$, such that*

$$\|w\| \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

We begin with some estimates.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists an integer $k_0 > 0$, such that for each $k \geq k_0$, there is a small $\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$\|I'(z_r)\| \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $v \in H_s$, with $\|v\| = 1$. Taking into account that U_{P_i} are solutions of (1.1), we have

$$I'(z_r)[v] = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} z_r v \, dx}_{(I)} - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[z_r^p - \sum_{i=1}^k U_{P_i}^p \right] v \, dx}_{(II)}.$$

Let us evaluate separately the two terms. By using Hölder inequality we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |(I)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} v \, dx \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i})^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} |v|_2 \right] \\ &\leq C \|\phi_{z_r}\|_{D^{1,2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x) U_{P_i})^3 \, dx \right)^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let be $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By (2.4) and (2.5) and since U decays exponentially outside a ball we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x)U_{P_i}(x))^3 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x + P_i)U(x))^3 dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} (V(x + P_i)U(x))^3 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\alpha r}} (V(x + P_i)U(x))^3 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{3m}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{z_r}\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi_{z_r}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} z_r^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i}^2 dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx \\ &\leq C \|\phi_{z_r}\|_{D^{1,2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x)U_{P_i}^2)^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \\ &\quad + C \|\phi_{z_r}\|_{D^{1,2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x)U_{P_i} U_{P_j})^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6}. \end{aligned}$$

As done in (3.2) we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x)U_{P_i}^2)^{6/5} dx \leq \frac{C}{r^{6m/5}}.$$

Moreover by Lemma 2.4 and (2.6), since $r \in S_k$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x)U_{P_i} U_{P_j})^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} &\leq C \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(1-\delta)|P_i - P_j|} \\ &= Ck \cdot \sum_{i=2}^k e^{-(1-\delta)|P_i - P_1|} \\ &\leq Ck \cdot e^{-(1-\delta)\frac{2\pi}{k}r} \\ &\leq C \frac{k}{k^{2(1-\delta)(m-\beta\pi)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\phi_{z_r}\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C \cdot \frac{k}{r^m}.$$

Thus, since $r \in S_k$ and $m > \frac{3}{2}$,

$$|(I)| \leq C \cdot \frac{k^2}{r^{2m}} \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

Finally we consider (II). These estimates have been done in [20]; we sketch the proof for the sake of completeness. Let us define for all $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$\Omega_j := \left\{ x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : \left\langle \frac{x'}{|x'|}, \frac{P_j}{|P_j|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}.$$

For any $x \in \Omega_1$: $U_{P_i}^p \leq U_{P_1}^{p-1} U_{P_i}$. Thus, by using [20, Lemma A.1]

$$\begin{aligned}
|(II)| &= k \left| \int_{\Omega_1} \left(z_r^p - \sum_{i=1}^k U_{P_i}^p \right) v \, dx \right| \\
&\leq Ck \int_{\Omega_1} U_{P_1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=2}^k U_{P_j} |v| \, dx \\
&\leq Ck \left(\int_{\Omega_1} U_{P_1}^{\frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{P_j} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega_1} |v|^{p+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \\
&\leq Ck^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega_1} U_{P_1}^{\frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{P_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{j=2}^k U_{P_j} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\
&\leq Ck^{\frac{p}{p+1}} e^{-\frac{\eta r \pi}{(p+1)k}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^{\frac{p^2-\eta}{p}} U_{P_j} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\
&\leq Ck^{\frac{p}{p+1}} e^{-\frac{\eta r \pi}{(p+1)k}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k e^{-(1-\delta)|P_1-P_j|} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\
&\leq Ck^{\frac{p}{p+1}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{p+1}(\eta+2p(1-\delta))(\frac{m}{\pi}-\beta) \log k} \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{k \left[-\frac{p}{p+1} + \frac{\pi}{p+1}(\eta+2p(1-\delta))(\frac{m}{\pi}-\beta) \right]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\frac{p}{p+1} + \frac{2pm}{p+1} > m - \frac{1}{2},$$

we obtain

$$|(II)| \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

Putting together (I) and (II) we find (3.2). \square

Now we are concerned with the invertibility of the operator

$$L := P_W I''(z_r) : W \rightarrow W.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For k sufficiently large L is invertible and $\|L^{-1}\| \leq \bar{C}$.*

In order to prove Lemma 3.3 let us decompose $W = A \oplus B$ where

$$A := \langle \{P_W U_{P_i}\}_{i=1 \dots k} \rangle; \quad B := (A \oplus W^\perp)^\perp.$$

Lemma 3.3 follows immediately after showing the next result.

Lemma 3.4. *For k sufficiently large there exist two positive constants C_1, C_2 such that*

$$(a) \quad I''(z_r)[u, u] \leq -C_1 \|u\|^2, \text{ for all } u \in A;$$

(b) $I''(z_r)[u, u] \geq C_2 \|u\|^2$, for all $u \in B$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to [17, Lemma 3.4]. We sketch it for the sake of completeness.

Let $u \in A$. Then

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_W U_{P_i}, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, k$, $P_W U_{P_i} \in W$. Hence we can write

$$P_W U_{P_i} = U_{P_i} - \psi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where ψ_i are given by

$$\psi_i = \sum_{l,j} (U_{P_i}, Z_{l,j}) \frac{Z_{l,j}}{\|Z_{l,j}\|^2}, \quad l \neq i,$$

and the functions $Z_{l,j}$ satisfy $-\Delta Z_{l,j} + Z_{l,j} = p U_{P_l}^{p-1} Z_{l,j}$. Since for $k \rightarrow +\infty$ we have $|P_i - P_l| \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $(U_{P_i}, Z_{l,j}) = o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. This implies $\|\psi_i\| = o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Applying the bilinear form given by $I''(z_r)$, using the fact that $I''(z_r)$ maps bounded sets onto bounded sets and that $\|\psi_i\| = o(1)$ we obtain that

$$I''(z_r)[u, u] = I''(z_r) \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i U_{P_i}, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i U_{P_i} \right] + o(1).$$

Furthermore, by making simple computations, reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and by Lemma 2.3, we find

$$I''(z_r)[u, u] = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2 I''_0(U_{P_i})[U_{P_i}, U_{P_i}] + o(1) \leq (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2 \|U_{P_i}\|^2 + o(1) < -C_1.$$

Then $I''(z_r)$ is negative definite on A .

We now prove that $I''(z_r)$ is positive definite on B . Choose an arbitrary $u \in B$ and we assume, for simplicity, that $\|u\| = 1$. We denote by $\hat{\phi}$ the solution of $-\Delta \hat{\phi} = V(x) z_r u$. Then

$$I''(z_r)[u, u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[|\nabla u|^2 + u^2 + V(x) \phi_{z_r} u^2 + 2V(x) \hat{\phi} z_r u - p z_r^{p-1} u^2 \right] dx.$$

Since u is bounded and reasoning as in Lemma 3.2 we find for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} u^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_u z_r^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_u U_{P_i}^2 dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_u U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x) U_{P_i}^2)^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} + C \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x) U_{P_i} U_{P_j})^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \\ &= o(1), \end{aligned}$$

for k sufficiently large. In the same way one can prove that for $k \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \hat{\phi} z_r u \, dx = o(1).$$

As done in Lemma 3.2, it can be proved that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} z_r^{p-1} u^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{P_i}^{p-1} u^2 \, dx + o(1).$$

Hence

$$I''(z_r)[u, u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[|\nabla u|^2 + u^2 - p \sum_{i=1}^k U_{P_i}^{p-1} u^2 \right] \, dx + o(1).$$

At this point, arguing, for example, as in [17], we have that

$$I''(z_r)[u, u] \geq C_2 > 0,$$

and (b) follows. \square

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let us consider $J(w) = I(z_r + w)$, $w \in W$ and expand it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J(w) &= I(z_r) + I'(z_r)[w] + \frac{1}{2} I''(z_r)[w, w] + R_{z_r}(w) \\ &= J(0) + l(w) + \frac{1}{2} \langle Lw, w \rangle + R_{z_r}(w), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$l(w) = I'(z_r)[w], \quad \langle Lw, w \rangle = I''(z_r)[w, w],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{z_r}(w) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_w w^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_w z_r w \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[|z_r + w|^{p+1} - z_r^{p+1} - \frac{p(p+1)}{2} z_r^{p-1} w^2 - (p+1) z_r^p w \right] \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $l(w)$ is a bounded linear functional in W , by Riesz Theorem there exists an $l_k \in W$ such that

$$l(w) = \langle l_k, w \rangle.$$

Now we want to find a critical point of J , that is a $w \in W$ such that

$$0 = J'(w) = l_k + Lw + R'_{z_r}(w). \quad (3.3)$$

Since by Lemma 3.3 L is invertible, we can rewrite (3.3) in the following way

$$w = A(w) := -L^{-1}l_k - L^{-1}R'_{z_r}(w).$$

Thus the problem of finding a critical point of $J(w)$ is equivalent to find a fixed point of A . To this end, let

$$B := \left\{ w \in W : \|w\| \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} \right\},$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is small. We have to prove that $A(B) \subset B$ and that A is a contraction in B .

Let $w \in B$. By using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(w)\| &\leq \|L^{-1}\| (\|l_k\| + \|R'_{z_r}(w)\|) \\ &\leq \bar{C} \left(\frac{1}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} + \|R'_{z_r}(w)\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us evaluate $\|R'_{z_r}(w)\|$. We denote by $\bar{\phi}$ the solution in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of $-\Delta\bar{\phi} = V(x)vw$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|R'_{z_r}(w)\| &= \sup_{\|v\|=1} |R'_{z_r}(w)[v]| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\phi_w |wv| dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|\bar{\phi}z_rw| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\phi_w z_r |v| dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z_r + w|^p - pz_r^{p-1}w - z_r^p \cdot |v| dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \leq 2$ then, by (2.3) and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \|R'_{z_r}(w)\| &\leq C \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left[\|w\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w|^p |v| dx \right] \\ &\leq C(\|w\|^2 + \|w\|^p) \leq C\|w\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $w \in B$,

$$\|A(w)\| \leq \tilde{C} \left(\frac{1}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} + \frac{1}{k^{p(m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma)}} \right) \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

If, now, $p > 2$ then, by using again (2.3) and (2.8),

$$\|R'_{z_r}(w)\| \leq C \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left(\|w\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|w|^2 + |w|^p) |v| dx \right) \leq C\|w\|^2$$

and then

$$\|A(w)\| \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

Hence, in both cases, A maps B into B .

Finally, let us prove that A is a contraction. Let be $w_1, w_2 \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(w_1) - A(w_2)\| &\leq \|L^{-1}\| (\|l_k\| \|w_1 - w_2\| + \|R'_{z_r}(w_1) - R'_{z_r}(w_2)\|) \\ &\leq \bar{C} \left(\frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} \|w_1 - w_2\| + \|R'_{z_r}(w_1) - R'_{z_r}(w_2)\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R'_{z_r}(w_1) - R'_{z_r}(w_2)\| &= \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left| R'_{z_r}(w_1)[v] - R'_{z_r}(w_2)[v] \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{w_1} |w_1 - w_2| \cdot |v| dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) |\bar{\phi}_1| z_r |w_1 - w_2| dx \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) |\phi_{w_1} - \phi_{w_2}| z_r |v| dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) |\bar{\phi}_1 - \bar{\phi}_2| z_r |w_2| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) |\phi_{w_1} - \phi_{w_2}| \cdot |w_2 v| dx \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| |z_r + w_1|^p - |z_r + w_2|^p - p z_r^{p-1} (w_1 - w_2) \right| \cdot |v| dx \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\phi}_i$ is the solution in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of $-\Delta \bar{\phi}_i = V(x) z_r w_i$, $i = 1, 2$. If now $p \leq 2$, by [iii](#) of Lemma 2.1 and (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R'_{z_r}(w_1) - R'_{z_r}(w_2)\| &\leq C \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left[\frac{1}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} \|w_1 - w_2\| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|w_1|^{p-1} + |w_2|^{p-1}) |w_1 - w_2| \cdot |v| dx \right] \\
&\leq C \left[\frac{1}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} + \frac{1}{k^{(p-1)(m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma)}} \right] \|w_1 - w_2\| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{k^{(p-1)(m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma)}} \|w_1 - w_2\|
\end{aligned}$$

If $p > 2$ by using again [iii](#) of Lemma 2.1 and (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R'_{z_r}(w_1) - R'_{z_r}(w_2)\| &\leq C \sup_{\|v\|=1} \left[\frac{1}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}} \|w_1 - w_2\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|w_1|^{p-1} + |w_2|^{p-1} + |w_1| + |w_2|) |w_1 - w_2| \cdot |v| dx \right] \\
&\leq \frac{C}{k^{(m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma)}} \|w_1 - w_2\|.
\end{aligned}$$

In both cases A is a contraction since for k large $\frac{C}{k^{s(m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma)}} \ll 1$ for $s = 1$ and $s = p - 1$. \square

3.2 The reduced functional

This section is devoted to solve the finite dimensional equation, namely the bifurcation equation.

To this aim, let us define the reduced functional $F : S_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $r \in S_k$

$$F(r) = I(z_r + w),$$

where $w = w(r, k)$ is the unique solution of the auxiliary equation. By Proposition 3.1 let us recall that

$$\|w\| \leq \frac{C}{k^{m-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 and since I'' maps bounded sets onto bounded sets then

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &= I(z_r) + I'(z_r)[w] + \frac{1}{2}I''(\xi)[w, w] \\ &= I(z_r) + O(\|w\|^2) \\ &= I(z_r) + k \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is small. Then by Proposition A.1 the reduced functional is given by

$$F(r) = k \left[C_0 + \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} - B_3 \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right) \right],$$

where C_0, B_1, B_2, B_3 are positive constants. The problem

$$\max \{F(r) : r \in S_k\} \quad (3.4)$$

has a solution since F is continuous on a compact set. We have to show that this maximum is an interior point of S_k .

Let us denote with \bar{F} the function

$$\bar{F}(r) := \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} - B_3 \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx.$$

By Lemmas 2.5 and A.6

$$C_1 \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}}}{\log k} \leq \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx \leq C_2 e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}}.$$

So we define

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(r) &:= \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} - B_5 e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}}, \\ F_2(r) &:= \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} - B_4 \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}}}{\log k}. \end{aligned}$$

For k sufficiently large,

$$F_1(r) \leq \bar{F}(r) \leq F_2(r) \quad \text{in } S_k$$

and, moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}\left(\left(\frac{m}{\pi} + \beta\right) k \log k\right) &\geq F_1\left(\left(\frac{m}{\pi} + \beta\right) k \log k\right) > 0, \\ \bar{F}\left(\left(\frac{m}{\pi} - \beta\right) k \log k\right) &\leq F_2\left(\left(\frac{m}{\pi} - \beta\right) k \log k\right) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{F}\left(\left(\frac{m}{\pi} + \frac{\beta}{2}\right) k \log k\right) - \bar{F}\left(\left(\frac{m}{\pi} + \beta\right) k \log k\right) \geq \frac{C}{k^{2m} \log^{2m} k} > 0.$$

Hence \bar{F} possesses a critical point (a maximum point)

$$\bar{r} = \left(\frac{m}{\pi} + o(1) \right) k \log k,$$

in the interior of \mathcal{S}_k .

Finally it is easy to check that (3.4) is achieved by some r_k , which is in the interior of \mathcal{S}_k , and so we infer that r_k is a critical point of $F(r)$. As a consequence, we can conclude that $z_{r_k} + w(r_k)$ is a solution of (\mathcal{SP}') . This prove the existence of infinitely many non-trivial non radial solutions of (\mathcal{SP}') .

In order to get positive solutions, it suffices to repeat the whole procedure for the functional

$$I_+(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_u u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u^+|^{p+1} dx,$$

where $u^+ = \max\{0, u\}$. It can be checked that Proposition 3.1, Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and Proposition A.1 can be applied to I_+ . Therefore we get infinitely many non-radial and non-negative solutions for the problem

$$-\Delta u + u + V(x) \phi_u u = (u^+)^p.$$

Keeping in mind that $\phi_u > 0$ when $u \neq 0$ then the maximum principle allows to find infinitely many positive solutions of (\mathcal{SP}') of the form $z_{r_k} + w(r_k)$, and so the proof of Theorem 1.2 is concluded.

A Appendix

In this section we prove the following result.

Proposition A.1. *For a small $\sigma > 0$ there holds*

$$I(z_r) = k \left[C_0 + \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} - B_3 e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right) \right],$$

where C_0, B_1, B_2, B_3 are positive constants.

First we give some preliminary.
We recall that

$$I(z_r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|\nabla z_r|^2 + z_r^2] dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} z_r^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z_r|^{p+1} dx. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By making simple computations we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} z_r^2 dx &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} z_r^2 dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_i}^2 dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j}^2 dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_i} dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx \\
&= k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_1}^2 dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j}^2 dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_i} dx + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Lemma A.2. *There holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_1}^2 dx = \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\theta} \log^{2m+\theta} k}\right),$$

where $B_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{U^2(x) U^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_1}^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x + P_1) \phi_{U_{P_1}}(x + P_1) U^2(x) dx \\
&= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} V(x + P_1) \phi_{U_{P_1}}(x + P_1) U^2(x) dx + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}) \\
&= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{V(x + P_1) V(y + P_1)}{|x - y|} U^2(x) U^2(y) dx dy \\
&\quad + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}) \\
&= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{V(x + P_1) V(y + P_1)}{|x - y|} U^2(x) U^2(y) dx dy \\
&\quad + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), since $|P_1| = r$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{V(x + P_1) V(y + P_1)}{|x - y|} U^2(x) U^2(y) dx dy \\
&= \frac{1}{r^{2m}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{U^2(x) U^2(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{2m+\theta}}\right) \\
&= \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\theta} \log^{2m+\theta} k}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma A.3. For a suitable $\sigma > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_l} dx = k \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. By using Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_l} dx \leq C \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq l} \|\phi_{U_{P_i}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (U_{P_j} U_{P_l})^{\frac{6}{5}} dx \right)^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_l} dx \leq k \cdot C \|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \sum_{j \neq l} e^{-(1-\delta)|P_j - P_l|}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Let us evaluate $\|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi_{U_{P_1}}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_1}^2 dx \\ &\leq C \|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x) U_{P_1}^2)^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \\ &\leq C \|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V(x + P_1) U^2)^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \\ &\leq C \|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \left(\int_{B_{\alpha r}} (V(x + P_1) U^2)^{6/5} dx + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}) \right)^{5/6}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small.

By (2.7), we have

$$\|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C \left(\underbrace{\int_{B_{\alpha r}} (V(x + P_1) U^2)^{6/5} dx}_{(I)} \right)^{5/6} + O(e^{-\frac{5}{6}(1-\tau)r}).$$

Using again (2.7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \left[\frac{1}{|x + P_1|^m} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x + P_1|^{m+\theta}}\right) \right]^{6/5} U^{12/5} dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{1}{|x + P_1|^{\frac{6m}{5}}} U^{12/5} dx + O\left(\int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{1}{|x + P_1|^{\frac{6}{5}(m+\theta)}} U^{12/5} dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|P_1|^{\frac{6}{5}m}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} U^{12/5} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1|^{\frac{6}{5}(m+\theta)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{\frac{6}{5}m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U^{12/5} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{\frac{6}{5}(m+\theta)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\phi_{U_{P_1}}\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C_1 \frac{1}{r^m} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{m+\theta}} + e^{-\frac{5}{6}(1-\tau)r} \right) \leq C_1 \frac{1}{r^m} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{m+\theta}} \right).$$

Then from (A.4) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_l} dx \leq k \cdot C \left(\frac{C_2}{r^m} + O \left(\frac{1}{r^{m+\theta}} \right) \right) \sum_{j \neq l} e^{-(1-\delta)|P_j - P_l|}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{j \neq l} e^{-(1-\delta)|P_j - P_l|} = k \cdot \sum_{j=2}^k e^{-(1-\delta)|P_1 - P_j|} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^k e^{-(1-\delta)|P_1 - P_j|} \leq C e^{-(1-\delta)\frac{2r\pi}{k}}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

recalling that $r \in S_k$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j} U_{P_l} dx &= k \cdot O \left(\frac{1}{k^{m-1} \log^m k} \cdot k^{-(1-\delta)(2m-2\pi\beta)} \right) \\ &= k \cdot O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\sigma := \delta(2\pi\beta - 2m) + m(1 - \eta) - 1 - 2\pi\beta,$$

where $\eta > 0$ small is such that $\log k \geq k^{-\eta}$ for k large. Therefore, since $m > \frac{3}{2}$, $\sigma > 0$ if δ, β and η are sufficiently small. \square

Lemma A.4. *For a suitable $\sigma > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx = k \cdot O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right).$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx &= \underbrace{\sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_l}} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx}_{(A_1)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{l \neq t} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{l,t} U_{P_i} U_{P_j} dx}_{(A_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{l,t} \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the unique solution of $-\Delta\phi = V(x)U_{P_l}U_{P_t}$. By (A.3) it follows immediately that

$$(A_1) = k \cdot O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right).$$

Now, using Lemma 2.4, (A.5) and (A.6), since $r \in S_k$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_2) &\leq C \sum_{l \neq t} \|\phi_{l,t}\|_{D^{1,2}} \cdot \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (U_{P_i} U_{P_j})^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \\
&\leq C_1 \sum_{l \neq t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (U_{P_l} U_{P_t})^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \cdot \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (U_{P_i} U_{P_j})^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \\
&\leq \sum_{l \neq t} e^{-(1-\delta)|P_l - P_t|} \cdot \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(1-\delta)|P_i - P_j|} \\
&\leq k \cdot k \cdot e^{-(1-\delta)\frac{4r\pi}{k}} \\
&= k \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma := \delta(4\beta\pi - 4m) + 2m - 4\beta\pi - 1.$$

Hence, since $m > 1$, $\sigma > 0$ if $\delta > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are sufficiently small. \square

Lemma A.5. *For a suitable $\sigma > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j}^2 dx = k \left[\frac{C}{r^{2m}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|P_1 - P_j|} + \frac{1}{r^{2m+\sigma}} O\left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|P_1 - P_j|}\right) \right].$$

Proof. First of all, let us observe that

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_i}} U_{P_j}^2 dx = k \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_j}^2 dx. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

If $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_j}^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x + P_j) \phi_{U_{P_1}}(x + P_j) U^2(x) dx \\
&= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} V(x + P_j) \phi_{U_{P_1}}(x + P_j) U^2(x) dx + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}) \\
&= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{V(x + P_j) V(y + P_1)}{|x - y + P_j - P_1|} U^2(x) U^2(y) dx dy \\
&\quad + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}) \\
&= \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{V(x + P_j) V(y + P_1)}{|x - y + P_j - P_1|} U^2(x) U^2(y) dx dy \\
&\quad + O(e^{-(1-\tau)r}).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{|x| U^2(x) U^2(y)}{|x - y + P_j - P_1|} dx dy = O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1 - P_j|}\right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Indeed, as in [9, Lemma 3.2], since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|x| |y - P_j + P_1| U^2(x)}{|x - y + P_j - P_1|} dx \leq C,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{|x|U^2(x)U^2(y)}{|x-y+P_j-P_1|} dx dy &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U^2(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|x|U^2(x)}{|x-y+P_j-P_1|} dx \right) dy \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{U^2(y)}{|y-P_j+P_1|} dy \\ &\leq C_2 \frac{1}{|P_j-P_1|} = O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1-P_j|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$\int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{|y|U^2(x)U^2(y)}{|x-y+P_j-P_1|} dx dy = O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1-P_j|}\right), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\int_{B_{\alpha r}} \int_{B_{\alpha r}} \frac{|x||y|U^2(x)U^2(y)}{|x-y+P_j-P_1|} dx dy = O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1-P_j|}\right). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Therefore, since $|P_1| = |P_j| = r$, by (2.4) and (2.5), together with (A.8), (A.9) and (A.10), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_j}^2 dx &= \frac{1}{r^{2m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{U^2(x)U^2(y)}{|x-y+P_j-P_1|} dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r^{2m+\sigma}} O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1-P_j|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by [9], we infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{U_{P_1}} U_{P_j}^2 dx = \frac{C}{r^{2m}} \frac{1}{|P_1-P_j|} + \frac{1}{r^{2m+\sigma}} O\left(\frac{1}{|P_1-P_j|}\right),$$

and by (A.7), we get the conclusion. \square

Lemma A.6. *There holds:*

$$\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|P_1-P_i|} = \frac{k}{\pi r} \log k + o(1).$$

Proof. First of all, let us observe

$$\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|P_1-P_i|} = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\sin \frac{(i-1)\pi}{k}} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{i\pi}{k}} + \frac{1+(-1)^k}{4} \right).$$

Then it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_k \left(\frac{1}{k \log k} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{i\pi}{k}} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Since, for every $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $s \in [i, i+1]$,

$$\sin \frac{i\pi}{k} \leq \sin \frac{s\pi}{k} \leq \sin \frac{(i+1)\pi}{k},$$

then

$$\frac{1}{\sin \frac{(i+1)\pi}{k}} = \int_i^{i+1} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{(i+1)\pi}{k}} ds \leq \int_i^{i+1} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{s\pi}{k}} ds \leq \int_i^{i+1} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{i\pi}{k}} ds = \frac{1}{\sin \frac{i\pi}{k}}.$$

Hence, adding on i , we have that

$$\frac{1}{\sin \left(\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right] \frac{\pi}{k} \right)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right]} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{i\pi}{k}} - \int_1^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right]} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{s\pi}{k}} ds \leq \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{k}}$$

and so

$$\lim_k \left[\frac{1}{k \log k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right]} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{i\pi}{k}} - \int_1^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right]} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{s\pi}{k}} ds \right) \right] = 0.$$

Therefore (A.11) follows, being

$$\lim_k \left(\frac{1}{k \log k} \int_1^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right]} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{s\pi}{k}} ds \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_k \left(\frac{1}{\log k} \log \left(\frac{\tan \left(\left[\frac{k-1}{2} \right] \frac{\pi}{2k} \right)}{\tan \frac{\pi}{2k}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

□

We are now ready to prove Proposition A.1.

Proof of Proposition A.1. By (A.2) and using Lemmas A.2, A.3, A.4, A.5, A.6 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \phi_{z_r} z_r^2 dx &= k \left[\frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2}{r^{2m}} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|P_1 - P_i|} \right] \\ &\quad + k \left[\frac{1}{r^{2m+\theta}} O \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|P_1 - P_i|} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right) \right] \\ &= k \left[\frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2}{r^{2m}} \left(\frac{k \log k}{r} + o(1) \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right) \right] \\ &= k \left[\frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} + O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (A.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(z_r) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_j}^p U_{P_i} dx + k \left[\frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} + O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z_r|^{p+1} dx \\ &= \frac{k}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U^{p+1} dx + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx + k \left[\frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} + O \left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z_r|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

As done in [20, Proof of Proposition A.3], for all $r \in S_k$, one can prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z_r|^{p+1} dx = k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U^{p+1} dx + (p+1) \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right) \right).$$

At the end we find

$$\begin{aligned} I(z_r) &= k \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U^{p+1} dx + \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - B_3 \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right) \right] \\ &= k \left[C_0 + \frac{B_1}{r^{2m}} + \frac{B_2 k \log k}{r^{2m+1}} - B_3 \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U_{P_1}^p U_{P_i} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2m+\sigma}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado, D. Ruiz, *Multi-bump solitons to linearly coupled systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **30**, (2007), 85–112.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, *Perturbation Methods and Semilinear Elliptic Problems on \mathbb{R}^n* , Birkhäuser Verlag, 2005.
- [3] A. Azzollini, *Concentration and compactness in nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system*, preprint.
- [4] A. Azzollini, P. d'Avenia, A. Pomponio, *On the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations under the effect of a general nonlinear term*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **27**, (2010), 779–791.
- [5] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, *Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **345**, (2008), 90–108.
- [6] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, *An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., **11**, (1998), 283–293.
- [7] G. Cerami, G. Vaira, *Positive solutions for some non autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson systems*, J. Differential Equations, **248**, (2010), 521–543.
- [8] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, *Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **134**, (2004), 893–906.
- [9] T. D'Aprile, J. Wei, *Standing waves in the Maxwell-Schrödinger equation and an optimal configuration problem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **25**, (2006), 105–137.

- [10] P. d'Avenia, *Non-radially symmetric solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation coupled with Maxwell equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **2**, (2002), 177–192.
- [11] X. Kang, J. Wei, *On interacting bumps of semi-classical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Adv. Differential Equations, **5**, (2000), 899–928.
- [12] H. Kikuchi, *On the existence of a solution for elliptic system related to the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., **67**, (2007), 1445–1456.
- [13] H. Kikuchi, *Existence and stability of standing waves for Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater equation*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **7**, (2007), 403–437.
- [14] M. K. Kwong, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of $-\Delta u + u = u^p$ in \mathbb{R}^N* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **105**, (1989), 243–266.
- [15] I. Ianni, G. Vaira, *On Concentration of Positive Bound States for the Schrödinger-Poisson Problem with Potentials*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **8**, (2008), 573–595.
- [16] D. Ruiz, *The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term*, Journ. Func. Anal., **237**, (2006), 655–674.
- [17] D. Ruiz, G. Vaira, *Cluster solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater problem around a local minimum of the potential*, to appear on Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana.
- [18] L. Wang, J. Wei, S. Yan *A Neumann Problem with Critical Exponent in Non-convex Domains and Lin-Ni's Conjecture*, to appear on Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [19] Z. Wang, H.S. Zhou, *Positive solution for a nonlinear stationary Schrödinger-Poisson system in \mathbb{R}^3* , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **18**, (2007), 809–816.
- [20] J. Wei, S. Yan, *Infinitely many positive solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **37**, (2010), 423–439.
- [21] J. Wei, S. Yan, *Infinitely many solutions for the prescribed scalar curvature problem on \mathbb{S}^N* , Journ. Func. Anal., **258**, (2010), 3048–3081.
- [22] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, *On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **346**, (2008), 155–169.