

Gapped state in three-leg $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg tube

S. Nishimoto

IFW Dresden, Leibniz-Institut für Festkörper- und Werkstoffforschung Dresden, D-01171 Dresden, Germany

M. Arikawa

Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

(Dated: February 7, 2022)

We study the ground state of three-leg $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg tube using the density-matrix renormalization group method. The dimerization order-parameter and spin-excitation gap are calculated in a wide range of leg exchange interactions. We confirm that a gapped state with the dimerization order is realized even when the leg exchange interactions are ferromagnetic. Furthermore, the topological configuration of spin-singlet pairs in the ordered state is determined from the results of the Berry phase of each spin coupling as well as the structure factor of singlet-singlet correlation functions. We find that there exist three kinds of configurations of the valence-bond states depending on the ratio of leg and rung exchange interactions.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.30.Kz, 75.40.Gb, 75.40.Mg

Odd-leg spin ladder belongs to the same universality class as single chain; thus, the ground state is comprehended as a gapless spin-liquid (or a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid).¹ However, if the periodic boundary conditions are applied in the rung direction, i.e., a tube is shaped, the spin states are dramatically changed. It is due to the occurrence of a geometric property called *frustration*, which is today a hot topic in condensed matter physics.² In general, it would appear that the fundamental low-energy physics of any odd-leg spin tube is essentially epitomized by that of three-leg one. So far, it has been recognized that, as long as all the exchange interactions are antiferromagnetic, the three-leg spin tube can be spontaneously dimerized to avoid (or to reduce) the frustration and the spin excitations are gapped.^{3,4}

An ideal nanotubular material with odd number of legs is vanadium oxide $\text{Na}_2\text{V}_3\text{O}_7$, which may be regarded as a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ nine-leg Heisenberg spin tube system.⁵ In experiments (Ref. 6), the ^{23}Na NMR response, the dc- and ac-magnetic susceptibilities, and the specific heat reveal that above 100 K the system is considered as paramagnetic; whereas, below 100 K most of the localized V magnetic moments ($S = \frac{1}{2}$) form a collection of spin-singlet dimers with gaps $\Delta \sim 0 - 350$ K and the remaining small fraction of them forms spin-triplet bound states with gaps $\Delta \sim 0 - 15$ K; and, the degeneracy of the triplet ground states is lifted by a phase transition at 0.086 K. The mechanism of the gap opening is still open issue. Besides, this material has attracted considerable attention from a standpoint of entanglement in spin systems.^{7,8} Moreover, it is of great interest to seek a relevance to a spin-liquid state observed in a three-leg $S = \frac{3}{2}$ spin tube system CsCrF_4 .⁹

The low-energy spin Hamiltonian of $\text{Na}_2\text{V}_3\text{O}_7$ has been proposed by some theoretical groups, nevertheless, it is still controversial. Both the rung (J_\perp) and leg (J_\parallel) exchange interactions seem to be very sensitive because the estimated values are quite different, even in ferro- and antiferromagnetic characteristics, depending on the

approaches. An overview of the results are as follows. (i) The *ab initio* microscopic analysis:¹⁰ both J_\perp and J_\parallel are antiferromagnetic, but J_\parallel is frustrated and the magnitude is much smaller than J_\perp ; (ii) the first-principle calculations:¹¹ J_\perp is antiferromagnetic, while J_\parallel is ferromagnetic, and they have the same order of magnitude; and, (iii) the first-principles density functional theory:¹³ J_\parallel is ferromagnetic and J_\perp is ferro- or antiferromagnetic. Possibly, the point to be grasped next is whether the dimerization order with finite spin gap can occur when ferromagnetic exchange interactions are contained in the odd-leg spin tube.

We thus consider the ground state and low-lying excited states of three-leg $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg spin tube and provide new insights especially for the case that the ferromagnetic exchange interactions are taken into account. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = J_\parallel \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^L \vec{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha,i+1} + J_\perp \sum_{\alpha(\neq\alpha')} \sum_{i=1}^L \vec{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha',i}, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{S}_{\alpha,i}$ is a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator at rung i and leg α . We here restrict the rung interactions J_\perp to be antiferromagnetic because it is obvious that no dimerization order occurs with ferromagnetic rung interactions. The leg interactions J_\parallel are varied from ferro- to antiferromagnetic range. Although several theoretical studies have been carried out on this and similar models, only antiferromagnetic interactions are considered.¹⁴⁻²¹

In this paper, we calculate the dimerization order-parameter and the spin-excitation gap to clarify in which range of the exchange interactions the ordered state is realized. Also, the Berry phase of each coupling and the structure factor of singlet-singlet correlation functions are calculated to check topological configuration of the spin-singlet pairs in the ordered state. For those calculations, the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) technique²² is applied. We investigate tubes with several kinds of length up to $L = 312$, i.e., 312×3 cluster, under




FIG. 1: (a) Dimerization order-parameter D extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ as a function of J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . (b) Extended figure of (a) for $-3 \leq J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \leq 1$.

the open boundary conditions (OBC) in the leg direction, unless otherwise stated. The density-matrix eigenstates up to $m = 2400$ are kept in the renormalization procedure and all quantities are extrapolated to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$. In this way, the discarded weight is less than 1×10^{-7} , while the maximum error in the ground-state energy is less than $10^{-7} - 10^{-6}$.

Let us first evaluate the dimerization order-parameter in a wide range of J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} for exploring the presence or absence of long-range dimerized state. The order parameter is featured by an alternation of nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlations, $S(i) = -\langle \vec{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha,i+1} \rangle$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the ground-state expectation value. As the OBC breaks translational symmetry in our calculation, the dimerized state is observed as a Friedel oscillation. Generally, the amplitude of the Friedel oscillation at system center decays as a function of system size. In case that it persists for arbitrarily large system size, we can judge that a long-range order exists. Thus, the dimerization order-parameter is defined as

$$D = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} |S(L/2) - S(L/2 + 1)|. \quad (2)$$

Nonzero value of D indicates the presence of long-range dimerization order in our model. In Fig. 1 (a), the results of D are shown as a function of J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . We find that the dimerization order appears over the entire region of $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} (\neq 0)$. For both positive and negative J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} values, D increases rapidly at $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \lesssim 10$ and keeps almost constant at $J_{\perp} \gtrsim 10$: in the limit of $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \rightarrow \infty$ ($-\infty$), it is saturated to $D \sim 0.0673$ (0.0183). However, quite different behaviors are seen if we take a closer look at small J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} regime [see Fig. 1 (b)]. At $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} > 0$, D is discontinuously enhanced when J_{\perp} is switched on, then goes through a minimum around $J_{\perp} = 0.1$, and increases almost linearly from $J_{\perp} \approx 0.2$ to 5; while at

$J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} < 0$, D increases gradually with increasing J_{\perp} , like $D \sim \exp[-\mathcal{O}(1/J_{\perp})]$.

The dimerization order implies a complete occupation of the system with spin-singlet pairs. Which poses a question on the topological configuration of the pairs. In order to solve it, we investigate the quantized Berry phase in the ordered state. The Berry phase is defined by

$$\gamma = -i \int_0^{2\pi} A(\phi) d\phi, \quad (3)$$

where $A(\phi)$ is the Abelian Berry connection, $A(\phi) = \langle \psi_{\phi} | \partial_{\phi} | \psi_{\phi} \rangle$ with the ground state $|\psi_{\phi}\rangle$.²³ The Berry phase is quantized as 0 or π (mod 2π) if the system has spin gap during the adiabatic continuation and time reversal symmetry; and “undefined” if a gapless excitation exists. We introduce a local perturbation by a twist of the nearest-neighbor connection, $\vec{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha',j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(e^{-i\phi} S_{\alpha,i}^+ S_{\alpha',j}^- + e^{i\phi} S_{\alpha,i}^- S_{\alpha',j}^+) + S_{\alpha,i}^z S_{\alpha',j}^z$. We here pick up a dimerized pair of triangles, i.e., including six spins, and evaluate the Berry phases of the leg bond (γ_{leg}) for $\alpha = \alpha', j = i + 1$ and of the rung bond (γ_{rung}) for $\alpha \neq \alpha', j = i$. Note that the dimerized pair of triangles must include three spin-singlet pairs.

In Fig. 2, the J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} -dependence of γ_{leg} and γ_{rung} are shown and the corresponding configurations are also schematically described. We find that there are three kinds of the spin-singlet configurations along J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . Let us now see the case that J_{\parallel} is antiferromagnetic. A reconstruction of the valence bonds is seen at $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \approx 5$. This would correspond to the crossover between constant- Δ and proportional- Δ regions around $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} = 5$.²¹ For $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \gtrsim 5$, the Berry phases of leg and rung bonds are denoted by π and 0, respectively. It means that all singlet pairs are formed on the leg bond [Fig. 2(iii)]. Whereas, for $0 < J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \lesssim 5$, both γ_{leg} and γ_{rung} are denoted by 0. It may be interpreted if we assume that both the leg and rung bonds are involved to form spin-singlet pairs: one pair is formed in either one of three legs and the other four spins form a couple of pairs in the two rungs [Fig. 2(ii)]. This valence-bond state is threefold degenerate, so that the spin-singlet pairs would be not detected as *local* ones. Then, we turn to the case that J_{\parallel} is ferromagnetic. A valence-bond state is detected on the rung bond and a gapless excitation is found in the leg bond;




FIG. 2: Berry phases on the rung bond (γ_{rung}) and leg bond (γ_{leg}) along the parameter J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . Schematic pictures of the valence-bond state are also shown. Two dots linked by bold line denote a spin-singlet pair.




FIG. 3: (a) Spin gap Δ extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ as a function of J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . (b) Extended figure of (a) for $-3 \leq J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \leq 1$.

however, the spin excitations are gapped in bulk limit as shown below. It will be settled if we suppose that two spin-singlets are formed on rungs of each triangle and the other one is between two sites on different legs and triangles [Fig. 2(i)]. We call the last pair “diagonal spin-singlet” and the effective antiferromagnetic exchange interaction is denoted by J_d hereafter.

And now, of particular interest is the magnitude of spin-excitation gap especially in the ferromagnetic J_{\parallel} case. It will be clarified by calculating an energy difference between the singlet ground state and the first triplet excited state,

$$\Delta = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [E(L, 1) - E(L, 0)], \quad (4)$$

where $E(L, S_z)$ is the ground-state energy of the system of length L with the z -component of total spin S_z . We note that the system length must be taken as $L = 2l$, with $l(> 1)$ being an integer to maintain total spin of the ground state as $S = 0$. In Fig. 3, we show the results of Δ as a function of J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . We see that the gap opens except at $J_{\perp} = 0$, as anticipated from the results of dimerization order-parameter. For both $J_{\parallel} > 0$ and $J_{\parallel} < 0$, roughly speaking, Δ starts to increase proportionally to J_{\perp} and shift into almost constant for larger J_{\perp} . It means that the lowest singlet-triplet excitations for small and large $J_{\perp}/|J_{\parallel}|$ are scaled by distinct exchange interactions. When J_{\parallel} is antiferromagnetic, as suggested in our previous paper,²¹ Δ is approximately scaled by J_{\perp} (J_{\parallel}) in the small (large) J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} regime. On the other hand, Δ seems to be always scaled by J_d since the diagonal spin-singlet pair has the smallest binding energy when $J_{\parallel} < 0$. It may be interpreted as follows: in the perturbative sense, J_d is proportional to J_{\perp} ($|J_{\parallel}|$) for $J_{\perp} \ll J_{\parallel}$ ($J_{\perp} \gg J_{\parallel}$); accordingly, Δ is scaled by J_{\perp} ($|J_{\parallel}|$) in the small (large) $J_{\perp}/|J_{\parallel}|$ regime as in the case of antiferromagnetic J_{\parallel} . In fact, Δ for $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} < 0$




FIG. 4: Singlet-singlet correlation functions $\mathcal{S}(\vec{k})$ for (a) $J_{\parallel} = 1, J_{\perp} = 1$, (b) $J_{\parallel} = 1, J_{\perp} = 10$, and (c) $J_{\parallel} = -1, J_{\perp} = 10$.

is about a factor of 6 smaller than that for $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} > 0$. As a consequence, we obtain $\Delta = 0.0437$ in the limit of $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} = -\infty$; $\Delta = 0.254$ in the limit of $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} = \infty$. We note that the J_{\perp} -dependence of Δ looks similar to that of D but except when $J_{\perp}/|J_{\parallel}|$ is very small.

Lastly, in order to determine the periodicity of alignment of the valence-bond configurations, we calculate singlet-singlet correlation functions

$$\mathcal{S}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{3L} \sum_{\alpha\alpha'ij} \langle \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,i} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha',j} \rangle \exp[i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r}_{\alpha,i} - \vec{r}_{\alpha',j})] \quad (5)$$

with spin-singlet number operator

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,i} = \frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha',j}, \quad (6)$$

where $(\alpha', j) = (\alpha, i + 1)$, $(\alpha + 1, i)$, and $(\alpha + 1, i + 1)$ are chosen for the leg-leg (LL), rung-rung (RR), and diagonal-diagonal (DD) correlations, respectively. Here, the system size is fixed at $L = 24$ using the periodic boundary conditions. Thus, relations $\alpha + 3 \equiv \alpha$ and $i + 24 \equiv i$ are fulfilled.

In Fig. 4, the correlation functions $\mathcal{S}(\vec{k})$ at (a) $J_{\parallel} = 1, J_{\perp} = 1$, (b) $J_{\parallel} = 1, J_{\perp} = 10$, and (c) $J_{\parallel} = -1, J_{\perp} = 10$ for $L = 24$ are shown [the deviation from those for $L = 12$ has been confirmed to be negligibly-small]. We initially point out that large values of $\mathcal{S}(\pi, \frac{2}{3}\pi)$ in the LL and of $\mathcal{S}(0, \frac{2}{3}\pi)$ in the RR correlations jointly indicate a straight link of the state (ii) in Fig. 2, which is described as alignment (II) in Fig. 5; whereas, large value of $\mathcal{S}(\pi, 0)$ in the LL correlation suggests a link




FIG. 5: Possible configurations of spin-singlet pairs for regions (I) $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} < 0$, (II) $0 < J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \lesssim 5$, and (III) $5 \lesssim J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel}$. Two dots linked by bold line denote a spin-singlet pair.

of state (iii), which corresponds to alignment (III). For $J_{\parallel} = 1, J_{\perp} = 1$, therefore, it would appear that the alignments (II) and (III) coexist [see Fig.4(a)]; however, the alignment (III) must be less dominant considering the results of the Berry phase. As J_{\perp} increases, in the LL correlation $\mathcal{S}(\pi, \frac{2}{3}\pi)$ is rapidly diminished and $\mathcal{S}(\pi, 0)$ is rather enhanced [see Fig.4(b)]; as a result, the alignment

(III) becomes dominant for large J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} region. Then, we turn to the case of $J_{\parallel} < 0$ [see Fig.4(c)]. As expected, $\mathcal{S}(\vec{k})$ in the LL correlation is always zero indicating no spin-singlet pair in the leg bond; instead, the DD correlations are significant. The enhancements of $\mathcal{S}(\pi, \frac{2}{3}\pi)$ in the RR and $\mathcal{S}(\pi, \frac{2}{3}\pi)$ in the DD correlations are seen. It indicates a straight alignment of the state (i), as shown in Fig. 5 (I). We argue that a zigzag chain, which is denoted as a dotted line in Fig. 5, is dimerized to remove the frustration instead of being dimerized in the leg direction for antiferromagnetic J_{\parallel} .

In conclusion, we study the ground-state properties of three-leg $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg tube with antiferromagnetic rung exchange interactions. Using the DMRG method, the dimerization order-parameter, spin-excitation gap, Berry phase, and structure factor of singlet-singlet correlation functions are calculated. We confirm that the dimerization order occurs for both ferro- and antiferromagnetic leg exchange interactions, and the spin excitations are always gapped. Also, we find that there are three kinds of phases according to topological configuration of the spin-singlet pairs: (I) diagonal-singlet regime for $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} < 0$, (II) rung-singlet regime for $0 < J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \lesssim 5$, and (III) leg-singlet regime for $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} \gtrsim 5$.

This work was supported in part by the University of Tsukuba Research Initiative and KAKENHI 20029004, 20046002, 20654034 and 21740281 for M.A..

-
- ¹ E. Dagotto and T.M. Rice, Science **271**, 618 (1996); E. Dagotto, Repts. Prog. Phys. **62**, 1525 (1999).
 - ² R. Moessner and A. P. Ramirez, Physics Today, pp. 24, February 2006.
 - ³ H.J. Schulz, *Correlated Fermions and Transport in Mesoscopic Systems*, edited by T. Martin, G. Montambaux and T.Trân Thanh Vân (Editions Frontiers, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, 1996) p. 81.
 - ⁴ K. Kawano and M. Takahashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 4001 (1997).
 - ⁵ P. Millet, J.Y. Henry, F. Mila, and J. Galy, J. Solid State Chem. **147**, 676 (1999).
 - ⁶ J.L. Gavilano, E. Felder, D. Rau, H.R. Ott, P. Millet, F. Mila, T. Cichorek, and A.C. Mota, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 064431 (2005); Physica B **378-380**, 123 (2006).
 - ⁷ G. Tóth, C. Knapp, O. Guhne, and H.J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 042334 (2009)
 - ⁸ A.M.C. Souza and F.A.G. Almeida, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 052337 (2009).
 - ⁹ H. Manaka, Y. Hirai, Y. Hachigo, M. Mitsunaga, M.Ito, and N. Terada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 093701 (2009).
 - ¹⁰ T. Saha-Dasgupta, R. Valenti, F. Capraro, and C. Gros, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** 107201 (2005).
 - ¹¹ V.V. Mazurenko, F. Mila, and V.I. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 014418 (2006).
 - ¹² O. Zaharko, J.L. Gavilano, Th. Strassle, C.F. Miclea, A.C. Mota, Y. Filinchuk, D. Chernyshov, P.P. Deen, B. Rahaman, T. Saha-Dasgupta, R. Valenti, Y. Matsushita, A. Donni, and H. Kitazawa, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 214426 (2008).
 - ¹³ A. Rodríguez-Fortea, M. Llunell, P. Alemany, and E. Canadell, Inorg. Chem. **48**, 5779 (2009).
 - ¹⁴ T. Sakai, M. Matsumoto, K. Okunishi, K. Okamoto, and M. Sato, Physica E **29**, 633 (2005); T. Sakai, M. Sato, K. Okunishi, Y. Otsuka, K. Okamoto, and C. Itoi, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 184415 (2008).
 - ¹⁵ D.C. Cabra, A. Honecker, and P. Pujol, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5126 (1997); D.C. Cabra, A. Honecker, and P. Pujol, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 6241 (1998).
 - ¹⁶ R. Citro, E. Orignac, N. Andrei, C. Itoi, and S. Qin, J. Phys.:Condens. Matter **12**, 3041 (2000).
 - ¹⁷ M. Sato and T. Sakai, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 014411 (2007); M. Sato, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 174407 (2007); M. Sato, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 174407 (2007).
 - ¹⁸ A. Lüscher, R.M. Noack, G. Misguich, V.N. Kotov, and F. Mila, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 060405(R) (2004).
 - ¹⁹ K. Okunishi, S. Yoshikawa, T. Sakai, S. Miyashita, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **159**, 297 (2005).
 - ²⁰ J.-B. Fouet, A. Lauchli, S. Pilgram, R.M. Noack, and F. Mila, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 014409 (2006).
 - ²¹ S. Nishimoto and M. Arikawa, Phys. Rev. B **78** 054421 (2008).
 - ²² S.R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992); S.R. White, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 10345 (1993).
 - ²³ Y. Hatsugai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 123601 (2006).