arXiv:1003.0321v1 [astro-ph.CO] 1 Mar 2010

Distance to the galaxy IC 342[1]

N. A. Tikhonov and O. A. Galazutdinova

Special Astrophysical Observatory, Russian Academy of Sciences,N.Arkhyz, KChR, 369167, Russia

ABSTRACT

Based on archival Hubble Space Telescope images, we have performed stellar photometry for a region of the spiral galaxy IC 342 located in the Milky Way zone. On the constructed Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, we have identified the red giant branch and determined the distance modulus for the galaxy by the TRGB (tip of the red giant branch) method, $(m - M) = 27.97 \pm 0.10$, which corresponds to $D = 3.93 \pm 0.10$ Mpc. The estimated distance puts IC 342 spatially close to the galaxy Maffei 1 ($D = 4.1$ Mpc) and allows these galaxies to be considered the center of a single group.

INTRODUCTION

Among the nearest groups of galaxies, the IC 342/Maffei group still remains the most puzzling spatial structure. Despite intensive studies of individual galaxies that are probable members of this group (McCall 1989; Krismer et al. 1995; Buta & McCall 1999; Davidge & van den Bergh 2001, 2005; Saha et al. 2002; Karachentsev et al. 2003; Fingerhut et al. 2003), the question about the population of the group and the spatial positions of its individual galaxies remains unsolved. High extinction (light absorption and scattering) in gas-dust clouds of our Galaxy prevents the spatial parameters of the group from being determined, because all of the probable group members are located in the Milky Way zone.

An extinction correction based on the papers by Burstein & Heiles (1982) or Schlegel et al. (1998) yields comparatively correct results when objects far from the Milky Way plane are studied. If, however, an object is close to the equatorial plane of the Galaxy, then using these papers gives only approximate extinctions inapplicable for accurate measurements. It is this uncertainty that creates difficulties in measuring the distances, which entails uncertainties in other physical parameters of the IC 342/Maffei group (its luminosity, mass, and dynamical characteristics).

---

[1]Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained from the Data Archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. These observations are associated with proposals 10579, 10768

Since IC 342 is a very massive galaxy (fig. 1), the spatial distribution and kinematics of the dwarf galaxies and the total mass of the group can be substantially revised if IC 342 is shifted from its spatial position assumed in the calculations. In addition, IC 342 is a spiral galaxy with a population resolved into stars and more numerous and diverse distance determination methods than is possible for the second massive galaxy of this group, Maffei 1, an elliptical galaxy, can be used for it.

The distance to IC 342 has been determined repeatedly by various methods. The table lists the values obtained. We see a great spread in results from 1.5 to 8.0 Mpc, which made it difficult to compile a list of actual galaxies in the group. The value of $D = 3.28 \pm 0.28$ Mpc obtained from the photometry of 20 Cepheids (Saha et al. 2002) has been used in recent years. However, since these Cepheids are located in regions with high and variable (from region to region) extinction, one might expect the appearance of unsuspected errors in measuring the distance. Independent methods of determining the distance to IC 342 can clarify the situation. This is all the more necessary, because very large errors in the distances to galaxies determined by the method of Cepheid photometry were detected in previous papers of the authors using the Cepheid method (Hoessel et al. 1990, 1994, 1998). For comparison, the corrected distances for the same galaxies can be found in Aparicio (1994), Tolstoy et al. (2007) and Aparicio et al. (2000).

The TRGB (tip of the red giant branch) method (Lee et al. 1993) based on the photometry of red giants, whose accuracy is as high as or even exceeds that of the Cepheid method (Makarov et al. 2006; Rizzi et al. 2007), can be an independent method of determining the distance to IC 342.

STELLAR PHOTOMETRY

The Hubble Space Telescope (HST) archives contain the ACS/WFC images of IC 342 obtained as part of the programs to study X-ray sources (ID 10579 and ID 10768) on which an old stellar population can be detected. No HST ACS/WFC observations have been performed specially to determine the distance to IC 342. Since the more numerous WFPC2 images available in the archives give a photometric limit insufficient for our purposes, we used the WFPC2 images only for the photometry of bright star-forming regions in IC 342.

Unfortunately, the images with the F435W (corresponding to the Johnson B filter) and F606W (with a broader passband than that of the Jonson V filter) filters obtained at request ID 10579 or with the F555W (the Johnson V filter) and F814W (the Kron-Cousins I filter) filters obtained at request ID 10768 are also ill-suited for constructing a deep Hertzsprung-Russell (or CM) diagram on which the branch of red giants, relatively faint stars compared to young supergiants, could be revealed. Therefore, we had to compose an image with the F606W and F814W filters (fig. 2) based on the images of the two observing programs using MIDAS to superimpose the images. The intersection of the two superimposed images (fig. 1) has a sufficient area to construct a representative CM diagram, while old stars (red giants) are clearly seen on each of the two images.

The stellar photometry was performed in a standard way using DAOPHOT II in MIDAS

(Stetson 1994). The constructed CM diagram for the stars of IC 342 is presented in fig. 3. We see wide and populated young supergiant branches, a large set of intermediate-age (AGB) stars, and a red giant branch unresolvable into stars on the diagram due to the large number of points. The broadening of the young supergiant branches is related mainly to extinction fluctuations both in IC 342 itself and in gas-dust clouds of our Galaxy. On the CM diagram (fig. 3), we clearly see that the blue and red supergiant branches are shifted relative to their normal positions, because the light reddens as it passes through gas-dust clouds. This shift can be used to estimate the average extinction for the stars of IC 342.

EXTINCTION TOWARD IC 342

The main difficulty in determining the distance to IC 342 is even not a high extinction but its random variation over the body of the galaxy due to the existence of numerous gas-dust clouds both in IC 342 itself and in our Galaxy in the path of light propagation from IC 342.

The extinction fluctuation in the region of stellar photometry can be reduced in two ways: either by reducing the region being investigated or by a preliminary search for the region with a minimum extinction fluctuation. Clearly, the necessary region must be located outside the regions of intense star formation in IC 342 in which one might expect the presence of gas-dust clouds. We used both possibilities. The field found is marked in fig. 2 and the CM diagram for the stars of this field is shown in fig. 4. Despite the absence of clear star-forming regions, we nevertheless see the blue supergiant branch on the CM diagram for the stars of this field (fig. 4) whose stars are chaotically scattered over the entire field without concentrating into regions. This blue supergiant branch has a small width, suggesting that the extinction is constant in this field. The mean color index for these blue supergiants in the magnitude range $24 < I < 26$ is $(V - I) = 0.75$, while the normal color indices for unreddened supergiants can change from $(V - I) = 0.0$ to $(V - I) = -0.3$, depending on their age.

For a more accurate extinction determination, we fitted the isochrones with metallicities $Z = 0.008$ and $Z = 0.02$ into the CM diagram for the stars of the field being investigated (fig. 4) based on the tables of stellar isochrones from Bertelli et al. (1994). The isochrones that fit best into the blue supergiant branch of the CM diagram can be easily chosen by varying two parameters, the stellar age and the possible extinction (which leads to a reddening of the stars and to a reduction in their apparent brightness). To determine the metallicity of young stars, we used red supergiants whose positions on the CM diagram depend strongly on their metallicity. It emerged that the red supergiants of the field could not be described by the isochrones with Z = 0.008 for any age, but they were satisfactorily described by the isochrones with $Z = 0.02$. The parameters of the isochrones that describe best the CM diagram allowed us to determine the extinction for the stars of the field with an accuracy of $0\overset{\mathrm{m}}{.}02$ and, at the same time, to establish the ages and metallicities of the red supergiants.

The shift of the blue supergiant branch was found to be 0.82. This means that the reddening for the stars of the field under consideration is $E(V - I) = 0.82 \pm 0.02$, which

corresponds to $E(B-V) = 0.60$, and $A_I = 1.16 \pm 0.02$. The age of the youngest supergiants in the field being investigated turned out to be 20 Myr and their metallicity is $Z = 0.02$, which corresponds to the solar metallicity. This probably might be expected, because IC 342 exceeds our Galaxy in luminosity and mass and the stellar metallicity in IC 342 must be high. On the CM diagram (fig. 4), we see that older red supergiants with an age of more than 60 Myr are also present within the field.

DETERMINING THE DISTANCE TO IC 342

To determine the distance by the TRGB method, we used equations from Lee et al. (1993). Using the CM diagram for the field where the extinction was determined (fig. 4), we identified the red giants and constructed their luminosity function (fig. 5). The jump in the luminosity function and the change in its gradient point to the tip of the red giant branch at $I_{TRGB} = 25.06 \pm 0.05$. The color of the giant branch is $(V-I)_{-3.5} = 2.39$ and $(V-I)_{TRGB} = 2.6$. Using the previously determined extinction for the field under consideration, $A_I = 1.16 \pm 0.02$, we find the extinction-corrected photometric parameters of the red giant branch: $I_{TRGB} = 23.90$, $(V-I)_{-3.5} = 1.57$, and $(V-I)_{TRGB} = 1.77$. Using the paper by Lee et al. (1993), we find the metallicity for the red giants of IC 342, $[Fe/H] = -0.99$, and the distance modulus $(m-M) = 27.97 \pm 0.10$, which corresponds to $D = 3.93 \pm 0.10$ Mpc. The distance to IC 342 that we obtained disagrees, within the error limits, with the distance inferred by Saha et al. (2002) and we see no possibility to somehow smooth over this discrepancy.

STAR CLUSTERS IN IC 342

A visual examination of the HST images and using the CM diagram (fig. 3) allowed us to reveal several star clusters in the region being investigated (fig. 2), including three young globular clusters, nine compact clusters, and ten star complexes. The CM diagrams were constructed for the stars of these objects within the range from $1\farcs5$ to $5\farcs0$ of their centers. Choosing the most optimal isochrones for these diagrams from (Bertelli et al. 1994), as was done previously when determining the extinction for the field being investigated, we estimated the stellar metallicities and ages for these objects and the extinction toward them. Figure 6 presents the CM diagrams for the stars of one young globular cluster (fig. 6a) and three small star complexes (figs. 6b, 6c, 6d) that are marked in fig. 2. The extinction toward these objects varies within the range from $A_I = 1.11$ to $A_I = 1.18$ from object to object, i.e., comparatively insignificantly. The mean accuracy of determining the extinction is $0\overset{\mathrm{m}}{.}02$. This accuracy can deteriorate to 0.04 for compact objects . and reaches $0\overset{\mathrm{m}}{.}01$ for small open star complexes. The isochrones with $Z = 0.02$ and an age of 25–40 Myr fit well into the CM diagram for the young globular cluster with red supergiants (fig. 6a). A check of the isochrones with different metallicities ($Z = 0.008$ and $Z = 0.05$) showed that the positions of the stars on the CM diagram for the globular cluster could not be described by them. The stellar metallicity determinations for other clusters and complexes are consistent with

the metallicity $Z = 0.02$ obtained for the young globular cluster.

As regards the ages of the stellar objects, it should be kept in mind that the brightest stars in each compact cluster that often form a close group unresolvable into individual stars remain outside our measurements and are absent on the CM diagrams. Therefore, the star clusters can be slightly younger than is indicated on our diagrams in fig. 6. Such close groups of stars are not observed in open star complexes and, hence, the stellar ages, metallicities, and extinction are determined most accurately for small star complexes. Since the field we studied occupies about 3% of the galaxy's apparent body, one might expect a considerably larger number of star clusters of all types in IC 342.

THE DWARF GALAXY KK 35

This dwarf galaxy appeared in the list of IC 342 satellites in 1997 (Huchtmeier et al. 1997). Using HST WFPC2 images, Karachentsev et al. (2003) performed stellar photometry and determined the distance to KK 35 based on the red giants of this galaxy found, as was believed by the authors. The measured distance to KK 35 ($D = 3.16 \pm 0.32$) turned out to be close to the distance to IC 342 itself that the authors assumed to be $D = 3.28 \pm 0.26$ based on the measurements by Saha et al. (2002). An approximate equality of the distances to KK 35 and IC 342 seemed to confirm that KK 35 belonged to the system of IC 342 satellites, but even the authors of the paper (Karachentsev et al. 2003) themselves were not completely sure both of their measurements of the distance to KK 35 and of the actual belonging of KK 35 to dwarf galaxies. Nevertheless, in their subsequent publications, KK 35 remained in the lists of IC 342 satellites without any doubt about the nature of this object (Karachentsev et al. 2004).

We reprocessed the original HST images of KK 35. Figure 7 presents the CM diagram for the stars of KK 35 based on the photometry of the same HST images that were used by Karachentsev et al. (2003) in determining the distance to KK 35. Because of the method of photometry used, the limit of our CM diagram is slightly deeper than that of the diagram by Karachentsev et al. (2003), but, nevertheless, there is no red giant branch on our CM diagram (fig. 7). This means that the distance to KK 35 cannot be determined by the TRGB method based only on the WFPC2 images used by Karachentsev et al. (2003).

It is quite likely that these authors took the increase in the number of pseudostars appearing near the photometric limit of the images due to the difficulty of separating faint stars from noise fluctuations as the actual red giant branch and determined the distance on this basis. The presumed location of the red giant branch in the region of KK 35 that will be seen on deep images of future observations is marked in fig. 7.

Figure 8 presents the HI radio image of IC 342 obtained Crosthwaite et al. (2001). Whereas a strong extinction in the optical band reduces significantly the galaxy's sizes, making it periphery invisible, the entire spiral structure of the galaxy is seen in the radio band. We clearly see from fig. 8 that KK 35 is only one of several bright star-forming regions in the spiral arms of IC 342. When the apparent distribution of young stars is constructed, the HST WFPC2 images show that KK 35 is elongated precisely along the spiral arm of

IC 342 and the sizes of KK 35 (along its major axis) are beyond the limits of the HST image. Thus, there is no reason to consider KK 35 to be a separate dwarf galaxy. We believe that the object KK 35 is a fragment of the spiral arm of IC 342 with a bright star-forming region and must be excluded from the list of galaxies.

CONCLUSIONS

The distance to IC 342 that we determined (3.93 ± 0.10 Mpc) differs significantly from its value assumed thus far (3.28 ± 0.26 Mpc). This not only entails a revision of the physical parameters for IC 342 (its luminosity and mass) but also gives a completely new view on the structure of the IC 342/Maffei group, because some of the galaxies, KKH5, KKH 6, KKH 34, and others (Karachentsev et al. 2003), that have previously been assumed to be located far from IC 342 are now in the zone of its influence. The spatial location of the massive elliptical galaxy Maffei 1 is of particular importance in determining all characteristics of the IC 342/Maffei group. Whereas Maffei 1 was initially included even in the Local Group (Spinrad 1971), its distance was subsequently increased to 2 Mpc (Buta & McCall 1983) and, in the last decade, its value varies between 3 and 4.5 Mpc (Luppino & Tonry 1993; Davidge & van den Bergh 2001, 2005; Fingerhut et al. 2003). The most recent measurements based on the photometry of the globular clusters found give a distance of 4.1 Mpc (Davidge & van den Bergh 2005), which makes the two galaxies (IC 342 and Maffei 1) the unquestionable center of the group with a spatial separation between them of 0.8 Mpc. The Local Group of galaxies that has two massive central galaxies (M31 and our Galaxy) with a separation between them of approximately 0.8 Mpc has a similar structure. Naturally, less massive galaxies also belong to the IC 342/Maffei group. Although accurate distance determinations for them is a matter of future observations, the available results nevertheless indicate that the galaxies NGC 1569 (Grocholski et al. 2008), UGCA 86, and UGCA 92 belonging to the IC 342/Maffei group have a considerably larger distance modulus than was assumed by Karachentsev et al. (2003) when compiling their list of members of the IC 342/Maffei group and calculating its dynamical characteristics.

REFERENCES

Ables, H. D., Publ. U.S. Naval Observ. 20, 1 (1971).

Aparicio, A., ApJ 437, L27 (1994).

Aparicio, A., Tikhonov, N. A., & Karachentsev, I. D., AJ 119, 177 (2000).

Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., Chiosi, C. et al., A&A 106, 275 (1994).

Burstein, D., & Heiles, C., AJ 87, 116 (1982).

Buta, R.J., & McCall, M.L., MNRAS 205, 131 (1983).

Buta, R.J., & McCall, M.L., ApJS 124, 33 (1999).

Crosthwaite, L.P., Turner, J.L. , Hurt, R.L. et al., AJ 122, 797 (2001).

Davidge, T.J., & van den Bergh, S., ApJ 553, L133 (2001).

Davidge, T.J., & van den Bergh S., PASP 117, 589 (2005).

Fingerhut, R.L., McCall, M.L., De Robertis, M. et al., ApJ 587, 672 (2003).

Grocholski, A., Aloisi, A., van der Marel, R. et al., ApJ 686, L79 (2008).

Hoessel, J.G., Abbott, M. J., Saha, A. et al., AJ 100, 1151 (1990).

Hoessel, J.G., Saha, A., Krist, J., & Danielson G. E., AJ 108, 645 (1994).

Hoessel, J.G., Saha, A., & Danielson, G.E., AJ 116, 1679 (1998).

Huchtmeier, W.K., Karachentsev, I.D., & Karachentseva V. E., A&A 322, 375 (1997).

Karachentsev, I.D., Karachentseva, V.E., Huchtmeier, W.K., & Makarov, D.I., AJ 127, 2031 (2004).

Karachentsev, I.D., Sharina M. E., Dolphin A.E., & Grebel, E.K., A&A 408, 111 (2003).

Karachentsev, I.D., & Tikhonov, N.A., A&AS 100, 227 (1993).

Krismer, O.M., Tully B., & Gioia, I., AJ 110, 1584 (1995).

Lee, M.G., Freedman, W.L., & Madore, B.F., ApJ 417, 553 (1993).

Luppino, G.A. & Tonry, J.L., ApJ 410, 81 (1993).

Makarov, D., Makarova, L. , Rizzi, L. et al., AJ 132, 2729 (2006).

McCall, M.L., AJ 97, 1341 (1989).

Rizzi, L., Tully, B., Makarov, D. et al., ApJ 661, 815 (2007).

Saha, A. , Claver, J., & Hoessel, J.G., AJ 124, 839 (2002).

Sandage, A., & Tammann, G.A., ApJ 194, 559 (1974).

Schlegel, D.J., Finkbeiner, D.P., & Davis M. , ApJ 500, 525 (1998).

Spinrad, H., Sargent, W.L.W., Oke J.B. et al., ApJ 163, 25 (1971).

Stetson, P.B., PASP 106, 250 (1994).

Tolstoy, E. , Gallagher, J.S., Cole, A.A., & Richer, M.G., ApJ 655, 814 (2007).

de Vaucouleurs, G., ApJ 224, 710 (1978).

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Table 1: Distance determinations for IC 342

D, Mpc	$(m-M)$	Method	Authors
1.5	25.9	H II regions	(Ables 1971)
7.9	29.5	H II regions	(Sandage & Tammann 1974)
2.9	27.34	H II regions	(de Vaucouleurs 1978)
2.08	26.60	Brightest stars	(Karachentsev & Tikhonov 1993)
3.28	27.58	Cepheids	(Saha et al. 2002)
3.93	27.97	TRGB	This paper




Fig. 1.— DSS2 R-band image of IC 342. The two ID10579 and ID10768 image fields whose intersection was used for our stellar photometry are marked. The position of KK 35, a presumed dwarf galaxy from the list by Karachentsev et al. (2003), is marked. The size of the DSS2 image is $25' \times 25'$; the north is at the top.




Fig. 2.— F606W-filter (V) image of the IC 342 field used to search for star clusters and to determine the distance by the TRGB method. The straight line serves as the boundary of the region (the upper left corner of the field) where the red giants were selected to determine the distance (see fig. 4 below). The circles, small squares, and large squares denote globular clusters, compact clusters, and star complexes, respectively. The letters a, b, c, and d denote the objects whose CM diagrams are presented in fig. 6.




Fig. 3.— Hertzsprung-Russell diagram for the stars of the region of intersection between the two HST ACS/WFC images (see fig. 1). The positions of the main types of stars are marked: blue supergiants (BSG), red supergiants (RSG), intermediate-age stars (AGB), and red giants (RGB). The stellar isochrone with an age of 8 Myr and metallicity Z = 0.02 marks the position that the blue supergiant branch would occupy in the absence of extinction. The horizontal line marks the location of the upper RGB boundary (TRGB jump). The mean errors of our stellar photometry are shown to the right on the diagram.




Fig. 4.— Hertzsprung-Russell diagram for the stars of the field (see fig. 2) outside the regions of intense star formation. The boundary of the field is marked by the straight line in fig. 2. The TRGB boundary at I = 25.06 is marked on the diagram. The isochrones pointing to the presence of a few young high-metallicity stars among the photometered stars were constructed for ages of 20 and 65 Myr and metallicity Z = 0.02. The reddening $E(V-I) = 0.82 \pm 0.02$ for this field was determined by choosing optimal isochrones for this CM diagram.




Fig. 5.— Luminosity function for the red giants of the diagram in fig. 4. The beginning of the red giant branch (TRGB jump) is observed at $I = 25.06$, which corresponds to the distance $D = 3.93$ Mpc corrected for the reddening $E(V - I) = 0.82$ found.




Fig. 6.— Hertzsprung-Russell diagrams for the stars of a young globular cluster (a) and three small star complexes (b, c, d). Optimal isochrones from (Bertelli et al. 1994) were found for each object. The reddening for the four objects varies within the range $0.78 < E(V-I) < 0.83$. The metallicity of young stars equal to the solar metallicity (Z = 0.02) was found for the cluster (a) with a sufficient number of red supergiants. The metallicity of red supergiants in the remaining objects is consistent with this value.




Fig. 7.— Hertzsprung-Russell diagram for the stars of KK 35 based on the photometry of WFPC2 images. There are reddened stars of the blue and red supergiant branches, but there is no red giant branch beyond the photometric limit of the images used. The oblique and horizontal lines mark the presumed location of the upper part of the red giant branch (RGB) and the boundary of this branch (TRGB jump), respectively.




Fig. 8.— HI radio image of IC 342. Extended spiral arms are seen and the bright part of one of them coincides with the "galaxy" KK 35.