arXiv:1004.0034v2 [math.GT] 15 Oct 2010

THE LINKING PAIRINGS OF ORIENTABLE SEIFERT MANIFOLDS

JONATHAN A. HILLMAN

Abstract. We compute the p-primary components of the linking pairings of orientable 3-manifolds admitting a fixed-point free S^1-action. Using this, we show that any linking pairing on a finite abelian group with homogeneous 2-primary summand is realized by such a manifold. However some pairings on inhomogeneous 2-groups are not realizable by any Seifert fibred 3-manifold.

The linking pairings of oriented 3-manifolds which are Seifert fibred over non-orientable base orbifolds were computed in [4]. Here we shall consider the remaining case, when the base orbifold is also orientable. Thus the Seifert fibration is induced by a fixed-point free S^1-action on the manifold. (We shall henceforth call such a space a "Seifert manifold", for brevity.)

Every linking pairing on a finite abelian group is realized by a closed orientable 3-manifold [7]. Bryden and Deloup have used the cohomological formulation (recalled below) to show that if the group has odd order the pairing is realised by a Seifert manifold which is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology sphere [2]. We shall work directly with the geometric definition, giving a new proof of this result, and showing that there are pairings on 2-primary groups which are not realized by any orientable Seifert fibred 3-manifold at all (i.e., even if we allow non-orientable base orbifolds).

We give presentations for the localization of the torsion at a prime p in §2, which lead to explicit formulae for the localized linking pairings in §3. We then study the cases p odd and $p = 2$ separately, in §§4-5 and §§6-7, respectively. Every linking pairing on a finite abelian group whose 2-primary subgroup is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^\rho$ (for some k, ρ) is realized by some Seifert manifold. The manifold may be either an $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$-manifold, or a $\widetilde{\mathbb{SL}}$-manifold or a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology sphere. Theorem 10 gives some constraints on the 2-primary component of the pairing. Pairings satisfying these constraints and a further "gap" condition are realizable, by Theorem 11. The final section §8 summarizes briefly the earlier work of Oh on the Witt classes of such pairings [9].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M27, 57N10.

Key words and phrases. linking pairing, Seifert manifold.

1. LINKING PAIRINGS

A *linking pairing* on a finite abelian group N is a symmetric bilinear function $\ell : N \times N \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is nonsingular in the sense that $\tilde{\ell} : n \mapsto \ell(-, n)$ defines an isomorphism from N to $Hom(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. If N_1 is a subgroup of N then $N_1^{\perp} = \{n \in N \mid \ell_M(n, n_1) = 0 \ \forall n_1 \in N_1\}$. Such a pairing is *metabolic* if there is a subgroup P with $P = P^{\perp}$, *split* [7] if also P is a direct summand and *hyperbolic* if N is the direct sum of two such subgroups. If ℓ is split N is a direct double. A linking pairing ℓ is *even* if $2^{k-1}\ell(x, x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in N$ such that $2^k x = 0$, and *odd* otherwise. Hyperbolic pairings are even.

Every linking pairing splits uniquely as the orthogonal sum (over primes p) of its restrictions to the p-primary subgroups of N, and so our basic strategy is to localize at a prime. Linking pairings on finite abelian p-groups may be further decomposed as the orthogonal sum of pairings on homogeneous summands. If N is homogeneous we shall say that ℓ is homogeneous, while if $\ell = \perp_{i=1}^{t} \ell_i$, where ℓ_i is a pairing on an homogeneous group of exponent p^{k_i}, and $k_1 > \cdots > k_t > 0$ we shall say that each ℓ_i is a *component* of ℓ. When p is odd the decomposition into such components is essentially unique. In particular, every linking pairing on an abelian group of odd order is an orthogonal sum of pairings on cyclic groups. However, if the order is even we need also pairings E_0^k on $(\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^2$, for each $k \geq 1$, and E_1^k on $(\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^2$, for each $k > 1$. The pairings E_0^k are hyperbolic, while the E_1^ks are even but not hyperbolic. (See [7, 10].

Let ℓ be a linking pairing on $N \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^{\rho}$ and $L \in \mathrm{GL}(\rho, \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix with (i, j) entry $p^k\ell(e_i, e_j)$, where $e_1, \ldots, e_\rho$ is some basis for N. The *rank* of ℓ is $rk(\ell) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} N/pN = \rho$. If p is odd then a linking pairing ℓ on a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$-module N is determined up to isomorphism by $rk(\ell)$ and the image $d(\ell)$ of $\det(L)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}/(\mathbb{F}_p^{\times})^2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. (This is independent of the choice of basis for N.) If $p = 2$ and $k \geq 3$ then ℓ is determined by the image of L in $\mathrm{GL}(\rho, \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$; if moreover ℓ is even and $k \geq 2$ then ρ is even and ℓ is determined by the image of L in $\mathrm{GL}(\rho, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. (See [5, 7, 10].)

If $w = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ (where $(p, q) = 1$) let ℓ_w be the pairing on $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\ell_w(n, n') = [nn'w] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\ell_w \cong \ell_{w'}$ if and only if $a^2w' = b^2w$ for some integers a, b with $(a, q) = (b, q) = 1$. In particular, if $q = 2^k$ then $\ell_w \cong \ell_{w'}$ if and only if $2^k w' \equiv 2^k w \ mod\ (2^k, 8)$.

If M is a closed oriented 3-manifold Poincaré duality determines a linking pairing $\ell_M : T(M) \times T(M) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, which may be described as follows. Let w, z be disjoint 1-cycles representing elements of $T(M)$ and suppose that $mz = \partial C$ for some nonzero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some 2-chain

C which is transverse to w. Then $\ell_M([w],[z]) = (w \bullet C)/m \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. It follows easily from the Mayer-Vietoris theorem and duality that if M embeds in $\mathbb{R}^4$ then ℓ_M is hyperbolic. (If X and Y are the closures of the components of $\mathbb{R}^4 - M$ and T_X and T_Y are the kernels of the induced homomorphisms from $T(M)$ to $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ (respectively) then $T(M) \cong T_X \oplus T_Y$ and the restriction of ℓ_M to each of these summands is trivial [7]).

The linking pairing has a dual formulation, in terms of cohomology. Let $\beta_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} : H^1(M;\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ be the Bockstein homomorphism associated with the coefficient sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

and let $D : H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ be the Poincaré duality isomorphism. Then ℓ_M may be given by the equation

$$\ell(w,z) = (D(w) \cup \beta^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} D(z))([M]) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

The cup-product and Bockstein structure on $H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_p)$ for M a Seifert manifold have been computed in [3], and this approach was used in [2] to show that pairings on abelian groups of odd order may be realized by Seifert fibred $\mathbb{Q}$-homology spheres.

2. THE TORSION SUBGROUP

Assume now that $M = M(g;S)$ is a Seifert manifold with Seifert data $S = ((\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_r,\beta_r))$, where $r \geq 1$ and $\alpha_i > 1$ for all $i \leq r$. Let N_i be a torus neighborhood of the i^{th} exceptional fibre, and let B_o be a section of the restriction of the Seifert fibration to $M \setminus \cup int N_i$. Then B_o is homeomorphic to the surface of genus g with r open 2-discs deleted. Let ξ_i and θ_i be simple closed curves on ∂N_i, corrresponding to the ith boundary component of B_o and a regular fibre on N_i, respectively. The fibres are naturally oriented, as orbits of the S^1-action. We may assume that B_o is oriented so that regular fibres have negative intersection with B_o, and the ξ_i are oriented compatibly with $\partial B_o = \Sigma\xi_i$. Then there are 2-discs D_i in N_i such that $\partial D_i = \alpha_i\xi_i + \beta_i\theta_i$, since $\alpha_i q_i + \beta_i h = 0$ in $H_1(N_i;\mathbb{Z})$. Hence $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \oplus H$, where H has a presentation

$$\langle q_1,\ldots,q_r,h \mid \Sigma q_i = 0,\ \alpha_i q_i + \beta_i h = 0,\ \forall i \geq 1\rangle.$$

(Here q_i represents the image of ξ_i, and h represents the image of regular fibres such as θ_i.) The torsion subgroup $T(M)$ is a subgroup of H. Let $\varepsilon_S = -\Sigma\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$ be the generalized Euler invariant of the Seifert fibration.

We shall modify this presentation to obtain one with more convenient generators. Our approach involves localizing at a prime p. After

reordering the Seifert data, if necessary, we may assume that α_{i+1} divides α_i in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, for all $i \geq 1$. (Note that $v = \alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is then in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, while if $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.) Localization loses nothing, since ℓ_M is uniquely the orthogonal sum of pairings on the p-primary summands of $T(M)$. (We shall often write ℓ_M rather than $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes \ell_M$, for simplicity of notation.)

Using the relation $\Sigma q_i = 0$ to eliminate the generator q_1, we see that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes H$ has the equivalent presentation

$$\langle q_2, \dots, q_r, h \mid \alpha_1\varepsilon_S h = 0,\ \alpha_i q_i + \beta_i h = 0,\ \forall i \geq 2\rangle.$$

If $r = 1$ this group is cyclic, generated by the image of h. We shall asume henceforth that $r \geq 2$, since all pairings on cyclic groups are realizable by such Seifert manifolds. Then there are integers m, n such that $m\alpha_2 + n\beta_2 = 1$, since α_2 and β_2 are relatively prime. Let $\gamma_i = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_i}\beta_i$ and $q_i' = \gamma_2 q_i - \gamma_i q_2$, for all i. (Then $q_2' = 0$.) Let $s = -mh + nq_2$ and $t = \alpha_2 q_2 + \beta_2 h$. Then $h = -\alpha_2 s + nt$ and $q_2 = \beta_2 s + mt$. Since $t = 0$ in H this simplifies to

$$\langle q_3', \dots, q_r', s \mid \alpha_1\alpha_2\varepsilon_S s = 0,\ \alpha_i q_i' = 0,\ \forall i \geq 3\rangle.$$

In particular, $M(0; S)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology sphere (i.e., $H = T(M)$) if and only if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$.

If exactly r_p of the cone point orders α_i are divisible by p and $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then $T(M)$ has nontrivial p-torsion if and only if $r_p \geq 3$, in which case $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M)$ is the direct sum of $r_p - 2$ nontrivial cyclic submodules, while if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ and $r_p \geq 2$ then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M)$ is the direct sum of $r_p - 1$ nontrivial cyclic submodules. (Note however that if $r_p \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/\alpha_1\varepsilon_S\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and may be non-trivial.)

3. THE LINKING PAIRING

The Seifert structure gives natural 2-chains relating the 1-cycles representing the generators of H. We may choose disjoint annuli A_i in M^* with $\partial A_i = \theta_2 - \theta_i$, for $i \neq 2$. For convenience in our formulae, we shall also let $A_2 = 0$. Then

$$C_i = \beta_2 D_i - \beta_i D_2 + \beta_2\beta_i A_i$$

is a singular 2-chain with $\partial C_i = \alpha_i\beta_2\xi_i - \alpha_2\beta_i\xi_2$.

Let $\xi_i' = \gamma_2\xi_i - \gamma_i\xi_2$, for $i \geq 3$, $\sigma = -m\theta_2 + n\xi_2$ and

$$U = -\alpha_1 B_o + \alpha_1\varepsilon_S n D_2 + \Sigma\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_i}(D_i + \beta_i A_i).$$

Then ξ_i' is a singular 1-chain representing q_i' and $\partial C_i = \alpha_i\xi_i'$, for all $i \geq 3$, σ is a singular 1-chain representing s and U is a singular 2-chain with $\partial U = \alpha_1\alpha_2\varepsilon_S\sigma$.

In order to calculate intersections and self-intersections of the 1-cycles ξ_i with the 2-chains C_i and U in M, we may push each ξ_i off N_i and B_o. Then ξ_i and D_j are disjoint, for all i, j, while $\xi_2 \bullet A_i = 1$, $\xi_i \bullet A_i = -1$ and $\xi_j \bullet A_i = 0$, if $i, j \neq 2$ and $j \neq i$. Similarly, we may assume that θ_2 is disjoint from the discs D_j (for all j) and the annuli A_k (for all $k \neq 2$). We also have $\theta_2 \bullet B_o = -1$, by the orientation conventions of §2. Hence

$$\xi_i' \bullet C_i = -\beta_2\beta_i(\gamma_2 + \gamma_i),$$
$$\xi_i' \bullet C_j = -\beta_2\beta_j\gamma_i,$$

and

$$\xi_i' \bullet U = \alpha_1\varepsilon_S\gamma_i$$

for all $i, j \geq 3$ with $j \neq i$, while

$$\sigma \bullet C_i = n\beta_2\beta_i$$

for all $i \geq 3$ and

$$\sigma \bullet U = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} - n\alpha_1\varepsilon_S.$$

Then

$$\ell_M(q_i', q_i') = [-\beta_2\beta_i\frac{\alpha_i\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_i}{\alpha_i^2}] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\ell_M(q_i', q_j') = [-\beta_2\beta_i\beta_j\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_i\alpha_j}] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

If $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ then the above calculations of $\xi_i' \bullet U$ and $\sigma \bullet C_i$ each give

$$\ell_M(s, q_i') = [\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

while

$$\ell_M(s, s) = [-\frac{\alpha_1 + n\alpha_1\alpha_2\varepsilon_S}{\alpha_1\alpha_2^2\varepsilon_S}] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, the linking pairings depend only on S and not on g. (We could arrange that the denominators are powers of p, after further rescaling the basis elements. However that would tend to obscure the dependence on the Seifert data.)

Let S and S' be two systems of Seifert data, with concatenation S'', and let $M'' = M(g + g'; S'')$ be the fibre-sum of $M = M(g; S)$ and $M' = M(g'; S')$. Then $\varepsilon_{S''} = \varepsilon_S + \varepsilon_{S'}$. The next result is clear.

Lemma 1. *Let $M = M(g; S)$ and $M' = M(g'; S')$ be Seifert manifolds such that all the cone point orders of S' are relatively prime to all the cone point orders of S and $\varepsilon_{S'} = \varepsilon_S = 0$, and let $M'' = M(g + g'; S'')$. Then $\varepsilon_{S''} = 0$ and $\ell_{M''} = \ell_M \perp \ell_{M'}$.* □

Thus if every p-primary summand of a linking pairing ℓ can be realized by some $M(0;S)$ with all cone point orders powers of p and $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then ℓ can also be realized by a Seifert manifold.

If one of the hypotheses fails, it is not clear how the linking pairings of M, M' and M'' are related. In order to realize pairings by Seifert manifolds with $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ we shall need another approach.

4. THE HOMOGENEOUS CASE: p ODD

In this section we shall show that when p is odd and the p-primary component of $T(M)$ is homogeneous the structure of the p-primary component of ℓ_M may be read off the Seifert data. Our results shall later be extended to the inhomogeneous cases.

Let $u_i = p^{-k}\alpha_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq r_p$.

Lemma 2. *Let $M = M(g;S)$ be a Seifert manifold and p a prime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M)$ is homogeneous of exponent p^k if and only if either*

(1) $\varepsilon_S = 0$ and $u_i = p^{-k}\alpha_i$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r_p$; or
(2) $p^{-k}\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ and u_i are invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, for $2 \leq i \leq r_p$; or
(3) $r_p \leq 2$ and $p^{-k}\alpha_1\alpha_2\varepsilon_S$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the calculations in §2, with the folowing observations. If $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then α_1 and α_2 must have the same p-adic valuation. If $r_p > 2$ then $u_2 = p^{-k}\alpha_2$ and $v = \alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ are in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Hence if $u_2 v = p^{-k}\alpha_1\alpha_2\varepsilon_S$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ then u_2 and v are also invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. □

Note that if $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M)$ is homogeneous of exponent p^k and $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ then u_1 may be divisible by p.

Theorem 3. *Let $M = M(g;S)$ be a Seifert manifold and p an odd prime such that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M)$ is homogeneous of exponent p^k. Then*

(1) if $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then $d(\ell_M) = [(-1)^{r_p-1}\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(\Pi_{1\leq i\leq r}\beta_i)(\Pi_{3\leq j\leq r}u_j)]$;
(2) if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ then $d(\ell_M) = [(-1)^{r_p-1}(\Pi_{1\leq i\leq r_p}\beta_i)(\Pi_{2\leq j\leq r_p}u_j)v]$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\varepsilon_S = 0$. Then $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{u_1}{u_2}$ is also invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^{r_p-2}$, with basis $e_i = q'_{i+2}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r_p - 2$. We apply row operations

$$row_i \mapsto row_i - \frac{\alpha_3\beta_{i+2}}{\alpha_{i+2}\beta_3} row_1$$

for $2 \leq i \leq n$, and then

$$row_1 \mapsto row_1 - \frac{\alpha_2\beta_3}{\alpha_3\beta_2}\Sigma_{i\geq 2} row_i.$$

This gives a lower triangular matrix with diagonal

$$[-\beta_2\frac{\beta_3}{u_3}(\beta_2+\mu\Sigma\frac{\beta_i}{u_i}), -\beta_2^2\frac{\beta_4}{u_4}, \dots, -\beta_2^2\frac{\beta_{r_p-2}}{u_{r_p-2}}].$$

Therefore

$$\det(L) = (-1)^n\beta_2^{2n-1}(\beta_2+\mu\Sigma\frac{\beta_i}{u_i})\Pi\frac{\beta_i}{u_i},$$

and so

$$d(\ell_M) = [(-1)^{r_p-1}\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(\Pi_{1\le i\le r}\beta_i)(\Pi_{3\le j\le r}u_j)].$$

A similar argument applies if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$. In this case u_2 and $v = \alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ are also invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^{r_p-1}$, with basis $e_i = q'_{i+2}$, for $1 \le i \le r_p-2$, and $e_{r_p-1} = s$. We now have $n = r_p - 1$ and the final row and column of the matrix L have a slightly different form. If we perform the same row operations on the first $n-1$ rows, and then the column operation $col_1 \mapsto col_1 + \Sigma_{2\le i\le r_p-2}col_i$ we obtain a bordered matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\beta_2\frac{\beta_3}{u_3}(\beta_2+\mu\Sigma^*) & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{\beta_3}{u_3} \\ 0 & -\beta_2^2\frac{\beta_4}{u_4} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\beta_2^2\frac{\beta_{r_p}}{u_{r_p}} & 0 \\ \Sigma^* & \frac{\beta_4}{u_4} & \dots & \frac{\beta_{r_p}}{u_{r_p}} & d^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Sigma^* = \Sigma_{3\le i\le r_p}\frac{\beta_i}{u_i}$ and $d^* = -\frac{u_1+nu_2v}{u_2^2v}$. Hence

$$\det(L) = -(\beta_2\frac{\beta_3}{u_3}(\beta_2+\mu\Sigma^*)d^* + \frac{\beta_3}{u_3}\Sigma^*)(-1)^{r_p-3}\beta_2^{2(r_p-3)}\Pi_{4\le i\le r_p}\frac{\beta_i}{u_i}$$

$$= (-\beta_2d^*(\beta_2+\mu\Sigma^*)+\Sigma^*)(-1)^{r_p-2}\beta_2^{2(r_p-3)}\Pi_{3\le i\le r_p}\frac{\beta_i}{u_i}.$$

Now $(-\beta_2d^*(\beta_2+\mu\Sigma^*)+\Sigma^*) =$

$$= -(\beta_2(u_1+nu_2v)(-\beta_2+u_2\Sigma^*) - u_2^2v\Sigma^*)/u_2^2v$$

$$= (\beta_2(u_1\beta_2 + u_1u_2\Sigma^* + n\beta_2u_2v) + (n\beta_2-1)u^2v\Sigma^*)/u_2^2v$$

$$\equiv \beta_2(u_1\beta_2 + u_1u_2\Sigma^* + u_2v) \quad mod\ (p)$$

$$\equiv -\beta_1\beta_2u_2 \quad mod\ (p),$$

since $n\beta_2 \equiv 1\ mod\ (p)$ and $v = -\beta_1 - \frac{\beta_2u_1}{u_2} - u_1\Sigma^*$. Therefore

$$\det(L) \equiv (-1)^{r_p-1}\beta_2^{2(r_p-3)}(\Pi_{1\le j\le r_p}\beta_i)/(\Pi_{2\le j\le r_p}u_j)v \quad mod\ (p),$$

and so we now have

$$d(\ell_M) = [(-1)^{r_p-1}(\Pi_{1\le i\le r_p}\beta_i)(\Pi_{2\le j\le r_p}u_j)v].$$

□

When all the cone point orders have the same p-adic valuation (i.e., u_1 and u_2 are also invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$) then these formulae for $d(\ell_M)$ are invariant under permutation of the indices. For if $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then $[\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}] = [u_1u_2]$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times/(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$, while if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ then $v = u_1p^k\varepsilon_S$ (and $p^k\varepsilon_S$ is also invertible).

A linking pairing ℓ on a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$-module N is hyperbolic if and only if $\rho = rk(\ell)$ is even and $d(\ell) = [(-1)^{\frac{\rho}{2}}]$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes \ell_M$ is hyperbolic if and only if either $\varepsilon_S = 0$, $r_p = \rho + 2$ is even and $[\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(\Pi_{1\leq i\leq r_p}\beta_i)(\Pi_{3\leq j\leq r_p}u_j)] = [(-1)^{\frac{r_p}{2}-1}]$ or $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$, $r_p = \rho + 1$ is odd and $[(\Pi_{1\leq i\leq r_p}\beta_i)(\Pi_{2\leq j\leq r_p}u_j)v] = [(-1)^{\frac{r_p-1}{2}}]$.

5. realization of pairings on groups of odd order

In this section we shall show that every linking pairing on a finite group of odd order may be realized by a Seifert manifold $M(0; S)$.

Suppose first that we localize ℓ_M at a prime p. Let p^k be the exponent of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M)$, and let L be the $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$-matrix with entries $p^k\ell(q_i', q_j')$. (If $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ we need also a row and column corresponding to the generator s.) Then

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & p^{\kappa_2}B_2 & \dots & p^{\kappa_t}B_t \\ p^{\kappa_2}B_2^{tr} & p^{\kappa_2}D_2 & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p^{\kappa_t}B_t^{tr} & 0 & \dots & p^{\kappa_t}D_t \end{pmatrix},$$

where D_i is a $\rho_i \times \rho_1$ block with $\det(D_i) \not\equiv 0 \ mod\ (p)$, for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $0 < \kappa_2 < \dots < \kappa_t < k$. We may partition L more coarsely as $L = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ B^{tr} & D \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $A = D_1$ and $B = [B_2 \dots B_t]$. Let $Q = \begin{pmatrix} I & -D_1^{-1}p^{\kappa_2}B \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ and $D' = D - p^{\kappa_2}B^{tr}A^{-1}B$. Then $Q^{tr}LQ = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & p^{\kappa_2}D' \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Block-diagonalizing L in this fashion does not change the residues $mod\ (p)$ of the diagonal blocks D_i or decrease the p-divisibility of the off-diagonal blocks. We may iterate this process, and we find that ℓ_M is an orthogonal sum of pairings on homogeneous groups $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_1}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^{k-\kappa_2}\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_2}, \dots, (\mathbb{Z}/p^{k-\kappa_t}\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_t}$. If $\varepsilon_S = 0$ or if $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ then the determinantal invariants of the first summand (with the maximal exponent p^k) may be computed from the block A as in §4, while we may read off the determinantal invariants of the other summands from the corresponding diagonal elements of the original matrix L. (We shall not need to consider the possibility that p divides the numerator of ε_S in justifying our constructions below.)

With these reductions in mind, we may now contruct Seifert manifolds realizing given pairings.

Theorem 4. *Let p be an odd prime, and let ℓ be a linking pairing on a finite p-primary abelian group. Then there is a Seifert manifold $M = M(0; S)$ such that the cone point orders α_i are all powers of p, $\varepsilon_S = 0$ and $\ell_M \cong \ell$.*

Proof. The pairing ℓ is the orthogonal sum $\perp_{j=1}^{t} \ell_j$, where ℓ_j is a pairing on $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{k_j}\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_j}$, with $\rho_j > 0$ for $1 \le j \le t$ and $0 < k_j < k_{j-1}$ for $2 \le j \le t$. Let $d(\ell_j) = [w_j]$ for $1 \le j \le t$, and let $k = k_1$.

If $p \ge 5$ we let $\alpha_i = p^k$ for $1 \le i \le m_1 = \rho_1 + 2$, $\alpha_i = p^{k_2}$ for $m_1 < i \le m_2 = m_1 + \rho_2$, ..., and $\alpha_i = p^{k_t}$ for $m_{t-1} < i \le (\Sigma\rho_j) + 2$. For each $1 \le j \le t$ we let $\beta_i = 1$ for $m_j < i < m_{j+1}$ and $\beta_{m_{j+1}} = w_j$. We must then choose β_i for $1 \le i \le m_1$ so that $[\Pi_{1\le i\le m_1}\beta_i] = [w_1]$ and $\Sigma_{1\le i\le m_1}\beta_i = -\Sigma_{m_1<i\le r}p^k\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$. It is in fact sufficient to solve the equation $\Sigma_{1\le i\le m_1}\beta_i = 0$ with all $\beta_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and $[\Pi_{1\le i\le m_1}\beta_i] = [w_1]$, for subtracting $\Sigma_{m_1<i\le r}p^k\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$ from β_1 will not change its residue *mod* (p).

If m_1 is odd the equation $\Sigma\beta_i = 0$ always has solutions with all $\beta_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$. If ξ is a nonsquare in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$ setting $\beta_i' = \xi\beta_i$ for all i gives another solution, and $[\Pi\beta_i'] = [\xi][\Pi\beta_i]$. (If $p \equiv 3$ *mod* (4) we may take $\xi = -1$, which corresponds to a change of orientation of the 3-manifold.)

If $m_1 = 4t$ and $w \not\equiv 1$ *mod* (p) there is an integer x such that $x \equiv \frac{1}{2}(w-1)$ *mod* (p). The images of x and $w-1-x$ are invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\beta_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 = -w$, $\beta_3 = x$ and $\beta_4 = w-1-x$ and $\beta_{2i+1} = 1$ and $\beta_{2i+2} = -1$ for $2 \le i < 2t$. Then $\Sigma\beta_i = 0$, $\beta_4 \equiv \beta_3$ *mod* (p) and $[(-1)^{r-1}\Pi\beta_i] = [w]$.

If $m_1 = 4t+2$ and $w \not\equiv 1$ *mod* (p) let $\beta_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 = w$, $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = y$, $\beta_6 = w-1-3y$ and $\beta_{2i+1} = 1$ and $\beta_{2i+2} = -1$ for $3 \le i \le 2t$, where $y \equiv -\frac{1}{4}(1+w)$ *mod* (p). Then $\Sigma\beta_i = 0$, $\beta_6 \equiv \beta_3$ *mod* (p) and $[(-1)^{r-1}\Pi\beta_i] = [w]$.

These choices work equally well for all $p \ge 3$, if $[w] \ne 1$. If m_1 is even, $w \equiv 1$ *mod* (p) and $p > 3$ there is an integer n such that $n^2 \ne 0$ or 1 *mod* (p), and we solve as before, after replacing w by $\hat{w} = n^2 w$.

However if $p = 3$ and $[w] = 1$ we must vary our choices. If $m_1 = 4t$ with $t > 1$ let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 1$, $\beta_5 = \beta_6 = -2$ and $\beta_{2i+1} = 1$ and $\beta_{2i+2} = -1$ for $3 \le i < 2t$. If $m_1 = 4t+2$ let $\beta_{2i-1} = 1$ and $\beta_{2i} = -1$ for $1 \le i \le 2t+1$. In the remaining case (when $m_1 = 4$) we find that if $\Sigma_{1\le i\le 4}\beta_i = 0$ then $[-\Pi\beta_i] = [-1]$. In this case we must use instead $S = ((3^{k+1}, 1), (3^{k+1}, 5), (3^k, -1), (3^k, -1))$ to realize the pairing with $[w] = [1]$. □

The manifolds with Seifert data as above are $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$-manifolds, except when $\rho = 1$ and $p = 3$, in which case they are the flat manifold G_3 (with its two possible orientations). The manifold $M = M(1; (3,1), (3,1), (3,-2))$ is an $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$-manifold with $T(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and base orbifold a torus with cone points.

It follows immediately from Theorem 4 and Lemma 1 that every linking pairing on a finite abelian group of odd order is realized by some Seifert manifold $M(0; S)$ with $\varepsilon_S = 0$. All such pairings may also be realized by Seifert fibred manifolds which are $\mathbb{Q}$-homology spheres (which have $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$). However we must be carefull to ensure that the *numerator* of ε_S does not provide unexpected torsion.

Theorem 5. *Let ℓ be a linking pairing on a finite abelian group A of odd order. Then there is a Seifert manifold $M = M(0; S)$ such that $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ and $\ell_M \cong \ell$.*

Proof. Let $A = \oplus_{p \in P} A(p)$ and $\ell = \perp_{p \in P} \ell^{(p)}$ be the primary decompositions of A and ℓ. For each $p \in P$ we shall define a Seifert data set $S(p)$ as follows. Suppose that $\ell^{(p)}$ is a pairing on $\oplus_{j=1}^{t(p)} (\mathbb{Z}/p^{k_j}\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_j}$, with $\rho_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq t(p)$ and $k_j \geq k_{j+1} > 0$ for $1 \leq j < t$. Then $\ell^{(p)} = \perp \ell_{b_i/a_i}$, where the a_i are powers of p, with $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$ for all i. Let $S(p) = ((\alpha_1^{(p)}, \beta_1^{(p)}), \dots, (\alpha_{t(p)}^{(p)}, \beta_{t(p)}^{(p)}))$, where $\alpha_i^{(p)} = a_i$, $\beta_1^{(p)} = (-1)^{\rho_1 + 1} b_1$ and $\beta_i^{(p)} = b_i$, for $2 \leq i \leq \rho(p) = \Sigma_{j=1}^{t(p)} \rho_j$. Finally let $\widetilde{\alpha} = e\Pi_{p \in P} p$, where e is the exponent of A, and $\widetilde{\beta} = -1 - \widetilde{\alpha} \Sigma_{p \in P} \Sigma_{i=1}^{t(p)} \frac{\beta_i^{(p)}}{\alpha_i^{(p)}}$.

Let S be the concatenation of $\{(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})\}$ and the $S(p)$s for $p \in P$, and let $M = M(0; S)$. Then $\varepsilon_S = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\alpha}}$. For each $p \in P$ there are $\rho(p) + 1$ cone points with order divisible by p, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes T(M) \cong \oplus_{j=1}^{t(p)} (\mathbb{Z}/p^{k_j}\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_j}$. Since $\widetilde{\beta} \equiv -1 \ mod\, (\widetilde{\alpha})$, the determinantal invariant of the component of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes \ell_M$ of maximal exponent $a_1 = p^{k_1}$ is $[\Pi b_i]$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes \ell_M \cong \ell^{(p)}$, for each $p \in P$, and so $\ell_M \cong \ell$. □

The manifolds with Seifert data as above are $\widetilde{\mathbb{SL}}$-manifolds, except when $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ and so there are just two cone points, in which case they are lens spaces ($\mathbb{S}^3$-manifolds).

In the homogeneous p-primary case we may arrange that all cone points have order p^k, except when $p = 3$, $\rho = 2$ and $d(\ell) = [1]$. (This case is realized by $M(0; (3^{k+1}, 7), (3^k, -1), (3^k, -1))$.)

6. realization of homogeneous 2-primary pairings

The situation is more complicated when $p = 2$. A linking pairing ℓ on $(\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^\rho$ is determined by its rank ρ and certain invariants $\sigma_j(\ell) \in$

$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, for $\rho - 2 \le j \le \rho$. (See §3 of [7], and [5].) We shall not calculate these invariants here. Instead, we shall take advantage of the particular form of the pairings given in §3.

If a linking pairing ℓ on $(\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^\rho$ is even then ρ is also even, and either ℓ is hyperbolic (and is the orthogonal sum of $\frac{\rho}{2}$ copies of the pairing E_0^k) or it is the orthogonal direct sum of a hyperbolic pairing of rank $\rho - 2$ with the pairing E_1^k (if $k > 1$) [7, 10]. When $k = 1$ all even pairings are hyperbolic. Otherwise, ℓ is determined by the image of the matrix $L = 2^k\ell(e_i, e_j)$ in $GL(\rho, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, if $k > 1$ and $L = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & c \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with c odd and d even the pairing is hyperbolic.

We shall say that an element $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ is *even* or *odd* if p is even or odd, respectively. (Thus $\frac{p}{q}$ is odd if and only if it is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$.)

The following result complements the criterion for homogeneity given in Lemma 2.

Lemma 6. *Let $M = M(g; S)$ be a Seifert manifold and let $\ell = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$. Assume that the Seifert data are ordered so that α_{i+1} divides α_i in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$. Then*

(1) ℓ is even if and only if $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_i}$ is odd for $1 \le i \le r_2$ and either $\varepsilon_S = 0$ or $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is odd;

(2) if $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_i}$ is odd for $1 \le i \le r_2$ then $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S \equiv r_2 \ mod\ (2)$.

Proof. If $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ is even then $\beta_2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_i}\beta_i$ is even for all $3 \le i \le r_2$. Hence $\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_i}$ is odd, since the β_i are all odd. If moreover $\varepsilon_S = 0$ then $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$ is odd. If $\varepsilon_S \ne 0$ then $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} + n\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is even. Hence $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$ must again be odd, and so $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is also odd. In each case, the converse is clear.

The second assertion holds since β_i is odd for $1 \le i \le r_2$ and $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}$ is even for all $i > r_2$. □

We shall suppose for the remainder of this section that $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes T(M)$ is homogeneous of exponent $2^k > 1$.

Suppose first that $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ is even. Then it is homogeneous and of even rank $\rho = 2s$. The diagonal entries of L are all even and the off-diagonal entries are all odd. If $k = 1$ then $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ is hyperbolic, so we may assume that $k > 1$.

Theorem 7. *Let $M = M(g; S)$ be a Seifert manifold such that the even cone point orders α_i all have the same 2-adic valuation $k > 1$. Assume that either $\varepsilon_S = 0$ or $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is odd. Let t be the number of diagonal entries of L which are divisible by 4. Then whether $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ is hyperbolic or not depends only on the images of t and ρ in $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The linking pairing is even, by Lemma 6, and so ρ is even. We may reorder the basis of $T(M)$ so that $L_{ii} \equiv 0 \ mod\ (4)$, for all $i \le t$ and

$L_{ii} \equiv 2 \ mod\ (4)$ for $t < i \leq \rho$. Let $t = 4a + x$ and $\rho - t = 4b + y$, where $0 \leq x, y \leq 3$. Then $E = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is invertible. We may partition L as $L = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} E & F \\ F^{tr} & G \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where G is a $(\rho - 2) \times (\rho - 2)$ submatrix and F is a $2 \times (\rho - 2)$ submatrix. If we conjugate by $J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_2 & -E^{-1}F \\ 0 & I_{\rho-2} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to obtain $J^{tr}LJ = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & G' \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $G' = G - F^{tr}E^{-1}F$. The entries of F are all odd and so the entries of $F^{tr}E^{-1}F$ are all congruent to 2 mod (4). Therefore $G' \equiv G \ mod\ (2)$, and so the off-diagonal entries of G' are still odd, but the residues $mod\ (4)$ of the diagonal entries are changed. An application of this process to G' then restores the residue classes of the diagonal entries of the corresponding $(\rho - 4) \times (\rho - 4)$-submodule. Iterating this process, we find that $\ell_M \cong (a + b)(E_0^k \perp E_1^k) \perp \ell'$, where ℓ' has rank $x + y$ and the off-diagonal entries of the matrix for ℓ' are odd. We also find that $\ell' \cong (x + y)E_0$, unless $(x, y) = (1, 3)$ or (0,2), in which case $\ell' \cong E_0^k \perp E_1^k$ or E_1^k, respectively. Since $2E_1^k \cong 2E_0^k$ [10], and the pairing with matrix congruent to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ $mod\ (4)$ is hyperbolic, it follows that ℓ is hyperbolic if and only if either $a + b$ is even and $(x, y) \neq (1, 3)$ or (0,2), or if $a + b$ is odd and $(x, y) = (1, 3)$ or (0,2). □

It follows immediately from the calculations in §3 that

$$t = \#\{i \geq 3 \mid \frac{\alpha_2\beta_i + \alpha_i\beta_2}{2^k} \equiv 0 \ mod\ (4)\} + \delta,$$

where $\delta = 1$ if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ and $\beta_2 + \alpha_2\varepsilon_S \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$, and $\delta = 0$ otherwise.

In particular, if t and ρ are divisible by 4 then ℓ_M is hyperbolic.

Lemmas 2 and 6 also imply that if $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes T(M)$ is homogeneous of exponent 2^k then $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ is odd if and only if either

(1) $\varepsilon_S = 0$, $2^{-k}\alpha_i$ is even for $i = 1$ and 2, and is odd for $2 < i \leq r_2$; or
(2) $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ and $2^{-k}\alpha_i$ are odd for $1 < i \leq r_2$, and either $2^{-k}\alpha_1$ or r_2 is even.

Odd forms on homogeneous 2-groups can be diagonalized. In the present situation, this follows easily from the next lemma.

Lemma 8. *Let ℓ be an odd linking pairing on $N = (\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^2$. Then ℓ is diagonizable.*

Proof. Let e, f be the standard basis for N. Since ℓ is odd we may assume that $\ell(e, e) = [2^{-k}a]$, where a is odd. Let $\ell(e, f) = [2^{-k}b]$ and $\ell(f, f) = [2^{-k}d]$. (Then b is even and d is odd, or vice-versa, by nonsingularity of the pairing.) Let $f' = -a^{-1}be + f$. Then $\ell(e, f') = 0$ and $\ell(f', f') = [2^{-k}d']$, where $d' \equiv d - a^{-1}b^2 \ mod\ (2^k)$. Therefore $\ell \cong \ell_{\frac{a}{2^k}} \perp \ell_{\frac{d'}{2^k}}$. □

Note that if $b \equiv 0 \ mod\ (4)$ then $\ell_{\frac{d'}{2^k}} \cong \ell_{\frac{d}{2^k}}$.

Suppose now that $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ is odd and $\varepsilon_S = 0$. Then the diagonal entries of L are odd and the off-diagonal elements are odd multiples of $2^{-k}\alpha_1$. We may assume also that $r_2 \geq 4$, for otherwise $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes T(M)$ is cyclic. We may partition L as $L = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} E & F \\ F^{tr} & G \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $E \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$, F is a $2 \times (r_2 - 4)$ submatrix with even entries and G is a $(r_2 - 4) \times (r_2 - 4)$ submatrix. Let $J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_2 & -E^{-1}F \\ 0 & I_{r_2-4} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $\det(J) = 1$ and $J^{tr}LJ = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & G' \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $G' = G - F^{tr}E^{-1}F$. The columns of F are proportional, and the ratio u_3/u_4 is odd. Since the entries of F are odd multiples of $2^{-k}\alpha_1$ and since $E - I_2$ has even entries, $G' \equiv G \ mod\ (8)$. Iterating this process, we may replace L by a block-diagonal matrix, where the blocks are all 2×2 or 1×1, and are congruent *mod* (8) to the corresponding blocks of L. Each such 2×2 block is diagonalizable, by Lemma 8, and so we may easily represent ℓ_M as an orthogonal sum of pairings of rank 1.

If $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ then $\ell(q_i', s) = [2^{-k}\frac{\beta_i}{u_i}]$ and $\ell_M(s, s) = [2^{-k}z]$, where β_i, u_i and z are odd, and we first replace each q_i' by $\tilde{q}_i = q_i' - z^{-1}u_i^{-1}\beta_i s$. We then see that $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M \cong \ell_{2^{-k}z} \perp \tilde{\ell}$, where the matrix for $\tilde{\ell}$ has odd diagonal entries and even off-diagonal entries, and we may continue as before.

Theorem 9. *Let ℓ be a linking pairing on $(\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^\rho$. Then there is a Seifert manifold $M = M(0; S)$ such that the cone point orders α_i are all powers of 2 and $\ell_M \cong \ell$. We may have either $\varepsilon_S = 0$ or $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose first that ℓ is even. Then ρ is also even, and $\ell_M \cong (E_0^k)^{\frac{\rho}{2}}$ or $(E_0^k)^{\frac{\rho}{2}-1} \perp E_1^k$. Let $S = ((2^k, \beta_1), \ldots, (2^k, \beta_r))$ with $\beta_i = (-1)^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $\varepsilon_S = -\frac{1}{2^k}$ if r is odd and $\varepsilon_S = 0$ if r is even, and $\ell_M \cong (E_0^k)^{\frac{\rho}{2}}$.

If $\rho \equiv 2 \ mod\ (4)$ let $\beta_1 = -3$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 1$ and $\beta_i = (-1)^i$ for $4 \leq i \leq r$, where $r = \rho + 1$ or $\rho + 2$. If $\rho \equiv 0 \ mod\ (4)$ let $\beta_1 = -5$, $\beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_5 = 1$ and $\beta_i = (-1)^i$ for $6 \leq i \leq r$, where $r = \rho + 1$ or $\rho + 2$. In each case $\varepsilon_S = -\frac{1}{2^k}$ if r is odd and $\varepsilon_S = 0$ if r is even, and $\ell_M \cong (E_0^k)^{\frac{\rho}{2}-1} \perp E_1^k$.

Now suppose that ℓ is odd. Then $\ell \cong \perp_{i=1}^{\rho} \ell_{w_i}$, where $w_i = 2^{-k}b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \rho$. If $\varepsilon_S = 0$ we let $r = \rho + 2$ and $S = ((\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_r, \beta_r))$, where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 2^{k+2}$, $\alpha_i = 2^k$ for $3 \leq i \leq r$, $\beta_2 = 1$, $\beta_i = 3b_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq r$ and $\beta_1 = -1 - 4\Sigma_{i \geq 3}\beta_i$. Then $\ell_M \cong \ell$. (Here we may use the observation following Lemma 8.)

If $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ we let $r = \rho + 1$. Here we must take into account the change of basis suggested in the paragraph before the theorem. Suppose first

that some $b_i \equiv \pm 3$ *mod* (8). We may then arrange that $z = 3$ and the matrix for $\tilde{\ell}$ is congruent *mod* (4) to a diagonal matrix. After reordering the summands, and allowing for a change of orientation, we may assume that $b_1 \equiv 3$ *mod* (8). Then we let $S = ((\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_r, \beta_r))$, where $\alpha_1 = 2^{k+2}$, $\alpha_i = 2^k$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, $\beta_2 = 1$, $\beta_i = 4 - b_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq r$ and $\beta_1 = 1 - 4\Sigma_{i=2}^r \beta_i$. Then $\varepsilon_S = -\frac{1}{2^k}$ and $\ell_M \cong \ell$.

Finally, suppose that $b_i \equiv \pm 1$ *mod* (8) for all i. If $\rho = 1$ let $S = ((2^{k+1}, 1), (2^k, 1))$. If $\rho = 2$ and $b_1 \equiv -b_2 \equiv 1$ *mod* (8), let $S = ((2^{k+1}, 1), (2^k, 1), (2^k, -1)$. Otherwise we may assume that $b_1 \equiv b_2$ *mod* (8). But then $\ell_{w_1} \perp \ell_{w_2} \cong 2\ell_{w'}$, where $w' = 2^{-k}.3$ [7], and we are done. □

Corollary. *Let ℓ be a linking pairing on a finite abelian group with homogeneous 2-primary subgroup. Then there is a Seifert manifold $M = M(0; S)$ such that $\ell_M \cong \ell$. We may have either $\varepsilon_S = 0$ or $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$.*

Proof. The case with $\varepsilon_S = 0$ follows immediately from Theorems 4 and 9 and Lemma 1. If $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ we must argue as in Theorem 5. □

The manifolds constructed in this section are either $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$-manifolds (if $\varepsilon_S = 0$), or $\widetilde{\mathbb{SL}}$-manifolds (if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$), with the exceptions of the half-turn flat manifold $M(0; (2, -1), (2, 1), (2, -1), (2, 1))$ and the $\mathbb{S}^3$-manifolds $M(0; (2, 1), (2, 1), (2, \beta))$ and $M(0; (4, 1), (2, 1), (2, \beta))$.

7. THE INHOMOGENEOUS CASE: $p = 2$

The next theorem suffices to show that there are pairings which cannot be realized by orientable Seifert fibred 3-manifolds. (These are counter-examples to a conjecture raised in [2].)

Theorem 10. *Let $M = M(g; S)$ be a Seifert manifold, and let $S(2) = ((\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_t, \beta_t))$ be the subset of the Seifert data with even cone point orders α_i, in descending order of divisibility by 2. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M$ has a nontrivial even component if and only if α_1, α_2 and α_3 have the same 2-adic valuation and $\alpha_1 \varepsilon_S = 0$ or is oddn. The even component has exponent α_1, and all the other components are diagonalizable.*

Proof. The block-diagonalization process of §5 does not change the parity of the entries in the diagonal blocks D_i. The first assertion then follows easily from the calculations of §3. (In particular, note that if α_1 and α_2 have the same 2-adic valuation but α_3 properly divides α_2 in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ then $\alpha_1 \varepsilon_S$ is even, while if α_i and α_j properly divide α_2 and $i \neq j$ then $\alpha_i \ell_M(q_i', q_i')$ is odd and $\alpha_i \ell_M(q_i', q_j')$ is even.) □

In particular, if $\alpha_1\varepsilon_S$ is even but nonzero then the image of s in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes T(M)$ has order greater than α_1, and the component of highest exponent is cyclic.

For example, $\ell_{\frac{1}{4}} \perp E_0^1$ is the linking pairing of the $\mathbb{N}il^3$-manifold $M(0;(2,1),(2,1),(2,1),(2,-1))$, but is not realizable by a Seifert manifold with $\varepsilon_S = 0$. The pairing $E_0^2 \perp E_0^1$ is not realized by any Seifert manifold (as defined above, i.e., with orientable base orbifold).

Let $M = M(-k;S)$ be the orientable 3-manifold which is Seifert fibred over a *non*-orientable base orbifold with underlying surface $\#^k RP^2$, for some $k \geq 1$. If $S = ((2,1),(2,1),(2,1),(2,1))$ and $k = 2$ then $\ell_M \cong E_0^2 \perp E_0^1$. However if $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes T(M)$ has exponent divisible by 16 and a direct summand of order 2 then there are cone point orders α_1 and α_m such that α_1 is divisible by 4 and $\alpha_m = 2u_m$ with u_m odd, by Lemma 3.4 of [4]. It then follows from Theorem 3.7 of [4] that $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \otimes \ell_M \cong \ell' \perp \ell_{\frac{1}{2}}$, for some pairing ℓ'. In particular, $E_0^4 \perp E_0^1$ is not realized by any orientable Seifert fibred 3-manifold at all.

On the other hand every linking pairing ℓ on a finite abelian group is realized by some oriented 3-manifold. (In [7] the manifold is constructed by connect-summing simple pieces, but such a manifold may also be obtained by surgery on a framed link, with linking matrix determined by ℓ. See [1] or Exercise 5.3.13(g) of [6].) Since every closed orientable 3-manifold is homology cobordant to a hyperbolic 3-manifold [8], ℓ is also the linking pairing of an aspherical 3-manifold.

We expect that the conditions of Theorem 10 are the only constraint on the class of linking pairings realized by Seifert manifolds. However we have only been able to confirm this under a "gap" condition on the 2-primary torsion.

Theorem 11. *Let $\ell = \perp_{j=1}^t \ell_j$ be a linking pairing on a finite abelian 2-group, where ℓ_j is a pairing on $(\mathbb{Z}/2^{k_j}\mathbb{Z})^{\rho_j}$, with $\rho_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, $k_j \geq k_{j+1} + 2$ for $1 \leq j < t$ and $k_t > 0$. Suppose also that ℓ_j is odd for all $2 \leq j \leq t$. Then there is a Seifert manifold $M = M(0;S)$ such that the cone point orders α_i are all powers of 2 and $\ell_M \cong \ell$. We may have either $\varepsilon_S = 0$ or $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that $t > 1$, since the homogeneous case is covered by Theorem 9. Let $k = k_1$ be the exponent of $T(M)$.

In order to realize ℓ by a Seifert manifold with $\varepsilon_S = 0$, we must have two cone points of order at least 2^k, and ρ_j cone points of order 2^{k_j}, for $1 \leq j \leq t$. If we set $\beta_2 = 1$ and choose the β_i with $i > 2$ compatibly with ℓ, then we may set $\beta_1 = -2^k \Sigma_{i \geq 2} \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$.

If ℓ_1 is even then ρ_1 is even and we must have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 2^k$ also. If ℓ_1 is hyperbolic let $\beta_i = (-1)^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \rho_1 + 2$; if ℓ_1 is even but not hyperbolic and $\rho_1 \equiv 2 \ mod\ (4)$ let $\beta_3 = 1$ and $\beta_i = (-1)^i$ for $4 \leq i \leq \rho_1 + 2$; and if ℓ_1 is even but not hyperbolic and $\rho_1 \equiv 0 \ mod\ (4)$ $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 1$ and $\beta_i = (-1)^i$ for $6 \leq i \leq \rho_1 + 2$.

When $p = 2$ the block-diagonalization process of §5 does not change the parity of the entries of the blocks B_m and D_n. In our situation this process allows a more refined reduction. The block $A = D_1$ has diagonal entries $-\beta_i(1 + \beta_i)$ and off-diagonal entries $-\beta_i\beta_j$, for $3 \leq i, j \leq \rho_1 + 2$. Therefore $[B_m^{tr}A^{-1}B_n]_{pq} = -\beta_p\beta_q((\Sigma\beta_i^2)^2 + \Sigma\beta_i^3)$, which is even (for any $3 \leq p, q \leq \rho$). Hence the first step of the reduction does not change the image of the complementary blocks *mod* (4), and the change *mod* (8) depends only on ρ_1, since $-4 \equiv 4 \ mod\ (8)$. In particular, if $k_1 - k_2 \geq 2$ this step does not change the images *mod* (8) at all.

The subsequent blocks have odd diagonal elements and even off-diagonal elements. Nevertheless a similar reduction applies, and further changes depend only on the ranks of the homogeneous terms, provided that $k_j - k_{j+1} \geq 2$ for all j. It is clear that (knowing these ranks) we may then choose the numerators β_i to realize all the pairings ℓ_j.

If ℓ_1 is odd then $\ell_1 \cong \perp_{i=1}^{\rho_1} \ell_{w_i}$, where $w_i = 2^{-k}b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \rho$. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 2^{k+2}$, and $\beta_i = 3b_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq \rho_1 + 2$. Then we may continue as before.

A similar argument applies when $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$. □

8. Witt classes

Two pairings ℓ and ℓ' are *Witt equivalent* if there are metabolic pairings μ and μ' such that $\ell \perp \mu \cong \ell' \perp \mu'$. The set of Witt equivalence classes is an abelian group $W(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to orthogonal sum of pairings. The canonical decomposition into primary summands gives an isomorphism

$$W(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \oplus_{p\ prime} W(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

where $W(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ if $p \equiv 3 \ mod\ (4)$ and $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ if $p \equiv 1 \ mod\,(4)$. If a, b are relatively prime nonzero integers let $w(\frac{b}{a})$ be the Witt class of the pairing $\ell_{\frac{b}{a}}$. The summands are generated by the classes of such pairings.

In [9] bordism arguments are used to compute the Witt class of ℓ_M, for $M = M(0; S)$ a Seifert manifold. If $\varepsilon_S = 0$ the Witt class of ℓ_M is $-\Sigma w(\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i})$, while if $\varepsilon_S = \frac{p}{q}$ (in lowest form) then it is $-w(\frac{1}{pq}) - \Sigma w(\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i})$ [9]. In particular, the image of ℓ_M in $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is nontrivial if $\varepsilon_S = 0$ and r_p is odd or if $\varepsilon_S \neq 0$ and r_p is even.

Remark. The definition of Witt equivalence given here is appropriate for obtaining bordism invariants, as in [1, 9]. However the Witt groups defined in [7] use a finer equivalence relation, involving stabilization by split pairings (rather than by metabolic pairings).

References

[1] Alexander, J. P., Hamrick, G. C. and Vick, J. W. Linking forms and maps of odd prime order, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 221 (1976), 169–185.

[2] Bryden, J. and Deloup, F. A linking form conjecture for 3-manifolds, in *Advances in topological quantum field theory*, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 179, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (2004), 253–265.

[3] Bryden, J. and Zvengrowski, P. The cohomology ring of the orientable Seifert manifolds, II, Topology Appl. 127 (2003), 213–257.

[4] Crisp, J. S. and Hillman, J. A. Embedding Seifert fibred and Sol^3-manifolds in 4-space, Proc. London Math. Soc. 76 (1998), 685–710.

[5] Deloup, F. Monoide des enlacements et facteurs orthogonaux, Alg. Geom. Top. 5 (2005), 419–442.

[6] Gompf, R. and Stipsicz, A. 4-*Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999).

[7] Kawauchi, A. and Kojima, S. Algebraic classification of linking pairings on 3-manifolds, Math. Ann. 253 (1980), 29–42.

[8] Myers, R. Homology cobordisms, link concordances, and hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 271–288.

[9] Oh, H. S. Witt classes of torsion linking forms, in *Combinatorial Methods in Topology and Algebraic Geometry*, CONM 44, American Mathematical Society (1985), 179–188.

[10] Wall, C. T. C. Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics, Topology 2 (1964), 471–495.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

E-mail address: jonathan.hillman@sydney.edu.au