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#### Abstract

We study algebras encoding stable range branching rules for the pairs of complex classical groups of the same type in the context of toric degenerations of spherical varieties. By lifting affine semigroup algebras constructed from combinatorial data of branching multiplicities, we obtain algebras having highest weight vectors in multiplicity spaces as their standard monomial type bases. In particular, we identify a family of distributive lattices and their associated Hibi algebras which can uniformly describe the stable range branching algebras for all the pairs we consider.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Let us consider a pair of complex algebraic groups G and H with embedding $\mathrm{H} \subset \mathrm{G}$ and their completely reducible representations $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$. If $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is irreducible, then a description of the multiplicity of $V_{H}$ in $V_{G}$ regarded as a representation of H by restriction is called a branching rule for ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ ). By Schur's lemma, the branching multiplicity is equal to the dimension of the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}\right)$, which we will call the multiplicity space.
1.2. In this paper, we shall consider branching rules of the polynomial representations of the following pairs ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ ) of complex classical groups: $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right),\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{p}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$. Our goal is to study branching rules for $(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H})$ collectively in the context of toric degenerations of spherical varieties and to obtain an explicit description of the multiplicity space $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mu}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\lambda}\right)$ when the length $\ell(\lambda)$ of highest weight $\lambda$ for G satisfies the following stable range condition:
(1) $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$ for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$;
(2) $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right),\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2 m}, \mathrm{SO}_{2 n+1}\right),\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2 m+1}, \mathrm{SO}_{2 n+1}\right)$;
(3) $\ell(\lambda)<n$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2 m}, \mathrm{SO}_{2 n}\right),\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2 m+1}, \mathrm{SO}_{2 n}\right)$.

We shall construct an algebra whose graded components are spanned by the highest weight vectors of irreducible representations of H appearing in each irreducible representation of $G$.
1.3. To give a slightly more detailed overview, let us consider the ring $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{G}}$ of regular functions over $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{G}}$ where $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{G}}$ is a maximal unipotent subgroup of G . This ring is called the flag algebra for G , because it can be realized as the multi-homogeneous coordinate ring of the flag variety. As a G-module, the flag algebra $\mathcal{F}_{G}$ contains exactly one copy

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20G05, 05E15.
Key words and phrases. Classical groups, Branching rules, Distributive lattices, Toric deformations.
of every irreducible representation of G [LT79, [TP5], and in this context the author studied polynomial models for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{G}}$ and their flat degenerations [Ki08, Ki09].

By highest weight theory, the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}}$-invariant subspace of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\lambda}$ consists of the highest weight vectors of irreducible representations of H appearing in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\lambda}$. Therefore, the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}^{-}}$ invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{G}}$ leads us to study the branching rules for $(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H})$ collectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}}} & =\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\lambda}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{H}}}  \tag{1.3.1}\\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \sum_{\mu \in \widehat{H}} m\left(V_{H}^{\mu}, V_{G}^{\lambda}\right)\left(V_{H}^{\mu}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{H}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m\left(V_{H}^{\mu}, V_{G}^{\lambda}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $V_{H}^{\mu}$ in $V_{G}^{\lambda}$.
Moreover, we can impose a graded structure on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}}}$ so that its graded components correspond to the multiplicity spaces:

$$
m\left(V_{H}^{\mu}, V_{G}^{\lambda}\right)\left(V_{H}^{\mu}\right)^{u_{H}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(V_{H}^{\mu}, V_{G}^{\lambda}\right)
$$

for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \widehat{\mathrm{G}} \times \widehat{\mathrm{H}}$. In this sense, we may call $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}}}$ the branching algebra for ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ ). This algebra was introduced by Zelobenko. See [Ze62] and [Ze73].
1.4. Recently, Howe and his collaborators studied branching algebras for classical symmetric pairs, especially their toric degenerations and expressions of branching multiplicities in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients [HJLTW, HTW]. In the cases this paper concerns, using known combinatorics of branching rules, we can explicitly describe the multiplicity spaces and their degenerations. More specifically, we show that the stable range branching algebras are deformations of semigroup algebras of generalized semistandard tableaux or equivalently Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns, and therefore provide a precise connection between the multiplicity space and the combinatorial objects which count its dimension.

Starting from combinatorial data of stable range branching multiplicities, we shall construct an affine semigroup and its semigroup algebra graded by the pairs of highest weights for the classical groups G and H listed in $\S 1.2$. This algebra can be realized as a Hibi algebra over a distributive lattice. Then, by using toric deformation techniques, we lift the Hibi algebra to construct a polynomial model of the branching algebra for ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ ). We study its finite presentation and standard monomial type basis. It turns out that there is a particular type of distributive lattices whose Hibi algebras can uniformly describe stable range branching algebras for all the pairs ( $G, H$ ) we consider.

We remark that this Hibi algebra structure in branching problems has interesting counterparts in tensor product decomposition problems, which can be explained by reciprocity properties between branchings and tensor products in representation theory. For this direction, we refer readers to HL07, HKL, KL].
1.5. This paper is arranged as follows: In Section 2, we develop the combinatorial tools we will use. In Section 3, we study the branching algebra for ( $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathfrak{m}}, G L_{n}$ ) and its toric degeneration. In Section 4 and Section 5, we study the distributive lattices and affine
semigroups associated with the branching rules for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, and construct the corresponding stable range branching algebras.

## 2. Combinatorics of Branchings

This section is to prepare us the combinatorial ingredients we will use to construct stable range branching algebras.
2.1. The Gelfand-Tsetlin(GT) poset for $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the poset

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}}=\left\{x_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(\mathrm{i})}: 1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq m, 1 \leq \mathfrak{j} \leq \mathfrak{i}\right\}
$$

satisfying $x_{j}^{(i+1)} \geq x_{j}^{(i)} \geq x_{j+1}^{(i+1)}$ for all $i$ and $j$. The elements of $\Gamma_{m}$ can be listed in a reversed triangular array so that $x_{j}^{(i)}$ are weakly decreasing from left to right along diagonals as GT patterns are originally drawn GT50. Counting from bottom to top, we will call $x^{(r)}=\left(x_{1}^{(r)}, x_{2}^{(r)}, \cdots, x_{r}^{(r)}\right)$ the $r$-th row of $\Gamma_{m}$.

Definition 2.1.1. (1) For $m>n$, the $G T$ poset for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$ is the following subposet of $\Gamma_{m}$ :

$$
\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{n}}=\left\{x_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(\mathrm{i})} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathfrak{n} \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq \mathfrak{m}\right\}
$$

(2) In $\Gamma_{m}^{n}$, for $m \geq k$ we define the $G T$ poset of length k as

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{x_{\mathrm{j}}^{(\mathrm{i})} \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}: \mathfrak{j} \leq \mathrm{k}\right\}
$$

For example, $\Gamma_{6,4}^{3}$ can be drawn as

2.2. Next, let us consider the set $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of all nonempty subsets of $\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$. We shall write

$$
I=\left[i_{1}, \cdots, i_{a}\right]
$$

for the subset consisting of $i_{c}$ with $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{a} \leq m$. The length $|I|=a$ of $I$ is the number of elements in I.

The following partial order $\preceq$, called the tableau order, can be imposed on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ : for two elements $I$ and $J$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$, we say $\mathrm{I} \preceq J$, if $|I| \geq|J|$ and the $c$-th smallest element in I is less than or equal to the c-th smallest element in J for $1 \leq \mathrm{c} \leq|\mathrm{J}|$. Then, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ with $\preceq$ forms a distributive lattice whose meet $\wedge$ and join $V$ are, for $I=\left[i_{1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{i}_{a}\right]$ and $J=\left[j_{1}, \cdots, j_{b}\right]$ with $a \leq b$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I \wedge J & =\left[\min \left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \cdots, \min \left(i_{a}, \mathfrak{j}_{a}\right), \mathfrak{i}_{a+1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{i}_{b}\right] \\
I \vee J & =\left[\max \left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \cdots, \max \left(i_{a}, j_{a}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to check the following subposets are also distributive lattices.

Definition 2.2.1. (1) For $m>n$, the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n}$ for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is the subposet of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ consisting of the following elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[1,2, \cdots, r-1, r, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{s}\right]} \\
& {[1,2, \cdots, r-1, r]} \\
& {\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{s}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r \leq n$ and $n+1 \leq a_{1}<\cdots<a_{s} \leq m$.
(2) For $\mathrm{k} \leq m$, we let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ denote the subposet of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}$ consisting of elements of length not greater than k :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathfrak{n}}:|\mathrm{I}| \leq \mathrm{k}\right\} .
$$

2.3. The poset structure of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ can be read from the GT poset $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ of length $k$. For this, let us impose a partial order on the set of order increasing subsets of $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$ as follows. For two order increasing subsets $A$ and $B$ of $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$, we say $A$ is bigger than $B$, if $A \subseteq B$ as sets. Note that here we use the reverse inclusion order on sets, because we use order increasing sets instead of order decreasing sets.

Proposition 2.3.1. There is an order isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}, k}^{n}$ and the set of order increasing subsets of $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$.

This is an easy computation similar to [Ki08, Theorem 3.8]. For each $I \in \mathcal{L}_{m, k}^{n}$, we define the corresponding order increasing subset $A_{I}$ of $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{I}=\bigcup_{n \leq i \leq m}\left\{x_{1}^{(i)}, x_{2}^{(i)} \cdots, x_{s_{i}}^{(i)}\right\} \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}$ is the number of entries in I less than or equal to $i$. For example, the subset of $\Gamma_{6,4}^{3}$ given in (2.1.1) corresponding to $I=[1,4,6] \in \mathcal{L}_{6,4}^{3}$ is


Then, it is straightforward to check that this correspondence gives an order isomorphism. In fact, this Proposition gives an example of Birkhoff's representation theorem or the fundamental theorem for finite distributive lattices [Sta97, Theorem 3.4.1]. See [Ki08, §3.3] for further details.

For $k \leq n$ and $d \geq 0$, we can identify $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$ with $\Gamma_{m+d, k}^{n+d}$ by shifting the i-th row $x^{(i)}$ up to the $(\mathfrak{i}+\mathrm{d})$-th row $\chi^{(i+d)}$ for $n \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq m$, and then the above Proposition gives

Corollary 2.3.2. For $\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{d} \geq 0$, there is an order isomorphism between distributive lattices

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{d}}
$$

2.4. A shape or Young diagram is a left-justified array of boxes with weakly decreasing row lengths. We identify a shape with its sequence of row lengths $D=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots\right)$. The following example shows the shape $\mathrm{D}=(4,2,1)$ :


If $l$ is maximal with $r_{l} \neq 0$, then we call $l$ the length of $D$ and write $\ell(D)=l$. If we flip a shape D over its main diagonal that slants down from upper left to lower right, then we obtain its conjugate $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{t}}$. With the previous example, we have $\ell(\mathrm{D})=3$ and $D^{t}=(4,2,1)^{t}=(3,2,1,1)$. For $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots\right)$ and $D=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots\right)$, if $f_{r} \geq d_{r}$ for all $r$, then we write $F \supseteq D$ and let $F / D$ denote the skew shape having $F$ as its outer shape and D as its inner shape.
2.5. Consider a multiset $\left\{\mathrm{I}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ with $\left|\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|=l_{\mathrm{c}}$ for each c . A concatenation t of its elements is called a tableau, if they are arranged so that $l_{c} \geq l_{c+1}$ for all $c$. The shape $\operatorname{sh}(\mathrm{t})$ of t is the Young diagram $\left(l_{1}, \cdots, l_{s}\right)^{t}$ and the length $\ell(\mathrm{t})$ of t is the length of its shape. If $\left\{I_{1}, \cdots, I_{s}\right\}$ is taken from the subposet $\mathcal{L}_{m}^{n}$, then we shall specify the outer and inner shapes of $t$.

Definition 2.5.1. A standard tableau t for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ is a multiple chain

$$
\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
$$

in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}$. The shape $\operatorname{sh}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})$ of t is $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ where

$$
\mathrm{F}=\left(\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}\right|\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \text { and } \mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

and $d_{r}$ is the number of $r$ 's in $t$ for $1 \leq r \leq n$.
For example, the multiple chain $[1,2,3,6] \preceq[1,2,5,6] \preceq[1,2,6] \preceq[1,4] \preceq[5] \preceq[5]$ in $\mathcal{L}_{6,4}^{3}$ forms a standard tableau for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{6}, \mathrm{GL}_{3}\right)$ of shape $(6,4,3,2) /(4,3,1)$ :

which can be, after erasing $r \leq 3$, identified with the following skew semistandard tableau

|  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |

2.6. The following set of pairs of Young diagrams will be used frequently: for $a \geq b$,

$$
\Lambda_{a, b}=\{(F, D): \ell(F) \leq a, \ell(D) \leq b, F \supseteq D\} .
$$

We note that if $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{a, b}$, then $\ell(D) \leq \min (\ell(F), b)$. This is because $F \supseteq D$ implies $\ell(F) \geq \ell(D)$.
2.7. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n}(F, D)$ denote the set of all standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ whose shapes are $F / D$. For each $k$ with $n \leq k \leq m$, we consider the following disjoint union over $\Lambda_{k, n}$

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{m}, k}^{n}=\bigcup_{(F, D) \in \Lambda_{k, n}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n}(F, D)
$$

As illustrated by the example in $\S 2.5$, if we identify the elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}$ with singlecolumn tableaux, then our definition of standard tableaux for ( $G L_{m}, G L_{n}$ ) of shape $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ agrees with the usual definition of skew semistandard Young tableaux of shape F/D with entries from $\{n+1, \cdots, m\}$.

By setting tableaux in the context of a finite distributive lattice (Definition 2.5.1), we can exploit an additional structure: Proposition 2.3.1 leads us to study $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ in terms of the order increasing subsets of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$, and the order increasing subsets of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ give rise to the order preserving maps from $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}, k}^{n}$ to $\{0,1\}$. More generally,

Definition 2.7.1. A GT pattern for ( $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ ) is an order preserving map from the $G T$ poset $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ for $\left(G \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}, G \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ to the set of non-negative integers:

$$
\mathrm{p}: \Gamma_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
$$

The r -th row of p is $\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right), \cdots, \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{r}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)\right)$ for $\mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{m}$. The type of p is $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ where F and D are its m -th row and the n -th row respectively.

Note that if $\ell(F) \leq k$, then the support of every GT pattern $p$ of type $F / D$ lies in the GT poset $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of length k . Therefore, we have GT patterns defined on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
\mathrm{p}: \Gamma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ denote the set of all GT patterns for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$ whose type is $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$. Then for each $k$ with $n \leq k \leq m$, we consider the following disjoint union over $\Lambda_{k, n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathfrak{n}}=\bigcup_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \in \Lambda_{k, n}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \tag{2.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.8. Since the sum of two order preserving maps is an order preserving map, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a semigroup with function addition as its multiplication, or more precisely a monoid with the zero function as its identity. We further note that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is generated by the order preserving maps from $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$ to $\{0,1\}$. Then, by identifying each GT pattern $p$ with $\left(p\left(x_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ where $N$ is the number of elements in $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$, we see that $\mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}$ can be understood as an affine semigroup, i.e., a finitely generated semigroup which is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ containing 0 for some N [BH93].

This semigroup structure on GT patterns provides a simple bijection between $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Proposition 2.8.1. For each $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{m, n}$, there is a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n}(F, D)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$.

Proof. The bijection in Proposition 2.3.1 provides the bijection between $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}$ and the set of characteristic functions on order increasing subsets of $\Gamma_{m}^{n}$. This bijection can be extended to multiple chains in $\mathcal{L}_{m}^{n}$ as follows. Let $\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ be a multiple chain
in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}}$ be the characteristic function on the order increasing set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}}$ corresponding to $I_{r}$ given in (2.3.1) for each $r$. Then we can consider the following correspondence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mapsto \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}} . \tag{2.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the order preserving characteristic functions over $\Gamma_{m}^{n}$ generate $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n}$, this correspondence gives a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n}(F, D)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(F, D)$. For further details, see How05, Ki08.
2.9. We remark that by identifying GT patterns $p$ with their images $\left(p\left(x_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)$, our definition is equivalent to the usual definition of GT patterns. The correspondence between the set of semistandard tableaux and the set of GT patterns given in the above proposition is the same as the known bijection or conversion procedure (e.g., GW09, §8.1.2]), which is usually explained by successive applications of the Pieri's rules.

For example, a pattern $\mathrm{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{6,4}^{3}$ can be visualized by listing its value at $x_{j}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{6,4}^{3}$


Then it is the sum of the GT patterns

corresponding to the elements $[1,2,3,6] \preceq[1,2,5] \preceq[4,5,6]$ of $\mathcal{L}_{6,4}^{3}$. This multiple chain can be identified with the following standard tableau in $\mathcal{T}_{6,4}^{3}$

| 1 | 1 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |

of shape $(3,3,3,1) /(2,2,1)$. Note that to (2.9.2), we can apply the usual conversion procedure (e.g., GW09, §8.1.2]) to obtain its corresponding pattern-by successively striking out the boxes with 6,5 , and 4 in the tableau (2.9.2), we obtain each row of the pattern (2.9.1).
2.10. Now we study an algebra attached to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in terms of the set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of standard tableaux and the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ of GT patterns.

Definition 2.10.1 ([Hi87]). The Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{L})$ over a finite distributive lattice L is the quotient ring of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \mathrm{L}\right]$ by the ideal generated by
$z_{\alpha} z_{\beta}-z_{\alpha \wedge \beta} z_{\alpha \vee \beta}$ for all incomparable pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$ of $L$ :

$$
\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{L})=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \mathrm{L}\right] /\left\langle z_{\alpha} z_{\beta}-z_{\alpha \wedge \beta} z_{\alpha \vee \beta}\right\rangle
$$

Let us consider the Hibi algebra over $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

We shall identify the monomials $\prod_{\mathrm{r}} z_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ with the tableaux consisting of elements $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$. For example, the above tableau (2.9.2) will be used to denote the monomial

$$
z_{[1236]} z_{[125]} z_{[456]} \in \mathcal{H}_{6,4}^{3}
$$

Recall that standard tableaux are multiple chains in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}, k}^{n}$ (Definition 2.5.1). Then the following is from a general property of Hibi algebras [Hi87, How05].

Lemma 2.10.2. (1) The set $\mathcal{T}_{m, k}^{n}$ of all standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ whose shapes are $F / D$ with $\ell(F) \leq k$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{m, k}^{n}$.
(2) In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is graded by $\Lambda_{k, n}$, and the set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ of standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ of shape $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for the $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$-graded component of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

It is shown in [Ki08, Corollary 3.14] that the Hibi algebra over $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup algebra of GT patterns defined on $\Gamma_{m}$. This fact combined with the above Lemma leads us to study the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ over $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ in terms of the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}\right]$ of the affine semigroup $\mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}$ given in (2.7.1).

Note that for $p_{1}$ and $p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}$ of types $F_{1} / D_{1}$ and $F_{2} / D_{2}$ respectively, the type of $\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)$ is $\left(F_{1}+F_{2}\right) /\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)$, and therefore $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}\right]$ is graded by pairs of shapes

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]=\bigoplus_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \in \Lambda_{k, n}} \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\right]_{(F, D)}$ is the space spanned by $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(F, D)$.
Proposition 2.10.3. (1) The Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{m, k}^{n}$ over $\mathcal{L}_{m, k}^{n}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}\right]$ of the $G T$ patterns for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$.
(2) The set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ of $G T$ patterns for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of type $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for the (F, D)-graded component $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\right]_{(F, D)}$.
Proof. For the first statement, by Proposition 2.3.1, there is a bijection between the set of elements $x_{I} \in \mathcal{H}_{m, k}^{n}$ for $I \in \mathcal{L}_{m, k}^{n}$ and characteristic functions over order increasing subsets of $\Gamma_{m, k}^{n}$. This gives an algebra isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_{m, k}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{m, k}^{n}\right]$ via (2.8.1). See [How05, Ki08] for further details. The second statement follows from Proposition 2.8.1] and the above Lemma.
3. Branching Algebras for ( $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, G \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )

In this section, our goal is to construct an algebra encoding branching rules for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$ and study its toric degeneration. For later use, we will construct a family of algebras parametrized by the length $k$ of highest weights for $G L_{m}$.
3.1. Recall that the set of Young diagrams $F$ with $\ell(F) \leq m$ can be used as a labeling system of irreducible polynomial representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{m}$ by identifying dominant weights $\left(f_{1} \geq \cdots \geq f_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ of $G L_{m}$ with Young diagrams (cf. [GW09, §3.1.4]). We let $\rho_{m}^{F}$ denote the irreducible polynomial representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ labeled by Young diagram F .

Then the branching algebra for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$ will be graded by the set $\Lambda_{m, n}$ defined in 82.6 and its graded components will correspond to the multiplicity spaces $\operatorname{Hom}_{G L_{n}}\left(\rho_{n}^{D}, \rho_{m}^{F}\right)$ for $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{m, n}$.
3.2. For Young diagrams $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots\right)$ and $D=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots\right)$, we write

$$
\mathrm{F} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{D}
$$

if $f_{r} \geq d_{r} \geq f_{r+1}$ for all $r$, and say $F$ interlaces $D$.
Proposition 3.2.1. (1) For Young diagrams F and D with $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq m$ and $\ell(\mathrm{D}) \leq$ $\mathrm{m}-1$, the multiplicity of $\rho_{\mathrm{m}-1}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is 1 if $\mathrm{F} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{D}$, and 0 otherwise.
(2) The number of $G T$ patterns in $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(F, D)$ is equal to the multiplicity $m\left(\rho_{n}^{D}, \rho_{m}^{F}\right)$ of $\rho_{n}^{D}$ in $\rho_{m}^{F}$.

Proof. The first statement is known as the Pieri's rule (see, e.g., [GW09, §8.1.1]). The second statement can be obtained by applying the Pieri's rule for ( $G L_{k+1}, G L_{k}$ ) successively for $n \leq k \leq m-1$. The multiplicity of $\rho_{n}^{D}$ in $\rho_{m}^{F}$ is equal to the number of the set $\left\{\left(E_{m-1}, E_{m-2}, \cdots, E_{n+1}\right)\right\}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{F} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}-1} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}-2} \sqsupseteq \cdots \sqsupseteq \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{D} .
$$

Note that by setting $F=E_{m}$ and $D=E_{n}, E_{i}$ represents the $i$-th row of a GT pattern in $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(F, D)$ for $n \leq i \leq m$.

From Proposition 3.2.1 and Proposition 2.8.1, we have
Corollary 3.2.2. For $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{m, n}$, the branching multiplicity $m\left(\rho_{n}^{D}, \rho_{m}^{F}\right)$ is equal to the number of standard tableaux for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$ whose shapes are $F / D$.
3.3. To construct a family of branching algebras for ( $G L_{m}, G L_{n}$ ) parameterized by the length $k$, let us review a polynomial model for the flag algebra. We assume $m \geq k$ and let $G L_{m} \times G L_{k}$ be acting on the space $M_{m, k} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k}$ of $m \times k$ complex matrices by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \cdot Q=\left(g_{1}^{t}\right)^{-1} Q g_{2}^{-1} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g_{1} \in G L_{m}, g_{2} \in G L_{k}$, and $Q \in M_{m, k}$. Then under the $G L_{m} \times G L_{k}$ action, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]$ of $M_{m, k}$ has the following decomposition:

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]=\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{k}} \rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{F}} \otimes \rho_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{F}}
$$

where the summation is over $F$ with length not more than $k$. This result is known as $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{k}}$ duality (e.g., GW09, How95]). If $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k}$ consisting of upper triangular matrices with 1 's on the diagonal, then by taking $U_{k} \cong 1 \times U_{k}$ invariants, we have

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{k}} \rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{F}} \otimes\left(\rho_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}}
$$

3.4. This representation decomposition turns out to be compatible with the multiplicative structure of the algebra. Since the diagonal subgroup $A_{k}$ of $G L_{k}$ normalizes $U_{k}$, $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ is stable under the action of $A_{k}$. Note that by highest weight theory (e.g., [GW09, $\S 3.2 .1$ and $\S 12.1 .3]$ ), $\left(\rho_{k}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ is the one dimensional space spanned by a highest weight vector of $\rho_{k}^{\mathrm{F}}$, and $A_{k}$ acts on $\left(\rho_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{U}_{k}}$ by the character

$$
\phi_{F}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}\right)\right)=a_{1}^{f_{1}} \cdots a_{k}^{f_{k}}
$$

given by Young diagram $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{k}\right)$. Thus, $\rho_{m}^{F} \simeq \rho_{m}^{F} \otimes\left(\rho_{k}^{F}\right)^{u_{k}}$ is the space of $A_{k}$-eigenvectors of weight $\phi_{F}$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]^{U_{k}}$ and the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]^{U_{k}}$ is graded by the semigroup $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}$of dominant polynomial weights for $G \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}$, or equivalently the subsemigroup $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \subset \hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$of dominant weights for $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]\right]_{k} & =\sum_{\ell(F) \leq k} \rho_{k}^{F}  \tag{3.4.1}\\
\rho_{m}^{F_{1}} \cdot \rho_{m}^{F_{2}} & \subseteq \rho_{m}^{F_{1}+F_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we identify $\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k}$ with $\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$.
3.5. A finite presentation of $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]^{U_{k}}$ in terms of generators and relations is well known-all the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}$-invariant minors on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ form a generating set and they satisfy the Plücker relations. To explain more details, let us consider a subposet $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}=\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{1}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ consisting of elements $I=\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right]$ such that $|I| \leq k$ (cf. Definition (2.2.1).

For each $Q \in M_{m, k}$, we let $\delta_{I}(Q)$ denote the determinant of the submatrix of $Q=\left(t_{a, b}\right)$ obtained by taking the $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}$-th rows and the $1,2, \cdots, r$-th columns:

$$
\delta_{I}(Q)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
t_{i_{1} 1} & t_{i_{1} 2} & \cdots & t_{i_{1}}  \tag{3.5.1}\\
t_{i_{2} 1} & t_{i_{2} 2} & \cdots & t_{i_{2} r} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
t_{i_{r} 1} & t_{i_{r} 2} & \cdots & t_{i_{r} r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Definition 3.5.1. A product $\delta_{\mathrm{I}_{1}} \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{2}} \cdots \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{r}}$ is called a standard monomial (or $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ standard monomial), if its indexes form a multiple chain $\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \mathrm{I}_{2} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ and write

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}=\delta_{\mathrm{I}_{1}} \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{2}} \cdots \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}} .
$$

Then we define the shape of a standard monomial $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ to be the shape of t , i.e., $\left(\left|I_{1}\right|,\left|I_{2}\right|, \cdots,\left|I_{r}\right|\right)^{t}$.

Proposition 3.5.2 ([GL01, pp.233,236]). (1) For $I, J \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}$, the product $\delta_{I} \delta_{J} \in$ $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ can be uniquely expressed as a linear combination of standard monomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{I} \delta_{J}=\sum_{r} c_{r} \delta_{S_{r}} \delta_{T_{r}} \tag{3.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for each r with $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{r}} \neq 0, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{r}} \preceq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \dot{\cup} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{I} \dot{\mathrm{U}}$ as sets.
(2) On the right hand side, $\delta_{I \wedge J} \delta_{I V J}$ appears with coefficient 1 , and $S_{r} \preceq I \wedge J$ and $\mathrm{I} \vee \mathrm{J} \preceq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}$ for all r with $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{r}} \neq 0$. Moreover, for each $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \neq(\mathrm{I} \wedge \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{I} \vee \mathrm{J})$, let $h$ be the smallest integer such that the sum $s$ of the $h$-th entries of $S_{r}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is different from the sum $\mathrm{s}_{0}$ of the h -th entries of I and J . Then $\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{s}_{0}$.
By applying the straightening relations (3.5.2), we can find a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{k}}$. The following is well known. See, for example, [BH93, DEP82, GL01, Hod43]. For this particular form, see [Ki08, Theorem 4.5, Remark 4.6].

Proposition 3.5.3. Standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ associated with multiple chains t in $\mathcal{L}_{m, k}$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{m}, k}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}}$. More precisely, standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ with $\operatorname{sh}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{F}$ form a weight basis for the $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ irreducible representation $\left.\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{F}} \subset \mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]\right]_{\mathrm{k}}$ with highest weight F .

We specify the following properties of the standard monomial expression of $\delta_{I} \delta_{J}$ for $I, J \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of length not more than $k$, which can be easily derived from the above Proposition.

Corollary 3.5.4. Let I and J be incomparable elements in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with $|\mathrm{II}| \geq|\mathrm{J}|$. Consider the standard monomial expression of the product $\delta_{\mathrm{I}} \delta_{\mathrm{J}}$ given in (3.5.2). Let us denote the standard tableau $S_{r} \preceq T_{r}$ by $t_{r}$. Then, for each $r$ with nonzero $c_{r}$,
(1) the shape $\operatorname{sh}_{n}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ is $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ where $\mathrm{F}=(|\mathrm{I}|,|\mathrm{J}|)^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \cdots\right)$ where $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is the number of $h$ 's in the disjoint union IUJ for $1 \leq h \leq n$;
(2) all the entries in the $h$-th row of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ are bigger than or equal to h for $1 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq$ $\min (\mathrm{n}, \mid \mathrm{II})$;
(3) if we denote the numbers of entries less than or equal to $h$ in $S_{r}$ and $T_{r}$ by $\alpha_{h}$ and $\beta_{h}$ respectively, then $\alpha_{h}+\beta_{h} \leq 2 h$ for $1 \leq h \leq \min (n,|I|)$.

Example 3.5.5. For $\mathrm{I}=[1,2,5,6]$ and $\mathrm{J}=[1,3,4]$ from $\mathcal{L}_{6,4}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{[1256]} \delta_{[134]}= & \delta_{[1246]} \delta_{[135]}-\delta_{[1236]} \delta_{[145]} \\
& +\delta_{[1235]} \delta_{[146]}-\delta_{[1245]} \delta_{[136]}-\delta_{[1234]} \delta_{[156]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{sh}_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=(2,2,2,1) /(2,1)$ for all the terms $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ on the right hand side.
3.6. Let $m>n$. To consider the branching rules for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$, we use the following embedding of $G L_{n}$ in $G L_{m}$ : for $X \in G L_{n}$,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

where $I$ is the $(\mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{n}) \times(m-n)$ identity matrix and 0 's are the zero matrices of proper sizes.

From (3.4.1), by taking $\mathrm{U}_{n}$-invariants, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{n} \times \mathrm{U}_{k}} & =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq k}\left(\rho_{m}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{n}}  \tag{3.6.1}\\
& =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq k} \sum_{D} m\left(\rho_{n}^{D}, \rho_{m}^{F}\right)\left(\rho_{n}^{D}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}\left(\rho_{n}^{D}, \rho_{m}^{F}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $\rho_{n}^{D}$ appearing in $\rho_{m}^{F}$, and $\left(\rho_{n}^{D}\right)^{U_{n}}$ is the onedimensional space spanned by a highest weight vector of $\rho_{n}^{D}$.

Definition 3.6.1. For $m \geq k$, the length $k$ branching algebra for ( $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ ) is the $\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$-invariant ring of $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]_{\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}}^{\mathrm{u}_{n}}
$$

3.7. Note that for $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ all the minors $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ are invariant under the subgroup $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of $G L_{n} \times \mathrm{GL}_{k}$ with respect to the action (3.3.1). In fact, the length $k$ branching algebra for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$ is generated by $\left\{\delta_{I}: I \in \mathcal{L}_{m, k}^{n}\right\}$.

Theorem 3.7.1. For each $k$ with $m \geq k$, the branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{m, k}^{n}$ for $\left(G L_{m}, \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ is graded by $\Lambda_{k, n}$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\bigoplus_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \in \wedge_{k, n}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{D})
$$

and the standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ for $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}$ )-graded component $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$.
Proof. For $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$, the determinant functions $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ as elements of $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{k}}$ satisfy the relations (3.5.2) and by keeping track of the entries of $I$ and $J$ in this relation, we can easily see that all $S_{r}$ and $T_{r}$ appearing on the right hand side of (3.5.2) are elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\operatorname{sh}_{n}\left(S_{r} \preceq T_{r}\right)$ 's are the same for all $r$ as in the first statement of Corollary 3.5.4. By applying these relations repeatedly, we can express every monomial in $\left\{\delta_{\mathrm{I}}: \mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ as a linear combination of standard monomials of the same shape. In particular, the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathfrak{n}}$ is graded by the shapes $s h_{n}(\mathrm{t}) \in \Lambda_{k, n}$ of standard monomials for $\left(G L_{m}, G L_{n}\right)$. Now, it is enough to show that for each shape $F / D$ with $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{k, n}$, the number of standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ for $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\rho_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{F}}$, which is Corollary 3.2.2.

Note that the standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ for $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ are invariant under the action of $U_{n}$ and scaled by the character $\phi_{D}$ under the action of the diagonal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \cdot \Delta_{t} & =\phi_{D}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)\right) \Delta_{t}  \tag{3.7.1}\\
& =\left(a_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots a_{n}^{d_{n}}\right) \Delta_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{n}\right)$. This shows that standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ for $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{m}^{n}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{D})$ are the highest weight vectors of the copies of $\rho_{n}^{D}$ in $\rho_{m}^{F}$. Accordingly, we have

Proposition 3.7.2. The standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ with $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$, as $\mathbb{C}$-basis elements of $\mathcal{B}_{m, k}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$, are the highest weight vectors of the copies of $\rho_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{F}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{m, k}^{n}(F, D) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G L_{n}}\left(\rho_{n}^{D}, \rho_{m}^{\mathrm{F}}\right) .
$$

3.8. Toric degenerations of the branching algebras $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ can be induced by the same methods used for the case of the flag algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{k}}$ in the literature, for example, [GL96, Ki08, KM05, MS05, Stu95]. See also [Vin95, Theorem 1], for the properties of the algebra of polynomials on a semisimple algebraic group and its associated graded algebra.

Theorem 3.8.1. The length k branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a flat deformation of the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ over $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$.

Proof. Let us impose a filtration on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathfrak{n}}$ by giving the following weight on each monomials. Fix an integer N greater than 2 m , and then define the weight of $\mathrm{I}=\left[i_{1}, \cdots, i_{a}\right] \in$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w t(I)=\sum_{r \geq 1} \mathfrak{i}_{r} N^{m-r} . \tag{3.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weight of a standard tableau $t$ consisting of $I_{c}$ is defined to be the sum of individual weights, i.e., $w t(t)=\sum_{c} w t\left(I_{c}\right)$. Then we can define a $\mathbb{Z}$-filtration $F^{w t}=\left\{F_{d}^{w t}\right\}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{m, k}^{n}=\mathbb{C}\left[M_{m, k}\right]^{U_{n} \times U_{k}}$ with respect to the weight wt. Set $F_{d}^{w t}\left(\mathcal{B}_{m, k}^{n}\right)$ to be the space spanned by

$$
\left\{\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}: w \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{d}\right\} .
$$

The filtration $F^{w t}$ is well defined, since every product $\prod \delta_{I_{c}}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of standard monomials with bigger weights by Proposition 3.5.2, For all pairs $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_{m, k}^{n}$, since $w t(A)+w t(B)=w t(A \wedge B)+w t(A \vee B), \delta_{A} \delta_{B}$ and $\delta_{A \wedge B} \delta_{A \vee B}$ belong to the same associated graded component. Therefore, we have $s_{A} \cdot{ }^{\prime} r s_{B}=s_{A \wedge B} \cdot g r$ $s_{A \vee B}$ where $s_{C}$ are elements corresponding to $\delta_{C}$ in the associated graded ring $\operatorname{gr}^{w t}\left(\mathcal{B}_{m, k}^{n}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with respect to the filtration $\mathrm{F}^{w t}$. Then it is straightforward to show that the associated graded ring $\operatorname{gr}^{w t}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ forms the Hibi algebra over $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$. From a general property of the Rees algebras (e.g., (AB04]), the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{t}}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ with respect to $F^{w t}$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{t}}=\bigoplus_{\mathrm{d} \geq 0} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{w \mathrm{t}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{d}}
$$

is flat over $\mathbb{C}[t]$ with its general fiber isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}, k}^{n}$ and special fiber isomorphic to the associated graded ring which is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

We remark that $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}\right)$ is an affine toric variety in the sense of [Stu95]. Then, the rational polyhedral cone corresponding to the affine toric variety and the integral points therein can be realized from our description of the affine semigroup $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ given at the beginning of $\$ 2.8$.

## 4. Stable Range Branching Algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$

In this section, starting from combinatorial descriptions of stable range branching rules, we study the affine semigroup algebra and its associated Hibi algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$. Then we construct an explicit model for the stable range branching algebra. Along with
these, we also show that these algebraic objects are isomorphic to their ( $\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ ) counterparts with a proper length condition.

Recall that we can label irreducible rational representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$, after identifying dominant weights with Young diagrams, by Young diagrams with less than or equal to $m$ rows (cf. [GW09, §3.1.4]). We let $\tau_{2 m}^{F}$ denote the irreducible representation of $S p_{2 m}$ labeled by Young diagram F.
4.1. Let $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}=\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}\right)$ be the $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrix with $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{m}+1-\mathrm{a}}=1$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{m}$ and 0 otherwise. Then we define the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ of rank m as the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ preserving the skew symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^{2 m}$ induced by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}} \\
-\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Note that, for the elementary basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of the space $\mathbb{C}^{2 m}, e_{j}$ and $e_{2 m+1-j}$ make an isotropic pair for $1 \leq j \leq m$ with respect to this bilinear form. Also, the subgroup of upper triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal can be taken as a maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$. We will denoted it by $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}}$.

For $n<m$, we identify $S p_{2 n}$ with the subgroup of $S p_{2 m}$ preserving the skew symmetric bilinear form restricted to the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2 m}$ spanned by

$$
\left\{e_{a}, e_{2 m+1-a}: 1 \leq a \leq n\right\} .
$$

Then $S p_{2 n}$ can be embedded in $S p_{2 m}$ as follows.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y  \tag{4.1.1}\\
Z & W
\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X & 0 & Y \\
0 & I & 0 \\
Z & 0 & W
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $X, Y, Z, W$ are $n \times n$ matrices, $I$ is the $2(m-n) \times 2(m-n)$ identity matrix, and 0 's are the zero matrices of proper sizes.
4.2. In order to construct an affine semigroup encoding stable range branching rules for $\left(S p_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$, we review the following combinatorial description of branching multiplicities.

Lemma 4.2.1 ([GW09, Theorem 8.1.5]). For Young diagrams $F$ and $D$ with $\ell(F) \leq m$ and $\ell(\mathrm{D}) \leq m-1$, the multiplicity of $\tau_{2(m-1)}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$ as a $\mathrm{Sp}_{2(\mathrm{~m}-1)}$ representation is equal to the number of Young diagrams E satisfying the interlacing condition $\mathrm{F} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{E} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{D}$.

For example, if $F=(5,3,3,2,1)$ and $D=(4,3,2,2)$, then the multiplicity of $\tau_{8}^{D}$ in $\tau_{10}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is equal to the number of $E=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{5}\right)$ in

| 5 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $e_{1}$ |  | $e_{2}$ |  | $e_{3}$ |  | $e_{4}$ |  | $e_{5}$ |
|  |  | 4 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |

so that the entries are weakly decreasing from left to right along diagonals.

Note that this branching is not multiplicity free and rather similar to the two-step branchings for the general linear groups. To obtain a description of the multiplicity spaces for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$, we can simply iterate the above lemma. Because of the length condition $\ell\left(E_{k}\right) \leq k$ of $S p_{2 k}$ representations $\tau_{2 k}^{E_{k}}$ for $n \leq k \leq m$, it will be quite different from the $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{2 n}\right)$ case (Proposition 3.2.1). Within the stable range $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{n}$, however, we have exactly the same description.

In the previous example, if we set $F=(5,3,3,2,0)$ so that $\ell(F)=4$, then the multiplicity of $\tau_{8}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{10}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is equal to the number of $\mathrm{E}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{5}\right)$ in

and the interlacing condition makes $e_{5}=0$. Therefore the multiplicity of $\tau_{8}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{10}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is equal to the multiplicity of the $G L_{8}$ representation $\rho_{8}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in the $G L_{10}$ representation $\rho_{10}^{\mathrm{F}}$.

Remark 4.2.2. (1) For complete GT patterns for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$, we refer to [Kir88] and [Pr94]. See also [Ki08] for their ring theoretic interpretation.
(2) The branching algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-2}\right)$ without any restriction on the length of representations has interesting algebraic and combinatorial properties with an extra structure from the action of $\mathrm{SL}_{2} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}$. For this, we refer to [KY11].
4.3. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{2 m}^{2 n}(F, D)$ is the set of all GT patterns for $\left(G L_{2 m}, G L_{2 n}\right)$ whose types are $F / D$. Within the stable range $\ell(F) \leq n, F \supseteq D$ implies $\ell(D) \leq n$, and therefore the support of every GT pattern in $\mathcal{P}_{2 m}^{2 n}(F, D)$ lies in the GT poset $\Gamma_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$ of length $n$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
x_{1}^{(2 m)} & & x_{2}^{(2 m)} & & \cdots & & x_{n}^{(2 m)} & & \\
x_{1}^{(2 m-1)} & & x_{2}^{(2 m-1)} & & \cdots & & x_{n}^{(2 m-1)} & & \\
& \ddots & & \ddots & & \cdots & & \ddots & \\
& & x_{1}^{(2 n)} & & x_{2}^{(2 n)} & & \cdots & & x_{n}^{(2 n)}
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 4.3.1. Let F and D be Young diagrams with $\mathrm{F} \supseteq \mathrm{D}$ and $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{n}$. Then the branching multiplicity $m\left(\tau_{2 n}^{\mathrm{D}}, \tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ is equal to the number of elements in $\mathcal{P}_{2 m}^{2 n}(F, D)$, and therefore it is equal to the number of elements in $\mathcal{T}_{2 m}^{2 n}(F, D)$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.2.1, by using the same argument used to prove (2) of Proposition 3.2.1 the set $\mathcal{P}_{2 m}^{2 n}(F, D)$ of GT patterns of shape $F / D$ counts the multiplicity of $\tau_{2 n}^{D}$ in $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$. The last statement follows from Proposition 2.8.1.

We call the affine semigroup $\mathcal{P}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$, defined in (2.7.1), the semigroup for $\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{2 m}, \operatorname{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ and call its associated semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}}^{2 n}\right]$ the semigroup algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$. Then it is graded by $\Lambda_{n, n}$ defined in $\$ 2.6$.

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}\right]=\bigoplus_{(F, D) \in \Lambda_{n, n}} \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2 m}^{2 n}\right]_{(F, \mathrm{D})}
$$

4.4. To define tableaux and standard monomials for the symplectic groups, we shall use the following ordered letters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 2 m\rangle=\left\{\mathfrak{u}_{1}<v_{1}<\mathfrak{u}_{2}<v_{2}<\cdots<\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}<v_{m}\right\} . \tag{4.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $\mathcal{L}\langle 2 m\rangle$ denote the set of all non-empty subsets $J$ of $\langle 2 m\rangle$, then on $\mathcal{L}\langle 2 m\rangle$ we can impose the tableau order $\preceq$, as it is done in $\S 2.2$ for $\mathcal{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$, through the bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{l}\left(u_{c}\right)=2 c-1 \text { and } \mathfrak{l}\left(v_{c}\right)=2 c \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq \mathrm{c} \leq \mathrm{m}$. Then $\mathcal{L}\langle 2 m\rangle$ is a distributive lattice isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$.
For $m>n$, we consider the subposet $\mathcal{L}\langle n, 2 m\rangle$ of $\mathcal{L}\langle 2 m\rangle$ with all the elements $J \subset$ $\langle 2 m\rangle$ of the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{c}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right],}  \tag{4.4.3}\\
& {\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{c}\right]} \\
& {\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{c} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $u_{n+1} \leq y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{s} \leq v_{\mathrm{m}}$. In particular, if $u_{c} \in J$ for $\mathrm{c} \leq n$, then $\left\{u_{h}: 1 \leq h \leq c\right\} \subset J$.

Now, for $k \leq n$, let $\mathcal{L}\langle n, 2 m\rangle_{k}$ be the subposet of $\mathcal{L}\langle n, 2 m\rangle$ consisting of $J \in \mathcal{L}\langle n, 2 m\rangle$ with $|\mathrm{J}| \leq \mathrm{k}$. Then, through the map (4.4.2), it is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{L}\langle\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle_{\mathrm{k}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}^{n}$ given in Definition 2.2.1, and therefore isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k}}^{2 n}$ by Corollary 2.3.2.

Definition 4.4.1. (1) The distributive lattice for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{S p} & =\mathcal{L}\langle n, 2 m\rangle_{n} \\
& \cong \mathcal{L}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The Hibi algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$, is the Hibi algebra over the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {Sp }}$.

Note that from $\mathcal{L}_{S p} \cong \mathcal{L}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$, the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{S p}$ for $\left(S p p_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$. Then from Proposition 2.10.3 for $\left(G L_{2 m}, G L_{2 n}\right)$ we have

Corollary 4.4.2. The Hibi algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ :

$$
\mathcal{H}_{S p} \cong \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}\right]
$$

4.5. Next, we define standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$.

Definition 4.5.1. (1) A standard tableau t for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathfrak{m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ is a multiple chain in $\mathcal{L}_{\text {Sp }}$ :

$$
\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{s}\right) .
$$

(2) The shape $\operatorname{sh}_{n}(\mathrm{t})$ of a standard tableau t for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ is $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ where

$$
\mathrm{F}=\left(\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|\mathrm{I}_{s}\right|\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \text { and } \mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{n}\right)
$$

with $d_{r}$ being the number of $u_{h}$ 's in $t$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$.

We write $\mathcal{T}_{\text {sp }}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ for the set of all standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ whose shapes are $F / D$, and consider the disjoint union

$$
\mathcal{T}_{S p}=\bigcup_{(F, D) \in \Lambda_{n, n}} \mathcal{T}_{S p}(F, D)
$$

over $\Lambda_{n, n}$. Then as in the case of the general linear groups, $\mathcal{T}_{s p}$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for the Hibi algebra for $\left(S p_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$. As in $\$ 又 一_{2.10}$ we shall identify monomials in the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{S p}$ with tableaux whose columns are elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\text {Sp }}$.

Proposition 4.5.2. (1) The Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{S p}$ for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ is graded by $\Lambda_{n, n}$, and for each $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \in \Lambda_{n, n}, \mathcal{T}_{s p}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{D})$ forms a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for the graded component $\mathcal{H}_{s p}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{D})$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.
(2) The number of standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ of shape $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ is equal to the branching multiplicity $m\left(\tau_{2 n}^{D}, \tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ of $\tau_{2 n}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$.
Proof. From the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{S p} \cong \mathcal{L}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$, we can easily see that there is a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_{\text {sp }}(F, D)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2 m}^{2 n}(F, D)$. Then (1) follows from Lemma 2.10.2 and (2) follows from Proposition 4.3.1
4.6. We remark that every standard tableau for $\left(S p_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$ of shape $F / D$ can be realized as a skew semistandard tableau of shape $F / D$ having entries from $\left\{u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}, \cdots, u_{m}, v_{m}\right\}$. For example, for $m=10$ and $n=6$, the standard tableau of shape $F=(6,5,3,0,0)$ and $\mathrm{D}=(4,3,1)$

$$
\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right] \preceq\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{4}\right] \preceq\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{4}\right] \preceq\left[u_{1}, u_{4}\right] \preceq\left[v_{4}, u_{5}\right] \preceq\left[u_{5}\right]
$$

in $\mathcal{L}_{S p}=\mathcal{L}\langle 3,10\rangle_{3}$ can be identified with the skew semistandard tableau

where the empty boxes in $h$-th row are considered as the ones with $\mathfrak{u}_{h}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$.
We also remark that, as it is shown in Proposition 2.3.1, we can attach an order increasing subset $A_{I}$ of $\Gamma_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$ to each $I \in \mathcal{L}_{S p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{I}=\bigcup_{2 n \leq j \leq 2 m} A_{I}^{(j)} \tag{4.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{I}^{(j)} \subset \Gamma_{2 \mathrm{~m}, n}^{2 n}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{I}^{(2 i-1)} & =\left\{x_{1}^{(2 i-1)}, x_{2}^{(2 i-1)}, \cdots, x_{s_{i}}^{(2 i-1)}\right\}, \\
A_{I}^{(2 i)} & =\left\{x_{1}^{(2 i)}, x_{2}^{(2 i)}, \cdots, x_{t_{i}}^{(2 i)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $s_{i}$ and $t_{i}$ are the numbers of elements in I less than or equal to $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ respectively. Then we can relate every element of $\mathcal{T}_{\text {sp }}$ to a sum of characteristic functions over these order increasing subsets as given in Proposition 2.8.1 and (2.8.1). This gives a direct proof for Corollary 4.4.2,
4.7. Now we want to lift the elements of the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{s p}$ to construct the stable range branching algebra for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$. For this purpose, we briefly review the polynomial model of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$-representation spaces studied in [Ki08].

From (3.4.1), as a $\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ module, $\left.\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{2 m, m}\right]\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ decomposes into irreducible representations $\rho_{2 m}^{F}$ for $\ell(F) \leq m$. By taking $S p_{2 m}$ as a subgroup of $G L_{2 m}, S p_{2 m} \times G L_{m}$ is acting on the space $M_{2 m, m} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2 m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m}$ as the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ given in (3.3.1).

Then we take the quotient of $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{2 m, m}\right]^{U_{m}}$ by the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{S p}=\sum_{F} \mathcal{I}^{F}$ where $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is the complement space to $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$ in $\rho_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$, i.e., $\rho_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}=\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}} \oplus \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{F}}$ for each F (cf. [FH91, §17.3]). Then this quotient algebra can be taken as a polynomial model of the flag algebra for $S p_{2 m}$ in that it contains exactly one copy of every irreducible representation $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{S p} & =\mathbb{C}\left[M_{2 m, m}\right]^{u_{m}} / \mathcal{I}_{S p} \\
& =\sum_{\ell(F) \leq m} \tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, this decomposition is compatible with the graded structure of the algebra, i.e., $\tau_{2 m}^{F_{1}} \cdot \tau_{2 m}^{F_{2}} \subset \tau_{2 m}^{F_{1}+F_{2}}$. Therefore, for the stable range $\ell(F) \leq n$, we can consider its subalgebra consisting of $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$ with $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(\mathrm{n})}=\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq n} \tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}} \tag{4.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.8. To describe generators of $\mathcal{F}_{S p}$, to each $\mathrm{I}=\left[w_{1}, \cdots, w_{r}\right] \in \mathcal{L}\langle 2 m\rangle$ with $\mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{m}$, we attach a determinant function $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ as follows. For $Q \in M_{2 m, m}$, we let $\delta_{I^{\prime}}(Q)$ denote the determinant of the submatrix of $Q=\left(t_{a, b}\right)$ obtained by taking the $i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, i_{r}^{\prime}$-th rows and the $1,2, \cdots, r$-th columns:

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}(Q)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}^{\prime} 1} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}^{\prime} 2} & \cdots & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}^{\prime} r}  \tag{4.8.1}\\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}^{\prime} 1} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}^{\prime} 2} & \cdots & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}^{\prime} r} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{r}^{\prime} 1} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{r}^{\prime} 2} & \cdots & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{\prime}} \mathrm{r}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left\{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, i_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the image of the set $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{r}\right\} \subset\langle 2 m\rangle$ under

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\psi:\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}, v_{m}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \cdots, 2 m\}  \tag{4.8.2}\\
\\
\psi\left(u_{c}\right)=c \text { and } \psi\left(v_{c}\right)=2 m+1-c
\end{array}
$$

for $1 \leq c \leq m$.
This conversion procedure is to make the labeling $\left(u_{c}, v_{c}\right)$ of isotropic pairs, which are denoted by ( $c, \bar{c}$ ) in [Be86] and ( $2 \mathrm{c}-1,2 \mathrm{c}$ ) in [Ki08], compatible with ours ( $\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{~m}+1-\mathrm{c}$ ) for the skew symmetric form defined in 94.1

Notation 4.8.1. To avoid a possible ambiguity, we impose a new total order $\lessdot$ on $\{1,2, \cdots, 2 m\}$ induced by $\psi$ in (4.8.2) and the order of $\langle 2 m\rangle$ given in (4.4.1):

$$
1 \lessdot 2 m \lessdot 2 \lessdot 2 m-1 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot m \lessdot m+1
$$

(1) To emphasize the order $\lessdot$, we shall use the prime symbol as in $\mathfrak{i}_{j}^{\prime}$ for the elements $i_{j}$ of $\{1,2, \cdots, 2 m\}$.
(2) Then, in the determinant (4.8.1), we may further assume that

$$
i_{1}^{\prime} \lessdot i_{2}^{\prime} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot i_{r}^{\prime}
$$

to fix the sign of the determinant.
(3) We also let $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ denote the image of $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$ under $\psi$. Similarly, we let $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ denote the multiple chain ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime} \preceq \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\prime} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}$ ) corresponding to the multiple chain $\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \mathrm{I}_{2} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ in $\mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$.

For the flag algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$, we are interested in $\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$ with $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$ whose h -th smallest entry is not less than $\mathfrak{u}_{h}$ for all $h \geq 0$.

Definition 4.8.2 ([Be86, Ki08]). Fix the element $\mathrm{J}_{0}=\left[\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}\right] \in \mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$ of length m . For a multiple chain $\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \mathrm{I}_{2} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$, its associated monomial

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}=\delta_{\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime}} \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}}
$$

is called a Sp-standard monomial, if $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}} \succeq \mathrm{J}_{0}$ for all s.
4.9. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{S p}$ is finitely generated. Using the elements of $\mathcal{I}_{S p}$ (cf. [FH91, §17.3]) combined with standard monomial theory of $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}}$, [Ki08] shows that Sp-standard monomials project to $\mathbb{C}$-basis elements of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S p}}$, and that they are compatible with the graded structure of the algebra.

To a product of $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ 's, as an element of $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{2 m, m}\right]^{U_{m}}$, apply the straightening relations in Proposition 3.5.2 to obtain a linear combination of standard monomials for $\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ :

$$
\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime} i}=\sum_{r} c_{r} \prod_{j \geq 1} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}
$$

If there is a non-zero term $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}$ which is not a $S p$-standard monomial, then apply relations from the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{s p}$, which replace the entries in $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}$ 's corresponding to isotropic pairs $\left(u_{a}, v_{a}\right)$ with the sum of entries corresponding to $\left(u_{b}, v_{b}\right)$ for $a \leq b$, thereby expressing $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}$ as a linear combination of $S p$-standard monomials. For further details, we refer to Ki08]. A combinatorial description of this procedure in the language of tableaux is given in [Be86].

Proposition 4.9.1 ([Ki08, Theorem 5.20]). Sp-standard monomials project to a $\mathbb{C}$ basis of the flag algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$ for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$. In particular, for a Young diagram F with $\ell(F) \leq m, S p$-standard monomials of shape $F$ project to a weight basis for the $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ irreducible representation $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text {Sp }}$.

We also note that, from the graded structure $\tau_{2 m}^{F_{1}} \cdot \tau_{2 m}^{F_{2}} \subset \tau_{2 m}^{F_{1}+F_{2}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{S p}$, in order to obtain the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ in (4.7.1), it is enough to consider $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ ', s with $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$ and $|\mathrm{I}| \leq \mathrm{n}$.
4.10. From (1.3.1) and (4.7.1), we want to find an explicit model for the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(n)}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 n}}} & =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq n}\left(\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}} \\
& =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq n} \sum_{\mathrm{D}} m\left(\tau_{2 n}^{\mathrm{D}}, \tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)\left(\tau_{2 n}^{D}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.10.1. The subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_{S p}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {Sp }}$ generated by

$$
\left\{\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}+\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Sp}}: \mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Sp}}\right\}
$$

is graded by $\Lambda_{n, n}$; and for each $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{n, n}$ the $S p$ standard monomials $\Delta_{t^{\prime}}$ corresponding to standard tableaux t for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$ whose shapes are $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the (F, D)-graded component. The dimension of the (F, D)-graded component is equal to the branching multiplicity of $\tau_{2 n}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$.

Proof. Recall that, for $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Sp}} \subset \mathcal{L}\langle 2 \mathrm{~m}\rangle$, we defined the polynomial $\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$ on the space $\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}$ in (4.8.1). By (4.4.3) and (4.8.2), it is the determinant of a submatrix of $\mathrm{Q} \in$ $\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}$ obtained by taking consecutive columns $\{1,2, \cdots,|\mathrm{I}|\}$ and either consecutive rows $\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ or partially consecutive rows $\{1,2, \cdots, r\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{s}\right\}$ or only $\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{s}\right\}$ of $Q$ for $r \leq n$ and $b_{i} \in\{n+1, n+2, \cdots, 2 m-n\}$ for all $i$.

Since the left action of $\mathrm{U}_{2 n} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2 m}$ under the embedding (4.1.1) operates the rows of $\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}$, all the elements $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ for $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{S p}$ are invariant under the action of $\mathrm{U}_{2 n}$ and therefor invariant under the action of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}$. Since the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}}$ is stable under the action of $S p_{2 m}$, the generators of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{S p}$ are invariant under the unipotent subgroup $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{sp}_{2 n}}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}$, and so are their products. Also, since every $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$ satisfies $|\mathrm{I}| \leq n$, we have $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s p}} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{sp}_{2 n}}}$.

On the other hand, every element in $\mathcal{L}_{S p}$ is greater than $\mathrm{J}_{0}=\left[\mathfrak{u}_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{m}\right]$ with respect to the tableau order, and therefore standard tableaux $t$ for $\left(S p_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$ (Definition 4.5 .1 and Proposition 4.9.1) give rise to $S p$-standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}$ (Definition 4.8.2) for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$. That is, Sp -standard monomials corresponding to standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ project to linearly independent elements in the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(\mathfrak{n})} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$. They span the whole $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(\mathrm{n})}$, because for each $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{n, n}$ the number of standard tableaux in $\mathcal{T}_{s p}(F, D)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\tau_{2 n}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$ by Proposition 4.3.1. Furthermore, they are scaled by weight D under the action of the diagonal subgroup $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}, a_{n}^{-1}, \cdots, a_{1}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ of $S p_{2 n}$ as given in (3.7.1). Therefore, standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}$ with $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ are the highest weight vectors of the copies of $\tau_{2 n}^{D}$ in $\tau_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{Sp}}=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(n)}\right)^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}}$ and its graded structure.

In this sense, we call $\mathcal{B}_{S p}$ the stable range branching algebra for $\left(S_{2 m}, S p_{2 n}\right)$. Recall that we obtained $\mathcal{B}_{S p}$ by lifting the elements of the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{S p}$ over the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{S p}$ which is isomorphic to the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, n}^{2 n}$. Now we compare it with
the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$ (Definition 3.6.1) obtained from the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$ for the general linear groups.

Proposition 4.10.2. The stable range branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text {Sp }}$ for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 m}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the length $n$ branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$ for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{GL}_{2 n}\right)$.

Proof. From the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{S p} \cong \mathcal{L}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$ of distributive lattices, with I $\mapsto \mathrm{I}$, we can consider a bijection between the generating set of $\mathcal{B}_{S_{p}}$ and the generating set of $\mathcal{B}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}}^{2 n}$ :

$$
\left\{\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}+\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Sp}}: \mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Sp}}\right\} \longleftrightarrow\left\{\delta_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}}: \hat{\mathrm{I}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, n}^{2 n}\right\}
$$

Then, to see that this bijection gives rise to an algebra isomorphism, let us show that the straightening relations among $\delta_{\widehat{\Gamma}^{\prime}}$ s in $\mathcal{B}_{2 \mathfrak{m}, n}^{2 n}$ agree with those of $\left(\delta_{I^{\prime}}+\mathcal{I}_{s p}\right)$ 's in $\mathcal{B}_{s p} \subset \mathcal{F}_{S p}$.

As explained in 84.9 to express a product of $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ 's as a linear combination of Sp standard monomials projecting to the quotient $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S p}}=\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}} / \mathcal{I}_{\text {sp }}$, we first apply the straightening relations in $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ (Proposition [3.5.2) and then relations from the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\text {sp }}$.

For elements $I_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{S p} \subset \mathcal{L}\langle 2 m\rangle$, the corresponding product $\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}$ as an element in $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{2 m, m}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ standard monomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}=\sum_{r} c_{r} \prod_{j \geq 1} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}} \tag{4.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{2 m, m}\right]^{u_{m}}$. Now we claim that for each non-zero term $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}$, its indexes $K_{r, j}$ 's form a multiple chain in $\mathcal{L}_{\text {Sp }}$, i.e., the monomial $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}$ is already $S p$-standard, and therefore the expression (4.10.1) provides the $S p$-standard monomial expression of $\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}$ projecting to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{S p}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S p}}$. This follows directly from the quadratic relation (3.5.2), that is, for $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$,

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}} \delta_{\mathrm{J}^{\prime}}=\sum_{r} c_{r} \delta_{S_{r}^{\prime}} \delta_{\mathrm{T}_{r}^{\prime}} .
$$

On the right hand side, for each non-zero term $\delta_{S_{r}^{\prime}} \delta_{T_{r}^{\prime}}$, the chain $S_{r} \preceq T_{r}$ satisfies the condition $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \succeq \mathrm{J}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}} \succeq \mathrm{J}_{0}$ in Definition 4.8.2, which can be easily seen from the statement (2) of Corollary 3.5.4 and the fact that I and J from $\mathcal{L}_{S p}$ do not contain $v_{h}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$.

Moreover, by Theorem 4.10.1 and Proposition 4.3.1, each (F, D) homogeneous spaces of both algebras are of the same dimension, and they have $\mathbb{C}$-bases labeled by the same patterns. Therefore, two graded algebras are isomorphic to each other.

With this characterization $\mathcal{B}_{S p} \cong \mathcal{B}_{2 m, n}^{2 n}$, from Theorem 3.8.1, we have
Corollary 4.10.3. The stable range branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text {Sp }}$ for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a flat deformation of the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{sp}^{\prime}}$ for $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}}^{2 n}$.

## 5. Stable Range Branching Algebra for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$

Through out this section, for $m>n \geq 2$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =2 m+1 \text { or } 2 m ; \\
q & =2 n+1 \text { or } 2 n ; \\
k & =n \quad \text { if } q=2 n+1, \\
& =n-1 \quad \text { if } q=2 n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the same techniques we developed for the symplectic groups, we construct the stable range branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}}$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$. The results and their proofs in this section are analogous to the case of $\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$.
5.1. Let us review a labeling system for the irreducible rational representations of $\mathrm{SO}_{p}$ (cf. [GW09, §3.1.4]). For the even orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ of rank $m$, every Young diagram $F$ with $\ell(F)<m$ can label exactly one irreducible representation $\sigma_{2 m}^{F}$, which can be also realized as a $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ irreducible representation. The missing ones for $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ are the pairs of associated irreducible representations $\sigma_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}^{+}}$and $\sigma_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}^{-}}$appearing as the components of irreducible representations $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ of $\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ labeled by Young diagrams F with m rows, i.e., $\sigma_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}}=\sigma_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}} \oplus \sigma_{2 m}^{\mathrm{F}^{-}}$. For the odd special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_{2 m+1}$ of rank $m$, every irreducible rational representation $\sigma_{2 m+1}^{\mathrm{F}}$ can be uniquely labeled by a Young diagram F with $\ell(F) \leq m$. Then these representations are also $\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$-irreducible.
5.2. Let $\mathrm{J}_{\mathfrak{m}}=\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}\right)$ be the $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrix such that $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{m}+1-\mathrm{a}}=1$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{m}$ and 0 otherwise. Then we define the special orthogonal groups $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2 m+1}$ as the subgroups of $S L_{2 m}$ and $S L_{2 m+1}$ preserving the symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathbb{C}^{2 m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2 m+1}$ induced by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{~J}_{\mathfrak{m}} \\
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}} & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively where 0 's are the zero matrices of proper sizes. Then, the pairs ( $e_{j}, e_{p+1-\mathfrak{j}}$ ) of the elementary basis elements for $\mathbb{C}^{p}$ make isotropic pairs with respect to the above symmetric bilinear form. Also, the subgroup of upper triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal can be taken as a maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{p}$. We will denote it by $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{p}}$.

For $m>n$, let us identify $\mathrm{SO}_{2 n}$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{p}$ preserving the symmetric bilinear form on the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{p}$ spanned by $\left\{e_{j}, e_{p+1-j}: 1 \leq \mathfrak{j} \leq n\right\}$. Then we can embed $\mathrm{SO}_{2 n}$ in $\mathrm{SO}_{p}$ as follows

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & W
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X & 0 & Y \\
0 & I & 0 \\
Z & 0 & W
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $X, Y, Z, W$ are blocks of size $n \times n$, $I$ is the $(p-2 n) \times(p-2 n)$ identity matrix, and 0's are the zero matrices of proper sizes. Similarly, we embed $\mathrm{SO}_{2 n+1}$ in $\mathrm{SO}_{2 m+1}$ by considering the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2 m+1}$ spanned by $\left\{e_{j}, e_{2 m+2-j}: 1 \leq\right.$
$a \leq n\}$ and $e_{m+1}$. For $\mathrm{SO}_{2 n+1}$ in $\mathrm{SO}_{2 m}$, we use the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2 m}$ spanned by $\left\{e_{j}, e_{2 m+1-j}: 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ and $\left(e_{m}+e_{m+1}\right)$.
5.3. Our next task is to construct an affine semigroup encoding stable range branching rules for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$. Note that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$ is a dominant weight for $\mathrm{SO}_{2 m+1}$ and $S O_{2 m}$, if $f_{1} \geq \cdots \geq f_{m} \geq 0$ and $f_{1} \geq \cdots \geq f_{m-1} \geq\left|f_{m}\right| \geq 0$ respectively.

Lemma 5.3.1 ([GW09, Theorems 8.1.3 and 8.1.4]). (1) Let $F=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{m}\right)$ and $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ be dominant weights for $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ respectively. Then the branching multiplicity of $\sigma_{2 m}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\sigma_{2 m+1}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is equal to 1 if $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ interlaces $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots,\left|\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}}\right|\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\mathrm{f}_{1} & & \mathrm{f}_{2} & & \cdots & & \mathrm{f}_{\mathfrak{m}-1} & & \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{1} & & \mathrm{~d}_{2} & & \cdots & & d_{m-1} & \\
& & d_{m} \mid
\end{array}
$$

and 0 otherwise;
(2) Let $\mathrm{F}=\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}-1}\right)$ be dominant weights for $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}$ respectively. Then the branching multiplicity of $\sigma_{2 m-1}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is equal to 1 if $\left(f_{1}, \cdots,\left|f_{m}\right|\right)$ interlaces $\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{m}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{f}_{1} & & \mathrm{f}_{2} & & \cdots & & \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}-1} & \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{1} & & d_{2} & & \cdots & \\
d_{m-1}
\end{array}
$$

and 0 otherwise.
By iterating these results, we may obtain patterns counting the branching multiplicities for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$. Such patterns are different from the GT patterns for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$. Within the stable range, however, they are the same as the ones for ( $G L_{p}, G L_{q}$ ) with restrictions on lengths. That is because, as in the case for the symplectic groups, the length restriction $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{k}$ forces $\ell(\mathrm{D}) \leq \mathrm{k}$ via the interlacing conditions in Lemma 5.3.1. Therefore, as is shown in Proposition 4.3.1 for the symplectic groups, we have

Proposition 5.3.2. Let F and D be Young diagrams with $\mathrm{F} \supseteq \mathrm{D}$ and $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq k$. Then the branching multiplicity $m\left(\sigma_{q}^{\mathrm{D}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ is equal to the number of elements in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$, and therefore it is equal to the number of elements in $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{q}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{D})$.

As in the case of $\left(G L_{p}, G L_{q}\right)$ in (2.7.1), we can consider the affine semigroup $\mathcal{P}_{p, k}^{q}$ of the order preserving maps from the GT poset $\Gamma_{p, k}^{q}$ of length $k$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
x_{1}^{(p)} & & x_{2}^{(p)} & & \cdots & & x_{k}^{(p)} & & \\
x_{1}^{(p-1)} & & x_{2}^{(p-1)} & & \ldots & & x_{k}^{(p-1)} & & \\
& \ddots & & \ddots & & \cdots & & \ddots & \\
& & x_{1}^{(q)} & & x_{2}^{(q)} & & \cdots & & x_{k}^{(q)}
\end{array}
$$

to non-negative integers. We call $\mathcal{P}_{p, k}^{q}$ the semigroup for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{p}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$, and define its associated semigroup algebra:

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}\right]=\bigoplus_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \in \Lambda_{k}, \mathrm{k}} \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{q}}\right]_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})}
$$

and call it the semigroup algebra for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.
5.4. Let us define the distributive lattice for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ and study its Hibi algebra. We shall closely follow the construction developed in $\$ 4.4$ for the symplectic groups. Consider the ordered letters:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle 2 m\rangle & =\left\{u_{1}<v_{1}<\mathfrak{u}_{2}<v_{2} \cdots<u_{m}<v_{m}\right\},  \tag{5.4.1}\\
\langle 2 m+1\rangle & =\left\{\mathfrak{u}_{1}<v_{1}<\mathfrak{u}_{2}<v_{2} \cdots<\mathfrak{u}_{m}<v_{m}<\infty\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for $p=2 m$ and $2 m+1$ respectively.
If we let $\mathcal{L}\langle\mathfrak{p}\rangle$ denote the set of all non-empty subsets $J$ of $\langle p\rangle$, then on $\mathcal{L}\langle p\rangle$ we can also impose the tableau order $\preceq$ as in $\S 2.2$ and $\S 4.4$ Then $\mathcal{L}\langle p\rangle$ is a distributive lattice isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$, as in the case of the symplectic groups, through the bijection (4.4.2) (and $\iota(\infty)=2 m+1$ for $p=2 m+1$ ).

Then, we define $\mathcal{L}\langle n, q, p\rangle$ to be the set of nonempty subsets $J$ of $\mathcal{L}\langle p\rangle$ of the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{c}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right]}  \tag{5.4.2}\\
& {\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{c}\right]} \\
& {\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{c} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and, for $\mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{n}$ and $2 \mathrm{n}+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1} & \leq y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{s} \\
v_{n+1} & \leq y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. In particular, if $u_{c} \in J$ for $c \leq n$, then $\left\{u_{h}: 1 \leq h \leq c\right\} \subset J$.
Now, let $\mathcal{L}\langle n, q, p\rangle_{k}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{L}\langle n, q, p\rangle$ consisting of $J$ with $|J| \leq k$. Then, as is the case for the symplectic groups ( $\$ 4.4$ ), we can identify $\mathcal{L}\langle n, q, p\rangle_{k}$ with the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$, and therefore with $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$ by Corollary 2.3.2.

Definition 5.4.1. The distributive lattice for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is $\mathcal{L}\langle\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}\rangle_{\mathrm{k}}$, and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}} & =\mathcal{L}\langle\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}\rangle_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \cong \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we define the Hibi algebra for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SO}}$, to be the Hibi algebra over the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$. From the isomorphism of distributive lattices, we have $\mathcal{H}_{S O} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$. Then from Proposition 2.10.3 for $\left(G L_{p}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, we have

Corollary 5.4.2. There is an algebra isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SO}} \cong \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}\right]
$$

5.5. As in the previous cases ( $\$ 2.10$ ), we shall identify the monomials in the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text {SO }}$ with tableaux whose columns are elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$.

Definition 5.5.1. A standard tableau t for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is a multiple chain $\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq$ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$. The shape $\operatorname{sh}_{n}(\mathrm{t})$ of t is $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ where $\mathrm{F}=\left(\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|\mathrm{I}_{s}\right|\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{n}\right)$ with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r}}$ being the number of $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ 's in t for $1 \leq \mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{n}$.

We write $\mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}(F, D)$ for the set of all standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{p}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$ whose shapes are $F / D$, and set

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}=\bigcup_{(F, D) \in \Lambda_{k, k}} \mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}(F, D)
$$

Then as in the case of the symplectic groups, $\mathcal{T}_{\text {so }}$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for the Hibi algebra for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$.

Proposition 5.5.2. (1) The Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text {SO }}$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is graded by $\Lambda_{k, k}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ forms a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the graded component $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SO}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$.
(2) For $(F, D) \in \Gamma_{k, k}$, the number of standard tableaux for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{p}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$ of shape $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ is equal to the branching multiplicity $\mathrm{m}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{D}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ of $\sigma_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}$.
Proof. From the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$, it is straightforward to see that there is a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}(F, D)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{q}(F, D)$. Then (1) follows from Lemma 2.10.2 and (2) follows from Proposition 5.3.2,
5.6. We can also find a correspondence between $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$ and the set of order increasing subsets of the GT poset $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$ in the same way explained in 84.6. Namely, define the order increasing subset $A_{\mathrm{I}}$ of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{I}=\bigcup_{q \leq j \leq p}\left\{x_{1}^{(j)}, x_{2}^{(j)}, \cdots, x_{s_{j}}^{(j)}\right\} \tag{5.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $n+1 \leq h \leq m, s_{2 h-1}$ and $s_{2 h}$ are the numbers of elements in I less than or equal to $u_{h}$ and $v_{h}$ respectively; and $s_{2 n}$ is the number of elements in I less than $v_{n}$ and $s_{2 m+1}$ is the number of elements in I. Then every element of $\mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}$ can be related to a sum of characteristic functions over these order increasing subsets as given in Proposition 2.8.1 and (2.8.1). This gives a direct proof for Corollary 5.4.2,
5.7. To construct the stable range branching algebra for ( $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ), we review the polynomial model of $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}$-representation spaces studied in [Ki09].

From (3.4.1), $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{p, m}\right]^{U_{m}}$ consists of $G L_{p}$-irreducible representations $\rho_{p}^{F}$ with $\ell(F) \leq m$. By taking $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ as a subgroup of $G L_{p}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is acting on the space $\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{m}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m}$ via the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{p} \times G L_{m}$ given in (3.3.1). Then we take the quotient of $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{p, m}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{m}}$ by the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}=\sum_{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{F}}$ where $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is the complement space to the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$-irreducible representation $\sigma_{p}^{F}$ in $\rho_{p}^{F}$, i.e., $\rho_{p}^{F}=\sigma_{p}^{F} \oplus \mathcal{I}^{F}$ for each $F$ (cf. [FH91, §19.5]).

Then [Ki09] shows that this quotient algebra can be taken as a polynomial model for the flag algebra for $\mathrm{SO}_{p}$ in that it contains exactly one copy of each irreducible
representation $\sigma_{p}^{F}$ with $\ell(F) \leq m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }} & =\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}} \\
& =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{m}} \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is graded by Young diagrams, i.e., $\sigma_{p}^{F_{1}} \cdot \sigma_{p}^{F_{2}} \subset \sigma_{p}^{F_{1}+F_{2}}$. We note that $\sigma_{2 m}^{F}$ with $\ell(F)=m$ are irreducible $O_{2 m}$ representations, but they are not irreducible as $\mathrm{SO}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ representations.

To take the stable range $\ell(F) \leq k$, we consider its subalgebra consisting of $\sigma_{p}^{F}$ with $\ell(F) \leq k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(\mathrm{k})}=\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{k}} \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}} \tag{5.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.8. To describe generators of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}$, to each $\mathrm{I}=\left[w_{1}, \cdots, w_{r}\right] \in \mathcal{L}\langle p\rangle$, we attach a determinant function $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ as follows.

For $Q \in M_{p, m}$, we let $\delta_{I^{\prime}}(Q)$ denote the determinant of the submatrix of $Q=\left(t_{a, b}\right)$ obtained by taking the $i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, i_{r}^{\prime}$-th rows and the $1,2, \cdots, r$-th columns:
where is $\left\{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, i_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the image of the set $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{r}\right\} \subset\langle p\rangle$ under $\psi_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\psi_{2 m}:\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}, v_{m}\right\} \longrightarrow\{1,2, \cdots, 2 m\}  \tag{5.8.2}\\
\psi_{2 m}\left(u_{c}\right)=c \text { and } \psi_{2 m}\left(v_{c}\right)=2 m+1-c ; \\
\psi_{2 m+1}:\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}, v_{m}, \infty\right\} \longrightarrow\{1,2, \cdots, 2 m, 2 m+1\} \\
\psi_{2 m+1}\left(u_{c}\right)=c \text { and } \psi_{2 m+1}\left(v_{c}\right)=2 m+2-c
\end{array}
$$

for $p=2 m$ and $2 m+1$ respectively, for $1 \leq c \leq m$ and $\psi_{2 m+1}(\infty)=m+1$.
Then, with the bijection $\psi_{p}$, we can impose a new order $\lessdot$ on $\{1,2, \cdots, p\}$ induced by the order on $\langle p\rangle$ in (5.4.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \lessdot 2 m \lessdot 2 \lessdot 2 m-1 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot m \lessdot m+1 ; \\
& 1 \lessdot 2 m+1 \lessdot 2 \lessdot 2 m \lessdot \cdots \lessdot m \lessdot m+2 \lessdot m+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and we keep using the convention of $I^{\prime}, \delta_{I^{\prime}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}$ used for the symplectic groups (Notation 4.8.1). This conversion procedure is to make our labeling ( $u_{c}, v_{c}$ ) of isotropic pairs (\$5.2) compatible with those used in [KW93, Ki09].

To I $=\left[w_{1}, \cdots, w_{s}\right] \in \mathcal{L}\langle p\rangle$, we attach a determinant function $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ as we define in (5.8.1). For a multiple chain $t=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{L}\langle\mathrm{p}\rangle$, let $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ denote the $a$-th smallest element in the b-th column $I_{b}$ of the tableau $t$. Also, let $\alpha_{2 c}$ and $\beta_{2 c}$ be the numbers of elements less than or equal to $v_{c}$ in $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ respectively.

Definition 5.8.1 (cf. [KW93, Pr94]). Then the corresponding monomial

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}=\delta_{\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime}} \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots \delta_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}}
$$

is called an O -standard monomial, if, in the chain $\mathrm{t}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \cdots \preceq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$,
(1) $\alpha_{2 c}+\beta_{2 c} \leq 2 c$ for $1 \leq c \leq m$, and
(2) if $\alpha_{2 c}+\beta_{2 c}=2 c$ for some $c$ with $t\left(\alpha_{2 c}, 1\right)=u_{c}$ and $t\left(\beta_{2 c}, b\right)=v_{c}$ for some $b$, then $\mathrm{t}\left(\beta_{2 \mathrm{c}}-1, \mathrm{~b}\right)=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

In [KW93] and [Pr94], the above conditions (1) and (2) are used to define Young tableaux describing weight basis elements of irreducible $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ representations.
5.9. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is finitely generated. Using the elements of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}$ (cf. [FH91, §19.5]) combined with standard monomial theory of $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}}\right]^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}}$, [Ki09] shows that O-standard monomials project to $\mathbb{C}$-basis elements of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}$, and that they are compatible with the graded structure of the algebra.

To a product of $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ 's in $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{p, m}\right]^{U_{m}}$, we apply the straightening relations in Proposition 3.5.2 to obtain a linear combination of standard monomials for $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{p}}$ :

$$
\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}=\sum_{r} c_{r} \prod_{j \geq 1} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}
$$

If there is a non-zero term $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}$ which is not an O-standard tableau, then apply relations from the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}$, which replace the entries of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}$ 's corresponding to isotropic pairs $\left(u_{a}, v_{a}\right)$ with the sum of pairs $\left(u_{b}, v_{b}\right)$ 's (and $(\infty, \infty)$ for $\left.p=2 m+1\right)$ for some $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{b}$, thereby expressing $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime},}$ as a linear combination of O -standard monomials. For further details, we refer to [Ki09]. A combinatorial description this straightening procedure in the language of tableaux is given in [KW93].

The following is shown in [Ki09. See also [KW93] and Pr94].
Proposition 5.9.1 ([Ki09, Theorem 3.6,Proposition 3.9]). O-standard monomials project to a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the flag algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}$ for $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}$. In particular, for a Young diagram F with $\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq \mathrm{m}$, O -standard monomials of shape F form a weight basis for the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$-irreducible representation $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{F}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}$.
5.10. Our next task is, from the discussions (1.3.1) and (5.7.1), to find an explicit model for the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}-\text { invariant subalgebra of }} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{F}_{S O}^{(k)}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{SO}_{q}}} & =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq k}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{S O_{q}}} \\
& =\sum_{\ell(\mathrm{F}) \leq k} \sum_{D} m\left(\tau_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{D}}, \tau_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)\left(\tau_{q}^{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{SO}_{q}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.10.1. The subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text {SO }}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}$ generated by

$$
\left\{\delta_{I^{\prime}}+\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SO}}: \mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}}\right\}
$$

is graded by $\Lambda_{n, n}$, and for each $(F, D) \in \Lambda_{k, k}$ the $O$ standard monomials $\Delta_{t^{\prime}}$ corresponding to standard tableauxt for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ whose shapes are $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D}$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis
of the ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$-graded component. The dimension of the ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}$ )-graded component is equal to the branching multiplicity of $\tau_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}$.
Proof. For $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{S O} \subset \mathcal{L}\langle\mathfrak{p}\rangle$, we defined the polynomial $\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$ on the space $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}}$ in (5.8.1). By (5.4.2) and and (5.8.2), it is the determinant of a submatrix of $Q \in M_{2 m, m}$ obtained by taking consecutive columns $\{1,2, \cdots,|I|\}$, and either consecutive rows $\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ or partially consecutive rows $\{1,2, \cdots, r\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{s}\right\}$ or only $\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{s}\right\}$ of $Q$ for $r \leq n$ and $b_{i} \in\{n+1, n+2, \cdots, p-n\}$.

Since the left action of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{p}}$, under the embedding given in \$5.2, operates the rows of $M_{p, m}$, all the determinants $\delta_{I^{\prime}}$ for $I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$ are invariant under the action of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}}$, and therefor invariant under the action of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}}$. Since the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is stable under the action of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the generators of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}}$ are invariant under the unipotent subgroup $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}}$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{q}$, and so are their products. Also, since every $\mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$ satisfies $|\mathrm{I}| \leq \mathrm{k}$, we have $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right)^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}}}$.

On the other hand, for every chain $\mathrm{I} \preceq \mathrm{J}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}}, \delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}} \delta_{\mathrm{J}^{\prime}}$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 5.8.1, which can be easily seen from the statement (3) of Corollary 3.5.4 and the fact that I and J from $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$ do not contain $v_{h}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$. This implies that standard monomials $\Delta_{t^{\prime}}$ corresponding to standard tableaux $t$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$ project to linearly independent elements in the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}}$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(\mathrm{k})} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}$. They span the whole $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}}$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$, because for each $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}) \in \Lambda_{k, k}$ the number of standard tableaux in $\mathcal{T}_{\text {SO }}(F, D)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\tau_{q}^{D}$ in $\tau_{p}^{\mathrm{F}}$ by Proposition 5.3.2. Furthermore, they are scaled by weight $D$ under the action of the diagonal subgroup $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}, a_{n}^{-1}, \cdots, a_{1}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ or $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}, 1, a_{n}^{-1}, \cdots, a_{1}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}$. Therefore, standard monomials $\Delta_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}$ with $\mathrm{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SO}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D})$ are the highest weight vectors of the copies of $\tau_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in $\tau_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}}$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}}=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right)^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}}}$ and its graded structure.

In this sense, we call $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}}$ the stable range branching algebra for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$. Recall that we obtained $\mathcal{B}_{S O}$ by lifting the elements of the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{S O}$ over the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{S O}$ which is isomorphic to the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{p, k}^{q}$. Now we compare it with the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}, k}^{q}$ (Definition 3.6.1) obtained from the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$ for the general linear groups.

Proposition 5.10.2. The stable range branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text {SO }}$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$ is isomorphic to the length $k$ branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^{q}$ for $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.
Proof. From the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$ of distributive lattices, with I $\mapsto \mathrm{I}$, we can consider a bijection between the generating set of $\mathcal{B}_{\text {SO }}$ and the generating set of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{q}$ :

$$
\left\{\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}+\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}: \mathrm{I} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}}\right\} \longleftrightarrow\left\{\delta_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}}: \hat{\mathrm{I}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}\right\}
$$

Then, to see that this bijection gives rise to an algebra isomorphism, let us show that the straightening relations among $\delta_{\hat{\mathrm{T}}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$ agree with those of $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}+\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ 's in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{SO}}$.

As explained in $\$ 5.9$, to express a product of $\delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$ 's as a linear combination of O standard monomials projecting to the quotient $\mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}=\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{O}}$, we first apply
the straightening relations in $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ (Proposition [3.5.2) and then relations from the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{0}$.

A product of representatives $\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}$, as an element in $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{p, m}\right]^{\mathrm{u}_{m}}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $G L_{p}$ standard monomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}=\sum_{r} c_{r} \prod_{j \geq 1} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}} \tag{5.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{p, m}\right]^{U_{m}}$.
Now we claim that for each non-zero term $\prod_{j} \delta_{K_{r, j}^{\prime}}$, the indexes $K_{r, j}$ 's form a multiple chain in $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$, therefore (5.10.1) gives O-standard monomial expression of $\prod_{i} \delta_{I_{i}^{\prime}}$ projecting to $\mathcal{B}_{\text {SO }} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text {SO }}$. This follows directly from the quadratic relation (3.5.2). For every chain I $\preceq \mathrm{J}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SO}}, \delta_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}} \delta_{J^{\prime}}$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 5.8.1, which can be easily seen from the statement (3) of Corollary 3.5.4 and the fact that I and J from $\mathcal{L}_{\text {SO }}$ do not contain $v_{h}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$.

Moreover, from Theorem 5.10.1 and Proposition5.3.2, each (F, D) homogeneous spaces of both algebras are of the same dimension with bases labeled by the same patterns. This shows that two graded algebras are isomorphic to each other.

With this characterization $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{SO}} \cong \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$, from Theorem 3.8.1, we have
Corollary 5.10.3. The stable range branching algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text {SO }}$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$ is a flat deformation of the Hibi algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text {so }}$ for $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}$.
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