

# Differential operators on Lie and graded Lie algebras

G. SARDANASHVILY

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia*

E-mail: gennadi.sardanashily@unicam.it

**Abstract.** Theory of differential operators on associative algebras is not extended to the non-associative ones in a straightforward way. We consider differential operators on Lie algebras. A key point is that multiplication in a Lie algebra is its derivation. Higher order differential operators on a Lie algebra are defined as composition of the first order ones. The Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a Lie algebra is defined. Examples of finite-dimensional Lie algebras, Poisson algebras, algebras of vector fields, and algebras of canonical commutation relations are considered. Differential operators on graded Lie algebras are defined just as on the Lie ones.

## 1 Introduction

There is the conventional notion of (linear) differential operators on commutative rings [8, 9, 10, 13]. This notion is straightforwardly extended to graded commutative rings in supergeometry [8, 13], but its generalization to non-commutative rings in non-commutative geometry is not unique [2, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13]. The underlying problem is that, being first order differential operators, derivations of a non-commutative ring  $\mathcal{A}$  fail to form an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. At the same time, all the existed definitions of differential operators on rings (i.e., unital associative algebras with  $1 \neq 0$ ) follow that on commutative rings (see Definitions 1 and 2 below).

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a commutative ring and  $\mathcal{A}$  a commutative  $\mathcal{K}$ -ring. Note that any associative  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can be extended to a ring by the adjunction of the unit element  $1$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be an endomorphism of a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ . Given an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , let us define an endomorphism

$$(\delta_a \Phi)(c) = a\Phi(c) - \Phi(ac), \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (1)$$

of a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$  and its endomorphism  $\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Phi$  for any tuple of elements  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.** *An element  $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  is called a  $k$ -order differential operator on a commutative  $\mathcal{K}$ -ring  $\mathcal{A}$  if*

$$\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Delta = 0 \quad (2)$$

for any tuple of  $k + 1$  elements  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In particular, a zero order differential operator  $\Phi \in \text{Diff}_0(A)$  on  $A$  obeys the condition  $\delta_a \Phi = 0$ ,  $\forall a \in A$ , i.e., it is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module endomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, all zero order differential operators on  $\mathcal{A}$  are multiplications in  $\mathcal{A}$ . A first order differential operator  $\Delta$  on a commutative ring  $A$  satisfies the condition

$$\delta_b \circ \delta_a \Delta = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3)$$

For instance, any derivation of a commutative ring  $\mathcal{A}$  obeys the relation (3) and, consequently, it is a first order differential operator. Moreover, any first order differential operator  $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_1(A)$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  takes the form

$$\Delta(b) = \partial b + ab, \quad \forall b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\partial$  is a derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $P$  be a bimodule over a commutative ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, P)$  be an endomorphism of a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $P$ . Given an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , let us define an endomorphism

$$(\delta_a \Phi)(p) = a\Phi(p) - \Phi(ap), \quad \forall p \in P,$$

of a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $P$  and its endomorphism  $\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Phi$  for any tuple of elements  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 2.** An element  $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, P)$  is called a  $k$ -order  $P$ -valued differential operator on an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $P$  if

$$\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Delta = 0$$

for any tuple of  $k + 1$  elements  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In particular, a zero order differential operator  $\Phi \in \text{Diff}_0(P)$  on  $P$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module endomorphism of  $P$ . A first order differential operator  $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_1(P)$  on  $P$  is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module endomorphism of  $P$  which obeys the condition

$$\Delta(ap) = (\partial a)p + \Delta(p), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (5)$$

It should be emphasized that derivations of rings also are first order differential equations in supergeometry and non-commutative geometry. Therefore, a definition of differential operators on Lie algebras must treat derivations of Lie algebras as first order differential operators, too. However, Definition 1 fails to be generalized to non-associative algebras because their derivations do not satisfy the condition (3). Therefore, a comprehensive definition of differential operators on non-associative algebras fails to be formulated.

This work addresses differential operators on Lie and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie algebras and modules over these algebras. A key point is that multiplications in these algebras are their

derivations, i.e., first order differential operators. We restrict our consideration of differential operators on Lie and graded Lie algebras to compositions of first order differential operators (see Definition 6 below). However, it may happen that there exist other operators which can be treated as higher order differential operators (see Examples 6 and 11).

There are different variants of differential calculus over a ring [3, 11]. Following a notion of the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a ring [6, 8, 13], we define the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus on a Lie algebra (see Definition 11 below). If a Lie algebra has a zero center and all its derivations are inner, this differential calculus coincides with the well-known Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of this algebra [7]. If a Lie algebra is finite-dimensional, this complex describes the matrix geometry (see Example 13 below). This also is the case of a finite-dimensional graded Lie algebra in Example 14.

Physically relevant examples of finite-dimensional Lie and graded Lie algebras, Poisson algebras, algebras of vector and graded vector fields, algebras of canonical commutation and anticommutation relations are considered.

## 2 Derivations of Lie algebras

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a commutative ring and  $A$  a Lie algebra over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let the symbol  $\cdot$  stand for the multiplication in this algebra such that its standard properties read

$$a \cdot b = -b \cdot a, \quad a \cdot (b \cdot c) + b \cdot (c \cdot a) + c \cdot (a \cdot b) = 0, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A. \quad (6)$$

One also regards  $A$  as an  $(A - A)$ -module where left and right  $A$ -module structures are related by the condition

$$ap = a \cdot p = -p \cdot a = -pa, \quad \forall p, a \in A.$$

**Definition 3.** *A derivation of a Lie algebra  $A$  is defined as its  $\mathcal{K}$ -module automorphism  $\partial$  which obeys the Leibniz rule*

$$\partial(a \cdot b) = \partial a \cdot b + a \cdot \partial b, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

For instance, any left multiplication

$$c : A \ni a \rightarrow c \cdot a \in A \quad (7)$$

in  $A$  is its inner derivation by virtue of the Jacobi identity (6):

$$c \cdot (a \cdot b) = (c \cdot a) \cdot b + a \cdot (c \cdot b).$$

Certainly, any right multiplication also is well. Let  $\partial_c$  further denote the inner derivation (7).

Derivations of a Lie algebra  $A$  constitute a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}A$  over a ring  $\mathcal{K}$  with respect to the Lie bracket

$$[\partial, \partial'] = \partial \circ \partial' - \partial' \circ \partial.$$

For instance, the Lie bracket of inner derivations reads

$$[\partial_a, \partial_b] = \partial_{a \cdot b}.$$

Thus, there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism  $A \ni a \rightarrow \partial_a \in \mathfrak{d}A$  whose kernel is the center  $\mathcal{Z}[A]$  of  $A$  consisting of divisors of zero of  $A$  (i.e., elements  $a \in A$  such that  $a \cdot b = 0$  for all  $b \in A$ ).

Let us consider a few physically relevant examples.

**Example 1.** If  $A$  is an  $N$ -dimensional Lie algebra, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}A$  of its derivations is of dimension  $\dim \mathfrak{d}A \leq N^2$ . For instance,  $\mathfrak{d}gl(k, \mathbb{R}) = sl(k, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}sl(k, \mathbb{R}) = sl(k, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{d}o(k) = o(k)$ , i.e., all derivations of these Lie algebras are inner, and the algebras  $sl(k, \mathbb{R})$  and  $o(k)$  have a zero center.

**Example 2.** Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional smooth real manifold and  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  a real Lie algebra of vector fields on  $X$  with respect to the Lie bracket

$$u \cdot v = [u, v], \quad u, v \in \mathcal{T}_1(X).$$

All derivations of a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  are inner and this algebra has no divisors of zero. Consequently,  $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{T}_1(X) = \mathcal{T}_1(X)$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a smooth fibre bundle and  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  a real Lie algebra of vertical vector fields on  $Y$ . Then  $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{V}(Y)$  is a Lie algebra of projectable vector fields on  $Y$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $(Z, w)$  be a Poisson manifold endowed with a Poisson bivector  $w$ . Let  $C^\infty(Z)$  be a real Poisson algebra of smooth real functions on  $Z$  with respect to a Poisson bracket

$$f \cdot g = \{f, g\}, \quad f, g \in C^\infty(Z). \quad (8)$$

Its derivations need not be inner. For instance, let  $(Z = \mathbb{R}^{2m}, w)$  be a symplectic manifold which is coordinated by  $(q^i, p_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and provided with the symplectic structure

$$\Omega = dp_i \wedge dq^i, \quad \{f, g\} = \partial^i f \partial_i g - \partial_i f \partial^i g, \quad f, g \in C^\infty(Z).$$

Then  $\partial_1 : f \rightarrow \partial_1 f$  is a non-inner derivation of the Poisson algebra  $C^\infty(Z)$  (8).

**Example 5.** Let  $V$  be a real vector space, which need not be finite-dimensional. Let us consider the space  $W = V \oplus V \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . We denote its elements by  $w = (\pi, \phi, \lambda)$ . Let  $\langle v, v' \rangle$  be a scalar product (a positive non-degenerate bilinear form) on  $V$ . Then one brings  $W$  into a real Lie algebra with respect to the bracket

$$w \cdot w' = [w, w'] = -[w', w] = (\langle \pi, \phi' \rangle - \langle \pi', \phi \rangle) \mathbf{1}, \quad \forall w, w' \in W. \quad (9)$$

It is readily observed that the Jacobi identity of this Lie algebra is trivial, i.e.,

$$w \cdot w' \cdot w'' = 0, \quad \forall w, w', w'' \in W. \quad (10)$$

The Lie algebra (9) is called the algebra of canonical commutation relations (henceforth CCR). Its inner derivations read

$$\partial_w(\pi', \phi', \lambda') = (0, 0, \langle \pi, \phi' \rangle - \langle \pi', \phi \rangle), \quad w = (\pi, \phi, \lambda) \in W, \quad \forall (\pi', \phi', \lambda') \in W.$$

A generic derivation of the CCR algebra  $W$  (9) takes the form

$$\partial w = (M_1(\pi) + O_1(\phi), O_2(\pi) + M_2(\phi), C_1(\pi) + C_2(\phi)), \quad (11)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are linear functions on  $V$ , and  $M_1, M_2, O_1$  and  $O_2$  are endomorphisms of  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_1(v), v' \rangle + \langle v, M_2(v') \rangle &= 0, & \forall v, v' \in V, \\ \langle O_1(v), v' \rangle - \langle v, O_1(v') \rangle &= 0, & \langle O_2(v), v' \rangle - \langle v, O_2(v') \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Differential operators on Lie algebras

We consider the following class of differential operators on a Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $A$ .

**Definition 4.** A zero order differential operator  $\Phi$  on a Lie algebra  $A$  is defined as an endomorphism of an  $(A - A)$ -module  $A$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi(a \cdot b) = a \cdot \Phi(b) = \Phi(a) \cdot b.$$

It may happen that zero order differential operators on a Lie algebra  $A$  are reduced to multiplications

$$A \ni a \rightarrow \lambda a \in A, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (12)$$

i.e.  $\text{Diff}_0(A) = \mathcal{K}$ . This is the case of finite-dimensional Lie algebra in Example 1, a Lie algebra of vector fields  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  in Example 2, a CCR algebra in Example 5, and a Poisson algebra  $C^\infty(Z)$  on a symplectic manifold  $Z$  in Example 4. If  $(Z, w)$  is a non-symplectic Poisson manifold, zero order differential operators on a Poisson algebra  $C^\infty(Z)$  are not exhausted by the multiplications (12). Namely, if  $c(z)$  are Casimir functions, the morphisms

$$C^\infty(Z) \ni f(z) \rightarrow c(z)f(z) \in C^\infty(Z)$$

are zero order differential operators on a Poisson algebra  $C^\infty(Z)$ , i.e.,  $\text{Diff}_0(A) = \mathcal{Z}[C^\infty(Z)]$ .

**Definition 5.** *A first order differential operator on a Lie algebra  $A$  is defined as a sum  $\partial + \Phi$  of a derivation  $\partial$  of a Lie algebra  $A$  and a zero order differential operator  $\Phi$  on  $A$  (cf. the formula (4)).*

This definition is based on the following facts.

**Lemma 1.** *Composition of a zero order differential operator on a Lie algebra and a derivation of this Lie algebra is a first order differential operator in accordance with Definition 5. The bracket of a zero order differential operator on a Lie algebra and a derivation of this Lie algebra is a zero order differential operator.*

**Proof.** Given a zero order differential operator  $\Phi$  on  $A$  and a derivation  $\partial$  of  $A$ , we have the equalities

$$(\Phi \circ \partial)(a \cdot b) = \Phi(\partial a \cdot b + a \cdot \partial b) = (\Phi(\partial a)) \cdot b + a \cdot \Phi(\partial b), \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi \circ \partial - \partial \circ \Phi)(a \cdot b) &= \Phi(\partial a \cdot b) + \Phi(a \cdot \partial b) - \partial a \cdot \Phi(b) - a \cdot \partial(\Phi(b)) = \\ &= a(\Phi \circ \partial - \partial \circ \Phi)(b). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The equality (13) shows that  $\Phi \circ \partial$  is a derivation of  $A$ . By virtue of the equality (14),  $\Phi \circ \partial - \partial \circ \Phi$  is a zero order differential operator and, consequently,  $\partial \circ \Phi$  is a first order differential operator in accordance with Definition 5.  $\square$

Note that the equality (14) is not trivial if zero order differential operators and derivations do not commute with each other. This is the case of a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  of vertical vector fields on a fibre bundle  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  in Example 3. Zero order differential operators on this Lie algebra are the morphisms

$$\mathcal{V}(Y) \ni u \rightarrow fu \in \mathcal{V}(Y), \quad \forall f \in \pi^*C^\infty(X),$$

i.e.,  $\text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{V}(Y)) = C^\infty(X)$ . They do not commute with the non-inner derivations of  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  which are non-vertical projectable vector fields on  $Y$ .

Definitions 4 and 5 of zero and first order differential operators on Lie algebras are similar to those of zero and first order differential operators on a commutative ring. A difference is

that all zero order differential operators on a commutative ring are multiplications in this ring, while multiplications (7) in a Lie algebra are first order differential operators.

**Definition 6.** *A differential operator of order  $k > 1$  on a Lie algebra  $A$  is defined as a composition of  $k$  first order differential operators on  $A$ .*

Definition 6 is not exhausted. There exist different morphisms of Lie algebras which can be treated as differential operators as follows.

**Example 6.** Let  $Y \rightarrow X$ , coordinated by  $(x^\mu, y^i)$ , be a vector bundle with a structure group  $U(k)$  which is provided with a constant fibre metric  $\eta$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  be a Lie algebra of vertical vector fields on  $Y$  in Example 3. An endomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}(Y) \ni u^k \partial_k \rightarrow \eta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j (u^k) \partial_k \in \mathcal{V}(Y).$$

of  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  as a real vector space is a second order differential operator on a  $C^\infty(Y)$ -module  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$ . This endomorphism also may be regarded as a second order differential operator on a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$ , but it fails to satisfy Definition 6.

Due to Lemma 1, it is easily justified that differential operators on a Lie algebra in accordance with Definitions 4, 5 and 6 possess the following properties.

**Lemma 2.** *A composition  $\Delta \circ \Delta'$  of two differential operators  $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_k(A)$  and  $\Delta' \in \text{Diff}_m(A)$  of order  $k$  and  $m$ , respectively, is a  $(k + m)$ -order differential operator.*

**Lemma 3.** *Given differential operators  $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_k(A)$  and  $\Delta' \in \text{Diff}_m(A)$  of order  $0 < k$  and  $m \leq k$ , respectively, their bracket  $[\Delta, \Delta']$  is a differential operator of order  $m$ .*

**Example 7.** Differential operators on the Lie algebras  $gl(k, \mathbb{R})$  and  $o(k)$  in Example 1 are exhausted by compositions of multiplications in these algebras.

**Example 8.** Let  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  be a Lie algebra of vector fields on a manifold  $X$  in Example 3. In accordance with Definition 5, a first order differential operator  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  takes the form

$$\Delta(v) = [u, v] + \lambda v, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{T}_1(X), \quad (15)$$

where  $u \in \mathcal{T}_1(X)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Accordingly, a  $k$ -order differential operator on  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  is a composition of  $k$  first order operators (15). At the same time,  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  is a  $C^\infty(X)$ -module. By virtue of Definition 2, zero order differential operator on a  $C^\infty(X)$ -module  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  are its endomorphisms, i.e.,

$$\text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{T}_1(X), \mathcal{T}_1(X)) = \text{Hom}_{C^\infty(X)}(\mathcal{T}_1(X), \mathcal{T}_1(X)).$$

A first order differential operator  $\Delta$  on a  $C^\infty(X)$ -module  $\mathcal{T}_1(X)$  obeys the condition (5) which reads

$$\Delta(fv) = u(f)v + f\Delta(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{T}_1(X), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(X),$$

where  $u \in \mathcal{T}_1(X)$ . Namely, we have

$$\Delta(v) = \nabla_u v, \quad \Delta(fv) = u(f)v + f\nabla_u v, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{T}_1(X), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(X),$$

where  $\nabla_u$  is a covariant derivative along a vector field  $u \in \mathcal{T}_1(X)$  with respect to some linear connection on the tangent bundle  $TX$  (cf. the formula (15)).

**Example 9.** Since zero order differential operators on a CCR algebra in Example 5 are its multiplications (12), higher order differential operators on this Lie algebra are exhausted by compositions of derivations (11).

## 4 Differential operators on modules over Lie algebras

Let a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $P$  be a left module over a Lie algebra  $A$  which acts on  $P$  by endomorphisms

$$A \times P \ni (a, p) \rightarrow ap \in P, \quad (a \cdot b)p = [a, b]p = (a \circ b - b \circ a)p, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

In physical application, one can think of  $P$  as being a carrier space of a representation of  $A$ . Therefore, we consider  $P$ -valued differential operators on  $P$ .

**Definition 7.** *A zero order differential operator  $\Phi$  on a module  $P$  is its  $A$ -module endomorphism, i.e.,*

$$\Phi(ap) = a\Phi(p), \quad \forall a \in A, \quad \forall p \in P.$$

It may happen that zero order differential operators on  $P$  are exhausted by multiplications

$$P \ni p \rightarrow \lambda p \in P, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{K}.$$

For instance, this is the case of Lie algebras  $gl(k, \mathbb{R})$  and  $o(k)$  in Example 1 acting in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Definition 8.** *A first order differential operator on an  $A$ -module  $P$  is defined to be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module endomorphism  $\Delta$  of  $P$  which obeys the relation*

$$\Delta(ap) = (\partial a)\Phi(p) + a\Delta(p), \quad \forall a \in A, \quad \forall p \in P, \tag{16}$$

where  $\partial$  is a derivation of a Lie algebra  $A$  and  $\Phi$  is a zero order differential operator on  $P$ .



For instance, a multiplication

$$P \ni p \rightarrow ap \in P, \quad a \in A, \quad (17)$$

is a first order differential operator on  $P$  which satisfies the condition (16):

$$b(ap) = [b, a]p + a(bp) = (\partial_b a)p + a(bp), \quad \forall a, b \in A, \quad \forall p \in P. \quad (18)$$

If  $P = A$ , a first order differential operator on a Lie algebra  $A$  also is that on a left  $A$ -module  $A$  in accordance with Definition 8, and *vice versa*.

Obviously, compositions of zero order and first order differential operators on  $P$  are first order differential operators on  $P$ . However, the bracket of a zero order differential operator and a first differential operator need not be a zero order differential operator, and the bracket of two first order differential operators is not necessarily the first order one.

Definitions 7 and 8 of zero and first order differential operators on modules over a Lie algebra are similar to those of zero and first order differential operators on modules over a commutative ring. An essential difference is that, in the case of a ring, the multiplications (17) are zero order differential operators on  $P$ .

**Definition 9.** *A differential operator of order  $k > 1$  on an  $A$ -module  $P$  is defined as a composition of  $k$  first order differential operators on  $P$ .*

By very definition, a composition of two differential operators of order  $k$  and  $m$  is a  $(k + m)$ -order differential operator.

**Example 10.** Differential operators on a module  $\mathbb{R}^k$  over a Lie algebra  $gl(k, \mathbb{R})$  in Example 1 are exhausted by action of elements of the universal enveloping algebra of  $gl(k, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Example 11.** Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a fibre bundle and  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  the Lie algebra of vertical vector fields on  $Y$  in Example 3. A ring  $C^\infty(Y)$  of smooth real functions on  $Y$  is provided with a structure of a left  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$ -module with respect to morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(Y) \times C^\infty(Y) \ni (u, f) &\rightarrow uf = u \rfloor df = u^i \partial_i f \in C^\infty(Y), \\ (u \circ v - v \circ u)f &= ([u, v])f, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{V}(Y), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Zero order differential operators on a  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$ -module  $C^\infty(Y)$  are  $C^\infty(X)$ -module endomorphisms of  $C^\infty(Y)$ . First order differential operators are exemplified by  $\mathbb{R}$ -module endomorphisms

$$C^\infty(Y) \ni f \rightarrow u \rfloor df \in C^\infty(Y),$$

where  $u$  is a projectable vector field on  $Y$ . Note that zero order differential operators on a  $C^\infty(X)$ -ring  $C^\infty(Y)$  are exhausted by its multiplications, while first order differential operators on this ring are the morphisms

$$C^\infty(Y) \ni \phi \rightarrow u \rfloor df + \phi \in C^\infty(Y), \quad u \in \mathcal{V}(Y), \quad \phi \in C^\infty(Y).$$

For instance, let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a fibre bundle in Example 6. Then a  $C^\infty(X)$ -ring  $C^\infty(Y)$  admits a second order differential operator

$$C^\infty(Y) \ni f \rightarrow \eta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j f \in C^\infty(Y).$$

This endomorphism also may be regarded as a second order differential operator on a  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$ -module  $C^\infty(Y)$ , but it does not satisfy Definition 9.

**Example 12.** Let us consider a CCR algebra  $W = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in Example 5. Given its basis  $(e_\pi, e_\phi)$ , the bracket (9) takes the form

$$[w, w'] = (\pi\phi' - \pi'\phi)\mathbf{1}, \quad w = \pi e_\pi + \phi e_\phi + \lambda\mathbf{1}, \quad w' = \pi' e_\pi + \phi' e_\phi + \lambda'\mathbf{1}.$$

The generic derivation (11) of this Lie algebra reads

$$\partial(\pi e_\pi + \phi e_\phi + \lambda\mathbf{1}) = (M\pi + O_1\phi)e_\pi + (-M\phi + O_2\pi)e_\phi + (C_1\pi + C_2\phi)\mathbf{1}, \quad (19)$$

where  $M, O_1, O_2, C_1, C_2$  are real numbers. Let us consider a ring  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  of smooth real functions  $f(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is provided with the structure of a  $W$ -module with respect to the action

$$w(f) = (\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \phi x + \lambda)f, \quad w = \pi e_\pi + \phi e_\phi + \lambda\mathbf{1}. \quad (20)$$

The action (20) exemplifies the first order differential operator (17) on a  $W$ -module  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . A generic first order differential operator on this  $W$ -module reads

$$\Delta = O_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + (C_2 - Mx) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (C_1 + \frac{1}{2}O_2x)x + \lambda, \quad (21)$$

where  $M, O_1, O_2, C_1, C_2, \lambda$  are real numbers. The differential operator (21) satisfies the condition

$$\Delta(wf) = (\partial w)f + w(\Delta(f)),$$

where  $\partial$  is the derivation (19). Any  $k$ -order differential operator on a  $W$ -module  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a composition of the first order differential operators (21).

## 5 Differential calculus on Lie algebras

As was mentioned above, we follow the notion of the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a ring [6, 8, 13].

**Definition 10.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a commutative ring,  $\mathcal{A}$  a commutative  $\mathcal{K}$ -ring,  $\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}]$  the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$  a Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra of derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let us consider the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of  $\mathcal{K}$ -multilinear morphisms of  $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , seen as a  $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ -module [7, 8, 13]. Its subcomplex of  $\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}]$ -multilinear morphisms is a differential graded algebra, called the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

By analogy with this definition, let us construct the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a Lie algebra as follows.

**Definition 11.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a commutative ring,  $A$  a Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{Z}[A]$  the center of  $A$ , and  $\mathfrak{d}A$  a Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra of derivations of  $A$ . Let us consider the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex  $C^*[\mathfrak{d}A; A]$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ -multilinear morphisms of  $\mathfrak{d}A$  to  $A$ , seen as a  $\mathfrak{d}A$ -module [7, 8, 13]. Its subcomplex  $\mathcal{O}^*A$  of  $\mathcal{Z}[A]$ -multilinear morphisms  $\mathcal{O}^*A$  is called the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a Lie algebra  $A$ .*

For instance, let  $A$  have no divisors of zero. i.e.,  $\mathcal{Z}[A]=0$ , and let all derivations of  $A$  be inner, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{d}A = A$ . Then the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus  $\mathcal{O}^*A$  over  $A$  in Definition 11 coincides with the well-known Chevalley–Eilenberg complex  $C^*[A]$  of  $A$ -valued cochains on  $A$  [7, 8, 13].

For the sake of simplicity, we restrict our consideration to a Lie algebra  $A$  without divisors of zero. In this case,  $A$  is an invariant subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{d}A$ , and we denote an action of  $\mathfrak{d}A$  on  $A$  as

$$\mathfrak{d}A \times A \ni (\varepsilon, a) \rightarrow \varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon \cdot a \in A \subset \mathfrak{d}A. \quad (22)$$

A  $\mathcal{K}$ -multilinear skew-symmetric map

$$c^k : \times^k \mathfrak{d}A \rightarrow A$$

is called an  $A$ -valued  $k$ -cochain on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}A$ . These cochains form a  $\mathfrak{d}A$ -module  $C^k[\mathfrak{d}A; A]$  with respect to an action

$$\varepsilon : c^k \rightarrow \varepsilon \cdot c^k, \quad [\varepsilon, \varepsilon'] = \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon', \quad \forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \mathfrak{d}A, \quad (23)$$

Let us put  $C^0[\mathfrak{d}A; A] = A$ . We obtain the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1[\mathfrak{d}A; A] \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \cdots C^k[\mathfrak{d}A; A] \xrightarrow{\delta^k} \cdots, \quad (24)$$

with respect to the Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operators

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^k c^k(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \varepsilon_i \cdot c^k(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon}_i, \dots, \varepsilon_k) + \\ &\quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} c^k(\varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon}_i, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon}_j, \dots, \varepsilon_k), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the caret  $\widehat{\phantom{x}}$  denotes omission [7].

In particular, we have

$$(\delta^0 a)(\varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot a = -\partial_a(\varepsilon_0), \quad \forall a \in A = C^0[\mathfrak{d}A; A], \quad (26)$$

$$(\delta^1 c^1)(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot c^1(\varepsilon_1) - \varepsilon_1 \cdot c^1(\varepsilon_0) - c^1(\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_1). \quad (27)$$

A glance at the expression (27) shows that a one-cocycle  $c^1$  on  $\mathfrak{d}A$  obeys the relation

$$c^1(\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_1) = c^1(\varepsilon_0) \cdot \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_0 \cdot c^1(\varepsilon_1)$$

and, thus, it is an  $A$ -valued derivation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}A$ . Accordingly, any one-coboundary (26) is an inner derivation  $-\partial_a$ ,  $a \in A \subset \mathfrak{d}A$  of  $\mathfrak{d}A$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Any  $A$ -valued derivation  $\partial$  of  $\mathfrak{d}A$  is inner, i.e.,  $\partial = \partial_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{d}A$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\partial$  does not vanish on  $A \subset \mathfrak{d}A$ , it is an element of  $\mathfrak{d}A$  by very definition of  $\mathfrak{d}A$ . Let  $\partial(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A \subset \mathfrak{d}A$ . Then we have

$$0 = \partial(\varepsilon \cdot a) = \partial(\varepsilon) \cdot a + \varepsilon \cdot \partial(a) = \partial(\varepsilon) \cdot a, \quad \forall a \in A, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{d}A.$$

Consequently,  $\partial(\varepsilon)$  is a zero derivation of  $A$ , i.e., it is a zero element of  $\mathfrak{d}A$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemma 4 that there is a monomorphism  $C^0[\mathfrak{d}A; A] \rightarrow \mathfrak{d}A$ , i.e., any one-cocycle  $c^1$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{d}A$  such that  $c^1(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \cdot c^1$ . Accordingly, one can think of the cohomology  $H^1(\mathfrak{d}A; A)$  of the complex (24) as being the set of non-inner derivations of  $A$  whose bracket with any derivation of  $A$  are inner derivations of  $A$ .

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{d}A = A$ , then the first cohomology of the complex (24) is trivial.

**Example 13.** Let  $A$  be an  $N$ -dimensional real Lie algebra provided with a basis  $\{a_m\}$ . Let us assume that it has no divisors of zero and that all its derivations are inner, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{d}A = A$ . Then its Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus (24) coincides with the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1[A] \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \dots C^N[A] \quad (28)$$

of a Lie algebra  $A$ . In particular, the Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operator  $\delta^0$  (26) takes the form

$$(\delta^0 a_m)(a_n) = c_{nm}^r a_r, \quad (29)$$

where  $c_{nm}^r$  are structure constants. Since one-cocycles  $c^1 \in C^1[A]$  are endomorphisms of a vector space  $A$ , they can be represented by elements of the tensor product  $A \otimes A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is a coalgebra of  $A$ . Let  $\{\theta^r\}$  be the dual basis for  $A^*$  and, accordingly,  $\{a_m \otimes \theta^r\}$  a basis for the vector space  $B^1[A]$  of one-cocycles. In particular, we have

$$(\delta^0 a_m) = c_{nm}^r a_r \otimes \theta^n, \quad (30)$$

$$\delta^1(a_m \otimes \theta^r) = c_{pm}^n a_n \otimes (\theta^p \wedge \theta^r) - \frac{1}{2} c_{pn}^r a_m \otimes (\theta^p \wedge \theta^n). \quad (31)$$

One can think of the formula (31) as the Maurer–Cartan equation. For instance, let  $A = sl(k, \mathbb{R})$ . Then a glance at the expressions (29) – (31) shows that the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus (28) describes the matrix geometry of a Lie algebra  $sl(k, \mathbb{R})$  [4].

## 6 Differential operators on graded Lie algebras

As was mentioned above, the notion of differential operators and the differential calculus on a graded commutative ring is a straightforward generalization of that of differential operators and differential calculus on a commutative ring [1, 7, 8, 13]. A difference lies in a definition of derivation of a graded commutative ring.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a commutative ring. An associative  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called graded if it is endowed with a grading automorphism  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma^2 = \text{Id}$ . A graded algebra seen as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module falls into the direct sum  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$  of two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  of even and odd elements such that

$$\gamma(a) = (-1)^i a, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_i, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

One calls  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  the even and odd parts of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. Since  $\gamma(aa') = \gamma(a)\gamma(a')$ , we have

$$[aa'] = ([a] + [a']) \bmod 2$$

where  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{[a]}$ ,  $a' \in \mathcal{A}_{[a']}$ . If  $A$  is a ring, then  $[1] = 0$ . A graded algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be graded commutative if

$$aa' = (-1)^{[a][a']} a' a,$$

where  $a$  and  $a'$  are arbitrary homogeneous elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., they are either even or odd.

An endomorphism  $\partial$  of a graded  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $A$  is called a graded derivation of  $A$  if it obeys the graded Leibniz rule

$$\partial(ab) = \partial(a)b + (-1)^{[a][\partial]} a \partial(b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (32)$$

Graded derivations constitute a graded Lie algebra over a ring  $\mathcal{K}$  with respect to the graded bracket

$$[\partial, \partial'] = \partial \circ \partial' - (-1)^{[\partial][\partial']} \partial' \circ \partial. \quad (33)$$

Let  $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be an endomorphism of a graded  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ . Given an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , let us define an endomorphism

$$(\delta_a \Phi)(c) = a\Phi(c) - (-1)^{[\Phi][a]} \Phi(ac), \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{A},$$

of a  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ , and its endomorphism  $\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Phi$  for any tuple of elements  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then a definition of differential operators on a graded commutative ring  $\mathcal{A}$  is a repetition of Definition 1.

Considering differential operators on graded Lie algebras, one meets a problem similar to that for Lie algebras. Namely, their graded derivations  $\partial$  do not obey the condition  $\delta_{a_0} \circ \delta_{a_1} \partial = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a commutative ring. A  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded non-associative  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $A$  (a Lie super-algebra) is called a graded Lie algebra if its multiplication obeys the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot a' &= -(-1)^{[a][a']} a' \cdot a, \\ (-1)^{[a][a'']} a \cdot a' \cdot a'' + (-1)^{[a'][a]} a' \cdot a'' \cdot a + (-1)^{[a'']{[a']}} a'' \cdot a \cdot a' &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the even part  $A_0$  of a graded Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $A$  is a Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra. A graded  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $P$  is called an  $A$ -module if it is provided with a  $\mathcal{K}$ -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} A \times P \ni (a, p) &\rightarrow ap \in P, \quad [ap] = ([a] + [p]) \bmod 2, \\ (a \cdot a')p &= (a \circ a' - (-1)^{[a][a']} a' \circ a)p. \end{aligned}$$

An endomorphism  $\partial$  of a graded  $\mathcal{K}$ -module  $A$  is said to be a graded derivation of  $A$  if it satisfies the graded Leibniz rule (32):

$$\partial(a \cdot b) = \partial(a) \cdot b + (-1)^{[a][\partial]} a \cdot \partial(b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Graded derivations of a graded Lie algebra  $A$  form a graded Lie  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{d}A$  with respect to the graded bracket (33).

With this notion of a graded derivation, one can follow Definitions 4 – 6 and Definition 11 in order to describe differential operators and the differential calculus on a graded Lie algebra.

**Example 14.** Let  $A$  be an  $N$ -dimensional real graded Lie algebra provided with a basis  $\{a_m\}$ . Let us assume that it has no divisors of zero and that all its derivations are

inner, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{d}A = A$ . Then its graded Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus coincides with the graded Chevalley–Eilenberg complex

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1[A] \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \dots \quad (34)$$

of a graded Lie algebra  $A$  [7]. In particular, the graded Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operator  $\delta^0$  takes the form

$$(\delta^0 a_m)(a_n) = (-1)^{[a_m]} c_{nm}^r a_r,$$

where  $c_{nm}^r$  are structure constants. Since one-cocycles  $c^1 \in C^1[A]$  are endomorphisms of a graded vector space  $A$ , they can be represented by elements of the tensor product  $A \otimes A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is a coalgebra of  $A$ . Let  $\{\theta^r\}$  be the dual basis for  $A^*$  and, accordingly,  $\{a_m \otimes \theta^r\}$  a basis for the graded vector space  $B^1[A]$  of one-cocycles. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^0 a_m) &= (-1)^{[a_m]} c_{nm}^r a_r \otimes \theta^n, \\ \delta^1(a_m \otimes \theta^r) &= (-1)^{[a_p]([a_p] + [a_m])} c_{pm}^n a_n \otimes (\theta^p \wedge \theta^r) - \frac{1}{2} c_{pn}^r a_m \otimes (\theta^p \wedge \theta^n), \\ \theta^p \wedge \theta^n &= -(-1)^{[a_p][a_n]} \theta^n \wedge \theta^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can think of the graded Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus (34) by analogy with Example 13 as describing a matrix geometry of a graded Lie algebra  $A$ .

**Example 15.** Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a vector space, and let  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  be a graded manifold whose body is  $X$  and whose structure sheaf  $\mathfrak{A}$  of graded functions is a sheaf of sections of the exterior bundle

$$\wedge Y^* = (X \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus Y^* \oplus \wedge^2 Y^* \oplus \dots$$

where  $Y^*$  is the dual of  $Y$  [1, 8, 13]. Let  $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{A}$  be the sheaf of graded derivations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Its sections are graded derivations of the graded commutative ring  $\wedge Y^*(X)$  of sections of the exterior bundle  $\wedge Y^*$ . They are called graded vector fields on a graded manifold  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$ . Given a trivialization chart  $(U; x^\mu, y^a)$  of  $Y$  and the corresponding local basis  $(x^\mu, c^a)$  for  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$ , graded vector fields read

$$u = u^\mu \partial_\mu + u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial c^a}, \quad (35)$$

where  $u^\lambda, u^a$  are local graded functions on  $U$ . Graded vector fields (35) form a graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}[\wedge Y^*(X)]$  with respect to the graded Lie bracket

$$[u, u'] = u \circ u' - (-1)^{[u][u']} u' \circ u.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c^a} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial c^b} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial c^b} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial c^a}, \quad \partial_\mu \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial c^a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial c^a} \circ \partial_\mu.$$

All graded derivations of the graded Lie algebra  $A = \mathfrak{d}[\wedge Y^*(X)]$  are inner. Since  $A$  has no divisors of zero, we have  $\mathfrak{d}A = A$ .

**Example 16.** Let  $V$  be a real vector space. Let us consider the graded vector space

$$W = W_1 \oplus W_0 = (V \oplus V) \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote its elements by  $w = (\pi, \phi, \lambda)$  where  $[\pi] = [\phi] = 1$ . Let  $\langle v, v' \rangle$  be a scalar product on  $V$ . Then one brings  $W$  into a real graded Lie algebra with respect to the bracket

$$w \cdot w' = [w, w'] = [w', w] = (\langle \pi, \phi' \rangle + \langle \pi', \phi \rangle) \mathbf{1}, \quad \forall w, w' \in W. \quad (36)$$

The graded Lie algebra (36) is called the algebra of canonical anticommutation relations (henceforth CAR). Its inner derivations read

$$\partial_w(\pi', \phi', \lambda') = (0, 0, \langle \pi, \phi' \rangle + \langle \pi', \phi \rangle), \quad w = (\pi, \phi, \lambda) \in W, \quad \forall (\pi', \phi', \lambda') \in W.$$

A generic derivation of the CAR algebra (36) takes the form

$$\partial w = (M_1(\pi) + O_1(\phi), O_2(\pi) + M_2(\phi), C_1(\pi) + C_2(\phi)), \quad (37)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are linear functions on  $V$ , and  $M_1, M_2, O_1$  and  $O_2$  are endomorphisms of  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_1(v), v' \rangle + \langle v, M_2(v') \rangle &= 0, & \forall v, v' \in V, \\ \langle O_1(v), v' \rangle + \langle v, O_2(v') \rangle &= 0, & \langle O_2(v), v' \rangle + \langle v, O_1(v') \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider a CAR algebra  $W = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . Given its basis  $(e_\pi, e_\phi)$ , the bracket (36) takes the form

$$[w, w'] = (\pi\phi' + \pi'\phi) \mathbf{1}, \quad w = \pi e_\pi + \phi e_\phi + \lambda \mathbf{1}, \quad w' = \pi' e_\pi + \phi' e_\phi + \lambda' \mathbf{1}. \quad (38)$$

The generic derivation (37) of this Lie algebra reads

$$\partial(\pi e_\pi + \phi e_\phi + \lambda \mathbf{1}) = M\pi e_\pi - M\phi e_\phi + (C_1\pi + C_2\phi) \mathbf{1}, \quad (39)$$

where  $M, C_1, C_2$  are real numbers. These derivations constitute a graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}W$ . Let us consider a graded commutative ring  $\Lambda$  generated by an odd element  $c$ . It is a Grassmann algebra whose elements take the form

$$h = h_0 + h_1 c, \quad h_0, h_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$



A Grassmann algebra  $\Lambda$  is provided with a structure of a graded module over the CAR algebra  $W$  (38), which acts on  $\Lambda$  by the law

$$w = \pi e_\pi + \phi e_\phi + \lambda \mathbf{1}, \quad h = h_0 + h_1 c,$$

$$w(h) = (\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + \phi c + \lambda)(h) = (\pi h_1 + \lambda h_0) + (\phi h_0 + \lambda h_1) c.$$

This action exemplifies the first order differential operator on a  $W$ -module  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . A generic first order differential operator on this  $W$ -module reads

$$\Delta = (C_2 - M c) \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + C_1 c + \lambda, \quad (40)$$

where  $M, C_1, C_2, \lambda$  are real numbers. The differential operator (40) satisfies the condition

$$\Delta(wh) = (\partial w)h + w(\Delta(h)),$$

where  $\partial$  is the derivation (39). Any  $k$ -order differential operator on a  $W$ -module  $\Lambda$  is a composition of first order differential operators (40).

## References

- [1] Bartocci C., Bruzzo U. and Hernández Ruipérez D., The Geometry of Supermanifolds, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1991.
- [2] Borowiec A., Vector fields and differential operators: Noncommutative case, *Czech. J. Phys.* **47** (1997) 1093.
- [3] Connes A., Noncommutative Geometry, Academic Press, New York, 1994.
- [4] Dubois-Violette M., Kerner R. and Madore J., Noncommutative differential geometry of matrix algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 316.
- [5] Dubois-Violette M. and Masson T., On the first-order operators on bimodules, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 467.
- [6] Dubois-Violette M., Lectures on graded differential algebras and noncommutative geometry, Noncommutative Differential Geometry and Its Applications to Physics, Y. Maeda et al (eds), Kluwer, 2001, pp. 245-306.
- [7] Fuks D., Cohomology of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986.
- [8] Giachetta G., Mangiarotti L. and Sardanashvily G., Geometric and Algebraic Topological Methods in Quantum Mechanics, World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.

- [9] Grothendieck A., *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV*, *Publ. Math.* **32**, IHES, Paris, 1967.
- [10] Krasil'shchik I., Lychagin V. and Vinogradov A., *Geometry of Jet Spaces and Non-linear Partial Differential Equations*, Gordon and Breach, Glasgow, 1985.
- [11] Landi G., *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and their Geometries*, *Lect. Notes in Physics, New series m: Monographs* **51**, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] Lunts V. and Rosenberg A., *Differential operators on noncommutative rings*, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series* **3** (1997) 335.
- [13] Sardanashvily G., *Lectures on differential geometry of modules and rings*, arXiv: 0910.1515.