

# GENERATORS FOR RINGS OF COMPACTLY SUPPORTED DISTRIBUTIONS

SARA MAAD SASANE AND AMOL SASANE

ABSTRACT. Let  $C$  denote a closed convex cone  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with apex at 0. We denote by  $\mathcal{E}'(C)$  the set of distributions having compact support which is contained in  $C$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}'(C)$  is a ring with the usual addition and with convolution. We give a necessary and sufficient analytic condition on  $\widehat{f}_1, \dots, \widehat{f}_n$  for  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$  to generate the ring  $\mathcal{E}'(C)$ . (Here  $\widehat{\cdot}$  denotes Fourier-Laplace transformation.) This result is an application of a general result on rings of analytic functions of several variables by Hörmander. En route we answer an open question posed by Yutaka Yamamoto.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of  $R$  are said to *generate*  $R$  if the ideal generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is equal to  $R$ , or equivalently, if there exist  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  such that  $a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n = 1$ .

For instance, if  $R = H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , the set of all bounded and holomorphic functions on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  centered at 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the corona theorem says that  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  generate  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  iff there exists a  $C > 0$  such that  $|f_1(z)| + \dots + |f_n(z)| > C$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ; see [2].

In this note we address this question when the ring  $R$  consists of compactly supported distributions.

Let  $C$  denote a closed convex cone  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with apex at 0. Recall that a *convex cone* is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following properties:

- (1) If  $x, y \in C$ , then  $x + y \in C$ .
- (2) If  $x \in C$  and  $t > 0$ , then  $tx \in C$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}'(C)$  be the set consisting of all distributions having a compact support which is contained in  $C$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}'(C)$  is a commutative ring with the usual addition of distributions and the operation of convolution. The Dirac delta distribution  $\delta$  supported at 0 serves as an identity in the ring  $\mathcal{E}'(C)$ .

Recall that a distribution  $f$  with compact support has a finite order, and its Fourier-Laplace transform is an entire function given by

$$\widehat{f}(z) = \langle f, e^{-iz} \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E10; Secondary 93D15, 46F05.

*Key words and phrases.* rings of distributions, compactly supported distributions, Fourier-Laplace transform.

We use the notation  $\|\cdot\|$  for the usual Euclidean 2-norm in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . The same notation is also used for the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The *supporting function* of a convex, compact set  $K$  ( $\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ) is defined by

$$H_K(\xi) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle x, \xi \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $C$  denote a closed convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with apex at 0, and  $H$  denote the supporting function of the compact convex set*

$$B := C \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

that is,  $H(\xi) = \sup_{x \in B} \langle \xi, x \rangle$ .

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$ . There exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$  such that

$$f_1 * g_1 + \dots + f_n * g_n = \delta$$

iff there are positive constants  $C, N, M$  such that

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, |\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \dots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| \geq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^{-N} e^{-MH(\text{Im}(z))}. \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem 1.1, in the case when  $d = 1$  and  $C = \mathbb{R}$  was known; see [5].

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We will show that our main result follows from the main result given in [3]. We will use the Payley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem, which is recalled below.

**Proposition 2.1** (Payley-Wiener-Schwartz). *Let  $K$  be a convex compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with supporting function  $H$ . If  $u$  is a distribution with support contained in  $K$ , then there exists a positive  $N$  such that*

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, \widehat{u}(z) \leq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^N e^{H(\text{Im}(z))}. \quad (2.1)$$

Conversely, every entire analytic function in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  satisfying an estimate of the form (2.1) is the Fourier-Laplace transform of a distribution with support contained in  $K$ .

*Proof.* See for instance [4, Theorem 7.3.1]. The only difference is that we have the term  $(1 + \|z\|^2)^N$  instead of  $(1 + \|z\|)^N$  in the estimate (2.1), which follows from the observation that  $1 + \|z\|^2 \leq (1 + \|z\|)^2 \leq 2(1 + \|z\|^2)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$  (and by replacing  $N/2$  by  $N$ ).  $\square$

We also recall the main result from Hörmander [3, Theorem 1, p. 943], which we will use.

Let  $p$  be a nonnegative function defined in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . Let  $A_p$  denote the set of all entire functions  $F : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that there exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (which in general depend on  $F$ ) such that

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, |F(z)| \leq C_1 e^{C_2 p(z)}.$$

It is clear that  $A_p$  is a ring with the usual pointwise operations.

**Proposition 2.2** (Hörmander). *Let  $p$  be a nonnegative plurisubharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  such that*

- (1) *all polynomials belong to  $A_p$*
- (2) *there exist nonnegative  $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4$  such that whenever  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d$  satisfy  $\|z - \zeta\| \leq e^{-K_1 p(z) - K_2}$ , there holds that  $p(\zeta) \leq K_3 p(z) + K_4$ .*

*If there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that*

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, |F_1(z)| + \cdots + |F_n(z)| \geq C_1 e^{-C_2 p(z)}, \quad (2.2)$$

*then  $F_1, \dots, F_n \in A_p$  generate  $A_p$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $C$  denote a closed convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with apex at 0, and  $H$  denote the supporting function of the compact convex set*

$$B := C \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

*that is,  $H(\xi) = \sup_{x \in B} \langle \xi, x \rangle$ .*

*Let  $p(z) := \log(1 + \|z\|^2) + H(\text{Im}(z))$ . Then we have the following:*

- (1)  *$p$  is nonnegative and subharmonic.*
- (2)  *$A_p = \widehat{\mathcal{E}'(C)}$ .*
- (3)  *$A_p$  contains the polynomials.*
- (4) *There exist nonnegative  $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4$  such that whenever  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d$  satisfy  $\|z - \zeta\| \leq e^{-K_1 p(z) - K_2}$ , there holds that  $p(\zeta) \leq K_3 p(z) + K_4$ . (That is, condition (2) of Proposition 2.2 is satisfied.)*

*Proof.* (1) Clearly  $p$  is nonnegative. Also, the complex Hessian at  $z$  of the map  $z \mapsto \log(1 + \|z\|^2)$  is easily seen to be

$$F(z) := \frac{1}{1 + \|z\|^2} I - \frac{1}{(1 + \|z\|^2)^2} z z^*.$$

So for  $w \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w^* F(z) w &= \frac{1}{1 + \|z\|^2} \|w\|^2 - \frac{1}{(1 + \|z\|^2)^2} |w^* z|^2 \\ &= \frac{\|w\|^2 + \|w\|^2 \|z\|^2 - |w^* z|^2}{(1 + \|z\|^2)^2} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. So the map  $z \mapsto \log(1 + \|z\|^2)$  is plurisubharmonic; see [6, Proposition 4.9, p.88].

We will use the fact that a map  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that depends only on the imaginary part of the variable is plurisubharmonic iff the map is convex; see [6, E.4.8, p.92]. The supporting function  $H_K$  of any convex compact set  $K$  satisfies the properties that

$$H_K(\xi + \eta) \leq H_K(\xi) + H_K(\eta), \quad H_K(t\xi) = tH_K(\xi)$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \geq 0$ . It is then clear that  $H_K$  is a convex function. In particular our  $H$  (the supporting function of  $B$ ) is convex too. Thus

$z \mapsto H(\text{Im}(z))$  is plurisubharmonic. Consequently,  $p$ , which is the sum of the plurisubharmonic maps  $z \mapsto \log(1 + \|z\|^2)$  and  $z \mapsto H(\text{Im}(z))$ , is plurisubharmonic as well; [6, p.88].

(2) Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$  has support contained in the compact set  $K$  contained in  $C$ . Then by the Payley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem, there exist positive  $C, N, M$  such that

$$|\widehat{f}(z)| \leq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^N e^{H_K(\text{Im}(z))}.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be such that  $\epsilon K \subset B$ . Then we have for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that

$$H_K(\xi) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle x, \xi \rangle \leq \sup_{x \in \epsilon^{-1}B} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \epsilon^{-1} \sup_{y \in B} \langle y, \xi \rangle = \epsilon^{-1} H(\xi).$$

Thus with  $M := \epsilon^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{f}(z)| &\leq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^N e^{MH(\text{Im}(z))} = C e^{N \log(1 + \|z\|^2) + MH(\text{Im}(z))} \\ &\leq C e^{\max\{N, M\}p(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\widehat{f} \in A_p$ .

Conversely, if  $F \in A_p$ , then

$$|F(z)| \leq C_1 e^{C_2 p(z)} = C_1 (1 + \|z\|^2)^{C_2} e^{C_2 H(\text{Im}(z))}.$$

But for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$C_2 H(\xi) = C_2 \sup_{x \in B} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \sup_{y \in C_2 B} \langle y, \xi \rangle = H_{C_2 B}(\xi).$$

So by the Payley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem, there exists an  $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\widehat{f} = F$  and the support of  $f$  is contained in  $C_2 B \subset C$ . Thus  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}'(C)}$ .

(3) Let  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Let

$$Q(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |k| \leq N} a_k z^k,$$

where for a multi-index  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ,

$$|k| := k_1 + \dots + k_n, \quad z^k = z_1^{k_1} \dots z_n^{k_n}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Consider

$$q = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |k| \leq N} a_k \frac{1}{i^{|k|}} \frac{\partial^{|k|}}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_n^{k_n}} \delta \in \mathcal{E}'(C),$$

Then  $Q = \widehat{q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}'(C)} = A_p$ , and so  $Q \in A_p$ .

(4) Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be nonnegative, and let  $z, \zeta$  satisfy  $\|z - \zeta\| \leq e^{-K_1 p(z) - K_2}$ . Then

$$\|z - \zeta\| \leq e^{-K_1 p(z) - K_2} = e^{-K_1 p(z)} e^{-K_2} \leq 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

In particular,  $\|\zeta\| \leq \|z\| + 1$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\operatorname{Im}(\zeta - z)) &= \sup_{x \in B} \langle x, \operatorname{Im}(\zeta - z) \rangle \leq \sup_{x \in B} \|x\| \|\operatorname{Im}(\zeta - z)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in B} \|x\| \|\zeta - z\| \leq 1 \cdot 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p(\zeta) &= \log(1 + \|\zeta\|^2) + H(\operatorname{Im}(\zeta)) \leq 2 \log(1 + \|\zeta\|) + H(\operatorname{Im}(z + \zeta - z)) \\ &\leq 2 \log(2 + \|z\|) + H(\operatorname{Im}(z)) + H(\operatorname{Im}(\zeta - z)) \\ &\leq \log(8(1 + |z|^2)) + H(\operatorname{Im}(z)) + 1 = p(z) + \log 8 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Necessity of the condition (1.1) is not hard to check. Indeed, if there are  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$  such that

$$f_1 * g_1 + \dots + f_n * g_n = \delta,$$

then upon taking Fourier-Laplace transforms, we obtain

$$\widehat{f}_1(z)\widehat{g}_1(z) + \dots + \widehat{f}_n(z)\widehat{g}_n(z) = 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}^d).$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$1 = |\widehat{f}_1(z)\widehat{g}_1(z) + \dots + \widehat{f}_n(z)\widehat{g}_n(z)| \leq |\widehat{f}_1(z)| |\widehat{g}_1(z)| + \dots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| |\widehat{g}_n(z)|.$$

Suppose that  $g_k$  has support contained in the compact convex set  $L_k (\subset C)$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then by the Payley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem, we have an estimate

$$|\widehat{g}_k(z)| \leq C_k (1 + \|z\|^2)^{N_k} e^{H_{L_k}(\operatorname{Im}(z))}$$

for each  $k$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be small enough so that  $\epsilon L_k \subset B$  for all the  $k$ . Then we have for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that

$$H_{L_k}(\xi) = \sup_{x \in L_k} \langle x, \xi \rangle \leq \sup_{x \in \epsilon^{-1}B} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \epsilon^{-1} \sup_{y \in B} \langle y, \xi \rangle = \epsilon^{-1} H(\xi).$$

Thus we have that for all  $k$ ,

$$|\widehat{g}_k(z)| \leq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^N e^{MH(\operatorname{Im}(z))},$$

where  $M := \epsilon^{-1}$ ,  $C := \max_k C_k$  and  $N := \max_k N_k$ . Consequently,

$$1 \leq (|\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \dots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)|) C(1 + \|z\|^2)^N e^{MH(\operatorname{Im}(z))},$$

and this yields (1.1), completing the proof of the necessity part.

We now show the sufficiency of (1.1). Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$  be such that their Fourier-Laplace transforms satisfy (1.1). Then by Lemma 2.3,  $\widehat{f}_1, \dots, \widehat{f}_n \in A_p$  with  $p(z) = \log(1 + \|z\|^2) + H(\operatorname{Im}(z))$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ). Moreover,

this  $p$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Proposition 2.2. The condition (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \cdots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| &\geq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^{-N} e^{-MH(\operatorname{Im}(z))} \\ &\geq C e^{-N \log(1 + \|z\|^2) - MH(\operatorname{Im}(z))} \\ &\geq C e^{-\max\{N, M\}p(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Proposition 2.2 that there are some  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  in  $A_p$  such that  $\widehat{f}_1 G_1 + \cdots + \widehat{f}_n G_n = 1$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . But  $A_p = \widehat{\mathcal{E}'(C)}$ . Hence there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(C)$  such that  $f_1 * g_1 + \cdots + f_n * g_n = \delta$ .  $\square$

### 3. SPECIAL CASES OF THE MAIN RESULT

**3.1. The full space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .** The supporting function  $H$  of the unit ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is given by  $H(\xi) = \|\xi\|$ . So we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . There exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that*

$$f_1 * g_1 + \cdots + f_n * g_n = \delta$$

*iff there are positive constants  $C, N, M$  such that*

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, |\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \cdots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| \geq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^{-N} e^{-M\|\operatorname{Im}(z)\|}. \quad (3.1)$$

**3.2. The nonnegative orthant in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .** Let

$$\mathbb{R}_+^d = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_k \geq 0, \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

The supporting function  $H$  of  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is given by

$$H(\xi) = \|\xi^+\|,$$

where

$$\xi^+ := (\max\{\xi_1, 0\}, \dots, \max\{\xi_d, 0\})$$

for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Theorem 1.1 gives the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . There exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  such that*

$$f_1 * g_1 + \cdots + f_n * g_n = \delta$$

*iff there are positive constants  $C, N, M$  such that*

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, |\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \cdots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| \geq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^{-N} e^{-M\|(\operatorname{Im}(z))^+\|}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, in the case when  $d = 1$ , we obtain:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . There exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that*

$$f_1 * g_1 + \cdots + f_n * g_n = \delta$$

*iff there are positive constants  $C, N, M$  such that*

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}, |\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \cdots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| \geq C(1 + |z|^2)^{-N} e^{-M \max\{\operatorname{Im}(z), 0\}}. \quad (3.3)$$

**3.3. The future light cone in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ .** Let  $C$  be the future light cone, namely,

$$\Gamma := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \|x\| \leq ct, t \geq 0\},$$

where  $c$  denotes the speed of light. Then the supporting function of the intersection of  $\Gamma$  and the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  is given by

$$\Phi(\xi, \tau) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\|\xi\|^2 + \tau^2} & \text{if } c^{-1}\|\xi\| \leq \tau, \\ \frac{\tau + c\|\xi\|}{\sqrt{c^2 + 1}} & \text{if } -c\|\xi\| \leq \tau \leq c^{-1}\|\xi\|, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau \leq -c\|\xi\|, \end{cases}$$

for  $(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have:

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\Gamma)$ . There exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{E}'(\Gamma)$  such that*

$$f_1 * g_1 + \dots + f_n * g_n = \delta$$

*iff there are positive constants  $C, N, M$  such that*

$$\text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d, |\widehat{f}_1(z)| + \dots + |\widehat{f}_n(z)| \geq C(1 + \|z\|^2)^{-N} e^{-M\Phi(\text{Im}(z))}. \quad (3.4)$$

#### 4. ANSWER TO YAMAMOTO'S QUESTION

We remark that Theorem 1.1 answers an open question of Y. Yamamoto; see question number 2 [7, p.282]. There it was asked if for  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ , the condition that  $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2$  have no common zeros in  $\mathbb{C}$  is enough to guarantee that there are  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f_1 * g_1 + f_2 * g_2 = \delta$ .

In light of Theorem 1.1 above, the answer is no, since our analytic condition (3.1) (in the case when  $d = 1$ ) is not equivalent to (and is stronger) than the condition that there is no common zero, as seen in the following example. (The idea behind this example is taken from [5].)

**Example 4.1.** Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be the *Liouville constant*, that is,

$$c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{n!}}.$$

(See for example, [1].) Then it can be seen that  $c$  is irrational. Also, for  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $p_K, q_K$  defined by

$$p_K = 10^{K!} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{10^{k!}}, \quad q_K = 10^{K!},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < \left| c - \frac{p_K}{q_K} \right| &= \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{k!}} = \frac{1}{10^{(K+1)!}} + \frac{1}{10^{(K+2)!}} + \frac{1}{10^{(K+3)!}} + \dots \\
&\leq \frac{1}{10^{(K+1)!}} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^m} = \frac{1}{10^{(K+1)!}} \cdot \frac{10}{9} = \frac{10/9}{(10^{K!})^K 10^{K!}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(10^{K!})^K} = \frac{1}{q_K^K}. \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Take  $f_1 = \delta - \delta_c$  and  $f_2 = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$  denotes the indicator function of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $f_1, f_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$  and we have that

$$\widehat{f}_1(z) = 1 - e^{-icz}, \quad \widehat{f}_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-iz} - 1}{-iz} & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ i \frac{de^{-iz}}{dz} \Big|_{z=0} = 1 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\widehat{f}_1$  and  $\widehat{f}_2$  have no common zeros (otherwise  $c$  would be rational!). We now show that (3.1) does not hold. Suppose, on the contrary that there exist  $C, N, M$  positive such that

$$|\widehat{f}_1(z)| + |\widehat{f}_2(z)| \geq C(1 + |z|^2)^{-N} e^{-M|\text{Im}(z)|} \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $z = 2\pi q_K$ , then we have  $\widehat{f}_2(2\pi q_K) = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$|\widehat{f}_1(2\pi q_K)| = |1 - e^{-ic(2\pi q_K)}| = |\sin(\pi c q_K)| = |\sin(\pi c q_K - \pi p_K)|.$$

The inequality (4.2) now yields that

$$|\sin(\pi(cq_K - p_K))| \geq C(1 + 4\pi^2 q_K^2)^{-N}.$$

But  $|\sin \Theta| \leq |\Theta|$  for all real  $\Theta$ , and so we obtain

$$\pi q_K \left| c - \frac{p_K}{q_K} \right| \geq C(1 + 4\pi^2 q_K^2)^{-N}.$$

In light of (4.1), we now obtain

$$\pi q_K \frac{1}{q_K^K} \geq C(1 + 4\pi^2 q_K^2)^{-N},$$

and rearranging, we have

$$\pi \frac{q_K(1 + 4\pi^2 q_K^2)^N}{q_K^K} \geq C.$$

Passing the limit  $K \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at the contradiction that  $0 \geq C$ .

We remark that in this example  $f_1, f_2$  actually belong to  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , and with the same argument given above, it can be seen that  $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2$  don't satisfy (3.3) either. This also gives another example answering question number 1 in [7], namely, for  $f_1, f_2$  in  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , whether the condition that  $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2$  have no common zeros is enough to guarantee that there are  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $f_1 * g_1 + f_2 * g_2 = \delta$ .



## REFERENCES

- [1] T.M. Apostol. *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 41. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [2] L. Carleson. Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 76:547-559, 1962.
- [3] L. Hörmander. Generators for some rings of analytic functions. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 73:943-949, 1967.
- [4] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 256. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] E.K. Petersen and G.H. Meisters. Non-Liouville numbers and a theorem of Hörmander. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 29:142-150, no. 2, 1978.
- [6] M.R. Range. *Holomorphic functions and integral representations in several complex variables*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 108. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [7] Y. Yamamoto. Coprimeness of factorizations over a ring of distributions. Problem number 52 in *Open problems in mathematical systems and control theory*. Edited by V.D. Blondel, E.D. Sontag, M. Vidyasagar and J.C. Willems, Communications and Control Engineering Series, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN.

*E-mail address:* `maad@math.su.se`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN.

*E-mail address:* `sasane@math.kth.se`