

ON THE CENTRE OF THE CYCLOTOMIC HECKE ALGEBRA OF $G(m, 1, 2)$

KEVIN MCGERTY

ABSTRACT. We compute the centre of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra attached to $G(m, 1, 2)$ and show that if $q \neq 1$ it is equal to the image of the centre of the affine Hecke algebra H_2^{aff} . We also briefly discuss what is known about the relation between the centre of an arbitrary cyclotomic Hecke algebra and the centre of the affine Hecke algebra of type A .

1. ON THE CENTRE OF \mathcal{K}_2^{\vee}

1.1. We consider quotients of the affine Hecke algebra H_2^{aff} of type A_2 : this is the algebra over $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q]$ generated by $T, X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1}$, such that

- (1) there is an injective algebra map $\mathcal{A}[X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow H_2^{\text{aff}}$.
- (2) $(T - q)(T + 1) = 0$;
- (3) $TX_1T = qX_2$.

Let S denote the image of the ring of Laurent polynomials $\mathcal{A}[X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1}]$ in H_2^{aff} , and let W be the two element group with nontrivial element s which acts on S by interchanging X_1 and X_2 . We write the action as $f \mapsto {}^s f$. For convenience of notation we set $Q = q - 1$. Relation (3) above is then equivalent to

$$Tf = {}^s fT + Q \frac{f - {}^s f}{1 - X_1 X_2^{-1}}, \quad f \in S. \quad (1.1)$$

It is easy to check from this that the centre of H_2^{aff} is S^W the algebra of symmetric functions in the $X_i^{\pm 1}$.

1.2. Now let $A = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, v_1^{\pm 1}, v_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, v_m^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring, and extend the scalars of H_2^{aff} to $A \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} H_2^{\text{aff}}$. By abuse of notation we will again denote this algebra by H_2^{aff} , and similarly for the subalgebra S .

Definition 1.1. The cyclotomic Hecke algebra \mathcal{K}_2^{\vee} of type $G(m, 1, 2)$ is a quotient of H_2^{aff} : let

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{v}} &= (x - v_1)(x - v_2) \dots (x - v_m) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{m-j} e_{m-j} x^j. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where the e_j are the elementary symmetric functions in the v_i 's. Let $J_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the two-sided ideal in H_2^{aff} generated by $f_1 = f_{\mathbf{v}}(X_1)$ and set $\mathcal{K}_2^{\vee} = H_2^{\text{aff}}/J_{\mathbf{v}}$. (Note that our definition coincides with that in [A2] up to rescaling, after v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m have been inverted, except that his “ q ” is a square root of ours).

We say that a polynomial p in S is m -restricted (or simply *restricted*, when the integer m is understood) if the monomials $X_1^i X_2^j$ occurring with nonzero coefficient in p all satisfy $0 \leq i, j \leq m - 1$. Let S_m denote the space of m -restricted polynomials. It is known that the image R_m of S in \mathcal{K}_2^{\vee} is isomorphic as an A -module to S_m , and moreover $\mathcal{K}_2^{\vee} = R_m \oplus R_m T$ as an A -module *i.e.* every element of \mathcal{K}_2^{\vee} can be written uniquely in the form $f + gT$ where f and g are restricted. We refer to this last fact as the “basis theorem” for \mathcal{K}_2^{\vee} .

1.3. We start with a technical lemma. Let D be the difference operator on S given by

$$D(f) = (f - {}^s f)/(1 - X_1 X_2^{-1}), \quad f \in S,$$

so that the relation 1.1 becomes $Tf = {}^s f T + QD(f)$. Let D_s be the composition $f \mapsto {}^s(-D(f))$, that is,

$$D_s(f) = (f - {}^s f)/(1 - X_1^{-1} X_2).$$

Lemma 1.2. *The operators D and D_s preserve S_m and thus induce A -linear maps on R_m . Moreover*

$$D(f) = D_s(f)$$

if and only if $f = {}^s f$.

Proof. The proof that D and D_s preserve R is a direct calculation: observe that if $f = X_1^i X_2^j$, then we have

$$D(f) = \begin{cases} X_1^i \sum_{k=0}^{j-i-1} X_1^k X_2^{j-k}, & \text{if } j > i; \\ -X_1^j \sum_{k=0}^{i-j-1} X_1^k X_2^{i-k}, & \text{if } j < i. \end{cases}$$

Thus as the highest powers of X_1 and X_2 occurring in these expressions is $\max\{i, j\}$, it is clear that if p is any m -restricted polynomial, so is $D(p)$. Since ${}^s D$ is the composition of $-D$ with s , it clearly also preserves restricted polynomials.

Moreover, note that in $D(f)$ where f is the monomial above, X_1 never occurs to the power $\max\{i, j\}$ whereas X_2 does, thus for any restricted polynomial p , if $D(p) \neq 0$, it has a higher power of X_2 occurring than occurs as a power of X_1 . Thus similarly $D_s(p)$, if nonzero, has a higher power of X_1 occurring than occurs as a power of X_2 . It follows that $D(p) = D_s(p)$ if and only if $D(p) = 0$, and this occurs only if $p = {}^s p$ as claimed. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let $z \in \mathcal{K}_2$ and suppose that $z = f + gT$ where $f, g \in R_m$. Then z commutes with T if and only if $f, g \in R_m^W$.*

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition is clear. To see the necessity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f + gT) &= {}^s f T + QD(f) + {}^s g T^2 + QD(g)T \\ &= ({}^s f + Q{}^s g + QD(g))T + QD(f) + q{}^s g, \end{aligned}$$

(where we write D for the operator on R_m given by the previous lemma). On the other hand, we have

$$(f + gT)T = (f + Qg)T + qg.$$

Since f and g are restricted, it follows from the basis theorem for cyclotomic Hecke algebras that we must have:

$$({}^s f + Q{}^s g + QD(g)) = f + Qg,$$

and

$$QD(f) + q{}^s g = qg$$

Thus after rearranging the second of these equations becomes:

$$QD(f) = q(g - {}^s g).$$

Now note that the right-hand side is an eigenvector for the action of s with eigenvalue -1 , thus so is the left-hand side, whence we get ${}^s(QD(f)) = -QD(f)$, or equivalently $D(f) = D_s(f)$. By the previous Lemma, this is possible only if $f = {}^s f$, and $D(f) = 0$. But then we must also have $g - {}^s g = 0$, and so f and g are symmetric as required. \square

1.4. Let \mathcal{Z} denote the centre of \mathcal{K}_2 . From the previous lemma, we see that if $z = f + gT \in \mathcal{Z}$, then $f, g \in R_m^W$. Since $f \in R_m^W$ is already central, we see that $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z} \cap R_m \oplus \mathcal{Z} \cap R_m T$, and we are reduced to calculating when gT is central. For this we introduce the following operator:

Definition 1.4. Let $d: S \rightarrow S$ be the linear map given on monomials by

$$d(X_1^i X_2^j) = \begin{cases} X_1^i X_2^j & \text{if } i < j; \\ -X_1^j X_2^i & \text{if } i > j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Clearly d preserves S_m , and so we may transport it to a map on R_m (which we will also denote by d). Clearly the kernel $\ker(d)$ of its action on R_m is R_m^W , and since $d^2 = d$, $R_m = R_m^W \oplus d(R_m)$.

Lemma 1.5. $\mathcal{Z} \cap R_m T$ is a free A -module of rank m .

Proof. Suppose that $gT \in \mathcal{Z} \cap RT$. We must have $X_1 gT = gT X_1$ and $TgT = gT^2$, and these conditions are sufficient. Since T is invertible (indeed $T^{-1} = q^{-1}(T + 1 - q)$) the second equation is equivalent to $Tg = gT$. By Lemma 1.3 this implies that $g \in R_m^W$, and hence the first equation becomes $(X_1 g)T = T(X_1 g)$. But then again using Lemma 1.3, we see that $X_1 g \in R_m^W$. Let M be the space of such restricted symmetric polynomials:

$$M = \{g \in R_m^W : X_1 g \in R_m^W\}.$$

We have shown that $\mathcal{Z} \cap RT = MT$. It is now a linear algebra exercise to check that M is a free A -modules of rank m . By the paragraph preceding the lemma, $R_m = R_m^W \oplus d(R_m)$ as an A -module. Thus if we let $\phi: R_m^W \rightarrow d(R_m)$ be the map $g \mapsto d(X_1 g)$, we see that $M = \ker(\phi)$. Let $m_{ij} = X_1^i X_2^j + X_1^j X_2^i$ for $i < j$ and $m_{ii} = X_1^i X_2^i$ be the monomial symmetric functions, and let R_{m-1}^W be the span of $\{m_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j < m-1\}$. Then we claim that $\phi: R_{m-1}^W \rightarrow d(R_m)$ is an isomorphism of A -modules. Indeed for $j < m-1$ we have:

$$\phi(m_{ij}) = \begin{cases} -X_1^i X_2^{j+1}, & \text{if } j - i \leq 1; \\ -X_1^i X_2^{j+1} + X_1^{i+1} X_2^j, & \text{if } j - i > 1. \end{cases}$$

If we use reverse lexicographical ordering on the bases $\{m_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j < m-1\}$ and $\{X_1^i X_2^j : 0 \leq i < j \leq m-1\}$, then the above equations show that the matrix of $\phi|_{R_{m-1}^W}$ with respect to these ordered bases is triangular with diagonal entries equal to -1 , thus $\phi|_{R_{m-1}^W}$ is an isomorphism as claimed.

This immediately implies that M is a free A -module of rank m . However, we can be more precise and even specify a basis of M by considering the images of $\phi(m_{i(m-1)})$, ($0 \leq i \leq m-1$): set

$$p_i = m_{i(m-1)} - (\phi|_{R_{m-1}^W})^{-1}(\phi(m_{i(m-1)})),$$

then $\{p_0 T, p_1 T, \dots, p_{m-1} T\}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{Z} \cap R_m T$

□

Example 1.6. Let $m = 3$. In this case we find that the space $\mathcal{Z} \cap R^W T$ is spanned by $\{p_0 T, p_1 T, p_2 T\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= (e_3 - e_1(X_1 + X_2) + X_1 X_2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2), \\ p_1 &= (e_3 - e_1 X_1 X_2 + X_1 X_2(X_1 + X_2)), \\ p_2 &= (e_3(X_1 + X_2) - e_2 X_1 X_2 + X_1^2 X_2^2). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Thus we have shown that the centre \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K}_2^\vee (with $m = 3$) is a 9-dimensional free submodule spanned by R_3^W and $\{p_0 T, p_1 T, p_2 T\}$ (this is exactly as stated in [A2, Section 2]).

Remark 1.7. It is easy to check directly that Lemma 1.5 implies that the rank of the centre is the number of m -multipartitions of 2. This also follows by passing to the field of fractions of A , and using the result of [AK] which shows that in the semisimple case (for any n), the centre has rank equal to the number of m -multipartition of n .

2. ON THE IMAGE OF $Z(H_2^{\text{aff}})$

2.1. Next we do some simple computations. Let $H_k = \sum_{j=0}^k X_1^j X_2^{k-j}$ be the complete symmetric function in X_1 and X_2 of degree k , and let \mathcal{I} denote the image of the centre of H_2^{aff} in \mathcal{K}_2^Y . Recall that $f_1 = f_v(X_1)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $f_2 = T f_1 T$. Thus $f_2 \in J_v$.

Lemma 2.2. a) In H_2^{aff} , for any $k \geq 2$

$$T X_1^k T = q X_2^k - Q(X_1 X_2) H_{k-2} T$$

b) We have

$$q f_v(X_2) = f_2 + Q z T,$$

where $z = (-1)^{m+1} e_m + (X_1 X_2) (\sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (-1)^j e_j H_{m-2-j}) \in S_m^W$.

Proof. The proof of a) is a direct calculation using Equation (1.1). For b) we have using a)

$$q X_2^k = T X_1^k T + Q(X_1 X_2) h_{k-2} T, \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Moreover, $q X_2 = T X_1 T$, and $q = T^2 - Q T$, so that

$$q f_v(X_2) = f_2 + Q((-1)^{m+1} e_m + (X_1 X_2) (\sum_{j=2}^m (-1)^{m-j} e_{m-j} H_{j-2})) T,$$

as claimed. \square

Remark 2.3. Note that one has the well known identity of symmetric functions $\sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r e_{n-r} h_r = 0$ for elementary and complete symmetric functions in the same set of variables. In the preceding lemma the e_j are symmetric functions in the v_i s while the H_k s are symmetric in the X_i s.

Proposition 2.4. The elements $Q X_1^k z$ lie in \mathcal{I} for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and moreover the elements $\{X_1^{k-1} z : 0 \leq k \leq m-1\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. From the previous lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q X_1^k z T &= q X_1^k f_v(X_2) - X_1^k f_2 \\ &= q X_1^k f_v(X_2) + q X_2^k f_v(X_1) - (q X_2^k f_1 + X_1^k f_2) \\ &\in q X_1^k f_v(X_2) + q X_2^k f_v(X_1) + J_v. \end{aligned}$$

hence we see that $Q X_1^k z T \in \mathcal{K}_2^Y = H_2^{\text{aff}} / J_v$ is in the image of the centre of H_2^{aff} as required.

It remains to show that the elements $\{X_1^{k-1} z : 0 \leq k \leq m-1\}$ are linearly independent. Since A is an integral domain, we see that $X_1^{k-1} z T \in \mathcal{Z}$, and hence $X_1^{k-1} z \in R_m^W$ by Lemma 1.3. Now we have

$$X_1^{k-1} z = (-1)^{m+1} e_m X_1^{k-1} - (X_1^k X_2) (\sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (-1)^{j+1} e_j H_{m-2-j}).$$

Now consider this expression for $X_1^{k-1} z$ as a linear combination of the monomial symmetric functions m_{ij} lying in R_m : by considering the terms involving X_2^{m-1} we see that the coefficient of $m_{j(m-1)}$ is zero unless $j = k$ in which case it is 1. It follows immediately that the $X_1^{k-1} z$ in the range $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ are linearly independent. \square

2.2. We can now combine the above results to establish our main theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let B denote the localization A where $Q = q - 1$ is inverted. Then, over B , the centre of H_2^{aff} surjects onto the centre of \mathcal{K}_2^Y .

Proof. It is clearly sufficient to show that $p_i T$ lies in \mathcal{I} , where $p_i \in R_m^W$, $(0 \leq i \leq m-1)$, is as in Lemma 1.5. Since we have inverted Q , Proposition 2.4 shows that we have $X_1^k z T \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now by the proof of the previous proposition, we also know that the coefficient of $m_{j(m-1)}$ in $X_1^{k-1} z$ ($0 \leq k \leq m-1$) in the basis $\{m_{ij}\}$ of restricted monomial symmetric functions is δ_{jk} , and the same is true, by definition,

for the p_k . Since $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{Z}$, we can write $X_1^k zT$ as a linear combination of the elements $p_i T$, hence it follows immediately that $p_k T = X_1^{k-1} zT$, and we are done. \square

Example 2.6. We consider again the case $m = 3$, keeping the notation of the previous example. Then $z = p_1$, and it is easy to check that $X_1 p_1 = p_2$, and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^{-1} p_1 &= e_3 X_1^{-1} - e_1 X_2 + X_2(X_1 + X_2) \\ &= (e_2 - e_1 X_1 + X_1^2) - e_1 X_2 + X_2(X_1 + X_2) \\ &= e_2 - e_1(X_1 + X_2) + (X_1^2 + X_1 X_2 + X_2^2) \\ &= p_0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $X_1^{k-1} z = p_k$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2$.

3. COMMENTS ON THE GENERAL CASE

3.1. We wish to consider the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1. Let H_n^{aff} be the affine Hecke algebra with coefficients extended to B , the ring

$$A = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, v_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, v_m^{\pm 1}]$$

with $Q = q - 1$ inverted. Let $\psi_m: H_n^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n$ be the quotient map. Then

$$\psi_m(Z(H_n^{\text{aff}})) = Z(\mathcal{K}_n).$$

In fact, it may be easier (and as useful) to show this in the case where H_n^{aff} is defined over a field F , and the parameter q is not equal to 1.

Remark 3.2. As pointed out in [A2], if we specialise to $q = 1$, i.e. $Q = 0$, then the image of the centre of the affine Hecke algebra does *not* necessarily surject onto the centre of the specialised Ariki-Koike algebra (for \mathcal{K}_2^y , if we say require X_1 to satisfy $X_1^3 - 1 = 0$, then at $q = 1$ this is just the group algebra of the complex reflection group $G(3, 1, 2)$ which has a 9 dimensional centre – the specialisation of the centre of \mathcal{K}_2^y – whereas the images of $X_1 + X_2$, $X_1 X_2$ only generate a 6-dimensional subalgebra). Of course at $q = 1$ one should instead consider the degenerate algebra.

While the above conjecture is certainly not new, it does not seem to be explicitly stated in the literature, and some of existing literature is unclear as to its status: the counterexample of [A2] is quoted in [M] in a fashion which makes it appear it is more general than [A2] intended to imply¹.

We list the following evidence for the conjecture:

- [AK] shows that the conjecture holds in the semisimple case (they also show explicitly the conditions on the parameters under which the Ariki-Koike algebras is semisimple).
- This note establishes the case $n = 2$.
- In an orthogonal direction, Francis and Graham [FG] have verified the conjecture for the case of the finite Hecke algebra of type A , i.e. the case $m = 1$.

3.2. We end with another result which supports the conjecture when we work over F , an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let \mathfrak{H}_n be the degenerate, or graded, affine Hecke algebra of type A . As a vector space it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[S_n] \otimes \mathbb{C}[r][x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If \mathcal{T} denotes the algebraic torus with regular functions $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$, and $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ the vector space with functions $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{C}[r][x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ note that we may use the group structure on \mathcal{T} to identify $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with the tangent space of \mathcal{T} at any point.

Now let I be a maximal ideal of the centre \mathcal{Z} of H_n^{aff} . Thus I corresponds to an S_n -orbit Σ in \mathcal{T} . We want to consider the completions $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\widehat{H_n^{\text{aff}}}$ with respect to I . Assume that all of the coordinates of the elements of Σ are equal to a power of q . In this case, by choosing a logarithm of q we may attach to Σ a maximal ideal \mathfrak{J} of the centre $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathbb{C}[r][x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ of \mathfrak{H}_n , and consider the corresponding completions $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_n$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{Z}}$. The algebras $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{Z}}$ are then naturally isomorphic and Lusztig [L, 9.3] has shown that the I -adic completion

¹The author thank Profs Ariki and Mathas for helping him sort out this confusion.

$\widehat{H_n^{\text{aff}}}$ of H_n^{aff} is isomorphic to the corresponding completion $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_n$ of \mathfrak{H}_n as algebras over $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$. Moreover, the isomorphism restricts to give an isomorphism between the (completed) commutative subalgebras $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let \mathcal{K}_n be the cyclotomic Hecke algebra over F , where let us moreover assume that $v_i = q^{a_i}$ for some integers a_i , ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and $q \in F$ has infinite order. It is known [DM] that representation theory of a general cyclotomic quotient can be reduced to the case where the v_i are of this form². Recently, Brundan [Br] has established the analogue of Conjecture 3.1 for the degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras \mathfrak{K}_n , where \mathfrak{K}_n is the quotient of \mathfrak{H}_n by the two-sided ideal generated by $f_r(x_1)$ where $f_r(t) = \prod_{i=1}^m (t - a_i)$.

Now we may decompose a cyclotomic Hecke algebra according to the spectrum of the image of \mathcal{Z} , which is certainly a central subalgebra (in fact, it follows from the work of Lyle-Mathas [LM] that this decomposition yields the blocks of the cyclotomic algebra, but we do not need that here). For each of these subalgebras, the above discussion shows that the summands of the cyclotomic and degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras are isomorphic as they are quotients of $\widehat{H_n^{\text{aff}}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_n$ which are annihilated by a finite power of some maximal ideal I (resp. \mathfrak{J}) of the centre \mathcal{Z} (resp. \mathcal{J}), since Lusztig's isomorphisms are maps of $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ -algebras. Thus we can deduce from Brundan's work the following result:

Proposition 3.3. *Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $q \in F$ of infinite order and \mathcal{K}_n a cyclotomic Hecke algebra with $v_i = q^{a_i}$ for some integers a_i . Then the centre of \mathcal{K}_n is equal to the image of the centre of H_n^{aff} .*

Remark 3.4. It is shown in [A1] that the cyclotomic Hecke algebra is semisimple precisely when the polynomial:

$$P(q, \mathbf{v}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\prod_{-n < a < n} (q^a v_i - v_j) \right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + \dots + q^{k-1}),$$

is nonvanishing. Thus the cyclotomic Hecke algebra need not be semisimple even when q is not a root of unity, so this result includes cases which are not covered by the results of [AK]. It should also be noted that Brundan and Kleshchev [BK] have recently shown that if F is any field of characteristic zero and q is not a root of unity then the cyclotomic and degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras are isomorphic. One can presumably use their results to extend the above Proposition to this more general situation.

Acknowledgements: We thank Prof. Ariki and Prof. Mathas for helpful correspondence. We also thank Anthony Henderson for suggesting that the world would not be significantly worse off if this note were to be posted online.

REFERENCES

- [A1] Ariki, Susumu, *On the semi-simplicity of the Hecke Algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$* , J. Algebra **169** (1994), 216–225.
- [A2] Ariki, Susumu, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of $G(m, 1, n)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), no. 4, 789–808.
- [AK] Ariki, Susumu; Koike, Kazuhiko. *A Hecke algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ and construction of its irreducible representations*. Adv. Math. **106** (1994), no. 2, 216–243.
- [Br] J. Brundan, *Centers of degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras and parabolic category \mathcal{O}* . Represent. Theory **12** (2008), 236–259.
- [BK] J. Brundan, S. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 3, 451–484.
- [DM] R. Dipper, A. Mathas, *Morita equivalences of Ariki-Koike algebras*, Math. Z. **240** (2002), 579–610.
- [FG] A. Francis, J. Graham, *Centres of Hecke algebras: the Dipper-James conjecture*, J. Algebra **306** (2006), no. 1, 244–267.
- [L] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989) no. 3, 599–635.
- [LM] S. Lyle, A. Mathas, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), 854–878.
- [M] A. Mathas, *The representation theory of the Ariki-Koike and cyclotomic q -Schur algebras*, Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, Adv. Studies Pure Math., 40 (2004), 261–320.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON.

²The restriction that q have infinite order however, is genuinely restrictive.