

Intrinsic Thickness of QCD Flux-Tubes

Vikram Vyas

Physics Department, St. Stephen's College
Delhi University, Delhi 110007, India
Email:visquare@gmail.com

Abstract

The notion an intrinsic thickness of a QCD flux-tube is explored heuristically with in the framework of Gauge/Gravity Duality.

1 Introduction

In the past few years the lattice simulation of QCD flux-tubes has reached a level of precision where they can be unambiguously compared with the expectations from various effective string descriptions (two of the most recent investigations are [1, 2], and a lucid review with a history of numerical simulations of flux-tube using lattice gauge theory is given in [3].) These results show that the ground state energy of a long flux-tube matches very well with that obtained by Arvis [4] for the Nambu-Goto string in four flat dimensions. It was also pointed out in [2], that there are few excited states of a closed flux-tube that do show a large deviation from the Nambu-Goto prediction. Excited states of open flux-tube have only been calculated in three dimensions [5] and do not show any unambiguous deviations from the predictions of the Nambu-Goto string, within the accuracy of the simulations.

The effective string theory description of QCD flux-tubes assumes that the length of the flux-tube, L , is much greater than any intrinsic thickness, l_w , of the flux-tube. Space-time history of such a flux-tube, in the absence of dynamical quarks, can be approximated by a two-dimensional surface or the world-sheet. The dynamics of this world-sheet is independent of the two-dimensional coordinate system used to describe it. A general reparameterization invariant action can be organized into relevant, marginal and irrelevant terms by dimensional analysis. The relevant term, which is proportional to the area of the world-sheet, is the Nambu-Goto action. Then there are marginal and irrelevant terms constructed from various powers of intrinsic and extrinsic curvatures (see for e.g. [6]).

The notion of an intrinsic thickness of a flux-tube, though well motivated, is imprecise in QCD as we do not understand their dynamical origin. It is here that one expects that

the conjectured gauge/gravity duality [7, 8, 9, 10] should allow us to delineate the effects of the intrinsic thickness of the flux-tube. A fundamental feature of the gauge/gravity duality is that the strings dual to the gauge theory live in (at least) five-dimensional curved space. These five-dimensional strings then should provide an *exact* description of the four-dimensional QCD flux-tubes. These fundamental strings have at least one additional degree of freedom as compared to the effective four-dimensional strings. It is tempting to associate this additional degree of freedom with the intrinsic thickness of a QCD flux-tube. This can be made more precise in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence [9, 11, 12], by looking at the size of the holographic projection of a five-dimensional object on to the four-dimensions.

AdS/CFT correspondence [13] can be regarded as an example of a more general gauge/gravity duality [10] according to which all gauge theories, including QCD, have a dual description in terms of fundamental strings living in a higher-dimensional curved space-time. For QCD we do not know the precise geometry in which these putative five-dimensional strings live, but an approximate description which captures some of the properties of the QCD can be obtained by modifications of AdS_5 space. A particularly simple modification was used in [12] to understand the form factors of hadrons in terms of the intrinsically thick effective QCD strings arising from the holographic projection of the fundamental five-dimensional strings. We will use their modification to give a meaning to the idea of an intrinsic thickness of a flux-tube.

2 Flux-tube from a Fundamental String

One way of stating the conjectured gauge/gravity duality is via the expectation value of a Wilson loop. The expectation value of a Wilson loop in a four-dimensional Yang-Mills gauge theory is formally given by

$$\begin{aligned} W[\Gamma, A] &= \text{Tr} \hat{P} \exp \left\{ i \oint_{\Gamma} A \right\}, \\ \langle W[\Gamma] \rangle_{YM} &= \int [DA] \exp \{ -S_{YM}[A] \} W[\Gamma, A], \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where Γ is a non-intersecting closed loop in four-dimensions, A represents the matrix valued vector potential of the $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory, which have been path-ordered along the curve Γ using the path ordering operator $\hat{P}$, and $S_{YM}[A]$ is the Euclidean Yang-Mills action for the gauge fields. The gauge/gravity duality then implies that this expectation value is also given by a weighted sum over all the surfaces whose boundary is the curve Γ which lives in the flat four-dimensional space, while the surfaces themselves live in a curved five-dimensional space. Symbolically

$$\langle W[\Gamma] \rangle_{YM} = \int [DX] \exp \left\{ -T_o \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{\gamma[X]} \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$X^m = X^m(\sigma^0, \sigma^1), \quad m = 1, \dots, 5 \quad (2.3)$$

is the string surface parametrized by the parameters $\{\sigma^0, \sigma^1\}$, γ is the determinant of the induced metric

$$\gamma_{ab} = g_{mn} \frac{\partial X^m}{\partial \sigma^a} \frac{\partial X^n}{\partial \sigma^b}, \quad (2.4)$$

and T_0 is the string-tension. The curved five-dimensional space is described by the metric g_{mn} , which following [12] will be taken to be of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = g_{mn} dx^m dx^n &= F(x_5) (dx_4^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_5^2) \\ &= F(y) (dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dy^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where the function $F(y)$ is assumed to have a minimum at $y = y^*$ and for $y \rightarrow 0$ it approaches the AdS_5 limit

$$F(y) \approx \frac{R^2}{y^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Consider now a Wilson loop made of the world-lines of a quark at the origin and an anti-quark placed along the x_1 axis at a coordinate distance of L . Working in the static gauge

$$\sigma^0 = \tau = X_4 = t; \quad \sigma^1 = \sigma = X_1 = x, \quad (2.7)$$

the classical world-sheet, or the minimal surface, is of the form:

$$X_c = (\tau, \sigma, 0, 0, Y_c(\sigma)). \quad (2.8)$$

$Y_c(\sigma)$, which is a geodesic in the five-dimensional curved space, satisfies the equation


$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(\frac{F[Y] Y'}{\sqrt{(1 + Y'^2)}} \right) = F'[Y] \sqrt{(1 + Y'^2)}. \quad (2.9)$$

With the assumed form of $F[y]$, qualitatively $Y_c(\sigma)$ looks like the curve shown in Fig.(1). In particular one can approximate

$$Y_c(x) = Y^* \quad (l \leq x \leq L - l). \quad (2.10)$$

The parameter Y^* and l will depend on the precise form of the confining metric. The corresponding classical action is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} S[Y_c] &= T_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_0^l dx F[Y_c] (1 + Y_c'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ T_0 F[Y^*] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_l^{L-l} dx \\ &+ T_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{L-l}^L dx F[Y_c] (1 + Y_c'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Fig. 1: $Y_c(x)$

Let us define a position dependent tension,

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} T_0 F[Y_c](1 + Y'^2)^{1/2} & 0 \leq x \leq l \\ T_0 F[Y^*] & l < x < L - l \\ T_0 F[Y_c](1 + Y'^2)^{1/2} & L - l \leq x \leq L \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

and write the classical action as

$$S_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_0^L dx T(x). \quad (2.13)$$

The above action can be thought of as an action for a string along the x axis in four-dimensions, but with a position dependent string tension. If one considers a flux-tube like the Nielsen-Olesen vortex line [14] then one finds that the string tension is related to the size of the flux-tube by

$$T \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^2}, \quad (2.14)$$

where λ characterizes the region beyond which the magnetic field is essentially zero. Therefore a suggestive interpretation of (2.13) is that it represents a flux-tube of varying intrinsic thickness. The assumed form of $T(x)$, (2.12), then implies that the classical flux-tube has a constant thickness in the region $l < x < L - l$, while the intrinsic thickness vanishes at the end-points as shown in Fig. 2. Also, note that the part where $T(x)$ is changing is the part where $Y_c(x)$ is changing, suggesting that it is the Y coordinate of the string that is related to the intrinsic thickness of the flux-tube in concordance with the argument of [12].




Fig. 2: Flux-tube from a string

The above heuristic arguments can be made more precise for certain confining backgrounds [15]. For such backgrounds in [16] the intrinsic thickness of a very long flux-tube was explicitly calculated. The idea being that the fundamental string is a source of dilation field, and it is the value of dilaton field at the boundary that couples to the square of the field-strength tensor. Thus, by calculating the value of the dilaton field at the boundary, which is sourced by the fundamental string, and then using the gauge/gravity dictionary allows one to calculate $\langle F^2 \rangle$, and obtain the transverse profile of the flux-tube. It will be interesting to extend their calculation to a more general confining background and for a finite string ending in a quark and an antiquark.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Gunnar Bali, N. D. Hari Dass, and Rajesh Gopakumar for very useful discussions. I am particularly grateful to N. D. Hari Dass for his detailed comments on a preliminary version of this paper. I would also like to thank Andreas Athenodorou, Barak Bringoltz, and Mike Teper for a discussion on their results. This work was started while I was visiting the Institute of Theoretical Physics, at the University of Regensburg. I am grateful to the members of the Institute for their hospitality, particularly to Gunnar Bali for his invitation to visit the University of Regensburg, and DAAD for their financial support. I have equally benefited from my visit to HRI, Allahabad, and would like to thank Satchi Naik for his kind

invitation.

References

- [1] N. D. Hari Dass and P. Majumdar, *String-like behaviour of 4d $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills flux tubes*, *JHEP* **10** (2006) 020, [[hep-lat/0608024](#)].
- [2] A. Athenodorou, B. Bringoltz, and M. Teper, *The spectrum of closed loops of fundamental flux in $d = 3+1$ $su(n)$ gauge theories*, [arXiv:0912.3238](#).
- [3] M. Teper, *Large n and confining flux tubes as strings - a view from the lattice*, [arXiv:0912.3339](#).
- [4] J. F. Arvis, *The Exact q anti- q Potential In Naumbu String Theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B127** (1983) 106.
- [5] B. B. Brandt and P. Majumdar, *Spectrum of the QCD flux tube in 3d $SU(2)$ lattice gauge theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B682** (2009) 253, [[arXiv:0905.4195](#)].
- [6] F. David, *Introduction to the statistical mechanics of random surfaces and membranes*, 1991. Lectures given at 8th Jerusalem Winter School for Theoretical Physics, Two-Dimensional Gravity and Random Surfaces, Jerusalem, Israel, Dec 27, 1990 - Jan 4, 1991.
- [7] A. M. Polyakov, *String theory and quark confinement*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **68** (1998) 1, [[hep-th/9711002](#)].
- [8] A. M. Polyakov, *The wall of the cave*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A14** (1999) 645, [[hep-th/9809057](#)].
- [9] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, *Large n field theories, string theory and gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **323** (2000) 183, [[hep-th/9905111](#)].
- [10] G. T. Horowitz and J. Polchinski, *Gauge / gravity duality*, [gr-qc/0602037](#).
- [11] L. Susskind and E. Witten, *The holographic bound in anti-de Sitter space*, [hep-th/9805114](#).
- [12] J. Polchinski and L. Susskind, *String theory and the size of hadrons*, [hep-th/0112204](#).
- [13] J. M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231, [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [14] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Vortex-line models for dual strings*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B61** (1973) 45.

- [15] E. Witten, *Anti-de sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505–532, [[hep-th/9803131](#)].
- [16] U. H. Danielsson, E. Keski-Vakkuri, and M. Kruczenski, *Vacua, Propagators, and Holographic Probes in AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **01** (1999) 002, [[hep-th/9812007](#)].