

POSITIVITY OF CONTINUOUS PIECEWISE POLYNOMIALS

DANIEL PLAUMANN

ABSTRACT. Real algebraic geometry provides certificates for the positivity of polynomials on semi-algebraic sets by expressing them as a suitable combination of sums of squares and the defining inequalities. We show how Schmüdgen's theorem for strictly positive polynomials on compact sets can be applied in the case of strictly positive piecewise polynomials on a simplicial complex. In the 1-dimensional case, we improve this result to cover all non-negative piecewise polynomials.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\Delta = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k$ be a simplicial complex in \mathbb{R}^n with vertices v_1, \dots, v_m . Let $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ denote the algebra of all continuous piecewise polynomials on Δ , consisting of all continuous functions $F: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the restriction of F to each σ_i is given by a polynomial. It has been studied in connection with splines, where the functions are also required to be differentiable of some fixed order. For a good survey, see Strang [11] and references given there. A detailed analysis of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ from the point of view of combinatorics and commutative algebra is due to Billera [1].

The algebra $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ has a beautiful description by generators and relations, given in terms of its *tent functions* or *Courant functions*: These are the unique piecewise linear functions $T_i: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T_i(v_i) = 1$ and $T_i(v_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. The tent functions generate $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ and satisfy certain obvious relations, which Billera has shown to be sufficient to completely describe $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ (see Thm. 1.2 below). The relations are in fact identical to those of the *Stanley-Reisner ring* (or face ring) of Δ , plus one additional relation that accounts for the fact that the tent functions sum to 1 (see [1], [4] Def. 1.6).

In this short paper, we show how the tent functions can also be used to characterize positive and non-negative functions in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$. In general, much work in real algebraic geometry has been concerned with so-called certificates for positivity, of which Schmüdgen's positivstellensatz is one of the most prominent examples: If h_1, \dots, h_r are real polynomials in n variables such that the basic closed semi-algebraic set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, h_r(x) \geq 0\}$ is compact, then every polynomial f that is strictly positive on S admits an expression

$$f = \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^r} s_i h_1^{i_1} \dots h_r^{i_r},$$

where each s_i is a sum of squares of polynomials. The set of all such expressions is called the *preordering* generated by h_1, \dots, h_r . This and many related results have attracted attention in optimization because membership of a polynomial in a

Date: July 22, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11E25, 13F55; secondary 13J30, 14P10, 55U10, 90C22.

Key words and phrases. Piecewise polynomials, sums of squares, Stanley-Reisner ring, simplicial complex, quadratic module, preordering.

The author gratefully acknowledges support through a Feodor Lynen fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt foundation.

preordering can be checked (in practice rather efficiently) by a semidefinite program. Good general references on the subject are the books of Marshall [3] and Prestel and Delzell [6].

A simplicial complex Δ is, of course, a special kind of semi-algebraic set, and the algebra $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ can be interpreted as the ring of polynomial functions on an affine algebraic variety containing Δ (which is just the Zariski-closure of Δ if the ambient dimension n is large and the vertices of Δ are in general position; Prop. 1.1). It is therefore possible to translate known results into this setup, in particular Schmüdgen's positivstellensatz (Thm. 2.1):

Theorem. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplicial complex with m vertices. Let $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ be the tent functions on Δ . If a function $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ is strictly positive on Δ , then there exist sums of squares S_i in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}^m$, such that*

$$F = \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^m} S_i T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_m^{i_m}.$$

Beyond pointing out this application of a known result, the contribution of this paper is a strengthening in the case when Δ is a graph:

Theorem. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an embedded graph, i.e. a simplicial complex of pure dimension 1, with m vertices and e edges. Let $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ be the tent functions on Δ . A function $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ is non-negative on Δ if and only if there exist sums of squares S, S_{ij} in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ such that*

$$F = S + \sum_{(i,j) \in E} S_{ij} T_i T_j.$$

More precisely, there exist such S and S_{ij} of degree at most $\deg(F) + 8(e - 1) + 2$, where S is a sum of at most $2e$ squares and each S_{ij} is a sum of two squares.

Preorderings describing general semialgebraic subsets of real algebraic curves have been studied extensively by Scheiderer in [7]. The criteria developed there are sufficient to decide saturatedness of such preorderings for all irreducible curves. The proof of the main result above given here is elementary and (in principle) constructive. Alternatively, it is possible to obtain a more abstract proof (without the degree bounds) using the results in [7]. The general case of semialgebraic subsets of a reducible curve is not settled completely. Most of the results obtained by the author in [5] only apply to sums of squares. The existence of degree bounds for preorderings (*stability*) is also open in most 1-dimensional cases, even for irreducible curves (except when the curve is rational or elliptic). The case of a simplicial complex is thus a very particular one, not only because the curves involved are rational, but also because the generators $T_i T_j$ of the preordering vanish in the intersection points (the vertices), which turns out to be very helpful.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Mihai Putinar, Claus Scheiderer, and Bernd Sturmfels for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $v_0, \dots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be affinely independent vectors, $d \leq n$. We will write $\sigma(v_0, \dots, v_d)$ for the d -simplex spanned by v_0, \dots, v_d , which is the convex hull of $\{v_0, \dots, v_d\}$. It is equivalent to the d -dimensional standard simplex $\sigma(e_1, \dots, e_{d+1})$ up to an affine change of coordinates. The faces of $\sigma(v_0, \dots, v_d)$ are precisely the 2^{d+1} subsimplices $\sigma(v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_e})$ with $\{i_0, \dots, i_e\} \subset \{0, \dots, d\}$, $e \leq d$.

A *simplicial complex* is a union $\Delta = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k$, where each σ_i is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^n , such that $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j$ is a face of both σ_i and σ_j , for all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. One can

always assume that $\sigma_i \not\subseteq \sigma_j$ for all i, j . In that case, Δ is called *pure dimensional* if all σ_i have the same dimension.

Let $d_i = \dim(\sigma_i)$, and write $\sigma_i = \sigma(v_{i0}, \dots, v_{id_i})$. We denote by $V_\Delta = \{v_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, k, j = 0, \dots, d_i\}$ the set of all vertices of Δ . The simplicial complex Δ is uniquely determined by the set V_Δ , together with the information which of the simplices $\sigma(V')$ for $V' \subset V_\Delta$ are contained in Δ .

A function $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called a *piecewise polynomial on Δ* if there exist polynomials $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ such that $f|_{\sigma_i} = g_i|_{\sigma_i}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Our object of study will be the algebra

$$\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta) = \{f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous and piecewise polynomial}\}.$$

As explained in the introduction, we will work with two different descriptions of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$, the first of which is given as the coordinate ring of an affine \mathbb{R} -variety. For the purpose of this paper, such a variety is given by an \mathbb{R} -algebra $\mathbb{R}[U]$ that is reduced (i.e. without nilpotents) but not necessarily integral. The geometric object U associated with $\mathbb{R}[U]$ is the set $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}[U], \mathbb{R})$ of \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphisms. After fixing coordinates, i.e. a surjection $\varphi: \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[U]$ for some N and a finite set of generators f_1, \dots, f_r of $\ker(\varphi)$, the set U is in canonical bijection with the more classical object $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid f_1(x) = \dots = f_r(x) = 0\}$, the common zero-set of f_1, \dots, f_r . Note that we only consider real points here (i.e. we identify U with $U(\mathbb{R})$ in the notation of [7], [5]), which is a bad idea in general, but sufficient for our needs.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\Delta = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplicial complex. For all $i \leq j = 1, \dots, k$, let U_{ij} be the affine hull of $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j$. Let U be the affine \mathbb{R} -variety obtained as the direct limit of the directed system $\{U_{ij}\}$ ordered by the inclusions $U_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ii}, U_{ij} \rightarrow U_{jj}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{R}[U] \cong \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}[U_{ii}] \mid f_i|_{U_{ij}} = f_j|_{U_{ij}} \text{ for all } i \neq j \right\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to note that the values of a polynomial g_i on $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j$ uniquely determine its values on the Zariski-closure, which is U_{ij} . \square

If all vertices of Δ are in sufficiently general position, so that the affine spans U_{ii} of σ_i are all distinct subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n , then U is isomorphic to the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{ii}$. One can always arrive at this situation by embedding Δ into a higher-dimensional ambient space, if necessary.

Once we fix isomorphisms $U_{ii} \cong \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ (even though there is no canonical choice), this fixes U_{ij} as affine subspaces of U_{ii}, U_{jj} , and we can think of the elements of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ as k -tuples of polynomials together with a compatibility condition:

$$\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta) \cong \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_{d_i}] \mid g_i|_{U_{ij}} = g_j|_{U_{ij}} \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

The second, more intrinsic description of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ in terms of its tent functions, was given by Billera in [1]: Let $V_\Delta = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be the set of vertices in Δ , as above. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, let T_i be the *tent function* or *Courant function* on Δ , which is the unique piecewise linear function in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ that satisfies $T_i(v_i) = 1$ and $T_i(v_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Theorem 1.2 (Billera [1], Thm. 2.3 and Thm. 3.6). *The tent functions T_1, \dots, T_m generate $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$. The kernel of the map $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_m] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ given by $X_i \mapsto T_i$ is generated by the elements*

$$\bullet 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$$

- $X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_e}$ whenever $\{i_1, \dots, i_e\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ is such that $\Delta(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_e})$ is not contained in Δ .

Remark 1.3. It should be noted that Billera makes the additional assumption that Δ be pure-dimensional. However, this assumption appears to be immaterial for that particular part of his paper.

We will mostly be concerned with the case when Δ is of pure dimension 1, in other words when Δ is an embedded graph. We will write $E_\Delta = \{(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2 \mid i < j \text{ and } \Delta(v_i, v_j) \in \Delta\}$ for the set of indices corresponding to the edges of Δ .

By Billera's theorem, $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ is generated by the tent functions T_1, \dots, T_m satisfying the rules:

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i = 1$.
- (2) $T_i T_j T_k = 0$ for all distinct i, j, k .
- (3) $T_i T_j = 0$ if and only if $(i, j) \notin E_\Delta$.

We will have to go back and forth between the two descriptions of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ that we have seen. Our description of the affine variety associated with Δ in Prop. 1.1, together with explicit coordinates, translates into the following proposition, the proof of which is obvious:

Proposition 1.4. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a purely 1-dimensional simplicial complex with vertices $V_\Delta = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. For every edge $(i, j) \in E_\Delta$, let C_{ij} be a copy of \mathbb{R} with coordinate ring $\mathbb{R}[t_{ij}]$. Let φ be the unique map from the disjoint union of all C_{ij} , $(i, j) \in E_\Delta$, into \mathbb{R}^n taking C_{ij} to the line $v_i + \mathbb{R} \cdot (v_i - v_j)$ and mapping $-1 \in C_{ij}$ to v_i and $1 \in C_{ij}$ to v_j . The dual ring homomorphism $\varphi^*: \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta) \rightarrow \prod_{(i,j) \in E_\Delta} \mathbb{R}[t_{ij}]$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta) \cong \left\{ (f_{ij})_{(i,j) \in E_\Delta} \mid \begin{array}{ll} f_{ij}(-1) = f_{ik}(-1) & \text{if } (i, j), (i, k) \in E_\Delta \\ f_{ij}(1) = f_{jk}(-1) & \text{if } (i, j), (j, k) \in E_\Delta \\ f_{ij}(1) = f_{kj}(1) & \text{if } (i, j), (k, j) \in E_\Delta \end{array} ; i, j, k = 1, \dots, m \right\}$$

Under this isomorphism, the tent function T_k corresponds to the function $(f_{ij})_{(i,j) \in E_\Delta}$ with $f_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + t_{ik})$ and $f_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - t_{kl})$ for all $(i, k), (k, l) \in E_\Delta$ and $f_{ij} = 0$ for all $(i, j) \in E_\Delta$ with $i, j \neq k$.

In particular, the product $T_i T_j$ with $(i, j) \in E_\Delta$ is $(0, \dots, \frac{1}{4}(1 - t_{ij}^2), \dots, 0)$. \square

We have to say what the degree of a piecewise polynomial should be:

Definition 1.5. Let $\Delta = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Given $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$, let $\mathcal{R}(F)$ be the set of all k -tuples of polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ with $F|_{\sigma_i} = f_i|_{\sigma_i}$. Define the *degree* of F , denoted $\deg(F)$, as $\deg(F) = \min_{(f_i) \in \mathcal{R}(F)} \{\max_i \{\deg(f_i)\}\}$. Equivalently, if we identify $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ with $\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_{d_i}] \mid g_i|_{U_{ij}} = g_j|_{U_{ij}} \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \dots, k\}$ as in Lemma 1.1, then F has a unique representation $F = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ with $g_i \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_{d_i}]$, and $\deg(F) = \max_i \{\deg(g_i)\}$.

Remark 1.6. Write $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ as in Prop. 1.4 and fix an edge $(k, l) \in E_\Delta$. Then given any $g \in \mathbb{R}[t_{kl}]$, there exists $(f_{ij}) \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ with $f_{kl} = g$ and $\deg(f_{ij}) \leq 1$ for all $(i, j) \neq (kl)$. In fact, we can take $f_{ij} = 0$ for $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$, and if $(k, i) \in E$ for some $i \neq l$, put $f_{ki} = \frac{g(-1)}{2}(1 - t_{ki})$, and similarly in the remaining cases.

Finally, we will sometimes use the following short notation for preorderings: Let A be a ring (commutative with unit). By $\sum A^2$, we denote the set of all sums of squares of elements in A . For finitely many elements $h_1, \dots, h_r \in A$, we write

$$\text{PO}(h_1, \dots, h_r) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^r} s_i h_1^{i_1} \cdots h_r^{i_r} \mid s_i \in \sum A^2 \right\}$$

and call this the *preordering* generated by h_1, \dots, h_r .

2. POSITIVITY IN $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$

Recall from the introduction the statement of Schmüdgen's positivstellensatz:

Theorem 2.1 (Schmüdgen [9]). *Let U be an affine \mathbb{R} -variety with coordinate ring $\mathbb{R}[U]$, and let $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \mathbb{R}[U]$ be such that $K = \{x \in U \mid h_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, h_r(x) \geq 0\}$ is compact. Then every $f \in \mathbb{R}[U]$ such that $f(x) > 0$ holds for all $x \in K$ admits an expression*

$$f = \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^r} s_i h_1^{i_1} \cdots h_r^{i_r}$$

where each s_i is a sum of squares in $\mathbb{R}[U]$.

In Schmüdgen's paper, as well as in the books [3] and [6], the theorem is only stated for $U = \mathbb{R}^n$. But it is straightforward to pass to the version given here: Fix a surjection $\varphi: \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[U]$ and a finite set of generators G_1, \dots, G_s of $\ker(\varphi)$, giving an embedding $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid G_1(x) = \cdots = G_s(x) = 0\}$. Choose $H_1, \dots, H_r \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_N]$ such that $\varphi(H_i) = h_i$. Then $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid H_i(x) \geq 0, G_j(x) \geq 0, -G_j(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i, j\}$. So given $f \in \mathbb{R}[U]$ as in the theorem, we may choose $F \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_N]$ with $\varphi(F) = f$ and conclude $F \in \text{PO}(H_1, \dots, H_r, \pm G_1, \dots, \pm G_s)$. Applying φ gives the desired representation of f in $\text{PO}(h_1, \dots, h_r)$ in $\mathbb{R}[U]$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplicial complex with m vertices. Let $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ be the tent functions on Δ . If a function $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ is strictly positive on Δ , then there exist sums of squares S_i in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}^m$, such that*

$$F = \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^m} S_i T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_m^{i_m}.$$

□

Proof. Let U be the affine variety associated with Δ , as defined in Prop. 1.1. Then it is easy to check that the tent functions define Δ as a subset of U , i.e. $\Delta = \{x \in U(\mathbb{R}) \mid T_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, T_m(x) \geq 0\}$, which implies the claim. □

Remark 2.3. Degree bounds for the sums of squares S_i that depend only on the degree of F cannot exist as soon as the dimension of Δ is at least two (see Scheiderer [8]). However, there exist bounds that depend on other data, in particular the minimum of F on Δ (see Schweighofer [10]).

The following is a special case of the general results of Kuhlmann, Marshall, and Schwartz for subsets of the line (see [2], §4).

Theorem 2.4. *Every $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ such that $f|_{[-1,1]} \geq 0$ admits a representation*

$$f = s_0 + s_1(1 - t^2)$$

where s_0, s_1 are sums of two squares in $\mathbb{R}[t]$ with $\deg(s_0) \leq \deg(f) + 2$, $\deg(s_1) \leq \deg(f)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ with $f|_{[-1,1]} \geq 0$, and put $d = \deg(f)$. There are several cases to consider. If $f \geq 0$ everywhere, then f is a sum of two squares of degree at most d . If f has a zero $a \leq -1$ and $f \geq 0$ on $[-1, \infty)$, then we claim that $f = u_0 + u_1(1 + t)$ with sums of squares u_0, u_1 and $\deg(u_0) \leq d$, $\deg(u_1) \leq d - 1$. Put $a' = -1 - a$ and write $f = (t - a)g = ((1 + t) + a')g$. Then $g = u_0 + u_1(1 - t)$ with $\deg(u_0) \leq d - 1$, $\deg(u_1) \leq d - 2$, so that $f = a'u_0 + u_1(1 + t)^2 + (u_0 + a'u_1)(1 + t)$. Now use the identity $1 \pm t = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - t^2)$. The argument when f has a zero $b \geq 1$ and $f \geq 0$ on $(-\infty, 1]$ is similar.

Otherwise, f must have two zeros $a \leq -1$, $b \geq 1$. Write $f = (t - a)(b - t)g$. Let $a' = -1 - a$ and $b' = b - 1$, then $(t - a)(b - t) = ((1 + t) + a')((1 - t) + b') =$

$a'(1-t) + b'(1+t) + (1-t^2) + a'b'$. Using the above identities for $1 \pm t$ gives $(t-a)(b-t) = u_0 + u_1(1-t^2)$ with $\deg(u_0) = 2$ and $u_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Now apply the induction hypothesis to g and multiply. \square

Translated into our setup, this says:

Corollary 2.5. *If Δ is the 1-simplex with tent functions T_1, T_2 , then a function $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ is non-negative on Δ if and only if there exist sums of two squares S, S_{12} in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ of degree at most $\deg(F) + 2$ such that*

$$F = S + S_{12}T_1T_2$$

\square

Our main result is a generalization to 1-dimensional simplicial complexes, which we restate from the introduction.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an embedded graph, i.e. a simplicial complex of pure dimension 1, with m vertices and e edges. Let $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ be the tent functions on Δ . A function $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ is non-negative on Δ if and only if there exist sums of squares S, S_{ij} in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ such that*

$$F = S + \sum_{(i,j) \in E} S_{ij}T_iT_j.$$

More precisely, there exist such S and S_{ij} of degree at most $\deg(F) + 8(e-1) + 2$, where S is a sum of at most $2e$ squares and each S_{ij} is a sum of two squares.

I would like to thank Claus Scheiderer for suggesting the proof of the following lemma, replacing a much more pedestrian argument in an earlier version of this paper:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ be such that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$. For every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a^2 \leq f(-1)$ and $b^2 \leq f(1)$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ with $\deg(s^2) \leq \deg(f) + 4$ such that $s(-1) = a$, $s(1) = b$ and such that $s^2(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in [-1, -1]$.*

Proof. By Thm. 2.4, there exist sums of squares $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ such that $f = s_0 + s_1(1-t^2)$ and with s_0 of degree $2d \leq \deg(f) + 2$. Factor $s_0 = \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \lambda_i)(t - \bar{\lambda}_i)$ over \mathbb{C} and let $g = \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \lambda_i)$. It follows that $g(-1) = \sqrt{f(-1)}\alpha$, $g(1) = \sqrt{f(1)}\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$. Put

$$\ell(t) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}a(1-t)}{2\sqrt{f(-1)}} + \frac{\bar{\beta}b(1+t)}{2\sqrt{f(1)}}$$

and let $s = \operatorname{Re}(g \cdot \ell) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ (where the real part is taken on coefficients). By the choice of $\ell(t)$, we find that $s(-1) = a$ and $s(1) = b$. Furthermore, since $|\ell(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$, we obtain

$$s^2(x) = (\operatorname{Re}(g(x) \cdot \ell(x)))^2 \leq |g(x) \cdot \ell(x)|^2 \leq |g(x)|^2 = s_0(x) \leq f(x)$$

for all $x \in [-1, 1]$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ be such that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$. Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and vectors $a, b, \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $f(-1) = \|a\|^2$ and $f(1) = \|b\|^2$, there exist polynomials $s_1, \dots, s_{k+2} \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ and $r \in \sum \mathbb{R}[t]^2$ such that the following hold:*

- (1) $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} s_i^2 + r(1-t^2)$.
- (2) $s_i(-1) = a_i$, $s_i(1) = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$
- (3) $s_i(-1) = 0$, $s_i(1) = 0$ for $i = k+1, k+2$
- (4) $\deg(r), \deg(s_i^2) \leq \deg(f) + 4k + 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k+2$.

Proof. Let $d = \deg(f)$. By the lemma, we may choose s_1 with $\deg(s_1^2) \leq d + 4$ such that $s_1(-1) = a_1$, $s_1(1) = b_1$ and $s_1^2 \leq f$, hence $f - s_1^2 \geq 0$ on $[-1, 1]$. Continuing inductively, we find s_1, \dots, s_k such that $f - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^2 \geq 0$ holds on $[-1, 1]$ and $s_i(-1) = a_i$, $s_i(1) = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, with $\deg(s_i^2) \leq d + 4i$. By Thm. 2.4, there exist s_{k+1}, s_{k+2} and $r \in \sum \mathbb{R}[t]^2$ such that

$$f - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^2 = s_{k+1}^2 + s_{k+2}^2 + r \cdot (1 - t^2)$$

and with $\deg(s_{k+1}^2), \deg(s_{k+2}^2), \deg(r) \leq d + 4k + 2$, which is the desired representation. Note that condition (3) follows automatically from (2), since $s_{k+1}^2(\pm 1) + s_{k+2}^2(\pm 1) = f(\pm 1) - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^2(\pm 1) = 0$. \square

Proof of Thm. 2.6. We do induction on the number e of edges in Δ . If $e = 1$, the statement reduces to that of Corollary 2.5. So assume $e \geq 2$.

If Δ is not connected, say $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ with $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$ and $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \neq \emptyset$, then we can write $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta) = \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta_1) \times \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta_2)$. Applying the induction hypothesis to Δ_1 and Δ_2 gives the result.

Now assume that Δ is connected and that $(1, 2) \in E_\Delta$. Let $\Delta_1 = \Delta(v_1, v_2)$, and let Δ_2 be the closure of $\Delta \setminus \Delta_1$ so that $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ and $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \subset \{v_1, v_2\}$. We treat the case $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}$. (If $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ contains only one vertex, the argument remains essentially the same.)

Let $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$ be non-negative on Δ . By Prop. 1.4, we can write $F = (f(t), F_2)$ with $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ a polynomial in one variable satisfying $f(-1) = F_2(v_1)$ and $f(1) = F_2(v_2)$. By the induction hypothesis applied to F_2 , there is a representation

$$F_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2(e-1)} \tilde{S}_i^2 + \sum_{(i,j) \in E_{\Delta_2}} \tilde{R}_{ij} T_i T_j.$$

with \tilde{R}_{ij} sums of two squares in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta_2)$, and with $\deg(\tilde{S}_i^2), \deg(\tilde{R}_{ij}) \leq \deg(F) + 8(e-2) + 2$. By Cor. 2.8, we can write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{2e} s_i^2 + r_{12}(1 - t^2)$$

such that $s_i(-1) = S_i(v_1)$ and $s_i(1) = S_i(v_2)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 2(e-1)$ and $s_i(-1) = s_i(1) = 0$ for $i = 2e-1, 2e$, where $\deg(s_i^2) \leq \deg(f) + 8(e-1) + 2$. It follows that

$$S_i = \begin{cases} (s_i, \tilde{S}_i) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 2(e-1) \\ (s_i, 0) & \text{for } i = 2e-1, 2e \end{cases}$$

are well-defined elements of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$. Choose sums of two squares $R_{ij} \in \sum \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta)$, $(i, j) \in E$, such that $R_{12}|_{\Delta_1} = r_{12}$, $R_{ij}|_{\Delta_2} = \tilde{R}_{ij}$, and $\deg(R_{12}) = \deg(r_{12})$, $\deg(R_{ij}) = \deg(\tilde{R}_{ij})$, for all $(i, j) \in E_{\Delta_2}$ (see Remark 1.6). Since T_{ij} is supported on $\Delta(v_i, v_j)$, we see that

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{2e} S_i^2 + \sum_{(i,j) \in E_\Delta} R_{ij} T_i T_j.$$

\square

Examples 2.9. (1) Since the tent functions are themselves non-negative on Δ , they must have a representation as in Theorem 2.6. This is reflected in the simple identity $T_i = T_i(\sum_{j=1}^m T_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m T_i T_j$.

- (2) Let Δ be the boundary of the triangle spanned by $v_1 = (0, 1)$, $v_2 = (0, 0)$, $v_3 = (1, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The Zariski-closure of Δ is the plane curve $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid xy(1 - x - y) = 0\}$, a union of three lines. We can write $C^0(\Delta)$ in terms of the tent functions T_1, T_2, T_3 with the relations $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 = 1$ and $T_1T_2T_3 = 0$, or we can identify it with the coordinate ring $\mathbb{R}[C]$ which is isomorphic to

$$\{(f, g, h) \in \mathbb{R}[u] \times \mathbb{R}[v] \times \mathbb{R}[w] \mid f(1) = g(-1), g(1) = h(-1), h(1) = f(-1)\}$$

in such a way that $2T_1 = (u + 1, 1 - v, 0)$, $2T_2 = (1 - u, 0, 1 + w)$, $2T_3 = (0, v + 1, 1 - w)$ (see Prop. 1.4). Consider the function $F = (u^2, v^2, w^2) = 1 - 4T_1T_2 - 4T_1T_3 - 4T_2T_3$. From the second expression, it is not immediately clear that F is non-negative on Δ , while this is obvious from the first, since F is even non-negative on all of C . But F is not a square in $\mathbb{R}[C]$, since $(u, v, w) \notin \mathbb{R}[C]$, nor is it even a sum of squares in $\mathbb{R}[C]$ (see [5], Example (1) in the introduction). However, by Thm. 2.6, it is contained in the quadratic module

$$\text{PO}(T_1T_2, T_1T_3, T_2T_3) = \text{PO}((1 - u^2, 0, 0), (0, 1 - v^2, 0), (0, 0, 1 - w^2)).$$

Using the idea of the proof of Thm. 2.6, one quickly arrives at the representation $F = (u^2, -v, w)^2 + (u, -v, -1)^2(1 - u^2, 0, 0)$. Translated into tent functions, this corresponds to the equality

$$1 - 4T_1T_2 - 4T_1T_3 - 4T_2T_3 = (4T_1T_2 - 2T_1 - 2T_2 + 1)^2 + (4T_1 - 2)^2T_1T_2.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. J. Billera. The algebra of continuous piecewise polynomials. *Adv. Math.*, **76** (2), 170–183, 1989.
URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(89\)90047-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(89)90047-9)
- [2] S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, and N. Schwartz. Positivity, sums of squares and the multi-dimensional moment problem. II. *Adv. Geom.*, **5** (4), 583–606, 2005.
- [3] M. Marshall. *Positive polynomials and sums of squares*, vol. 146 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [4] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, vol. 227 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [5] D. Plaumann. Sums of squares on reducible real curves. *Math. Z.*, 2008. To appear.
- [6] A. Prestel and C. N. Delzell. *Positive polynomials*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. From Hilbert’s 17th problem to real algebra.
- [7] C. Scheiderer. Sums of squares on real algebraic curves. *Math. Z.*, **245** (4), 725–760, 2003.
- [8] ———. Non-existence of degree bounds for weighted sums of squares representations. *J. Complexity*, **21** (6), 823–844, 2005.
- [9] K. Schmüdgen. The K -moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets. *Math. Ann.*, **289** (2), 203–206, 1991.
- [10] M. Schweighofer. On the complexity of Schmüdgen’s positivstellensatz. *J. Complexity*, **20** (4), 529–543, 2004.
- [11] G. Strang. Piecewise polynomials and the finite element method. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79**, 1128–1137, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA
E-mail address: plaumann@math.berkeley.edu