

ON REPRESENTATIONS OF REAL JACOBI GROUPS

BINYONG SUN

ABSTRACT. We consider a category of continuous Hilbert space representations and a category of smooth Fréchet representations, of a real Jacobi group G . By Mackey's theory, they are respectively equivalent to certain categories of representations of a real reductive group \tilde{L} . Within these categories, we show that the two functors of taking smooth vectors for G , and for \tilde{L} , are consistent with each other. By using Casselman-Wallach's theory of smooth representations of real reductive groups, we define matrix coefficients for distributional vectors of certain representations of G . We also formulate Gelfand-Kazhdan criteria for Jacobi groups which could be used to prove the multiplicity one theorem for Fourier-Jacobi models.

1. INTRODUCTION

By a (complex) representation of a Lie group, we mean a continuous linear action of it on a complete locally convex space. In this paper, a locally convex space means a complex topological vector space which is Hausdorff and locally convex. When no confusion is possible, we do not distinguish a representation with its underlying space. Representations of reductive groups are studied intensively in the literature. These are the most interesting groups from the point of view of the Langlands program. But there is another family of groups which show up quite often in number theory, namely, Jacobi groups.

We work in the setting of Nash groups. By a Nash group, we mean a group which is simultaneously a Nash manifold so that all group operations (the multiplication and the inversion) are Nash maps. The reader is referred to [Sh1, Sh2] for details on Nash manifolds and Nash groups. Let G be a Nash group. A finite dimensional real representation E of G is said to be a Nash representation if the action map $G \times E \rightarrow E$ is Nash. A Nash group is said to be almost linear if it admits a Nash representation with finite kernel. It is said to be unipotent if it admits a faithful Nash representation so that all group elements act as unipotent operators. Every unipotent Nash group is connected and simply connected. As in the case of linear algebraic groups, every almost linear Nash group has a unipotent radical, namely, a largest unipotent normal

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E27, 22E30, 22E46.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi groups, Heisenberg groups, Casselman-Wallach representations, matrix coefficients, Gelfand-Kazhdan criteria.

Nash subgroup of it. (Recall that every Nash subgroup is automatically closed.) An almost linear Nash group is said to be reductive if its unipotent radical is trivial. Assume that G is almost linear, and denote by H its unipotent radical. The center of G is also an almost linear Nash group. Its unipotent radical Z is called the unipotent center of G . This equals to the intersection of H with the center of G . If $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is a finite cover of Lie groups. Then \tilde{G} is uniquely a Nash group so that the covering map is Nash. In this case, the unipotent radical (and the unipotent center) of G and \tilde{G} are canonically identified.

As usual, we use the corresponding lower case German letter to denote the Lie algebra of a Lie group. We say that the almost linear Nash group G is a real Jacobi group if $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{z}$ and the map

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z} \times \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$$

is non-degenerate in the sense that the alternating form

$$\phi \circ [\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z} \times \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is non-degenerate for some linear functional $\phi : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By definition, every reductive Nash group is a real Jacobi group. It is easy to see that all real Jacobi groups are unimodular.

Now assume that G is a real Jacobi group. Fix a unitary character ψ on Z which is generic in the sense that the alternating form

$$(1) \quad \frac{d\psi}{\sqrt{-1}} \circ [\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z} \times \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is non-degenerate, where $d\psi$ denotes the differential of ψ . A representation of G is said to be a ψ -representation if Z acts through the character ψ .

Among all representations, unitary ones are most important for many applications. But non-unitary representations also occur naturally even in the study of unitary ones. In general, we will consider ψ -representations of G on Hilbert spaces so that H acts by unitary operators. Denote by $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ the category of these representations. Morphisms in this category are G -intertwining continuous linear maps (which may or may not preserve the inner products).

Smooth representations are also important for many purposes. In this paper, we are very much concerned with smooth Fréchet ψ -representations of G of moderate growth. (A representation is said to be Fréchet if its underlying space is. See Section 2.2 for the notion of smooth representations, and see Section 2.3 for the moderate growth condition.) Following F. du Cloux ([du4]), denote by $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ the category of these representations.

Given any representation V in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$, it turns out (cf. Corollary 4.3) that its smooth vectors V_∞ form a representation in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ (see Section 2.2 for the notion

of smooth vectors). Therefore we get a functor (the smoothing functor)

$$(2) \quad (\cdot)_\infty : \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}.$$

We are aimed to show that the smoothing functor (2) can be identified with the smoothing functor for a reductive group.

To be more precise, use the alternating form (1), we form the real symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z})$ and its metaplectic double cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z})$. The adjoint action induces a homomorphism

$$L := G/H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z}).$$

Define the fibre product

$$\widetilde{L} := L \times_{\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z})} \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z}).$$

This is a double cover of L . All the groups $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z})$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z})$, L and \widetilde{L} are canonically reductive Nash groups.

A representation of \widetilde{L} is said to be genuine if the nontrivial element in the kernel of the covering map $\widetilde{L} \rightarrow L$ acts as the scalar multiplication by -1 . This terminology applies to other double covers of groups. Denote by $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}}$ the category of genuine representations of \widetilde{L} on Hilbert spaces, and by $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}}$ the category of genuine smooth Fréchet representations of \widetilde{L} of moderate growth. As in the case of Jacobi groups, we still have the smoothing functor:

$$(3) \quad (\cdot)_\infty : \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}}.$$

Put

$$\widetilde{G} := G \times_L \widetilde{L}.$$

This is a double cover of G so that $\widetilde{G}/H = \widetilde{L}$. In order to relate ψ -representations of G to genuine representations of \widetilde{L} , we fix a unitary oscillator representation ω_ψ^h of \widetilde{G} corresponding to ψ : ω_ψ^h is a genuine unitary ψ -representation of \widetilde{G} which remains irreducible when restricted to H . Such a representation always exists (see Section 4), and all others are unitarily isomorphic to twists of ω_ψ^h by unitary characters of L . Denote by ω_ψ the smoothing of ω_ψ^h . We construct in Section 4 four functors:

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, \cdot) : \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}},$$

$$(5) \quad \omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h \cdot : \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi},$$

$$(6) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, \cdot) : \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}},$$

$$(7) \quad \omega_\psi \widehat{\otimes} \cdot : \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\widetilde{L},\mathrm{gen}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}.$$

The usual meanings of the topological tensor products “ $\widehat{\otimes}_h$ ” and “ $\widehat{\otimes}$ ” will be explained in Section 2.1.

One purpose of this paper is to clarify the following

Theorem A. *The functors (4) and (5) are inverse to each other, the functors (6) and (7) are inverse to each other, and the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)_\infty} & \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \\ \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, \cdot) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, \cdot) \\ \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}} & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)_\infty} & \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)_\infty} & \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \\ \omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h \uparrow & & \uparrow \omega_\psi \widehat{\otimes} \\ \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}} & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)_\infty} & \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}} \end{array}$$

commute.

More precisely, Theorem A says that we have the following natural identifications of representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, V^h) &= V^h, \\ \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, \omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E^h) &= E^h, \\ \omega_\psi \widehat{\otimes} \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, V) &= V, \\ \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, \omega_\psi \widehat{\otimes} E) &= E, \\ \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, V^h)_\infty &= \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, V_\infty^h), \\ (\omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E^h)_\infty &= \omega_\psi \widehat{\otimes} E_\infty^h, \end{aligned}$$

for all representations V^h in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$, E^h in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$, V in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$, and E in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. The first two assertions of Theorem A are in some sense well known (Mackey's theory, cf. [du4, Proposition 4.3.9]). They are rather direct consequences of the work of F. du Cloux ([du2, du3, du4]). The last assertion of Theorem A generalizes the expectation of R. Berndt in [Be, Page 185].

We say that a representation of a reductive Nash group is a Casselman-Wallach representation if it is Fréchet, smooth, of moderate growth, admissible and Z-finite. Here Z is the center of the universal enveloping algebra of the complexified Lie algebra of the group. The reader may consult [Ca], [Wa, Chapter 11] and [BK] for more details about Casselman-Wallach representations.

Denote by $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$ the category of genuine Casselman-Wallach representations of \tilde{L} . This is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$, under the functors (6) and (7). Objects of this

category are called Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations of G . These representations should be useful in the study of Jacobi forms (cf. [EZ]). It is important to note that the underlying spaces of all Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations are nuclear.

As an application of Theorem A, we define matrix coefficients for distributional vectors in Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations, as what follows. Denote by $C^\xi(G)$ the space of tempered smooth functions on G , and by $C^{-\xi}(G)$ the locally convex space of tempered generalized functions on G . The later contains the former as a dense subspace (see Section 2.3 for precise definitions of these spaces).

Let U be a representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ and let V be a representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\bar{\psi}}$ which are contragredient to each other, namely, a non-degenerate G -invariant continuous bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is given. Note that every representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ has a unique contragredient representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\bar{\psi}}$ (Proposition 5.3). Denote by $U^{-\infty}$ the strong dual of V . It is a smooth representation of G containing U as a dense subspace. Similarly, denote by $V^{-\infty}$ the strong dual of U .

For any $u \in U$, $v \in V$, the (usual) matrix coefficient $c_{u \otimes v}$ is defined by

$$(8) \quad c_{u \otimes v}(g) := \langle gu, v \rangle, \quad g \in G.$$

It is a function in $C^\xi(G)$. The following result is proved in [SZ1, Theorem 2.1] for real reductive groups.

Corollary B. *With the notation as above, the matrix coefficient map*

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} U \times V &\rightarrow C^\xi(G), \\ (u, v) &\mapsto c_{u \otimes v} \end{aligned}$$

extends to a continuous bilinear map

$$U^{-\infty} \times V^{-\infty} \rightarrow C^{-\xi}(G),$$

and the induced $G \times G$ intertwining linear map

$$(10) \quad c : U^{-\infty} \widehat{\otimes} V^{-\infty} \rightarrow C^{-\xi}(G)$$

is a topological homomorphism with closed image.

Recall that a linear map $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ of locally convex spaces is called a topological homomorphism if the induced linear isomorphism $E/\text{Ker}(\phi) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)$ is a homeomorphism, where $E/\text{Ker}(\phi)$ is equipped with the quotient topology of E , and the image $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is equipped with the subspace topology of F . The action of $G \times G$ on $C^{-\xi}(G)$ is given by

$$((g_1, g_2) \cdot f)(x) := f(g_2^{-1} x g_1).$$

In particular, Corollary B defines characters of Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations (as tempered generalized functions on G). It also implies that irreducible Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations are determined by their characters ([SZ1, Remark 2.2]).

The following form of Gelfand-Kazhdan criteria is a rather direct consequence of Corollary B. See [SZ1, Theorem 2.3] for a proof.

Corollary C. *Let S_1 and S_2 be two closed subgroups of the real Jacobi group G , with continuous (non-necessarily unitary) characters*

$$\chi_i : S_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Assume that there is a Nash anti-automorphism σ of G such that for every $f \in C^{-\xi}(G)$ which is an eigenvector of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^G$, the conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(zx) = \psi(z)f(x), & z \in Z, \\ f(sx) = \chi_1(s)f(x), & s \in S_1, \text{ and} \\ f(xs) = \chi_2(s)^{-1}f(x), & s \in S_2 \end{cases}$$

imply that

$$f(x^\sigma) = f(x).$$

Then for every pair of irreducible representations U in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ and V in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\bar{\psi}}$ which are contragredient to each other, one has that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{S_1}(U, \chi_1) \dim \text{Hom}_{S_2}(V, \chi_2) \leq 1.$$

Here and henceforth, a subscript “ \mathbb{C} ” indicates the complexification of a real Lie algebra, the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is identified with the algebra of left invariant differential operators on G , and $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^G$ is identified with the algebra of bi-invariant differential operators on G .

Another purpose of this paper is to have the following criterion for a strong Gelfand pair, which is used in [SZ2] to prove the multiplicity one theorem for Fourier-Jacobi models. As in the proof of [SZ1, Corollary 2.5], the criterion is implied by Corollary C. We shall not go to the details.

Corollary D. *Let G' be a Nash subgroup of G which is also a real Jacobi group. Fix a generic unitary character ψ' of the unipotent center Z' of G' . Assume that there exists a Nash anti-automorphism σ of G preserving G' with the following property: every tempered generalized function on G which is invariant under the adjoint action of G' is automatically σ -invariant. Then for every irreducible Casselman-Wallach ψ -representation U of G , and every irreducible Casselman-Wallach ψ' -representation U' of G' , the space of G' -invariant continuous bilinear functionals on $U \times U'$ is at most one dimensional.*

Acknowledgements: This paper is an outcome of discussions between Avraham Aizenbud and the author. In particular, the author learned Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.4 from him. The author is very grateful to him. The work was partially supported by NSFC grants 10801126 and 10931006.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we fix some notations and terminologies and recall some general results which will be used later in this paper.

2.1. Topological tensor products. Let E and F be two locally convex spaces. There are at least four useful locally convex topologies one can put on the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$, namely, the inductive tensor product $E \otimes_i F$, the projective tensor product $E \otimes_\pi F$, the epsilon tensor product $E \otimes_\epsilon F$ and the Hilbert tensor product $E \otimes_h F$. As usual, their completions are denoted by $E \widehat{\otimes}_i F$, $E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$, $E \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon F$ and $E \widehat{\otimes}_h F$, respectively. The inductive tensor product plays no role in this paper. The projective tensor product and the epsilon tensor product are more commonly used and the reader is referred to [Th] for a concise treatment. A fundamental theorem of Grothedieck says that they coincide when either E or F is nuclear. If this is the case, we simply write

$$E \widehat{\otimes} F := E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F = E \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon F.$$

We are more concerned with the Hilbert tensor product. Its topology is defined by the family

$$\{ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mu \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu \}$$

of non-negative Hermitian forms on $E \otimes F$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mu$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu$ runs through all non-negative continuous Hermitian forms on E and F , respectively.

Recall that a locally convex space is said to be Hilbertizable if its topology is defined by a family of non-negative Hermitian forms on it. The following fact is well known.

Lemma 2.1. *If E is nuclear and F is Hilbertizable, then*

$$E \widehat{\otimes}_h F = E \widehat{\otimes} F$$

as locally convex spaces.

Proof. We indicate the idea of the proof for the convenience of the reader. In general, the Hilbert topology is coarser than the projective topology. If both E and F are Hilbertizable, then the epsilon topology is coarser than the Hilbert topology. The lemma then follows by Grothedieck's theorem and the well know fact that every nuclear locally convex space is Hilbertizable (cf. [Th, Corallary 3.19]). \square

2.2. Smoothing representations. In this subsection, G is an arbitrary Lie group. Let V be a representation of G (recall from the Introduction that every representation space is assumed to be complete). It is said to be smooth if the action map $G \times V \rightarrow V$ is smooth as a map of infinite dimensional manifolds. The notion of smooth maps in infinite dimensional setting may be found in [GN], for example. In general, denote by $C(G; V)$ the space of continuous functions on G with values in V . It is a complete locally convex space under the usual topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, and is a representation of G under right translations:

$$(g.f)(x) := f(xg), \quad g, x \in G, f \in C(G; V).$$

Similarly, smooth V -valued functions form a complete locally convex space $C^\infty(G; V)$ under the usual smooth topology, and is a smooth representation of G under right translations.

Write

$$\gamma_v(g) := g.v, \quad v \in V, g \in G.$$

The smoothing V_∞ of V is defined to be the representation which fits to a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_\infty & \longrightarrow & C^\infty(G; V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{v \mapsto \gamma_v} & C(G; V) \end{array}$$

in the category of representations of G . This is a smooth representation of G . As a vector space, it consists of all $v \in V$ such that $\gamma_v \in C^\infty(G; V)$. The topology of V_∞ coincides with the subspace topology of $C^\infty(G; V)$. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ acts on V_∞ as continuous linear operators by

$$X.v := \text{the value of } X(\gamma_v) \text{ at the identity element } 1 \in G.$$

The topology of V_∞ is determined by the family

$$\{|\cdot|_{X,\lambda}\}_{X \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}), \lambda \in \Lambda}$$

of seminorms, where Λ is the set of all continuous seminorms on V , and

$$|v|_{X,\lambda} := |X.v|_\lambda.$$

The smoothing is clearly a functor from the category of representations of G to the category of smooth representations of G . When V itself is smooth, we have that $V = V_\infty$ as representations of G . In general, V_∞ is a dense subspace of V .

Lemma 2.2. *Let V_0 be a G -stable subspace of V_∞ . If it is dense in V , then it is also dense in V_∞ .*

Proof. Fix a left invariant Haar measure dg on G . Let $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$ (a smooth function with compact support). For all $v \in V$, write

$$f.v := \int_G f(g)g.v dg.$$

It is well know and also easy to see that $f.v \in V_\infty$ and the linear map

$$V \rightarrow V_\infty, \quad v \mapsto f.v$$

is continuous. Then the denseness of V_0 in V implies the denseness of $f.V_0$ in $f.V$, under the topology of V_∞ . Consequently, under the topology of V_∞ ,

$$(11) \quad \sum_{f \in C_0^\infty(G)} f.V_0 \text{ is dense in } \sum_{f \in C_0^\infty(G)} f.V.$$

Then the lemma follows by noting that the closure of V_0 (within V_∞) contains the first space of (11), and that the second space of (11) (the Garding subspace) is dense in V_∞ . □

For every closed subgroup S of G , write $V|_S := V$, viewed as a representation of S .

Lemma 2.3. *Let S and S' be two closed subgroups of G . If the actions of $U(\mathfrak{s}_\mathbb{C})$ and $U(\mathfrak{s}'_\mathbb{C})$ produce the same subalgebra of $\text{End}(V_\infty)$, then*

$$(V|_S)_\infty = (V|_{S'})_\infty$$

as locally convex spaces.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.2 to the representation $V|_S$, we see that V_∞ is dense in $(V|_S)_\infty$. Therefore $(V|_S)_\infty$ is the completion of V_∞ under the seminorms

$$\{|\cdot|_{X,\lambda}\}_{X \in U(\mathfrak{s}_\mathbb{C}), \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

Similarly, $(V|_{S'})_\infty$ is the completion of V_∞ under the seminorms

$$\{|\cdot|_{X,\lambda}\}_{X \in U(\mathfrak{s}'_\mathbb{C}), \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

The assumption of the lemma implies that these two families of seminorms are the same. Therefore the lemma follows. □

We say that a representation is Hibertizable if its underlying space is. The smoothing of a Hibertizable representation is also Hibertizable. Let V' be another representation of another Lie group G' . Then $V \hat{\otimes}_\pi V'$ is a representation of $G \times G'$. If both V and V' are Hibertizable, then $V \hat{\otimes}_h V'$ is also a (Hilbertizable) representation of $G \times G'$.

Lemma 2.4. *If both V and V' are smooth, then so is $V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V'$. If both V and V' are smooth and Hilbertizable, then so is $V \widehat{\otimes}_h V'$.*

Proof. We prove the first assertion. The second one is proved similarly. The algebraic tensor product $V \otimes V'$ is clearly contained in $(V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V')_\infty$. It is dense in $V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V'$ and is therefore also dense in $(V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V')_\infty$, by Lemma 2.2. Note that $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{g}'_\mathbb{C})$ acts continuously on $V \otimes V'$. This implies that the topology of $(V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V')_\infty$ and of $V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V'$ have the same restriction to $V \otimes V'$. Consequently, $(V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V')_\infty$ and $V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi V'$ are both completions of $V \otimes V'$ with respect to a common locally convex topology. Therefore they are the same and the first assertion of the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If both V and V' are Hilbertizable, then*

$$(12) \quad (V \widehat{\otimes}_h V')_\infty = V_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_h V'_\infty.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.4 and we will not go to the details. The key point is that both sides of (12) contain $V_\infty \otimes V'_\infty$ as a dense subspace. \square

It is not clear to the author whether the analog of (12) holds for projective tensor products.

2.3. Representations of moderate growth. We will use the notation of [SZ1] for function spaces, as what follows. Let G be an almost linear Nash group. It is not assumed to be a real Jacobi group in this section. We say that a (complex valued) function f on G has moderate growth if its absolute value is bounded by a positive Nash function ϕ on G :

$$|f(x)| \leq \phi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

A smooth function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ is said to be tempered if Xf has moderate growth for all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$. Denote by $C^\xi(G)$ the space of all tempered smooth functions on G .

A smooth function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ is called Schwartz if

$$|f|_{X,\phi} := \sup_{x \in G} \phi(x) |(Xf)(x)| < \infty$$

for all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$, and all positive functions ϕ on G of moderate growth. Denote by $C^\varsigma(G)$ the space of Schwartz functions on G . It is a nuclear Fréchet space under the seminorms $\{|\cdot|_{X,\phi}\}$. We define the nuclear Fréchet space $D^\varsigma(G)$ of Schwartz densities on G similarly. Fix a Haar measure dg on G , then the map

$$\begin{aligned} C^\varsigma(G) &\rightarrow D^\varsigma(G), \\ f &\mapsto f dg \end{aligned}$$

is a topological linear isomorphism. We define a tempered generalized function on G to be a continuous linear functional on $D^\varsigma(G)$. Denote by $C^{-\xi}(G)$ the space of all tempered generalized functions on G , equipped with the strong dual topology. This

topology coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $D^\varsigma(G)$, due to the fact that every bounded subset of a nuclear locally convex space is relatively compact. Note that $C^\xi(G)$ is canonically identified with a dense subspace of $C^{-\xi}(G)$:

$$C^\xi(G) \hookrightarrow C^{-\xi}(G).$$

A representation V of G is said to be of moderate growth if for every continuous seminorm $|\cdot|_\mu$ on V , there is a positive function ϕ on G of moderate growth and a continuous seminorm $|\cdot|_\nu$ on V such that

$$|gv|_\mu \leq \phi(g) |v|_\nu, \quad \text{for all } g \in G, v \in V.$$

It is easy to check that the smoothing of a representation of moderate growth is still of moderate growth. For every representation V of G of moderate growth, the bilinear map

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} D^\varsigma(G) \times V &\rightarrow V_\infty, \\ \lambda = f(g) dg, v &\mapsto \lambda.v := \int_G f(g) g.v dg \end{aligned}$$

is well defined and continuous. The space $D^\varsigma(G)$ is an associative algebra under the convolution operator $*$. Under the map (13), both V and V_∞ are $D^\varsigma(G)$ -modules.

2.4. Matrix coefficients for distributional vectors. Let G be an almost linear Nash group as in the last subsection. Let U^h and V^h be two Hilbert space representations of G of moderate growth which are strongly dual to each other in the following sense: there is given a G -invariant continuous bilinear map

$$\langle, \rangle : U^h \times V^h \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

which induces a topological isomorphism from U^h to the strong dual of V^h (and hence a topological isomorphism from V^h to the strong dual of U^h). Denote by $U^{-\infty}$ the strong dual of the smoothing V_∞^h of V^h . This is a locally convex space carrying a linear action of G . There are canonical G -intertwining continuous injections

$$U_\infty^h \rightarrow U^h \rightarrow U^{-\infty}.$$

It is not clear to the author whether U^h is dense in $U^{-\infty}$ in general. Similarly, denote by $V^{-\infty}$ the strong dual of the smoothing U_∞^h .

Define a bilinear map

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} D^\varsigma(G) \times U^{-\infty} &\rightarrow U^h = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^h, \mathbb{C}), \\ \lambda = f(g) dg, \phi &\mapsto \lambda.\phi := (v \mapsto \phi(\int_G f(g) g^{-1}.v dg)). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is elementary and may be proved by the argument of [SZ1, Section 3].

Lemma 2.6. *The map (14) is well defined and its image is contained in U_∞^h . The resulting bilinear map*

$$D^\varsigma(G) \times U^{-\infty} \rightarrow U_\infty, \quad (\lambda, \phi) \mapsto \lambda.\phi$$

is separately continuous and extends the continuous bilinear map (13) for the representation U^h .

Finally, we define the matrix coefficient map

$$\begin{aligned} c : U^{-\infty} \times V^{-\infty} &\rightarrow C^{-\xi}(G) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(D^\varsigma(G), \mathbb{C}), \\ \phi, \phi' &\mapsto c_{\phi \otimes \phi'} := (\lambda \mapsto \phi'(\lambda.\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

This extends the ordinary matrix coefficient map (see (8)), and is separately continuous (see the proof of [SZ1, Lemma 3.6]).

3. REPRESENTATIONS OF HEISENBERG GROUPS

Let w be a finite dimensional real vector space with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w : w \times w \rightarrow z, \quad \text{where } \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(z) = 1.$$

Let $H(w) = w \times z$ be the associated Heisenberg group, with group multiplication

$$(u, t)(u', t') := (u + u', t + t' + \langle u, u' \rangle_w).$$

Let ψ_z be a non-trivial unitary character on z . By Stone-Von Neumann Theorem, up to isomorphism, there is a unique irreducible unitary ψ_z -representation $\omega_{\psi_z}^h$ of $H(w)$. Write ω_{ψ_z} for its smoothing. It is well known that this is a nuclear Fréchet space.

3.1. Smooth representations. Recall that $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ is the category of smooth Fréchet ψ_z -representations of $H(w)$ of moderate growth. The following fact is fundamental to this paper.

Proposition 3.1. *For every representation V in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$, $\text{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}, V)$ is a Fréchet space under the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. For every Fréchet space E , $\omega_{\psi_z} \widehat{\otimes} E$ is a representation in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$. Furthermore, one has that*

$$\omega_{\psi_z} \widehat{\otimes} \text{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}, V) = V,$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}, \omega_{\psi_z} \widehat{\otimes} E) = E.$$

Consequently, the functors

$$\text{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\psi_z} \widehat{\otimes} \cdot$$

are mutually inverse equivalences of categories between the category $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ and the category of Fréchet space.

In view of the following lemma, Proposition 3.1 is a combination of [du1, Theorem 3.3] and [du3, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 3.2. *Denote by I_{ψ_z} the annihilator of ω_{ψ_z} in $D^\varsigma(H(w))$. Then I_{ψ_z} annihilates every representation in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$. Consequently, every representation in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ is a $D^\varsigma(H(w))/I_{\psi_z}$ -module.*

Proof. Fix a Haar measure dw on w and a Haar measure dz on z . Then $dh := dw \otimes dz$ is a Haar measure on $H(w)$. Define a continuous surjective map

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} D^\varsigma(H(w)) &\rightarrow C^\varsigma(w), \\ \lambda = f dh &\mapsto (w \mapsto \int_z f(zw) \psi(z) dz). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by I'_{ψ_z} the kernel of this map. It is checked to be a closed ideal of $D^\varsigma(H(w))$. We view $C^\varsigma(w)$ as an associative algebra so that the map (15) is an algebra homomorphism. It is easy to see that all representations in $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ are annihilated by I'_{ψ_z} . Therefore they are all $C^\varsigma(w)$ -modules.

On the other hand, a classical result of I. Segal says that the action of $C^\varsigma(w)$ on ω_{ψ_z} is faithful (c.f. [Ho, page 826]). Therefore $I'_{\psi_z} = I_{\psi_z}$. This proves the lemma. \square

3.2. Unitary representations. As usual, for every complex vector space E , write \bar{E} for its complex conjugation. This equals to E as a real vector space, and its complex scalar multiplication is obtained by composing the complex conjugation with the scalar multiplication of E .

Denote by $\langle, \rangle_{\psi_z}$ the inner product on the Hilbert space $\omega_{\psi_z}^h$. It restricts to a nonzero $H(w)$ -invariant continuous bilinear form

$$\langle, \rangle_{\psi_z} : \omega_{\psi_z} \times \bar{\omega}_{\psi_z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

It is well known that such a form is unique up to scalar (see [du4, Proposition 4.12] for example). This implies the following

Lemma 3.3. *For all Fréchet spaces E and F , the map*

$$\begin{aligned} B(E, F) &\rightarrow B_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z} \hat{\otimes} E, \bar{\omega}_{\psi_z} \hat{\otimes} F), \\ b &\mapsto \langle, \rangle_{\psi_z} \otimes b \end{aligned}$$

is a linear isomorphism, where “B” stands for the space of continuous bilinear forms, and “ $B_{H(w)}$ ” stands for the space of $H(w)$ -invariant continuous bilinear forms.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ the category of unitary ψ_z -representations of $H(w)$. For every Hilbert space E with inner product \langle, \rangle_E , $\omega_{\psi_z}^h \hat{\otimes}_h E$ is a unitary representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$. (The inner product is $\langle, \rangle_{\psi_z} \otimes \langle, \rangle_E$.) Conversely, we have

Lemma 3.4. *Every representation V in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ is unitarily isomorphic to $\omega_{\psi_z}^h \hat{\otimes}_h E$ for some Hilbert space E .*

Proof. This is well known. We provide a proof for completeness. By Proposition 3.1, the smoothing V_∞ has the form $\omega_{\psi_z} \widehat{\otimes} E_0$, where E_0 is a certain Fréchet space. By Lemma 3.3, the restriction of the inner product on V to $\omega_{\psi_z} \widehat{\otimes} E_0$ has the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\psi_z} \otimes b$, where b is an inner product on E_0 . Denote by E the completion of E_0 with respect to b . This is a Hilbert space and we have that $V = \omega_{\psi_z}^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E$. \square

For every unitary representation V in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$, with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$, define an inner product on $\mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}^h, V)$ by

$$(16) \quad \langle \phi, \phi' \rangle := \phi'^* \circ \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}^h, \omega_{\psi_z}^h) = \mathbb{C},$$

where $\phi'^* \in \mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(V, \omega_{\psi_z}^h)$ is determined by the formula

$$\langle \phi'(u), v \rangle_V = \langle u, \phi'^*(v) \rangle_{\psi_z}, \quad u \in \omega_{\psi_z}^h, v \in V.$$

The following analog of Proposition 3.1 is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.4.

Proposition 3.5. *For every representation V in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}^h, V)$ is a Hilbert space under the inner product (16). For every Hilbert space E , $\omega_{\psi_z}^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E$ is a representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$. Further more, one has inner product preserving identifications*

$$\omega_{\psi_z}^h \widehat{\otimes}_h \mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}^h, V) = V,$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}^h, \omega_{\psi_z}^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E) = E.$$

Consequently, the functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{H(w)}(\omega_{\psi_z}^h, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\psi_z}^h \widehat{\otimes}_h \cdot$$

are mutually inverse equivalences of categories between the category $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{H(w), \psi_z}$ and the category of Hilbert spaces.

4. REPRESENTATIONS OF JACOBI GROUPS

Let G be a real Jacobi group, and we return to the notation of the Introduction. This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem A. Without lose of generality, we assume in this section that ψ has a discrete kernel. Then Z is either trivial or one dimensional. If it is trivial, then H is trivial and Theorem A is also trivial. So further assume in this section that Z is one dimensional. Then H is a Heisenberg group as in last section.

4.1. Splitting Jacobi groups. Fix a subspace \mathfrak{w} of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} which is complementary to \mathfrak{z} . Such a space is unique up to conjugations by H . It determines a Nash splitting $i_L : L \rightarrow G$ of the quotient map $G \rightarrow L$ so that $i_L(L)$ stabilizes \mathfrak{w} under the adjoint action (cf. [Mo, Theorem 7.1]). Identify L with $i_L(L)$, then we have

$$G = L \ltimes H.$$

As in the Introduction, $\mathfrak{w} \cong \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z}$ is a symplectic space under the Lie bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{w} \times \mathfrak{w} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}.$$

We let the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{w})$ acts on H as group automorphisms so that it point-wise fixes Z and induces the natural action on \mathfrak{w} . Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{w})$ acts on H through the covering map $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{w}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{w})$. Then the following semidirect product is a real Jacobi group:

$$\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w}) := \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{w}) \ltimes H.$$

The adjoint action induces a homomorphism $L \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{w})$. Recall from the Introduction that

$$\widetilde{L} := L \times_{\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{w})} \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathfrak{w})$$

is a double cover of L . The double cover

$$\widetilde{G} := G \times_L \widetilde{L} = \widetilde{L} \ltimes H$$

of G is obviously mapped to both $\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w})$ and \widetilde{L} . In this way, we view it as a subgroup of the product $\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w}) \times \widetilde{L}$.

4.2. The oscillator representation. To distinguish representations of different groups, we write $\pi|_S$ to emphasize that π is viewed as a representation of a group S . Denote by $\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}|_{\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w})}$ the unitary oscillator representation of $\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w})$ corresponding to ψ . Up to isomorphism, this is the only genuine unitary ψ -representation of it which remains irreducible when restricted to H . Without loss of generality, assume that the representation $\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of \widetilde{G} in the introduction coincides with the pull back of $\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}|_{\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w})}$ to \widetilde{G} .

The following lemma is well know (see [Ad, Section 2], for example).

Lemma 4.1. *The universal enveloping algebras $U(\mathfrak{sp}(\mathfrak{w})_{\mathbb{C}} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $U(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ produce the same subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}((\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}|_{\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w})})_\infty)$.*

Lemma 2.3 then implies that

$$\omega_\psi := (\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}|_{\widetilde{G}})_\infty = (\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}|_{\widetilde{J}(\mathfrak{w})})_\infty = (\omega_\psi^{\mathfrak{h}}|_H)_\infty$$

as Fréchet spaces.

4.3. Equivalences of categories. Recall from the Introduction the categories

$$\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}, \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}, \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}, \text{ and } \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}.$$

Given any representation V in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$, recall from the last section that $\text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, V)$ is a Hilbert space. Let \tilde{G} act on it by

$$(\tilde{g}.\phi)(x) := g(\phi(\tilde{g}^{-1}x)), \quad \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}, \phi \in \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, V), x \in \omega_\psi^h,$$

where g is the image of \tilde{g} under the quotient map $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$. This action is checked to be continuous (use Proposition 3.5) and descends to a genuine representation of \tilde{L} . Therefore we get a functor

$$\text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, \cdot) : \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}.$$

On the other hand, given any representation E in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$, the tensor product $\omega_\psi^h \hat{\otimes}_h E$ is a Hilbert space representation of $\tilde{J}(w) \times \tilde{L}$. Its restriction to \tilde{G} descends to a representation of G . In this way, we get a functor

$$\omega_\psi^h \hat{\otimes}_h \cdot : \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}.$$

Similarly, we have functors

$$(17) \quad \text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, \cdot) : \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$$

and

$$(18) \quad \omega_\psi \hat{\otimes} \cdot : \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The functors*

$$\text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi^h, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\psi^h \hat{\otimes}_h \cdot$$

are mutually inverse equivalences of categories between $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ and $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. Similarly, the functors

$$\text{Hom}_H(\omega_\psi, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\psi \hat{\otimes} \cdot$$

are mutually inverse equivalences of categories between $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ and $\mathcal{J}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.5, to prove the first assertion, it suffices to show that the identification

$$\text{Hom}_{H(W)}(\omega_\psi^h, \omega_\psi^h \hat{\otimes}_h E) = E$$

respects the \tilde{L} -actions, and the identification

$$\omega_\psi^h \hat{\otimes}_h \text{Hom}_{H(W)}(\omega_\psi^h, V) = V$$

respects the G -actions. This is routine to check and we will not go to the details. The second assertion is proved similarly. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Every representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ is of moderate growth.*

Proof. Since every representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G,\psi}$ has the form $\omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E$ (E is a representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$), this follows from the well know fact that every Banach space representation of a reductive Nash group is of moderate growth (cf. [Wa, Lemma 11.5.1]). \square

4.4. Smoothing. Recall that \tilde{G} is a Lie subgroup of $\tilde{J}(w) \times \tilde{L}$, and therefore $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{sp}(w)_\mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}$.

Let E be a representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. Write

$$V^\circ := \omega_\psi^h|_{\tilde{J}(w)} \widehat{\otimes}_h E,$$

viewed as a representation of $\tilde{J}(w) \times \tilde{L}$. The descent to G of its restriction to \tilde{G} is denoted by V . Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$V_\infty^\circ = (\omega_\psi^h|_{\tilde{J}(w)})_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_h E_\infty = \omega_\psi|_{\tilde{J}(w)} \widehat{\otimes}_h E_\infty = \omega_\psi|_{\tilde{J}(w)} \widehat{\otimes} E_\infty.$$

Lemma 4.4. *The universal enveloping algebras $U((\mathfrak{sp}(w)_\mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ produce the same subalgebra of $\text{End}(V_\infty^\circ)$.*

Proof. Write

$$\rho : U((\mathfrak{sp}(w)_\mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\infty^\circ)$$

for the Lie algebra action. Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\rho(\mathfrak{sp}(w)_\mathbb{C}) \subset \rho(U(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C})) \subset \rho(U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})).$$

The lemma then follows by noting that

$$(\mathfrak{sp}(w)_\mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{sp}(w)_\mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}.$$

\square

Finally, by Lemma 2.3, we conclude that

$$V_\infty = V_\infty^\circ = \omega_\psi \widehat{\otimes} E_\infty.$$

Together with Proposition 4.2, this finishes the proof of Theorem A.

5. CASSELMAN-WALLACH ψ -REPRESENTATIONS AND GENERALIZED MATRIX COEFFICIENTS

We continue to use the notation of the Introduction and assume that G is a real Jacobi group.

5.1. Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations. Recall from the Introduction that $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{Jmod}_{G,\psi}$ corresponding (under the functors (6) and (7)) to the subcategory $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$ of $\mathcal{Jmod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. Objects in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ are called Casselman-Wallach ψ -representations of G .

The following lemma is well known and is an easy consequence of Casselman-Wallach's Theorem ([Wa, Corollary 11.6.8]).

Lemma 5.1. *Every injective homomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ in the category $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$ is a topological embedding with closed image.*

We use the above lemma to show the following

Lemma 5.2. *Let U be a smooth Fréchet representation of G of moderate growth, and let V be a Casselman-Wallach ψ -representation of G . Then every G -intertwining continuous linear map ϕ from U to V is a topological homomorphism with closed image.*

Proof. The map ϕ descends to an injective homomorphism

$$\phi' : U/\text{Ker}(\phi) \rightarrow V$$

in the category $\mathcal{Jmod}_{G,\psi}$. By Proposition 4.2, this corresponds to an injective homomorphism

$$\phi'' : E \rightarrow F$$

in the category $\mathcal{Jmod}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. Since F is in $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$, by applying Harish-Chandra's functor to ϕ'' , we see that E is also in $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. Then Lemma 5.1 says that ϕ'' is a topological embedding with closed image. This implies that so it ϕ' , since $\omega_\psi \hat{\otimes} \cdot$ is a topologically exact functor on the category of Fréchet spaces (cf. [Ta, Theorem 5.24]). \square

Now let $U = \omega_\psi \hat{\otimes} E$ be a representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$, and let $V = \bar{\omega}_\psi \hat{\otimes} F$ be a representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\bar{\psi}}$. Here both E and F are representations in $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. We say that they are contragredient to each other if there is given a non-degenerate G -invariant continuous bilinear form

$$\langle , \rangle : U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Every representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\psi}$ has a unique contragredient representation in $\mathcal{FH}_{G,\bar{\psi}}$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\text{B}_G(U, V) = \text{B}_{\tilde{L}}(E, F).$$

Therefore, in view of Proposition 4.2, the Proposition follows from the corresponding result in the category $\mathcal{FH}_{\tilde{L},\text{gen}}$. But the later is a consequence of Casselman-Wallach's Theorem. \square

5.2. Generalized matrix coefficients. Let U, V, E, F be as before. Assume that U and V , and hence E and F , are contragredient to each other. It is well known that there is a representation E^h in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L}, \text{gen}}$ so that its smoothing coincides E (cf. [BK, Section 3.1]). Denote by F^h the space of continuous linear functionals on E^h . As usual, it is a representation in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{\tilde{L}, \text{gen}}$ which is contragredient to E^h . Put

$$U^h := \omega_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h E^h \quad \text{and} \quad V^h := \bar{\omega}_\psi^h \widehat{\otimes}_h F^h.$$

View them as representations in $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G, \psi}$ and $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{mod}_{G, \bar{\psi}}$, respectively. Theorem A implies that

$$U_\infty^h = U \quad \text{and} \quad V_\infty^h = V.$$

Denote by $U^{-\infty}$ the strong dual of V , and by $V^{-\infty}$ the strong dual of U . They are both representations of G (due to the fact that both U and V are Nuclear Fréchet, and hence reflexive spaces). Furthermore, $U^{-\infty}$ contains U as a dense subspace, and $V^{-\infty}$ contains V as a dense subspace.

Note that U^h and V^h are strongly dual to each other. By the argument of Section 2.4, we get a separately continuous bilinear map

$$(19) \quad U^{-\infty} \times V^{-\infty} \rightarrow C^{-\xi}(G)$$

which extends the usual matrix coefficient map. Note that all the three spaces in (19) are strong duals of reflexive Fréchet spaces. Therefore [Tr, Theorem 41.1] implies that the map (19) is automatically continuous. Then (19) induces a continuous linear map

$$(20) \quad c : U^{-\infty} \widehat{\otimes} V^{-\infty} \rightarrow C^{-\xi}(G).$$

By [Tr, Proposition 50.7], $U^{-\infty} \widehat{\otimes} V^{-\infty}$ equals to the strong dual of $V \widehat{\otimes} U$. Therefore (20) is the transpose of a $G \times G$ -intertwining continuous linear map

$$(21) \quad D^\varsigma(G) \rightarrow V \widehat{\otimes} U.$$

Apply Lemma 5.2 to the group $G \times G$, we see that the map (21) is a topological homomorphism with closed image. In view of the following lemma, we conclude that (20) is also a topological homomorphism with closed image. This proves Corollary B.

Lemma 5.4. (See [Bo, Section IV.2, Theorem 1] and [SZ1, Lemma 3.8]) *Let $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a continuous linear map of nuclear Fréchet spaces. Denote by E'_1 and E'_2 the strong duals of E_1 and E_2 , respectively. Then ϕ is a topological homomorphism if and only if its transpose $\phi^t : E'_2 \rightarrow E'_1$ is. When this is the case, both ϕ and ϕ^t have closed images.*

REFERENCES

- [Ad] J. Adams, *The theta correspondence over \mathbb{R}* , in *Harmonic analysis, group representations, automorphic forms and invariant theory*, 1-39, Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., 12, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [Be] R. Berndt, *On local Whittaker models for the Jacobi group of degree one*, Manuscripta Math. 84 (1994), no. 2, 177-191.
- [BK] J. Bernstein and B. Krotz, *Smooth Frechet globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules*, preprint, www.analysis.uni-hannover.de/~kroetz/bk18.pdf.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Topological vector spaces, Chapter 1-5*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [Ca] W. Casselman, *Canonical extensions of Harish-Chandra modules to representations of G* , Canad. Jour. Math. 41 (1989), 385-438.
- [du1] F. du Cloux, *Jets de fonctions différentiables sur le dual d'un groupe de Lie nilpotent*, Invent. Math. 88 (1987), no. 2, 375-394.
- [du2] F. du Cloux, *Finite-length representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XXXI (1988), 1-29.
- [du3] F. du Cloux, *Représentations tempérées des groupes de Lie nilpotents*, J. Funct. Anal. 85 (1989), no. 2, 420-457.
- [du4] F. du Cloux, *Sur les représentations différentiables des groupes de Lie algébriques*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 24 (1991), no. 3, 257-318.
- [EZ] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, Progress in Mathematics, 55, Birkhauser Boston, 1985.
- [Ho] R. Howe, *On the role of the Heisenberg group in harmonic analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 3 (1980), no. 2, 821-843.
- [GN] H. Glöckner and K.-H. Neeb, *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups: General Theory and Main Examples*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, book to appear.
- [Mo] G. D. Mostow, *Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 200-221.
- [Sh1] M. Shiota, *Nash manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1269, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [Sh2] M. Shiota, *Nash functions and manifolds*, in F. Broglia (ed.), *Lectures in Real Geometry*, de Gruyter expositions in Mathematics, vol. 23 (1996).
- [SZ1] B. Sun and C.-B. Zhu, *A general form of Gelfand-Kazhdan criterion*, arXiv:0903.1409.
- [SZ2] B. Sun and C.-B. Zhu, *Multiplicity one theorems: the archimedean case*, preprint.
- [Ta] J.L. Taylor, *Notes on locally convex topological vector spaces*, www.math.utah.edu/~taylor/LCS.pdf, 1995.
- [Th] E. Thomas, *Nuclear spaces and topological tensor products*, <http://www.math.rug.nl/~thomas/>.
- [Tr] F. Trèves, *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [Wa] N. Wallach, *Real Reductive Groups II*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1992.

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: sun@math.ac.cn