

FUNCTIONAL DETERMINANTS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS USING CONTOUR INTEGRALS

KLAUS KIRSTEN

ABSTRACT. In this contribution we first summarize how contour integration methods can be used to derive closed formulae for functional determinants of ordinary differential operators. We then generalize our considerations to partial differential operators. Examples are used to show that also in higher dimensions closed answers can be obtained as long as the eigenvalues of the differential operators are determined by transcendental equations. Examples considered comprise of the finite temperature Casimir effect on a ball and the functional determinant of the Laplacian on a two-dimensional torus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Functional determinants of second order differential operators are of great importance in many different fields. In physics, functional determinants provide the so-called one-loop approximation to quantum field theories in the path integral formulation [21, 48]. In mathematics it describes the analytical torsion of a manifold [47].

Although there are various ways to evaluate functional determinants, the zeta function scheme seems to be the most elegant technique to use [9, 16, 17, 31]. This is the method introduced by Ray and Singer to define analytical torsion [47]. In physics its origin goes back to ambiguities in dimensional regularization when applied to quantum field theory in curved spacetime [11, 29].

For many second order ordinary differential operators surprisingly simple answers can be given. The determinants for these situations have been related to boundary values of solutions of the operators, see, e.g., [8, 10, 12, 22, 23, 26, 36, 39, 40]. Recently, these results have been rederived with a simple and accessible method which uses contour integration techniques [33, 34, 35]. The main advantage of this approach is that it can be easily applied to general kinds of boundary conditions [35] and also to cases where the operator has zero modes [34, 35]; see also [37, 38, 42]. Equally important, for some higher dimensional situations the task of finding functional determinants remains feasible. Once again closed answers can be found but compared to one dimension technicalities are significantly more involved [13, 14]. It is the aim of this article to choose specific higher dimensional examples where technical problems remain somewhat confined. The intention is to illustrate that also for higher dimensional situations closed answers can be obtained which are easily evaluated numerically.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 the essential ideas are presented for ordinary differential operators. In Section 3 and 4 examples of functional determinants for partial differential operators are considered. The determinant in Section 3 describes the finite temperature Casimir effect of a massive scalar field in the presence of a spherical shell [24, 25]. The calculation in Section 4 describes

determinants for strings on world-sheets that are tori [46, 50] and it gives an alternative derivation of known results. Section 5 summarizes the main results.

sec2

2. CONTOUR INTEGRAL FORMULATION OF ZETA FUNCTIONS

In this section we review the basic ideas that lead to a suitable contour integral representation of zeta functions associated with ordinary differential operators. This will form the basis of the considerations for partial differential operators to follow later.

We consider the simple class of differential operators

$$P := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$$

on the interval $I = [0, 1]$, where $V(x)$ is a smooth potential. For simplicity we consider Dirichlet boundary conditions. From spectral theory [41] it is known that there is a spectral resolution $\{\phi_n, \lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$P\phi_n(x) = \lambda_n\phi_n(x), \quad \phi_n(0) = \phi_n(1) = 0.$$

The spectral zeta function associated with this problem is then defined by

res01 (2.1)
$$\zeta_P(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-s},$$

where by Weyl's theorem about the asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues [49] this series is known to converge for $\Re s > 1/2$.

If the potential is not a very simple one, eigenfunctions and eigenvalues will not be known explicitly. So how can the zeta function in equation (2.1), and in particular the determinant of P defined via

$$\det P = e^{-\zeta'_P(0)},$$

be analyzed? From complex analysis it is known that series can often be evaluated with the help of the argument principle or Cauchy's residue theorem by rewriting them as contour integrals. In the given context this can be achieved as follows. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary complex number. From the theory of ordinary differential equations it is known that the initial value problem

res01a (2.2)
$$(P - \lambda)u_\lambda(x) = 0, \quad u_\lambda(0) = 0, \quad u'_\lambda(0) = 1,$$

has a unique solution. The connection with the boundary value problem is made by observing that the eigenvalues λ_n follow as solutions to the equation

res02 (2.3)
$$u_\lambda(1) = 0;$$

note that $u_\lambda(1)$ is an analytic function of λ .

With the help of the argument principle, equation (2.3) can be used to write the zeta function, equation (2.1), as

res03 (2.4)
$$\zeta_P(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln u_\lambda(1).$$

Here, γ is a counterclockwise contour and encloses all eigenvalues which we assume to be positive, see Figure 1. The pertinent remarks when finitely many eigenvalues are non-positive are given in [35].




FIGURE 1. Contour γ used in equation (2.4).

The asymptotic behavior of $u_\lambda(1)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, namely

$$u_\lambda(1) \sim \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda}},$$

implies that this representation is valid for $\Re s > 1/2$. In order to find the determinant of P we need to construct the analytical continuation of equation (2.4) to a neighborhood about $s = 0$. This is best done by deforming the contour to enclose the branch cut along the negative real axis and then shrinking it to the negative real axis, see Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Contour γ used in equation (2.4) after deformation.

The outcome is

$$\boxed{\text{res04}} \quad (2.5) \quad \zeta_P(s) = \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln u_{-\lambda}(1).$$

To see where this representation is well defined notice that for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the behavior follows from [41]

$$u_{-\lambda}(1) \sim \frac{\sin(i\sqrt{\lambda})}{i\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} (1 - e^{-2\lambda}).$$

The integrand, to leading order in λ , therefore behaves like $\lambda^{-s-1/2}$ and convergence at infinity is established for $\Re s > 1/2$. As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ the behavior λ^{-s} follows. Therefore, in summary, (2.5) is well defined for $1/2 < \Re s < 1$. In order to shift the range of convergence to the left we add and subtract the leading $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotic behavior of $u_{-\lambda}(1)$. The whole point of this procedure will be to obtain one piece that at $s = 0$ is finite, and another piece for which the analytical continuation can be easily constructed.

Given we want to improve the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ behavior without worsening the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ behavior, we split the integration range. In detail we write

$$\boxed{\text{res05}} \quad (2.6) \quad \zeta_P(s) = \zeta_{P,f}(s) + \zeta_{P,as}(s),$$

where

$$\boxed{\text{res06}} \quad (2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_{P,f}(s) &= \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln u_{-\lambda}(1) \\ &+ \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_1^\infty d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln \left(u_{-\lambda}(1) 2\sqrt{\lambda} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\text{res07}} \quad (2.8) \quad \zeta_{P,as}(s) = \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_1^\infty d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right).$$

By construction, $\zeta_{P,f}(s)$ is analytic about $s = 0$ and its derivative at $s = 0$ is trivially obtained,

$$\boxed{\text{res08}} \quad (2.9) \quad \zeta'_{P,f}(0) = \ln u_{-1}(1) - \ln u_0(1) - \ln(u_{-1}(1)2e^{-1}) = -\ln \left(\frac{2u_0(1)}{e} \right).$$

Although the representation (2.8) is only defined for $\Re s > 1/2$, the analytic continuation to a meromorphic function on the complex plane is found using

$$\int_1^\infty d\lambda \lambda^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \quad \text{for} \quad \Re \alpha > 1.$$

This shows

$$\zeta_{P,as}(s) = \frac{\sin \pi s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{s - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{s} \right),$$

and furthermore

$$\zeta'_{P,as}(0) = -1.$$

Adding up, the final answer reads

$$\boxed{\text{res08a}} \quad (2.10) \quad \zeta'_P(0) = -\ln(2u_0(1)).$$

For the numerical evaluation of the determinant, not even one eigenvalue is needed. The only relevant information is the boundary value of the unique solution to the initial value problem

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)\right) u_0(x) = 0, \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u'_0(0) = 1.$$

General boundary conditions can be dealt with as easily. The best formulation results by rewriting the second order differential equation as a first order system in the usual way. Namely, we define $v_\lambda(x) = du_\lambda(x)/dx$ such that the differential equation (2.2) turns into

$$\boxed{\text{res09}} \quad (2.11) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(x) \\ v_\lambda(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V(x) - \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(x) \\ v_\lambda(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Linear boundary conditions are given in the form

$$\boxed{\text{res010}} \quad (2.12) \quad M \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(0) \\ v_\lambda(0) \end{pmatrix} + N \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(1) \\ v_\lambda(1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where M and N are 2×2 matrices whose entries characterize the nature of the boundary conditions. For example, the previously described Dirichlet boundary conditions are obtained by choosing

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to find an implicit equation for the eigenvalues like equation (2.3) we use the fundamental matrix of (2.11). Let $u_\lambda^{(1)}(x)$ and $u_\lambda^{(2)}(x)$ be linearly independent solutions of (2.11). Suitably normalized, these define the fundamental matrix

$$H_\lambda(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda^{(1)}(x) & u_\lambda^{(2)}(x) \\ v_\lambda^{(1)}(x) & v_\lambda^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_\lambda(0) = \text{Id}_{2 \times 2}.$$

The solution of (2.11) with initial value $(u_\lambda(0), v_\lambda(0))$ is then obtained as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(x) \\ v_\lambda(x) \end{pmatrix} = H_\lambda(x) \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(0) \\ v_\lambda(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The boundary conditions (2.12) can therefore be rewritten as

$$\boxed{\text{res011}} \quad (2.13) \quad (M + NH_\lambda(1)) \begin{pmatrix} u_\lambda(0) \\ v_\lambda(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that the condition for eigenvalues to exist is

$$\det(M + NH_\lambda(1)) = 0,$$

which replaces (2.3) in case of general boundary conditions. The zeta function associated with the boundary condition (2.12) therefore takes the form

$$\zeta_P(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln \det(M + NH_\lambda(1))$$

and the analysis proceeds from here depending on M and N . If P represents a system of operators one can proceed along the same lines. Note, that we have

replaced the task of evaluating the determinant of a differential operator by one of computing the determinant of a finite matrix.

The procedure just outlined is by no means confined to be applied to ordinary differential operators only. In fact, the zeta function associated with many boundary value problems allowing for a separation of variables can be analyzed using this contour integral technique. In more detail, starting off with some coordinate system, see, e.g., [43], eigenvalues are often determined by

$$F_j(\lambda_{j,n}) = 0,$$

where j is a suitable quantum number depending on the coordinate system considered and F_j is a given special function depending on the coordinate system; e.g. for ellipsoidal coordinate systems the relevant special function is the Mathieu function. Continuing along the lines described above, denoting by d_j an appropriate degeneracy that might be present, we write somewhat symbolically

$$\boxed{\text{ns5}} \quad (2.14) \quad \zeta_P(s) = \sum_j d_j \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} d\lambda \lambda^{-s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln F_j(\lambda),$$

the task being to construct the analytical continuation of this object to $s = 0$. The details of the procedure will depend very much on the properties of the special function F_j that enters. For example, on balls Bessel functions are relevant [4, 6, 7], the spherical suspension [3], or sphere-disc configurations [27, 32], involve Legendre functions, ellipsoidal boundaries involve Mathieu functions etc. For many examples relevant properties of $F_j(\lambda)$ are not available in the literature and need to be derived using techniques of asymptotic analysis [41, 44, 45]. For quite common coordinate systems like the polar coordinates this is not necessary. When the asymptotics is known, the relevant integrals resulting in (2.14) need to be evaluated and closed expressions representing the determinant of partial differential operators are found. Although the remaining sums in general cannot be explicitly performed, the results obtained are very suitable for numerical evaluation.

3. FINITE TEMPERATURE CASIMIR ENERGY ON THE BALL

Let us now apply the above remarks about higher dimensions using the general formalism described in [14]. As a concrete example we consider the finite temperature theory of a massive scalar field on the three dimensional ball. Using the zeta function scheme we have to consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\boxed{\text{res1}} \quad (3.1) \quad P\phi_\lambda(\tau, \vec{x}) := \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} - \Delta + m^2 \right) \phi_\lambda(\tau, \vec{x}) = \lambda^2 \phi_\lambda(\tau, \vec{x}),$$

where τ is the imaginary time and $\vec{x} \in B^3 := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\vec{x}| \leq 1\}$. We have written λ^2 for the eigenvalues to avoid the occurrence of square roots in arguments of Bessel functions later on.

For finite temperature theory we impose periodic boundary conditions in the imaginary time,

$$\phi_\lambda(\tau, \vec{x}) = \phi_\lambda(\tau + \beta, \vec{x}),$$

where β is the inverse temperature, and for simplicity we choose Dirichlet boundary conditions on the boundary of the ball,

$$\phi_\lambda(\tau, \vec{x})|_{|\vec{x}|=1} = 0.$$

The zeta function associate with this boundary value problem is then

$$\boxed{\text{res2}} \quad (3.2) \quad \zeta_P(s) = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda^{-2s},$$

and the energy of the system is defined by

$$\boxed{\text{res3}} \quad (3.3) \quad E := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \zeta'_{P/\mu^2}(0),$$

where μ is an arbitrary parameter with dimension of a mass introduced in order to get the correct dimension for the energy. For a full discussion of its relevance in the renormalization process in this model at zero temperature see [5]. That discussion remains completely unchanged at finite temperature and we will put $\mu = 1$ henceforth.

Given the radial symmetry of the problem we separate variables in polar coordinates according to

$$\phi_{\lambda}(\tau, r, \theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{i \frac{2\pi n \tau}{\beta}} J_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_{\ell j} r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi),$$

with the spherical surface harmonics $Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi)$ [20] solving

$$-\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi) = \ell(\ell+1) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi),$$

and with the Bessel function $J_{\nu}(z)$, which is the regular solution of the differential equation [28]

$$\frac{d^2 J_{\nu}(z)}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{dJ_{\nu}(z)}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{z^2}\right) J_{\nu}(z) = 0.$$

Imposing the boundary condition on the unit sphere,

$$\boxed{\text{res4a}} \quad (3.4) \quad J_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_{\ell j}) = 0,$$

determines the eigenvalues. Namely,

$$\boxed{\text{res4}} \quad (3.5) \quad \lambda_{n\ell j}^2 = \left(\frac{2\pi n}{\beta}\right)^2 + \omega_{\ell j}^2 + m^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This leads to the analysis of the zeta function

$$\boxed{\text{res5}} \quad (3.6) \quad \zeta_P(s) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) (p_n^2 + \omega_{\ell j}^2 + m^2)^{-s},$$

where we have used the standard abbreviation $p_n = (2\pi n)/\beta$. The factor $(2\ell+1)$ represents the multiplicity of eigenvalues for angular momentum ℓ .

The zeroes $\omega_{\ell j}$ of the Bessel functions $J_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_{\ell j})$ are not known in closed form and thus we represent the j -summation using contour integrals. Starting with equation (3.4) and following the argumentation of the previous section, this gives the identity

$$\boxed{\text{res5a}} \quad (3.7) \quad \zeta_P(s) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} (p_n^2 + \lambda^2 + m^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln J_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda),$$

valid for $\Re s > 2$. The contour γ runs counterclockwise and must enclose all the solutions of (3.4) on the positive real axis. The next step is to shift the contour and place it along the imaginary axis. As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we observe that to leading order $J_{\nu}(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{\nu}/(2^{\nu}\Gamma(\nu+1))$ such that the integrand diverges in this limit. Therefore, we

include an additional factor $k^{-\ell-1/2}$ in the logarithm in order to avoid contributions coming from the origin. Because there is no additional pole enclosed, this does not change the result. Furthermore we should note that the integrand has branch cuts starting at $\lambda = \pm i(p_n^2 + m^2)$. Leaving out the n, ℓ summations for the moment and considering the λ -integration alone, we then obtain ($\nu = \ell + \frac{1}{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{P,n\ell}(s) &:= \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} (p_n^2 + \lambda^2 + m^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln [\lambda^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(\lambda)] \\ \text{res6} \quad (3.8) \quad &= \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}}^{\infty} dk (k^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dk} \ln \left[k^{-(\ell + \frac{1}{2})} I_{\ell + \frac{1}{2}}(k) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $J_{\nu}(ik) = e^{i\pi\nu} J_{\nu}(-ik)$ and $I_{\nu}(k) = e^{-i\nu\pi/2} J_{\nu}(ik)$ has been used [28].

The next step is to add and subtract the asymptotic behavior of the integrand in (3.8). The relevant uniform asymptotics, after substituting $k = \nu z$ in the integral, is the Debye expansion of the Bessel functions [1]. We have

$$\text{res7} \quad (3.9) \quad I_{\nu}(\nu z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \frac{e^{\nu\eta}}{(1+z^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_k(t)}{\nu^k} \right],$$

with $t = 1/\sqrt{1+z^2}$ and $\eta = \sqrt{1+z^2} + \ln[z/(1+\sqrt{1+z^2})]$. The first few coefficients are listed in [1], higher coefficients are immediately obtained by using the recursion [1]

$$\text{res8} \quad (3.10) \quad u_{k+1}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2(1-t^2)u'_k(t) + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t d\tau (1-5\tau^2)u_k(\tau),$$

starting with $u_0(t) = 1$. As is clear, all the $u_k(t)$ are polynomials in t . The same holds for the coefficients $D_n(t)$ defined by

$$\text{res9} \quad (3.11) \quad \ln \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_k(t)}{\nu^k} \right] \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_n(t)}{\nu^n}.$$

The polynomials $u_k(t)$ as well as $D_n(t)$ are easily found with the help of a simple computer program. As we will see below, we need the first three terms in the expansion (3.11). Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(t) &= \frac{1}{8}t - \frac{5}{24}t^3, \\ \text{res10} \quad (3.12) \quad D_2(t) &= \frac{1}{16}t^2 - \frac{3}{8}t^4 + \frac{5}{16}t^6, \\ D_3(t) &= \frac{25}{384}t^3 - \frac{531}{640}t^5 + \frac{221}{128}t^7 - \frac{1105}{1152}t^9. \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting these terms in (3.8) allows us to rewrite the zeta function as

$$\zeta_P(s) = \zeta_{P,f}(s) + \zeta_{P,as}(s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{P,f}(s) &= \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}}^{\infty} dz (z^2\nu^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \\ \text{res10a} \quad (3.13) &\times \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ \ln[z^{-\nu} I_{\nu}(\nu z)] - \ln \left[\frac{z^{-\nu}}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \frac{e^{\nu\eta}}{(1+z^2)^{1/4}} \right] - \frac{D_1(t)}{\nu} - \frac{D_2(t)}{\nu^2} - \frac{D_3(t)}{\nu^3} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{P,as}(s) &= \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}}^{\infty} dz (z^2\nu^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \\ \text{res10b} \quad (3.14) &\times \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ \ln \left[\frac{z^{-\nu}}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \frac{e^{\nu\eta}}{(1+z^2)^{1/4}} \right] + \frac{D_1(t)}{\nu} + \frac{D_2(t)}{\nu^2} + \frac{D_3(t)}{\nu^3} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The number of terms subtracted in (3.13) is chosen such that $\zeta_{P,f}(s)$ is analytic about $s = 0$. The contributions from the asymptotics collected in (3.14) are simple enough for an analytical continuation to be found. Although it would be possible to proceed just with the contribution from inside the ball, in order to make the calculation as transparent and unambiguous as possible (as far as the interpretation of results goes) let us add the contribution from outside the ball.

The exterior of the ball, once the free Minkowski space contribution is subtracted, yields the starting point (3.8) with the replacement $k^{-\nu} I_{\nu} \rightarrow k^{\nu} K_{\nu}$ [5]. In this case the relevant uniform asymptotics is [1]

$$\text{res11} \quad (3.15) \quad K_{\nu}(\nu z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\nu}} \frac{e^{-\nu\eta}}{(1+z^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{u_k(t)}{\nu^k} \right],$$

where the notation is as in (3.9). This produces the analogous splitting of the zeta function for the exterior space. Due to the characteristic sign changes in the asymptotics of I_{ν} and K_{ν} , adding up the interior and exterior contributions several cancellations take place. As a result, the zeta function for the total space has the form

$$\zeta_{tot}(s) = \zeta_{tot,f}(s) + \zeta_{tot,as}(s)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{tot,f}(s) &= \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}}^{\infty} dz (z^2\nu^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \\ \text{res11a} \quad (3.16) &\frac{d}{dz} \left\{ \ln[I_{\nu}(\nu z) K_{\nu}(\nu z)] + \ln(2\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+z^2) - \frac{2}{\nu^2} D_2(t) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{tot,as}(s) &= \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}}^{\infty} dz (z^2\nu^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \\ \text{res11b} \quad (3.17) &\frac{d}{dz} \left\{ -\ln(2\nu) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+z^2) + \frac{2}{\nu^2} D_2(t) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, $\zeta_{tot,f}(s)$ is analytic about $s = 0$ and one immediately finds

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_{tot,f}(0) &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell + 1) \\ \text{res12} \quad (3.18) \quad &\times \left[\ln(I_\nu(\nu z)K_\nu(\nu z)) + \ln(2\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + z^2) - \frac{2}{\nu^2} D_2(t) \right] \Big|_{z=\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $t = 1/\sqrt{1+z^2}$ as defined earlier. Although one could use (3.18) for numerical evaluation, further simplifications are possible. Following [14] we rewrite this expression according to

$$\text{res12a} \quad (3.19) \quad 1 + z^2 = 1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2} = \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right).$$

The advantage of the right hand side is that it can be expanded further for $\nu^2 \rightarrow \infty$ or $p_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$ or both. This will allow us to subtract exactly the behavior that makes the double series convergent; the oversubtraction immanent in (3.18) can then be avoided. It is expected that expanding the rightmost factor further for $\nu^2 + p_n^2 \gg 1$ leads to considerable cancelations when combined with $\zeta'_{tot,as}(0)$ [14].

We split the asymptotic terms in (3.18) into those strictly needed to make the sums convergent and those that ultimately will not contribute. For example, we expand according to

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln(1 + z^2) \Big|_{\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}} = \ln\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2}\right) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2}\right) + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} + \left[\ln\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right) - \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms have to be subtracted in (3.18) in order to make the summations convergent. The terms in square brackets are of the order $\mathcal{O}(1/(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^2)$ and even after performing the summations in (3.18) a finite result follows. Thus the first two terms represent a minimal set of terms to be subtracted in (3.18) in order to make the sums finite. This minimal set of necessary terms will be called $\ln f_\ell^{asym,(1)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2})$. The last two terms can be summed separately yielding a finite answer; those terms are summarized under $\ln f_\ell^{asym,(2)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2})$. One can proceed along the same lines for all other terms. With the definition

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_\ell^{asym}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) &= -\ln(2\nu) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + z^2) + \frac{2}{\nu^2} D_2(t) \Big|_{z=\sqrt{\frac{p_n^2+m^2}{\nu^2}}} \\ \text{res13} \quad (3.20) \quad &= \ln f_\ell^{asym,(1)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) + \ln f_\ell^{asym,(2)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) \end{aligned}$$

the splitting is

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_\ell^{asym,(1)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) &= -\ln(2\nu) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \\ \text{res14} \quad (3.21) \quad &+ \frac{2}{\nu^2} \left[\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right)} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right)^2} + \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right)^3} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_\ell^{asym,(2)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \\ \text{res15} \quad (3.22) \quad &+ \frac{2}{\nu^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right)} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}} - 1 \right] \right. \\ &- \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right)^2} \left[\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right)^2} - 1 \right] \\ &\left. + \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2} \right)^3} \left[\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right)^3} - 1 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the given notation for the asymptotics to make a comparison with [14] as easy as possible. With these asymptotic quantities we rewrite $\zeta'_{tot,f}(0)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_{tot,f}(0) &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell + 1) \\ &\times \left[\ln(I_\nu(\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2})K_\nu(\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2})) - \ln f_\ell^{asym,(1)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) \right] \\ \text{res16} \quad (3.23) \quad &+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell + 1) \ln f_\ell^{asym,(2)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us next analyze $\zeta'_{tot,as}(0)$. To analyze $\zeta_{tot,as}(s)$, equation (3.17), further we use the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}}^{\infty} du (u^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{du} \ln \left(1 + \frac{u^2}{\nu^2} \right) &= \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} (m^2 + \nu^2 + p_n^2)^{-s}, \\ \int_{\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}}^{\infty} du (u^2 - p_n^2 - m^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{du} \left(1 + \frac{u^2}{\nu^2} \right)^{-N/2} &= \\ &- \frac{\pi \Gamma \left(s + \frac{N}{2} \right)}{\sin(\pi s) \Gamma \left(\frac{N}{2} \right) \Gamma(s)} \frac{\nu^{-2s}}{\left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2} \right)^{s + \frac{N}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which are the relevant ones after substituting $z\nu = u$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{tot,as}(s) &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2}\right)^{-s} \\
&\quad - \frac{s}{4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{-2s-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2}\right)^{-s-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{3s(s+1)}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{-2s-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2}\right)^{-s-2} \\
&\quad - \frac{5s(s+1)(s+2)}{8} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{-2s-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2}\right)^{-s-3}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}$$

To each of these terms we apply the rewriting (3.19). Intermediate expressions are relatively lengthy and we explain details only for the first term. We proceed as for the splitting in (3.21) and (3.22) and write

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2}\right)^{-s} \\
&= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2}{\nu^2}\right)^{-s} \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right)^{-s} \\
&= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^s} \left[\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right)^{-s} - 1 + s \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} + 1 - s \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right] \\
&= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^s} \left[\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2}\right)^{-s} - 1 + s \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right] \\
&\tag{3.25} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\nu}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^s} + \frac{sm^2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\nu}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^{s+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note, that the first line is seen to be analytic about $s = 0$. We have subtracted the minimal number of terms to make the sums convergent. The remaining terms represent Epstein type zeta functions,

$$E^{(k)}(s, a) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2\nu \frac{\nu^k}{(\nu^2 + a^2 n^2)^s},
\tag{3.26}$$

the analytical continuation of which is well understood. Performing a Poisson resummation in the n -summation [2, 15, 30] yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{(k)}(s, a) &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{a \Gamma(s)} \zeta_H(2s - k - 2, 1/2) \\
&\tag{3.27} + \frac{8\pi^s}{\Gamma(s) a^{s+1/2}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{k+\frac{3}{2}-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-\frac{1}{2}} K_{\frac{1}{2}-s} \left(2\pi\nu \frac{n}{a}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The first line has poles at $s = 1/2 - j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and for $s = (k+3)/2$, the second line is analytic for $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

In terms of these Epstein functions, in equation (3.25) we have shown

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2} \right)^{-s} = \\
& - \frac{1}{2} E^{(0)} \left(s, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) + \frac{sm^2}{2} E^{(0)} \left(s+1, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) \\
\boxed{\text{res20}} \quad (3.28) \quad & - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^s} \left[\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right)^{-s} - 1 + s \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Noting from equation (3.27) that $E^{(0)}(s, a)$ and $E^{(0)}(s+1, a)$ are analytic about $s=0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{d}{ds} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + \frac{p_n^2 + m^2}{\nu^2} \right)^{-s} = - \frac{1}{2} E^{(0)'} \left(0, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 E^{(0)'} \left(1, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) \\
\boxed{\text{res21}} \quad (3.29) \quad & - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \nu \left[- \ln \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right) + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The last term on the right cancels the first line from $\ln f_{\ell}^{\text{asym},(2)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2})$ in equation (3.22), the remaining terms are easily found from (3.27).

One can proceed in exactly this way for the other terms in $\zeta_{\text{tot},as}(s)$; there are always terms that cancel with terms from $\ln f_{\ell}^{\text{asym},(2)}(i\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2})$ in equation (3.22) and terms expressible using the Epstein type zeta functions given in equation (3.26). Adding up all contributions, the second line in equation (3.23) completely cancels and we obtain the following closed form for the finite- T zeta function,

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta'_{\text{tot}}(0) &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2\nu \left[\ln I_{\nu}(\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) K_{\nu}(\sqrt{p_n^2 + m^2}) \right. \\
&+ \ln(\nu^2 + p_n^2) + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \\
&- \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{\nu^2 + p_n^2} \left(1 - \frac{6\nu^2}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^2} + \frac{5\nu^4}{(\nu^2 + p_n^2)^3} \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} E^{(0)'} \left(0, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 E^{(0)} \left(1, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) \\
\boxed{\text{res22}} \quad (3.30) \quad &+ \frac{3}{4} E^{(2)} \left(2, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) - \frac{5}{8} E^{(4)} \left(3, \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From equation (3.27) it is clear that the Epstein type zeta functions contain zero temperature contributions to the Casimir energy (first line in (3.27)) and exponentially damped contributions for small temperature described by the Bessel functions (second line in (3.27)). As it turns out, the zero temperature contributions from the Epstein type zeta functions in (3.30) all vanish. The remaining zero temperature contributions in (3.30) are found replacing the Riemann sum over n by an integral,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \implies \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp f(p).$$

As $\beta \rightarrow 0$ this shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\beta} \zeta'_{tot}(0) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2\nu \left[\ln I_{\nu}(\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}) K_{\nu}(\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}) \right. \\ \text{res23} \quad (3.31) \quad &+ \left. \ln(\nu^2 + p^2) + \frac{m^2}{\nu^2 + p^2} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{\nu^2 + p^2} \left(1 - \frac{6\nu^2}{(\nu^2 + p^2)^2} + \frac{5\nu^4}{\nu^2 + p^2)^3} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

from which the Casimir energy (3.3) is trivially obtained. The result is much simpler than previous results given [24, 5] and a numerical evaluation could easily be performed.

4. FUNCTIONAL DETERMINANT ON A TWO DIMENSIONAL TORUS

As our next example let us consider a two dimensional torus $S^1 \times S^1$. For convenience we choose the perimeter of the circles to be 1. The relevant eigenvalue problem to be considered then is

$$P\phi_{\lambda}(x, y) := \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \phi_{\lambda}(x, y) = \lambda^2 \phi_{\lambda}(x, y),$$

and we choose periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda}(x, y) &= \phi_{\lambda}(x + 1, y), & \phi_{\lambda}(x, y) &= \phi_{\lambda}(x, y + 1), \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}(x, y)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}(x + 1, y)}{\partial x}, & \frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}(x, y)}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}(x, y + 1)}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues clearly are

$$\phi_{m,n}(x, y) = e^{-2\pi i m x} \cdot e^{-2\pi i n y}, \quad \lambda^2 = (2\pi)^2(m^2 + n^2), \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The related zeta function then reads

$$\text{res24} \quad (4.1) \quad \zeta_P(s) = (2\pi)^{-2s} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 / \{(0,0)\}} (m^2 + n^2)^{-s};$$

note that the zero mode $m = n = 0$ has to be omitted in the summation to make $\zeta_P(s)$ well defined. The zeta function in equation (4.1) is an Epstein zeta function and $\zeta'_P(0)$ can be evaluated using the Kronecker limit formula [18, 19]. Here, we apply the contour approach previously outlined which simplifies the calculation.

Instead of using the fact that the eigenvalues can be given in closed form, we proceed differently. We say that

$$\lambda^2 = (2\pi)^2(n^2 + k^2), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where k is determined as a solution to the equation

$$\text{res24a} \quad (4.2) \quad e^{\pi i k} - e^{-\pi i k} = 0.$$

Of course, solutions are given by $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the correct eigenvalues follow. Using equation (4.2) determining the eigenvalues in the way we have used equations (2.3) and (3.4), the zeta function can be represented as the contour integral

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_P(s) &= 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dk}{2\pi i} (2\pi)^{-2s} (n^2 + k^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dk} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\pi i k} - e^{-\pi i k}}{2\pi i k} \right) \\ \text{res25} \quad (4.3) \quad &+ 4(2\pi)^{-2s} \zeta_R(2s). \end{aligned}$$

The second line represents the part where one of the two indices m or n is zero in equation (4.1). The first line represents the remaining contributions. The factor of 4 is a result of summing over positive n only and because the contour γ is supposed to enclose positive integers only. The reason that we have used

$$\frac{e^{\pi ik} - e^{-\pi ik}}{2\pi ik}$$

instead of the above equation (4.2) is that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{\pi ik} - e^{-\pi ik}}{2\pi ik} = 1,$$

which will allow us to shift the contour in a way as to include the origin; see the discussion below equation (3.7). Let us evaluate the contour integral

$$\zeta_n(s) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{dk}{2\pi i} (2\pi)^{-2s} (n^2 + k^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dk} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\pi ik} - e^{-\pi ik}}{2\pi ik} \right).$$

Substituting $k = \sqrt{z}$ and deforming the contour to the negative real axis along the lines described previously, an intermediate result is

$$\boxed{\text{res26}} \quad (4.4) \quad \zeta_n(s) = (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz (z - n^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\pi \sqrt{z}} - e^{-\pi \sqrt{z}}}{2\pi \sqrt{z}} \right).$$

From the behavior of the integrand as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \rightarrow n^2$ this representation is seen to be valid for $1/2 < \Re s < 1$. In order to construct the analytical continuation to a neighborhood of $s = 0$ we note that

$$\frac{e^{\pi \sqrt{z}} - e^{-\pi \sqrt{z}}}{2\pi \sqrt{z}} = \frac{e^{\pi \sqrt{z}}}{2\pi \sqrt{z}} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi \sqrt{z}} \right).$$

We therefore write

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s) &= (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz (z - n^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\pi \sqrt{z}}}{2\pi \sqrt{z}} \right) \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz (z - n^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left(1 - e^{-2\pi \sqrt{z}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first line is evaluated using

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz \frac{(z - n^2)^{-s}}{\sqrt{z}} &= \frac{n^{1-2s}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(1-s) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2} + s\right), \\ \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz \frac{(z - n^2)^{-s}}{z} &= \frac{\pi n^{-2s}}{\sin \pi s}. \end{aligned}$$

With the identity [28]

$$\frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \Gamma(1-s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}$$

this produces

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_n(s) &= \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^{-2s+1} \frac{n^{1-2s}\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}+s)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s)} - \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-2s}n^{-2s} \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz (z-n^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dz} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{z}}).\end{aligned}$$

This is the form that allows the sum over n to be (partly) performed and it shows

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_P(s) &= 4(2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz (z-n^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dz} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{z}}) \\ \text{rees27} \quad (4.5) \quad &- 2(2\pi)^{-2s} \zeta_R(2s) + (2\pi)^{-2s+1} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}+s)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s)} \zeta_R(2s-1).\end{aligned}$$

This form allows for the evaluation of $\zeta'_P(0)$. From known elementary properties of the Γ -function and the zeta function of Riemann [28] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} [(2\pi)^{-2s} \zeta_R(2s)] &= -2 \ln(2\pi) \zeta_R(0) + 2\zeta'_R(0) = 0, \\ \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \left[(2\pi)^{-2s+1} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}+s)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s)} \zeta_R(2s-1) \right] &= \frac{\pi}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

The first line in (4.5) is also easily evaluated because

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} 4(2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz (z-n^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dz} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{z}}) &= \\ 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{n^2}^{\infty} dz \frac{d}{dz} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{z}}) &= -4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi n}).\end{aligned}$$

This can be reexpressed using the Dedekind eta function

$$\eta(\tau) := e^{i\pi\tau/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-e^{2\pi in\tau})$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re\tau > 0$. The relation relevant for us follows by setting $\tau = i$,

$$\ln |\eta(i)|^4 = -\frac{\pi}{3} + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi n}).$$

Adding up all contributions for $\zeta'_P(0)$, the final answer reads

$$\text{res28} \quad (4.6) \quad \zeta'_P(0) = \frac{\pi}{3} - 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln(1-e^{-2\pi n}) = -\ln |\eta(i)|^4$$

in agreement with known answers; see, e.g., [46, 50].

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have shown that contour integrals are very useful and effective tools for the evaluation of determinants of differential operators. Although the results look very simple only in one dimension, see equation (2.10), for particular configurations also in higher dimensions closed answers can be found suitable for numerical evaluation, see equations (3.31) and (4.6). Here we have provided answers only for the torus and a spherically symmetric situation. But the same ideas should apply when separability of the partial differential equations in other coordinate systems is possible. Results in this direction will be presented elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

KK acknowledges support by the NSF through grant PHY-0757791. Part of the work was done while the author enjoyed the hospitality and partial support of the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the University of Oklahoma. Thanks go in particular to Kimball Milton and his group who made this very pleasant and exciting visit possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover, New York, 1970.
- [2] J. Ambjorn and S. Wolfram. Properties of the vacuum. 1. Mechanical and thermodynamic. *Ann. Phys.*, 147:1–32, 1983.
- [3] A.O. Barvinsky, A.Yu. Kamenshchik, and I.P. Karmazin. One loop quantum cosmology: Zeta function technique for the Hartle-Hawking wave function of the universe. *Ann. Phys.*, 219:201–242, 1992.
- [4] M. Bordag, E. Elizalde, and K. Kirsten. Heat kernel coefficients of the Laplace operator on the D-dimensional ball. *J. Math. Phys.*, 37:895–916, 1996.
- [5] M. Bordag, E. Elizalde, K. Kirsten, and S. Leseduarde. Casimir energies for massive fields in a spherical geometry. *Phys. Rev.*, D56:4896–4904, 1997.
- [6] M. Bordag, B. Geyer, K. Kirsten, and E. Elizalde. Zeta function determinant of the Laplace operator on the D-dimensional ball. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 179:215–234, 1996.
- [7] M. Bordag, K. Kirsten, and J.S. Dowker. Heat kernels and functional determinants on the generalized cone. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 182:371–394, 1996.
- [8] D. Burghelea, L. Friedlander, and T. Kappeler. On the determinant of elliptic differential and finite difference operators in vector bundles over s^1 . *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 138:1–18, 1991.
- [9] A.A. Bytsenko, G. Cognola, L. Vanzo, and S. Zerbini. Quantum fields and extended objects in space-times with constant curvature spatial section. *Phys. Rept.*, 266:1–126, 1996.
- [10] S. Coleman. *Aspects of symmetry: Selected lectures of Sidney Coleman*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [11] J.S. Dowker and R. Critchley. Effective Lagrangian and energy momentum tensor in de Sitter space. *Phys. Rev.*, D13:3224–3232, 1976.
- [12] T. Dreyfuss and H. Dym. Product formulas for the eigenvalues of a class of boundary value problems. *Duke Math. J.*, 45:15–37, 1978.
- [13] G.V. Dunne and K. Kirsten. Functional determinants for radial operators. *J. Phys.*, A39:11915–11928, 2006.
- [14] G.V. Dunne and K. Kirsten. Simplified Vacuum Energy Expressions for Radial Backgrounds and Domain Walls. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 42:075402, 2009.
- [15] E. Elizalde. On the zeta-function regularization of a two-dimensional series of Epstein-Hurwitz type. *J. Math. Phys.*, 31:170–174, 1990.
- [16] E. Elizalde. *Ten Physical Applications of Spectral Zeta Functions*. Lecture Notes in Physics m35, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [17] E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A.A. Bytsenko, and S. Zerbini. *Zeta Regularization Techniques with Applications*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

- [18] P. Epstein. Zur Theorie allgemeiner Zetafunktionen. *Math. Ann.*, 56:615–644, 1903.
- [19] P. Epstein. Zur Theorie allgemeiner Zetafunktionen II. *Math. Ann.*, 63:205–216, 1907.
- [20] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F.G. Tricomi. *Higher Transcendental Functions*. Based on the notes of Harry Bateman, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1955.
- [21] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [22] R. Forman. Functional determinants and geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 88:447–493, 1987 Erratum, *ibid* 108 (1992) 453–454.
- [23] R. Forman. Determinants, finite-difference operators and boundary value problems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 147:485–526, 1992.
- [24] M. De Francia. Free energy for massless confined fields. *Phys. Rev.*, D50:2908–2919, 1994.
- [25] M. De Francia, H. Falomir, and M. Loewe. Massless fermions in a bag at finite density and temperature. *Phys. Rev.*, D55:2477–2485, 1997.
- [26] I.M. Gelfand and A.M. Yaglom. Integration in functional spaces and its applications in quantum physics. *J. Math. Phys.*, 1:48–69, 1960.
- [27] P.B. Gilkey, K. Kirsten, and D.V. Vassilevich. Heat trace asymptotics with transmittal boundary conditions and quantum brane world scenario. *Nucl. Phys.*, B601:125–148, 2001.
- [28] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*. Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [29] S.W. Hawking. Zeta function regularization of path integrals in curved space-time. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 55:133–148, 1977.
- [30] K. Kirsten. Topological gauge field mass generation by toroidal space-time. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 26:2421–2435, 1993.
- [31] K. Kirsten. *Spectral Functions in Mathematics and Physics*. Chapman&Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001.
- [32] K. Kirsten. Heat kernel asymptotics: more special case calculations. *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)*, 104:119–126, 2002.
- [33] K. Kirsten and P. Loya. Computation of determinants using contour integrals. *Am. J. Phys.*, 76:60–64, 2008.
- [34] K. Kirsten and A.J. McKane. Functional determinants by contour integration methods. *Ann. Phys.*, 308:502–527, 2003.
- [35] K. Kirsten and A.J. McKane. Functional determinants for general Sturm-Liouville problems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 37:4649–4670, 2004.
- [36] H. Kleinert. *Path integrals in quantum mechanics, statistics, polymer physics, and financial markets*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2006.
- [37] H. Kleinert and A. Chervyakov. Simple explicit formulas for Gaussian path integrals with time-dependent frequencies. *Phys. Lett. A*, 245:345–357, 1998.
- [38] H. Kleinert and A. Chervyakov. Functional determinants from Wronskian Green functions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 40:6044–6051, 1999.
- [39] M. Lesch. Determinants of regular singular Sturm-Liouville operators. *Math. Nachr.*, 194:139–170, 1998.
- [40] M. Lesch and J. Tolksdorf. On the determinant of one-dimensional elliptic boundary value problems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 193:643–660, 1998.
- [41] B.M. Levitan and I.S. Sargsjan. *Introduction to spectral theory: Selfadjoint ordinary differential operators*. AMS, Providence, R.I., 1975. Translated from the Russian by Arniel Feinstein, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 39.
- [42] A.J. McKane and M.B. Tarlie. Regularisation of functional determinants using boundary perturbations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 28:6931–6942, 1995.
- [43] P. Moon and D.E. Spencer. *Field Theory Handbook*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961.
- [44] F.W. Olver. The asymptotic expansion of Bessel functions of large order. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, A247:328–368, 1954.
- [45] F.W.J. Olver. *Asymptotics and Special Functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [46] J. Polchinski. *String theory, volume 1: An Introduction to the Bosonic String*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [47] D.B. Ray and I.M. Singer. R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds. *Advances in Math.*, 7:145–210, 1971.
- [48] L.S. Schulman. *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1981.

- [49] H. Weyl. Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 71:441–479, 1912.
- [50] F. Williams. *Topics in quantum mechanics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, New York, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, WACO, TX 76798, U.S.A.
E-mail address: Klaus.Kirsten@baylor.edu