

Quasi-normal frequencies: Key analytic results

Petarpa Boonserm

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University,
Phayathai Road, Pathumwan Bangkok 10330, Thailand
E-mail: petarpa.boonserm@gmail.com*

Matt Visser

*School of Mathematics, Statistics, and Operations Research,
Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand
E-mail: matt.visser@msor.vuw.ac.nz*

ABSTRACT: The study of exact quasi-normal modes [QNMs], and their associated quasi-normal frequencies [QNFs], has had a long and convoluted history — replete with many rediscoveries of previously known results. In this article we shall collect and survey a number of known analytic results, and develop several new analytic results — specifically we shall provide several new QNF results and estimates, in a form amenable for comparison with the extant literature. Apart from their intrinsic interest, these exact and approximate results serve as a backdrop and a consistency check on ongoing efforts to find general model-independent estimates for QNFs, and general model-independent bounds on transmission probabilities. Our calculations also provide yet another physics application of the Lambert W function. These ideas have relevance to fields as diverse as black hole physics, (where they are related to the damped oscillations of astrophysical black holes, to greybody factors for the Hawking radiation, and to more speculative state-counting models for the Bekenstein entropy), to quantum field theory (where they are related to Casimir energies in unbounded systems), through to condensed matter physics, (where one may literally be interested in an electron tunnelling through a physical barrier).

KEYWORDS: quasi-normal modes, QNMs, quasi-normal frequencies, QNFs, transmission amplitudes, reflection amplitudes, transmission probabilities, reflection probabilities, tunnelling, Eckart potential, Pöschl–Teller potential, Rosen–Morse potential, Morse potential, Manning–Rosen potential, Hulthen potential, Tietz potential, Hua potential.

PACS: 04.70.-s; 03.65.-w; 03.65.Ge; 02.30.Gp.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Conventions	3
3. Selected potentials leading to exact results	4
3.1 Delta-function potential	5
3.2 Double-delta-function potential	5
3.3 Asymmetric double-delta-function potential	8
3.4 Single-step potential	11
3.5 Rectangular barrier	11
3.5.1 Attractive potential	13
3.5.2 Repulsive potential	13
3.6 Asymmetric rectangular barrier	14
3.7 Tanh potential	16
3.8 Sech^2 potential	18
3.9 Eckart/ Rosen–Morse/ Morse–Feshbach potential	19
3.10 Related potentials	
(Morse, Pöschl–Teller, Manning–Rosen, Hulthen, Teitz, Hua)	22
3.10.1 Morse (1929)	23
3.10.2 Pöschl–Teller (1933)	23
3.10.3 Manning–Rosen (1933)	23
3.10.4 Hulthen (1942)	24
3.10.5 Tietz (1963)	24
3.10.6 Hua (1990)	25
4. Discussion	25

1. Introduction

The investigation of exact quasi-normal modes [QNMs], and their associated quasi-normal frequencies [QNFs], has had a long and convoluted history, replete with many rediscoveries of previously known results [1]. Physically there are strong connections to black hole physics, at least some versions of quantum gravity, and quantum field theory. There are also strong connections between QNMs, QNFs, and transmission resonances — which is why analytic understanding of QNFs is intimately related to knowledge of exact solutions for transmission amplitudes and transmission probabilities. In this article (focussing mainly on QNFs) we shall collect and survey a number of known analytic results, develop several new analytic results, and develop several new perturbative estimates, in a form amenable to comparison with the extant literature.

In particular we shall first discuss standard textbook fare such as the delta-function potential, double-delta-function potential, and asymmetric double-delta-function potential [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], using them to illustrate transmission resonances, damped modes, and QNFs — with already some significant new results even at this elementary level. We shall develop exact analytic results for the QNFs of the double-delta-function potential in terms of the Lambert W function, and develop some perturbative estimates for the QNFs of the asymmetric double-delta-function potential. Secondly, we shall turn to the step barrier and the symmetric and asymmetric rectangular potential barriers [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], again extracting some new results on QNFs and damped modes.

Finally we shall then turn to something more challenging, the Eckart potential [15] and its common simplifications — the $\tanh(\cdot)$ and $\text{sech}^2(\cdot)$ potentials. The history of the Eckart potential is particularly complicated: Apart from the special case of the Morse potential (which pre-dates Eckart’s work by one year [16]), other special cases and equivalent reformulations of Eckart’s results (such as the Rosen–Morse [17], Pöschl–Teller [18], Manning–Rosen [19], Manning [20], Hulthen [21], Tietz [22], and Hua [23] potentials) post-date Eckart’s work by anything ranging from several years to six decades. While the QNFs for the $\text{sech}^2(\cdot)$ potential are quite standard and well-known, the QNFs for the $\tanh(\cdot)$ potential and general Eckart potential are at best only implicitly given in the extant literature.

Apart from their intrinsic interest, these exact results serve as a backdrop and a consistency check on ongoing efforts to find general model-independent bounds on transmission probabilities [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30], and general model independent estimates for QNFs [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Thus these ideas have relevance to fields as diverse as black hole physics (where they are related to the QNFs controlling the damped oscillations of astrophysical black holes, to greybody factors for the Hawking radiation, and more speculatively to state-counting models for the Bekenstein entropy), quantum field theory (where analysis of the QNFs is an impor-

tant technical step in calculating Casimir energies for unbounded systems [39, 40]), through to condensed matter physics (where one may literally be interested in an electron tunnelling through a physical barrier).

We will be particularly interested in the QNFs (complex energies corresponding to purely outgoing waves in both spatial directions) [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48], and the closely related transmission resonances (real energies where the transmission probability is unity). Formally, QNFs are most easily found by looking for complex frequencies where the transmission *amplitude* becomes infinite.

Experience obtained many independent fields (including black hole physics) has shown that it is quite common for the QNFs to be approximately of the form

$$\omega_n = (\text{offset}) + in(\text{gap}) + \mathcal{O}(1/n), \quad (1.1)$$

where the “offset” is generally a complex number and the “gap” is typically a real number [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. We shall be particularly interested in checking for such asymptotic behaviour in the specific models we consider below. In particular the asymptotic behaviour of the QNFs for the double-delta potential is more subtle than one might have expected.

2. Conventions

To set the stage, we are interested in solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \right] \psi(x) = E \psi(x). \quad (2.1)$$

In regions where the potential is zero the wavefunction takes the form

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\exp(\pm ikx)}{\sqrt{k}}; \quad E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}. \quad (2.2)$$

We shall define outgoing modes by

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \frac{\exp(-ik|x|)}{\sqrt{k}}; \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

QNFs are in this non-relativistic context more properly called quasi-normal wavenumbers, and correspond to $\text{Im}(k) \geq 0$ so that

$$|\psi(x)| \rightarrow \frac{\exp(\text{Im}(k)|x|)}{\sqrt{k}} \rightarrow \infty; \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

(Other sign and phase conventions are also in common use, and there is no universal agreement as to the “best” set of conventions, but all authors agree that the quasi-normal modes are growing at spatial infinity and so are non-normalizable.) Once

one has found the quasi-normal wavenumbers, the associated quasi-normal energies are (in this non-relativistic context) simply given by

$$E_{\text{QNF}} = \hbar^2 k_{\text{QNF}}^2 / (2m). \quad (2.5)$$

For symmetric situations where the potential has the same limit $V(x) \rightarrow V_\infty$ at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ the scattering problem is characterized by the asymptotic behaviour

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} t \frac{\exp(-ik_\infty x)}{\sqrt{k_\infty}} & [x \rightarrow +\infty]; \\ \frac{\exp(-ik_\infty x)}{\sqrt{k_\infty}} + r \frac{\exp(ik_\infty x)}{\sqrt{k_\infty}} & [x \rightarrow -\infty]. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Should the potential have distinct limits $V(x) \rightarrow V_{\pm\infty}$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ then one needs to distinguish the asymptotic wavenumbers $k_{\pm\infty}$ and the scattering problem is characterized by the more complicated asymptotic behaviour

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} t \frac{\exp(-ik_{+\infty} x)}{\sqrt{k_{+\infty}}} & [x \rightarrow +\infty]; \\ \frac{\exp(-ik_{-\infty} x)}{\sqrt{k_{-\infty}}} + r \frac{\exp(ik_{-\infty} x)}{\sqrt{k_{-\infty}}} & [x \rightarrow -\infty]. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

If one wishes to work directly in a relativistic context then instead of the Schrödinger equation the relevant PDE is the closely related

$$\left[+ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \right] \psi(t, x) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

For relativistic wavefunctions of the form $\psi(t, x) = \exp(i\omega t)\psi(x)$ this reduces to

$$\left[- \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \right] \psi(x) = \omega^2 \psi(x). \quad (2.9)$$

This is formally equivalent to the situation for the non-relativistic time-independent Schrödinger equation under the substitutions $\hbar^2/(2m) \rightarrow 1$ and $E \rightarrow \omega^2$. Thus there is no particular need to treat the relativistic situation separately. We shall phrase the discussion below in terms of the non-relativistic problem with the understanding that the fully relativistic situation can easily be recovered with the appropriate substitutions.

3. Selected potentials leading to exact results

We start by looking at some extensions of textbook results and connecting them back to the commonly conjectured “(offset) + in(gap)” behaviour for highly damped QNFs.

3.1 Delta-function potential

For a delta function potential take

$$V(x) = \alpha \delta(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Since the potential is zero for $x \neq 0$ we can in this region relate the energy to the wavenumber via

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}. \quad (3.2)$$

It is extremely useful to define

$$k_0 = \frac{m\alpha}{\hbar^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

In this case the transmission amplitude is well known to be (see for instance Baym [2] or Gasiorowicz [3])

$$t = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{ik_0}{k}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where this expression holds even for complex k . For real k (and hence real E) the transmission probability is (see for instance [2, 3])

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_0^2}{k^2}}. \quad (3.5)$$

There are no transmission resonances for this potential. (Speaking rather loosely one could formally view the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ as a transmission resonance, as $T \rightarrow 1$ in this limit — but this is not standard nomenclature.)

The QNFs are located by finding the poles in the transmission amplitude t . There is only one QNF, which is pure imaginary, (and so strictly speaking should be called a damped mode rather than a QNF). It is given by

$$k_{\text{QNF}} = ik_0; \quad E_{\text{QNF}} = -\frac{\hbar^2 k_0^2}{2m}. \quad (3.6)$$

For $\alpha > 0$ the delta function is repulsive, and $\text{Im}(k) > 0$ for the formal QNF, corresponding to a damped-mode QNF. On the other hand, for $\alpha < 0$ the delta function is attractive — then $\text{Im}(k) < 0$ for the formal QNF, so the formal QNF is actually a bound state. Because the width of the delta function is zero, the “gap” is infinite, and the “higher” QNFs are effectively driven off to imaginary infinity — only the “lowest” QNF survives.

3.2 Double-delta-function potential

For the double delta function

$$V(x) = \alpha \{\delta(x - a) + \delta(x + a)\}, \quad (3.7)$$

the transmission amplitude is known to be (see for instance Galindo and Pascual [4])

$$t = \frac{k^2}{(k - ik_0)^2 + k_0^2 \exp(-4ika)}, \quad (3.8)$$

even for complex k . Here we again set

$$k_0 = \frac{m\alpha}{\hbar^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

For real k the transmission probability is (see for instance [4])

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + 4 \left[\frac{k_0}{k} \cos(2ka) + \left(\frac{k_0}{k} \right)^2 \sin(2ka) \right]^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Equivalently

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + 4 \frac{k_0^2}{k^4} [k \cos(2ka) + k_0 \sin(2ka)]^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

The transmission resonances are located by

$$T = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad k = -k_0 \tan(2ka). \quad (3.12)$$

This is a transcendental equation with an infinite family of exact solutions. For large real k approximate solutions are

$$2ka \approx \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi. \quad (3.13)$$

The QNFs are located by

$$t = \infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (k - ik_0)^2 + k_0^2 \exp(-4ika) = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

so that

$$\exp(4ika) = \left(1 + \frac{ik}{k_0} \right)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Alternatively

$$\exp(-2ika) = \pm \left(1 + \frac{ik}{k_0} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

One obvious formal solution is $k = 0$, but this is physically uninteresting and does not correspond to a true physical QNF. The general solution to the QNF condition is

$$k_{\text{QNF}} = i \left\{ k_0 - \frac{W(\pm 2k_0 a e^{2k_0 a})}{2a} \right\}. \quad (3.17)$$

Here $W(x)$ is the Lambert W function implicitly defined by $W(x) e^{W(x)} = x$. (For a general discussion of the Lambert W function see [49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54].) Now the Lambert W function is, like the logarithm or many other complex functions, a multi-valued function depending on which particular branch one is dealing with. The real branches are $W_0(x)$ for $x \in (-e^{-1}, \infty)$ and $W_{-1}(x)$ for $x \in (-e^{-1}, 0)$. For these two branches $W_{\{0,-1\}}(xe^x) = x$, while on the other hand $W_{\{0,-1\}}(-xe^x)$ is nontrivial. This now leads to one trivial QNF at $k = 0$, plus a second nontrivial QNF at

$$k_{\text{QNF}} = i \left\{ k_0 - \frac{W_{\{0,-1\}}(-2k_0 a e^{2k_0 a})}{2a} \right\}. \quad (3.18)$$

This particular QNF is pure imaginary (either a damped mode or a bound state) whenever the W function is real, that is whenever

$$2ak_0 < W(e^{-1}) = 0.2785... \quad (3.19)$$

This particular exact result for k_{QNF} is already a rather nontrivial analytic result that does not appear to be well-known. As $a \rightarrow 0$, so that the 2 delta functions merge and $W(x) = x + \mathcal{O}(x^2)$, this reproduces the previous single delta function result. In addition, from the other (guaranteed complex) branches of the W function one obtains an infinite tower of QNFs — specifically

$$k_{\text{QNF}}(n) = i \left\{ k_0 - \frac{W_n(\pm 2k_0 a e^{2k_0 a})}{2a} \right\}. \quad (3.20)$$

The corresponding quasi-normal energies are

$$E_{\text{QNF}}(n) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ k_0 - \frac{W_n(\pm 2k_0 a e^{2k_0 a})}{2a} \right\}^2. \quad (3.21)$$

Thus already in this simple situation, as soon as one has non-zero separation a between the two delta-functions, then an infinite tower of QNFs arises. As the “width” a shrinks to zero all but the lowest lying QNF are driven off to infinity.

Quantitative asymptotic information can be extracted by first noting

$$W_n(x) = -\ln W_n(x) + \ln x + i2\pi n. \quad (3.22)$$

By recursively iterating this expression one can derive the Comtet expansion (see for example [50]). The leading terms of this Comtet expansion can best be recast as

$$W_n(x) = \ln x + i2\pi n - \ln(\ln x + i2\pi n) + \mathcal{O} \left\{ \frac{\ln(\ln x + i2\pi n)}{\ln x + i2\pi n} \right\}, \quad (3.23)$$

where the remainder term slowly goes to zero as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $s = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \in \{0, 1\}$ depending on the sign $\pm$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(\pm 2k_0 a e^{2k_0 a}) &= 2k_0 a + \ln(2k_0 a) + i(2n + s)\pi \\ &+ \ln[2k_0 a + \ln(2k_0 a) + i(2n + s)\pi] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

with slowly decreasing remainder as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently

$$k_{\text{QNF}}(n) = \frac{(2n+s)\pi}{2a} - i \frac{\ln(2k_0 a)}{2a} - \frac{i}{2a} \ln [2k_0 a + \ln(2k_0 a) + i(2n+s)\pi] + \dots \quad (3.25)$$

Note that it is in this case the real part of the QNF that is equi-spaced as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$, not the imaginary part. Note further that the sub-leading term is logarithmic, not $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$. This indicates that our intuition regarding the (offset) + i n(gap) behaviour built up from black hole mechanics is not quite as universal as one might hope — at the very least we will have to permit imaginary gaps and offsets, and more general sub-leading terms.

3.3 Asymmetric double-delta-function potential

For the asymmetric double delta function

$$V(x) = \alpha_- \delta(x-a) + \alpha_+ \delta(x+a), \quad (3.26)$$

with the definitions

$$k_+ = \frac{m\alpha_+}{\hbar^2}; \quad k_- = \frac{m\alpha_-}{\hbar^2}; \quad (3.27)$$

we have the transmission amplitude

$$t = \frac{k^2}{(k - ik_+)(k - ik_-) + k_+ k_- e^{-4ika}}. \quad (3.28)$$

As $a \rightarrow 0$ one has

$$t \rightarrow \frac{1}{1 - i \frac{k_+ + k_-}{k}}, \quad (3.29)$$

in agreement with the single delta function case. Also, for $k_+ = k_- \rightarrow k_0$ this result reproduces the amplitude for the symmetric double-delta potential. For real k the transmission probability T can be written as

$$T = \frac{k^4}{k^4 + k^2(k_+^2 + k_-^2) + 2k_+^2 k_-^2 + 2k_+ k_- [(k^2 - k_+ k_-) \cos(4ka) + k(k_+ + k_-) \sin(4ka)]}. \quad (3.30)$$

This can be recast as

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(k_+ - k_-)^2}{k^2} + \frac{4k_+ k_-}{k^4} [k \cos(2ka) + k_+ \sin(2ka)] [k \cos(2ka) + k_- \sin(2ka)]}. \quad (3.31)$$

As $a \rightarrow 0$ one has

$$T \rightarrow \frac{k^2}{k^2 + (k_+ + k_-)^2}, \quad (3.32)$$

in agreement with the single delta function case. Also, for $k_+ = k_- \rightarrow k_0$ this result reproduces the amplitude for the symmetric double-delta potential.

For $k_+ \neq k_-$ (and real energies) there are no true transmission resonances as T never quite reaches unity. However *approximate* transmission resonances, where one has a local maximum $T \lesssim 1$, are located at the local minima of the quantity

$$\frac{(k_+ - k_-)^2}{4k_+k_-} + \left[\cos(2ka) + \frac{k_+}{k} \sin(2ka) \right] \left[\cos(2ka) + \frac{k_-}{k} \sin(2ka) \right] \gtrsim 0. \quad (3.33)$$

For large k the location of these approximate transmission resonances approximates to

$$\cos(2ka) \approx 0. \quad (3.34)$$

That is, there is a family of approximate transmission resonances given by

$$2ka \approx \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi. \quad (3.35)$$

Near these approximate transmission resonances

$$T \approx \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(k_+ - k_-)^2}{k^2} + \frac{4k_+^2k_-^2}{k^4}}. \quad (3.36)$$

So these approximate transmission resonances become asymptotically exact as the momentum becomes large.

Turning to complex energies, the QNFs are located by

$$(k - ik_+)(k - ik_-) + k_+k_-e^{-4ika} = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

One formal solution is $k = 0$, but this does not correspond to a true physical QNF. The non-trivial QNFs do not seem to be explicitly calculable even in terms of the Lambert W function, but we can develop a perturbative result for $k_+ \approx k_-$.

To start the discussion we can at least see that one of the non-trivial QNFs is pure imaginary, (that is, a bound state/purely damped mode). Writing $k = i|k|$ we have

$$(|k| - k_+)(|k| - k_-) = k_+k_-e^{-4|k|a}. \quad (3.38)$$

Graphically, it is easy to see that for $k_+ \neq k_-$ there will always be one trivial solution at $k = 0$, plus one distinct non-trivial QNF with $|k| > \max\{k_+, k_-\}$.

More generally, the exact QNF condition can be rewritten as

$$\{2ia(k - ik_+)e^{2ika}\} \times \{2ia(k - ik_-)e^{2ika}\} = 4a^2k_+k_-, \quad (3.39)$$

or

$$\{2ia(k - ik_+)e^{2i(k - ik_+)a}\} \times \{2ia(k - ik_-)e^{2i(k - ik_-)a}\} = 4a^2k_+k_-e^{2(k_+ + k_-)a}. \quad (3.40)$$

Defining

$$C_0 \equiv 2a\sqrt{k_+k_-}e^{(k_++k_-)a}, \quad (3.41)$$

we have (again in terms of the Lambert W function)

$$k = ik_+ - i\frac{W_n(C_0 e^{+\Theta})}{2a} = ik_- - i\frac{W_n(C_0 e^{-\Theta})}{2a}, \quad (3.42)$$

where we have the consistency condition that

$$2a(k_+ - k_-) = W_n(C_0 e^{+\Theta}) - W_n(C_0 e^{-\Theta}), \quad (3.43)$$

and for emphasis we have used W_n to indicate that there is an infinite collection of QNFs hiding in the various branches of the Lambert W function. For $k_+ \approx k_-$ one has a perturbative result

$$a(k_+ - k_-) = W'_n(C_0)\Theta + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3), \quad (3.44)$$

whence

$$\Theta = \frac{a(k_+ - k_-)}{W'_n(C_0)} + \mathcal{O}([k_+ - k_-]^3). \quad (3.45)$$

This easily leads to the self-consistent estimate

$$k_{\text{QNF}}(n) = i \left\{ \frac{k_+ + k_-}{2} - \frac{W_n(C_0)}{2a} + \mathcal{O}([k_+ - k_-]^2) \right\}. \quad (3.46)$$

With a bit more work one can extract the next higher-order estimate

$$k_{\text{QNF}}(n) = i \left\{ \frac{k_+ + k_-}{2} - \frac{W_n(C_0)}{2a} - \frac{a(k_+ - k_-)^2}{4W_n(C_0)[1 + W_n(C_0)]} + \mathcal{O}([k_+ - k_-]^4) \right\}. \quad (3.47)$$

These results for the perturbative estimation of an infinite tower of QNFs appear to be new. The corresponding quasi-normal energies are

$$E_{\text{QNF}}(n) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ \frac{k_+ + k_-}{2} - \frac{W_n(C_0)}{2a} - \frac{a(k_+ - k_-)^2}{4W_n(C_0)[1 + W_n(C_0)]} + \mathcal{O}([k_+ - k_-]^4) \right\}^2. \quad (3.48)$$

We could again use the dominant terms of the Comtet expansion, now for $W_n(C_0)$, to determine the asymptotic behaviour as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. We suppress the details as the overall behaviour is qualitatively similar to that for the symmetric double-delta potential considered above.

In contrast, for small a one has a different perturbative relation (now for the lowest QNF)

$$k_{\text{QNF}} = i(k_+ + k_-) - 4ik_+k_-a + \mathcal{O}(a^2), \quad (3.49)$$

with

$$E_{\text{QNF}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ (k_+ + k_-) - 4k_+k_-a + \mathcal{O}(a^2) \right\}^2, \quad (3.50)$$

which is compatible with the result for a single delta-function.

In summary, all the explicit models we have seen based on one or two delta function potentials lead to a solitary isolated damped mode/bound state (pure imaginary k_{QNF}), and in addition the situation with two separated delta function potentials leads to an infinite tower of generally complex QNFs.

3.4 Single-step potential

The single step potential has the form (see for example Landau–Lifshitz [5]):

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} V_0 & (\text{for } x > 0); \\ 0 & (\text{for } x < 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.51)$$

Let us write

$$k^2 = \frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}; \quad q^2 = \frac{2m(E - V_0)}{\hbar^2}; \quad k_0^2 = \frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2} = k^2 - q^2. \quad (3.52)$$

Then (see for example Landau–Lifshitz [5], Messiah [7], or Merzbacher [9], though note different flux conventions)

$$t = \frac{2\sqrt{kq}}{k + q}. \quad (3.53)$$

If k and q are both real (so that E and $E - V_0$ are both real and positive, which is the minimum requirement for a true scattering situation) then the transmission probability is

$$T = \frac{4kq}{(k + q)^2} = 1 - \frac{(k - q)^2}{(k + q)^2}. \quad (3.54)$$

There are no transmission resonances for this potential. (Except formally in the limit $E \rightarrow \infty$.) There are no QNFs for this potential. From the point of view of QNFs a single-step potential is uninteresting — but this will change radically once two-step potentials are considered.

3.5 Rectangular barrier

The *rectangular barrier* (sometimes called the *square well*) has the form (see for example Landau–Lifshitz [5] or Schiff [6]):

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} V_0 & (\text{for } |x| \leq a); \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (3.55)$$

Where in general V_0 can be either positive or negative. Let us write

$$k^2 = \frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}; \quad q^2 = \frac{2m(E - V_0)}{\hbar^2}; \quad k_0^2 = \frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2} = k^2 - q^2. \quad (3.56)$$

The exact transmission amplitude is (see for example Brandsen and Joachain [10], or Messiah [7], though note change in phase conventions)

$$t = \frac{4kq \exp(2ika)}{(k+q)^2 \exp(2iqa) - (k-q)^2 \exp(-2iqa)}. \quad (3.57)$$

For real k (real E) the exact transmission coefficient is

$$T = \frac{k^2 q^2}{k^2 q^2 + \frac{1}{4}(k^2 - q^2)^2 \sin^2(2qa)}, \quad (3.58)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$T = \frac{E(E - V_0)}{E(E - V_0) + \frac{1}{4}V_0^2 \sin^2(2\sqrt{2m(E - V_0)}a/\hbar)}. \quad (3.59)$$

For more details see (for example) the texts by Landau and Lifshitz [5], or Schiff [6]. We can re-write this as

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{mV_0^2 a^2}{2E\hbar^2} \frac{\sin^2(2\sqrt{2m(E - V_0)}a/\hbar)}{2m(E - V_0)a^2/\hbar^2}}. \quad (3.60)$$

The transmission resonances are defined by

$$T = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad q = \frac{n\pi}{2a}. \quad (3.61)$$

This now is the first time we see “families” of exactly solvable transmission resonances arising. In terms of the incident energy the transmission resonances occur at

$$E = V_0 + \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{8ma^2}. \quad (3.62)$$

In contrast the QNFs are located by looking at complex wavenumbers and are defined by $t = \infty$ corresponding to

$$(k+q)^2 \exp(2iqa) = (k-q)^2 \exp(-2iqa), \quad (3.63)$$

that is

$$(k+q) \exp(iqa) = \pm (k-q) \exp(-iqa), \quad (3.64)$$

which can be simplified to

$$k = -iq \tan(qa), \quad \text{or} \quad k = iq \cot(qa), \quad (3.65)$$

and thence to

$$k_0 = -iq \sec(qa), \quad \text{or} \quad k_0 = iq \csc(qa), \quad (3.66)$$

whence

$$q = ik_0 \cos(qa), \quad \text{or} \quad q = -ik_0 \sin(qa). \quad (3.67)$$

There are two cases of interest for dealing with these transcendental equations.

3.5.1 Attractive potential

For an attractive potential $V_0 < 0$, and then $k_0 = i|k_0|$ is imaginary. So for an attractive potential we would be interested in

$$q = -|k_0| \cos(qa), \quad \text{or} \quad q = |k_0| \sin(qa). \quad (3.68)$$

Apart from the trivial solution at $q = 0$, these equations (sometimes) have solutions for real values of q , but always with $|q| \leq |k_0|$. Since $k^2 = k_0^2 + q^2 = -|k_0|^2 + q^2 \leq 0$ this implies pure imaginary values of k , which correspond to bound states (not QNFs).

In addition, suppose $\text{Im}(q) \gg 0$, then $\cos(qa) \approx \exp(-iqa)/2$ and $\sin(qa) \approx -\exp(-iqa)/2$. In either case the QNF condition approximates to

$$q \approx -\frac{|k_0|}{2} \exp(-iqa) \quad (3.69)$$

with approximate solution

$$q_{\text{QNF}}(n) \approx -i \frac{W_n(-i|k_0|a/2)}{a}. \quad (3.70)$$

This approximation should become increasingly accurate for higher values of $\text{Im}(q)$, that is, for higher values of n .

3.5.2 Repulsive potential

On the other hand, for a repulsive potential $V_0 > 0$, and then $k_0 > 0$ is real. Let us first consider the situation $q = i|q|$ (that is, a purely damped mode/ bound state). Then we must solve

$$|q| = k_0 \cosh(|q|a), \quad \text{or} \quad q = 0. \quad (3.71)$$

The zero mode is not a true QNF, and the physical QNFs are defined by

$$|q|a = k_0a \cosh(|q|a). \quad (3.72)$$

Depending on the precise value of k_0a there will be two, one, or zero QNFs. For small k_0a there are two QNFs and one can perturbatively estimate the lower of these two QNFs by

$$q_{\text{QNF}} = ik_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2}(k_0a)^2 + \frac{13}{24}(k_0a)^4 + \mathcal{O}([k_0a]^6) \right\}. \quad (3.73)$$

This corresponds to

$$k_{\text{QNF}} = ik_0(k_0a) \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{3}(k_0a)^2 + \frac{4}{5}(k_0a)^4 + \mathcal{O}([k_0a]^6) \right\}. \quad (3.74)$$

The quasi-normal energy is

$$E_{\text{QNF}} = -\frac{\hbar^2 k_0^2}{2m} (k_0 a)^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{4}{3} (k_0 a)^2 + \frac{92}{45} (k_0 a)^4 + \mathcal{O}([k_0 a]^6) \right\}. \quad (3.75)$$

In contrast, the higher of these two QNFs seems to have no simple perturbative expansion or other representation. For $k_0 a \sim 0.663$ the two QNFs merge, (at $qa \sim 1.2$), and for $k_0 a \gtrsim 0.663$ there are no longer any QNFs.

On the other hand, for $\text{Im}(q) \gg 0$, we can again approximate the trigonometric functions by exponentials. The QNF condition approximates to

$$q \approx -\frac{ik_0}{2} \exp(-iqa) \quad (3.76)$$

with approximate solution

$$q_{\text{QNF}}(n) \approx -i \frac{W_n(k_0 a/2)}{a}. \quad (3.77)$$

This approximation should become increasingly accurate for higher values of $\text{Im}(q)$, that is, for higher values of n .

3.6 Asymmetric rectangular barrier

For the *asymmetric rectangular barrier* (sometimes called the *asymmetric square barrier*)

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} V_1, & x < -a; \\ V_2, & x \in (-a, +a); \\ V_3, & x > a. \end{cases} \quad (3.78)$$

We now define

$$k_i = \sqrt{2m(E - V_i)}/\hbar, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (3.79)$$

where the k_i are either pure real or pure imaginary, and construct a wave-function of the form (see for instance Messiah, though note change in normalization and phase conventions [7])

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\{\exp(-ik_1 x) + r \exp(ik_1 x)\}}{\sqrt{k_1}} & x < -a; \\ \frac{\{p \exp(-ik_2 x) + q \exp(ik_2 x)\}}{\sqrt{k_2}} & x \in (-a, +a); \\ \frac{t \exp(-ik_3 x)}{\sqrt{k_3}} & x > a. \end{cases} \quad (3.80)$$

The continuity conditions at points $-a$ and $+a$ give the values of r , p , q , and t . Without entering into the specific details of the calculation, we simply give the

probability amplitude t . A brief computation yields:

$$t = \frac{2k_2\sqrt{k_1k_3} \exp(i[k_1 + k_3]a)}{k_2(k_3 + k_1)\cos(2k_2a) + i(k_2^2 + k_1k_3)\sin(2k_2a)}. \quad (3.81)$$

This is more usefully represented as

$$t = \frac{4k_2\sqrt{k_1k_3} \exp(i[k_1 + k_3]a)}{(k_1 + k_2)(k_3 + k_2)e^{2ik_2a} - (k_1 - k_2)(k_3 - k_2)e^{-2ik_2a}}. \quad (3.82)$$

When k_1 and k_3 are both real, which is the minimum requirement to have a true scattering problem, the transmission probability is (see for example [7]):

$$T = \frac{4k_1k_2^2k_3}{(k_1 + k_3)^2k_2^2 + [k_1^2k_3^2 + k_2^2(k_2^2 - k_1^2 - k_3^2)]\sin^2(2k_2a)}. \quad (3.83)$$

The definition of a transmission resonance is now more subtle — clearly something special happens when the $\sin(\cdot) \rightarrow 0$. Then $T \rightarrow T_{\text{step}}$ — at this point the transmission probability for the asymmetric rectangular well reduces to that of the transmission probability for a step potential with the same asymptotic behaviour at spatial infinity.

$$T_{\sin(\cdot) \rightarrow 0} = \frac{4k_1k_3}{(k_1 + k_3)^2} = T_{\text{step}}(k_1, k_3). \quad (3.84)$$

(In fact it is known that this step barrier transmission coefficient is a rigorous upper bound on the general transmission probability [25].) We now define the closest we can get to a transmission resonance by asking

$$T/T_{\text{step}} = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 2k_2a = n\pi. \quad (3.85)$$

In terms of the energy these “close as possible to transmission” resonances occur at

$$E = V_2 + \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{8ma^2}. \quad (3.86)$$

The QNFs are now determined by locating complex wavenumbers for which $t = \infty$, corresponding to

$$k_2(k_3 + k_1)\cos(2k_2a) = -i(k_2^2 + k_1k_3)\sin(2k_2a). \quad (3.87)$$

That is

$$\tan(2k_2a) = +i \frac{k_2(k_3 + k_1)}{(k_2^2 + k_1k_3)}. \quad (3.88)$$

Let us now define constants k_{12} and k_{23} (which are either pure real or pure imaginary) by

$$k_{12} = \sqrt{\frac{2m(V_2 - V_1)}{\hbar^2}}; \quad k_{23} = \sqrt{\frac{2m(V_2 - V_3)}{\hbar^2}}, \quad (3.89)$$

so that

$$k_1 = \sqrt{k_2^2 + k_{12}^2}; \quad k_3 = \sqrt{k_2^2 + k_{23}^2}. \quad (3.90)$$

Then the QNF condition becomes

$$\tan(2k_2 a) = +i \frac{k_2 \left(\sqrt{k_2^2 + k_{12}^2} + \sqrt{k_2^2 + k_{23}^2} \right)}{\left(k_2^2 + \sqrt{k_2^2 + k_{12}^2} \sqrt{k_2^2 + k_{23}^2} \right)}. \quad (3.91)$$

This implicitly determines $k_2(a, k_{12}, k_{23})$ as a function of the parameters a , k_{12} , and k_{23} . This can now be solved perturbatively for k_2 as a function of a , though the analysis is now considerably more delicate because there are several energy scales in play. Provided that both $|k_2|a \ll 1$, (so that we can safely approximate the tangent function by a straight line), and $|k_{12}^2 - k_{23}^2|/|k_{12}^2 + k_{23}^2| \ll 1$, (so that the potential is not too asymmetric), one can derive the estimate

$$(k_{2,\text{QNF}})^2 = -\frac{1}{4a^2} \frac{(k_{12}^2 - k_{23}^2)^2}{(k_{12}^2 + k_{23}^2)^2} - \frac{2k_{12}^2 k_{23}^2}{k_{12}^2 + k_{23}^2} - k_{12}^2 k_{23}^2 a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4). \quad (3.92)$$

Note that for small a this guarantees a pure imaginary QNF (damped mode/bound state). Insofar as the calculations overlap, this agrees with the perturbative QNF estimate for the symmetric rectangular barrier. The corresponding quasi-normal energy is

$$E_{\text{QNF}} = V_2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{8ma^2} \frac{(V_3 - V_1)^2}{(2V_2 - V_1 - V_3)^2} - \frac{2(V_2 - V_1)(V_2 - V_3)}{(2V_2 - V_1 - V_3)} - \frac{(V_2 - V_1)(V_2 - V_3)}{\hbar^2/(2ma^2)} + \mathcal{O}(a^4). \quad (3.93)$$

If we now want to move beyond this (small a) perturbative approximation, the algebra unfortunately appears to become intractable. We have not been able to extract anything useful in this case.

3.7 Tanh potential

Consider a smoothed step function of the form

$$V(x) = \frac{V_{-\infty} + V_{+\infty}}{2} + \frac{V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty}}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{a}\right). \quad (3.94)$$

Define

$$k_{\pm\infty} = \frac{\sqrt{2m(E - V_{\pm\infty})}}{\hbar}; \quad \bar{k} = \frac{k_{+\infty} + k_{-\infty}}{2}; \quad \Delta = \frac{k_{+\infty} - k_{-\infty}}{2}. \quad (3.95)$$

The transmission amplitude is known analytically to be (see, for instance, [5, 14]):

$$t = \frac{\bar{k}}{\sqrt{k_{+\infty} k_{-\infty}}} \frac{\Gamma(i\bar{k}a)^2}{\Gamma(ik_{+\infty}a)\Gamma(ik_{-\infty}a)}. \quad (3.96)$$

Furthermore, the transmission probability is known analytically to be (see for instance [5, 14]):

$$T = \frac{\sinh(\pi k_{+\infty} a) \sinh(\pi k_{-\infty} a)}{\sinh^2(\pi \bar{k} a)} = 1 - \frac{\sinh^2(\pi \Delta a)}{\sinh^2(\pi \bar{k} a)}. \quad (3.97)$$

There are no transmission resonances for this potential. (Except formally in the limit $\bar{k} \rightarrow \infty$.) To find the QNFs note that $t = \infty$ when the Gamma function in the numerator has a pole, that is when

$$i\bar{k}a = -n, \quad n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \quad (3.98)$$

that is, when

$$ia(k_{-\infty} + k_{+\infty})/2 = -n. \quad (3.99)$$

But we can eliminate one of the asymptotic wavenumbers in terms of the other plus the asymptotic potential difference $V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty}$. For instance

$$k_{+\infty} + \sqrt{k_{+\infty}^2 + 2m(V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})/\hbar^2} = 2in/a, \quad (3.100)$$

so that in terms of the wavenumbers at $x \rightarrow +\infty$ the *exact* QNFs are

$$k_{\text{QNF},+\infty}(n) = i \left[\frac{m(V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})a}{2\hbar^2 n} + \frac{n}{a} \right] \quad n > 0. \quad (3.101)$$

We can completely equivalently specify these *exact* QNFs in terms of the wavenumbers at $x \rightarrow -\infty$, in which case

$$k_{\text{QNF},-\infty}(n) = i \left[\frac{m(V_{-\infty} - V_{+\infty})a}{2\hbar^2 n} + \frac{n}{a} \right] \quad n > 0. \quad (3.102)$$

Though the step from transmission amplitude to QNF is in principle straightforward, an explicit statement as to the exact location of these QNFs seems impossible to find in the extant literature.

Note the asymptotic spacing as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$k_{\text{QNF},\pm\infty}(n) \rightarrow i \frac{n}{a}. \quad (3.103)$$

Also note that as $a \rightarrow 0$ all the QNFs are driven to imaginary infinity — this is compatible with the behaviour of the single-step potential for which there are no QNFs. If one prefers to work with the non-relativistic quasi-normal energies then

$$E_{\text{QNF},n} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2} n^2 + \frac{V_{+\infty} + V_{-\infty}}{2} - \frac{m^2 a^2 (V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})^2}{8\hbar^2} \frac{1}{n^2}. \quad (3.104)$$

Again, though the step from transmission amplitude to this (non-relativistic) quasi-normal energy is in principle straightforward, an explicit statement as to the exact location of these quasi-normal energies seems impossible to find in the extant literature.

3.8 Sech² potential

Consider a sech² potential of the form

$$V(x) = V_0 \operatorname{sech}^2(x/a). \quad (3.105)$$

The transmission amplitude is known analytically to be (see for example Landau–Lifshitz [5] or Beyer [48]):

$$t = \frac{\Gamma\left(ika + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right) \Gamma\left(ika + \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right)}{\Gamma(1 + ika) \Gamma(ika)}. \quad (3.106)$$

Furthermore, the transmission probability is known analytically to be (see for example Landau–Lifshitz [5]):

$$T = \frac{\sinh^2[\pi\sqrt{2mE}a/\hbar]}{\sinh^2[\pi\sqrt{2mE}a/\hbar] + \cos^2[\frac{1}{2}\pi\sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}]}. \quad (3.107)$$

Alternatively

$$T = \frac{\sinh^2[\pi ka]}{\sinh^2[\pi ka] + \cos^2\left[\pi\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right]}. \quad (3.108)$$

Transmission resonances occur but they are not now functions of energy or momentum. Instead $T \rightarrow 1$ for

$$\sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2} = 2n + 1. \quad (3.109)$$

That is, the potential is reflectionless when the depth of the well takes on one of the critical values

$$V_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2} n(n+1). \quad (3.110)$$

The QNFs are in this case quite standard and are presented for instance in Beyer [48] and many other places in the literature. We have

$$k_{\text{QNF},n} = i \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2} + n}{a} \right\} \quad n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \quad (3.111)$$

Note the (offset) $+in(\text{gap})$ behaviour. Note that as $a \rightarrow 0$ for V_0 fixed all but one of the QNFs are driven to imaginary infinity (the one remaining QNF is driven to the unphysical value of zero). In contrast as $a \rightarrow 0$ for $V_0 a$ held fixed all but one of the QNFs are driven to imaginary infinity and the one remaining QNF is driven to the unique QNF for a delta-function potential. The corresponding quasi-normal energies are

$$E_{\text{QNF},n} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2} + n \right\}^2. \quad (3.112)$$

3.9 Eckart/ Rosen–Morse/ Morse–Feshbach potential

We shall soon see that the Eckart potential can best be viewed as a linear combination of the $(\tanh)$ and $(\operatorname{sech})^2$ potentials. To set the stage we emphasize that many of the apparently different potentials commonly encountered in the literature are actually the same quantity in disguise. To start with, consider the following three potentials:

Eckart (1930):

$$V(x) = -\frac{A\xi}{1-\xi} - \frac{B\xi}{(1-\xi)^2}; \quad \xi = -\exp(2x/a). \quad (3.113)$$

Rosen–Morse (1932):

$$V(x) = A + B \tanh(x/d) + C \operatorname{sech}^2(x/d). \quad (3.114)$$

Morse–Feshbach (1953):

$$V(x) = V_0 \cosh^2 \mu \{ \tanh([x - \mu L]/L) + \tanh \mu \}^2. \quad (3.115)$$

All three of these potentials are actually *identical*. To see this note that:

$$\frac{4\xi}{(1-\xi)^2} = \frac{4}{(\xi^{-1/2} + \xi^{1/2})^2} = \frac{4}{[e^{-x/a} + e^{x/a}]^2} = \frac{1}{\cosh^2(x/a)} = \operatorname{sech}^2(x/a). \quad (3.116)$$

Similarly

$$1 + \frac{2\xi}{1-\xi} = \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi} = \frac{1-e^{2x/a}}{1+e^{2x/a}} = \frac{e^{-x/a} - e^{x/a}}{e^{-x/a} + e^{x/a}} = -\tanh(x/a). \quad (3.117)$$

This is enough to show

$$(\text{Eckart}) \iff (\text{Rosen–Morse}).$$

In fact in the Rosen–Morse article [17], they cite Eckart [15], and describe Eckart’s potential as beginning “somewhat like” their own, but without noticing that the two potentials are in fact *identical* up to trivial redefinitions of the parameters.

Now, for the Morse–Feshbach potential [8], note that by a trivial shift of origin, $x \rightarrow x + \mu L$, we have

$$V(x) \rightarrow V_0 \cosh^2 \mu \{ \tanh(x/L) + \tanh \mu \}^2, \quad (3.118)$$

which we can without loss of generality relabel as

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &\rightarrow V_1 \{ \tanh(x/L) + D \}^2, \\ &= V_1 \{ \tanh^2(x/L) + 2D \tanh(x/L) + D^2 \}, \\ &= V_1 \{ -\operatorname{sech}^2(x/L) + 2D \tanh(x/L) + D^2 + 1 \}, \\ &= V_2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x/L) + V_3 \tanh(x/L) + V_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.119)$$

This is enough to show

$$(\text{Morse--Feshbach}) \iff (\text{Rosen--Morse}),$$

and so all three potentials are completely identical up to trivial relabeling of the parameters and a shift in the zero of energy.

In fact, including the offset, all three of these potentials can be written in *any* one of the four general forms below:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= A + B \tanh(x/a + \theta) + C \tanh^2(x/a + \theta), \\ &= A_0 + [B_0 + C_0 \tanh(x/a + \theta)]^2, \\ &= A_0 + \left[\frac{B_1 + C_1 \tanh(x/a)}{B_2 + C_2 \tanh(x/a)} \right]^2, \\ &= A_0 + \left[\frac{E_1 + F_1 \exp(-2x/a)}{E_2 + F_2 \exp(-2x/a)} \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.120)$$

Note that there is some redundancy here, but it is a useful redundancy. It makes it clear that the Eckart/ Rosen–Morse/ Morse–Feshbach potential is generally the square of a Möbius function, either of the variable $\tanh(x/a)$ or of the variable $\exp(-2x/a)$. Thus implies that without loss of generality we can set either $B_1 C_2 - C_1 B_2$ or $E_1 F_2 - E_2 F_1$ to some convenient constant (often unity). As long as E_2 and F_2 do not have opposite signs then the (Möbius)² potential does not exhibit any poles, and as long as all of E_1 , F_1 , E_2 , and F_2 are in addition nonzero it has the appropriate asymptotic behaviour to define a scattering problem. Other cases are more interesting as model potentials defined on a proper subset of the real line, and possibly with bound states. Sometimes one is interested in formally replacing $a \rightarrow ia$ (and taking appropriate real parts) to obtain potentials based in combinations of ordinary trigonometric functions. Sometimes one is interested in formally replacing $a \rightarrow -a$, which can also lead to potentials with poles that are defined only on subsets of the real line. For our purposes we will only be interested in those specific forms of the (Möbius)² potential that lead to a well-defined scattering problem.

To explicitly display the transmission amplitude and probability, and the transmission resonances and QNFs, it is convenient to settle on the standard notation

$$V(x) = \frac{V_{-\infty} + V_{+\infty}}{2} + \frac{V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty}}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) + \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(x/a)}. \quad (3.121)$$

That is, the general Eckart potential is simply a linear combination “(constant) + (tanh) + (sech)²”. Now define the quantities

$$k_{\pm\infty} = \frac{\sqrt{2m(E - V_{\pm\infty})}}{\hbar}; \quad \bar{k} = \frac{k_{+\infty} + k_{-\infty}}{2}. \quad (3.122)$$

The transmission amplitude is then known to be (see for example Eckart [15] or Morse–Feshbach [8])

$$t = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{k_{+\infty} k_{-\infty}} a} \times \frac{\Gamma\left(i\bar{k}a + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right) \Gamma\left(i\bar{k}a + \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right)}{\Gamma(ik_{+\infty}a) \Gamma(ik_{-\infty}a)}. \quad (3.123)$$

The transmission coefficient is [8]

$$T = \frac{\sinh(\pi k_{-\infty}a) \sinh(\pi k_{+\infty}a)}{\sinh^2(\pi \bar{k}a) + \cos^2\left[\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2mV_0a^2}{\hbar^2}}\right]}. \quad (3.124)$$

Note that this has appropriate limits as $V_0 \rightarrow 0$ where it reproduces the tanh potential, and as $V_{-\infty} \rightarrow V_{+\infty}$ where it reproduces the sech^2 potential. The closest one now gets to a transmission resonance is that $T \rightarrow T_{\text{tanh}}$ whenever the $\cos[\cdot] \rightarrow 0$ in the above, that is, whenever the coefficient V_0 of the sech^2 part of the potential takes on the special values

$$V_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2} n(n+1). \quad (3.125)$$

Regarding the QNFs, we see that $t \rightarrow \infty$ when

$$i\bar{k}a + \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - 2mV_0a^2/\hbar^2} = -n; \quad n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \quad (3.126)$$

That is

$$i(k_{-\infty} + k_{+\infty})a = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8mV_0a^2}{\hbar^2}} - (2n+1), \quad (3.127)$$

whence

$$(k_{-\infty} + k_{+\infty}) = \pm i \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{1 - \frac{8mV_0a^2}{\hbar^2}} + i \frac{2n+1}{a}. \quad (3.128)$$

We can now rearrange this solely in terms of $k_{+\infty}$ by writing

$$k_{+\infty} + \sqrt{k_{+\infty}^2 + 2m(V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})/\hbar^2} = \pm i \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{1 - \frac{8mV_0a^2}{\hbar^2}} + i \frac{2n+1}{a}, \quad (3.129)$$

implying

$$k_{+\infty} + \sqrt{k_{+\infty}^2 + 2m(V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})/\hbar^2} = i \left(\frac{2n+1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}}{a} \right). \quad (3.130)$$

Note that this has appropriate limits for the $\tanh$ and sech^2 potentials. Finally, because this is a simple quadratic equation, we can solve for the QNFs $k(n)$ to obtain the *exact* QNFs

$$k_{\text{QNF},+\infty}(n) = i \left(\frac{m(V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})a/\hbar^2}{(2n+1) \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}} + \frac{(2n+1) \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}}{2a} \right). \quad (3.131)$$

A similar analysis in terms of the wavenumber $k_{-\infty}$ at $x \rightarrow -\infty$ casts the *exact* QNFs in the form

$$k_{\text{QNF},-\infty}(n) = i \left(\frac{m(V_{-\infty} - V_{+\infty})a/\hbar^2}{(2n+1) \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}} + \frac{(2n+1) \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}}{2a} \right). \quad (3.132)$$

This now has the appropriate limits to reproduce both $\tanh$ and sech^2 QNFs. We have not found explicit formulae of this type in the extant literature. Note that asymptotically

$$k_{\text{QNF},\pm\infty}(n) \rightarrow i \frac{n}{a} + i \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}}{2a} + \mathcal{O}(1/n), \quad (3.133)$$

in accordance with the general suspicions based on black hole QNMs [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Finally we consider the quasi-normal energy

$$E_{\text{QNF}}(n) = V_{+\infty} + \frac{\hbar^2 k_{\text{QNF},+\infty}(n)^2}{2m} = V_{-\infty} + \frac{\hbar^2 k_{\text{QNF},-\infty}(n)^2}{2m}, \quad (3.134)$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{QNF}}(n) = & -\frac{ma^2}{2\hbar^2} \frac{(V_{+\infty} - V_{-\infty})^2}{\left(2n+1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right)^2} \\ & + \frac{V_{+\infty} + V_{-\infty}}{2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{8ma^2} \left(2n+1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 8mV_0a^2/\hbar^2}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.135)$$

Again, we have not found explicit formulae of this type in the extant literature.

3.10 Related potentials

(Morse, Pöschl–Teller, Manning–Rosen, Hulthen, Teitz, Hua)

A number of other closely related potentials are also of some interest — though most often one is simply revisiting the Eckart potential in disguise.

3.10.1 Morse (1929)

Consider the potential

$$V(x) = V_0 (1 - \exp(-[x - x_0]/a))^2. \quad (3.136)$$

This Morse potential is actually a somewhat odd limit of the (Möbius)² potential as various parameters go to unity or zero. It is most useful as a model for bound states and does not define a scattering problem.

3.10.2 Pöschl–Teller (1933)

We should first warn the reader that the actual article by Pöschl and Teller [18] is somewhat difficult to get hold of. That article starts by discussing the potential

$$V(x) = V_0 \left\{ \frac{A}{\sin^2(x/a)} + \frac{B}{\cos^2(x/a)} \right\}; \quad x \in (0, \pi a/2), \quad (3.137)$$

and its hyperbolic analytic continuation ($a \rightarrow ia$)

$$V(x) = V_0 \left\{ \frac{A}{\sinh^2(x/a)} + \frac{B}{\cosh^2(x/a)} \right\}; \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad (3.138)$$

relying only on a change of *font* (typeface) to make the distinction between ordinary and hyperbolic trigonometric functions. Finally the article focusses attention on the specific case

$$V(x) = \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(x/a)} = V_0 \operatorname{sech}^2(x/a); \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (3.139)$$

Because of this many authors use the phrase “Pöschl–Teller potential” to refer to the sech^2 potential. While this is historically somewhat inaccurate, insofar as the sech^2 potential is already contained as a special case of the Eckart potential, this terminology now seems firmly embedded in the literature. (Oddly, Pöschl and Teller refer to both the Morse 1929 [16] and Rosen–Morse 1932 [17] articles, but not the Eckart 1930 [15] article.)

3.10.3 Manning–Rosen (1933)

Consider the potential

$$V(x) = B \coth(x/a) - C \operatorname{cosech}^2(x/a); \quad x \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.140)$$

The relevant citation [20] is only an *abstract* in a report of a conference. To find it with online tools such as PROLA look up Phys. Rev. **44** (1933) 951, and then manually scan for abstract # 10. The form actually given in the abstract is

$$V(x) = A \frac{\exp(-2x/b)}{[1 - \exp(-x/b)]^2} + B \frac{\exp(-x/b)}{1 - \exp(-x/b)}, \quad (3.141)$$

which one can manipulate into the form above by noting

$$1 + 2 \frac{\exp(-x/b)}{1 - \exp(-x/b)} = \frac{1 + \exp(-x/b)}{1 - \exp(-x/b)} = \frac{\exp(x/2b) + \exp(-x/2b)}{\exp(x/2b) - \exp(-x/2b)} = \coth(x/2b), \quad (3.142)$$

and

$$\coth^2 z = 1 + \operatorname{cosech}^2 z. \quad (3.143)$$

Note that the Manning–Rosen potential can be obtained from the Eckart potential by the formal substitution $x \rightarrow -x + i\pi a/2$ so that

$$\xi = -\exp(2x/a) \rightarrow +\exp(-2x/a). \quad (3.144)$$

In particular, Manning–Rosen can be written in the form

$$V(x) = A + B \coth(x/a) + C \coth^2(x/a) = A_0 + [B_0 + C_0 \coth(x/a)]^2. \quad (3.145)$$

We can get this from the general (Möbius)² form of the Eckart potential by appropriately choosing the parameters. Because of the pole at $x = 0$ the potential is best thought of as being defined on $(0, \infty)$. It does not define a scattering problem, though it may be useful for investigating bound states.

3.10.4 Hulthen (1942)

Consider the potential

$$V(x) = V_0 \frac{\exp(-x/a)}{1 - \exp(-x/a)}; \quad x \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.146)$$

This Hulthen potential [21] is actually a special case of the Manning–Rosen potential. We can also get this from the general (Möbius)² form of the Eckart potential by appropriately choosing the parameters. Because of the pole at $x = 0$ the potential is best thought of as being defined on $(0, \infty)$. It does not define a scattering problem, though it may be useful for investigating bound states.

3.10.5 Tietz (1963)

One version of the Tietz potential [22] is:

$$V(x) = V_0 \left(\frac{\sinh([x - x_0]/a)}{\{\sinh, \cosh, \exp\}(x/a)} \right)^2. \quad (3.147)$$

We can get this from the general (Möbius)² form of the Eckart potential by appropriately choosing the parameters. Depending on the specific choices made it may or may not define a scattering problem, though it may be useful for investigating bound states.

3.10.6 Hua (1990)

Hua's potential is [23]

$$V(x) = V_0 \left(\frac{1 - \exp(-2x/a)}{1 - q \exp(-2x/a)} \right)^2. \quad (3.148)$$

We can get this [23, 24] from the general (Möbius)² form of the Eckart potential by appropriately choosing the parameters. We note

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= V_0 \left(\frac{\exp(x/a) - \exp(-x/a)}{\exp(x/a) - q \exp(-x/a)} \right)^2, \\ &= V_0 \left(\frac{\sinh(x/a)}{(1+q)\sinh(x/a) + (1-q)\cosh(x/a)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.149)$$

If $q > 0$ define $(1-q)/(1+q) = \tanh \theta$. If $q < 0$ define $(1+q)/(1-q) = \tanh \theta$. Then we see

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= V_1 \left(\frac{\sinh(x/a)}{\{\sinh, \cosh\}(x/a + \theta)} \right)^2 \quad (q \neq 0), \\ &= V_1 \left(\frac{\sinh(\bar{x}/a - \theta)}{\{\sinh, \cosh, \exp\}(\bar{x}/a)} \right)^2, \\ &= (\text{Tietz potential}), \\ &= V_1 \left(\frac{A \sinh(\bar{x}/a) + B \cosh(\bar{x}/a)}{\{\sinh, \cosh\}(\bar{x}/a)} \right)^2, \\ &= (\text{Eckart/ Manning-Rosen as appropriate}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.150)$$

Summary: So all of these potentials are either identical to the (Möbius)² potential, or special cases of the (Möbius)² potential. To be historically accurate we should really just call this whole collection of potentials the Eckart potential, or appropriate special cases of the Eckart potential, as Eckart seems to have been the first author to have given the general form. Unfortunately other names are now in such common use that historical accuracy is difficult (if not impossible) to recover.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we have collected many known analytic results, and described several significant new results on analytic QNFs, in a form amenable to comparison with the extant literature. In particular we have, in addition to the QNFs themselves, focussed on transmission amplitudes, transmission probabilities, and transmission

Inter-relationships between various “exactly solvable” potentials

Name	Potential $V(x)$	Properties
Morse (1929)	$V_0 (1 - \exp(-x/a))^2$	Special limit of Eckart/(Möbius) ²
Eckart (1930)	$-\frac{A\xi}{1-\xi} - \frac{B\xi}{(1-\xi)^2}; \quad \xi = -\exp(2x/a)$	$\Leftrightarrow$ Rosen–Morse $\Leftrightarrow$ (Möbius) ²
Rosen–Morse (1932)	$A + B \tanh(x/a) + C \operatorname{sech}^2(x/a)$	$\Leftrightarrow$ Eckart $\Leftrightarrow$ (Möbius) ²
Morse–Feshbach (1954)	$V_0 \cosh^2 \mu \{ \tanh([x - \mu a]/a) + \tanh \mu \}^2$	$\Leftrightarrow$ Rosen–Morse $\Leftrightarrow$ Eckart
Eckart/ Rosen–Morse	$A + B \tanh(x/a) + C \tanh^2(x/a)$	$\Leftrightarrow$ (Möbius) ² function of $\exp(-2x/a)$
(Möbius) ²	$V_0 \left[\frac{A + B \exp(-2x/a)}{C + D \exp(-2x/a)} \right]^2$	The “best” of these equivalent forms
Manning–Rosen (1933)	$A + B \coth(x/a) - C \operatorname{cosech}^2(x/a)$	Special limit of Eckart/(Möbius) ²
Hulthen (1942)	$V_0 \frac{\exp(-x/a)}{1 - \exp(-x/a)}$	Special case of Manning–Rosen
Tietz (1963)	$V_0 \left(\frac{\sinh([x - x_0]/a)}{\{\sinh, \cosh, \exp\}(x/a)} \right)^2$	Special limit of Eckart/(Möbius) ²
Hua (1990)	$V_0 \left(\frac{1 - \exp(-2x/a)}{1 - q \exp(-2x/a)} \right)^2$	Eckart or Manning–Rosen or Morse

Table 1: This table shows the inter-connections between many “exactly solvable” potentials. Many of these potentials are *identical* to each other, though this may not always be obvious at first glance.

resonances. We did this explicitly for the delta-function potential, double-delta-function potential, and asymmetric double-delta-function potential; the step barrier, rectangular barrier, and asymmetric rectangular barrier; the tanh potential, sech^2 potential, and Eckart potential and its variants. In almost all of these cases we have been able to take the calculation of the QNFs somewhat further, sometimes significantly further, than currently available sources.

In particular, we have noted that the Eckart/Rosen–Morse/Morse–Feshbach potentials are actually *identical*, and that they are generally a (Möbius)² function of the variable $\exp(-2x/a)$. Indeed many of the “exactly solvable” potentials commonly encountered in the literature are actually the same quantity in disguise, typically the (Möbius)² potential itself or some special case thereof — and so really should just be collectively referred to as variants of the Eckart potential. We should also mention that there has recently been some progress in analyzing the (approximate

highly damped) QNFs for *piecewise* Eckart potentials [35, 36, 37, 38].

What message can we extract concerning the commonly conjectured “(offset) + $\ln(\text{gap})$ ” behaviour for highly damped QNFs? From the examples we have seen here (and in [35, 36, 37, 38]) it appears that the “(offset) + $\ln(\text{gap})$ ” behaviour depends on both a non-zero width for the potential, and a certain amount of smoothness. The double-delta potential leads to imaginary gap, imaginary offset, and logarithmic sub-leading terms — which is not what one would naively have expected.

Finally, we reiterate that very few potentials have exact analytically known quasi-normal frequencies [QNFs]. Even for so-called “analytically solvable” potentials it is not necessarily true that the QNFs can be explicitly located in closed form. Thus apart from their intrinsic interest, these exact and approximate results serve as a backdrop and a consistency check on ongoing efforts to locate and understand QNFs in general physical situations.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Marsden Fund administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand. PB was additionally supported by a scholarship from the Royal Government of Thailand, and partially supported by a travel grant from FQXi, and by a grant for the professional development of new academic staff from the Ratchadapisek Somphot Fund at Chulalongkorn University.

References

- [1] P. Boonserm, “Rigorous bounds on Transmission, Reflection, and Bogoliubov coefficients”, PhD Thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, 2009, arXiv: 0907.0045 [math-ph]. (See especially chapter 3 for a discussion of the convoluted history of the Eckart potential.)
- [2] G. Baym, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
- [3] S. Gasiorowicz, *Quantum Physics*, (Wiley, New York, 1996).
- [4] A. Galindo and P. Pascual, *Quantum Mechanics I*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- [5] L. D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-relativistic theory*, (Pergamon, New York, 1977).
- [6] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955).
- [7] A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1958).
- [8] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).

- [9] E. Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics*, (Wiley, New York, 1970).
- [10] B. H. Brandsen and C. J. Joachain, *Quantum Mechanics*, (Prentice Hall, New York, 2000).
- [11] R. L. Liboff, *Introductory Quantum Mechanics*, (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2003).
- [12] A. Z. Capri, *Nonrelativistic Quantum Mechanics*, (Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1985).
- [13] R. Shankar, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, (Plenum, New York, 1980).
- [14] N. D. Birell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
- [15] C. Eckart, “The penetration of a potential barrier by electrons”, *Phys. Rev.* **35**, (1930) 1303–1309.
- [16] P. M. Morse, “Diatomic molecules according to the wave mechanics. II. vibrational levels”, *Phys. Rev.* **34** (1929) 57–64
- [17] N. Rosen and P. M. Morse, “On the vibrations of polyatomic molecules”, *Phys. Rev.* **42** (1932) 210–217.
- [18] G. Pöschl and E. Teller, “Bemerkungen zur Quantenmechanik des anharmonischen Oszillators”, *Z. Phys.* **83** (1933) 143.
- [19] M. F. Manning and N. Rosen, “A potential function for the vibrations of diatomic molecules”, *Phys. Rev.* **44** (1933) 951. [See page 953.]
- [20] M. F. Manning, “Exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation”, *Phys. Rev.* **48** (1935) 161–164.
- [21] L. Hulthen, “Über die Eigenlösungen der Schrödingergleichung des Deuterons”, *Ark. Mat. Astron. Fys.* **28A** (1942) 5 (Also: **29B**, 1).
- [22] T. Tietz, “Negative Hydrogen Ion”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **35** (1961) 1917.
- [23] W. Hua, “Four-parameter exactly solvable potential for diatomic molecules”, *Phys. Rev. A* **42** (1990) 2524–2529.
- [24] G. A. Natanson, “Comment on: Four-parameter exactly solvable potential for diatomic molecules”, *Phys. Rev. A* **44** (1991) 3377–3378.
- [25] M. Visser, “Some general bounds for 1-D scattering”, *Phys. Rev. A* **59** (1999) 427–438 [arXiv: quant-ph/9901030].
- [26] P. Boonserm and M. Visser, “Bounding the Bogoliubov coefficients”, *Annals of Physics* **323** (2008) 2779–2798 [arXiv: quant-ph/0801.0610].

- [27] P. Boonserm and M. Visser, “Bounding the greybody factors for Schwarzschild black holes”, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 101502 [Rapid Communications], [arXiv:gr-qc/0806.2209].
- [28] P. Boonserm and M. Visser, “Transmission probabilities and the Miller–Good transformation”, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42** (2009) 045301 [arXiv: math-ph/0808.2516].
- [29] P. Boonserm and M. Visser, “Analytic bounds on transmission probabilities”, *Annals of Physics* **325** (2010) 1328–1339 [arXiv:0901.0944 [gr-qc]]. doi: 10.1016/j.aop.2010.02.005
- [30] P. Boonserm and M. Visser, “Reformulating the Schrodinger equation as a Shabat–Zakharov system”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **51** (2010) 022105 [arXiv:0910.2600 [math-ph]].
- [31] A. J. M. Medved, D. Martin and M. Visser, “Dirty black holes: Quasinormal modes”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 1393 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0310009].
- [32] A. J. M. Medved, D. Martin and M. Visser, “Dirty black holes: Quasinormal modes for squeezed horizons”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 2393 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0310097].
- [33] T. Padmanabhan, “Quasi normal modes: A simple derivation of the level spacing of the frequencies”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) L1 [arXiv:gr-qc/0310027].
- [34] T. R. Choudhury and T. Padmanabhan, “Quasi normal modes in Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime: A simple derivation of the level spacing of the frequencies”, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 064033 [arXiv:gr-qc/0311064].
- [35] J. Skakala and M. Visser, “Semi-analytic results for quasi-normal frequencies”, *JHEP* **1008** (2010) 061 [arXiv:1004.2539 [gr-qc]].
- [36] J. Skakala and M. Visser, “Highly-damped quasi-normal frequencies for piecewise Eckart potentials”, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 125023 [arXiv:1007.4039 [gr-qc]].
- [37] J. Skakala and M. Visser, “Generic master equations for quasi-normal frequencies”, *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 070 [arXiv:1009.0080 [gr-qc]].
- [38] J. Skakala and M. Visser, “Quasi-normal frequencies: Semi-analytic results for highly damped modes”, arXiv:1011.4634 [gr-qc].
- [39] V. V. Nesterenko, “Boundary conditions at spatial infinity for fields in Casimir calculations”, *J.Phys.* **A39** (2006) 6609–6616 [arXiv:hep-th/0511018].
- [40] V.V. Nesterenko, A. Feoli, G. Lambiase, and G. Scarpetta, “Electromagnetic shape resonances of a dielectric sphere and radiation of portable telephones”, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B*, **22** (2008) 735–742 [arXiv:hep-th/0512340v2].
- [41] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, “Quasi-normal modes of stars and black holes”, *Living Rev. Rel.* **2** (1999) 2 [arXiv:gr-qc/9909058].

- [42] H. P. Nollert, “Quasinormal modes: the characteristic ‘sound’ of black holes and neutron stars”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) R159.
- [43] E. Berti, V. Cardoso and A. O. Starinets, “Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 163001 [arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc]].
- [44] O. Dreyer, “Quasinormal modes, the area spectrum, and black hole entropy”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (2003) 081301 [arXiv:gr-qc/0211076].
- [45] J. Nataro and R. Schiappa, “On the classification of asymptotic quasinormal frequencies for d -dimensional black holes and quantum gravity”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **8** (2004) 1001 [arXiv:hep-th/0411267].
- [46] S. Das and S. Shankaranarayanan, “High frequency quasi-normal modes for black-holes with generic singularities,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) L7 [arXiv:hep-th/0410209].
- [47] A. Ghosh, S. Shankaranarayanan and S. Das, “High frequency quasi-normal modes for black holes with generic singularities. II: Asymptotically non-flat spacetimes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 1851 [arXiv:hep-th/0510186].
- [48] H. R. Beyer, “On the Completeness of the Quasinormal Modes of the Poeschl-Teller Potential”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **204** (1999) 397 [arXiv:gr-qc/9803034].
- [49] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth, “On the Lambert W function”, *Adv. Computational Maths.* **5** (1996) 329 - 359.
- [50] R. M. Corless, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth, “A Sequence of Series for the Lambert W Function”, *Proceedings ISSAC '97*, Maui, W. W. Kuechlin, editor, pages 197–204.
- [51] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, and D. J. Jeffrey, “Lambert’s W Function in Maple”, *Maple Technical Newsletter* 9, Spring 1993, pp. 12–22.
- [52] A. I. Kheyfits (2004) “Closed-form representations of the Lambert function”, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **7** (2004) 177190.
- [53] Darko Veberic, “Having fun with the Lambert $W(x)$ function”, arXiv:1003.1628v1 [cs.MS]
- [54] The NIST digital library of mathematical functions, <http://dlmf.nist.gov>
See specifically <http://dlmf.nist.gov/4.13>