# CONSTRUCTING ENTANGLEMENT WITNESSES FOR INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS

JINCHUAN HOU AND XIAOFEI QI

ABSTRACT. It is shown that, every entangled state in an infinite-dimensional composite system has a simple entanglement witness of the form  $\alpha I + T$  with  $\alpha$  a nonnegative number and T a finite rank self-adjoint operator. We also provide two methods of constructing entanglement witness and apply them to obtain some entangled states that cannot be detected by the PPT criterion and the realignment criterion.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In quantum mechanics, a quantum system is associated with a separable complex Hilbert space H, i.e., the state space. A quantum state is described as a density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ (namely,  $\rho$  is positive and has trace 1); furthermore,  $\rho$  is a pure state if  $\rho^2 = \rho$ ;  $\rho$  is a mixed state if  $\rho^2 \neq \rho$ . The state space H of a composite quantum system is a tensor product of several state spaces  $H_i$ , that is  $H = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_k$ . Let H and K be finite dimensional and let  $\rho$  be a state acting on  $H \otimes K$ .  $\rho$  is said to be separable if  $\rho$  can be written as

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \rho_i \otimes \sigma_i,$$

where  $\rho_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  are states on H and K respectively, and  $p_i$  are positive numbers with  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 1$ . Otherwise,  $\rho$  is said to be inseparable or entangled (ref. [1, 2]). For the case that at least one of H and K is of infinite dimension, by R. F. Werner [3], a state  $\rho$  acting on  $H \otimes K$  is called separable if it can be approximated in the trace norm by the states of the form

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \rho_i \otimes \sigma_i,$$

where  $\rho_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  are states on H and K respectively, and  $p_i$  are positive numbers with  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ . Otherwise,  $\rho$  is called an entangled state.

This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771157), Research Grant to Returned Scholars of Shanxi (2007-38) and Foundation of Shanxi University.

PACS. 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Db.

Key words and phrases. Quantum states, composite systems, entanglement witness, infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Entanglement is of special significance in quantum information processing and is responsible for many quantum tasks such as teleportation, dense coding, key distribution, error correction etc. (see [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]). It is very important but also difficult to determine whether or not a state in a composite system is separable. For the case of  $2 \times 2$  or  $2 \times 3$  systems, that is, for the case dim  $H = \dim K = 2$  or dim H = 2, dim K = 3, a state is separable if and only if it is PPT (Positive Partial Transpose) [9, 10]. But PPT is only a necessary condition for a state to be separable acting on Hilbert space of higher dimensions. There are PPT states that are entangled. It is known that PPT entangled states belong to the class of bound entangled states [11]. In [12], the realignment criterion for separability in finite dimensional systems was found. It is independent of the PPT criterion and can detect some bound entangled states that cannot be recognized by the PPT criterion.

A most general approach to study the entanglement of quantum states in finite dimensional physical systems is based on the notion of entanglement witnesses (see [9]). A Hermitian operator W acting on  $H \otimes K$  is said to be an entanglement witness (briefly, EW), if W is not positive and  $Tr(W\sigma) \ge 0$  holds for all separable states  $\sigma$ . Thus, if W is an EW, then there exists an entangled state  $\rho$  such that  $Tr(W\rho) < 0$  (that is, the entanglement of  $\rho$  can be detected by W). It was shown that, a state is entangled if and only if it is detected by some entanglement witnesses [9]. This entanglement witness criterion is also valid for infinite dimensional systems. Clearly, constructing entanglement witnesses is a hard task. There was a considerable effort in constructing and analyzing the structure of entanglement witnesses [13, 14, 15, 16] (see also [17] for a review). However, few literature concerns the structure properties of entanglement witnesses for infinite dimensional composite systems since the witnesses for infinite dimensional case have much more complicated structure. A general discussion can be found in [18], there it was shown that every entanglement witness W in a bipartite system can be written as of the form W = cI - C with I the identity operator, C a positive operator and c a positive number (this is obvious by taking c = ||W|| and  $C = \|W\|I - W).$ 

In the present paper we continue discussing the structure properties of entanglement witnesses for infinite dimensional composite systems. The main purpose is to answer the question, for any entangled state, whether or not we can find some witnesses of simple structure that detect the given state. We show that a state  $\rho$  on an infinite-dimensional composite system is entangled if and only if there exists an entanglement witness of the form  $W = \alpha I + T$  with  $\alpha$  a nonnegative number and T a finite rank self-adjoint operator (i.e., the range of T is finite-dimensional) such that  $\text{Tr}(W\rho) < 0$ . This is remarkable because such kind of witness W is a Fredholm operator of index 0 (i.e., W has a closed range and ind $(W) = \dim \ker(W) - \dim \ker(W^*) = 0$ ) and the spectrum of W consists of finite many eigenvalues. We also develop two methods of constructing such entanglement witnesses, which are applied to find entanglement witnesses of such form that can detect some entangled states, however these states cannot be detected by the PPT criterion and the realignment criterion.

Throughout, H and K are separable complex Hilbert spaces that may be of infinite dimension if no specific assumption is made, and  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  stands for the inner product in both of them.  $\mathcal{B}(H, K)$  ( $\mathcal{B}(H)$  when K = H) is the Banach space of all (bounded linear) operators from Hinto K.  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is self-adjoint if  $A = A^{\dagger}$  ( $A^{\dagger}$  stands for the adjoint operator of A); and A is positive, denoted by  $A \geq 0$ , if A is self-adjoint with the spectrum falling in the interval  $[0, \infty)$  (or equivalently,  $\langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $|\psi \rangle \in H$ ). For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , denote  $|A| = (A^{\dagger}A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then the trace class  $\mathcal{T}(H) = \{T : ||T||_1 = \operatorname{Tr}(|T|) < \infty\}$  and the Hilbert-Schmidt class  $\mathcal{HS}(H) = \{T : ||T||_2 = (\operatorname{Tr}(T^{\dagger}T))^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty\}$ . The trace class and the Hilbert-Schmidt class are ideals in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $\mathcal{T}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{HS}(H)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{T}(H)$  is a Banach space with the trace norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\mathcal{HS}(H)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}(B^{\dagger}A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_0(H)$ be the subspace of all compact operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . It is a well known fact that the dual space of  $\mathcal{T}(H)$  (resp. of  $\mathcal{B}_0(H)$ ) is  $\mathcal{T}(H)^* = \mathcal{B}(H)$  (resp. is  $\mathcal{B}_0(H)^* = \mathcal{T}(H)$ ) and every bounded linear functional is of the form  $T \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(AT)$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  (resp.  $A \in \mathcal{T}(H)$ ).

#### 2. WITNESSES OF SIMPLE FORM

Let  $\rho$  be a state on  $H \otimes K$ . In [9], it was shown that  $\rho$  is entangled if and only if there exists a self-adjoint operator  $W \in \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$  such that W is an EW and  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) < 0$ . However, for infinite-dimensional systems, the structure of the entanglement witnesses are very complicated and difficult to deal with. Then it is interesting to ask: for any entangled state  $\rho$ , can we always find a witness W detecting  $\rho$  of some simple structure that is easily handled?

In this section we will improve the result in [9] and give an answer of the question above. Our main result shows that any entangled state can be detected by an entanglement witness of the form "nonnegative constant times identity + a self-adjoint operator of finite rank". It is obvious that such kind of witnesses are much simple and easily handled. To do this, we first give a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let H, K be complex Hilbert spaces with at least one infinite-dimensional, and  $\rho$  be a state on  $H \otimes K$ . Then  $\rho$  is entangled if and only if there exists a real number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and a trace-class self-adjoint operator T such that the operator  $W = \alpha I + T$  satisfies and

$$\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \geq 0$$
 for all separable states  $\sigma$  on  $H \otimes K$ .

**Proof.** Obviously, we only need to prove the "only if" part by the result in [9]. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}_0(H \otimes K)$  the Banach space of all compact operators on  $H \otimes K$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \sigma_i \otimes \eta_i :$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1, \sigma_i \in \mathcal{B}(H), \eta_i \in \mathcal{B}(K)$  are rank-one projections}. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  = the operator norm closure of  $\mathcal{S}_0$  and let  $\mathcal{S}_{sep}$  be the set of all separable states acting on  $H \otimes K$ , i.e., the trace-norm closure of  $\mathcal{S}_0$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{S}_{sep} \subset \mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a closed convex subset in  $\mathcal{B}_0(H \otimes$ K). We claim that if  $\rho$  is an entangled state on  $H \otimes K$ , then  $\rho \notin C$ . If, on the contrary,  $\rho \in C$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho - \sigma_n\| = 0$  for some  $\{\sigma_n\} \subset S_0$ , here we denote by  $\|A\|$  the operator norm for an operator A, as usual. Take any orthonormal bases  $\{|i\rangle\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}$  of H and K, respectively. For any positive integers  $k \leq \dim H$  and  $l \leq \dim K$ , denote  $P_{kl} = P_k \otimes Q_l$ , where  $P_k$  and  $Q_l$  are finite rank projections onto the subspaces spanned by  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=0}^k$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^l$ , respectively. Since  $\rho$  is entangled, by [19, Theorem 2], there exists (k, l) such that  $\rho_{kl} = \text{Tr}(P_{kl}\rho P_{kl})^{-1}P_{kl}\rho P_{kl}$  is entangled. It follows from  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho - \sigma_n\| = 0$  that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|P_{kl}\sigma_n P_{kl} - P_{kl}\rho P_{kl}\| = 0$ . Since  $P_{kl}\sigma_n P_{kl}$  and  $P_{kl}\rho P_{kl}$  can be regarded as operators acting on the finite-dimensional space  $P_k H \otimes Q_l K$ , we must have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(P_{kl} \sigma_n P_{kl}) = \operatorname{Tr}(P_{kl} \rho P_{kl}) > 0$ . Thus for sufficient large k and l,  $\operatorname{Tr}(P_{kl}\sigma_n P_{kl}) \neq 0$ . Let  $\sigma_{nkl} = \operatorname{Tr}(P_{kl}\sigma_n P_{kl})^{-1}P_{kl}\sigma_n P_{kl}$ . Then it is clear that  $\sigma_{nkl}$  is separable and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_{kl} - \sigma_{nkl}\| = 0$ . Again, regarding  $\rho_{kl}$  and  $\sigma_{nkl}$  as states acting on the finite-dimensional space  $P_k H \otimes Q_l K$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_{kl} - \sigma_{nkl}\|_1 = 0$ . Therefore,  $\rho_{kl}$  is separable, a contradiction. So, a state  $\rho$  is entangled implies that  $\rho \notin C$ , as desired.

Now, we can apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to  $\rho$  and C, and get a linear functional  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(H \otimes K)^*$  and a real number  $\alpha$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} f(\rho) < \alpha \leq \operatorname{Re} f(C)$  for all  $C \in C$ , here Re denotes the real part. Note that  $\mathcal{B}_0(H \otimes K)^* = \mathcal{T}(H \otimes K)$  and thus there exists a trace-class operator  $B \in \mathcal{T}(H \otimes K)$  such that  $f(X) = \operatorname{Tr}(XB)$  holds for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}_0(H \otimes K)$ . Let  $T = \frac{1}{2}(B + B^{\dagger})$ . Then T is a self-adjoint trace-class operator satisfying  $\operatorname{Tr}(T\rho) < \alpha \leq \operatorname{Tr}(TC)$  for all  $C \in C$ . Particularly,  $\operatorname{Tr}(T\rho) < \alpha \leq \operatorname{Tr}(T\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{sep}}$ . Now, let  $W = T - \alpha I$ , then  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) < 0 \leq \operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{sep}}$ .

Based on Lemma 2.1, we can get the main result in this section.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let H, K be complex Hilbert spaces with at least one infinite-dimensional, and  $\rho$  be a state on  $H \otimes K$ . Then  $\rho$  is entangled if and only if there exists some real number  $\alpha \geq 0$  and some finite rank self-adjoint operator R on  $H \otimes K$  such that the operator  $W = \alpha I + R$ satisfies and

$$\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \geq 0$$
 for all separable states  $\sigma$  on  $H \otimes K$ .

**Proof.** Still, we only need to prove the "only if" part. Assume that  $\rho$  is entangled. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a real number  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and a self-adjoint operator  $T \in \mathcal{T}(H \otimes K)$  such that the operator  $W_0 = \alpha_0 I + T$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Tr}(W_0 \rho) < 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(W_0 \sigma) \ge 0$  for all separable states  $\sigma$  on  $H \otimes K$ . For such T, by spectral theorem, there exists an orthogonal set  $\{|\omega_i\rangle\langle\omega_i|\}_{i=1}^k$  of rank one projections on  $H \otimes K$  such that  $T = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i |\omega_i\rangle\langle\omega_i|$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| < \infty$  and the index is arranged so that  $|\alpha_i| \ge |\alpha_{i+1}|$  for each i. If  $k < \infty$ , let  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  and R = T. If  $k = \infty$ , that is,  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i |\omega_i\rangle\langle\omega_i|$ , since  $\operatorname{Tr}(W_0 \rho) < 0$ , there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\operatorname{Tr}(W_0 \rho) \le -\varepsilon < 0$ . Let  $W_{\varepsilon} = W_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon I$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{Tr}(W_{\varepsilon}\sigma) \ge \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon > 0$  holds for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{sep}}$  and

$$\operatorname{Tr}(W_{\varepsilon}\rho) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i | \rho) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon < 0.$$

Let  $A_n = (\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)I + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i|$ . Since  $||A_n - W_{\varepsilon}|| = |\alpha_{n+1}| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists a natural number  $N_1$  such that  $||A_n - W_{\varepsilon}|| < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$  whenever  $n \ge N_1$ . Now, for any separable state  $\sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(A_n \sigma) &\geq \operatorname{Tr}(W_{\varepsilon} \sigma) - |\operatorname{Tr}(A_n \sigma) - \operatorname{Tr}(W_{\varepsilon} \sigma)| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Tr}(W_{\varepsilon} \sigma) - ||A_n - W_{\varepsilon}|| \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A_n$  is an EW whenever  $n \ge N_1$ .

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i | \rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i | \rho)$ , for the above  $\varepsilon$ , there exists a natural number  $N_2$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_{i}\rangle\langle\omega_{i}|\rho) < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_{i}\rangle\langle\omega_{i}|\rho) + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$$

whenever  $n > N_2$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . If  $n \ge N$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A_n \rho) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i|\rho)$$
  
$$< \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i \operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i|\rho) + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$$
  
$$< -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon < 0.$$

Hence  $A_n$  is an entanglement witness for  $\rho$ . Now let  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  and  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |\omega_i\rangle \langle \omega_i |$ .

So far, we have proved that, for entangled state  $\rho$ , there exists a real number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and a finite rank self-adjoint operator  $R \in \mathcal{T}(H \otimes K)$  such that the operator  $W = \alpha I + R$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) < 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \ge 0$  for all separable states  $\sigma$  on  $H \otimes K$ . To complete the proof of

the theorem, we still need to show that  $\alpha \geq 0$ . We claim that

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{sep}}} \operatorname{Tr}(R\sigma) = 0.$$

As  $R \in \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$  is a finite rank self-adjoint operator, there exist vectors  $|\psi_k\rangle \in H \otimes K$  and real numbers  $b_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n, such that  $R = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$ . Take any orthonormal bases  $\{|i\rangle\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}$  in H and K, respectively. Then  $\{|ij\rangle\}$  is a product basis of  $H \otimes K$ . For any  $|ij\rangle$ , let  $\sigma_{ij} = |ij\rangle \langle ij|$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(R\sigma_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k |\langle \psi_k | ij \rangle|^2.$$

Thus  $\lim_{i,j\to\infty} \operatorname{Tr}(R\sigma_{ij}) = 0$ , this ensures that  $\inf_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_{sep}} \operatorname{Tr}(R\sigma) = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha \ge 0$  as  $\alpha + \operatorname{Tr}(R\sigma) \ge 0$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{sep}$ , completing the proof.

## 3. Constructing entanglement witnesses

By Theorem 2.2, for any entangled state one may find an entanglement witness of the form  $\alpha I - T$  for it, where  $\alpha \ge 0$  and T is a finite rank self-adjoint operator. If  $\alpha \ne 0$ , this witness may be replaced by I - F with  $F = \alpha^{-1}T$ . In this section, we will provide a method of constructing entanglement witnesses of the such form, which enables us to obtain some examples of entangled states that cannot be detected by the PPT criterion and the realignment criterion.

**3.1.** A Special Approach. Let H and K be complex separable Hilbert spaces and let T be a self-adjoint operator on  $H \otimes K$ . It is a natural idea that, if we find  $d_T = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{sep}} |\operatorname{Tr}(T\sigma)|$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_{sep}$  is the set of all separable states in the composite system on  $H \otimes K$ , then  $d_T I - T$ is an entanglement witness if  $d_T I - T$  is not positive. Once  $d_T < ||T||$ , the operator norm of T (namely,  $||T|| = \sup_{||\omega\rangle||=1} ||T|\omega\rangle||$ ), then, for any  $d \in [d_T, ||T||)$ , W = dI - T is an entanglement witness for some entangled states. Thus, it is important to make an estimate of  $d_T = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{sep}} |\operatorname{Tr}(T\sigma)|$ . In this subsection we give a method to find such number  $d_T$ and construct entanglement witness of the form  $d_T I - T$ . Some examples are also given to illustrate how to use this method to construct entanglement witnesses.

In the following, we always assume that H and K are complex Hilbert spaces that may be infinite-dimensional.  $\{|i\rangle : i \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle : j \in \Gamma\}$  be any fixed orthonormal bases of Hand K, respectively. Then every vector  $|\omega\rangle \in H \otimes K$  can be written in  $|\omega\rangle = \sum_{ij} d_{ij}|ij\rangle$  with  $\sum_{ij} |d_{ij}|^2 = ||\omega\rangle||^2 < \infty$ . The coefficient matrix  $D_{\omega} = (d_{ij})$  may be regarded as a Hilbert-Schmidt operator from K into H defined by  $D_{\omega}|j\rangle = \sum_i d_{ij}|i\rangle$ . Thus  $D_{\omega}$  is a bounded operator and we will denote its operator norm by  $||D_{\omega}||$ . By Theorem 2.2, to construct an entanglement witness W, we may assume that W has the form  $W = \alpha I - T$  with T a self-adjoint finite rank operator. Thus  $T = \sum_k \alpha_k |\omega_k\rangle \langle \omega_k|$  for some orthonormal set  $\{|\omega_k\rangle\}_k \subset H \otimes K$  and some real numbers  $\alpha_k$  with  $||T||_1 = \text{Tr}(|T|) = \sum_k |\alpha_k|$ , where  $|T| = (T^{\dagger}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Write  $|\omega_k\rangle = \sum_{ij} d_{ij}^{(k)} |ij\rangle$ . Regard  $D_k = (d_{ij}^{(k)})$  as operators from K into H and denote  $c_T = \sum_k |\alpha_k| ||D_k||^2$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\rho = p\rho_1 + (1-p)\rho_2$  with  $0 be a state on <math>H \otimes K$ . Then  $W = c_{\rho_1}I - \rho_1$  is an entanglement witness if W is not positive. Moreover, if

$$c_{\rho_1}$$

then  $\rho$  is entangled with W its entanglement witness.

**Proof.** Write  $\rho_1 = \sum_k \alpha_k |\omega_k\rangle \langle \omega_k|$ . For any unit product vector  $|\mu\rangle |\nu\rangle \in H \otimes K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_1(|\mu\rangle|\nu\rangle\langle\mu|\langle\nu|))| &= |\langle\mu|\langle\nu|\rho_1|\mu\rangle|\nu\rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_k |\alpha_k||\langle\omega_k|\mu\rangle|\nu\rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_k |\alpha_k||\langle\mu|D_k|\bar{\nu}\rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_k |\alpha_k|||D_k||^2 = c_{\rho_1} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $W = c_{\rho_1}I - \rho_1$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \ge 0$  for all separable pure states  $\sigma$  on  $H \otimes K$ . Thus W is an entanglement witness whenever W is not positive.

If  $c_{\rho_1} , then$ 

$$\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) = c_{\rho_1} - p \|\rho_1\|_2^2 - (1-p)\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_1\rho_2) < 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we have that  $\rho$  is entangled with entanglement witness W.

Particularly, we have

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $\rho = \sum_k p_k |\omega_k\rangle \langle \omega_k|$  be a state acting on  $H \otimes K$  with  $\{|\omega_k\rangle = \sum_{ij} d_{ij}^{(k)} |ij\rangle\}_k$ an orthonormal set in  $H \otimes K$ . Regard  $D_k = (d_{ij}^{(k)})$  as operators from K into H. If there is some  $k_0$  such that  $||D_{k_0}||^2 < p_{k_0}$ , then  $\rho$  is an entangled state and  $||D_{k_0}||^2 I - |\omega_{k_0}\rangle \langle \omega_{k_0}|$  is an entanglement witness for  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\rho_{k_0} = \frac{1}{1-p_{k_0}} \sum_{l \neq k_0} p_l |\omega_l\rangle \langle \omega_l|$ . Then  $\rho = p_{k_0} |\omega_{k_0}\rangle \langle \omega_{k_0}| + (1-p_{k_0})\rho_{k_0}$ . Note that,  $\operatorname{Tr}(|\omega_{k_0}\rangle \langle \omega_{k_0}|\rho_{k_0}) = 0$ . So, by Proposition 3.1,  $W = \|D_{k_0}\|^2 I - |\omega_{k_0}\rangle \langle \omega_{k_0}|$  is an entanglement witness if W is not positive, and if  $\|D_{k_0}\|^2 < p_{k_0}$ , then  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) = \|D_{k_0}\|^2 - p_{k_0} < 0$ , that is,  $\rho$  is entangled.

Let us consider the special case that  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  is a pure state. By Corollary 3.2, if  $||D_{\psi}|| < 1$ , then  $W = ||D_{\psi}||^2 I - |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  is an entanglement witness detecting  $\rho$ . This case was discussed in [20] for finite-dimensional systems and got the same conclusion.

In the following, we give some examples of entangled states that can be detected by the entanglement witness W constructed in Proposition 3.1 or Corollary 3.2.

**Example 3.3.** Let H and K be infinite-dimensional complex separable Hilbert spaces with orthonormal basis  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ , respectively. Let

$$\rho = p_1 |\omega_1\rangle \langle \omega_1 | + p_2 |\omega_2\rangle \langle \omega_2 | + p_3 |\omega_3\rangle \langle \omega_3 |$$

with  $p_k \ge 0$  and  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$ , where

$$|\omega_1\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi^2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i+1} |ii\rangle, \quad |\omega_2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi^2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i+1} |(i+1)i\rangle$$

and

$$|\omega_3\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi^2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i+1} |(i+2)i\rangle.$$

Then,  $\rho$  is a state acting on  $H \otimes K$  and  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$  is an orthonormal set. It is clear that, regarded as operators from K into H,  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  as that in Corollary 3.2 are determined by  $D_1|i\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi(i+1)}|i\rangle$ ,  $D_2|i\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi(i+1)}|i+1\rangle$  and  $D_3|i\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi(i+1)}|i+2\rangle$ , respectively.

Since  $||D_k||^2 = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$ , k = 1, 2, 3, so, by use of Corollary 3.2, one sees that, if  $p_k > \frac{6}{\pi^2}$  for some k = 1, 2, 3, then  $\rho$  is entangled with entanglement witness  $W = \frac{6}{\pi^2}I - |\omega_k\rangle\langle\omega_k|$ .

**Example 3.4.** Let *H* and *K* be *n*-dimensional complex Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$ , respectively. Let

$$|\omega_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + \ldots + |(n-1)(n-1)\rangle)$$
$$|\omega_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|01\rangle + |12\rangle + \ldots + |(n-1)0\rangle),$$
$$\vdots$$

$$|\omega_n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|0(n-1)\rangle + |10\rangle + \ldots + |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle).$$

Let  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \rho_i$  with  $\rho_i = |\omega_i\rangle\langle\omega_i|$ , where  $q_i \ge 0$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i = 1$ . Then  $\rho$  is a state on  $H \otimes K$ . If  $q_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) are not the same, then there must be some  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that  $q_{i_0} > \frac{1}{n}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $q_1 > \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $W = \frac{1}{n}I - \rho_1$ . By Corollary 3.2,  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \ge 0$  for all separable states  $\sigma$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) < 0$ , which imply that  $\rho$  is entangled with entanglement witness W.

If  $q_1 = q_2 = \ldots = q_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $\rho$  is PPT. Moreover, by [21, Theorem 1],  $\rho$  is separable.

**3.2.** A General Approach. In the following, we will consider more general cases and provide a method of constructing entanglement witnesses. This method is motivated by an idea used in [16].

Let  $\rho$  be a mixed state. Write  $\rho$  in the form  $\rho = \sum_i p_i \rho_i$ , where  $\rho_i$ 's are pure states with  $\rho_i \rho_j = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . By Theorem 2.2, it is possible to construct an entanglement witness on  $H \otimes K$  of the form  $W = \alpha I + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \rho_i$  that may detect the entanglement of  $\rho$ .

To do this, let  $L: \mathcal{T}_{sa}(H \otimes K) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a map defined by

$$L(T) = (\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_1 T), \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_2 T), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_n T))^t$$

for every  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{sa}(H \otimes K)$ , the real linear space of all self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{T}(H \otimes K)$ . It is obvious that L is linear, bounded and  $L(\mathcal{S}_{sep}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a closed convex set. If  $L(\rho) \notin L(\mathcal{S}_{sep})$ , then  $\rho$  is entangled and we can find a linear functional  $f = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  such that

$$f(L(\rho)) > 1$$
 and  $L(\mathcal{S}_{sep}) \subseteq \{|x\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(|x\rangle) \le 1\}$ 

or

$$f(L(\rho)) < 1$$
 and  $L(\mathcal{S}_{sep}) \subseteq \{ |x\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(|x\rangle) \ge 1 \}.$ 

Let  $W = I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \rho_i$  or  $W = -I + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \rho_i$ . Then W satisfies  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \ge 0$  for all separable states  $\sigma$  on  $H \otimes K$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) < 0$ , that is, W is an entanglement witness that can detect  $\rho$ . In fact, by Theorem 2.2, the second case never occurs. So, we find a witness of the form  $W = I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \rho_i$  that detects some entangled state of the form  $\rho = \sum_i p_i \rho_i$ . Note that, the special approach in Subsection 3.1 is the case of taking n = 1.

One of the difficulties of the above general approach is that, in general, the map L has too many even infinite many variables. In the case that there exist finite rank projections  $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(K)$  such that  $\rho_k = (P \otimes Q)\rho_k(P \otimes Q)$ , the situation may be simplified. In this case, denote by  $\mathcal{B}_{sa}(PH \otimes QK)$  the space of all self-adjoint operators acting on the finite dimensional space  $PH \otimes QK$ . Let  $L' = L|_{\mathcal{B}_{sa}(PH \otimes QK)}$  and  $\rho' = \frac{1}{p_1 + \ldots + p_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \rho_k|_{PH \otimes QK} \in$  $\mathcal{S}(PH \otimes QK)$ . If  $L'(\rho') \notin L'(\mathcal{S}_{sep}(PH \otimes QK))$ , then  $\rho'$  is entangled with a witness of the form  $W' = I_{PH \otimes QK} - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \rho_k|_{PH \otimes QK}$ . We claim that  $W = I - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \rho_k$  is an entanglement witness for original system  $H \otimes K$ . Take any orthonormal bases  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=0}^l$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^m$  of PHand QK, and extend them to orthonormal bases  $\{|i\rangle\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle\}$  of H and K, respectively. For any unit vectors  $|\psi\rangle \in H$  and  $|\phi\rangle \in K$ , we can write  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \xi_i |i\rangle$  and  $|\phi\rangle = \sum_j \eta_j |j\rangle$ . Let  $\sigma = |\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle \langle \psi | \langle \phi |$  be the separable pure state. Then

$$Tr(W\sigma) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k Tr(\rho_k \sigma)$$
  
=  $1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k Tr((PH \otimes QK)\rho_k(PH \otimes QK)\sigma(PH \otimes QK))$   
=  $Tr(W'(PH \otimes QK)\sigma(PH \otimes QK)) \ge 0$ 

since  $(PH \otimes QK)\sigma(PH \otimes QK)$  is separable. Now it is clear that W is an entanglement witness as it is not positive. Note that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(W\rho) = (p_1 + \ldots + p_n)\operatorname{Tr}(W'\rho') + \sum_{k>n} p_k$$

So,  $\rho$  is entangled if  $\frac{1-p_1-\ldots-p_n}{p_1+\ldots+p_n} < -\operatorname{Tr}(W'\rho').$ 

To illustrate how to use the general approach to construct entanglement witnesses, we give an example here.

**Example 3.5.** Let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ , respectively. Let

$$|\omega_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle)$$

and

$$|\omega_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|01\rangle + |12\rangle + |20\rangle)$$

Define  $\rho_1 = |\omega_1\rangle\langle\omega_1|, \rho_2 = |\omega_2\rangle\langle\omega_2|$  and

$$\rho_3 = \frac{1}{3} (|02\rangle \langle 02| + |10\rangle \langle 10| + |21\rangle \langle 21|).$$

Let  $\rho_4$  be any state satisfying  $\text{Tr}(\rho_k \rho_4) = 0$  for k = 1, 2, 3. Then, for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\tilde{\rho}_t = (1-t) \sum_{i=1}^3 q_i \rho_i + t \rho_4$$

is a state on  $H \otimes K$ , where  $q_i \ge 0$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and  $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 1$ . Let us discuss the entanglement of  $\tilde{\rho}_t$ .

Let  $H_1$  and  $K_1$  be the 3-dimensional spaces spanned by  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle$  respectively. Thus  $\rho_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, defined above can be regarded as states on  $H_1 \otimes K_1$ . Let  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^3 q_i \rho_i \in \mathcal{S}(H_1 \otimes K_1)$ . By the general approach stated above, if we may construct some entanglement witnesses of the form  $W' = I_{3\times3} - (a_1\rho_1 + a_2\rho_2 + a_3\rho_3)|_{H_1\otimes K_1}$  for the  $3 \times 3$  system, then we get some entanglement witnesses of the same form  $W = I - (a_1\rho_1 + a_2\rho_2 + a_3\rho_3)$ , which may detect entangled states  $\tilde{\rho}_t$  for suitable  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  and t. In fact, we will find two entanglement witnesses  $W_1 = I - 1.5\rho_1 - 0.3\rho_2 - 3\rho_3$  and  $W_2 = I - 0.3\rho_1 - 1.5\rho_2 - 3\rho_3$ , which detect  $\rho$  for some suitable  $q_1, q_2, q_3$ , and then detect  $\tilde{\rho}_t$  for sufficient small t; particularly, if  $q_2 < \frac{5}{7}q_1$  or  $q_1 < \frac{5}{7}q_2$ , and if  $q_1q_2q_3 \ge q_1^3 + q_2^3$ , then  $\tilde{\rho}_t$  is an entangled PPT state whenever  $\rho_4$  is.

The realignment criterion can be generalized to infinite dimensional systems. For a pure state  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  with  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}|ij\rangle$ , writing  $D = (d_{ij})$  and  $\bar{D} = (\bar{d}_{ij})$ , the realignment operator of  $\rho$  is defined by  $\rho^R = D \otimes \bar{D}$ . Furthermore, if  $\rho = \sum_i p_i \rho_i$  is a mixed state, where  $\sum_i p_i = 1, p_i > 0, \rho_i$ 's are pure states, then the realigned operator of  $\rho$  is defined by  $\rho^R = \sum p_i \rho_i^R \quad [22].$  Thus the realignment criterion states that, for any state  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes K)$ , if  $\rho$  is separable, then  $\|\rho^R\|_1 \leq 1$  [23]. Thus, applying the entanglement witnesses  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , we see that, if  $0 < 2q_2 = q_1 \leq \frac{2}{303}$  or  $0 < 2q_1 = q_2 \leq \frac{2}{303}$ , and if  $\rho_4$  is separable and t is small enough, then  $\tilde{\rho}_t$  is PPT entangled that cannot be detected by the realignment criterion.

To show the desired conclusion, by the general approach and the discussion above, in the following, we need only regard  $\rho$  and  $\rho_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, as states of  $3 \times 3$  system  $H_1 \otimes K_1$  and show that  $W_1 = I - 1.5\rho_1 - 0.3\rho_2 - 3\rho_3$  and  $W_2 = I - 0.3\rho_1 - 1.5\rho_2 - 3\rho_3$  are entanglement witnesses, and then apply them to check that

(1) if  $q_2 < \frac{5}{7}q_1$  or  $q_1 < \frac{5}{7}q_2$ , then  $\rho$  is entangled;

(2) if  $q_2 < \frac{5}{7}q_1$  or  $q_1 < \frac{5}{7}q_2$ , and if  $q_1q_2q_3 \ge q_1^3 + q_2^3$ , then  $\rho$  is PPT entangled;

(3) if  $0 < 2q_2 = q_1 \le \frac{2}{303}$  or  $0 < 2q_1 = q_2 \le \frac{2}{303}$ , then  $\rho$  is PPT entangled that cannot be detected by the realignment criterion.

It is easily checked that  $\rho$  is PPT if and only if  $q_1q_2q_3 \ge q_1^3 + q_2^3$ . And by Corollary 3.2, if  $q_1 > \frac{1}{3}$  or  $q_2 > \frac{1}{3}$ , then  $\rho$  is NPPT entangled.

Since every separable state can be written as a convex combination of pure product states, to find an entanglement witness of the form  $W = I - a_1\rho_1 - a_2\rho_2 - a_3\rho_3$ , we only need to consider the values  $\text{Tr}(\rho_i(|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|))$  for all pure product states  $|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle$  on  $H_1 \otimes K_1$ . Let  $|\alpha\rangle = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)^{\text{t}} \in H_1$  and  $|\beta\rangle = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)^{\text{t}} \in K_1$  be any unit vectors, and let

$$c_{1} = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{1}(|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|)) = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{3})^{2},$$

$$c_{2} = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{2}(|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|)) = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{1})^{2},$$

$$c_{3} = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{3}(|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|)) = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_{1}^{2}\beta_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}\beta_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{3}^{2}\beta_{2}^{2}).$$

As the maximum value of  $c_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3) is  $\frac{1}{3}$ , the points  $A = (\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$ ,  $B = (0, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$  and  $C = (0, 0, \frac{1}{3})$  are vertices of the convex set  $L(S_{sep})$ , where  $L : \mathcal{T}_{sa}(H_1 \otimes K_1) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is the linear map defined by  $L(T) = (\operatorname{Tr}(T\rho_1), \operatorname{Tr}(T\rho_2), \operatorname{Tr}(T\rho_3))^t$ . The plane which passes through A, B, C has the following equation

$$3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = 1.$$

Note that, if  $|\alpha\rangle = |\beta\rangle = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ , then  $c_1 = c_2 = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $c_3 = \frac{1}{9}$ , which implies that  $3(c_1 + c_2 + c_3) = \frac{7}{3} > 1$ . Hence the point  $D = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{9})$  lies in the half-space  $3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) > 1$ .

Now consider the plane

$$3x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3 = 1$$

passing through A, C and D. Pick  $|\alpha\rangle = |\beta\rangle = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$ , we have  $c_1 = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $c_2 = c_3 = \frac{1}{12}$ , which imply that  $3c_1 - c_2 + 3c_3 = \frac{13}{12} > 1$ . Hence the point  $E = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}) \in L(\mathcal{S}_{sep})$  is in the half-space  $3x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3 > 1$ .

Next, let us consider the plane passing through A, C and E, which has the equation

$$3(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) = 1.$$

If we take

$$|\alpha\rangle = (-0.707104, 0.672502, -0.218509)$$

and

$$|\beta\rangle = (0.707107, -0.706824, -0.0199903),$$

we get  $c_1 = 0.314261$ ,  $c_2 = 0.0367071$  and  $c_3 = 0.0833943$ . In this case,  $3(c_1 - c_2 + c_3) = 1.08284 > 1$ . Denote F = (0.314261, 0.0367071, 0.0833943). Then the plane passing through the points C, D and F has the equation

$$2.43701x_1 - 0.43701x_2 + 3x_3 = 1.$$

Take  $|\alpha\rangle = (0.876317, -0.0152726, 0.481493)$  and  $|\beta\rangle = (0.481493, -0.0152726, 0.876317)$ . Then  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (0.237509, 0.0140176, 0.196609)$  and  $2.43701c_1 - 0.43701c_2 + 3c_3 = 1.16251 > 1$ . Let G = (0.237509, 0.0140176, 0.196609).

Now we consider the plane passing through the points C, E and G. The plane has the equation

$$1.71x_1 + 0.29x_2 + 3x_3 = 1. (3.1)$$

Since the maximum value of  $1.71c_1 + 0.29c_2 + 3c_3$  is around 1.0174, which is much close to 1, we can make the plane (3.1) a small rotation around the point C to get a new plane that is tangent to  $L(S_{sep})$ . For instance, let us consider the following one

$$1.5x_1 + 0.3x_2 + 3x_3 = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

As the maximum value of  $1.5c_1 + 0.3c_2 + 3c_3$  is 1, so the plane (3.2) is tangent to  $L(S_{sep})$  and

$$L(\mathcal{S}_{sep}) \subset \{X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^t : 1.5x_1 + 0.3x_2 + 3x_3 \le 1\}.$$
(3.3)

Define

$$W_1 = I - 1.5\rho_1 - 0.3\rho_2 - 3\rho_3. \tag{3.4}$$

By Eq.(3.3), we have  $\operatorname{Tr}(W\sigma) \geq 0$  for all separable states  $\sigma$  on  $H_1 \otimes K_1$ . Clearly,  $W_1$  is an entanglement witness as it is not positive.

Symmetrically, by replacing point A by B, one gets an entanglement witness of the form

$$W_2 = I - 0.3\rho_1 - 1.5\rho_2 - 3\rho_3. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that, by Eq.(3.4),

$$Tr(W_1\rho) = 1 - 1.5q_1 - 0.3q_2 - q_3 = -0.5q_1 + 0.7q_2.$$
(3.6)

So the entanglement of  $\rho$  can be detected by the entanglement witness  $W_1$  if  $0 \le q_2 < \frac{5}{7}q_1$ . Furthermore, if  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  satisfy  $q_1q_2q_3 \ge q_1^3 + q_2^3$  and  $0 \le q_2 < \frac{5}{7}q_1$ , then  $\rho$  is a PPT entangled state that can be detected by  $W_1$ .

Now let's consider the realignment criterion.

For  $\rho = q_1\rho_1 + q_2\rho_2 + q_3\rho_3$ , it is obvious that

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix}
q_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_1 \\
0 & q_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q_2 & q_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q_2 & q_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_2 & 0 \\
q_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q_2 & q_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_2 & 0 \\
q_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_1 & 0 & 0 & q_1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the realignment matrix of  $\rho$  is

$$\rho^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{3} & 0 & 0 & q_{2} \\ 0 & q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{2} & q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{3} \\ 0 & q_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} & q_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} & 0 \\ q_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By computation, we have that the trace norm  $\|\rho^R\|_1 < 1$  if  $q_1 \leq \frac{2}{303}$  and  $q_2 = \frac{1}{2}q_1$ . Hence, in this case, the entangled state  $\rho$  is PPT and cannot be detected by the realignment criterion. however it can be detected by the entanglement witness  $W_1$ . Applying the entanglement witness  $W_2$  in Eq.(3.5), one gets the other half of the assertions (1)-(3). **Conclusion.** For infinite-dimensional composite systems, every entangled state has a simple entanglement witness of the form  $\alpha I - T$ , where  $\alpha$  is a nonnegative number and T is a self-adjoint operator of finite rank. Such kind of witnesses have nice structure and can be handled easily. Based on this fact, two approaches of constructing entanglement witnesses of such form for entangled states are provided. Some examples of entanglement witnesses of such form are found and used to detect entangled states that cannot be detected by the PPT criterion and the realignment criterion.

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to give their thanks to the referees for helpful comments to improve this paper.

#### References

- [1] I. Bengtsson, K. Zyczkowski, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [2] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [3] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) 4277.
- [4] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1895.
- [5] D. Bouwmeester, J.W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, Nature 390 (1997) 575.
- [6] D. Deutsch, A. Ekert, R. Jozsa, C. Macchiavello, S. Popescu, A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 2818.
- [7] D. Deutsch, A. Ekert, R. Jozsa, C. Macchiavello, S. Popescu, A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 2022.
- [8] P. W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A 52 (1995) 2493.
- [9] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 223 (1996) 1.
- [10] A. Peres, Phys. Lett. A 202 (1996) 16.
- [11] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 80 (1998) 5239.
- [12] K. Chen, L.A. Wu, Quant. Inf. Comput 3 (2003) 193.
- [13] D. Bruß, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 4237.
- [14] G. Tóth, O. Gühne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 060501.
- [15] D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, Open Systems and Inf. Dynamics 14 (2007) 275; D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 145301.
- [16] M. A. Jafarizadeh, N. Behzadi, Y. Akbari, Eur. Phys. J. D 55 (2009) 197.
- [17] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 (2009) 865.
- [18] J. Sperling, W. Vogel, Phys. Rev. A 79 (2009) 022318.
- [19] J. Sperling, W. Vogel, Phys. Rev. A 79 (2009) 052313.
- [20] M. Bourennane, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 087902.
- [21] S.J. Wu, X.M. Chen, Y.D. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A 275 (2000) 244.
- [22] Y. Guo, X.F. Qi, J.C. Hou, Sufficient and necessary conditions of separability for bipartite pure states in infinite-dimensional systems, Chinese Science Bull., to appear.
- [23] Y. Guo, J.C. Hou, The realignment criterion and the cross norm criterion for states in infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems, preprint.

(Jinchuan Hou) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TAIYUAN 030024,
P. R. OF CHINA; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN, 030006, P R. CHINA *E-mail address*: jinchuanhou@yahoo.com.cn

(Xiaofei Qi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN 030006, P.R. CHINA. *E-mail address:* qixf1980@126.com